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Cyanobacteria and proteobacteria (purple bacteria) are the only prokaryotes known to synthesize sucrose (Suc). Suc-P synthase, Suc-phosphatase (SPP), and Suc synthase activities have previously been detected in several cyanobacteria, and genes coding for Suc-P synthase (sps) and Suc synthase (sus) have been cloned from *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 and *Anabaena* (Nostoc) spp., respectively. An open reading frame in the *Synechocystis* genome encodes a predicted 27-kD polypeptide that shows homology to the maize (*Zea mays*) SPP. Heterologous expression of this putative *spp* gene in *Escherichia coli*, reported here, confirmed that this open reading frame encodes a functional SPP enzyme. The *Synechocystis* SPP is highly specific for Suc-6-P ($K_m = 7.5 \mu\text{M}$) and is Mg$^{2+}$ dependent ($K_i = 70 \mu\text{M}$), with a specific activity of 46 $\mu\text{mol} \text{min}^{-1} \text{mg}^{-1}$ protein. Like the maize SPP, the *Synechocystis* SPP belongs to the haloalcohol dehalogenase superfamily of phosphatases/hydrolases. Searches of sequenced microbial genomes revealed homologs of the *Synechocystis* spp genes in several other cyanobacteria (*Nostoc punctiforme*, *Prochlorococcus marinus* strains MED4 and MIT9313, and *Synechococcus* sp. WH8012), and in three proteobacteria (*Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans*, *Magnetococcus* sp. MC1, and *Nitrosomonas europaea*). Homologs of the *Synechocystis* spp genes were found in *Magnetococcus* sp. MC1 and *N. punctiforme* and of the *Anabaena* sus gene in *N. punctiforme* and *N. europaea*. From analysis of these sequences, it is suggested that Suc synthesis originated in the proteobacteria or a common ancestor of the proteobacteria and cyanobacteria.

Suc is found in both freshwater and marine cyanobacteria, e.g. *Calothrix*, *Syctonema*, *Oscillatoria*, *Plectonema*, *Synechococcus*, *Anabaena*, and *Nostoc* (Reed et al., 1984; Page-Sharp et al., 1999). It is often synthesized in these organisms in response to salt or osmotic stress and is thought to help maintain osmotic balance and stabilize protein and membrane structure and function (Reed and Stewart, 1985; Reed et al., 1986; Hagemann and Marin, 1999). Porchia and Salerno (1996) reported the first measurements of *Suc*-P synthase (SPS) and Suc-phosphatase (SPP) activity in cyanobacteria, in *Nostoc* sp. PCC 7119 (syn. *Anabaena* sp. PCC 7119). Both enzymes have also been found in a *Syctonema* sp. (Page-Sharp et al., 1999). Kaneko et al. (1996) sequenced the genome of the unicellular, freshwater cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 and found an open reading frame (ORF) that showed significant similarity to known SPS genes from higher plants. Subsequent cloning and heterologous expression proved that this ORF does encode a functional SPS enzyme, albeit one with some unusual kinetic properties (Curatti et al., 1998; Lunn et al., 1999). Mutation of the *sps* gene in *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 cells abolished their ability to synthesize Suc (Hagemann and Marin, 1999). Together, these results indicate that cyanobacteria synthesize Suc by the same route as plants, via SPS and SPP.

The enzyme Suc synthase (SuSy) has also been detected in some filamentous cyanobacteria (Schilling and Ehrnsperger, 1985; Porchia et al., 1999a) and genes for the enzyme have been cloned from *Anabaena* (Nostoc) sp. PCC 7119 and *Anabaena variabilis* (Curatti et al., 2000). The reaction catalyzed by SuSy is readily reversible and, despite its name, it is thought that it usually operates in the direction of Suc breakdown. However, the relative rates of the forward and reverse reactions catalyzed by SuSy depend on the concentrations of its other reactants, so under certain conditions SuSy could catalyze the net synthesis of Suc. Schilling and Ehrnsperger (1985) found that most SuSy activity is in the vegetative cells of *A. variabilis*, while the *N$_2$*-fixing heterocysts contain high alkaline invertase activity. Although SPS activity was not detected in either cell type of *A. variabilis*, Schilling and Ehrnsperger (1985) suggested that Suc is synthesized in the photosynthetic cells by SuSy and transported to the nonphotosynthetic heterocysts to support respiration.

Spatial separation of photosynthesis and *N$_2$* fixation in the different cell types of filamentous cyanobacteria is believed to protect the oxygen-sensitive nitrogenase from the oxygen generated by photosynthetic water splitting (Golden et al., 1997). If we assume that filamentous cyanobacteria evolved from unicellular ancestors, we might speculate that Suc, originally used in adaptation to osmotic stress, was later adopted as a transport compound to shuttle carbon and energy between cells in the filamentous species. This foreshadows the use of Suc as a transport carbohydrate in higher plants. However, as will be discussed later, it is most likely that plants inher-
ited Suc metabolism from a unicellular, cyanobacterial endosymbiont. Therefore, this is most likely to be an example of parallel evolution, reflecting the suitability of Suc for a transport function. Unicellular, N₂-fixing cyanobacteria overcome the incompatibility of nitrogenase with oxygenic photosynthesis by temporal separation of the two processes, governed by circadian rhythms in gene expression (Golden et al., 1997). In the unicellular cyanobacterium *Cyanothec sp.* strain ATCC 51142, a glycogen-like Glc polymer is synthesized during the photosynthetic phase in the light and degraded during the N₂-fixing phase in the dark and is thought to act as a transient energy store (Schneegurt et al., 1994). It would not be surprising if Suc were to fulfill a similar function in some other unicellular, N₂-fixing species.

