Purification and Characterization of the Preprotein Translocase of the Outer Mitochondrial Membrane from Arabidopsis. Identification of Multiple Forms of TOM20
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The translocase of the outer mitochondrial membrane (TOM) complex is a preprotein translocase that mediates transport of nuclear-encoded mitochondrial proteins across the outer mitochondrial membrane. Here we report the purification of this protein complex from Arabidopsis. On blue-native gels the Arabidopsis TOM complex runs at 230 kD and can be dissected into subunits of 34, 23, 21, 8, 7, and 6 kD. The identity of four subunits could be determined by immunoblotting and/or direct protein sequencing. The 21- and the 23-kD subunits exhibit significant sequence homology to the TOM20 preprotein receptor from other organisms. Analysis by two-dimensional isoelectric focusing/Tricine sodium dodecyl sulfide-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis revealed the presence of further forms for Arabidopsis TOM20. All TOM20 proteins comprise a large cytoplasmically exposed hydrophilic domain, which is degraded upon trypsination of intact mitochondria. Clones encoding four different forms of Arabidopsis TOM20 were identified and sequenced. The deduced amino acid sequences are rather conserved in the N-terminal half and in the very C-terminal part, but include a highly variable glycine-rich region close to the C terminus. Implications on the function of plant TOM complexes are discussed. Based on peptide and nucleic acid sequence data, the primary structure for Arabidopsis TOM40 is presented.
Mori and Terada, 1998): TOM20, metaxin I and II, and TOM34. Mammalian TOM20 is a preprotein receptor and can functionally replace TOM20 from yeast (Goping et al., 1995). The two metaxins exhibit some sequence similarity to TOM37 from yeast and were also shown to play a role in preprotein recognition at the mitochondrial surface (Armstrong et al., 1997, 1999). Furthermore, TOM34 was reported to represent a functionally important component of the translocation machinery of the outer mitochondrial membrane from mammals (Nuttall et al., 1997; Chewawiwat et al., 1999). Very recently, mammalian counterparts of TOM22 and TOM40 were identified and functionally characterized (Saeki et al., 2000; Suzuki et al., 2000; Yano et al., 2000). On blue-native (BN) gels both subunits form part of a 400-kD protein complex that also includes TOM20 and several other unidentified proteins with molecular masses of 5 to 10 kD (Suzuki et al., 2000). Hence, the mammalian TOM complex seems to have a similar subunit composition as the TOM complex from fungi.

A plant TOM complex was purified from potato tuber (Jänsch et al., 1998a, 1998b; Braun and Schmitz, 1999). The protein complex is comparatively small and only comprises six subunits of 36, 23, 9, 8, 7, and 6 kD. An additional subunit of about 70 kD possibly represents one of the small potato TOM proteins seems to be absent and functionally characterized (Saeki et al., 2000; Suzuki et al., 2000; Yano et al., 2000). On blue-native (BN) gels both subunits form part of a 400-kD protein complex. The 36-kD protein could be classified as a TOM40 homolog and most likely constitutes the pore of the TOM complex from plant mitochondria. The four small TOM components are tightly linked to TOM40 and seem to be counterparts of yeast TOM5, TOM6, and TOM7 (the 7-kD protein from potato has significant sequence homology to TOM7 from yeast). In contrast, the 23-kD protein interacts dynamically with the potato TOM complex. The protein was designated TOM20 because it exhibits some sequence homology to TOM20 from other organisms and because it contains a tetratricopeptide motif described for some preprotein receptors from other organisms (Heins and Schmitz, 1996). However, sequence homology is very low and the plant protein also seems to be anchored to the outer mitochondrial membrane differently than TOM20 proteins from mammals and fungi. The role of potato TOM20 in mitochondrial preprotein recognition was demonstrated by the inhibition of in vitro protein import into potato mitochondria by antibodies directed against this protein (Heins and Schmitz, 1996). Very recently the 9-kD subunit of the potato TOM complex was suggested to be a counterpart of fungal TOM22 based on sequence similarity (Macasev et al., 2000). The putative plant TOM22 homolog is comparatively small and lacks the acidic domain that is involved in preprotein recognition on the cytoplasmically exposed side of the outer mitochondrial membrane from fungi. In summary, the potato TOM complex seems to have a different structure than the corresponding protein complex from other organisms. Most notably it possibly contains only one receptor for preproteins on the cytoplasmic side of the outer mitochondrial membrane. No counterparts for TOM37 and TOM70 could be identified.

