A Functional Calvin Cycle Is Not Indispensable for the Light Activation of C₄ Phosphoenolpyruvate Carboxylase Kinase and Its Target Enzyme in the Maize Mutant bundle sheath defective2-mutable1
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We used a pale-green maize (Zea mays L.) mutant that fails to accumulate ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase (Rubisco) to test the working hypothesis that the regulatory phosphorylation of C₄ phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC) by its Ca²⁺-inhibitory protein-serine/threonine kinase (PEPC kinase) in the C₄ mesophyll cytosol depends on cross-talk with a functional Calvin cycle in the bundle sheath. Wild-type (W22) and bundle sheath defective2-mutable1 (bsd2-m1) seeds were grown in a controlled environment chamber at 100 to 130 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ photosynthetic photon flux density, and leaf tissue was harvested 11 d after sowing, following exposure to various light intensities. Immunoblot analysis showed no major difference in the amount of polypeptide present for several mesophyll- and bundle-sheath-specific photosynthetic enzymes apart from Rubisco, which was either completely absent or very much reduced in the mutant. Similarly, leaf net CO₂-exchange analysis and in vitro radiometric Rubisco assays showed that no appreciable carbon fixation was occurring in the mutant. In contrast, the sensitivity of PEPC to malate inhibition in bsd2-m1 leaves decreased significantly with an increase in light intensity, and there was a concomitant increase in PEPC kinase activity, similar to that seen in wild-type leaf tissue. Thus, although bsd2-m1 mutant plants lack an operative Calvin cycle, light activation of PEPC kinase and its target enzyme are not grossly perturbed.

In illuminated C₄ leaf tissue, PEPC (EC 4.1.1.31) is primarily involved in photosynthetic carbon fixation. This cytosolic C₄ enzyme has been shown to be phosphorylated and thus up-regulated by a reversibly light-activated, Ca²⁺-independent protein-serine/threonine kinase (PEPC kinase). (For recent reviews on the regulation of plant PEPC by reversible protein phosphorylation, see Nimmo, 1993; Chollet et al., 1996; and Vidal and Chollet, 1997.) To determine how light causes increased PEPC kinase activity in C₄ leaf tissue, the effect of several chemical inhibitors of photosynthesis, such as dl-glyceraldehyde and DCMU, on the phosphorylation of PEPC was examined in detached sorghum and maize (Zea mays) leaves (Bakrim et al., 1992; Jiao and Chollet, 1992). The results implicated a functional Calvin cycle as part of the PEPC kinase light-signal transduction chain, and were the “prelude” to subsequent cellular studies involving isolated C₄ mesophyll protoplasts and cells.

Early experiments using isolated sorghum mesophyll protoplasts indicated that Ca²⁺ and alkaline conditions in the mesophyll cytosol were necessary for PEPC kinase activity to increase upon illumination (Pierre et al., 1992). Later work used isolated mesophyll cells and protoplasts from a different NADP-ME-type C₄ plant (Digitaria sanguinalis), and showed that some component of the Calvin cycle, likely 3-PGA, was also a necessary signaling element (Duff et al., 1996; Giglioli-Guivarc’h et al., 1996). These in situ observations are consistent with the whole-leaf studies summarized above (Bakrim et al., 1992; Jiao and Chollet, 1992).

The present working model for C₄ PEPC kinase up-regulation in the light was formulated mainly from these collective findings. It was proposed that 3-PGA (originating from the Calvin cycle in the bundle sheath) enters the mesophyll cytosol, where it is partially protonated to its dianionic (2⁻-) form, and then enters the mesophyll chloroplast by the Pi translocator in a light-dependent manner (Fig. 1). Protonation of 3-PGA in the mesophyll cell cytosol would cause an increase in cytosolic pH. This, combined with a subsequent increase in cytosolic [Ca²⁺] (presumed to come from the vacuole), would ultimately cause an increase in PEPC kinase protein synthesis and, thus, an increase in PEPC kinase activity (Giglioli-Guivarc’h et al., 1996; Vidal and Chollet, 1997). Results from another group using an in vitro translation technique to study apparent
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changes in PEPC kinase mRNA levels in whole maize leaves showed that the light-induced increase in PEPC kinase activity on a protein level was correlated with an increase in translatable PEPC kinase mRNA (Hartwell et al., 1996). These findings are also incorporated into the model.