There are few reports of the presence of Suc in noncyanobacterial prokaryotes (Fig. 1). Suc has been detected in two species of halotolerant methanotrophs, *Methylobacter alcaliphilus* 20Z and *Methyllobacter modestohalophilus* 10S, belonging to the proteobacteria (purple bacteria) and is presumed to act as an osmoprotectant in these species (Khmelenina et al., 1999). Fru-6-P-dependent production of UDP from UTP and Glc-1-P in *M. alcaliphilus* 20Z cells was attributed to a combination of UDP-Glc pyrophosphorylase and SPS activities (Khmelenina et al., 2000), but otherwise little is known about the enzymology of Suc metabolism in this group of organisms. An SPS-like ORF was found in the genome of the proteobacterium *Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans* (syn. *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*; Mijts and Patel, 2001). An ORF found by random sequencing of the genome of *Halothromtrix orenii*, which belongs to the *Bacillus/Clostridium* group of bacteria, was also found to show some homology with the *Synechocystis* SPS (Mijts and Patel, 2001). However, only 56 amino acid residues were reported, and these show only 39% identity with the *Synechocystis* SPS (residues 24–57). This region is highly conserved in all known SPS sequences and is thought to be involved in substrate binding (Huber and Huber, 1996). Even the evolutionarily distant SPSs from *Synechocystis* and maize (*Zea mays*), which have about 43% overall identity (Lunn et al., 1999), show 59% identity in this region. A recent search indicated that the best match for the partial *H. orenii* sequence in the GenBank database was a chloroperoxidase from *Rhodococcus* sp. S9 (accession no. AF265259). Further evidence will be required before a function can be assigned to the *H. orenii* ORF with confidence.

Lunn et al. (2000) reported the first cloning of an SPP gene, from maize. They also reported the presence of an ORF (GenBank accession no. AF300455) in the *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 genome coding for a 244-amino acid polypeptide with significant similarity to the 260-amino acid N-terminal region of the maize SPP. Both the maize SPP and the putative *Synechocystis* SPP belong to a superfamily of phosphatases/hydrolases related to the haloacid dehalogenase (HAD) enzyme from *Pseudomonas* sp. YL (Aravind et al., 1998). Enzymes belonging to this superfamily are characterized by three highly conserved motifs associated with the active site. One of these motifs includes the sequence D*XDX(T/V) where D* is an Asp residue that forms an acylphosphate intermediate during catalysis (Collet et al., 1998). The C-terminal regions of both the maize and *Synechocystis* SPS are homologous to the maize SPP but lack two of the highly conserved Asp residues, including the critical active site Asp (D*). This is consistent with the observation that highly purified SPS shows no SPP activity.

In this paper, the cloning and heterologous expression of the putative *Synechocystis spp* gene, which show that it does encode a functional SPP enzyme, are described. Searches of microbial genome databases revealed homologs of SPS, SPP, and SuSy genes in other cyanobacteria and in several proteobacteria. Some of the putative SPS polypeptides contain all of the conserved HAD family active site residues in their SPP-like C-terminal domains, while others lack this domain altogether. The possible significance of this finding for our understanding of the origins and evolution of Suc metabolism is discussed.

---

**Figure 1.** Phylogenetic tree of the Archaea and Bacteria adapted from Olsen et al. (1994).
RESULTS

Cloning and Expression of the Synechocystis spp Gene

The putative Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 spp gene was isolated from genomic DNA by PCR amplification. The reaction yielded a single product with the expected size of 786 bp (data not shown), which was cloned into the bacterial expression vector pTYB2 under the control of the T7 promoter. The recombinant plasmid pTYB2/Synspp was introduced into the protease-deficient E. coli strain ER2566, which carries a chromosomal copy of the T7 RNA polymerase (IPTG)-inducible lacZ promoter. Protein expression was induced in early-log phase cultures by the addition of IPTG. Extracts from induced cultures showed phosphatase activity, with Suc-6-P as the substrate, and this activity was not inhibited by 20 mM EDTA. The extracts from cells carrying either pTYB2 or pTYB2/Synspp showed similar low phosphatase activity (0.010 and 0.013 μmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein, respectively) with Fru-6-P as the substrate.

