Convergence of Signaling Pathways Induced by Systemin, Oligosaccharide Elicitors, and Ultraviolet-B Radiation at the Level of Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinases in Lycopersicon peruvianum Suspension-Cultured Cells
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We tested whether signaling pathways induced by systemin, oligosaccharide elicitors (OEs), and ultraviolet (UV)-B radiation share common components in Lycopersicon peruvianum suspension-cultured cells. These stress signals all induce mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) activity. In desensitization assays, we found that pretreatment with systemin and OEs transiently reduced the MAPK response to a subsequent treatment with the same or a different elicitor. In contrast, MAPK activity in response to UV-B increased after pretreatment with systemin and OEs. These experiments demonstrate the presence of signaling components that are shared by systemin, OEs, and UV-B. Based on desensitization assays, it is not clear if the same or different MAPKs are activated by different stress signals. To identify specific stress-responsive MAPKs, we cloned three MAPKs from a tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) leaf cDNA library, generated member-specific antibodies, and performed immunocomplex kinase assays with extracts from elicited L. peruvianum cells. Two highly homologous MAPKs, LeMPK1 and LeMPK2, were activated in response to systemin, four different OEs, and UV-B radiation. An additional MAPK, LeMPK3, was only activated by UV-B radiation. The common activation of LeMPK1 and LeMPK2 by many stress signals is consistent with the desensitization assays and may account for substantial overlaps among stress responses. On the other hand, MAPK activation kinetics in response to elicitors and UV-B differed substantially, and UV-B activated a different set of LeMPKs than the elicitors. These differences may account for UV-B-specific responses.

Plants have developed sophisticated defensive and protective responses to the various forms of stress they encounter in their environment. It has become increasingly evident that the underlying stress signaling pathways overlap and interact substantially. Although there is a remarkable specificity for stress signal perception, the signaling pathways and the resulting responses often appear to be rather unspecific. On an evolutionary scale, this may provide a basis for rapid and versatile adaptations to changing environmental challenges. For an individual plant, this may allow for an efficient response to several stressors present at the same time, a scenario plants often encounter in their environment.

The systemin-mediated wound response in tomato plants is a well-investigated stress response. Systemin, an 18-amino acid wound-signaling peptide, is produced at wound sites in response to attack by herbivorous insects, and is perceived by the systemin receptor SR160, a Leu-rich repeat receptor kinase (Scheer and Ryan, 2002). Systemin is required for the systemic expression of defensive proteinase inhibitor (PI) genes (for review, see Ryan, 2000) via production of the long-distance wound signal jasmonic acid (Lee and Howe, 2003). PIs may also function in pathogen responses because PI genes are expressed in response to oligosaccharide elicitors (OEs; Walker-Simmons and Ryan, 1984; Doares et al., 1995; Howe et al., 1996; Ramonell et al., 2002). OEs are general (nonhost-specific) elicitors derived from fungal or plant cell wall material and released in response to fungal, oomycete, or insect attack. The elicitors are perceived by specific cell surface receptors but largely activate the same signaling components as systemin, including ion fluxes (Felix and Boller, 1995; Moyen et al., 1998; Lecourieux et al., 2002), phospholipase A2 (Narváez-Vásquez et al., 1999), NADPH oxidase (Low and Mérida, 1996; Orozco-Cárdenas et al., 2001), jasmonate (Doares et al., 1995; Howe et al., 1996), and ethylene (O’Donnell et al., 1996). PIs are known to interfere with digestive proteases in insect guts. A dysfunctional PI defense system has been shown to severely compromise the resistance of tomato plants against herbivorous insects (Orozco-Cárdenas et al., 2001), jasmonate (Doares et al., 1995; Howe et al., 1996), and ethylene (O’Donnell et al., 1996).
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Cardenas et al., 1993). Consistent with their synthesis in response to pathogen-derived elicitors, PIs have been shown to possess antimicrobial properties (Pautot et al., 1991; Linthorst et al., 1993; Terras et al., 1993; Giudici et al., 2000).

Responses to biotic stressors often overlap with responses to abiotic stress such as UV-B radiation (280–320 nm), a portion of solar radiation that reaches the surface of the earth and is well known to cause damage to cellular macromolecules in plants (Jansen et al., 1998; Mazza et al., 1999). UV-B coordinates the regulation of sets of defense-related and other genes that are activated via different signaling pathways involving reactive oxygen species, salicylic acid, jasmonate, and ethylene (Green and Fluhr, 1995; A.-H.-Mackerness et al., 1999, 2001; Brosch et al., 2002). We had previously shown that UV-B activates components of the systemin signaling pathway and provided evidence that UV-B may activate the systemin receptor SR160 (Yalamanchili and Stratmann, 2002). It is unlikely that receptor activation by UV-B is limited to the systemin receptor; other membrane-bound receptors may also be activated. Receptor activation in response to UV radiation is well known in animal epidermal cells where a range of growth factor/cytokine receptors are activated by UV-B (Sachsenmaier et al., 1994; Herrlich and Böhmer, 2000). For the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), it was suggested that oxidative UV stress leads to inactivation of a receptor Tyr phosphatase, which results in increased intrinsic EGFR activity (Gross et al., 1999).