Consistent with this working model, it is generally assumed that in C₄ leaf tissue there must be some form of cross-talk between the mesophyll and bundle-sheath cells to ensure efficient metabolic regulation during C₄ photosynthesis (Hatch, 1987; Nelson and Langdale, 1992). For this reason alone, experiments using whole-leaf tissue to elucidate the chain of events leading from illumination of a C₄ leaf to increased PEPC kinase activity are in many ways preferable to those involving isolated mesophyll cells or protoplasts, because no separation of the two photosynthetic cell types is involved. The bundle sheath defective2-mutable1 (bsd2-m1) mutation of maize disrupts C₄ differentiation in bundle-sheath cells, such that Rubisco protein is absent and the bundle-sheath chloroplast structure is aberrant (Roth et al., 1996). Despite the absence of a functional Calvin cycle, the mesophyll cells of bsd2-m1 mutant leaves appear no different from those of the wild type (Roth et al., 1996). In certain genetic backgrounds, bsd2-m1 is a uniformly pale-green mutant that is unable to fix atmospheric CO₂ and, thus, depends on its seed reserves until these are depleted and the seedling dies (within 15 d of planting) (Roth et al., 1996; R. Roth, S. Rolfe, L.H. Smith, J.A. Langdale, and R. Chollet, unpublished observations). We have used bsd2-m1 mutant seedlings in a noninvasive manner to further address the question of whether some component or derivative of the Calvin cycle is indispensable for the light activation of C₄ PEPC kinase activity in maize leaf tissue.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Chemicals**

All chemicals were from Sigma, unless stated otherwise. [γ-³²P]ATP (3000 Ci/mmol) and [¹⁴C]NaHCO₃ (58 Ci/mol) were purchased from Amersham. Polyclonal antibodies raised against maize (Zea mays) leaf NADP-ME and sorghum leaf NADP-MDH were kindly provided by Drs. S. Madhavan (University of Nebraska-Lincoln) and J. Vidal (Université de Paris-Sud, France), respectively.

**Plant Material and Growth Conditions**

bsd2-m1 mutant seed was obtained as described by Roth et al. (1996). The bsd2-m1 allele was isolated by W.F. Sheridan (University of North Dakota, Grand Forks) from a maize line containing active Mutator transposable elements. Sectored plants in which green tissue is present along with chlorotic mutant tissue are able to grow beyond the seedling stage and were used to cross and backcross bsd2-m1 into the inbred line W22. Heterozygous progeny of the second cross into W22 were self-pollinated, and the resultant progeny were used for the experiments described in this study. Only uniformly pale-green bsd2-m1 seedlings were used.
Seedlings were grown in soil in a growth chamber maintained at 28°C with a 16-h light (100–130 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ [400–700 nm])/8-h dark cycle. Third leaves were harvested 11 d after sowing just before the end of the dark period, 2 h into the normal low-light photoperiod, or after an additional 2 h under a more moderate light regime (400–450 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹). W22 seedlings (seeds obtained from the University of Wisconsin-Madison) were used as internal controls for the low-light growth conditions, and these were grown under conditions identical to those used for bsd2-m1 mutant plants. Additional external controls were also used in some experiments; these consisted of greenhouse-grown wild-type tissue (cv Golden Bantam) placed into the growth chamber at the start of the 8-h dark period before leaf harvest. All leaf tissue was harvested into liquid N₂ and stored at −70°C until used for extraction.

**Gas-Exchange Analysis**

Net CO₂-exchange analysis was performed with an IR gas analyzer (model 6200, Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE) under the low-light (approximately 100 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) and moderate-light (approximately 400 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) growth conditions for bsd2-m1 and W22 leaf tissue and under greenhouse-light conditions (approximately 1300 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) for the external, greenhouse-grown control only, according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**Preparation of Leaf Extracts for Enzyme Assays**

