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Biological structures as diverse as skin, hair, spider webs, silk cocoons, connective tissue, and some algal cell walls share a common property: they consist mainly of structural proteins. Some of these proteins have been studied in detail and have contributed greatly to our understanding of protein structure. Silk fibroin, for example, is the model protein for the $\beta$-pleated sheet secondary structure, collagen for a triple-stranded helix. The amino acids Gly and Pro (or Hyp) are frequently found to be major constituents of these structural proteins and, therefore, appear to be well suited as building blocks for structural proteins.

Not surprisingly, plant cell walls, the major structural part of the plant cell, also contain structural proteins. The primary amino acid sequences of many of these proteins have been deduced from cDNA and genomic sequences, but less is known about the precise localization and developmental expression pattern of these proteins. However, it has become clear that both the synthesis and the cross-linking of these wall proteins are under strict developmental control. In addition, their synthesis and cross-linking can be environmentally induced by a number of triggers. Despite our growing knowledge about these proteins, their biological function is still largely a matter of speculation. Three major classes of structural wall proteins have been recognized to date: extensins, PRPs, and GRPs. Other wall proteins that have been described might also have a structural role, but less is known about these proteins and this discussion will focus on the well-characterized wall proteins.

HRGPs OR EXTENSINS

Except for certain algae that have cell walls made almost exclusively of proteins (for a review, see Adair, 1988), cell walls in plants contain only relatively small amounts of protein. Primary walls contain more protein than secondary walls. The best-known structural wall proteins are the extensins, or HRGPs, which are characterized by the repeated pentapeptide sequence Ser-(Hyp), (for reviews, see Cassab and Varner, 1988; Showalter and Varner, 1989). Their structure consists of an extended polyproline II helix. Most Pro's in these proteins are hydroxylated to give Hyp and are then O-glycosylated with Ara. Similarly, the Ser is often O-substituted with Gal. Extensins are generally also rich in Lys, making them basic proteins, possibly interacting with acidic pectic blocks in the cell wall. The abundant Tyr residues might be involved in isodityrosine cross-links that have been proposed to be responsible for the observed insolubilization of HRGPs in cell walls. In the monocot maize, similar proteins with slightly different motifs such as Ser-Hyp-Lys-Pro-Hyp (Kieliszewski et al., 1990) have been described, revealing an evolutionary related motif. Extensins with a Ser-(Hyp) motif have not only been described in dicots and monocots but also in a gymnosperm (Fong et al., 1992).

The study of different extensins has demonstrated that a specific extensin is synthesized in only one or a few cell types in a plant. A soybean extensin was found in sclerenchyma and in hour-glass cells in the seedcoat (Cassab and Varner, 1987). A gene encoding a tobacco extensin was specifically expressed in one or two cell layers in emerging lateral roots (Keller and Lamb, 1989). These cell layers were localized at the tip of a newly formed lateral root that is mechanically breaking through the root cortex. Deposition of extensin might strengthen their walls. Genes encoding other tobacco extensin or extensin-like proteins show pistil-specific expression (De S. Goldmann et al., 1992). The cell-type-specific expression of extensin genes suggests that the proteins are functionally important parts of a particular cell wall.

The expression of extensins is not only developmentally regulated but also induced after pathogen attack. In bean infected with the fungal pathogen Colletotrichum lindemuthianum, in situ hybridization with a specific extensin cDNA was performed (Templeton et al., 1990). In an incompatible reaction, the gene was induced immediately after infection in epidermal and cortical cells adjacent to the infection site. In a compatible interaction, HRGP was induced slowly after infection and not only adjacent to the site of infection. These results suggest that HRGP is an important component of the localized hypersensitive resistance mechanism.

At the ultrastructural level, an extensin has been localized in carrot storage roots and was found to be uniformly distributed across the primary cell wall but was absent from the middle lamella (Stafstrom and Staehelin, 1988). There is also evidence that extensin cannot cross the middle lamella separating the walls of adjacent cells, suggesting that extensin is made by each cell itself (Stafstrom and Staehelin, 1988). In a different study at the ultrastructural level, increased deposition of HRGP was observed at the interface of pea root cells interacting with mycorrhizal fungi (Bonfante-Fasolo et al., 1991).

Abbreviations: GRP, glycine-rich protein; HRGP, hydroxypoline-rich glycoprotein; PRP, proline-rich protein.
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PRPs can also contain some Hyp (H/PRP), but they do not have the Ser-(Hyp) repeat of the extensins. The distinction between extensins and PRPs is somewhat artificial and has become less clear with the increasing number of proteins analyzed and primary sequences available (Kieliszewski et al., 1992a). Both groups of proteins should be considered as members of a large superfamily of related proteins. Many of the H/PRPs have a pentapeptide repeat of the form Pro-Pro-Val-X-Lys. Such proteins may contain structural oddities such as six consecutive His’s (Sheng et al., 1991) or peptide palindromes (Kieliszewski et al., 1992b). H/PRPs have been found in both monocots and dicots. Their sequences and expression patterns are best characterized in soybean (Wyatt et al., 1992) and maize (José-Estanyol et al., 1992). Three soybean PRPs were localized immunologically in the light microscope (Marcus et al., 1991) and gene expression patterns were analyzed by in situ hybridization (Wyatt et al., 1992).

