PRT1 of Arabidopsis Is a Ubiquitin Protein Ligase of the Plant N-End Rule Pathway with Specificity for Aromatic Amino-Terminal Residues
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The gene PRT1 of Arabidopsis, encoding a 45-kD protein with two RING finger domains, is essential for the degradation of F-dihydrofolate reductase, a model substrate of the N-end rule pathway of protein degradation. We have determined the function of PRT1 by expression in yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae). PRT1 can act as a ubiquitin protein ligase in the heterologous host. The identified substrates of PRT1 have an aromatic residue at their amino-terminus, indicating that PRT1 mediates degradation of N-end rule substrates with aromatic termini but not of those with aliphatic or basic amino-termini. Expression of model substrates in mutant and wild-type plants confirmed this substrate specificity. A ligase activity exclusively devoted to aromatic amino-termini of the N-end rule pathway is apparently unique to plants. The results presented also imply that other known substrates of the plant N-end rule pathway are ubiquitylated by one or more different ubiquitin protein ligases.

Covalent addition of ubiquitin to cellular proteins is of central importance for protein turnover in eukaryotes (Hershko and Ciechanover, 1998; Pickart, 2001; Weissman, 2001). Ubiquitin-activating enzyme E1 forms a thioester to bind and activate free ubiquitin at its carboxy-terminal Gly residue. Ubiquitin-conjugating enzymes (UBCs) E2 accept activated ubiquitin from E1 and, usually supported by ubiquitin protein ligases E3, are able to transfer ubiquitin to substrates by formation of Gly-Lys isopeptide bonds. Substrate proteins with four or more ubiquitin moieties bound to the substrate in the form of a Gly-76-Lys-48 multi-ubiquitin chain are recognized by the large protease complex, proteasome, and degraded to short peptides, with release of ubiquitin for further cycles of ubiquitylation. Both E2 and E3 enzymes occur as gene families, probably to serve a multiplicity of different substrates. Plants have particularly large gene families of E2 and E3 proteins (Bachmair et al., 2001; Vierstra, 2003). One might speculate that the range of substrates in plants is correspondingly diverse.

One conserved pathway of ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis is the N-end rule pathway. This pathway is apparently universal. The components that mediate substrate recognition and degradation, however, may well differ between phyla. The pathway has been used as a model for studying ubiquitin-dependent proteolysis. Most studies have concentrated on bakers’ yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae; Varshavsky, 1996). Recently, components of the mammalian N-end rule pathway were also characterized on the molecular level (Grigoryev et al., 1996; Kwon et al., 1998). Existence of the N-end rule pathway in plants can be inferred from previously published data from our group and from others (Bachmair et al., 1993; Worley et al., 1998).

The degradation signal (degron) of the N-end rule pathway is a bulky first amino acid. Amino-termini with unacetylated bulky, but not with small or acetylated first residues, are recognized as a signal for ubiquitylation, which leads to the metabolic destabilization of the substrate by proteasomal degradation (Varshavsky, 1996, 2000). Although most proteins are synthesized starting with Met, the enzyme Met aminopeptidase cleaves off the start Met if—but only if—the second residue does not have a bulky side chain (Bradshaw et al., 1998). Frequently, Met cleavage is followed by acetylation (Polevoda and Sherman, 2003). These canonical processing events generate the bulk of cellular proteins that are not substrates of the N-end rule pathway. Clearly, a cell must possess other pathways that confer a different processing pattern onto a distinct subset of cellular proteins. Among these are processing events by endoproteolytic cleavage to generate substrates for the N-end rule pathway. Recently, the endoprotease...
Esp1 of yeast, a protein with homologs in all eukaryotes, was identified as such a processing protease (Rao et al., 2001).

Biological functions of the N-end rule pathway have been identified in fungi, animals, and plants. In yeast, the N-end rule pathway regulates peptide import (Turner et al., 2000). In mice (Mus musculus), N-end rule components are necessary for normal spatial memory, for cardiovascular development, and for embryogenesis (Kwon et al., 2000, 2001, 2002). In plants, the pathway has been implicated in senescence (Yoshida et al., 2002). We have analyzed the N-end rule pathway in Arabidopsis (Bachmair et al., 1993; Potuschak et al., 1998). PRT1, a component of the plant N-end rule pathway that was isolated by positional cloning, turned out to be dissimilar to the components of the well-known yeast N-end rule pathway or to any other gene from yeast. In this work, we investigate the biochemical function of PRT1 by expression in yeast and by assessment of substrate specificity in the plant. We find that PRT1 is a ubiquitin protein ligase acting on proteins with aromatic amino-termini, covering a fraction of the destabilizing residues known for the plant N-end rule. We also demonstrate that Arabidopsis has at least one additional ubiquitin protein ligase active in the N-end rule pathway.

