Salt Stress Inhibits the Repair of Photodamaged Photosystem II by Suppressing the Transcription and Translation of psbA Genes in Synechocystis¹

Suleyman I. Allakhverdiev, Yoshitaka Nishiyama, Sachio Miyairi, Hiroshi Yamamoto, Noritoshi Inagaki, Yu Kanesaki, and Norio Murata*¹

Department of Regulation Biology, National Institute for Basic Biology, Myodaiji, Okazaki 444–8585, Japan (S.I.A., H.Y., Y.K., N.M.); Institute of Basic Biological Problems, Russian Academy of Sciences, Pushchino, Moscow Region 142292, Russia (S.I.A.); Department of Chemistry, Ehime University, Matsuyama 790–8577, Japan (Y.N.); National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, Tsukuba 305–8566, Japan (S.M.); National Institute of Agribiological Resources, Tsukuba 305–8566, Japan (N.I.); and Department of Biomechanics, School of Life Science, The Graduate University for Advanced Studies, Myodaiji, Okazaki 444–8585, Japan (N.M.)

Light stress and salt stress are major environmental factors that limit the efficiency of photosynthesis. However, we have found that the effects of light and salt stress on photosystem II (PSII) in the cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 are completely different. Strong light induced photodamage to PSII, whereas salt stress inhibited the repair of the photodamaged PSII and did not accelerate damage to PSII directly. The combination of light and salt stress appeared to inactivate PSII very rapidly as a consequence of their synergistic effects. Radioactive labeling of cells revealed that salt stress inhibited the synthesis of proteins de novo and, in particular, the synthesis of the D1 protein. Northern- and western-blotting analyses demonstrated that salt stress inhibited the transcription and the translation of psbA genes, which encode D1 protein. DNA microarray analysis indicated that the light-induced expression of various genes was suppressed by salt stress. Thus, our results suggest that salt stress inhibits the repair of PSII via suppression of the activities of the transcriptional and translational machinery.

Light stress and salt stress are important environmental factors that limit plant growth and productivity (Berry and Björkman, 1980; Boyer, 1982; Powles, 1984). Strong light impairs the activity of the photosynthetic apparatus, in particular that of photosystem II (PSII), via a process known as photodamage or photoinhibition (for review, see Kok, 1956; Jones and Kok, 1966a, 1966b; Barber and Andersson, 1992; Aro et al., 1993). Kyle et al. (1984) suggested that the primary damaging effect of light might be the impairment of the quinone-binding protein, which is now known as the D1 protein (hereafter D1), in the PSII complex (Ohad et al., 1984; Aro et al., 1993). Impairment of D1 results in disruption of the light-dependent separation of charge between P680 and pheophytin a, and this phenomenon is associated with interruption of the transport of electrons that is mediated by PSII. However, photodamaged PSII can be repaired, and the repair process involves the rapid turnover of D1, with degradation of damaged D1 (Lindahl et al., 2000; Haussühl et al., 2001) and subsequent light-dependent synthesis de novo of the precursor to D1 (hereafter pre-D1; Aro et al., 1993). The damaged D1 is replaced by newly synthesized pre-D1 (Marder et al., 1984; Mattoo et al., 1984, 1988; Ohad et al., 1984; Schuster et al., 1988) from which a carboxy-terminal sequence is then removed by specific luminal proteases (Reisfeld et al., 1982; Taylor et al., 1988; Inagaki et al., 1989; Taguchi et al., 1995).

In the field, under natural conditions, salt stress very often occurs in combination with light stress, and several reports have appeared on the effects of salt stress on PSII under light stress. Salt stress apparently enhances the inhibition by strong light of PSII in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (Neale and Melis, 1989), in leaves of barley (Hordeum vulgare; Sharma and Hall, 1991), sorghum (Sorghum bicolor; Sharma and Hall, 1991), and rye (Secale cereale; Hertwig et al., 1992), and in Spirulina platensis (Lu and Zhang, 1999). However, the mechanisms by which salt stress enhances the photodamage to PSII remain to be clarified.

In the cyanobacterium Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 (hereafter Synechocystis), PSII is resistant to salt stress alone. Thus, the activity of PSII is unaffected in cells
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that have been incubated for 15 h in the presence of 0.5 m NaCl in darkness (Allakhverdiev et al., 1999). However, the effects of salt stress on PSII under strong light remain to be clarified in this organism.