In an attempt to verify these results and to further explore the structure of the plant preprotein TOM we purified the TOM complex from Arabidopsis. The protein complex has a similar subunit composition like the TOM complex from potato as monitored by two-dimensional PAGE. However, besides the 23-kD band an additional band at 21 kD could be resolved on our gels. Two-dimensional isoelectric focusing (IEF)/Tricine SDS-PAGE further separated the two protein bands into four to six spots, which all cross-reacted with an antibody directed against TOM20 from potato. Direct protein sequencing and characterization of cDNA clones verified the existence of at least four TOM20 forms in Arabidopsis. They all are susceptible toward trypsin treatment of intact mitochondria and represent candidates for receptors with distinct substrate specificity.

RESULTS

The preparation of pure mitochondria from green Arabidopsis tissue proved to be a very difficult task. Therefore, dark-grown cell lines were cultivated as a starting material for organelle preparations. Following the protocol given in “Materials and Methods,” 1 g of Arabidopsis mitochondria can be obtained from 700 g of Arabidopsis cells.

The TOM complex is considered to be a rather dynamic structure with receptors reversibly binding to the components directly involved in pore formation. Hence, biochemical preparations of the TOM complex should be carried out under very gentle conditions. Our isolation protocol is based on the preparation of outer mitochondrial membranes by a combination of two Suc gradient centrifugations and solubilization of the membrane proteins with digitonin. The Arabidopsis TOM complex is subsequently separated from other proteins of the outer mitochondrial membrane by blue-native (BN)-PAGE. The complex forms a band at 230 kD and can be electrophoresed in intact form (data not shown). If the BN gel electrophoresis is combined with a second gel electrophoresis, which is carried out under denaturing conditions, the subunits of the TOM complex are separated and form a vertical row (Fig. 1). Six dominant protein bands are resolved with apparent molecular masses of 34, 23, 21, 8, 7, and 6 kD. In addition, a faint band at about 50 kD is visible in the same row on the gels. The composition of the Arabidopsis TOM complex resembles the one reported for potato (Jänsch et al., 1998a) with two exceptions: one of the small potato TOM proteins seems to be absent in Arabidopsis and one extra subunit is present in the 20 kD range.

To identify the subunits of the Arabidopsis TOM complex the two-dimensional gels were blotted and...
immunostained. An antibody directed against TOM20 from potato specifically reacted with the 23-kD subunit from Arabidopsis, but also showed some cross reaction with the band at 21 kD (Fig. 2). Hence, the 21-kD protein possibly represents a second form of TOM20. To further investigate the identity of the 21- and 23-kD bands, both proteins were subjected to direct protein sequencing, but proved to be N-terminally blocked for cyclic Edman degradation. Therefore, peptides for both proteins were generated, separated by HPLC, and sequenced (Fig. 3). Comparison of the sequences of four peptides of the 23-kD band and of one peptide of the 21-kD band with TOM20 from potato revealed significant sequence homologies. Partial sequencing of the 36- and 7-kD bands allowed the identification of these proteins as counterparts of TOM40 and TOM7 from other organisms. The identity of the other proteins is so far unclear.

In an attempt to identify clones encoding TOM proteins in Arabidopsis the complete TOM20 sequence of potato was used to probe Arabidopsis DNA databases. More than 15 sequence entries with significant homologies were found and carefully analyzed. On the basis of the nearly completed genomic sequence of Arabidopsis, four different regions were found to encode proteins highly similar to potato TOM20 (Table I). Three of these genes completely match entries in Arabidopsis expressed sequence tag (EST) databases and hence are expressed. EST clones encoding the three different TOM20 proteins were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center and completely sequenced on both strands (Table I). All clones encode complete open reading frames, 3’-non-coding regions including poly(A) tails, and 5’-non-coding regions containing stop codons in frame with the coding sequence. The deduced amino acid sequences were termed AT-TOM20–1, AT-TOM20–2, and AT-TOM20–3 (Table I). A fourth AT-TOM20 protein (AT-TOM20–4) can be predicted from a genomic clone, but corresponding ESTs are absent in the Arabidopsis EST databases.