RESULTS

PRT1 of Arabidopsis was isolated by positional cloning (Potuschak et al., 1998). Arabidopsis plants with mutation in the PRT1 gene are unable to degrade F-dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR), a model substrate of the N-end rule pathway. Database comparison with the genome of yeast indicates that bakers’ yeast does not have an ortholog to this gene. The highest similarity is found to the DNA repair gene RAD18. However, this similarity is largely limited to the RING finger domain of RAD18, which mediates interaction with a UBC (Ulrich and Jentsch, 2000). A direct test of functional similarity by expression of PRT1 in a rad18 yeast mutant indicated that the plant gene cannot complement the radiation sensitivity phenotype of a rad18 mutant (data not shown).

In one series of experiments, we expressed PRT1 in a yeast strain devoid of UBR1, the ubiquitin ligase of the yeast N-end rule pathway (Bartel et al., 1990). We found that yeast cells expressing PRT1 from a plasmid had a significantly lowered steady-state level of F-βgal, a model substrate of the yeast N-end rule pathway that is metabolically stable in ubr1Δ mutants (Figs. 1 and 2). This finding is suggestive of a role for PRT1 in degradation of N-end rule substrates. To rule out the possibility that selection of F-βgal occurs by a structure or sequence element other than the amino-terminal residue, we tested whether the steady-state level of test proteins with other amino-terminal residues is also changed in ubr1Δ PRT1 yeast cells as compared with ubr1Δ cells. We find that PRT1 lowers the concentration of proteins with an aromatic amino-terminus. Remarkably, βgal test proteins with aliphatic hydrophobic or basic amino-terminal residues are unchanged in their concentration (Fig. 1).

The lowered steady-state concentration is due to metabolic instability because pulse chase experi-
ments demonstrate a short half-life of F-βgal in the ubr1Δ PRT1 yeast strain but not in the ubr1Δ yeast strain without the PRT1 gene (Fig. 2). The fact that UBR1 is the (only) recognition component of the yeast N-end rule and, thus, contains a binding site for the bulky first amino acid residue of the F-βgal test protein and initiates its degradation suggests that PRT1 also contains a binding site for the test protein and mediates its degradation.

Long exposure of a fluorogram with immunoprecipitate from ubr1Δ PRT1 yeast cells shows a characteristic “ladder” of bands that indicates involvement of ubiquitin in PRT1-mediated degradation of F-βgal (Figs. 2 and 3). The presence of two RING finger domains in PRT1 (Potuschak et al., 1998) suggests that this protein can interact with UBC(s). Interestingly, some bands of the F-βgal ubiquitylation ladder differ either in intensity or in position from those observed in the UBR1 wild-type yeast strain. The overall increase in the steady-state level of ubiquitin ladder bands in the ubr1Δ PRT1 yeast strain could be explained by less efficient channeling of the ubiquitylated substrate protein to the proteasome (Ubr1 apparently delivers ubiquitylated substrates efficiently by direct binding to the proteasome, a property that might not be shared by PRT1; Xie and Varshavsky, 2000). Taken together, these data strongly suggest that PRT1 is a ubiquitin protein ligase.

The binding of hydrophobic amino-termini to UBR1 can be inhibited by dipeptides with a hydrophobic first residue (Baker and Varshavsky, 1991). We find that aromatic, but not hydrophobic aliphatic, or basic amino acid methyl esters, are good in vivo inhibitors of PRT1 when expressed in ubr1Δ yeast cells (Fig. 4). This finding is another indication that PRT1 is a recognition element of the plant N-end rule pathway, and it confirms the specificity of PRT1 for exclusively the aromatic amino-termini of the N-end rule pathway.

We wanted to confirm the in planta relevance of the PRT1 substrate specificity determined in yeast.