Characterization of the Synechocystis SPP

The heterologously expressed Synechocystis SPP was purified from E. coli extracts by polyethylene glycol 8000 (PEG) fractionation and acid precipitation as described in “Materials and Methods.” The purified protein had a specific activity of 46 μmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein and showed a single, 27-kD band on Coomassie Blue-stained SDS polyacrylamide gels (Fig. 2). Gel filtration on a Superdex 200 FPLC column (Lunn et al., 2000) did not give any greater purification (Fig. 2) but showed that the native molecular mass of the enzyme is 27 kD and, therefore, that the enzyme is monomeric. The Synechocystis SPP has a broad pH optimum around 6.8 and is highly specific for Suc-6-P with a Kₘ of 7.5 μM (Table I). Its activity is dependent on the presence of Mg²⁺ with a Kₘ of 70 μM and, when assayed with 250 μM Suc-6-P, is inhibited 19% and 27% by 200 mM and 660 mM Suc, respectively. The purified enzyme is stable for at least 6 months when stored at −80°C.

SPS, SPP, and SuSy Homologs in Other Cyanobacteria and Proteobacteria

Other microbial genome sequences in public databases were searched for homologs of known SPS, SPP, and SuSy genes to provide information about the evolution of these enzymes. The Synechocystis SPS and SPP and the Anaabaena SuSy are shown in alignment with their homologs from other microbial species in Appendix A. SPS-like ORFs were identified in the genomes of four cyanobacteria: Synechococcus sp. WH8102 (marine, unicellular, Joint Genome Institute [JGI]), Prochlorococcus marinus strain MED4 (marine, unicellular, high-light adapted, JGI), P. marinus strain MIT9313 (marine, unicellular, low-light adapted, JGI) and Nostoc punctiforme (filamentous, N₂-fixing, JGI) (Fig. 3). SPS-like ORFs were also found in three proteobacteria, including that previously reported in A. ferrooxidans: Magnetococcus sp. MC1 (α-subdivision, magnetotactic, JGI), Nitrosomonas europaea (β-subdivision, autotrophic, chemolithotrophic [NH₃-oxidizing], JGI) and A. ferrooxidans (γ-subdivision, chemolithotrophic [Fe²⁺/reduced sulfur-oxidizing], the Institute for Genomic Research [TIGR]) (Fig. 3). The best match for all of these SPS-like sequences in the GenBank database was the Synechocystis SPS, with expect (E) values ranging from 0 to 3 × 10⁻⁴⁵ and sequence identities of 34% to 61% at the amino acid level (Table II). The available N. punctiforme sequence is incomplete at the 5' end of the putative

![Figure 2. Purification of the Synechocystis SPP expressed in E. coli. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE in a 12% (w/v) gel and visualized by staining with Coomassie Blue R250. Lane A, 10-kD ladder protein molecular mass markers. Lane B, Total soluble extract from E. coli (pTYB2/Synspp) noninduced (20 μg). Lane C, Total soluble extract from E. coli (pTYB2/Synspp) IPTG-induced (10 μg). Lane D, 29% (w/v) PEG, pH 5 precipitate (2 μg). Lane E, Superdex 200 fraction (2 μg).](image-url)
SPS coding region, which could partly account for the higher E value and lower identity. The predicted sizes of the polypeptides encoded by these SPS-like ORFs are very close to that of the *Synechocystis* SPS (720 amino acid residues, 81.4 kD), with the exception of those from *P. marinus* MIT9313 and *N. punctiforme* (Table II). The latter two sequences show homology only with the N-terminal, glucosyltransferase domain of the *Synechocystis* SPS and lack the C-terminal, SPP-like domain (Fig. 3). All of the other SPS homologs contain both the glucosyltransferase and SPP-like domains. The SPP-like domains of the putative *A. ferrooxidans* and *N. europaea* SPSs contain all of the conserved residues associated with the active site of HAD superfamily phosphatases, but all of the other sequences lack one or more of these residues (Table III).

No SPS-like ORF was found in the sequenced genomes of species from the two major groups of *Archaeb* (Woese et al., 1990): (a) Euryarchaeota (nine complete genomes: *Archaeoglobus fulgidus, Halobacterium* sp. NRC-1, *Methanobacterium* thermoautotrophicum delta H, *Methanothermobacter wolfeii, Pyrococcus abyssi, Pyrococcus horikoshii, Thermoplasma acidophilum, Thermoplasma volcanium*) and (b) Crenarchaeota (two complete genomes: *Aeropyrum pernix, Sulfolobus solfataricus*). Likewise, no SPS-like ORFs were found in the sequenced genomes of representative species from the following major groups of *Bacteria*: *Aquiferales*, green sulfur bacteria, *Chlamydiales*, *Firmicutes* (*Actinobacteria*: high G+C Gram-positive bacteria; and *Bacillus/Clostridium* group: low G+C Gram-negative bacteria), green nonsulfur bacteria, and *Spirochaetes*, or in the δ- and ε-subdivisions of the *Proteobacteria* (Olsen et al., 1994).

Two SPP-like ORFs were found in both *N. punctiforme* and *Magnetococcus* sp. MC1 (Table II). The *N. punctiforme* sequences matched most closely with either the *Synechocystis* SPP or an SPP-like sequence from *Nostoc* sp. PCC 7120 (GenBank accession no. AJ302073), with very low E values (Table II). One of the putative *N. punctiforme* SPPs (SPP1) has Glu and Gly in place of the HAD superfamily active site residues Asp9 and Asp186, respectively (Table III). The two *Magnetococcus* sp. MC1 SPP-like ORFs are less similar to the *Synechocystis* SPP, but they do contain all of the conserved, active site residues of the HAD superfamily phosphatases (Table III). No SPP-like ORFs were identified in the genomes of *Synechococcus* sp. WH8012, *P. marinus* strains MED4 or MIT9313, *A. ferrooxidans*, or *N. europaea*.