Sequence comparisons between the four TOM20 forms revealed sequence identities between 35% and 60% (Fig. 4); sequence identities between the Arabidopsis proteins and potato TOM20 are in the same range. The N-terminal half of the proteins and the very C-terminal part are highly conserved, whereas a region close to the C terminus is variable and rich in Gly residues. All proteins are predicted to have a large hydrophilic domain and a membrane anchor at the C terminus (Fig. 5). The amino acid sequences determined for the 21- and 23-kD proteins of the Arabidopsis TOM complex completely match sequence stretches of the proteins deduced from the DNA sequences (Fig. 4): three peptides of the 23-kD band correspond to AT-TOM20–2 (calculated molecular mass of 23.2 kD), one peptide of the 23-kD band...
corresponds to AtTOM20–3 (calculated molecular mass of 22.6 kD), and the peptide of the 21-kD band corresponds to AtTOM20–4 (calculated molecular mass of 21.0 kD). Hence, the gene encoding AtTOM20–4 is also expressed. No peptide sequence matches TOM20–1, which has a calculated molecular mass of 21.3 kD.

To investigate the number of different TOM20 proteins present in our TOM complex preparations the proteins of a fraction containing outer mitochondrial membranes from Arabidopsis were separated by two-dimensional IEF/Tricine SDS-PAGE and blotted onto filter membranes (Fig. 6). Immunostaining of the blots with the TOM20 antibody directed against

Table I. Clones encoding TOM20 forms of Arabidopsis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOM20-1a</th>
<th>TOM20-2a</th>
<th>TOM20-3a</th>
<th>TOM20-4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expressed Sequence Tag (EST)</td>
<td>T45288</td>
<td>T44475</td>
<td>R86802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accession no.</td>
<td>AJ296023</td>
<td>AJ296024</td>
<td>AJ296025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other ESTs with identical sequence</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Z26778; Z26777</td>
<td>R90391; AI995262;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genomic clones</td>
<td>AB026649 (chromosome 3)</td>
<td>AC004557 (chromosome 1)</td>
<td>AB026649 (chromosome 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of the sequenced clone</td>
<td>828 bp</td>
<td>1,018 bp</td>
<td>&gt;782 bp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Open reading frame of the sequenced clone | 564 bp | 630 bp | 606 bp | 561 bp
| 5′ Non-coding region of the sequenced clone | 70 bp including in frame stop | 62 bp including in frame stop | 28 bp including in frame stop | – |
| 3′ Non-coding region of the sequenced clone (including PolyA) | 194 bp | 326 bp | 148 bp | – |
| Poly(A) | 20 bp | 16 bp | >15 bp | – |

*The complete nucleotide sequences of clones encoding TOM20-1, TOM20-2, and TOM20-3 were submitted to sequence databanks and are available under the accession nos. AJ296023, AJ296024, and AJ296025. The partial amino acid sequence of TOM20-4 is available under P82805.  

b As predicted by “GeneBuilder.”
TOM20 from potato revealed three dominant and three faint protein spots with pI between 4.9 and 5.7 (the calculated pI for the Arabidopsis TOM20 proteins predicted from DNA lie between 4.9 and 5.8 and are given in Table II). Hence, the number of TOM20 forms in Arabidopsis might be higher than four. In an alternate manner, two of the four identified TOM20 forms are partially post-translationally modified, giving rise to the occurrence of additional spots on the two-dimensional gels.

Protease susceptibility of the components of the TOM complex from Arabidopsis was investigated by trypsination of intact Arabidopsis mitochondria prior to the preparation of the outer mitochondrial membranes (Fig. 7). On BN gels the TOM complex shifts to a size of less than 200 kD. Arabidopsis TOM40 (34 kD) and possibly also the 6-kD protein resist the protease treatment. In contrast, all TOM20 forms and also TOM7 and the 8-kD subunit are effectively degraded by the trypsination of the mitochondria and therefore are assumed to contain cytoplasmic domains.