**Figure 3.** UBR1 of yeast and PRT1 of Arabidopsis mediate degradation of the F-β-gal test protein in yeast with differences in multi-ubiquitylated intermediates. Immunoprecipitation of radioactively labeled F-β-gal protein from wild-type yeast cells (lane 1) or from cells without UBR1 that express PRT1 (ubr1Δ PRT1 cells, lane 2) indicates that the ladder of multi-ubiquitylated species is more intense in ubr1Δ PRT1 cells. Furthermore, a few higher M species (square dots to the left) are not visible in ubr1Δ PRT1 cells. Dot to the right, Position of mature F-β-gal on the gel; asterisk, stable β-gal fragment. M, marker bands are shown to the right. Thin and dashed line to the right, Position of ubiquitylated F-β-gal species.

**Figure 4.** Activity of PRT1 is inhibited by O-methyl esters of destabilizing amino acids. Enzyme activity measurements similar to those of Figure 1 were carried out after growth of yeast cells in the presence of Phe-O-methyl ester, Trp-O-methyl ester, Leu-O-methyl ester, and Arg-O-methyl ester. Only those methyl esters which correspond to an amino-terminus destabilized by PRT1 expression can inhibit the degradation process.
from untransformed plant. (L, lanes 5 and 6), and R-DHFR (R, lanes 7 and 8). Lane 9, Extract the stable reference protein, and a double dot indicates position of prt1
lanes, using antibody directed against HA epitope tags. Odd-numbered
Plants transformed with UPR constructs were used for protein extrac-
tion from leaves. Test proteins were detected by western blotting
using antibody directed against HA epitope tags. Odd-numbered
lanes, PRT1 genotype (plus sign above lanes); even-numbered lanes,
prt1 mutant genotype (minus sign above lanes). A single dot denotes
the stable reference protein, and a double dot indicates position of
the test protein. Asterisk, Metabolically stable fragment generated
from unstable test proteins as side products. The test proteins are
M-DHFR (M, lanes 1 and 2), F-DHFR (F, lanes 3 and 4), L-DHFR
(L, lanes 5 and 6), and R-DHFR (R, lanes 7 and 8). Lane 9, Extract
from untransformed plant. M, marker sizes are indicated to the right.
For further explanations, see text.

To that end, we made ubiquitin protein reference (UPR) constructs (Varshavsky, 2000) for Arabidopsis. A single transgene-encoded polypeptide is probably cotranslationally cleaved into two proteins. One protein is the metabolically stable reference protein. The other protein carries a potential degradation signal. Its metabolic stability can be determined by comparing steady-state levels of test and reference protein. Test proteins used in Figure 5 carry N-end rule de-
grons. They differ from the N-end rule substrates used in previous work (Bachmair et al., 1993; Potuschak et al., 1998) by a carboxyl-terminal extension that makes their size more easily distinguishable from the reference protein on western blots. When using antibodies against the HA epitope for detection, the larger test polypeptide stains with 3-fold intensity due to three repeats of the epitope, com-
pared with one such epitope in the reference protein.

Expression of the UPR construct M-DHFR in wild-
type and in prt1 mutant Arabidopsis plants allows the detection of two bands on a western blot with HA antibody, the larger band being about 3 times as intense as the smaller one (Fig. 5, lanes 1 and 2). The ratio between test protein and reference protein is the same both in wild type and in the prt1 mutant, consist-
tent with the previous finding that M-DHFR is metabolically stable in both genotypes (Potuschak et al., 1998). In contrast, expression of R-DHFR from a UPR construct does not allow detection of the larger (R-DHFR) band (Fig. 5, lanes 7 and 8). This indicates fast turnover. Instead, lanes from R-DHFR-
expressing plants have bands with a mobility inter-
mediate between M-DHFR and the reference band, which are probably cleavage products of R-DHFR with amino-terminal truncation. Again, there is no obvious difference between wild type and prt1 mutant related to stability of R-DHFR, supporting the

notion that a ubiquitin protein ligase distinct from PRT1 is instrumental in degradation of R-DHFR. As expected, we see a difference between the protein pattern of wild-type and prt1 plants when UPR con-
struct F-DHFR is expressed (Fig. 5, lanes 3 and 4). F-DHFR is unstable in wild-type cells; therefore, the detected protein pattern closely resembles the R-DHFR lane. prt1 mutant cells, on the other hand, do not degrade F-DHFR, and the ensuing protein pattern resembles the M-DHFR lane of wild-type and mutant plants. To further characterize PRT1, we also expressed L-DHFR in plants. Wild-type plants apparently degrade this protein at a slower rate than R-DHFR or F-DHFR. Therefore, a weak band of L-DHFR can be visualized with HA antibody (Fig. 5, lane 5). Interestingly, in prt1 plants, degradation of L-DHFR is not only unimpeded, but it is actually increased compared with wild-type plants, suggesting that the PRT1 protein negatively influences L-DHFR degradation (Fig. 5, lane 6). Taken together, these data support the notion that the substrate spec-
ificity of PRT1 observed in yeast reflects the in planta specificity and that PRT1 is not the only ubiquitin protein ligase operating in the Arabidopsis N-end rule pathway.