Alternatively, UV may activate a Src Tyr kinase that, in turn, activates EGFR via extrinsic phosphorylation (Kitagawa et al., 2001). A nonspecific ligand-independent receptor activation in plant cells would be expected to result in the engagement of different receptor-mediated signaling pathways. This hypothesis is supported by our previous work showing that a UV-B pretreatment of L. peruvianum cells transiently desensitized a subsequent response to systemin, chitosan, or β-glucan (Yalamanchili and Stratmann, 2002; J. W. Stratmann, unpublished data). Moreover, in tomato plants, UV-B radiation potentiated the response to a subsequent wounding (Stratmann et al., 2000b), and UV-C radiation induced PI synthesis in a jasmonate-dependent manner (Conconi et al., 1996).

On the transcriptome scale, DNA microarray technology revealed extensive overlaps in gene expression patterns among various stress responses, indicating the existence of an extensive network of regulatory interactions (Durrant et al., 2000; Raymond et al., 2000; Schenk et al., 2000; Desikan et al., 2001; Brosch et al., 2002; Ramonell et al., 2002). Conversely, other sets of genes were found to be specifically induced by only one treatment, indicating the existence of specific signaling routes in addition to shared pathways. It will be a challenging task for the future to unravel how specificity and commonality among stress responses are established by signal transduction processes.

Many stress signals are relayed via a mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) module consisting of three functionally interlinked kinases. In Arabidopsis, approximately 60 MAPK kinase kinases (MAPKKK) have been identified based on sequence homology to known MAPKKKS. In contrast, only 10 putative MAPKK were identified. MAPKKs are activated by MAPKKKS and in turn activate approximately 20 putative MAPKS (Ichimura et al., 2002; Jonak et al., 2002). MAPK substrates have yet to be identified in plants. In yeast and metazoans, they include transcription factors and cytoplasmic proteins such as phospholipase A2 (Lin et al., 1993).

Based on their relative number, MAPKKKS may be activated by stress signals in a specific manner. In contrast, MAPKKs and MAPKS often integrate different input signals by acting as points of convergence or divergence. For example, the alfalfa (Medicago sativa) MAPKKs, SIMKK and PRKK, can activate two or three MAPKS, and a specific MAPK, SIMK, is activated by SIMKK and PRKK (Cardinale et al., 2002). Similar signaling patterns were found in Arabidopsis (Asai et al., 2002) and tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum; Yang et al., 2001).

As a first attempt to unravel the stress signaling network in Lycopersicon peruvianum cells, we focused on stress-responsive MAPKS. We had previously shown that a range of biotic and abiotic stress signals such as a rapid hydraulic signal generated by wounding, systemin, the signaling peptide RALF, UV-B radiation, and the OEs polygalacturonic acid (PGA), chitosan (oligoglucosamine), and β-glucan all induce 48-kD kinase activity in tomato (Lycopersicon esculentum) plants and L. peruvianum suspension-cultured cells. The kinase became rapidly activated, phosphorylated myelin basic protein (MBP), and its activation was associated with Tyr phosphorylation of the kinase. This indicated that it belongs to the MAPK family of protein kinases (Stratmann et al., 1997, 2000a, 2000b; Pearce et al., 2001). In L. esculentum suspension-cultured cells, an MAPK-like enzyme of similar size was also activated by chitin fragments (chitotetraose), xylanase, osmotic shock (Felix et al., 2000), nonmetabolizable sugars (Sinha et al., 2002), a Fusarium oxysporum lycopersici elicitor preparation, voltage application, (Link et al., 2002a), and heat (Link et al., 2002b).

Our analysis of The Institute for Genomic Research (Rockville, MD) tomato gene index (http://www.tigr.org/tdb/tgi/lgi/) revealed at least 11 tentative consensus sequences corresponding to different MAPKS. To understand if and how specificity in stress responses may be established at the level of MAPKS, it is important to identify interactions among stress signaling pathways. Moreover, individual MAPKS responding to particular stress signals must be identified to find out if multiple stress sig-
nals converge on a particular MAPK or if different MAPK cascades are activated by different forms of stress. Here, we provide evidence for extensive overlaps between stress signaling pathways by showing that a pretreatment with systemin or OEs affects the MAPK response to a different subsequently supplied elicitor and UV-B. Consistent with these results, we identified two tomato MAPKs that became activated by all stress signals tested. An additional MAPK was activated only by UV-B radiation.

RESULTS

Time-Course Analysis of MAPK Activity in Response to Elicitors

To identify differences among elicitor-induced MAPK pathways in L. peruvianum cells, we performed a time-course analysis for MAPK activity in response to systemin and four OEs using in-gel kinase assays. In response to all elicitors, MAPK activity reached a maximum at 10 min after application (Fig. 1). The activity induced by systemin was more prolonged than in response to the OEs and declined to background levels after 90 to 120 min. The MAPK activity induced by OEs declined to background levels within 1 h. The duration of the response to chitin and β-glucan was consistently shorter than in response to chitosan and PGA.