Leaf tissue was extracted according to the method of Jiao et al. (1991), with the following exceptions: samples were ground in liquid N₂ in a prechilled mortar to a fine powder, and were then transferred to a second prechilled mortar containing 3 volumes of the appropriate extraction buffer and mixed thoroughly. Buffer A (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 20% [v/v] glycerol, 10 mM MgCl₂, 14 mM 2-mercaptoethanol, and 1 mM EDTA) was used for preparation of PEPC kinase, Rubisco, and NADP-ME (extracted at 4°C). Buffer A, containing 1 μM MC-LR, an inhibitor of type 1/2A protein phosphatase, and 10 μg/mL chymostatin was used for extraction of PEPC (extracted at 4°C). Buffer A plus 2 mM pyruvate was used for extraction of PPDK (extracted at a cool room temperature of not less than 10°C). Buffer B (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, and 14 mM 2-mercaptoethanol) was used for preparation of NADP-ME (extracted at 4°C). The leaf homogenates were filtered through a 100-μm nylon mesh and microcentrifuged at 13,000g for 2 min. The crude supernatant fluid was immediately used to assay PPDK and NADP-MD activity or, after rapid desalting on a 200-μL Sephadex G-25 column preequilibrated with buffer C (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 10 mM MgCl₂, and 20% [v/v] glycerol), to assay PEPC (buffer C plus 1 μM MC-LR and 10 μg/mL chymostatin), PEPC kinase, Rubisco, and NADP-ME.

**Enzyme Assays**

PEPC, PEPC kinase, PPDK, and NADP-MD were assayed at 30°C according to the method of Jiao et al. (1991), with the following exceptions: (a) the various PEPC substrates used for PEPC kinase assays were purified maize leaf PEPC (dark form) and sorghum recombinant Ser-H, SST, S8Y, and SBD C₄ PEPCs, purified as described by Li et al. (1997); and (b) the Ca²⁺-independent protein-Ser/Thr kinase was assayed in the presence of 0.5 mM EGTA and 0.1 mM MC-LR. Dried SDS-PAGE minigels were analyzed by phosphorimaging (model Storm 860, Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA). NADP-ME was assayed spectrophotometrically according to the method of Ashton et al. (1990) at 340 nm and at 30°C.

RubBP-dependent fixation of 14C into acid-stable products by Rubisco was assayed as follows: 10 μL of desalted leaf extract was preincubated in a serum-stoppered vial for 10 min at 30°C in assay medium containing 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 10 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.5 mg mL⁻¹ BSA, and 25 mM [14C]NaHCO₃ (4 μCi), in a total volume of 500 μL. RuBP (0.5 mM) or buffer alone was then injected to start the reaction. The assays were terminated after 60 s at 30°C by the addition of 100 μL of acetic acid. The contents were dried at 80°C, and 500 μL of distilled water was added to each vial, along with 5 mL of a biodegradable scintillant. 14C incorporated into acid-stable products was determined as disintegrations per minute in a liquid-scintillation counter. Malate sensitivity of PEPC was assayed spectrophotometrically at 340 nm and at 30°C under suboptimal conditions (pH 7.3, 2.5 mM PEP) in the presence and absence of 0.5 mM L-malate (see Bakrim et al., 1992; Jiao and Chollet, 1992; Duff et al., 1996).

**Immunoblot Analysis**

Using desalted centrifuged leaf extracts prepared as for the PEPC assays, leaf soluble protein was electrophoresed on 10% (w/v) SDS-PAGE minigels (Laemmli, 1970) and blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes using a Mini-Protein II apparatus (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The blots were blocked for 1 h with Tris-Tween milk (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.2, 0.2% [v/v] Tween 20, and 5% [w/v] dried skim-milk powder) and then incubated with primary antibody for 1 h using a 1:1000 dilution of rabbit IgG raised against soybean root nodule PEPC (Zhang et al., 1995), maize leaf PPDK (Budde and Chollet, 1986), tobacco Rubisco (Rejda et al., 1981), and maize leaf NADP-ME, and a 1:500 dilution of rabbit IgG raised against sorghum leaf NADP-MDH. The blots were washed thoroughly with Tris-Tween (100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.2, and 0.2% [v/v] Tween 20) and incubated in an alkaline phosphatase-conjugated secondary antibody (a 1:3000 dilution of goat anti-rabbit IgG [H+L]; Bio-Rad) for 1 h. After thorough washing with Tris-Tween, proteins were detected with 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate/nitroblue tetrazolium reagent (SigmaFAST tablets).

