The as-1 Promoter Element Is an Oxidative Stress-Responsive Element and Salicylic Acid Activates It via Oxidative Species

Virginia Garretón, Jorge Carpinelli, Xavier Jordana, and Loreto Holuigue* 
Departamento de Genética Molecular y Microbiología, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, P. Universidad Católica de Chile, Santiago, Chile

The activation sequence-1 (as-1)-like element found in the promoter of a glutathione S-transferase (GST) gene, has been previously described as a salicylic acid (SA)- and auxin-responsive element. In this paper, we tested the hypothesis that the activating effect of SA on the as-1 element is mediated by oxidative species. Supporting this hypothesis, our results show that the antioxidants dimethylthiourea (DMTU) and 3-t-butyl-4-hydroxy-anizole (BHA) inhibit the SA-induced transcription of genes controlled by as-1 elements in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) plants [i.e. GNT35 gene coding for a GST and (as-1)/β-glucuronidase (GUS) reporter transgene]. DMTU and BHA also inhibit SA-activated as-1-binding activity in nuclear extracts. Further support for the hypothesis that the as-1 element is activated by oxidative species comes from our result showing that light potentiates the SA-induced activation of the as-1 element. Furthermore, methyl viologen, a known oxidative stress inducer in plants, also activates the as-1 element. Increasing H2O2 levels by incubation with H2O2 or with the catalase inhibitor 3-amino-1,2,5-triazole does not activate the (as-1)/GUS gene. On the contrary, 3-amino-1,2,5-triazole inhibits the activating effect of SA on the (as-1)/GUS gene. These results suggest that oxidative species other than H2O2 mediate the activation of the as-1 element by SA. Our results also suggest that even though the as-1 binding activity is stimulated by oxidative stress, this is not sufficient for the transactivation of genes controlled by this element. The complex interplay between SA and reactive oxygen species in the transcriptional activation of defense genes is discussed.

Salicylic acid (SA) is a phenolic hormone that plays a crucial role in stress resistance in plants (Durner et al., 1997; Alvarez, 2000). Cellular levels of SA increase in the onset of pathogen-induced defense reactions, locally in the infected tissues or systemically in noninfected tissues (Malamy et al., 1990). Increased levels of SA are required to activate the transcription of defense genes and to develop an efficient pathogen resistance response (Gaffney et al., 1993; Delaney et al., 1994). It is interesting that accumulation of SA and the activation of defense genes have been also reported to occur after exposure of plants to ozone or UV radiation (Yalpani et al., 1994; Rao and Davis, 1999). Pathogen infection and exposure to ozone or UV radiation are associated with an accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in plants. The appropriate balance in the cellular levels of SA and ROS seems to be crucial for the efficient activation of defense responses against the above-mentioned environmental stressors (Draper, 1997; Van Camp et al., 1998; Alvarez, 2000; Van Breusegem et al., 2001).

One class of defense genes activated by SA is the glutathione S-transferase (GST) class of genes that code for the GSTs. In plants, GSTs are key enzymes in the metabolism of xenobiotics and secondary products. They catalyze the formation of glutathione conjugates, which are transported into the vacuole for further metabolism (Edwards et al., 2000). In addition, plant GSTs play a role in the binding and transport of hormones and in the reduction of organic hydroperoxides, thus protecting the cells against oxidative stress (Edwards et al., 2000). Therefore, it is not surprising that expression of GST genes is activated under stressful conditions, such as pathogen infection (Alvarez et al., 1998; Maleck et al., 2000; Pontier et al., 2001). It is interesting that the GST genes that are activated by SA are also activated by high concentrations of auxins and methyl jasmonate (Ulmasov et al., 1994; Xiang et al., 1996; Chen and Singh, 1999).

A defined SA-responsive element has been found in the promoter of several SA-inducible GST genes such as GNT35 from tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) and GST6 from Arabidopsis (Ulmasov et al., 1994; Droog et al., 1995; Chen and Singh, 1999). This element, named activation sequence-1 (as-1), was first described in viral and bacterial promoters (Lam et al., 1989) and is characterized by two TGACG motifs that bind basic/Leu zipper transcription factors of the plant TGA family in vitro and in vivo (Xiang et al., 1997; Johnson et al., 2001a). It is interesting that this promoter element is also responsive to high concentrations of auxins and methyl jasmonate (Ulmasov et al., 1994; Xiang et al., 1996).
One of the intriguing aspects in the mechanism of gene activation via as-1-like promoter elements is that the same element is responsive to several chemically unrelated phytohormones. One possibility is that activation of the as-1 element is mediated by a common oxidative species produced by these hormones (Ulmasov et al., 1994). Several lines of evidence support this idea. First, it has been reported that treatment of plants with SA and auxins increases the levels of oxidative species (Chen et al., 1993; Candeias et al., 1996; Rao et al., 1997; Anderson et al., 1998; Kawano et al., 1998; Joo et al., 2001). Second, the as-1 promoter element has high homology with the AP-1 box (TGACTCAT), a well-known oxidative stress-responsive element in mammals (Karim et al., 1997). TGA factors consistently share homology in their DNA-binding domain with c-jun, a member of the AP-1 transcription complex (Katagiri et al., 1989). Third, AP-1-like sequences found in the promoter of yeast and mammalian GST genes have been defined as elements responsive to oxidative signals (Rushmore and Picket, 1993).