Elicitor Pretreatment Affects the Response to a Second Treatment with the Same Elicitor

Using desensitization assays, we had shown previously that systemin pretreatment transiently prevented a subsequent activation of systemin-responsive MAPK by systemin (Yalamanchili and Stratmann, 2002). We tested whether such a refractory state would also be caused by the OEs chitosan, chitotetraose (chitin), β-glucan, and PGA. L. peruvianum cells pretreated with an elicitor at time 0 were treated a second time with the same elicitor 30, 60, 90, and 120 min later (Fig. 2). Ten min after the last treatment, at maximal MAPK activity levels in control cells (see Fig. 1), cells were assayed for MAPK activity by an in-gel kinase assay. Samples corresponding to a given time point (lanes 1–4) were taken at the same time. Lane 1, Untreated control. Lane 2, MAPK activity in response to the pretreatment. Lane 3, MAPK activity in response to the second treatment without pretreatment. Lane 4, MAPK activity in response to the second treatment after a pretreatment.

![Figure 1. Time-course analysis of MAPK activity induced by systemin and OEs. L. peruvianum cells were left untreated or were supplied with systemin (3 nM) or the OEs chitin (100 μM chitotetraose), chitosan (1.7 μg ml⁻¹), β-glucan (10 μg ml⁻¹), and PGA (830 μg ml⁻¹). At the times indicated, samples were quick-frozen and assayed for MAPK activity in in-gel kinase assays. Radioactive bands were visualized by phosphoimaging. Arrows with numbers indicate the apparent molecular masses of systemin- and OE-responsive MAPKs.](image1)

![Figure 2. MAPK activity in response to consecutive OE treatments. L. peruvianum suspension-cultured cells were pretreated with the OEs chitin (100 μM chitotetraose), chitosan (1.7 μg ml⁻¹), β-glucan (10 μg ml⁻¹), or PGA (830 μg ml⁻¹), or were left untreated. At 30, 60, 90, and 120 min thereafter, treated and untreated cells were left untreated or were supplied a second time with the same OE. Ten minutes thereafter, cells were quick-frozen and assayed for MAPK activity. Samples corresponding to a given time point (lanes 1–4) were taken at the same time. Lane 1, Untreated control. Lane 2, MAPK activity in response to the pretreatment. Lane 3, MAPK activity in response to the second treatment without pretreatment. Lane 4, MAPK activity in response to the second treatment after a pretreatment.](image2)
Elicitor Pretreatment Affects the Response to a Second Treatment with a Different Elicitor or UV-B Radiation

The refractory behavior of signaling pathways can be used to examine potential interactions among different signaling pathways. A pretreatment with a particular elicitor that transiently affects the MAPK response to a subsequent treatment with a different stress signal indicates that certain signaling compounds are activated by both elicitors. *L. peruvianum* cells were initially treated with OEs or systemin. Thirty minutes later, when the responsiveness of the cells was strongly reduced (Fig. 2), the cells were treated a second time with the same elicitor or with a different elicitor. The experimental setup is as shown in Figure 2. Cells were assayed at maximal MAPK activity levels, 10 min after the second treatment (see Fig. 1). The full response (100%) was defined as the response to the second treatment alone. Figure 3A shows that pretreatment with chitosan, chitotetraose, or β-glucan strongly diminished the response to a subsequent treatment with all four OEs (approximately 10% of the full response). A pretreatment with systemin or PGA reduced the response to all four OEs more moderately (30%–50% of the full response). On the other hand, the OEs reduced the response to systemin only weakly (50%–60% of the full response) or hardly at all in the case of PGA. Controls, in which the cells were treated consecutively with the same elicitor, confirmed the results shown in Figure 2. In the experiments involving consecutive treatments with different elicitors, the responsiveness to the second treatment recovered over time (data not shown).

We had previously shown that UV-B activates 48-kD MAPK activity in *L. peruvianum* cells. A 5-min UV-B irradiation period resulted in a prolonged biphasic MAPK response with two peak activities at 10 and 90 min after the start of irradiation (Yalamanchili and Stratmann, 2002). To test if the elicitors would affect the MAPK response to UV-B radiation, *L. peruvianum* cells were pretreated with a particular elicitor and 30 min later were irradiated with UV-B for 5 min. The effects of an elicitor pretreatment at both peaks was tested by assaying MAPK activity 10 and 90 min after start of UV-B irradiation (i.e. 40 or 120 min after pretreatment, respectively). The four OEs caused an approximately 50% reduction in the MAPK response to UV-B when measured 10 min after start of irradiation (Fig. 3B). Systemin had a weaker effect on UV-B (approximately 25% reduction), consistent with our previous results (Yalamanchili and Stratmann, 2002). Surprisingly, MAPK activity was not reduced, but rather increased above the response to UV-B alone when MAPK activity was assayed 90 min after start of irradiation (Fig. 3B). The synergistic effect was very strong after systemin pretreatment, with a UV-induced MAPK activity of 300% ± 75% of the full response to UV-B alone. OE pretreatment resulted in UV-B responses of approximately 150% of the full response (for PGA, statistically not significant). This indicates that the two MAPK activities at 10 and 90 min are regulated differently. Taken together, the data suggest a substantial interaction among signaling pathways for systemin, OEs, and UV-B.