Similar to the extensins, all these proteins show a cell-type-specific expression pattern, being synthesized either in a number of different cell types (PRP2) or only in one cell type (PRP3) at a certain developmental stage. The expression patterns can change during development of an organ. Figure 1 outlines the cell-type-specific localization of several cell wall proteins in the elongating part of a young soybean hypocotyl. In maize embryos, two different H/PRPs had complementary expression patterns. One was synthesized in the scutellum and nonprovascular cells of the axis, whereas the other was made mainly in vascular cells (José-Estanyol et al., 1992).

It is interesting that in soybeans, a seed color genotype that is involved in the formation of anthocyanin pigments strongly influenced the accumulation of PRP1 in soybean seed coats (Lindstrom and Vodkin, 1991). Whereas the dominant l gene resulted in large amounts of PRP1 in seed coats, a mutant isogenic line with l/i genotype accumulated PRP1 to a much lower level. PRP2, a closely related protein that is synthesized later in the development of the seed coat, was not affected. The correlation between anthocyanin biosynthesis and the quantitative levels of a specific cell wall protein remains unexplained.

**Figure 1.** Cell-type-specific localization of cell wall proteins in the elongating part of young soybean hypocotyls. A cross-section of a 4-d-old hypocotyl is shown and the localization of five different cell wall proteins is indicated in the different tissues. Most cells contain at least one structural wall protein. Certain cell types, e.g. in the phloem and xylem, may contain more than one specific protein.
ular details of such a mechanism are not clear, but the analysis of interactions of GRP 1.8 with other cell wall components should provide some of the answers.

**PRPs AND RAPID CROSS-LINKING MECHANISMS**

Soybean PRP2 was localized in cortical cells and in the vascular tissue of hypocotyls and in inner integuments of the seed coat (Wyatt et al., 1992). The same protein was found to be rapidly insolubilized in the wall after elicitor treatment of soybean cell cultures (Bradley et al., 1992). This oxidative cross-linking into the wall structure was mediated by H₂O₂, initiated within 2 min, and completed after 10 min. The same cross-linking was observed in wounded bean hypocotyls close to the wound sites. Insolubilization of PRP2 also occurred during normal development; in mature regions of hypocotyls, PRP was insoluble but immunologically detectable in the wall.

In addition, in stem-petiole junctions that are subjected to mechanical stress, PRP was insolubilized, whereas the same protein was still soluble in internodes. The developmental and stress-controlled insolubilization of PRP2 demonstrates the involvement of cell wall proteins both in development and in defense. The observed temporal and spatial separation of PRP2 mRNA synthesis and the site of cross-linking of the protein indicates that control of cross-linking, in addition to transcriptional control, represents an important mechanism of regulation of cell wall properties by proteins.

**FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS AND CONCLUDING REMARKS**

Many GRPs/PRPs are known only as amino acid sequences derived from cloned genes. They contain amino-terminal signal peptides but have not yet been shown to be cell wall proteins. Thus, localization, ultimately at the ultrastructural level, is necessary to know which proteins are present in the wall of a specific cell type and to know the precise localization within these walls. Such information will be essential to formulate a working hypothesis about the function of cell wall proteins during development and stress. There is good evidence that wall proteins can strengthen a cell wall. The very rapid cross-linking (Bradley et al., 1992) and the highly specific expression of an extensin during lateral root development (Keller and Lamb, 1989) suggest a mechanical strengthening of cell walls by proteins. An additional role was suggested for PRP2 from soybean that is localized in the middle lamella and the intercellular spaces of the cortex (Marcus et al., 1991). It was suggested that this protein acts as "cement" these cells.

The synthesis and active function of cell wall proteins can be regulated both at the transcriptional and the cross-linking level. Transcriptional control can be very complex, as was recently described for the bean GRP 1.8 (Keller and Baumgartner, 1991). It is likely that wall proteins provide some functional properties to the wall that carbohydrates and polyphenolic polymers cannot. Elasticity and strength might be mediated by a GRP, similar to the GRPs that make up the strong but flexible spider silk (Lewis, 1992). In analogy to a major mammalian epidermal skin protein in the highly cor

ified, insoluble envelope (55% Gly, Mehrel et al., 1990), GRPs might be involved in sealing off some walls. Immunolabeling of modified primary walls for GRP antigen gave a very dense reaction (Ryser and Keller, 1992), suggesting that there is enough protein for such a function. Proteins might also act as scaffolds for the deposition of other molecules, and the defined spacing of Tyr residues would control cross-linking and the "pore-size" of the wall. In addition, the genetically defined and precise length of such molecules might be important in a network of other macromolecules with no precise mechanism for length determination.

Little is known about the protein secondary structure of GRPs and PRPs as well as about their interaction with other wall polymers. Biochemical studies as well as the fate of mutated cell wall proteins in the wall will hopefully reveal more details about such interactions. Structural information and a better knowledge of the physical properties of isolated GRPs and PRPs will contribute to a working hypothesis on the biological function of such proteins. Future studies on cell wall proteins will certainly lead to new and surprising concepts of cell wall structure and the role of structural proteins.
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