DISCUSSION

In this work, we characterize PRT1, a component of the plant N-end rule pathway. Substrates for degra-
dation by the N-end rule pathway are recognized by a bulky first amino acid (see introduction; Var-
shavsky, 1996). PRT1 was isolated previously by positional cloning, using a mutant with a transgene-
dependent phenotype as a basis for gene identification (Bachmair et al., 1993; Potuschak et al.,
1998). At the time of its isolation, the genetic data were the only connection of the PRT1 reading frame to protein turnover. However, we have noticed that similar to PRT1, a considerable number of proteins involved in ubiquitylation processes contain a so-
called RING finger domain (Potuschak et al., 1998; for review, see Joazeiro and Weissman, 2000). RING
finger domains have since been found to interact with UBCs and define a superfamiliy of ubiquitin
protein ligases (Bachmair et al., 2001; Pickart, 2001; Weissman, 2001). In the work presented here, we show that PRT1 is a ubiquitin protein ligase. This conclusion is based on the following data. First, PRT1 can functionally replace a ubiquitin protein ligase of yeast, Ubr1 (Fig. 1). Second, protein substrates that are destabilized by expression of PRT1 in yeast show a characteristic ladder of higher M, bands indicative of ubiquitin conjugation (Figs. 2 and 3). Third, muta-
tions in the PRT1 gene cause specific stabilization of certain N-end rule substrates in plants (Fig. 5).

The finding that PRT1 can replace Ubr1 of yeast is somewhat surprising, considering the small size of PRT1 of Arabidopsis compared with Ubr1 (approxi-
wild-type versus mutation by expression of different model substrates in plants. Because of the size difference, it may not come as a surprise that PRT1 can replace Ubr1’s function only for a subset of the N-end rule substrates known in yeast. Ubr1 has a binding site for all hydrophobic residues and, in addition, another binding site for basic amino-termini. The experiments presented demonstrate that PRT1 can only ubiquitylate proteins with aromatic first residues (Figs. 2, 3, and 5). However, it is unclear whether PRT1 can catalyze multi-ubiquitylation of substrate proteins. Our data do not rule out the possibility that PRT1 catalyzes mono-ubiquitylation and that other ubiquitin protein ligases, presumably those of the UFD pathway (Johnson et al., 1992, 1995) attach additional ubiquitin moieties to build the multi-ubiquitin chain recognized by the proteasome. Examples for such an E3/E4 relationship among different ubiquitin ligases are known from fungi and from animals (Koegl et al., 1999; Grossman et al., 2003).

Substrate binding by the yeast ubiquitin protein ligase Ubr1 can be inhibited by the presence of dipeptides (Baker and Varshavsky, 1991). Binding of small peptides has a biological function in regulation of peptide import (Turner et al., 2000). We find that PRT1 activity can be inhibited by methyl esters of Phe and Trp but not by methyl esters of other amino acids such as Leu or Arg (Fig. 4). The choice of methyl esters over dipeptides was motivated largely by technical considerations (dipeptides are not transported into yeast cells in a ubr1Δ mutant). Although this specific inhibition of PRT1 does not imply a biological function in plants, it is a further proof that PRT1 has a binding site for aromatic residues and, therefore, that it recognizes substrates by their amino-termini.

In addition to the two RING finger domains, PRT1 contains another Zn²⁺-binding domain, a so-called ZZ domain. This domain can mediate protein-protein interactions (Anderson et al., 1996; Ponting et al., 1996; Cariou et al., 2002). Therefore, the ZZ domain could be involved in binding of the N-end rule degron, in oligomerization, or in binding of another protein.