Cloning of Three Tomato MAPKs with Homology to Known Stress-Responsive MAPKs

In the previous experiments, MAPK activation served as a marker response to identify interactions among elicitor- and UV-B-induced signaling pathways. However, multiple MAPKs with a similar apparent *Mᵣ* may have been activated in these experiments. To distinguish between individual MAPKs,
we cloned tomato MAPKs, generated member-specific antibodies, and performed immunocomplex kinase assays. MAPKs were cloned based on homology to the two major stress-responsive MAPKs in tobacco, SIPK and WIPK (Seo et al., 1995; Zhang and Klessig, 1997). Three full-length cDNAs were obtained from a L. esculentum leaf cDNA library. The deduced amino acid sequence of the three putative kinases revealed the 11-kinase subdomain consensus sequence and the dual phosphorylation TEY motif common to most plant MAPKs (Fig. 4A). They were named LeMPK for Lycopersicon esculentum MAPK.

LeMPK1, LeMPK2, and LeMPK3 have predicted molecular masses of 45.5, 45.2, and 42.8 kD, respectively and consist of 396, 394, and 373 amino acid residues, respectively. LeMPK1 and LeMPK2 are 95.4% and 89.2% identical at the amino acid and nucleotide level, respectively.

LeMPKs are highly homologous to putative orthologs of closely related solanaceous species, including tobacco SIPK and WIPK, and less homologous to putative orthologs from Arabidopsis (Brassicacea) and alfalfa (Fabaceae; Fig. 4, B and C).

Figure 4. Amino acid sequence of three L. esculentum MAPKs. A, The deduced amino acid sequences of LeMPKs 1, 2, and 3 were aligned. Identical and conserved amino acids are shaded in black and gray, respectively. Dots represent gaps. Roman numerals represent the 11 conserved kinase subdomains. Asterisks show the Thr and Tyr residues in the TEY phosphorylation motif. The solid line, the dotted line, and the dashed line represent the sequences used as antigenic peptides to generate specific antibodies against LeMPKs 1, 2, and 3, respectively. B, A phylogenetic tree shows the relationship among the A2 group of plant MAPKs (Ichimura et al., 2002). C, A phylogenetic tree shows the relationship among the A1 group of plant MAPK (Ichimura et al., 2002). The tree was created by the GrowTree method from the GCG Wisconsin Package. Accession numbers: StMPK1, AB062138; StMPK2, AB062139; NtSIPK, AAB58396; Nt4, Q40532; CaMK2, AF247136; MsSIMK, X66469; AtMPK6, S40472; CaMK1, AF247135; NtWIPK, BAA09600; At-MPK3, Q39023; and MsMMK4, X82270.

Antibodies Specifically Recognize Recombinant LeMPKs

To identify individual MAPKs, polyclonal antibodies were raised against short peptides corresponding to divergent amino acid stretches at the N-termini of the three LeMPKs (Fig. 4A). To test the specificity of the antisera, recombinant LeMPKs were expressed as His-tagged fusion proteins in Escherichia coli, affinity purified, and separated by SDS-PAGE. The His-tag and linker sequences added 6 kD to the molecular mass of the recombinant proteins. The recombinant proteins showed an altered mobility on SDS-polyacrylamide gels with an apparent molecular mass of 55 kD (LeMPKs 1 and 2) and 52 kD (LeMPK3; Fig. 5, Coomassie). They were specifically recognized by the antibodies raised against the corresponding antigenic peptides (Fig. 5). There was no crossreactivity, despite some sequence identity between the antigenic peptides of LeMPK1 and LeMPK2. Affinity-purified antibodies produced the same results.

Multiple Stress Signals Activate LeMPK1 and LeMPK2

To match a stress signal with a corresponding MAPK, L. peruvianum cells were supplied with systemin, or the OEs chitosetraose, chitosan, β-glucan, and PGA. Ten minutes later, at maximal MAPK activity (see Fig. 1), cells were harvested and assayed in immunocomplex kinase assays. Cell extracts were immunoprecipitated with anti-LeMPK antisera. In controls, antisera were incubated with antigenic peptides corresponding to LeMPKs 1, 2, and 3 before the addition of extracts. Immunoprecipitated proteins were tested for their ability to phosphorylate the artificial MAPK substrate MBP. Figure 6 shows that active LeMPK1 and LeMPK2 were immunoprecipitated in a highly specific manner. Only the peptide against which the respective antibody was raised functioned as a competitor. This proved that the antibodies specifically recognize the respective corresponding LeMPKs in immunocomplex kinase assays.

