Nitric Oxide Is a Novel Component of Abscisic Acid Signaling in Stomatal Guard Cells
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Stomatal closure in response to the hormone abscisic acid (ABA) is mediated by a complex signaling network involving both calcium-dependent and calcium-independent pathways (Assmann and Shimazaki, 1999; Webb et al., 2001), activated by several signaling intermediates (Schroeder et al., 2001) that include hydrogen peroxide (Miao et al., 2000; Pei et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2001) and lipids such as sphingosine-1-phosphate (Ng et al., 2001). Here, we provide evidence that nitric oxide (NO) is also a signaling component of ABA-induced stomatal closure. Our data show that NO synthesis is required for ABA-induced closure and that ABA enhances NO synthesis in guard cells. Exogenous NO induces stomatal closure, and ABA and NO-induced closure require the synthesis and action of cGMP and cyclic ADP Rib (cADPR).

ABA-INDUCED STOMATAL CLOSURE REQUIRES NO SYNTHESIS

NO is a key signaling molecule in plants, mediating responses to various abiotic and biotic stresses (Delledonne et al., 1998; Durner et al., 1998; Clarke et al., 2000; Beligni and Lamattina, 2001). The recent reports that treatment with a fungal elicitor induced the rapid synthesis of NO in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) epidermal cells (Foissner et al., 2000) prompted us to determine any involvement of NO in ABA-regulated stomatal movements. Epidermal peels from pea (Pisum sativum) were incubated in ABA in the presence of 2-phenyl-4,4,5,5-tetramethylimidazoline-1-oxide-3-oxide (PTIO), a speciﬁc NO scavenger previously shown to block NO action of cGMP and cyclic ADP Rib (cADPR).
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ABA-INDUCED STOMATAL CLOSURE REQUIRES NO SYNTHESIS

NO is a key signaling molecule in plants, mediating responses to various abiotic and biotic stresses (Delledonne et al., 1998; Durner et al., 1998; Clarke et al., 2000; Beligni and Lamattina, 2001). The recent reports that treatment with a fungal elicitor induced the rapid synthesis of NO in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) epidermal cells (Foissner et al., 2000) prompted us to determine any involvement of NO in ABA-regulated stomatal movements. Epidermal peels from pea (Pisum sativum) were incubated in ABA in the presence of 2-phenyl-4,4,5,5-tetramethylimidazoline-1-oxide (PTIO), a specific NO scavenger previously shown to block NO
EGTA (Wu et al., 1997). This treatment had no effect on ABA-induced DAF-2 DA fluorescence (60 min, 87% of guard cells fluorescing, \( n = 326 \)). NO synthesis by epidermal peels was also estimated using the hemoglobin assay (Clarke et al., 2000). Peels were incubated for 60 min and NO release over this period subsequently determined. Constitutive NO release was estimated as 93 ± 7 nmol g\(^{-1}\) (\( n = 7 \)). This increased significantly (t test, \( P < 0.05 \)) to 125 ± 7 nmol g\(^{-1}\) (\( n = 7 \)), an increase of 35%, following treatment with 10 \( \mu \)M ABA. This increase was prevented by co-incubation with 25 \( \mu \)M L-NAME (99 ± 11 nmol g\(^{-1}\) [\( n = 5 \)].

### ABA AND NO SIGNALING DURING STOMATAL CLOSURE

NO signaling commonly involves the second messenger cGMP, generated via the enzyme guanylate

---

**Figure 1.** Effects of ABA and NO on stomatal closure in pea. a, Epidermal peels, prepared from *Argenteum* pea (Burnett et al., 2000), were incubated in the light in 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES) buffer (0.01 M MES-KOH, 0.05 M KCl, pH 6.15) to induce stomatal opening and then: incubated for 2 h in buffer alone (light), 10 \( \mu \)M ABA (A), ABA + 200 \( \mu \)M PTIO (A+P), ABA + 25 \( \mu \)M L-NAME (A+L), 100 \( \mu \)M SNP (S), SNP + 200 \( \mu \)M PTIO (S+P), 500 \( \mu \)M GSNO (G), and GSNO + 200 \( \mu \)M PTIO (G+P). b, Dose response for SNP, after incubation for 2 h. c, Kinetics of SNP-induced stomatal closure (100 \( \mu \)M SNP). Bars = SE (\( n = 180 \)).