In this paper, we provide new evidence supporting the hypothesis that the as-1 promoter sequence acts as an oxidative stress-responsive element and that its activation by SA is mediated by oxidative species. In light of these results, the role of SA and ROS in the transcriptional activation of defense genes is discussed.

RESULTS

Effect of Antioxidants on the Activation of the as-1 Promoter Element by SA

To determine whether the activation of the as-1 promoter element by SA is mediated by oxidative species, we first evaluated if antioxidants are able to inhibit some SA responses in tobacco plants. We measured three effects induced by SA on the as-1 element: the increased binding of nuclear proteins to the as-1 sequence (Stange et al., 1997), the induction of the GUS reporter gene controlled by four copies of the as-1 element ([as-1]G/GUS transgene; Hidalgo et al., 2001), and the transcriptional activation of the GNT35 endogenous gene, which contains a functional as-1 element in its promoter (Droog et al., 1995). The antioxidants used were dimethylthiourea (DMTU), described mainly as a trap of hydroxyl radicals (Fox, 1984), and butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA), a general radical scavenger (Rehwoldt, 1986). Before evaluating whether DMTU and BHA inhibit the SA effect, we determined their efficiency and specificity at the concentrations used in our study. The efficiency of DMTU and BHA as antioxidants was evaluated by their ability to prevent the oxidative membrane damage produced by methyl viologen (MV) and SA. MV has been reported to generate superoxide radicals, causing cell membrane damage, as evidenced by ion leakage assays (Bowler et al., 1991). The effect of SA as an ROS generator has been also described (Chen et al., 1993; Rao et al., 1997; Anderson et al., 1998; Kawano et al., 1998), and in this paper, we measured its effect on cell membrane damage by using the ion leakage assay. Figure 1A shows that DMTU (25 mM) inhibited MV- and SA-induced membrane damage by 50% and 80%, respectively. Larger DMTU concentrations were unable to further inhibit the effect of MV or SA (data not shown). On the other hand, BHA (0.75 and 1 mM) completely inhibited the oxidative damage produced by MV and SA (Fig. 1B). Figure 1, A and B, also shows that differences in the effect of SA (1 mM) and MV (50 μM) are not statistically significant.

To test the specificity of DMTU and BHA, we measured mRNA levels of the constitutive actin gene in the presence of these antioxidants. Total RNA was isolated from tobacco leaf discs pretreated with the antioxidants for 30 min, followed by a treatment in the presence or absence of 1 mM SA for 2.5 h. The levels of actin mRNA were detected by northern-blot analysis. As shown in Figure 1C, SA (1 mM), DMTU (25 mM), and BHA (1 mM) treatments did not affect the levels of actin mRNA, suggesting that these compounds do not exert nonspecific effects on transcription. In the same experiment, treatment with SA stimulated GNT35 gene expression, whereas BHA treatment inhibits this effect, as shown later in Figure 3B.

Once the controls for efficiency and specificity were completed, we evaluated the effects of DMTU and BHA on the SA-activated expression of ([as-1]G/GUS and GNT35 genes. For this purpose, tobacco leaf discs were pretreated for 30 min with DMTU (10 or 25 mM) or BHA (0.75 or 1 mM), and then treatment proceeded in the presence or absence of SA (0.5 or 1 mM) for the indicated periods (Figs. 2 and 3). Expression of the ([as-1]G/GUS gene was detected by assaying GUS activity, whereas expression of the GNT35 gene was detected by northern blot. DMTU partially inhibited the SA-activated expression of the ([as-1]G/GUS gene (Fig. 2A) and the GNT35 gene (Fig. 2B). Twenty-five millimolar DMTU inhibited, by 76% and 46%, the GUS expression activated by 0.5 and 1 mM SA, respectively. Ten millimolar DMTU, on the other hand, inhibited by 28% the GUS expression induced by 1 mM SA.

BHA, a general radical scavenger, was more efficient than DMTU in inhibiting the SA-activated expression of the ([as-1]G/GUS (Fig. 3A) and GNT35 gene (Fig. 3B). GUS expression activated by 1 mM SA was reduced 95% and 98% by 0.75 and 1 mM BHA, respectively (Fig. 3A). In addition, the accumulation of the GNT35 mRNA induced by 1 mM SA was also strongly reduced in the presence of 1 mM BHA (Fig. 3B).