In the present study, we investigated the interaction between the effects of light stress and salt stress on PSII in *Synechocystis*. We found that the combination of light and salt stress has a strong synergistic and damaging effect on PSII and, moreover, that salt stress inhibited the recovery of PSII from light-induced inactivation. Labeling of proteins in vivo and western- and northern-blotting analyses suggested that salt stress inhibited the expression of the *psbA* genes for pre-D1 at both transcriptional and the translational level.

RESULTS

Synergistic Effects of Light Stress and Salt Stress on PSII

We examined the effects of NaCl at various concentrations on changes in the PSII activity of *Synechocystis* during exposure of cells to light stress (Fig. 1). Exposure to light at 500 μE m⁻² s⁻¹ under low-salt conditions (20 mM NaCl) resulted in minimal inactivation of PSII: After incubation for 120 min, only about 10% of the original activity disappeared. In the presence of 0.5 mM NaCl, in contrast, inactivation occurred more rapidly, and 50% of the original activity had disappeared after incubation for 120 min. In the presence of 1.0 mM NaCl, the activity of PSII declined even more rapidly, and no activity was detectable after 120 min (Fig. 1A). In darkness, exposure of cells to 1.0 mM NaCl did not result in any inactivation over the entire duration of the experiment. These results demonstrated that, whereas exposure of cells to light stress or salt stress resulted in minimal inactivation of PSII, the combination of the two kinds of stress induced marked inactivation of PSII, with apparent synergism between the effects of strong light and high salt.

To examine the contribution of protein synthesis de novo to the stress-induced inactivation of PSII, we incubated cells in darkness for 10 min in the presence of 250 μg mL⁻¹ lincomycin, an inhibitor of protein synthesis, prior to exposure of cells to light at 500 μE m⁻² s⁻¹ in the presence of 20 mM, 0.5 M, or 1.0 M NaCl. Figure 1B shows that the inhibition of protein synthesis by lincomycin markedly accelerated the inactivation of PSII. The inactivation observed in the presence of lincomycin was unaffected by NaCl. However, the extent of inactivation in the presence of lincomycin was only minimal when cells were incubated in the presence of 1.0 mM NaCl in darkness. These observations suggest that protein synthesis de novo might be involved in the synergistic effects of light stress and salt stress during the inactivation of PSII.

We performed the same set of experiments as those for which the results are shown in Figure 1 with light at 250 and 2,000 μE m⁻² s⁻¹. The rate of inactivation depended on the intensity of light, but essentially the same results were obtained with respect to the synergistic effects of light stress and the salt stress (data not shown).
Inhibition of the Repair of PSII by NaCl

Figure 2 shows the effects of NaCl on the recovery of PSII activity after cells had been exposed to light at 2,000 \( \mu \text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) for 100 min, a treatment that reduced the activity of PSII to approximately 10% of the original level. To monitor the recovery of PSII, we then incubated the cells in light at 70 \( \mu \text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) for 4 h in the presence of various concentrations of NaCl. In low-salt medium (20 mM NaCl), the activity of PSII returned to 90% of the initial value within 2 h, and recovery was complete within 3 h. When cells were incubated with 0.5 mM NaCl, recovery was slow and only 60% of the original activity was restored after 4 h. However, in the presence of 1.0 mM NaCl or 250 \( \mu \text{g mL}^{-1} \) lincomycin, recovery was completely blocked. These results, together with those in Figure 1, demonstrate that NaCl at high concentrations inhibits the repair of PSII. This phenomenon might explain the apparent ability of NaCl to accelerate the light-induced damage to PSII, as seen in Figure 1A.
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**Figure 2.** Effects of NaCl and lincomycin on the recovery of the PSII activity of *Synechocystis* cells from light-induced inactivation. Cells were incubated for 100 min in low-salt medium (20 mM NaCl) in light at 2,000 \( \mu \text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) to induce 90% inactivation of PSII. Cells were then incubated in light at 70 \( \mu \text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) in the presence of NaCl at various concentrations and in the presence of 250 \( \mu \text{g mL}^{-1} \) lincomycin or in its absence. At designated times, a portion of the cell suspension was withdrawn, and PSII activity was examined as described in the legend to Figure 1. ○, 20 mM NaCl; △, 0.5 mM NaCl; □, 1.0 mM NaCl. Solid lines, in the absence of lincomycin; dashed line, in the presence of 250 \( \mu \text{g mL}^{-1} \) lincomycin. The activity that corresponded to 100% was 562 ± 49 \( \mu \text{mol O}_2 \text{ mg}^{-1} \text{ Chl h}^{-1} \). Each point and bar represent the average ± se of results from five independent experiments.