Two SuSy-like ORFs were found in the cyanobacterium *N. punctiforme* and one in the proteobacterium *N. europaea* (Table II). The former most closely matched known cyanobacterial SuSy sequences from *Anabaena* spp. (Curatti et al., 2000), whereas the latter matched more closely with a rice (*Oryza sativa*) SuSy, all with E values of zero. The putative SuSy sequences showed some homology with the N-terminal, glucosyltransferase domain of the *Synechocystis* SPS but not with the C-terminal, SPP-like domain or the *Synechocystis* SPP (Fig. 3).

The putative *N. europaea* SPS and SuSy ORFs are adjacent to each other on the same strand and separated by only 70 bp (Fig. 4). The putative *Magnetococcus* sp. MC1 SPP-like ORF is located elsewhere (Fig. 3).
coccus SPS and SPP1 ORFs overlap by 4 bp on the same strand and are separated by only 130 bp from another ORF on the same strand that most closely matches amylosucrase from the proteobacterium *Niesseria polysaccharea* (GenBank accession no. CAA09772; Fig. 4).

**Expression of a Chimeric Synechocystis SPS-SPP Protein in *E. coli***

As described above, the SPP-like domains of the putative SPSS in *A. ferrooxidans* and *N. europaea* contain all of the conserved residues associated with the active site of HAD superfamily phosphatases. This suggested that these enzymes might have both SPS and SPP activities. The C-terminal region of the *Synechocystis* SPS shows 42% identity to the *Synechocystis* SPP (Lunn et al., 2000) but lacks several of the conserved, active site residues and does not have SPP activity (Lunn et al., 1999). Seo et al. (2000) expressed a fusion protein of the *E. coli* trehalose-P-synthase (TPS) and trehalose-phosphatase (TPP) and found that the chimeric protein had both TPS and TPP activities. TPS and TPP are functionally and structurally related to SPS and SPP, leading to the question of whether a single polypeptide can have both SPS and SPP activities. A chimeric gene was constructed in which the 3' end of the *Synechocystis* sps gene, coding for the SPP-like domain (Leu-474 to Val-720), was replaced with the coding region of the *Synechocystis* spp gene (Arg-2 to Ser-244) using a convenient SpeI site in the *sps* gene. This chimeric gene was expressed in *E. coli*, and cell extracts showed SPS and SPP activities of 0.93 and 0.33 μmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein, respectively. Antisera raised against either the *Synechocystis* SPS or SPP both recognized an 81-kD protein in the cell extracts (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION***

**Comparison of SPP from Cyanobacteria and Plants***

Heterologous expression of the putative *Synechocystis* spp gene (Lunn et al., 2000) in *E. coli* confirmed that the gene does encode a functional SPP enzyme. The enzyme is smaller than that from higher plants, showing homology only with the N-terminal region of the plant enzyme (Table I; Lunn et al., 2000). This is the region that shows homology with the HAD superfamily of phosphatases and is presumably all that is required for catalytic activity. The function of the C-terminal extension of the plant enzyme is unknown, as it does not show significant homology with any other protein of known function. The sequence of a partial cDNA clone from the bryophyte (moss) *Physcomitrella patens* (GenBank accession no. AW497133) encodes a protein that shows 57% identity with the maize SPP extending into this C-terminal region. This suggests that acquisition of the C-terminal extension was an early event in the
The evolution of SPP in plants. The native *Synechocystis* SPP also differs from the plant enzyme in being monomeric rather than dimeric (Table I). However, the kinetic properties of the *Synechocystis* SPP are similar to those of the plant enzyme; both have similar pH optima, are specific for Suc-6-P, are Mg2+ dependent (Table I), and are competitively inhibited by millimolar concentrations of Suc. The *Synechocystis* SPP has a 9-fold lower *K*ₘ for Suc-6-P than the rice SPP, but its specific activity is only about 4% of that of the rice enzyme (Table I). The *Synechocystis* SPS also has a much lower specific activity than SPS from higher plants; 17 mol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein compared with 150 mol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein for the spinach SPS (Huber and Huber, 1996; Lunn et al., 1999). It is also smaller than the plant SPS, 82 kD versus 117 to 119 kD, and it is monomeric rather than di- or tetrameric (Huber and Huber, 1996; Lunn et al., 1999). The basis for the higher specific activity of the plant enzymes is unknown but is consistent with the higher Suc biosynthetic capacity expected in plants.