Differences in the magnitude of GUS activation ratios after SA treatment, such as those found in our study (compare Figs. 2 and 3), are usually detected when we used greenhouse plants grown at different periods of the year. These differences in SA effective-
ness could be due to differences in the cellular redox balance determined by seasonal changes in light intensity and ozone concentration (Bowler et al., 1991; Rao and Davis, 1999). Similar GUS activation ratio values were consistently obtained in greenhouse plants from the same batch.

The effect of antioxidants on the SA-activated binding of nuclear factors to the as-1 sequence was evaluated in nuclear extracts obtained from leaf samples pretreated for 30 min with DMTU (25 mM) or BHA (1 mM), and then incubated in the absence or presence of 1 mM SA for 1 h (Fig. 4). The as-1-binding activity was detected by gel mobility shift assay using a 36-bp DNA fragment containing one copy of the as-1 sequence as a probe. As shown in Figure 4, the SA-activated binding of nuclear proteins to the as-1 sequence was completely inhibited by 1 mM BHA and 25 mM DMTU. Furthermore, BHA alone inhibited the basal as-1-binding activity (Fig. 4), but had no effect on the basal GUS activity (Fig. 3A). A possible explanation for this difference is that plants used in the experiment in Figure 4 had a higher oxidative status than those used in the experiment in Figure 3. This different plant oxidative status could explain the high level of basal as-1-binding activity (Fig. 4), susceptible to be inhibited by BHA, compared with the low level of basal GUS and GNT35 genes expression (Fig. 3, A and B). This result is also consistent with the hypothesis that the as-1-binding activity is more sensitive to oxidative species than the transcriptional activity. Results from experiments made with MV support this last possibility (see Figs. 7 and 8 and “Discussion”). Specificity of the binding to the as-1 sequence was demonstrated by competition experiments (see Fig. 8B).

In summary, our results indicate that the ability of SA to activate the as-1 element is inhibited by antioxidants, with BHA being more efficient than DMTU. The higher efficiency of BHA (Fig. 1, A and B) may be related to the broader spectrum activity of BHA as a radical scavenger as compared with DMTU (Fox, 1984; Rehwoldt, 1986).

Figure 1. Effect of DMTU and BHA on oxidative membrane damage induced by MV and SA, and on transcription of a constitutive gene. A and B, Oxidative membrane damage was assayed by ion leakage from tobacco leaf discs (see “Materials and Methods”) after the following treatments. A, Preincubation for 30 min with water (-) or 25 mM DMTU (D25), followed by incubation for 5 h in the absence (C) or in the presence of 1 mM SA or 50 μM MV. B, Preincubation for 30 min with 0.1% (v/v) methanol (-), 0.75 mM BHA (B 0.75), or 1 mM BHA (B1), followed by incubation for 5 h in the absence (C) or in the presence of 50 μM MV or 1 mM SA. To calculate the percentage of leakage, the mean conductivity value of the control treatment with water (Fig. 1A) or 0.1% (v/v) methanol (Fig. 1B) was subtracted from the conductivity value of each sample, and then the percentage was calculated considering as 100% the treatment with 50 μM MV. Contribution of the treatment solution alone to the conductance of each sample was previously subtracted. Data presented are the mean ± SD of three independent experiments. Different letters indicate significantly different values (P < 0.05; ANOVA). C, Actin mRNA levels were detected by northern hybridization, using total RNA (20 μg per lane) isolated from samples subjected to the following treatments: Leaf discs obtained from wild-type tobacco plants were pretreated for 30 min with water (lanes 1 and 3), 25 mM DMTU (D25, lanes 2 and 4), 0.1% (v/v) methanol (lanes 5 and 7), and 1 mM BHA (B 1, lanes 6 and 8), and they were then treated for 2.5 h in the absence (C) or in the presence of 1 mM SA. A specific 32P-labeled actin gene fragment was used as a probe. Staining the gels with ethidium bromide (EtBr) controlled equal RNA loading.

Effect of Light on the Activation of the as-1 Element by SA

Unpublished results from our group indicate that the activation of the (as-1)/GUS gene by SA is stronger in the presence of light than in darkness. It is interesting that it has been described that slight increments in light intensity lead to the accumulation
of oxidized ascorbate and glutathione in tobacco plants (Willekens et al., 1997). The accumulation of these species is thought to be due to an increased production of ROS generated by the electron transport chain in the chloroplast (Willekens et al., 1997). If ROS levels are higher in the presence of light, we expected that the effect of SA on nuclear protein binding activity to the \( as-1 \) sequence and expression of \( GNT35 \) and \( (as-1)_4/GUS \) genes would also be potentiated by light. As shown in Figure 5A, the SA-activated expression of the \( (as-1)_4/GUS \) gene was 4.3 times higher in the presence of light than in the dark, and was significantly inhibited by DMTU in both cases. In a similar manner, the SA-activated expression of the \( GNT35 \) gene was also higher in the presence of light (Fig. 5B). The effect of SA on binding of nuclear proteins to the \( as-1 \) sequence was also stimulated by light (Fig. 6). In sum, results shown in Figures 5 and 6 indicate that the activation of the \( as-1 \) element by SA is potentiated by light. These findings are consistent with our hypothesis that oxidative species mediate the effect of SA on gene activation.