Inhibition by NaCl of Recovery of the Light-Induced Quenching of Chl Fluorescence

To identify the site of damage to PSII, we monitored the light-induced quenching of Chl fluorescence in the presence of sodium dithionite. Such quenching corresponds to the reduction of pheophytin \( a \) in the photochemical reaction center complex in intact cells (Klimov et al., 1986; Allakhverdiev et al., 1988; Ke, 2001). When *Synechocystis* cells were exposed for 150 min to light at 500 \( \mu \text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) in the presence of 1.0 mM NaCl, the extent of the light-induced quenching decreased to 20% of the original level; in low-salt medium (20 mM NaCl), there was no detectable decrease in light-induced quenching, as shown in Figure 3A.

We also examined the effects of NaCl on the recovery of the light-induced quenching of Chl fluorescence after cells had been exposed to light at 2,000 \( \mu \text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) for 100 min. In low-salt medium, the light-induced quenching returned to normal within 2 h. However, in the presence of 1.0 mM NaCl, such recovery was completely suppressed (Fig. 3B). These results suggested that the site of damage to PSII under light and salt stress might be the photochemical reaction center.

Inhibition by NaCl of Protein Synthesis

We used western blotting analysis to examine the effects of NaCl on the level of D1 during incubation of *Synechocystis* cells in light at 500 \( \mu \text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) (Fig. 4). The level of D1 decreased slowly in low-salt medium. High-salt conditions (1.0 mM NaCl) accelerated the decrease in the level of D1, but lincomycin did not accelerate this decrease. However, the level of D1 was still close to 50% of the original level after incubation of cells in light in the presence of 1.0 mM NaCl for 4 h, conditions that completely abolished the activity of PSII. This discrepancy might be explained by the fact that immunoblotting analysis revealed the impaired form of D1 in addition to the active form (Barber and Andersson, 1992; Aro et al., 1993).

To monitor the synthesis of D1 de novo during the repair of PSII, we incubated cells for 100 min under strong light (2,000 \( \mu \text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)), which reduced the activity of PSII to 10% of the original level (see Fig. 2), and we then incubated the cells under weak light (70 \( \mu \text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)) for 4 h in the presence of NaCl at various concentrations. The level of D1 decreased by 50% during the exposure of cells to strong light (Fig. 4). During subsequent repair in weak light, the level of D1 returned to normal in low-salt medium (data not shown), reflecting the repair of PSII. In the presence of 1.0 mM NaCl, there was no increase in the level of D1. Therefore, we postulated that NaCl inhibited the synthesis of D1 de novo.

We examined the effects of NaCl on protein synthesis de novo by monitoring the incorporation of \( [^{35}\text{S}]\text{Met} \) into proteins in thylakoid membranes. Fig-
Figure 5A shows that the presence of 0.5 mM NaCl markedly suppressed the synthesis of almost all proteins. However, these conditions also induced the expression of a specific protein of approximately 25 kD. No similar induction of this protein was observed in the presence of 20 mM NaCl. Identification and characterization of this protein will be the focus of future research. The presence of 1.0 mM NaCl totally inhibited the synthesis of all proteins (Fig. 5A).

We further examined quantitatively the effect of NaCl on the synthesis of D1 de novo (Fig. 5B).
Under normal conditions, i.e. in the presence of 20 mM NaCl, incorporation of radioactive Met was rapid and reached a maximum level at 20 min. However, the incorporation in 0.5 mM NaCl was distinct but at a low rate. This might correspond to the relatively slow decline of PSII activity in 0.5 mM NaCl at 500 μmol e^{-} m^{-2} s^{-1} (see Fig. 1A). At 1.0 mM NaCl, no incorporation of radioactive Met was observed, which might correspond to the rapid inactivation of PSII (see Fig. 1A).

### Inhibition by NaCl of the Synthesis of Pre-D1

We examined the effects of NaCl on the level of pre-D1 in further detail by western blotting. Figure 6 (top panel) shows that specific antibodies raised...
against a peptide of 16 amino acid residues that corresponded to the carboxy-terminal extension of pre-D1 (products of the \textit{psbAII} and \textit{psbAIII} genes) detected two proteins with molecular masses of 34 to 35 and 32 to 33 kD, respectively. We postulated that the top and bottom bands on the gel corresponded to pre-D1 and an intermediate in the processing of pre-D1, respectively, as proposed by Inagaki et al. (2001), and we designated these proteins pre-D1-1 and pre-D1-2, respectively. By contrast, antibodies against D1 detected a protein of 31 kD.