Figure 6 shows that systemin and the four OEs chitosan, chitosetraose, β-glucan, and PGA all activated LeMPK1 and LeMPK2. In contrast, LeMPK3...
was not activated by any of the elicitors. When MAPKs in systemin-treated extracts were immuno-complexed and subsequently tested for activity in in-gel kinase assays, similar results were obtained (data not shown), confirming the results obtained in immunocomplex kinase assays. These data show that two highly homologous LeMPKs are coordinately activated by elicitors of plant defense responses and represent two points of convergence for multiple stress signals.

UV-B Coordinately Activates LeMPKs 1, 2, and 3 in a Biphasic Manner

UV-B radiation (280–320 nm) leads to induction of MAPK activity in *L. peruvianum* cells. The UV-B-induced activation kinetics differed strikingly from the elicitor-induced kinetics by following a prolonged biphasic time course (Yalamanchili and Stratmann, 2002). Using immunocomplex kinase assays, we tested whether different MAPKs would contribute to the overall MAPK activity during the two peak periods. *L. peruvianum* cells were irradiated for 5 h with UV-B and were assayed for MAPK activity at various times thereafter. We found that UV-B activated not only LeMPKs 1 and 2, but also LeMPK3 (Fig. 7A). All three LeMPKs followed similar time courses, showing an initial peak activity at 5 to 10 min followed by a decrease and a second stronger peak activity at 90 to 120 min. The antigenic peptide corresponding to LeMPK3 interfered specifically with immunoprecipitation of LeMPK3, demonstrating that anti-LeMPK3 antibodies specifically recognize LeMPK3 in immunocomplex kinase assays (Fig. 7B).

**DISCUSSION**

We had shown previously that diverse biotic and abiotic stress signals lead to a rapid increase of 48-kD
MAPK activity. Here, we show that signaling pathways triggered by systemin, OEs, and UV-B radiation substantially overlap and converge at the level of two highly homologous stress-responsive MAPKs, indicating the presence of an extensive stress signaling network in L. peruvianum suspension-cultured cells.

To reveal overlaps among signaling pathways, we exploited a common feature of many signaling processes. Reversibly regulated signaling components are inactivated by degradation or posttranslational modifications after an initial activation. During this refractory period, these components are less responsive to a subsequent stimulation with the same signal (Felix et al., 1998; Meskiene and Hirt, 2000). Later, the inactivating factors may be down-regulated and the signaling components regain their potential to become activated (Fig. 2 and Yalamanchil and Stratmann, 2002). We tested this signaling behavior using desensitization assays and found that systemin, OEs, and UV-B radiation mutually affect their capacity to induce MAPK activity, indicating the presence of signaling components that are activated by all of these stress signals. Systemin- and OE-responsive signaling compounds (see Introduction) may function in similar but separate signaling pathways, tissues, or cellular compartments. The cross-desensitization experiments shown in Figure 3 rule this out and demonstrated that identical signaling components are activated by systemin, OEs, and UV-B. In these desensitization assays, MAPK activation served as a reporter response. MAPKs are reversibly phosphorylated and may undergo a refractory state upon activation. However, conceptually, any reversibly activated component between a receptor and the MAPK may contribute to the refractory periods observed.

Activation of multiple MAPKs may account for the different extents to which stress signals affected each other in desensitization assays. However, in these assays, it was not possible to identify individual MAPKs. Therefore, we cloned and sequenced tomato MAPKs and generated specific antibodies to match a particular stress signal with a particular MAPK. Although MAPKs comprise a family of approximately 20 genes in Arabidopsis (Ichimura et al., 2002; Jonak et al., 2002), there are only three or four MAPKs that are known to be stress responsive in a single plant species. In tobacco, SIPK and WIPK mediate responses to a wide range of stress signals (Zhang and Klessig, 2000). LeMPK3 is highly homologous to the tobacco WIPK. LeMPK1 and LeMPK2 show very high sequence homology (approximately 95%) to SIPK and another tobacco MAPK, Ntf4. LeMPK1 and LeMPK2 belong to the A2 group of plant MAPKs, and LeMPK3 belongs to group A1, according to the classification scheme suggested by Ichimura et al. (2002). Interestingly, only the solanaceous species tobacco, potato (Solanum tuberosum), and tomato possess two highly homologous MAPKs of the A2 group, SIPK/Ntf4, StMPK1/2, and LeMPK1/2, respectively, suggesting a gene duplication event in an ancestral solanaceous species (Fig. 4B). In parsley (Petroselinum crispum), two highly homologous WIPK orthologs have been cloned that are both activated by the Pep-13 elicitor, suggesting that in different angiosperm lineages, different stress-responsive MAPK genes were duplicated (Kroj et al., 2003).