**DISCUSSION**

Dark-grown Arabidopsis cell cultures proved to be a suitable starting material for the isolation of mitochondria and the subsequent purification of mitochondrial enzymes. This is the first report of a biochemical characterization of a component of the mitochondrial protein import apparatus from Arabidopsis. The purified Arabidopsis TOM complex has a similar molecular mass as the corresponding complex from potato and runs at 230 kD on BN gels. In contrast, the TOM complex from yeast prepared by BN gel electrophoresis runs at 400 kD (Dekker et al., 1996, 1998). Thus, the plant TOM complex seems to have a simpler structure and possibly comprises only one type of receptor, TOM20. Of the six resolved protein bands of the Arabidopsis TOM complex, four proteins could be identified by immunostaining and/or direct protein sequencing; the 34-kD protein corresponds to TOM40 from other organisms, the 7-kD protein is homolog to TOM7, and the 23- and 21-kD proteins resemble TOM20. No data could be generated to identify the 8- and 6-kD proteins up to now. Possibly the 8-kD protein represents a TOM22 homolog that has a comparatively low molecular mass due to the absence of the cytoplasmically exposed domain for preprotein recognition as proposed by Macasev et al. (2000). In addition, a protein of about 50 kD possibly represents another subunit of the Arabidopsis TOM complex because it is resolved in the same vertical row on BN gels as the other TOM subunits. However, if this protein forms part of the TOM complex it most likely is present in substoichiometric amounts because it is weakly stained on our gels (Fig. 1).

Sequence identity between potato TOM20 and the four TOM20 forms from Arabidopsis lies in the range of 50%. As reflected by the tree in Figure 8, TOM20–4 is most similar to potato and tomato TOM20, TOM20–1 and TOM20–3 from Arabidopsis are closely related proteins and are positioned on one branch, and TOM20–2, which contains 10 successive Gly residues in the variable region close to the C terminus, is the least related. The sequence identity between TOM20 from plants and TOM20 from mammals and fungi is very low (about 20%) and at the borderline of significance (Heins and Schmitz, 1996, Jaensch et al., 1998b). The plant TOM20s contain a tetratricopeptide motif reported for TOM20 proteins from other organisms, but they lack an N-terminal membrane anchor sequence. Instead, they are predicted to be anchored by their C-termini. Therefore, it
remains an open question whether the plant TOM20s on one side and the fungal and mammalian TOM20s on the other side are truly related and descendants of the same protein, or if they represent different proteins that became similar due to convergence.

It is interesting that TOM20 from Arabidopsis is present in four to six different forms. In contrast, the TOM components of yeast are present only in one form with the exception of TOM70 and TOM72, which exhibit 50% sequence identity (Bömer et al., 1996; Schlossmann et al., 1996). Also for N. crassa there were no reports on TOM components occurring in more than one form. However, the genome sequencing projects for mammals and plants already uncovered that many if not most proteins of higher eukaryotes are encoded by several related genes. The complete sequencing of the chromosomes 2 and 4 of Arabidopsis revealed large duplicated regions and also a high number of genes arranged in tandem repeats (Lin et al., 1999; Mayer et al., 1999). The physiological importance of the presence of different forms of proteins is an interesting field of study. In several cases tissue-specific, developmental, or physiological stage specific expression of related genes was reported (Gazzarrini et al., 1999; Genger et al., 1999; Lemoine et al., 1999; Torki et al., 1999). The regulation of the genes encoding TOM20 proteins in Arabidopsis remains to be investigated. At least three of the TOM20 genes are expressed in dark-grown tissue cultures from Arabidopsis. The sequences of the different Arabidopsis TOM20 forms are less similar than usually reported for isoforms, possibly reflecting different functions of the proteins. Especially the highly variable Gly-rich region of the Arabidopsis TOM20s could determine differential substrate specificity. Hence, plant mitochondria would basically contain one type of preprotein receptor, which is present in multiple forms that recognize different sets of preproteins. An interesting question is whether different forms of TOM20 can occur simultaneously in individual protein complexes.

The genome sequencing project and the EST sequencing projects proved to be a very fruitful background for the investigation of the Arabidopsis TOM subunits. The four TOM20 forms are encoded by chromosome 1 (AtTOM20–1 and AtTOM20–3), chromosome 3 (AtTOM20–2), and chromosome 5 (AtTOM20–4). The genes encoding TOM20–1 and TOM20–3, which are comparatively similar, are arranged as a tandem repeat. Furthermore, a region encoding an incomplete form of TOM20 is present on chromosome 5 and possibly represents a pseudogene. The presence of pseudogenes for TOM20 was also reported for humans (Hernández et al., 1999a, 1999b). The TOM20 forms of Arabidopsis are encoded by six exons, respectively, which have very conserved exon/intron boundaries.