Effect of MV, \( H_2O_2 \), and the Catalase Inhibitor 3-Amino-1,2,5-Triazole (3AT) on the Activity of the \( as-1 \) Element

To provide further evidence for the idea that the \( as-1 \) element is responsive to oxidative signals, the

---

**Figure 2.** Effect of DMTU on the SA-activated expression of genes controlled by the \( as-1 \) promoter element. Leaf discs obtained from tobacco plants transformed with the \( (as-1)_4/GUS \) gene were pretreated for 30 min with water (-), 10 mM DMTU (D 10), or 25 mM DMTU (D 25), and were then treated for 5 h (A) or for 2.5 h (B) in the absence (C) or in the presence of 0.5 mM SA (SA 0.5) or 1 mM SA (SA 1). A, GUS activity measured in protein extracts obtained from samples subjected to the indicated treatments. Values are mean ± SD of three to six independent experiments. Different letters indicate significantly different values (\( P < 0.05 \); ANOVA). B, GNT35 mRNA levels detected by northern hybridization using total RNA (20 \( \mu g \) per lane) isolated from samples subjected to the indicated treatments. A specific \( ^3P \)-labeled \( GNT35 \) gene fragment was used as a probe. Staining the gels with EtBr controlled equal RNA loading.

**Figure 3.** Effect of BHA on the SA-activated expression of genes controlled by the \( as-1 \) promoter element. Leaf discs obtained from tobacco plants transformed with the \( (as-1)_4/GUS \) gene were pretreated for 30 min with 0.1% (v/v) methanol (-), 0.75 mM BHA (B 0.75), or 1 mM BHA (B 1), and were then treated for 5 h (A) or for 2.5 h (B) in the absence (C) or in the presence of 1 mM SA. A, GUS activity measured in protein extracts obtained from samples subjected to the indicated treatments. Values are mean ± SD of three independent experiments. Different letters indicate significantly different values (\( P < 0.05 \); ANOVA). B, GNT35 mRNA levels detected by northern hybridization using total RNA (20 \( \mu g \) per lane) isolated from samples subjected to the indicated treatments. A specific \( ^3P \)-labeled \( GNT35 \) gene fragment was used as a probe. Staining the gels with EtBr controlled equal RNA loading.
The activity of the as-1 promoter element was evaluated after treating plants with MV, H₂O₂, and 3AT, compounds known to alter the cellular concentration of oxidative species. MV increases superoxide radical production preferentially in the chloroplast (Bowler et al., 1991), H₂O₂ is a diffusible ROS (Van Breusegem et al., 2001), and 3AT is a specific inhibitor of plant and animal catalases (Chen et al., 1993), increasing intracellular H₂O₂ levels.

To evaluate the effect of MV on the expression of (as-1)₄/GUS and GNT35 genes, leaf samples were treated with MV (50 μM) for the indicated periods of time (Fig. 7). Treatment with SA (1 mM) was used as a positive control, and pretreatment with DMTU (10 mM) was used to evaluate the participation of ROS. Results shown in Figure 7, A and B, indicate that MV induced the expression of (as-1)₄/GUS and GNT35 genes, albeit to a lesser extent than SA. GUS gene expression activated by MV was significantly higher than that of controls and was partially reduced by 10 mM DMTU (Fig. 7A). The level of GNT35 mRNA was also increased by the treatment with MV, an effect that was counteracted by 10 mM DMTU (Fig. 7B).

To evaluate the effects of MV on as-1 binding, we compared the binding activities in MV- and SA-treated plants. For this purpose, we obtained leaf nuclear extracts from plants treated for 1 h with water, 1 mM SA, and 50 μM MV. The as-1-binding activity of these extracts was assayed. Fifty micromolar MV increased the as-1-binding activity as efficiently as 1 mM SA (Fig. 8A). Nuclear protein binding to the as-1 sequence activated by MV and SA can be competed with a 50× molar excess of the nonradioactive as-1 sequence, but not with the same excess of a mutated as-1 sequence (Fig. 8B), thus indicating the specificity of the binding. It is interesting to note that although MV was as efficient as SA in producing oxidative damage (Fig. 1) and in increasing as-1-binding activity (Fig. 8), it was much less efficient than SA in activating transcription of genes controlled by the as-1 promoter element (Fig. 7).

Figure 4. Effect of DMTU and BHA on the SA-activated binding of nuclear factors to the as-1 sequence. Gel mobility shift assays were carried out with nuclear extracts obtained from tobacco plants leaves pretreated for 30 min with 0.1% (v/v) methanol (-, lanes 2, 5, 7, and 10), 1 mM BHA (B, lanes 3 and 4), or 25 mM DMTU (D, lanes 8 and 9), and were then treated for 1 h in the absence (C) or in the presence of 1 mM SA. A ³²P-labeled DNA fragment containing one copy of the as-1 sequence was used as probe. Lanes 1 and 6 show control reactions without nuclear extract. An asterisk indicates that this second band, although it specifically binds as-1 (see Fig. 8), did not appear in all experiments.