SIPK and LeMPK1/2, or WIPK and LeMPK3, show a high overall sequence homology. However, the N-termini against which SIPK- or WIPK-specific antibodies had been raised (Zhang and Klessig, 1998; Seo et al., 1999) are highly variable, precluding the use of SIPK- or WIPK-specific antibodies to target LeMPKs. Therefore, we raised antibodies that specifically recognized unique N-terminal amino acid stretches of the three LeMPKs, and demonstrated that systemin activates LeMPK1 and LeMPK2 in suspension-cultured L. peruvianum cells. We then showed that all four OEs activated the same two MAPKs as systemin. Based on the intensity of the signals and the almost equal potency of the antibodies as determined in immunoblots (Fig. 5), the magnitude of the LeMPK1 and LeMPK2 response to systemin and OEs appears to be similar. This similarity, and the high sequence homology of LeMPK1 and LeMPK2, suggest that they are functionally redundant. However, it is possible that the two MAPKs have additional specific functions, depending on their interacting proteins and the cell types in which they are expressed. This is exemplified by the tobacco SIPK homolog Ntf4, which is regulated by developmental cues in reproductive tissues (Wilson et al., 1995; Voronin et al., 2001).

LeMPK1 and LeMPK2 are activated by systemin and OEs. We found that these LeMPKs were also activated in response to leaf wounding in L. esculentum plants (S. R. Holley and J. W. Stratmann, unpublished data). Activation of the same MAPKs is consistent with a common regulation of wound-response gene expression by wounding, systemin, and OEs. However, it is not known whether systemin and OEs activate additional elicitor-specific genes. The reciprocal effects of the OEs and systemin in desensitization assays indicate the presence of additional signal-specific components. Moreover, some differences among systemin and OEs were noted regarding the duration of MAPK activation (Fig. 1). We also cannot exclude the participation of additional LeMPKs that were not recognized by our antibodies. These differences could potentially result in signal-specific gene expression patterns leading to defense responses tailored to a particular stressor. Magnitude and duration of MAPK activation has been suggested to establish signal specificity in yeast and mammalian cells (Sabbagh et al., 2001) Marshall, 1995). Additional mechanisms, such as tethering of MAPK pathway kinases to scaffolding proteins, are also known to confer signal specificity via MAPK
pathways (Breitkreutz and Tyers, 2002; Smith and Scott, 2002; Park et al., 2003).

Homologous MAPKs in different plant species may not perform the exact same function. In photoautotrophic \textit{L. esculentum} cells, chitosan and PGA activated a MAPK with putative homology to SAMK, an alfalfa ortholog of LeMPK3 (Link et al., 2002a). This discrepancy with our results may be explained by the species difference or by the heterologous anti-SAMK antibodies used by Link et al. (2002a), which may crossreact with LeMPK1/2. In tobacco, it was shown that UV-C radiation activated only SIPK, but not WIPK, the ortholog of the UV-B-responsive LeMPK3 (Miles et al., 2002). On the other hand, WIPK was activated by oligogalacturonides in tobacco cells (Droillard et al., 2000), but LeMPK3 did not respond to oligogalacturonides (PGA). Chitin activated LeMPK1 and LeMPK2 in \textit{L. peruvianum} cells and the putative ortholog SIMK in alfalfa cells (Cardinale et al., 2000), but it did not activate the putative parsley ortholog PcMPK6 or the LeMPK3 orthologs PcMPK3a and 3b (Kroj et al., 2003). Thus, relatively invariable stress signals such as UV radiation or the general elicitors PGA (oligogalacturonides) and chitin appear to activate different MAPK pathways in different species. Does this result in species-specific responses to the same stressor? Or does a given stress signal use different MAPK conduits in different species to achieve the same general output response?

We had shown previously that the abiotic stressor UV-B strongly desensitized tomato cells to a subsequent elicitation by systemin. Accordingly, an initial systemin treatment reduced the UV-B response, albeit to a lesser degree (Yalamanchili and Stratmann, 2002). In \textit{L. peruvianum} cells, MAPK activity induced by UV-B follows a biphasic time course. When cells were pretreated with OEs and systemin, UV-B-responsive MAPK activity at the first activity peak was reduced. Surprisingly, MAPK activity at the 90-min peak was increased (150%) above levels induced by UV-B alone (100%), particularly after a pretreatment with systemin (300%). This indicates the presence of shared signaling components that are activated by elicitors of plant defense responses and UV-B radiation. Consistent with these results is our finding that UV-B and elicitors all activate LeMPK1 and LeMPK2. The different effects on the UV-B response measured at 10 and 90 min after irradiation indicate that the two MAPK activity phases are differentially regulated. A possible explanation for the two phases may be the involvement of different MAPKs with different activation kinetics. We found that LeMPK3, in addition to LeMPK1 and LeMPK2, was activated in response to UV-B radiation. However, all three kinases follow a similar biphasic time course (Fig. 7). These data suggest that an initial UV-B signal rapidly and transiently activates all three MAPKs and generates a secondary signal that induces the second activity phase after a brief refractory period. A possible candidate for such a secondary signal would be reactive oxygen species, which are generated in response to many different forms of stress, including UV-B (Green and Fluhr, 1995).