The restricted substrate range of PRT1 as compared with Ubr1 raised the question of whether the N-end rule of plants is restricted to aromatic residues as amino-terminal degradation signals. Previous experiments presented elsewhere (Schloegelhofer and Bachmair, 2002) and additional findings by others (Wolley et al., 1998) indicate that the plant N-end rule does at least include basic amino-termini. Experiments shown in Figure 5 directly address this question by expression of different model substrates in wild-type versus prt1 mutant plants. These experiments confirm the data obtained by heterologous expression of PRT1 in yeast. A model substrate with Arg as first residue is metabolically unstable in both wild-type and mutant plants. In contrast, Phe as a first residue results in a protein that is stable in mutant plants but unstable in wild-type plants. Another construct expressed a test protein with Leu as first residue. Interestingly, although the L-DHFR protein is apparently of intermediary stability in wild-type leaves, it is actually less stable in the prt1 mutant. A possible interpretation of the decreased half-life of L-DHFR in mutant plants is that PRT1 has a second function as regulator of a Leu-specific ligase activity. However, this issue needs further clarification. In summary, we conclude that PRT1 is specific for aromatic amino-termini and that Arabidopsis contains at least one additional ubiquitin protein ligase that works in the N-end rule pathway.

Interestingly, the Arabidopsis genome encodes a protein with similarity to UBR1, At5g02300. This hypothetical reading frame has similarity to the amino-terminal one-half of UBR1 and is located next to a reading frame annotated as CER3, which has similarity to the carboxyl-terminal one-half of UBR1. Therefore, it is possible that the two genes can be cotranscribed to give one protein with similarity to UBR1 over its entire length. Experiments to understand these facets are under way. Because Arabidopsis prt1 mutants are entirely deficient in degradation of F-DHFR (Potuschak et al., 1998; Fig. 5), we would expect that any additional N-end rule ubiquitin protein ligase of Arabidopsis does not recognize aromatic amino-termini.

Taken together, our data indicate that PRT1 is one of at least two ubiquitin protein ligases of the plant N-end rule pathway and has specificity for aromatic amino-termini. Therefore, the existing data are consistent with increasing complexity of the N-end rule pathway in metazoans.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Microbial Strains, Plant Lines, Growth, and Transformation**

*Escherichia coli* strain DH5α (supE44 ΔlacU169 [Φ80lacZΔM15] hsdR17 recA1 endA1 gyrA96 thi1 relA1 lacZΔ80) was used for DNA manipulations. *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* C58C1pCV2260 was used in plant transformation. Yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) strains K700 (MATa ade2-1 trp1-1 can1-100 leu2-3,112 his3-11,15 ura3-52 GAL¹); a kind gift from Kim Nasmyth, Research Institute of Molecular Pathology, Vienna, BY47 (Bartel et al., 1990), and SS13 (MATa trp1-1 his3-d200 leu2-3,112 ura3-52 can1-100 GAL¹ ubr1-1Δ:LEU2; segregant from cross K700 × BY47) were used in this work. Arabidopsis ecotype Columbia-0 was used for in planta experiments. Plant transformation was carried out by the infiltration method (Bechthold et al., 1993; G. Cardon, personal communication). Yeast growth and transformation were carried out as described by Kaiser et al. (1994).

**Vector Constructs**

A yeast vector for expression of PRT1 was made in the following way: Yeast expression vector pMA91 (Mellor et al., 1983), which contains between two HindIII sites, promoter and terminator of yeast phosphoglycerate kinase separated by a unique BglII site, was modified by insertion of an NdeI linker (CCATAGG; New England Biolabs, Frankfurt) into the BglII site. A
Protein Analysis

Yeast pulse chase experiments were performed as described (Bachmair et al., 1986), except that Complete mini protease inhibitor tablets (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) was carried out to inhibit proteases. For the pulse-chase experiments, the preculture was diluted approximately 1:30 (v/v) in Gal-feeding medium containing either 10 mM phenylalanine O-methyl ester, 10 mM Leu O-methyl ester, or 10 mM Arg O-methyl ester and was incubated at 30°C for 5 h. Thereafter, cells were harvested for β-galactosidase activity determination.

Inhibition of the N-End Rule Pathway by Amino Acid Derivatives

A preulture of the β-galactosidase-expressing yeast strain was grown for 2 to 3 d in dropout medium containing 2% (w/v) raffinose as sole carbon source. For induction of β-galactosidase expression, the preulture was diluted approximately 1:30 (v/v) in Gal-dropout medium containing either 10 mM phenylalanine O-methyl ester, 10 mM Trp O-methyl ester, 10 mM Leu O-methyl ester, or 10 mM Arg O-methyl ester and was incubated at 30°C for 5 h. Thereafter, cells were harvested for β-galactosidase activity determination.
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