Figure 5. Effect of light on the SA-activated transcription of genes controlled by the as-1 promoter element. A, Leaf discs obtained from tobacco plants transformed with the (as-1)₄/GUS gene were pretreated for 30 min with water (-), 10 mM DMTU (D 10), or 25 mM DMTU (D 25), and were then treated for 5 h in the absence (C) or in the presence of 1 mM SA. Treatments were performed in the presence of light (90 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹, white bars) or in the dark (black bars). GUS activity was measured in protein extracts obtained from these samples. Values are mean ± SD of three to six independent experiments. Different letters indicate significantly different values (P < 0.05; ANOVA). B, Leaf discs obtained from tobacco plants transformed with the (as-1)₄/GUS gene were treated for 2.5 h with water as a control (C) or with 1 mM SA in the presence of light (90 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) or in the dark. GNT35 mRNA levels were detected by northern hybridization using total RNA (20 μg per lane) isolated from these samples. Specific ³²P-labeled GNT35 and actin gene fragments were used as probes. Staining the gels with EtBr controlled equal loading.
To evaluate the effect of increasing extracellular concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ on the expression of the (as-1)$_4$/GUS gene, we treated leaf samples for 5 h with the indicated concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$, or with SA (1 mM) as a positive control. As shown in Figure 9A, H$_2$O$_2$ was not able to activate transcription of the (as-1)$_4$/GUS gene. The lack of effect by H$_2$O$_2$ was not related to H$_2$O$_2$ degradation in the incubation solution, because H$_2$O$_2$ levels were not altered after 5 h of treatment (data not shown).

The effect of inhibiting plant cellular catalases by treatment with 3AT on the expression of the (as-1)$_4$/GUS gene was also evaluated. Inhibition of catalases leads to an increased intracellular level of H$_2$O$_2$ (Chen et al., 1993). SA inhibits catalases, generating the accumulation of H$_2$O$_2$ and also a SA radical species. On the other hand, 3AT inactivates catalases irreversibly without generating free radicals (Durner and Klessig, 1996; Kvaratskhelia et al., 1997; Anderson et al., 1998). Therefore, the use of 3AT in the presence of SA allows us to evaluate not only the effect of increasing H$_2$O$_2$ concentration, but also the possible effect of preventing the accumulation of the SA-free radical (Anderson et al., 1998).

Results shown in Figure 9B indicate that treatment of leaf discs for 5 h with 0.1 and 1 mM 3AT did not activate the (as-1)$_4$/GUS gene, whereas a similar treatment with 10 mM 3AT activated it slightly. On the other hand, 3AT (1 and 10 mM) significantly reduced the effect of SA on (as-1)$_4$/GUS gene activation (Fig. 9B).

Therefore, the present results do not support a role for H$_2$O$_2$ in the SA signaling pathway. Far from potentiating the SA effects on (as-1)$_4$/GUS gene activation, inhibition of catalases activity by 3AT inhibited the activating effect of SA.

Taken together, the results shown in Figures 7 through 9 suggest that oxidative species different from H$_2$O$_2$ can be important for the activation of the as-1 promoter element by SA.

**DISCUSSION**

**Oxidative Species as Signals in the SA-Mediated Activation of the as-1 Promoter Element**

The findings of this study support the hypothesis that SA activates the as-1 sequence through oxidative species. According to our results, the oxidative species involved in the SA effect seem to be different...
from H$_2$O$_2$. Our results strengthen the idea that the as-1 sequence acts as an oxidative stress responsive element.

The idea that oxidative species act as intermediate signals of SA in the transcriptional regulation of defense genes has been extensively discussed in the literature (Chen et al., 1993; Bi et al., 1995; Neuenschwander et al., 1995; Durner and Klessig, 1996; Anderson et al., 1998; Chamnongpol et al., 1998). However, the discussion has been mainly focused on the role of H$_2$O$_2$ in the late transcriptional activation of pathogenesis-related (PR) genes by SA. Current evidence indicates that increased levels of H$_2$O$_2$ produced after SA treatment may play a role in potentiating SA-induced cell death in the local defense reaction (Alvarez, 2000). However, high levels of H$_2$O$_2$ do not seem to be directly involved in the systemic activation of PR genes by SA (Bi et al., 1995; Neuenschwander et al., 1995). Several reports present evidence supporting the idea that H$_2$O$_2$ mediates activation of PR genes by SA. In fact, it was reported that SA inhibits catalases, the major H$_2$O$_2$-degrading enzymes in plants (Conrath et al., 1995; Durner and Klessig, 1996). This, together with evidence of increased levels of H$_2$O$_2$ in tobacco leaves by SA treatment (1 mm, 3–24 h), and induction of PR-1a gene by H$_2$O$_2$ (1–5 mm, 48 h) and by the catalase inhibitor 3AT (1–4 mm, 48 h), lead Klessig and co-workers to postulate H$_2$O$_2$ as a second messenger for SA-mediated activation of PR genes (Chen et al., 1993). Thereafter, several reports using different