UV-B has multiple effects on plants and is known to activate several signaling pathways (A.-H.-Mackerness et al., 1999; Brosché and Strid, 2003), including the systemin signaling pathway (Yalamanchili and Stratmann, 2002). Interestingly, many \textit{Nicotiana longiflora} (Solanaceae) genes, which are regulated by chewing \textit{Manduca sexta} larvae or their oral secretions (Halitschke et al., 2003), were found to be regulated in the same way by UV-B radiation under natural field conditions (Izaguirre et al., 2003). Brosché et al. (2002) also demonstrated overlaps in Arabidopsis gene expression patterns among different forms of stress, including wounding and UV-B. These transcriptome analyses are consistent with several effects of UV-B on stress responses in tomato such as a potentiating effect of UV-B on the wound response in \textit{L. esculentum} leaves (Stratmann et al., 2000b), engagement of the systemin signaling pathway by UV-B via activation of the systemin receptor SR160 in \textit{L. peruvianum} cells (Yalamanchili and Stratmann, 2002), the presence of common signaling components for UV-B and elicitors revealed in desensitization assays (Fig. 3), and activation of LeMPK1 and LeMPK2 by UV-B and elicitors (Figs. 6 and 7). Activation of SR160 and other elicitor receptors by UV-B provides a mechanistic explanation for the overlap between UV-B and systemin/wounding responses consistent with the concept of recruitment of defense signaling pathways by UV-B (A.-H.-Mackerness et al., 1999; Yalamanchili and Stratmann, 2002; Brosché and Strid, 2003).

On the other hand, UV-B activates not only the systemin- and OE-responsive LeMPKs 1 and 2, but also LeMPK3. Moreover, the activation kinetics in response to UV-B differed greatly compared with elicitor time courses, suggesting that UV-B regulates additional signaling components and genes that are not regulated by systemin and OEs. Taken together, general and specific UV-B signaling mechanisms may result in a broad gene expression profile, but may also account for UV-specific effects observed in tomato and other plants.

In field experiments, it has been shown that UV-B increased the defensive potential of plants (Ballaré et al., 1996; Mazza et al., 1999b, Zavala et al., 2001) via regulation of insect-responsive genes (Izaguirre et al., 2003). Although the irradiation conditions used in the experiments presented here are not natural, the signaling mechanisms underlying plant defense responses under field conditions may be similar. Thus, a defense response that appears to be activated as a consequence of unspecific UV-B signaling may provide an adaptive advantage for plants.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Suspension-Cultured Cells

Cultivation conditions for Lycopersicon peruvianum suspension-cultured cells were as described previously (Yalamanchili and Stratmann, 2002).

Stress Treatments

L. peruvianum suspension-cultured cells were supplied with the following concentrations of elicitors in an aqueous solution: 100 μM tetra-N-acetylchitotetraose (chitin), 1.7 μg mL⁻¹ chitosan (from crab shells), 10 μg mL⁻¹ β-glucan (from baker’s yeast), and 830 μg mL⁻¹ PGA from orange (oligogalacturonides; all from Sigma, St. Louis), or 3 nM systemin. UV-B irradiation was as described previously (Yalamanchili and Stratmann, 2002).

Briefly, two 15-W UV-B lamps (F15T8.UV-B 15W; Ultraviolet Products, San Gabriel, CA) were used for irradiation under room light conditions. The irradiance was monitored with an UVX Radiometer (Ultraproducts). The distance between the lamps and the surface of the culture varied while cultures were vigorously shaken.

Ultraviolet Products). The distance between the lamps and the surface of the culture varied while cultures were vigorously shaken.

Desensitization Assays

MAPK activity in response to consecutive treatments with two stress signals was assayed using in-gel kinase assays (see below) and was quantified as described previously (Yalamanchili and Stratmann, 2002). All experiments were performed with two sets of cells and were repeated at least three times with different batches of cells.

Cloning of LeMPKs

Degenerate primers (forward: 5’-AAATCTTGCC/TAATGTCTTTTGTAT-3’; reverse: 5’-CTKGGTCTACA/TACATATATCA/CGTAT-3’) were designed according to highly conserved sequences among tomato expressed sequence tags with high homology to tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) WIPK and SIPK. PCR fragments were obtained from a AZAP 35S:prosystemin tomato leaf cDNA library (Heitz et al., 1997). These transgenic plants show a constitutive wound phenotype and accumulate high levels of defense proteins in the leaves (McGurl et al., 1994). The fragments were highly homologous to WIPK or SIPK. Radiolabeled PCR products (DECA prime kit; Ambion, Austin, TX) were used to screen the cDNA library according to standard protocols. Seven clones were isolated and sequenced corresponding to LeMPK1 or 2. To clone the tomato WIPK homolog 5’- and 3’- untranslated region sequence information was obtained from the above described PCR products to design the following primers: reverse: 5’-CTAATTTATCAATAATACCAATGTTATCCTC-3’ and forward: 5’-CTAAATTTATCAATAATACCAATGTTATCCTC-3’. After an A-tailing reaction using TaqDNA polymerase (Eppendorf; Brinkmann Instruments, Westbury, NY), the reaction product was cloned into the pGEM-T vector (Promega, Madison, WI). After an A-tailing reaction using TaqDNA polymerase (Eppendorf; Brinkmann Instruments, Westbury, NY), the reaction product was cloned into the pGEM-T vector (Promega, Madison, WI) and did not produce any signals (data not shown).

