AtCAND1, A HEAT-Repeat Protein That Participates in Auxin Signaling in Arabidopsis

Youfa Cheng, Xinhua Dai, and Yunde Zhao*

Section of Cell and Developmental Biology, Division of Biological Sciences, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093–0116

Auxin affects many aspects of plant growth and development. We previously used chemical genetics to dissect auxin-signaling mechanisms and identified a small molecule, sirtinol, that constitutively activated auxin signaling (Y. Zhao et al. [2003], Science 301: 1107–1110). Here we describe the isolation, characterization, and cloning of an Arabidopsis mutant Atcand1-1 that emerged from a genetic screen for mutants insensitive to sirtinol. Loss-of-function mutants of AtCAND1 were resistant to sirtinol and auxin, but not to gibberellins or brassinolide. Atcand1 displayed developmental phenotypes similar to those of axr1, namely, short petioles, downwardly curling leaves, short inflorescence, and reduced fertility. AtCAND1 is homologous to human CAND1, a protein that is composed almost entirely of HEAT-repeat units and has been implicated in regulating the assembly and disassembly of the SCF protein degradation machinery. Taken together with previous biochemical studies, this work helps to elucidate the roles of AtCAND1 in protein degradation and auxin signaling.

Auxin is essential for plant growth and development, and it participates in processes ranging from embryogenesis and seedling growth up to flowering and senescence. There are two commonly known responses when plants are treated with auxin. The first is the rapid degradation of the transcription repressor AUX/IAA proteins by a ubiquitin-related pathway (Abel et al., 1994; Dharmasiri and Estelle, 2002). The second is the subsequent induction of a certain subset of genes, curiously including those that encode AUX/IAA proteins (Hagen and Guilfoyle, 1985; Theologis et al., 1985). A large part of the transcriptional response is believed to be mediated by the binding of auxin response factors (ARFs; Ulmasov et al., 1999; Guilfoyle et al., 1998) to auxin response elements. In the basal state, AUX/IAA proteins sequester ARFs by heterodimerizing with them and hence prevent ARFs from homodimerizing and activating auxin-inducible genes. In the basal state, AUX/IAA proteins sequester ARFs by heterodimerizing with them and hence prevent ARFs from homodimerizing and activating auxin-inducible genes (Ulmasov et al., 1999; Worley et al., 2000; Reed, 2001; Rogg and Bartel, 2001). Therefore, the model for auxin-mediated gene expression rests on the controlled degradation of the inhibitory AUX/IAA proteins to release the ARFs, enabling them to dimerize with each other and to activate transcription from auxin response elements.

Following a poorly defined but presumed modification in response to auxin, AUX/IAA proteins become substrates for the SCF^{TIR} (Skp1p, Cdc53p/cullin, and F-box protein) protein degradation complex. TIR1 (an F-box protein) has been shown to have an auxin-dependent physical interaction with AUX/IAA proteins, through which they are recruited to the SCF complex where they are targeted for degradation (Gray et al., 2001). In Arabidopsis, mutations in any of the SCF^{TIR} components, including ask1-1 (a Skp1-like protein; Gray et al., 1999), axr6 (cullin1; Hobbie et al., 2000; Hellmann et al., 2003), and tir1 (an F-box protein; Ruegger et al., 1998), all confer resistance to auxin.

In addition to the core components of the SCF^{TIR} complex, genetic screens for auxin-resistant mutants have produced several other genes, such as axr1 (Lincoln et al., 1990; Leyser et al., 1993) and rce1 (Dharmasiri et al., 2003), that are known to participate in auxin-related protein degradation, but are not part of the SCF complex per se. AXR1 and RCE1 are involved in the conjugation of RUB1 (related to ubiquitin) to AXR6 (Gray et al., 2002). The precise biochemical significance of RUB1-cullin1 conjugation is not yet clear; however, in animal systems, this process, commonly known as neddylation, has been shown to promote SCF complex assembly and therefore lead to ubiquitin chain formation upon target proteins (Cope and Deshaies, 2003). The role of neddylation in auxin signaling is perhaps somewhat more complex: Mutations that disrupt the process in either direction, i.e. RUB1 conjugation or deconjugation from AXR6, all lead to auxin resistance (Leyser et al., 1993; Schwechheimer et al., 2001). The regulation of the cullin subunit of the SCF complex is further complicated by the fact that the HEAT-repeat protein CAND1 (Cullin-associated and neddylation dissociated) has been shown in human cells to preferentially sequester the unneddylated form of cullin1, thereby preventing it from binding to SKP1 and the F-box component.
SKP2 (Liu et al., 2002; Zheng et al., 2002; Oshikawa et al., 2003). Therefore, a better understanding of CAND1 and other regulatory mechanisms imposed on protein degradation may provide further insight into auxin signaling in higher plants.