Figure 6 (bottom panels) shows changes in the levels of pre-D1-1 and pre-D1-2 during exposure of cells to light at 500 \( \mu \text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \). In low-salt medium, levels of pre-D1-1 and pre-D1-2 increased with time. In the presence of 0.5 m NaCl, the increases in levels of both proteins were suppressed by more than 50%. In the presence of 1.0 m NaCl, there was no increase at all in the level of either form of pre-D1.

The levels of pre-D1-1 and pre-D1-2 reflect a balance between their synthesis (translation of \textit{psbA} transcripts), processing, and degradation. To focus specifically on effects of NaCl on rates of processing and degradation, we exposed cells to light at 500 \( \mu \text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) for 180 min to raise levels of pre-D1-1 and pre-D1-2 to a maximum (see Fig. 6), and then we added lincomycin to block any synthesis of pre-D1 de novo. Under these conditions, we were able to examine the effects of NaCl on the stability of pre-D1-1 and pre-D1-2. Figure 7 clearly demonstrates that NaCl had no effect on the stability of pre-D1-1 and pre-D1-2. These results, together with the results in Figure 6, suggest that the decreases in the levels of pre-D1-1 and pre-D1-2, as seen in Figure 5, might have been caused by inhibition of the synthesis de novo of pre-D1 and not by acceleration of the processing and/or degradation of the precursor proteins.

**Inhibition by NaCl of the Transcription of \textit{psbA} Genes**

To identify the step(s) in the synthesis of D1 de novo that is inhibited by NaCl, we examined the effects of NaCl on the levels of transcripts of \textit{psbA} genes, which encode pre-D1, during incubation of \textit{Synechocystis} in light at 500 \( \mu \text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) (Fig. 8). The level of \textit{psbA} (\textit{psbAII} and \textit{psbAIII}) transcripts increased rapidly in low-salt medium. The presence of 0.5 M NaCl markedly delayed the increase in the level of these transcripts. However, the level of the transcripts at the stationary phase, namely, after a 180-min incubation in light at 500 \( \mu \text{E m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \), was unaffected by 0.5 M NaCl. The presence of 1.0 M NaCl completely abolished any increase in the level of the transcripts.

The level of \textit{psbA} transcripts is a result of a balance between the rate of transcription of the \textit{psbA} genes and the rate of degradation of the \textit{psbA} transcripts. Therefore, a decrease in levels of \textit{psbA} transcripts could be explained by the suppression of transcription or by destabilization of the transcripts. To identify the process that contributed to the inhibitory effect of NaCl, we designed an experiment in which the stability of \textit{psbA} transcripts was separated from the rate of transcription by rifampicin, an inhibitor of transcription. In the experiment presented in Figure 9, rifampicin was added after the level of \textit{psbA} transcripts reached a maximum level to observe the degradation of \textit{psbA} transcripts. Under normal conditions, i.e. at 20 mm of NaCl, the transcripts decayed with a half-life time of about 5 min. In the presence of 0.5 M or 1.0 M NaCl, the decay of the \textit{psbA} transcripts was significantly slower than in 20 mm NaCl. These results demonstrate clearly that NaCl did not destabilize the 1.2-kb \textit{psbA} transcripts, but rather, stabilized them. These findings, together with the results in Figure 8, strongly suggest that NaCl inhibited transcription of the \textit{psbA} genes.
Effects of NaCl on Overall Gene Expression in *Synechocystis*

We used a DNA microarray to examine the effects of NaCl on the expression of genes other than the *psbA* genes (Table I). The set of genes whose expression was induced by strong light alone was essentially the same as that reported by Hihara et al. (2001). However, the expression of *psb* genes for other components of PSII was not significantly induced by strong light. Table I shows the striking effects on gene expression of NaCl at 0.5 M. The inducibility by light of approximately 60% of light-inducible genes was strongly diminished by salt stress, and that of approximately 20% was moderately suppressed. The inducibility by light of a further 20% of light-inducible genes was enhanced by 0.5 M NaCl.

At 1.0 M of NaCl, none of the light-inducible genes was induced by light. These observations indicated that the inducibility by light of transcription was depressed not only in the case of *psbA* genes, but also in the case of almost all of the light-inducible genes.