### Origin and Evolution of Suc Metabolism

The discovery of ORFs in the genomes of the proteobacteria *A. ferrooxidans, N. europaea*, and *Magnetococcus* sp. MC1 that are homologous to known SPS genes provides further evidence that Suc metabolism is present in the α-, β-, and γ-subdivisions of this group of organisms (Khmelenina et al., 1999; Khmelenina et al., 2000; Mijts and Patel, 2001). Xiong et al. (1998) concluded, from phylogenetic analysis of genes encoding photosystem I and II reaction center proteins, that the oxygenic photosynthetic apparatus of the cyanobacteria evolved from heterologous fusion of ancestral types related to those in the heliobacteria/green sulfur bacteria (photosystem I) and proteobacteria/green nonsulfur bacteria (photosystem II). The implication of these findings, and the apparent absence of Suc-synthesizing enzymes in other groups of Bacteria or the Archaea, is that the origins of Suc metabolism probably lie in the proteobacteria or an ancestral type common to both the

---

**Table III. Conservation of HAD phosphatase superfamily active site residues in SPP and SPS from *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 and in SPP- and SPS-like sequences from other cyanobacterial and proteobacterial species**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>HAD-Phosphatase Active Site Residues</th>
<th>HAD-Dynamin-Related Active Site Residues</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Synechocystis</em> SPP</td>
<td>DLDNT</td>
<td>T K D D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>N. punctiforme</em> SPP1</td>
<td>ELDTN</td>
<td>T K G D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>N. punctiforme</em> SPP2</td>
<td>DLDHT</td>
<td>T K D D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nostoc 7120 SPP</td>
<td>DLDPT</td>
<td>T K D D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Magnetococcus</em> SPP1</td>
<td>DLDRT</td>
<td>T K D D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Magnetococcus</em> SPP2</td>
<td>DMDRT</td>
<td>T K D D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. marinus</em> MIT9313 SPS</td>
<td>DLSS</td>
<td>T R S D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidithiobacillus SPS</td>
<td>DIDNT</td>
<td>T K D D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Synechocystis</em> SPS</td>
<td>ALQGG</td>
<td>T K G D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Synechococcus</em> SPS</td>
<td>DLDST</td>
<td>T R S D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nitrosomonas</em> SPS</td>
<td>DIDNT</td>
<td>T K D D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Magnetococcus</em> SPS</td>
<td>DLDQN</td>
<td>T K G D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 4.** Putative Suc metabolism operons in the genomes of *N. europaea* and *Magnetococcus* sp. MC1. The flanking sequences in the *N. europaea* genome contain ORFs whose best matches in the GenBank database are as follows: ORF1, accession no. AAC73882, putative membrane protein (*E. coli*); ORF2, accession no. BAB34295, putative ATP-binding component of ABC transporter (*E. coli*); ORF3, accession no. CAC07984, CopF cation (Cu)-transporting ATPase (*Ralstonia metallidurans*).
proteobacteria and cyanobacteria. *Rickettsia* spp. in the α-subdivision of the proteobacteria are thought to be closely related to the endosymbionts that evolved into the mitochondria of eukaryotic cells (Brown et al., 2001). Therefore, it is conceivable that Suc metabolism could have been acquired by eukaryotic cells during the endosymbiosis of a Suc-synthesizing mitochondrial ancestor. However, among the Eukaryota, Suc synthesis occurs only in green plants (Viridiplantae) that have oxygenic photosynthesis (Kandler and Hopf, 1980; Hawker and Smith, 1984), which points to a more likely origin in the endosymbiotic cyanobacteria that are believed to have been the ancestors of chloroplasts (Cavalier-Smith, 2000).

The C-terminal, SPP-like domains of the putative *A. ferrooxidans* and *N. europaea* SPS enzymes contain all of the conserved residues associated with the active site of HAD superfamily phosphatases (Table II). This points to the possibility that these enzymes are bifunctional with both SPS and SPP activities. Heterologous expression of an artificial, chimeric *Synechocystis* SPS-SPP showed that a single polypeptide can have both SPS and SPP activities. Interestingly, no SPP-like ORFs were found in the genomes of *A. ferrooxidans* and *N. europaea*, which would be consistent with the putative SPSs having SPP activity. In contrast, the putative SPS from another proteobacterium, *Magnetococcus* sp. MC1, does not have all the conserved residues in its SPP-like domain, but this species does have two SPP-like ORFs. While it remains to be found whether these three species do synthesize Suc, we might speculate that Suc accumulation is an adaptation to the inhospitable environments in which these organisms live. Suc could be used to maintain osmotic balance and stabilize protein and membrane structure in cells growing in high salt or dry environments. In support of this proposal, expression of the *Synechocystis* SPS and consequent accumulation of Suc was reported to confer desiccation tolerance in *E. coli* (Billi et al., 2000). Suc might also be used as a storage reserve, which could allow the cells to survive periods when environmental conditions are unfavorable and then be metabolized to allow the cell to grow and divide quickly when conditions improve. The close proximity of the putative *Magnetococcus* sp. MC1 *sps* and *spp1* genes with another ORF encoding an amylomucrase-like protein (Fig. 4) could indicate that all three genes form a polycistronic operon involved in synthesis of a glucan polymer viaSuc. Some oral bacteria synthesize extracellular, fructan, or glucan polymer matrices from external Suc (Walker and Jacques, 1987). However, the N terminus of the putative *Magnetococcus* sp. MC1 amylomucrase protein does not have the characteristics of a signal peptide (Nielsen et al., 1997), suggesting that the enzyme is not secreted, so it might be involved in synthesis of intracellular polysaccharide reserves instead. Such a polymer could function as a transient storage reserve as in the cyanobacterium *Cyanothece* sp. ATCC 51142 (Schneegurt et al., 1994). The significance of the putative SPS(SPP)-SuSy operon in *N. europaea* (Fig. 4) is unclear, as it seems surprising that enzymes for Suc synthesis and breakdown should be transcribed together.