Using chemical genetics (Blackwell and Zhao, 2003), we have previously identified a small molecule, sirtinol, that activates auxin-inducible genes, causes degradation of AUX/IAA proteins, and leads to auxin-related developmental phenotypes (Zhao et al., 2003). Here we describe the use of sirtinol to isolate, characterize, and clone Arabidopsis CAND1 (AtCAND1). Loss-of-function alleles of AtCAND1 conferred resistance to sirtinol and auxin, but not to GA₃ or brassinolide. AtCAND1 encodes a protein composed almost entirely of HEAT-repeat units that is highly homologous to the human CAND1. Together with previous biochemical studies, this work helps to elaborate on roles of protein degradation in auxin signaling.

RESULTS
Isolation of New Sirtinol-Resistant Mutants

It is known from our previous work that all auxin-signaling mutants tested are resistant to sirtinol (Zhao et al., 2003), but those mutants did not emerge from our initial sirtinol-resistant mutant screen. Perhaps the sirtinol concentration (25 μM) used in the previous screen was too high. Therefore, we carried out a genetic screen for mutants resistant to sirtinol at lower concentration (20 μM). As expected, new alleles of the known auxin-resistant mutants (axr1, axr2, axr3, and axr6) emerged from the screen (data not shown). A new mutant (A1-I) was also identified, and linkage analysis placed its locus at the top of chromosome II, where there are no previously identified auxin-resistant genes.

When A1-I (hereafter referred to as Atcand1-1) was backcrossed to either wild-type Columbia or Landsberg, the resulting F₂ plants were all sensitive to sirtinol, indicating that Atcand1-1 was recessive. About 25% of the F₂ population resulting from self-fertilization of F₁ plants of the Atcand1-1 backcross were sirtinol resistant, suggesting that the observed phenotype arises from a mutation in a single gene.

In the presence of sirtinol, light-grown Atcand1-1 displayed significant root elongation whereas the wild-type control lacked primary roots (Fig. 1A). In the dark, sirtinol had little effect on Atcand1-1 hypocotyl and primary root development, but suppressed apical hook formation. This is in contrast to the wild type for which both hypocotyl elongation and root elongation were suppressed by sirtinol (Fig. 1B). In the absence of sirtinol, both light-grown and dark-grown Atcand1-1 seedlings grew normally and there were no apparent differences between Atcand1-1 and the wild-type controls (Fig. 1, C and D). However, the young adult plants of Atcand1-1 displayed strong develop-mental phenotypes that closely resemble those observed in the well-characterized auxin-resistant mutant axr1 (Lincoln et al., 1990), namely, short petioles and irregular rosette leaves that have the tendency to curl downward (Fig. 1, E and F). Like axr1 mutants, Atcand1-1 had short inflorescences and reduced fertility relative to wild type, but, in contrast, it produced far more seeds than the severe axr1-12 allele. The siliques of Atcand1-1 were shorter than those of the wild type but longer than those of axr1-12, which may be related to its intermediate fertility (Fig. 1, G and H).

Figure 1. Distinct phenotypes of a sirtinol-resistant mutant Atcand1-1. A, Atcand1-1 had an elongated primary root (right), whereas the wild-type control (left) had essentially no primary roots when grown on 10 μM sirtinol under white light for 5 d. B, Atcand1-1 was also resistant to sirtinol in the dark. Atcand1-1 grown on 5 μM sirtinol in total darkness for 3 d developed normal hypocotyls and roots (right). C, Atcand1-1 (right) and wild type (left) grown on 0.5 × MS in light for 7 d. D, Atcand1-1 (right) and wild type grown on 0.5 × MS for 3 d in the dark. E and F, Adult Atcand1-1 plants grown in a greenhouse. Left, Atcand1-1; middle, axr1-12; and right, wild type. G, Inflorescences and a mature plant of Atcand1-1. Left, wild type; middle, axr1-12; and right, Atcand1-1. H, Siliques of Atcand1-1. Left, wild type; middle, axr1-12; and right, Atcand1-1.
Atcand1-1 Is an Auxin-Resistant Mutant

The main response of Arabidopsis seedlings to exogenous auxin is inhibition of primary root elongation. Unlike the wild-type controls, Atcand1-1 displayed elongated primary roots when grown on media containing 100 nM 2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid (2,4-D) (Fig. 2A). Comparative root elongation assays were performed at various concentrations of 2,4-D and indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), and we found that Atcand1-1 is approximately three times less sensitive to both 2,4-D and IAA than the wild type (Fig. 2, B and C).