**DISCUSSION**

**NaCl Inhibits the Repair of PSII**

Previous studies of the photosynthetic machinery in vivo have demonstrated that salt stress enhances the light-induced inactivation of PSII (Neale and Melis, 1989; Lu and Zhang, 1999). In the present study, we confirmed the synergistic negative effects of light stress and salt stress on the PSII complex in *Synecho-

![Figure 8. Effects of NaCl on levels of *psbA* transcripts during incubation of *Synechocystis* cells in light. Cells were incubated in light at 500 μE m⁻² s⁻¹ in the presence of 20 mM, 0.5 M, or 1.0 M NaCl. At designated times, a portion of the cell suspension was withdrawn for extraction of RNA, which was subjected to northern-blotting analysis as described in the text. The levels of transcripts were normalized by reference to levels of rRNA and the results are shown quantitatively in the bottom panel. O, 20 mM; □, 0.5 M; △, 1.0 M NaCl. Each point and bar represent the average ± SE of results from three independent experiments.

Figure 8. Effects of NaCl on levels of *psbA* transcripts during incubation of *Synechocystis* cells in light. Cells were incubated in light at 500 μE m⁻² s⁻¹ in the presence of 20 mM, 0.5 M, or 1.0 M NaCl. At designated times, a portion of the cell suspension was withdrawn for extraction of RNA, which was subjected to northern-blotting analysis as described in the text. The levels of transcripts were normalized by reference to levels of rRNA and the results are shown quantitatively in the bottom panel. O, 20 mM; □, 0.5 M; △, 1.0 M NaCl. Each point and bar represent the average ± SE of results from three independent experiments.

![Figure 9. Effects of NaCl on the stability of *psbA* transcripts during incubation of *Synechocystis* cells in the presence of rifampicin. Cells were incubated for 45 min in light at 500 μE m⁻² s⁻¹ in the presence of 20 mM NaCl. Then, 300 μg mL⁻¹ rifampicin was added together with 0.5 M or 1.0 M NaCl, and incubation was continued in light at 500 μE m⁻² s⁻¹. At designated times, a portion of the cell suspension was withdrawn for extraction of RNA, which was subjected to northern-blotting analysis as described in the text. The results are shown quantitatively in the bottom panel. The other experimental conditions were the same as those described in the legend to Figure 8. O, 20 mM; □, 0.5 M; △, 1.0 M NaCl. Each point and bar represent the average ± SE of results from four independent experiments.

Figure 9. Effects of NaCl on the stability of *psbA* transcripts during incubation of *Synechocystis* cells in the presence of rifampicin. Cells were incubated for 45 min in light at 500 μE m⁻² s⁻¹ in the presence of 20 mM NaCl. Then, 300 μg mL⁻¹ rifampicin was added together with 0.5 M or 1.0 M NaCl, and incubation was continued in light at 500 μE m⁻² s⁻¹. At designated times, a portion of the cell suspension was withdrawn for extraction of RNA, which was subjected to northern-blotting analysis as described in the text. The results are shown quantitatively in the bottom panel. The other experimental conditions were the same as those described in the legend to Figure 8. O, 20 mM; □, 0.5 M; △, 1.0 M NaCl. Each point and bar represent the average ± SE of results from four independent experiments.
Experiments with two samples from independent cultures. Damage was in-

cystis. The extent of the light-induced inactivation of PSII reflects a balance between the rate at which damage is induced and the rate of repair of PSII (Greer et al., 1986). In our experimental system, the light-induced damage to PSII and the repair of PSII were clearly separate phenomena. Damage was inflicted by strong light (500 \mu E \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}) in the presence of lincomycin (Fig. 1), whereas repair was achieved in weak light (70 \mu E \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}) after PSII had been damaged by exposure of cells to very strong light (2,000 \mu E \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}; Figs. 2 and 3). Salt stress (1.0 M NaCl) strongly inhibited repair, but had no effect on the light-induced damage to PSII.

In natural habitats, photosynthetic organisms are often exposed to light stress and, in many instances, salt stress is combined with light stress. Thus, the combined effects of salt and light stress are of considerable importance in nature and agriculture.
NaCl Inhibits the Synthesis of Proteins de Novo

We attempted to determine whether the synthesis of D1 from the psbA genes was regulated at the level of transcription of the psbA genes, at the level of translation and stability of psbA transcripts, and/or at the level of processing and stability of pre-D1. Northern-blotting analysis (Figs. 8 and 9) indicated that 1.0 m NaCl abolished the accumulation of psbA transcripts by inhibiting transcription. Labeling of proteins in vivo provided direct evidence for the inhibition by NaCl of the synthesis of D1 de novo (Fig. 5). Thus, it seems likely that inhibition by 1.0 m NaCl of the synthesis of D1 de novo occurs primarily at the transcriptional level (Fig. 10). The specific step(s) in transcription that is inhibited by NaCl remains to be identified.