The range of SPS-like ORFs among the cyanobacteria is more complex (Fig. 3). *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 is known to have separate SPS and SPP enzymes, and the SPP-like domain of the SPS lacks several of the conserved, HAD superfamily active site residues, including the critical Asp that is predicted to form an acyl-phosphate intermediate during the phosphatase reaction (Table II; Aravind et al., 1998; Collet et al., 1998). The putative *N. punctiforme* SPS lacks the C-terminal, SPP-like domain altogether and, although the coding sequence is incomplete, is likely to be smaller than the *Synechocystis* SPS with an estimated molecular mass of around 58 kD. A slightly smaller molecular mass, 45 to 47 kD, was reported for two forms of SPS from *Nostoc* (Anabaenaceae) sp. PCC 7119 (Porchia and Salerno, 1996). *N. punctiforme* has at least one ORF that is likely to encode SPP (SPP2). While the other *N. punctiforme* SPP-like ORF (SPP1) does show similarity to the *Synechocystis* SPP (Table I), it has Glu and Gly residues, respectively, in the positions that are homologous to the conserved Asp and Asp186 of the *Synechocystis* SPP. Collet et al. (1998) reported that substitution of Glu or Asn for the first Asp in the conserved DXDX(T/V) motif of two HAD superfamily enzymes, phosphomannomutase and phosho-Ser phosphatase, completely abolished catalytic activity. Therefore, it seems unlikely that the *N. punctiforme* SPP1 ORF encodes a functional SPP.

The putative *P. marinus* MED4 SPS also lacks the C-terminal, SPP-like domain of other SPSs, but surprisingly no good candidate for an SPP-encoding ORF was found in the fully sequenced genome of this strain. Similarly, no SPP-like ORFs were found in the genomes of *P. marinus* MIT9313 or *Synechococcus* sp. WH8102. However, the putative SPSs from the latter two organisms do have C-terminal, SPP-like domains. Although these do not show perfect conservation of the HAD superfamily active site residues, the core active site motif DXDX(T/V) is present in the *Synechococcus* sp. WH8102 sequence and, with only a conservative substitution of Ser for Thr, in the *P. marinus* MIT9313 sequence (Table II). Therefore, it is possible that the ORFs from these two organisms could encode bifunctional enzymes with both SPS and SPP activities. The apparent lack of an SPP in *P. marinus* MED4 could indicate that this strain does not have the capacity to synthesize Suc. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that there is a highly divergent form of the enzyme in this organism, or that Suc-6-P could be hydrolyzed by a nonspecific phosphatase. In support of the latter possibility, it has been observed that heterologous expression of the *Synechocystis* SPS in *E. coli* led to accumulation of...
some free Suc in the cells, although a specific SPP was absent (Billi et al., 2000).

Two SuSy-like ORFs were found in the genome of 
*P. marinus* MED4, but none were found in *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803, *Synechococcus* sp. WH8012, or *P. marinus* MED4 and MIT9313. This agrees with the report on *Synechocystis*, but none were found in *N. punctiforme*. Some free Suc in the cells, although a specific SPP has been found in filamentous species of cyanobacteria is unclear. A clue might come from the apparent lack of SPS activity in *A. variabilis* and the suggestion that SuSy is responsible for Suc synthesis in this species (Schilling and Ehrnsperger, 1985). The equilibrium constant of the SuSy reaction is unfavorable for accumulation of high concentrations of Suc, but if the Suc were being transported out of the vegetative cells into the heterocysts then SuSy could catalyze its net synthesis. However, SPS and SPP would be required for synthesis of Suc in unicellular species or in filamentous species where high concentrations of Suc are used as an osmoprotectant. *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803 and other unicellular species contain invertase activity and do not require SuSy to catabolize Suc. The presence of a SuSy-like ORF in the genome of *N. europaea* suggests that this enzyme also might have originated in the proteobacteria or a common ancestor of the proteobacteria and cyanobacteria. The function of a SuSy enzyme in this unicellular organism is unclear.

It seems likely that plants inherited the enzymes necessary for Suc synthesis from the cyanobacteria, which in turn inherited them from a proteobacteria-like ancestor. The enzymes of Suc synthesis found in the cyanobacteria show considerable diversity, with three main types: (1) an SPS containing only a glucosyltransferase domain, plus or minus a separate SPP (e.g. *P. marinus* MED4, *N. punctiforme*), (2) a bifunctional SPS-SPP enzyme (e.g. *Synechococcus* sp. WH8012, *P. marinus* MIT9313), and (3) an SPS with a noncatalytic, C-terminal, SPP-like domain plus a separate SPP (e.g. *Synechocystis* sp. PCC 6803).