Positional Cloning of Atcand1-1

The Atcand1-1 mutant was mapped to a 30-kb interval between markers T8K22A and T8K22B at the top of chromosome II (Fig. 3A). DNA sequencing of the open reading frames in that interval identified a single G-to-A transversion in the gene At2g02560 (Fig. 3B). The mutation occurred at the splice junction of exon 26 and intron 25 (Fig. 3B) and led to aberrant mRNA processing. Two At2g02560 transcripts were found in the Atcand1-1 mutant, one smaller and one larger than the wild type (Fig. 3B). The former species was predominant (over 90% of the total At2g02560 mRNA) and led to a 17 amino acid-residue deletion near the C terminus. The latter species led to a 30 amino acid-residue insertion in the same region (Fig. 3B). Either or both species may be compromised in function relative to wild-type At2g02560.

A genomic fragment containing the entire coding region of At2g02560 plus an additional 2.5 kb of

Figure 2. Atcand1-1 is resistant to exogenous auxin in root elongation assays. A, Seedlings were germinated and grown on 100 nM 2,4-D under white light for 5 d. Atcand1-1 (right) displayed elongated primary roots. B, Effects of 2,4-D on root elongation. Both axr1-12 and Atcand1-1 displayed decreased sensitivities to exogenous auxin. C, Effects of IAA on root elongation. Note that the x axis is in log scale. D, Effects of ethylene biosynthetic precursor ACC on hypocotyl elongation in the dark.
upstream sequence was able to restore auxin and sirtinol sensitivity to Atcand1-1 transgenic plants (Fig. 3C), providing strong evidence that the mutation in At2g02560 was responsible for the phenotypes observed.

At2g02560 is a single-copy gene in Arabidopsis and was annotated as TIP120A (TATA-box-binding-protein interacting protein) in the Arabidopsis genome database. Further sequence analysis indicated that At2g02560 is the Arabidopsis homolog of human...
CAND1 (GenBank accession no., NM_018448), hence the name \textit{Atcand1-1}. The overall sequence identities and similarities between the amino acid sequences of At2g2560 and human CAND1 were 40\% and 58\%, respectively.

Human CAND1 contains approximately 25 HEAT-repeat units (for Huntingtin, Elongation factor 3, Protein phosphatase 2A, TOR1), a structural motif composed of two anti-parallel interacting helices (Liu et al., 2002; Zheng et al., 2002). A HEAT-repeat matrix often serves as flexible scaffolding on which other proteins can assemble. The fact that CAND1 interacts preferentially with unneddylated cullin in human cells (Liu et al., 2002; Zheng et al., 2002) suggests that \textit{AtCAND1} may use a similar mechanism to affect SCF complex assembly in plants, thus potentially regulating the degradation of AUX/IAA proteins.

\textbf{\textit{AtCAND1} Is Ubiquitously Expressed}

Total RNA prepared from Arabidopsis seedlings, roots, leaves, stems, flowers, and siliques was used to analyze tissue specificity of \textit{AtCAND1} expression. \textit{AtCAND1} was expressed in every tissue throughout the plant, consistent with its role in fundamental cellular processes (data not shown).