Figure 8. Effect of MV on the binding of nuclear factors to the as-1 sequence. Gel mobility shift assays were carried out with nuclear extracts from leaves of tobacco plants treated with water (C), 50 μM MV, or 1 mM SA. A $^{32}$P-labeled DNA fragment containing one copy of the as-1 sequence was used as a probe. Competition experiments were performed with 50× (B, lanes 4 and 9) and 150× molar excess (B, lanes 5 and 10) of the as-1 sequence, or 50× (B, lanes 2 and 7) and 150× molar excess (B, lanes 3 and 8) of a mutated as-1 sequence. An asterisk indicates that this second band, although it specifically binds as-1, did not appear in all experiments.

Figure 9. Effect of H$_2$O$_2$ and the catalase inhibitor 3AT on the expression of the (as-1)$_4$/GUS gene. A, Leaf discs obtained from tobacco plants transformed with the (as-1)$_4$/GUS gene were treated for 5 h with 1 mM SA or with the indicated concentrations of H$_2$O$_2$. Treatments with H$_2$O$_2$ were done in the dark to avoid H$_2$O$_2$ decomposition. GUS activity was measured in protein extracts obtained from these samples. Values are mean ± SD of three independent experiments. B, Leaf discs obtained from tobacco plants transformed with the (as-1)$_4$/GUS gene were pretreated for 30 min with water (-), 0.1 mM 3AT (A 0.1), 1 mM 3AT (A 1), or 10 mM 3AT (A 10), and were then treated for 5 h in the absence (C) or in the presence of 1 mM SA. GUS activity was measured in protein extracts obtained from these samples. Values are mean ± SD of three independent experiments. Different letters indicate significantly different values ($P < 0.05$; ANOVA).
strategies indicated that SA is required to have an effect of H$_2$O$_2$ on PR-1a expression, which supports the idea that H$_2$O$_2$ plays a role upstream rather than downstream of SA (Bi et al., 1995; Neuenschwander et al., 1995; Channapppol et al., 1998).

One of the main difficulties in the study of the SA-mediated signaling pathway leading to the activation of late genes, such as the PR genes, is the prolonged periods of incubation of plant tissues or cells with SA (over 24 h) required to activate PR transcription (Qin et al., 1994). The conclusions obtained from experiments using prolonged incubations with ROS-scavenging or ROS-generating compounds must be carefully considered because under these conditions, ROS not only influence PR expression, but also SA biosynthesis (Leéon et al., 1995; Neuenschwander et al., 1995).

In this paper, we explored the role of ROS downstream of SA in the immediate early transcriptional activation of genes controlled by the as-1 element. In our experiments, the effects of ROS-scavenging or ROS-generating compounds were evaluated using incubation periods of 1 to 5 h. Even though we cannot be sure that under our conditions, H$_2$O$_2$ treatments did not affect SA biosynthesis, published information and our results suggest that SA concentrations were not increased. Leéon et al. (1995) reported increased SA levels after a 6 h treatment of tobacco leaves with 150 mM H$_2$O$_2$. Treatment of tobacco leaves with H$_2$O$_2$ (0.1–100 mM) for 5 h did not consistently activate the expression of the (as-1)/GUS reporter gene, which is responsive to SA levels (Hidalgo et al., 2001).

An important question arising from this work is: Which are the oxidative species involved in the activation of the as-1 sequence? Results of treatment with H$_2$O$_2$ and 3AT shown in this paper suggest that H$_2$O$_2$ is not this signal. Although H$_2$O$_2$ has been reported to activate genes containing as-1-like promoter elements, like plant GST genes (Levine et al., 1994; Chen and Singh, 1999) or the NOS gene (Dai and An, 1995), there is no convincing evidence that this activation involves the participation of as-1 promoter elements (Dai and An, 1995; Xiang et al., 1996). The report presented by Chen and Singh (1999) found that H$_2$O$_2$ activates the as-1-like element from the GST6 promoter after long incubation periods (18 h). Taking into account previous reports (Leéon et al., 1995), it can be expected that SA biosynthesis occurred during the 18-h incubation. On the other hand, Xiang et al. (1996) showed activation of the as-1-like element from the NOS promoter by H$_2$O$_2$ in tobacco cell suspension culture (incubation for 2 h), but they were unable to reproduce this effect in whole seedlings. More conclusively, Dai and An (1995) reported that the H$_2$O$_2$-responsive element in the NOS gene promoter is located downstream the as-1-like element. Thus, it is unlikely that H$_2$O$_2$ functions as a signal in the SA activation of early genes.