Very recently a large number of Arabidopsis ESTs were published that represent new sequences
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**Figure 5.** Comparison of hydrophobicity profiles of the four TOM20 proteins from Arabidopsis (At) and TOM20 from potato (St). The profiles were calculated according to Kyte and Doolittle (1982) using a window of 11 amino acids. The numbers at the x axis refer to the amino acid positions and the numbers on the y axis refer to hydrophobicity.
The peptide sequences of Arabidopsis TOM40 exhibit 100% identity to the amino acid sequences deduced by some of the novel ESTs and to a putative protein sequence encoded by a genomic clone of Arabidopsis chromosome 1 (P1 clone MZE19). Arabidopsis TOM40 comprises 309 amino acids, has a calculated molecular mass of 34 kD, and exhibits between 25% and 28% sequence identity to TOM40 from fungi and mammals (Fig. 9).

Taking all data together, protein transport into mitochondria seems to be a rather conserved mechanism between animals, plants, and fungi. Mitochondrial presequences always have a similar amino acid composition and many components of the protein import machineries are likewise present in all organisms investigated. However, there are some exceptions. The mitochondrial processing peptidase that cleaves off mitochondrial presequences of preproteins after their import has been completed forms part of the cytochrome c reductase complex in plants, but not in mammals or fungi (Braun et al., 1992; Braun and Schmitz, 1995). Animal mitochondria have a preprotein receptor, TOM34, which is not related to any of the well-characterized fungal receptors (Nuttall et al., 1997; Chewawiwat et al., 1999). The TOM complex of plant mitochondria seems to have a simpler structure and possibly only contains one type of receptor that occurs in multiple forms. An investigation of the expression and function of the TOM20 forms of Arabidopsis is under way in our laboratory.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cultivation of Arabidopsis Cell Lines**

Arabidopsis cell lines were cultivated in the dark at 24°C to 26°C, 30% humidity, and gentle shaking (90 rpm). The cultivation medium contained 3% (w/v) Suc, Murashige and Skoog basal salt mixture (Sigma, Germany), nicotinic acid (0.5 mg L\(^{-1}\)), pyridoxol-HCl (0.5 mg L\(^{-1}\)), thiamine-HCl (100 μg L\(^{-1}\)), myo-inositol (100 mg L\(^{-1}\)), 2,4-dichlorphenoxyacetic acid (1 mg L\(^{-1}\)), and ampicilline (100 mg L\(^{-1}\)), pH 5.7. Inoculation of 50 mL of medium in a 300-mL Erlenmeyer vessel with 1 g Arabidopsis cells yielded about 8 g of cells after 7 d of cultivation.

**Preparation of Mitochondria**

About 700 g Arabidopsis cells were filtered through two layers of muslin and suspended in 1,400 mL of ice-cold “grinding buffer” (450 mM Suc, 1.5 mM EGTA, 0.2%...
[w/v] bovine serum albumin [BSA], 0.6% [w/v] polyvinylpyrrolidone 40, 10 mM dithiothreitol [DTT], 0.2 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride [PMSF], and 15 mM MOPS [3-(N-morpholino)-propanesulfonic acid]/KOH, pH 7.4).

The cells were disrupted by homogenizing for three periods of 15 s using a Waring blender. Mitochondria were enriched by a three-step centrifugation: two centrifugations at 3,000×g for 5 min (organelles in supernatant) and one centrifugation at 17,000×g for 10 min (organelles in pellet). The mitochondrial fraction was resuspended in "washing buffer" (300 mM Suc, 1 mM EGTA, 0.2 mM PMSF, and 10 mM MOPS/KOH, pH 7.2) and layered on top of three-step Percoll gradients (six gradients of 30 mL each containing 10 mL of 18% [v/v], 10 mL of 23% [v/v], and 10 mL of 40% [v/v] Percoll in 0.3 M Suc and 10 mM MOPS/KOH, pH 7.2). After centrifugation for 45 min at 70,000×g the mitochondria can be isolated from the 23%/40% interphase. To remove the Percoll the purified mitochondria were centrifuged twice in "resuspension buffer" (0.4 M mannitol, 1 mM EGTA, 0.2 mM PMSF, and 10 mM Tricine/KOH, pH 7.2) for 10 min at 12,000×g. The yield of a typical preparation lies at 1.0 g of mitochondria (about 100 mg of mitochondrial protein) per 700 g of Arabidopsis cells.