**Miscellaneous Assays**

Soluble protein content was determined for all crude and desalted leaf extracts according to the method of Bradford (1976), using prepared dye reagent (Bio-Rad) and BSA as a standard. Total chlorophyll content was determined ac-
When net CO₂ uptake by bsd2-m1 leaves was determined under low light, a negative value resulted (Table I). Therefore, at the low light intensity at which the bsd2-m1 and W22 seedlings were grown, there was no net carbon fixation by the mutant. Under a more moderate light intensity, however, a small but positive value was obtained from the bsd2-m1 leaf tissue, amounting to less than 5% of the corresponding W22 control value. Whether this low apparent rate was a true indication of carbon fixation by Rubisco occurring in bsd2-m1 was determined by in vitro RuBP-dependent ¹⁴C assays performed with desalted extracts from the same leaves used for CO₂-exchange analysis. The results from these sensitive radiometric assays showed that the bsd2-m1 sample had no acid-stable ¹⁴C products and was not fixing carbon (Table II). As expected, extracts from W22 were able to fix carbon in a RuBP-dependent manner, with a rate of about 0.4 µmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ soluble leaf protein.

**C₄ Enzyme Activities**

Once it had been established that bsd2-m1 leaf tissue neither contained functional Rubisco nor fixed appreciable atmospheric carbon, even in leaves that showed trace amounts of Rubisco protein by western-blot analysis, other photosynthetic enzymes were assayed to determine whether their activity was also affected by the mutation. First, there were no major differences in apparent polypeptide contents when equal amounts of total soluble protein were analyzed by immunoblotting (Fig. 2). This was true not only for mesophyll cytosolic (PEPC) and chloroplastic (PPDK, NADP-MDH) enzymes, but also for NADP-ME, which, like Rubisco, is localized in bundle-sheath chloroplasts (Hatch, 1987). Second, there was no marked difference in enzyme activity (per milligram of soluble protein) at near-Vₘₐₓ assay conditions for PEPC (Table II), PPDK, NADP-MDH, and NADP-ME between control and mutant tissues (data not shown). In addition, for PPDK and NADP-MDH, which are both reversibly light-activated chloroplastic enzymes in C₄ plants (Hatch, 1987), there was no difference in activation state (light versus dark activity) between either of the controls and bsd2-m1 (light/dark activity ratios of about 8 and 15 for PPDK and NADP-MDH, respectively).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PPFD (µmol m⁻² s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>W22</th>
<th>bsd2-m1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>-0.04*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Negative value indicates net CO₂ evolution in the light.
Table II. Carboxylase activities and the change in sensitivity of PEPC to malate inhibition in W22 and bsd2-m1 leaves harvested after 8 h of darkness (D), after 2 h of low light (LL), and after a further 2 h in moderate light (ML)

Percentage inhibition by 0.5 mM l-malate was determined on a minimum of three leaves from two different batches of plants. SE values were no more than 15% for the carboxylase activities, and were as indicated for inhibition by l-malate. See the legend to Figure 2 for other details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant Material</th>
<th>Carboxylase Activity (LL)</th>
<th>Inhibition by l-Malate&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>LL</th>
<th>ML</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rubisco&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>PEPC&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W22</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>70 ± 8.3</td>
<td>49 ± 5.2</td>
<td>45 ± 5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bsd2-m1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>64 ± 9.1</td>
<td>48 ± 4.9</td>
<td>40 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> RuBP-dependent, CO₂/Mg<sup>2+</sup>-activated activity (pH 8.0, 25 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 0.5 mM RuBP).  
<sup>b</sup> Assayed at optimal conditions (pH 7.3 and 2.5 mM PEPC, with or without 0.5 mM l-malate).

Changes in the Sensitivity of PEPC to l-Malate Inhibition and in PEPC Kinase Activity in bsd2-m1