---

**Figure 2.** ABA induces NO synthesis in pea guard cells. Epidermal peels were floated in MES buffer in the light for 1 h and then loaded with DAF-2 DA (Calbiochem, Nottingham, UK; 10 \( \mu \)M in MES, 10 min in the dark, 20 min wash in MES). Following treatments, peels were observed with a laser confocal scanning microscope (Nikon PCM2000, Nikon Europe B.V. Badhoevedorp, The Netherlands; excitation 495 nm, emission 515–560 nm). Acquired images were processed using Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems, Mountain View, CA) and relative pixel intensities determined using EZ2000 version 2.1 software (Coord, Amsterdam). Images are shown after a 30-min treatment. PTIO and L-NAME treatments reduced both the intensity and the number of guard cells visibly fluorescing; figure shows those cells in which fluorescence was still visible. a, Control (buffer only). b, 10 \( \mu \)M ABA. c, ABA + 200 \( \mu \)M PTIO. d, ABA + 25 \( \mu \)M L-NAME. Scale bar = 7 \( \mu \)m.

[Image of a graph showing stomatal aperture (µm) in response to different treatments.]

Figure 3. ABA- and NO-induced stomatal closure requires cGMP and cADPR. Epidermal peels were incubated in the light to induce stomatal opening and then incubated for 2 h in buffer alone (light), 10 µM ABA (A), ABA + 2 µM ODQ (A+O), ABA + ODQ + 50 µM 8-Br-cGMP (A+O+8Br), ABA + 5 mM nicotinamide (A+Nic), SNP (100 µM), SNP + 2 µM ODQ (S+O), SNP + ODQ + 100 µM 8-Br-cGMP (S+O+8Br), and SNP + 5 mM nicotinamide (S+Nic). Bars = ± SE (n = 180).

cyclase (Wendehenne et al., 2001), and previous work has provided data consistent with cGMP involvement in plant NO signaling (Durner et al., 1998; Clarke et al., 2000). Consequently, we pretreated epidermal peels with 1H-(1,2,4)-oxadiazole-4,3-diaquinoxalin-1-one (ODQ), an inhibitor of NO-sensitive guanylate cyclase (Durner et al., 1998; Clarke et al., 2000). ODQ by itself had no effect on stomatal aperture (not shown), but it was a potent inhibitor of both ABA- and SNP-induced stomatal closure (Fig. 3). Furthermore, treatment with 8-bromo-cGMP (8-Br-cGMP), a cell-permeable analog of cGMP known to be active in plant cells (Durner et al., 1998; Clarke et al., 2000), reversed the inhibitory effects of ODQ on ABA- and NO-induced stomatal closure (Fig. 3). Treatment with 8-Br-cGMP alone had no effect (not shown). These data indicate that cGMP is required, but not sufficient, for ABA- and NO-induced stomatal closure. One downstream signaling response to NO and cGMP is intracellular generation of cADPR, a Ca^{2+}-mobilizing molecule (Wendehenne et al., 2001). cADPR involvement in ABA responses has already been demonstrated (Wu et al., 1997; Leckie et al., 1998; MacRobbie, 2000). Consequently, we determined the effects of nicotinamide, an antagonist of cADPR production (Leckie et al., 1999; MacRobbie, 2000), on ABA- and NO-induced stomatal closure (Fig. 3). Nicotinamide inhibited the effects of both ABA and NO, suggesting that inhibition of ABA responses by nicotinamide is, at least partly, due to inhibition of cADPR synthesis following NO generation.

In summary, the results presented here demonstrate that NO is a novel component of ABA signaling in stomatal guard cells. They show that guard cells generate NO in response to ABA via NOS-like activity, and that such NO production is required for full stomatal closure in response to ABA; that exogenous NO induces stomatal closure; and that cGMP and cADPR are both required for NO- and ABA-induced stomatal closure. Cyclic nucleotide-gated ion channels have recently been cloned and characterized in Arabidopsis (Kohler et al., 1999; Leng et al., 1999). Modulation of the activity of such channels by cGMP may be one mechanism by which NO effects stomatal closure. It will clearly be important to quantify accurately NO production in guard cells and other cell types in a range of species and to determine whether other ABA responses similarly involve NO, particularly as wilting can result in elevated NO production (Lesham and Haramaty, 1996). Very recently, Mata and Lamattina (2001) have reported that NO induces stomatal closure in fava bean (Vicia faba), Salpigroa organifolia, and Tradescantia spp., although a requirement for NO in ABA-induced stomatal closure was not determined. However, our preliminary data indicate that ABA-induced stomatal closure in Arabidopsis also requires NO, as in pea (not shown). These data are important because they point the way to molecular and genetic analyses, which will include studies of the ABA-insensitive and ABA-deficient abi1 and abi2 mutants. Moreover, the involvement of NO signaling during stomatal responses to ABA provides a new opportunity to manipulate plant water relations in order to increase agricultural productivity.
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