---

Figure 7. Trypsination of intact Arabidopsis mitochondria causes degradation of TOM20. Outer mitochondrial membranes were prepared from trypsinated mitochondria (+ Trypsin) and from untreated mitochondria (− Trypsin). The TOM complex of both preparations was resolved by two-dimensional BN/Tricine SDS-PAGE and silver stained. Schemes of the gels are given beside the gels. TOM subunits are marked in black.

Figure 8. Phylogram of the four TOM20 proteins from Arabidopsis and TOM20 from potato and tomato. An alignment was calculated with ClustalW. The phylogenetic analysis was performed using the programs Seqboot, Protdist, Neighbor, Consensus, and Drawgramm of the Phylip program package. The scale bar in the left bottom corner indicates a bootstrap value of 10. The TOM20 sequence from tomato was deduced from the EST clone AI486270.
Purification of the TOM Complex from Arabidopsis

As a first step to enrich the TOM complex the outer mitochondrial membranes are prepared from freshly prepared organelles. One gram of mitochondria were resuspended in 6 mL of “swelling buffer” (2 mM PMSF and 5 mM K2PO4, pH 7.2) for 6 min on ice. Another 12 mL of swelling buffer was added and after 4 min the mitochondria were ruptured in a Potter homogenizer. Outer membrane vesicles were separated from mitoplasts and unbroken mitochondria by centrifugation through Suc step gradients (six gradients of 6.5 mL each containing 1 mL of 60% [w/v], 4 mL of 32% [w/v], and 1.5 mL of 15% [w/v] Suc in 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF, and 10 mM MOPS/KOH, pH 7.2).

After centrifugation at 2°C for 1 hr at 92,000 g, outer membranes can be collected from the 15%/30% interphases and were adjusted to 50% (w/v) Suc. Another Suc step gradient was formed by layering a two-step Suc gradient on top of the outer membrane suspension (three gradients of 11.5 mL each containing 5 mL of outer membrane suspension [50% (w/v) Suc], 5 mL of 32%, and 1.5 mL of 0% [w/v] Suc in 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF, and 10 mM MOPS/KOH, pH 7.2). Outer membranes were made to float through this gradient at 2°C for 5 hr at 170,000 g and were removed from the 0%/32% interphase. The fraction was diluted 1:4 with a “dilution buffer” (1 mM EDTA, 1 mM PMSF, and 10 mM MOPS/KOH, pH 7.2) and pelleted by centrifugation at 50,000 g at 2°C for 20 min and the supernatant is supplemented with 15 μL of Coomassie Blue solution (5% [w/v] Coomassie Blue and 750 mM aminocaproic acid). The suspension can be loaded directly into the pockets of a BN gel. BN gel electrophoresis is carried out as described in Jänsch et al. (1996). The Arabidopsis TOM complex forms a faint band at about 230 kD, which is visible without staining. The band was cut out and the protein complex was electroeluted in an “electroelution buffer” (25 mM Tricine, 7.5 mM Bis-Tris, pH 7.0, and 0.1 mM PMSF) using the electroeluter from CBS Scientific (Del Mar, CA). The yield of a typical preparation lies at 50 μg of TOM complex/0.5 mg of outer mitochondrial membrane protein.

Figure 9. Sequence comparisons between TOM40 from different organisms. TOM40y, yeast TOM40 (S12773); TOM40At, Arabidopsis TOM40 (Q9LHE5); and TOM40h, human TOM40 (AAC82343).

Two-Dimensional PAGE

To analyze the subunit composition of the TOM complex from Arabidopsis two different two-dimensional gel electrophoresis systems were used, which are based on BN-PAGE or IEF in the first dimension and on Tricine SDS-PAGE in the second gel dimension. A protocol for BN-PAGE is given in Jänsch et al. (1996). The Arabidopsis TOM complex forms a faint band at about 230 kD, which is visible without staining. The band was cut out and the protein complex was electroeluted in an “electroelution buffer” (25 mM Tricine, 7.5 mM Bis-Tris, pH 7.0, and 0.1 mM PMSF) using the electroeluter from CBS Scientific (Del Mar, CA). The yield of a typical preparation lies at 50 μg of TOM complex/0.5 mg of outer mitochondrial membrane protein.
Protocol for Tricine SDS-PAGE as a second gel dimension for BN gels is given in Schägger et al., 1994.