Cloning of LeMPKs

In-gel kinase assays with MBP as an artificial MAPK substrate were performed as described previously (Yalamanchili and Stratmann, 2002). The reaction was stopped by addition of SDS-PAGE sample buffer. After electrophoresis on a 15% (w/v) SDS-polyacrylamide gel, bands were visualized using a silver staining protocol. Preimmune serum was tested accordingly stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R250. Antibodies produced the same results without crossreactivity. Because antisera were more potent than affinity-purified antibodies, we continued all further experiments with antisera.

Expression of Recombinant LeMPKs

BamHI and XhoI sites were added via PCR to the 5’ and 3’ ends of the cloned LeMPK genes, respectively. These PCR fragments were ligated into the pET-28a (+) vector (Novagen, Madison, WI). Sequencing confirmed that the His-tag was in frame with the start codon of each LeMPK gene. The constructs were used to transform Escherichia coli BL21(DE3) cells (Novagen). Recombinant protein expression was induced with 1 mM isopropyl β-thiogalactopyranoside for 5 h at 37°C. The LeMPK-His tag fusion proteins were purified using a nickel affinity column according to instructions by the manufacturer (Novagen).

Antibody Production and Immunoblot Analysis

The peptides PIAQQPPQQPSSL, AGQQAPAMPLPLMG, and QDPPDFPYYCCN corresponding to the N-termini of LeMPK1, LeMPK2, and LeMPK3, respectively, were synthesized and conjugated to keyhole limpet hemocyanin carrier. Polyclonal antisera were obtained from rabbits immunized with the above peptides, and were affinity purified (Zymed Laboratories, South San Francisco). For immunoblot analysis, 500 ng of each of the recombinant proteins was separated on 10% (w/v) SDS-polyacrylamide gels and was then transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Pierce, Rockford, IL) using a semidyed blotting apparatus (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). After blocking overnight in 10% (w/v) nonfat dry milk, blots were incubated for 1 h with affinity-purified antibodies (5, 20, and 5 μg for anti-LeMPK1, 2, and 3, respectively; data not shown) or polyclonal antisera (1:100 dilution). After three washes with 10 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 0.9% (w/v) NaCl, and 0.1% (w/v) Tween 20, the blots were incubated with a goat anti-rabbit alkaline phosphatase-conjugated secondary antibody (Zymed Laboratories; 1:2,000 dilution) for 1 h. The immunocomplexes were visualized by reaction with 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate/nitroblue tetrazolium substrate (Zymed Laboratories). Another gel containing the same samples was stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R250. Antisera and affinity-purified antibodies produced the same results without crossreactivity. Because antisera were more potent than affinity-purified antibodies, we continued all further experiments with antisera.

Immunocomplex Kinase Assays

Extracts from L. peruvianum cells were obtained as described previously (Stratmann et al., 2000a). Extracts containing 100 μg of total protein (for UV-B experiments, 200 μg) were rotated for 2 h at 4°C with anti-LeMPK1, 2, or 3 antisera (1:200 dilution; in UV-B experiments, 1:100) in immunoprecipitation buffer (10 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM NaVO₄, 1 mM NaF, 10 mM β-glycerophosphate, 1% (w/v) Triton X-100, 0.5% (w/v) Nonidet P-40, 2 mM diithiothreitol, and 1 complete PI tablet [Roche, Indianapolis] 50mL⁻¹). For reactions with competitor peptides, antibodies were preincubated for 30 min at room temperature with an excess of the peptides against which the antibodies were raised. Approximately 15 μL packed volume of recombinant protein G, immobilized on Sepharose 4B beads (Zymed Laboratories), was added, and incubation continued for another 1 h to 2 h at 4°C. The beads were precipitated by a brief centrifugation and were washed two times with immunoprecipitation buffer, one time with immunoprecipitation buffer containing 1 M NaCl, and three times with kinase reaction buffer (without MBP and ATP). Kinase reactions were performed for 8 min at room temperature in 20 μL of kinase reaction buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 15 mM MgCl₂, 2 mM EGTA, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 0.25 mg mL⁻¹ MBP, and 25 μM ATP) containing 0.1 μCi [γ-32P]ATP (370 MBq mL⁻¹ and 111 TBq mmol⁻¹). The reaction was stopped by addition of SDS-PAGE sample buffer. After electrophoresis on a 15% (w/v) SDS-polyacrylamide gel, gels were washed three times for 0.5 h in 20% (w/v) isopropanol and were dried. Radiolabeled MBP was visualized by a phosphoimaging system. Preimmune serum was tested accordingly and did not produce any signals (data not shown).

In-Gel Kinase Assays

In-gel kinase assays with MBP as an artificial MAPK substrate were performed as described previously (Stratmann and Ryan, 1997).

Replications

All experiments were repeated at least three times with different batches of cells. Representative experiments are shown.
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