The Prochlorophytes (e.g. *Prochlorococcus* spp.) are thought to be the most primitive group of cyanobacteria; therefore, it seems likely that either type 1 or 2 is closer to the ancestral situation. Between these two options, it seems more likely that separate, type 1 SPS and SPP enzymes were the first to evolve. The similarity between SuSy and the N-terminal, glucosyltransferase domain of SPS (Huber and Huber, 1996) suggests that a type 1 SPS could have evolved from SuSy or that both enzymes evolved from a common ancestor. We can speculate that a type 2 SPS could arise by fusion of a type 1 SPS and SPP, perhaps by mutation of a polycistronic SPS-SPP operon, and that type 3 SPS and SPP could arise by duplication of the active SPP domain of a type 2 SPS followed by loss of catalytic function by the SPP-like domain of the SPS. Interestingly, the gene organization in *Magnetoococcus* sp. MC1 resembles that which might have occurred during such an evolutionary process.

At present, very little is known about Suc metabolism in eukaryotic algae and lower vascular plants. Suc does not appear to be found in the Rhodophyta (red algae; Dancer and ap Rees, 1989) but is present in the Chlorophyta (green algae). SPS enzymes have been partially purified from the green algae *Chlorella vulgaris*, *Scenedesmus obliquus*, and *Dunaliella tertiolecta*, and their kinetic properties have been shown to resemble those of the higher plant enzyme (Duran and Pontis, 1977; Müller and Wegmann, 1978). The algal enzyme was reported to have a native *M* of about 400,000 (Duran and Pontis, 1977), which is closer to that of SPS from higher plants rather than cyanobacteria. *C. vulgaris* and *S. obliquus* also contain SuSy activity (Duran and Pontis, 1977). SPS and SuSy activities have also been detected in permeabilized *Euglena gracilis* cells (Porchia et al., 1999b). Virtually nothing is known about the genes encoding the enzymes involved in Suc metabolism in green algae and primitive plants. Searches of the available databases (http://www.biology.duke.edu/chlamy_genome/crc.html and http://www.kazusa.or.jp) containing ESTs from *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (green algae) and *Porphyra yezoensis* (red alga) did not identify any significant matches with known SPS, SPP, or SuSy sequences. As noted previously, there is a partial SPP-like cDNA clone from the bryophyte *P. patens* in the EST database that shows greater similarity to SPP sequences from higher plants than from cyanobacteria. There is also an SPP-like sequence from the gymnosperm *Pinus taeda* (loblolly pine; GenBank accession no. BG319173).

Sequencing of microbial genomes has given us clues to how Suc metabolism might have evolved in the proteobacteria and cyanobacteria, and was then acquired by eukaryotes during the endosymbiosis of the cyanobacterial ancestor of chloroplasts. Undoubtedly, future genome sequencing efforts will reveal more about the subsequent evolution of Suc metabolism in eukaryotic species.

**CONCLUSIONS**

While it is clear that experimental evidence will be required to establish the true nature of the putative SPS, SPP, and SuSy genes described above, the following hypothesis for the origin and evolution of Suc synthesis is proposed. Suc synthesis probably began in a proteobacteria-like ancestor of the cyanobacteria. Mutation of some other glucosyltransferase could have given rise to either SuSy, SPS, or an enzyme that could use both Fru and Fru-6-P as substrate to produce Suc or Suc-6-P. Any Suc-6-P produced could have been hydrolyzed by a nonspecific HAD-type phosphatase, which eventually evolved into a more
specific SPP. The equilibrium constant of the SuSy reaction is unfavorable for accumulation of high concentrations of Suc, so any advantage conferred by this would favor the evolution of SPS and SPP, which catalyze the irreversible synthesis of Suc (Lunn and ap Rees, 1990). Separate SPS and SPP genes were inherited and retained in some types of cyanobacteria and possibly some proteobacteria, but in others the genes became fused to form bifunctional enzymes. Subsequent duplication of the region coding for the active SPP domain and loss of SPP function by the SPS led to the separation of enzyme activities, but with an SPS that has a noncatalytic SPP-like domain. Which of the three options the endosymbiotic cyanobacterial ancestor of chloroplasts conferred on its eu karyotic descendants is unclear, as it is possible that the same processes could have occurred during the evolution of higher plants. Characterization of SPS from green algae and lower vascular plants might resolve this question. The function of the SPP-like domain is unknown, but the presence of type 3 SPS and SPP in higher plants, in which Suc metabolism is so important, suggests that this arrangement has some advantage.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Biochemical reagents were obtained from Roche Molecular Biochemicals (Castle Hill, NSW, Australia) and Sigma-Aldrich (Castle Hill, NSW, Australia). Restriction endonucleases and DNA-modifying enzymes were obtained from New England Biolabs, Inc. (Beverly, MA).

Cloning of the Synechocystis spp Gene

Standard cloning procedures were carried out as in Sam brook et al. (1989). Genomic DNA was isolated from Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 as in Lunn et al. (1999). The slr0953 (spp) ORF was amplified from genomic DNA by PCR using forward (5'-GCATTGATCAATCATATGCGACAG-3') and reverse (5'-GCTTTGCTTGCGAATTCGGAATTG-3') primers designed from the available sequence (GenBank accession no. D90914). The 784-bp PCR product was ligated into the T-tailed plasmid pGEM-T Easy (Promega, Madison, WI) and sequenced as described above. The 3.2-kb PCR product was ligated into the T-tailed plasmid pCR2.1 (Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA) and sequenced as described above. The Synechocystis spp coding region was excised from pCR2.1/Synsps by incubation with NdeI and Hpal sites and ligated between the Ndel and SmaI sites of pTYB2.