IEF was carried out using Immobiline DryStrip gels (18 cm) with non-linear pH gradients (pH 3–10) and the IPIphor isoelectric focusing system (Amersham Pharma-
cia Biotech, Sweden). One hundred micrograms of outer mitochondrial membrane protein were resuspended in 10 µL of “lysis solution” (8 M urea, 4% [w/v] Trition X-100, 40 mM Tris base, 50 mM DTT, and 0.1 mM PMSF), incubated for 1 h, and subsequently supplemented with 340 µL of DryStrip “rehydration solution” (8 M urea, 2% [w/v] Trition X-100, 0.5% [w/v] immobilized pH gradient buffer, a trace of bromphenol blue, and 20 mM DTT) according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Berkelman and Stenstedt, 1998). The solution was directly applied onto a dry gel strip, rehydration took place at 30 V for 12 h and focusing in four steps took place at 500 V (1 h), 1,000 V (1 h), 1,000 to 8,000 V (4 h), and 8,000 V (6 h). Gelstrips were incubated with “equilibration buffer” (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.8, 6 M urea, 30% [v/v] glycerol, 2% [w/v] SDS, 66 mM DTT, and a trace of bromphenol blue) and transferred horizontally onto a Tricine-SDS polyacrylamide gel as described by Berkelman and Stenstedt (1998). Tricine SDS-
PAGE was carried out as outlined by Schägger et al. (1994).

Two-dimensional gels were silver stained (Heukeshoven and Dernick, 1986) or blotted onto filter membranes (see below).

Identification of Proteins by Immunoblotting and Direct Amino Acid Sequencing

Blotting of gels was carried out using the TransBlot cell from Bio-Rad (Germany). For immunostaining, gels were blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes in “transfer buffer I” (20 mM Tris base, 20% [v/v] methanol, and 150 mM Gly) for 6 h at 200 mA. Blots were incubated with antibodies raised against TOM20 from potato (dilution: 1:1,000) overnight and staining of immunopositive bands was carried out using the Vectastain ABC-Kit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For direct protein sequencing, gels were blotted onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes in “transfer buffer II” (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.8, 0.04% [w/v] SDS, 1 mM DTT, and 20% [v/v] methanol) for 18 h at 300 mA. Proteins were stained with Ponceau S, cut out, and directly subjected to Edman degradation or digested overnight with endoproteinase Lys C (Boehringer, Germany) to generate peptides. The separation of peptides and the direct amino acid se-
quence determination was described previously (Braun et al., 1994).

Trypsination of Intact Mitochondria

To obtain topological information on the TOM subunits, freshly prepared Arabidopsis mitochondria were incub-
ated with Trypsin for 20 min at 20°C. Trypsin concentration was 0.25 mg/mg of mitochondrial protein. The reaction was stopped by adding Trypsin inhibitor (10 mg/mg of Trypsin). The outer mitochondrial membrane of the trypsinated organelles and of untreated organelles (control) was subsequently prepared as outlined above and the TOM complex was visualized by two-dimensional BN-
PAGE/Tricine SDS-PAGE.

DNA Analysis

TOM20 from potato (X92491) was used to probe the Arabidopsis database at http://www.Arabidopsis.org/
search.html. Several ESTs encoding parts of putative TOM20 homologs could be identified. The ESTs T44475, R86802, and T45288 were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (http://aims.cps.msu.edu/
aims) and were completely sequenced on both strands. Nucleic acid sequences and deduced amino acid sequences were analyzed by programs available on the Internet (cal-
culation of molecular weights and pI: Compute pl/Mw tool at http://www.expasy.ch/tools/pitool.html; align-
ments and phylogenetic trees: ClustalW at http://
www2.ebi.ac.uk/clustalw; and exon and intron prediction: GeneBuilder at http://www.itba.mi.cnr.it/webgene). Hy-
drophobicity profiles were calculated using the DNA strider software package.
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