We observed two bands after western blotting with antibodies against the carboxy-terminal extension of pre-D1, namely, the amino acid sequence SGE GAP- VAL TAP AVNG. Shestakov et al. (1994) demonstrated that pre-D1 is converted to D1 by CtpA, a specific carboxy-terminal-processing protease. Inagaki et al. (2001) demonstrated that this processing involves two separate steps and, moreover, that the top and bottom bands observed after gel electrophoresis correspond to pre-D1 (pre-D1-1) and an intermediate in the processing of pre-D1-1, namely, pre-D1-2.

Western-blotting analysis of pre-D1 (Fig. 6) indicated that levels of pre-D1-1 and pre-D1-2 in cells that had been incubated in the presence of 0.5 m NaCl were about 50% of those in low-salt medium (20 mm NaCl), which might correspond to the 50% level of recovery of PSII activity shown in Figure 2. The level of pre-D1 is the result of a balance between the synthesis, processing, and degradation of the protein, and the results in Figure 7 indicate that NaCl had no effect on the processing and degradation of either form of pre-D1. Northern-blotting analysis, for which results are shown in Figures 8 and 9, demonstrated that in the presence of 0.5 m NaCl, the accumulation of psbA transcripts was delayed, but the maximum level of psbA transcripts was unaffected. These observations suggest that translation of psbA transcripts to yield pre-D1 was partially inhibited by 0.5 m NaCl.

Taken together, our results indicate that NaCl inhibited the transcription and translation of psbA genes (Fig. 10). However, inhibition of transcription was the salient factor that was primarily responsible for inhibition of the repair of the PSII complex at 1.0 m NaCl, whereas inhibition of translation was most responsible for the partial inhibition of repair at 0.5 m NaCl.

The Overall Transcription and Translation of Genes Is Affected by NaCl

The results of DNA microarray analysis (Table I) demonstrated that 1.0 m NaCl completely inhibited the light-induced accumulation of the transcripts of all the light-inducible genes, confirming the results of labeling with [35S]Met. These observations suggest that inhibition of transcription by 1.0 m NaCl was the primary cause of inhibition of the light-induced synthesis of light-inducible proteins (Fig. 10). At 0.5 m NaCl, transcription of most of the light-inducible genes ceased to be inducible by light. However, the light inducibility of some light-inducible genes was enhanced to some extent. These results might correspond to the synthesis of a protein of 25 kD (Fig. 5), whose light inducibility was enhanced in 0.5 m NaCl. However, it is unclear which gene encoded the 25-kD protein.

The results of labeling with [35S]Met (Fig. 5) demonstrated that 1.0 m NaCl inhibited the light-induced synthesis de novo not only of D1, but also of all other proteins. At 0.5 m NaCl, light also inhibited the light-induced synthesis of all the light-inducible proteins, with a few exceptions, for example, the 25-kD protein. At 0.5 m NaCl, the light inducibility of the synthesis of D1 de novo was reduced and synthesis of the 25-kD protein appeared to be enhanced. Thus, the salt stress due to NaCl significantly depressed the light inducibility of the synthesis de novo of almost all of the light-inducible genes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and Culture Conditions

The original sample of Synechocystis sp. PCC 6803 was kindly donated by Dr. John G. K. Williams (DuPont de Nemours & Co., Wilmington, DE). Cells were grown photoautotrophically in glass tubes (2.5 cm, i.d., × 20 cm; 100 mL) at 34°C under constant illumination from incandescent lamps at 70 μE m⁻² s⁻¹ (in which E indicates an Einstein, namely, 1 mole of photons) in BG-11 medium (Stanier et al., 1971) supplemented with 20 mm HEPES-NaOH, pH 7.5. This medium contained 20 mm Na⁺ ions and is referred to as low-salt medium. By contrast, medium that contained added NaCl is
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to as high-salt medium. Cultures were aerated with sterile air that contained 1% (v/v) CO2 (Ono and Murata, 1981).