\textbf{Identification of Additional Alleles of \textit{Atcand1}}

To identify additional alleles of \textit{Atcand1}, we searched the SALK T-DNA database (http://signal.salk.edu/cgi-bin/tdnaexpress) for insertional mutants. Three T-DNA lines were identified and seeds for the lines were ordered from the Arabidopsis Stock Center. Upon genotyping these lines, we only identified T-DNA insertions in two lines, SALK-099479 and SALK-110969. According to the data base annotation, T-DNA line SALK-099479 contains an insertion in exon 5 and the T-DNA line SALK-110969 has an insertion in exon 22 (Fig. 3B); these lines were renamed \textit{Atcand1-2} and \textit{Atcand1-3}, respectively. Both insertional alleles were resistant to sirtinol and auxin (Fig. 4, A and B). The adult plants of these alleles had phenotypes similar to those of \textit{Atcand1-1}, namely, shorter petioles, downward curled leaves, and overall smaller stature (Fig. 4, C and D). In contrast to \textit{Atcand1-1}, the phenotypes of the insertional alleles were more severe: the inflorescences were shorter and they were almost completely sterile.
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\caption{Analysis of T-DNA insertion alleles of \textit{Atcand1}. A, \textit{Atcand1-2} (right) and wild type grown on 10 $\mu$M sirtinol for 6 d. B, \textit{Atcand1-2} (right) grown on 100 nM 2,4-D for 7 d. C, Adult plants of \textit{Atcand1} mutants. Left, \textit{Atcand1-1}; middle, \textit{Atcand1-2}; and right, wild type. Both \textit{Atcand1-2} and \textit{Atcand1-1} had short petiole and curly leaves, but \textit{Atcand1-2} had stronger phenotypes. D, Mature plants of \textit{Atcand1} mutants. Left, \textit{Atcand1-1}; and right, \textit{Atcand1-2}. \textit{Atcand1-2} was essentially sterile.}
\end{figure}
Responses of Atcand1-1 to Other Plant Hormones

Previous studies had shown that genes involved in regulating AXL6 modification and/or SCF complex assembly are often involved in multiple hormone and cellular signaling processes. For example, axr1, the first cloned auxin-resistant mutant, has been shown to be resistant to jasmonic acid (Tiryaki and Staswick, 2002). We examined Atcand1-1 in this light. Among all the hormones tested (jasmonic acid, ethylene, GAs, and brassinolide), we found that Atcand1-1 was slightly resistant to jasmonic acid (data not shown). In the presence of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC), an ethylene biosynthesis precursor, Atcand1-1 and axr1-12 displayed longer hypocotyls and roots (Fig. 2D). There was essentially no difference between Atcand1-1 and wild type in response to GA and brassinolide.

DISCUSSION

The Atcand1 mutants identified in this work demonstrate that AtCAND1 plays an important role in auxin signaling and plant development. Loss-of-function alleles of AtCAND1 were all resistant to exogenous auxin and had deformed leaves and reduced fertility. The resemblance of Atcand1 phenotypes to those of axr1 mutants suggests that both AXR1 and AtCAND1 participate in regulating a common process. AXR1 has homology to the ubiquitin-activating enzyme E1 and has been shown to promote neddylation of AXR6. Defects in AXR1 appear to reduce its capacity to neddylate AXR6 (Dharmasiri et al., 2003), which in turn leads to a smaller population of active SCF complexes and, therefore, less efficient AUX/IAA protein degradation.

CAND1 is believed to negatively regulate SCF complex assembly by preventing SKP1 and SKP2 (the F-box component) from associating with the cullin template (Liu et al., 2002; Zheng et al., 2002). Although appearing somewhat paradoxical, loss-of-function mutations in AXR1 (failure to activate cullin) and CAND1 (failure to sequester deactivated cullin) both lead to auxin resistance and similar developmental phenotypes. Moreover, transgenic Arabidopsis plants with reduced levels of CSN5, a gene involved in deneddylation (i.e. cullin deactivation), were also resistant to auxin and displayed other auxin-related developmental phenotypes (Schwechheimer et al., 2001). In this light, it has been proposed that the deneddylation/deneddylation cycle, not simply neddylation in and of itself, is the important factor in ubiquitin chain formation and elongation (Schwechheimer et al., 2001; Gray et al., 2002).

Together with the previous findings that F-box proteins themselves are also short-lived (Zhou and Howley, 1998; Wirbelauer et al., 2000) and that the loss of CAND1 led to down-regulation of the F-box protein SKP2 and less degradation of the target protein p27 (Zheng et al., 2002), our finding that Atcand1 is auxin resistant suggests a mechanism whereby auxin responsiveness is regulated according to the timing and/or level of AXR6 neddylation. Assuming that the SCF complex is not only important for degrading target proteins, such as the AUX/IAAs, but that it also is involved in destabilizing the carrier F-box proteins as was the case in animal systems, if AXR6 were to remain neddylated, whether by mutations in itself or loss-of-function mutations in CSN5, one of the consequences would be the depletion of F-box proteins such as TIR1. Therefore, the capacity to bring AUX/IAA proteins to the SCF complex is decreased, which mimics the loss of function of TIR1 and translates to an auxin-resistant phenotype. It follows that, when AtCAND1 is mutated, F-box proteins could be depleted because AXR6 may no longer be sequestered in the deactivated, unneddylated form, giving rise to auxin resistance. In summary, it seems possible that, in axr1, the SCF\textsuperscript{TIR1} complex cannot be activated and, in csn5 and Atcand1, more of the SCF\textsuperscript{TIR1} complex remains neddylated, destabilizing the F-box proteins. In either scenario, an auxin-resistant phenotype is observed because of the failure to degrade AUX/IAA proteins.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mutagenesis and the Sirtinol-Resistant Mutant Screen