On another hand, our results support the idea that oxidative species generated by SA, probably through its interaction with catalases, can be important for SA-activated expression of genes controlled by the as-1 element. Previous studies on the inhibitory effect of SA on plant and animal catalases (Durner and Klessig, 1996) and plant ascorbate peroxidase (Kvaratskhelia et al., 1997) indicate that the SA free radical can be produced in this process. Furthermore, the inhibitory effect of 3AT on lipid peroxidation produced by SA has been suggested as an evidence that 3AT prevents the generation of the SA-free radical (Anderson et al., 1998). In this context, we can speculate that our finding that 3AT inhibits the effect of SA on the expression of the (as-1)/GUS gene may suggest the involvement of SA free radical and lipoperoxides. Furthermore, the fact that BHA acts as a better inhibitor of SA than DMTU also suggests that SA-free radicals or lipoperoxides could play a role in this pathway because BHA is a broader ROS scavenger than DMTU and it efficiently breaks the chain reactions that generate lipoperoxides (Rehwoldt, 1986).

Another interesting possibility is that activation of the as-1 element is regulated by the general oxidative status of the cell or of certain subcellular compartments, rather than by a specific ROS. If this is the case, it is possible that SA and MV, but not H$_2$O$_2$, are able to generate the appropriate oxidative status required to activate this pathway. Concerning the subcellular compartmentalization, it has been reported that ROS can be generated in mitochondria, chloroplasts, peroxisomes, microsomes, and apoplast (Grant and Loake, 2000). More specific experiments will be required to clarify whether or not particular subcellular compartments are involved in ROS generation after SA increases.

**Mechanism of Activation of the as-1 Promoter Element by ROS and SA**

A second question that emerges from our results is how oxidative signals can activate the as-1 promoter element. Several research groups are contributing to the unraveling of the mechanisms by which SA and other signals activate gene transcription via as-1-like promoter elements (Qin et al., 1994; Jupin and Chua, 1996; Stange et al., 1997; Pascuzzi et al., 1998; Niggeweg et al., 2000; Hidalgo et al., 2001; Johnson et al., 2001b, Pontier et al., 2001). Recent reports using dominant-negative mutants of TGA transcription factors indicate that the as-1-binding activity detected in nuclear extracts is mainly due to members of this protein family (Niggeweg et al., 2000; Pontier et al., 2001). Furthermore, in TGA activity-depleted plants, activation of the GNT35 gene by SA, 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid, and methyl jasmonate is suppressed, indicating that TGA factors are required for activation of this gene by these chemical stressors.
The consistent in vivo binding of one of the TGA factors to the as-1 element present in the GNT35 promoter was recently reported (Johnson et al., 2001a). Current evidence indicates that the as-1-binding activity of TGA factors (Jupin and Chua, 1996; Stange et al., 1997; Johnson et al., 2001a, 2001b) and the trans-activation capacity of these factors (Pascuzzi et al., 1998; Johnson et al., 2001b) can be regulated by SA or auxins through mechanisms involving protein-protein dissociation and protein phosphorylation. In agreement with these findings, we have recently reported participation of a nuclear protein kinase CK2 in the SA-activated binding of nuclear proteins to the as-1 sequence (Hidalgo et al., 2001). The possible relationship between protein phosphorylation by CK2 and oxidative signals in this system is an interesting issue to explore. Evidence of activation of CK2 by conditions associated to oxidative stress in mammalian cells support this idea (Gerb et al., 2000).

Results shown in this paper indicate that transcription factor binding to the as-1 sequence is more susceptible to ROS-scavenging or ROS-generating compounds than transcription of genes controlled by this sequence. In fact, MV was as efficient as SA in promoting the binding of proteins to the as-1 sequence, but was much less efficient than SA in the transcriptional activation of GNT35 or (as-1)GUS. Furthermore, antioxidants inhibit the SA-activated binding to the as-1 sequence more efficiently than the SA-activated transcription of GNT35 or (as-1)GUS. Therefore, it can be postulated that SA promotes the binding of transcription factors to the as-1 sequence and increases the trans-activation capacity of the factors by different mechanisms. Our results are consistent with the idea that the participation of ROS is more important in the transcription factor binding than in the trans-activation process.

It is interesting that several mammalian transcription factors have been reported to be regulated by ROS. For example, it is well known that ROS activate genes related to immune and inflammatory responses through the activation of nuclear factor-kB and AP-1 transcription factors (Gabbita et al., 2000). Thioredoxin-mediated redox modification of Cys residues involved in DNA-binding activity of both factors seems to be an important regulatory mechanism (Matthews et al., 1992; Hirota et al., 1997). Based on the homology between c-jun and TGA factors, and their respective target sequences (Katagiri et al., 1989), we are currently evaluating possible redox modification of TGA factors induced by SA or auxins.