PEPC activity did not change appreciably under any of the three light regimes used in these experiments when assayed under suboptimal conditions (2.5 mM PEPC, pH 7.3; data not shown). However, the enzyme became significantly less sensitive to inhibition by l-malate with an increase in light intensity in both W22 and bsd2-m1 leaves (Table II). This light-induced decrease in sensitivity of PEPC to malate inhibition implies an increase in PEPC kinase activity and a concomitant increase in the phosphorylation state of the maize target enzyme at Ser-15 (Jiao et al., 1991; McNaughton et al., 1991; Bakrim et al., 1992; Jiao and Chollet, 1992; Chollet et al., 1996). To document this point, PEPC kinase assays were performed using desalted leaf extracts from all plant types exposed to the three light intensities. Figure 3A shows the results of a representative set of such in vitro kinase assays performed in the presence of EGTA and MC-LR. It is clear that in the dark there was essentially no PEPC kinase activity in the greenhouse-grown control leaf tissue or in W22, although some kinase activity was detected in bsd2-m1 leaves harvested in the dark. As the light intensity increased to approximately 130 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹, there was a slight increase in kinase activity for the greenhouse-grown control, but a much larger increase in the low-light-grown W22 leaf tissue. At the highest light intensity examined (approximately 400 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹), the largest increase in kinase activity for the greenhouse-grown control occurred, with a much smaller increase in kinase activity for W22. Notably, for extracts prepared from bsd2-m1 after darkness or after exposure to low or moderate light, there was an increase in kinase activity with increasing light intensity (Fig. 3A). On average, the PEPC kinase activity in darkened bsd2-m1 samples amounted to approximately 25% of that detected in moderate light, and the kinase activity in low-light samples was approximately 80% of that in moderate light, as determined by phosphorimager analysis. In comparison, the PEPC kinase activity in the W22 leaf samples ranged from <1% (darkness) to approximately 85% (low light) of that in moderate light. These trends are generally consistent with the related changes seen in the sensitivity of PEPC to malate inhibition (Table II).

To ensure that “authentic” PEPC kinase activity was being measured in these in vitro phosphorylation experiments, we repeated the kinase assays using desalted leaf extracts from low-light-exposed bsd2-m1 in the presence and absence of various sorghum recombinant C₄ PEPCs as substrate to document that the Ca<sup>2+</sup>-independent PEPC kinase activity we observed was specific for Ser/Thr residues at position 8. Figure 3B shows the results of a representative set of kinase assays using the different PEPC substrates. It is clear that the kinase activity from bsd2-m1 leaf tissue phosphorylates wild-type sorghum C₄ PEPC (Ser-8) and the recombinant S8T form to a similar extent.
but that it cannot phosphorylate the recombinant S8D or S8Y PEPCs. In these important respects the Ca\(^{2+}\)-insensitive PEPC kinase activity in bsd2-m1 behaves identically to the kinase activity from wild-type maize leaves (Li et al., 1997).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study we set out to examine the light activation of PEPC kinase and its target enzyme in the Rubisco-deficient maize mutant bsd2-m1 (Roth et al., 1996). Because bsd2-m1 leaf tissue is sensitive to high PPFD (Roth et al., 1996), the seedlings were grown under a low-light regime (approximately 130 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)). Wild-type W22 seedlings were grown under these same conditions to serve as an internal control. In certain genetic backgrounds, bsd2-m1 is a uniformly pale-green mutant with reduced contents of total soluble protein and chlorophyll compared with the wild type. However, when total soluble leaf protein was subjected to SDS-PAGE and analyzed by immunoblotting, the only major difference between W22 and bsd2-m1 extracts was in the amount of Rubisco protein (Fig. 2). This bundle-sheath-specific stromal enzyme was frequently undetectable in bsd2-m1 leaves (Roth et al., 1996; also see above), which likely accounts for much of the approximately 50% decrease in total soluble protein in the tissue.

In bsd2-m1 leaves both the large- and small-subunit transcripts of Rubisco are present (Roth et al., 1996) and translated, but the polypeptides are unstable in planta (R. Roth and J.A. Langdale, unpublished data). This would account for the lack of both net CO\(_2\) fixation by bsd2-m1 (Table I) and RuBP-dependent carbon fixation in extracts from bsd2-m1 leaf tissue (Table II), even when trace amounts of the subunit polypeptides were detected on an immunoblot (e.g. Fig. 2), generally only 25% of the time. In addition, the comparative enzyme protein and activity findings summarized in Figure 2, Table II, and above are consistent with previous observations on decreased Rubisco levels (approximately 5%–50% of wild type) in certain hcf mutants of maize (Edwards et al., 1988) and in a \(C_4\) Flaveria species transformed with an antisense RNA construct targeted to RbcS (Furbank et al., 1996). In all cases, the level of \(C_4\) pathway enzymes was not correlated with that of Rubisco, including another bundle-sheath stromal enzyme, NADP-ME.