**Exposure of Cells to Light Stress and Salt Stress**

Cells from 3-d-old cultures were harvested by centrifugation at 6,000g for 6 min at room temperature and were resuspended in fresh BG-11 medium at a Chl concentration of 5 ± 0.05 µg mL⁻¹. Suspensions of cells were then incubated at 34°C for 2 h in 100-mL glass tubes in growth chambers under conditions identical to the original culture conditions. Salt stress was applied by addition of NaCl at 0.5 or 1.0 M, and light stress involved exposure to light at 500 or 2,000 µE m⁻² s⁻¹. In some experiments, protein synthesis was blocked by inclusion in the medium of 250 µg mL⁻¹ lincomycin (Sigma Chemical, St. Louis), which was added to the culture medium 10 min before the start of incubation.

**Measurement of Photosynthetic Activity**

We measured the activity of PSII in intact cells by monitoring oxygen-evolving activity at 34°C with a Clark-type oxygen electrode (Hansatech Instruments, King’s Lynn, UK) in the presence of 1.0 mM 1,4-benzoquinone, which accepts electrons from PSII and inhibits respiration (Ono and Murata, 1981; Tasaka et al., 1996), as described previously (Allakhverdiev et al., 1999; 2000a, 2000b). The sample, in a 3-mL cuvette, was illuminated by light that had been passed through a red optical filter (R-60; Toshiba, Tokyo) and an infrared-absorbing filter (HA-50; Hoya Glass, Tokyo). The intensity of light at the surface of the cuvette was 2,000 µE m⁻² s⁻¹.

**Kinetics of Changes in the Fluorescence of Chl a**

Light-induced quenching of Chl fluorescence due to the reduction of pheophytin (Klimov et al., 1986; Allakhverdiev et al., 1988; Ke, 2001) in intact cells was monitored with a fluorometer (FAM-101; Heinz Walz, Effeltrich, Germany) in the pulse-amplitude modulation mode. The light-induced quenching of Chl fluorescence was measured at 34°C in the presence of 1 mg mL⁻¹ sodium dithionite after continuous exposure of the sample to actinic light (λ > 520 nm) from an incandescent lamp (KL-1500 Electronic; Schott Glasswerke, Wiesbaden, Germany) at 2,700 µE m⁻² s⁻¹. The concentration of Chl was determined as described by Arnon et al. (1974).

**Labeling of Proteins in Vivo**

A suspension of cells at a concentration corresponding to 5 ± 0.05 µg Chl mL⁻¹ was supplemented with 10 mM [³⁵S]Met (>1,000 Ci mmol⁻¹; Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Buckinghamshire, UK), as described previously (Nishiyama et al., 2001). Then the suspension was incubated at 34°C for designated periods of time in light at 500 µE m⁻² s⁻¹ in the presence of 20 mM, 0.5 M, or 1.0 M NaCl. The labeling was terminated by the addition of nonradioactive Met to a final concentration of 1.0 mM and immediate cooling of samples in iced water. Cells were collected by centrifugation at 5,000g for 6 min at 4°C, and thylakoid membranes were isolated from these cells as described previously (Allakhverdiev et al., 2000a). Thylakoid membranes were solubilized by incubation for 5 min at 65°C in 60 mM Tris[hydroxymethyl]-aminoethane (pH adjusted to 6.8 with HCl) that contained 2% (w/v) SDS, 5% (v/v) 2-mercaptoethanol, and 10% (v/v) glycerol, and then proteins were separated by PAGE (12.5% [w/v] polyacrylamide) in the presence of 0.08% (w/v) SDS and 6 μM urea, as described previously (Laemmli, 1970; Taguchi et al., 1993, 1995). Solubilized thylakoid membranes corresponding to 0.8 µg of Chl a were loaded in each lane. Labeled proteins on the gel were visualized by exposure of the dried and fixed gel to x-ray film. Radioactivity of radiolabeled D1 was quantitated with a digital camera system (LAS-1000; Fuji Photo Film, Tokyo).