Role of SA in Activation of Antioxidant Defenses

Experimental evidence accumulated up to now has led researchers to postulate a dual role for SA in the protection of plants against pathogen-induced oxida-
Measurements of Ion Leakage

Oxidative membrane damage produced by MV and SA was assayed by measuring ion leakage from tobacco leaf discs punched out from adult soil-grown plants with at least 10 fully expanded leaves (Bowler et al., 1991). For each treatment, three discs (1.2 cm of diameter) were half cut and floated, top side up, on 15 mL of the corresponding antioxidant solution (BHA or DMTU) or the control solution (0.1% [v/v] methanol or water, respectively). The samples were vacuum-infiltrated for 3 min and were preincubated for 30 min in the dark at room temperature. MV (50 μM) or SA (1 mM) was then added and the samples were incubated in the presence of white light (90 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) for 5 h in a growth chamber at 25°C. Control samples were treated under the same conditions, without addition of MV or SA. Thereafter, the samples were protected from light and the incubation was continued for 16 h in the growth chamber. Leaf discs were carefully removed and the conductivity of the bathing solution was measured with a conductivity meter. To calculate the percentage of leakage, the mean conductivity value of the control treatment with water or 0.1% (v/v) methanol was subtracted from the conductivity value of each sample, and then the percentage was calculated considering as 100% the treatment with 50 μM MV. Contribution of the treatment solution alone to the conductance of each sample was previously subtracted.

GUS Activity Assay

GUS activity was assayed in protein extracts by a fluorescence method using 4-methylumbelliferyl glucuronide as substrate (Jefferson, 1987). 4-Methylumbelliferone (MU), the fluorescent product, was quantified using a fluorometer (TKO 100; Hoefer Scientific Instruments). Standard solutions of MU in 0.2 m Na₂CO₃ were used for calibration purposes. To prepare protein extracts, the frozen tissue was ground in liquid nitrogen, extracted with buffer (50 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0, 1 mM EDTA, 0.1% [v/v] Triton X-100, and 10 mM 2-mercaptoethanol), and centrifuged for 10 min at 4°C in a microcentrifuge. Protein concentration was determined according to the Bio-Rad protocol provided with the protein assay kit. GUS activity was calculated as picomoles MU per minute per milligram of protein and was then expressed as activation ratio (ratio between treatment and control activities).

RNA Extraction and Northern Analysis

Total RNA was extracted from frozen leaf samples essentially as described by Logemann et al. (1987). Samples containing 20 μg of RNA were separated on formaldehyde-agarose gels. Staining the gels with EtBr confirmed that RNA was completely removed and the conductivity of the bathing solution was measured with a conductivity meter. To calculate the percentage of leakage, the mean conductivity value of the control treatment with water or 0.1% (v/v) methanol was subtracted from the conductivity value of each sample, and then the percentage was calculated considering as 100% the treatment with 50 μM MV. Contribution of the treatment solution alone to the conductance of each sample was previously subtracted.

Nuclear Extracts and Gel Mobility Shift Assays

Nuclear protein extracts were prepared as described by Hidalgo et al. (2001). The final yield was 5 to 10 μg of nuclear protein per gram of fresh leaf tissue weight. DNA-protein binding assays were carried out with nuclear protein extracts (2-10 μg of protein) incubated with the 32P-labeled probe (25,000 cpn, 0.06-0.8 pmol) in 15 μL of binding buffer (50 mM HEPEs, pH 7.9, 100 mM KCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM dithiothreitol, 3.75% [v/v] glycerol, 10 mM NaF, 8 mM Na₂MoO₄, and 15 pg poly(dC)poly(dG); Amersham Biosciences) for 10 to 15 min at room temperature. For competition experiments, the indicated molar excess of the nonradioactive probe was included in the binding assay 10 min before the labeled probe was added. DNA-protein complexes were separated from the unbound probe by electrophoresis in a 6% (w/v) polyacrylamide gel (6.072% T and 1.186% C) in Tris borate-EDTA buffer. After electrophoresis, gels were dried and subjected to autoradiography at −70°C for 14 to 16 h. To obtain the DNA probes, the following oligonucleotides were used: 5′-CTCGAGAATCGGTATGAGGAAGATGACGCAACTGGAGC-3′ for the as-1 sequence (protein-binding motifs are indicated in bold), and 5′-CTCGAGAATCGGTATGAGGAAGATGACGCAACTGGAGC-3′ for the mutated as-1 sequence (mutated nucleotides are underlined). The complementary strands were synthesized using the primer 5′-CTCGAGT-3′, dNTPs, and Klenow DNA polymerase following standard protocols (Ausubel, 1997). (α-32P)dCTP was included in this reaction for labeling the probe.

Statistical Analysis of Data

Differences were evaluated by analysis of variance (ANOVA) for repeated measurements with Duncan adjustments, using the statistical program SPSS 7.5 (SPSS, Chicago). A P value of 0.05 was considered significant.
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