Although bsd2-m1 lacks functional Rubisco and, therefore, an operative Calvin cycle, PEPC becomes less sensitive to malate inhibition with an increase in light intensity, and correspondingly there is an increase in Ca\(^{2+}\)-independent protein-Ser/Thr kinase activity (see Table II, Fig. 3, and comments above). These data are seemingly consistent with the current working hypothesis (Vidal and Chollet, 1997; Fig. 1) that light activation of \(C_4\) PEPC kinase involves metabolic cross-talk between the neighboring bundle-sheath and mesophyll cells because some component of the Calvin cycle (most likely 3-PGA) is necessary for alkalinization of the mesophyll cytosol, thereby initiating the chain of events resulting in up-regulation of the kinase and the concomitant phosphorylation of PEPC.

Use of bsd2-m1 mutant plants has allowed us to examine changes in PEPC kinase activity in planta after illumination in a seemingly “isolated” mesophyll tissue, without having to disrupt \(C_4\) leaf structure before the start of the experiment. However, our results may not necessarily indicate what actually occurs in normal \(C_4\) leaf tissue but, rather, may simply reflect the functional redundancy or flexibility seen in so many aspects of plant metabolism. For example, it is conceivable that in the mutant 3-PGA is provided by the mobilization of starch/Suc reserves during early seedling growth rather than directly from the Calvin cycle. A similar nonphotosynthetic origin of this presumed signaling element may also possibly occur in darkened leaves performing starch degradation/malate accumulation during CAM and in legume root nodules for the up-regulation of PEPC kinase activity (see Carter et al., 1991; Hartwell et al., 1996; Zhang and Chollet, 1997). Indeed, there is a precedent for such an involvement of reserve materials in providing signaling metabolites during the light activation of maize leaf Suc-P synthase under nonphotosynthetic conditions in an \(N_2\) atmosphere (Huber et al., 1987).

One other notable finding has come from this study. Previous experiments showed that light activation of PEPC in sorghum leaf tissue required a minimum light intensity of approximately 350 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (Bakrim et al., 1992). In our study, we had to grow bsd2-m1 plants under low light to prevent photooxidative damage of leaf tissue. When illuminated at approximately 130 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\), PEPC kinase activity increased in both bsd2-m1 and similarly grown W22 plants (see Fig. 3A and remarks above). Therefore, under a low-light growth regime, the sensitivity of PEPC to malate inhibition (Table II) and PEPC kinase activity (Fig. 3A) decreases and increases, respectively, as light intensity increases from darkness to this low PPFD. In contrast, the light activation of PEPC kinase in the greenhouse-grown control seedlings was most evident at the more moderate light intensity of approximately 400 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 3A), in agreement with the results of Bakrim et al. (1992). This brings yet another factor into the problem of elucidating the regulation of PEPC kinase activity in \(C_4\) (and probably \(C_3\); Li et al., 1996) leaves: the relative light intensity during growth and kinase activation.

Additional experiments will need to be conducted with bsd2-m1 to determine whether alkalinization of the mesophyll cytosol and/or increases in [3-PGA] indeed occur in the light, thus verifying two basic tenets of the model depicted in Figure 1. Although related in situ experiments have been performed with isolated \(C_4\) leaf mesophyll protoplasts and cells (Pierre et al., 1992; Duff et al., 1996; Giglioli-Guivarc’h et al., 1996), these should be repeated using bsd2-m1 cellular preparations to determine how the mutant is able to up-regulate PEPC kinase and its target enzyme in the light in the absence of a metabolite signal originating directly from the Calvin cycle. It would also be worthwhile to further investigate how the up-/down-regulation of PEPC kinase and, thus, PEPC in \(C_4\) and \(C_3\) leaves varies under different light regimes used during growth.
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Audrey Carl and, most especially, Shirley Condon for their excellent technical assistance, and Drs. S. Madhavan and J. Vidal for their generous gift of antibodies.

Received April 6, 1998; accepted June 8, 1998.

Copyright Clearance Center: 0032–0889/98/118/0191/07.

LITERATURE CITED


Li B, Zhang XQ, Chollet R (1996) Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase kinase in tobacco leaves is activated by light in a similar but not identical way as in maize. Plant Physiol 111: 497–505