**Western-Blotting Analysis**

Thylakoid membranes were isolated and solubilized as described previously (Allakhverdiev et al., 2000a) and as summarized above. After electrophoresis, the separated proteins were blotted onto a nitrocellulose mem-

brace (Schleicher & Schuell, Keene, NH) in a semidy transfer apparatus (Atto Co., Tokyo). D1 and pre-D1 were then detected immunologically with an enhanced chemiluminescence western-blotting kit according to the pro-
tocol supplied with the kit (Amersham International, Buckinghamshire, UK). The D1 protein was detected with rabbit antibodies raised against amino acid residues 55 through 78 in the AB loop of D1 from spinach (Taguchi et al., 1995). These antibodies recognize the products (D1) of psbA, psbB, and psbC genes because the amino acid sequence of the AB loop is exactly the same among the three kinds of product. The pre-D1 protein was detected with rabbit antibodies raised against an oligopeptide of 16 amino acid residues (SGEAPVALTAPVNG) that corresponded to the carboxyl terminus of pre-D1 (the products of the psbA and psbC genes) from Synechocystis. As second antibodies, we used horseradish peroxidase-linked antibodies raised in donkey against rabbit immunoglobulin G (Amersham International). The antibodies raised in rabbit against D1 were kindly pro-

viding by Prof. Kinjiuki Satoh (Department of Biology, Okayama Univer-
sity, Japan), and the antibodies against pre-D1 were generated in our laboratory. The digital camera system was used to monitor signals from blotted membranes and to quantify D1 and pre-D1.

**Northern-Blotting Analysis**

Total RNA was extracted from cells, and northern-blotting analysis was performed as described previously (Los et al., 1997). Rifampicin was used as an inhibitor of transcription to determine the stability of psbA transcripts. Equal amounts of RNA (4 µg) from each sample were loaded on the gel and RNA was visualized by staining with ethidium bromide. A 1.1-kb fragment of DNA that included the coding region of the psbA gene was amplified by the PCR with primers 5'-AAGCCTCCTCCACACCGCGCAA-3' and 5'-CGTTCGTCATTACTTCAAAAAGGG-3' and genomic DNA from Synechocystis as the template. The amplified fragment of DNA was ligated into the TA cloning vector pBluescript II (Novagen, Darmstadt, Germany). The plasmid was digested at the HindII and NotI sites within the insert. The resultant 700-bp fragment of DNA was conjugated with alkaline phosphatase using an Alkphos Direct kit (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ) and the conjugate was used as the probe. This probe recognizes the transcripts of psbA and psbB genes. After hybridization, blots were soaked in CSP-star solution (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech), and signals from hybridized RNAs were detected with the digital camera system.

**Preparation of cDNAs for DNA Microarray Analysis**

Cells in culture were killed by the addition of an equal volume of an ice-cold mixture of phenol and ethanol (1:20, w/v) in an ice bath. Total RNA was then extracted as described previously (Los et al., 1997) and was treated with RNase-free DNase I (Nippon Gene, Tokyo) to remove contaminating genomic DNA. cDNAs, labeled with fluorescent dyes (Cy3 and Cy5; Amersham Pharmacia Biotech), were prepared from 10 µg of total RNA with an RNA Fluorescence Labeling Core kit (M-MLV, version 2.0; Takara Co., Kyoto) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

**DNA Microarray Analysis**

Genome-wide analysis of transcription was performed with a DNA microarray, as described previously (Suzuki et al., 2003; Kanesaki et al., 2002). In brief, we used a Synechocystis DNA microarray (CyanoCHIP, v1.5; Takara Co.), which included 3,078 of a total of 3,169 genes for hybridization by incubation for 16 h at 65°C with Cy3- and Cy5-labeled cDNAs in 30 µL of 6X SSC (1X SSC contains 150 mM NaCl and 15 mM sodium citrate), 0.2% (w/v) SDS, 5% Denhardt’s solution, and 100 ng µL⁻¹ denatured salmon sperm DNA. After hybridization, the microarray was washed with 2X SSC at 60°C for 10 min, with 0.2X SSC that contained 0.1% (w/v) SDS at 60°C for 10 min, and finally with 0.2X SSC at room temperature. After the final rinse, all moisture was removed by evaporation under an air spray prior to analysis with an array scanner (GMS 418; Affymetrix, Woburn, MA). Signals were quantified with ImaGene, version 4.1 software (BioDiscovery, Los Angeles) with normalization by reference to the total intensity of signals from all genes with the exception of genes for rRNAs. This procedure allowed calculation of changes in the level of the transcript of each gene relative to the total amount of mRNA.
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Prof. Komiuki Satoh, Okayama University, for his generous gift of antibodies against D1 and Prof. Itzhak Ohad, Hebrew University, for helpful discussions and comments on the manuscript.

Received July 10, 2002; returned for revision July 29, 2002; accepted August 16, 2002.

LITERATURE CITED


Copyright © 2002 American Society of Plant Biologists. All rights reserved.