The Synechocystis spp gene was amplified by PCR from pBluescriptII/Synsps (Lunn et al., 1999) using forward (5'-CATATGAGCTATTCATCAAAATAC-3') and reverse (5'-GTTAACGGGGTCTAACAACTC-3') primers designed to introduce NdeI and Hpal sites at the 5' and 3' ends of the coding region, respectively. The 2.16-kb PCR product was ligated into the T-tailed plasmid pCR2.1 (Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, CA) and sequenced as described above. The Synechocystis spp coding region was excised from pCR2.1/Synsps by incubation with NdeI and Hpal and ligated between the Ndel and SmaI sites of pTYB2.

Expression of a Chimeric Synechocystis SPS-SPP in E. coli

The Synechocystis spp gene was amplified by PCR from pGEM-T Easy/Synspps using forward (5'-TACTAGTCGACAGTTATGCTAACTC-3') and reverse (5'-AAAATCTTTGCTTGGATCGTACAG-3') primers designed to introduce SpeI and HindIII sites at the 5' and 3' ends of the coding region, respectively. The 780-bp PCR product was ligated into the T-tailed plasmid pGEM-T Easy and sequenced as described above. The Synechocystis spp coding region was excised from pGEM-T/Synspps by incubation with SpeI and HindIII and ligated between the SpeI and HindIII sites of pTYB2/Synsps. The recombinant plasmid pTYB2/Synspps-spp was introduced into E. coli ER2566 and expressed as described above.

Purification of Synechocystis SPP Expressed in E. coli and Raising of Antiserum

A stationary phase culture of E. coli ER2566 (pTYB2/Syn spp) grown in Luria-Bertani medium was diluted 100-fold into 1 L of Luria-Bertani medium containing 100 μg ampicillin mL⁻¹ divided equally between two 2-L flasks and incubated with shaking (200 rpm) at 37°C until the cell density reached an optical density (600 nm) of 0.5. Protein expression was induced by the addition of IPTG to a final concentration of 0.3 mM. After incubation at 37°C for 12 h, the cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5,000g for 15 min (4°C).

The pelleted cells were resuspended in 100 mL of ice-cold buffer A (25 mM HepesK⁺, 5 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM EDTA, pH 7.2) containing 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and lysed by sonication for 30 s in 10-s bursts, with 30 s of cooling on ice between bursts. The crude lysate was centrifuged at 20,000g for 10 min (4°C). The supernatant was decanted, and finely powdered PEG was added slowly with constant stirring to give a final concentration of 26% (w/v; 29 g per 100 mL). After stirring for 20 min at 0°C, the precipitated protein was pelleted (20,000g, 10 min) and discarded. The clear supernatant (110 mL) was warmed to 18°C and the pH quickly adjusted to 5.05 with 1 M acetic acid-Na⁺, pH 4.8. The mixture was rapidly cooled to 0°C and stood for 10 min. The precipitated protein was pelleted (20,000g, 10 min) and suspended in 14 mL of buffer A and the pH adjusted to 7.2 by addition of 1 M KOH.

A rabbit was inoculated with 200 μg of the purified protein injected with Freund's complete adjuvant, and with a further three injections of 200 μg of protein in Freund's incomplete adjuvant at 3-week intervals.

Gel Electrophoresis and Western Blotting

Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE on 12% (w/v) polyacrylamide gels as described in Laemmli (1970) and...
either stained with Coomassie Blue R250 or transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and probed with either anti-
*Synechocystis* SPP or anti-*Synechocystis* SPS antisera (1:10,000 dilution in blocking buffer) as described in Lunn et al. (1999).

**Assay of SPP and SPS Activity**

SPP activity was measured as in Lunn et al. (2000). SPS activity was measured as the Fru-6-P-dependent production of UDP from UDP-Glc as described in Lunn and Hatch (1997).

**Determination of Protein**

Protein was measured by the dye-binding method (Bradford, 1976) with bovine γ-globulin as the standard.

**Microbial Genome Databases**

Completed microbial genomes in the GenBank database and contigs from unfinished genome sequences in the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) JGI, TIGR, and the Kazusa DNA Research Institute (Cyanobase; Chiba, Japan) databases were searched for ORFs with homology to cyanobacterial SPS, SPP (*Synechocystis*), and SuSy (*Anabaena variabilis*) sequences, using the TBLASTN algorithm. The deduced amino acid sequences of hits with E values less than 1 × 10⁻⁵ were used to search the GenBank nonredundant database using the BLASTP algorithm. Preliminary sequence data were obtained from the DOE JGI (http://www.jgi.doe.gov/JGI_microbial/html), TIGR (http://www.tigr.org/), and from the Cyanobase database at the Kazusa DNA Research Institute (http://www.kazusa.or.jp/cyano/).
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