Ethylmethane sulfonate-mutagenized Arabidopsis Columbia M2 seeds were purchased from Leble Seeds (Round Rock, Texas). The M2 seeds were germinated and grown on 0.5 × Murashige and Skoog medium (MS) containing 20 μM sirtinol under white light (16-h-light/8-h-dark cycle) for 6 d. Seedlings with elongated roots or normal cotyledons and hypocotyls were selected as putative sirtinol-resistant mutants and directly transplanted to soil. Seeds from the putative mutants were restested on 20 μM sirtinol for sensitivity to sirtinol by measuring root elongation.

Initial Characterization of Sirtinol-Resistant Mutants

The identified sirtinol-resistant mutants were backcrossed to wild-type Columbia and Landsberg to segregate away from background mutations, to determine whether the mutants were recessive or dominant, and to generate F2 populations for identifying chromosome locations of the mutations. For each mutant, 48 sirtinol-resistant F2 plants from the F1 of a Landsberg erecta cross were used to identify linkages to known markers. If a mutant was linked to a marker where a known auxin-resistant gene is located, that gene was sequenced in the mutant. If a mutation was found in the gene, the mutant was assigned as low priority. For example, we had many sirtinol-resistant mutants that were linked to the marker nga63 on chromosome I, and axr1 is located nearby. We have sequenced six mutants that were linked to nga63, and all had mutations in axr1. Only mutants that appear to be linked to loci different from previously identified genes were subject to further characterization.  

Positional Cloning

Atcand1-1 was cloned using a map-based cloning strategy (Lukowitz et al., 2000). Single sequence length polymorphism and cleaved amplified polymorphic sequence markers were designed according to the polymorphisms between Columbia and Landsberg ecotypes provided by Monsanto (http://www.arabidopsis.org).

To confirm that the Atcand1-1 phenotypes resulted from the mutation in At2g02560, a 12.3-kb genomic fragment, including the entire coding region of At2g02560 and 2.5 kb upstream of the coding region, was cloned into the binary vector pZP211. The resulting construct was introduced to Arabidopsis.
bacterium tumefaciens GV3101 and transformed to Atcand1-1 using the floral dipping method (Clough and Bent, 1998). Seeds from Atcand1-1 plants transformed with the complementation construct were sown on 0.5 × MS containing 50 μg/mL kanamycin, stratified at 4°C for 2 d, and grown for about 1 week before sitrinol- or auxin-resistant tests were carried out. Kanamycin-resistant transgenic seedlings were transferred to 0.5 × MS containing 100 μM 2,4-D to measure relative root elongation.

RNA Isolation and RT-PCR Analysis

Total RNA was isolated from 5 d-light-grown Arabidopsis seedlings, roots, leaves, stems, flowers, and siliques using the Qiagen RNAeasy isolation kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The total RNA samples were used for RT-PCR analysis; ubiquitin mRNA was used as an internal control. The two gene-specific primers for amplifying AtCAND1 cDNA were as follows: 5'-CGTCCAGTGCAAGAGTCGTC-3', 5'-CAGACTGACCTGCACCCAGATAC-3'. The expected sizes of the amplified cDNA fragment and the genomic fragment were 503 and 1,202 bp, respectively.

Hormone Response Analysis

For auxin responses, 5 d-old seedlings grown on vertical plates of 0.5 × MS were transferred to 0.5 × MS plates containing various concentrations of IAA or 2,4-D. The location of the root tips of all transferrred seedlings were marked. The seedlings were grown on vertical plates for an additional 2 d before quantitation. Root elongations during the 2-d period were quantified using the NIH Image software (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/nih-image/Default.html).

For ethylene responses, Arabidopsis seeds were sown on 0.5 × MS containing various concentrations of ACC stratified at 4°C for 2 d, and grown for exactly 72 h in the dark. Seedlings were then transferred to MS plates and hypocotyl lengths were measured using the NIH Image software. Sequence data from this article have been deposited with the EMBL/GenBank data libraries under accession numbers NM_018448 and BT010134.
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