The Biochemical and Molecular Basis for the Divergent Patterns in the Biosynthesis of Terpenes and Phenylpropenes in the Peltate Glands of Three Cultivars of Basil
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Surface glandular trichomes distributed throughout the aerial parts of sweet basil (Ocimum basilicum) produce and store monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, and phenylpropene volatiles. Three distinct basil chemotypes were used to examine the molecular mechanisms underlying the divergence in their monoterpenes and sesquiterpene content. The relative levels of specific terpenes in the glandular trichomes of each cultivar were correlated with the levels of transcripts for eight genes encoding distinct terpene synthases. In a cultivar that produces mostly (R)-linalool, transcripts of (R)-linalool synthase (LIS) were the most abundant of these eight. In a cultivar that synthesizes mostly geraniol, transcripts of geraniol synthase were the most abundant, but the glands of this cultivar also contained a transcript of an (R)-LIS gene with a 1-base insertion that caused a frameshift mutation. A geraniol synthase-LIS hybrid gene was constructed and expressed in Escherichia coli, and the protein catalyzed the formation of both geraniol and (R)-linalool from geranyl diphosphate. The total amounts of terpenes were correlated with total levels of terpene synthase activities, and negatively correlated with levels of phenylpropanoids and phenylalanine ammonia lyase activity. The relative levels of geranyl diphosphate synthase and farnesyl diphosphate synthase synthase activities did not correlate with the total amount of terpenes produced, but showed some correlation with the ratio of monoterpenes to sesquiterpenes.

Plants produce a large number of secondary metabolites that function in a variety of ecological contexts. Many specialized compounds are toxic and can therefore serve as defense agents against microbial pathogens and insect and animal herbivores (Wittstock and Gershenzon, 2002; Theis and Lerdau, 2003; Wink, 2003). Other compounds are volatile and serve to attract pollinators or even insects that prey on the plant’s enemies or repel harmful organisms (Pare and Tumlinson, 1999; Kessler and Baldwin, 2001; Baldwin et al., 2002; Pichersky and Gershenzon, 2002).

Secondary compounds with roles in defense are often sequestered in specialized cells or structures, presumably to protect the plant itself from its own toxicity (Gershenzon et al., 1989; Pare and Tumlinson, 1997; Duke et al., 2000; Dussourd and Hoyle, 2000; Hallahan, 2000; Martin et al., 2002). A common mechanism of sequestration has been the evolution of anatomical structures, termed glandular trichomes, on the surface of the aerial parts of the plants. Such structures typically contain gland cells (or a single cell) that synthesize these compounds and a cuticular sac covering the gland cells into which large amounts of the synthesized compounds are secreted. Upon damage to the tissue, or even upon mere physical pressure, the sacs rupture and release their contents. Once on the surface, secondary compounds with high vapor pressure will easily evaporate into the atmosphere.

The Lamiaceae is a large plant family that includes the mints, sages, and basils and is well recognized for the diversity of secondary compounds synthesized and stored in glands found on the surface of leaves, stems, and flowers. The glands of sweet basil (Ocimum basilicum) in particular are rich in phenylpropenes as well as monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes (Weker et al., 1993; Gang et al., 2001; Iijima et al., 2004), compounds that, individually and in combination, impart distinct flavor and aroma as judged by the human sensory systems. Because of consumer demand, many cultivars have
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been bred for particular gland constituencies. For example, Sweet Dani (SD) is a basil cultivar that is rich in citral, a mixture of the monoterpene aldehydes geranial and neral, which together impart a lemony aroma (Morales and Simon, 1997; Iijima et al., 2004). Some cultivars are rich in phenylpropenes such as methylchavicol or eugenol, while others contain more than one major compound (Simon et al., 1999; Gang et al., 2001).

The phenylpropenes are derived from Phe and share the first few biosynthetic steps with the phenylpropanoids, although the entire biosynthetic pathway has not yet been elucidated (Hahlbrock and Grisebach, 1979; Jones, 1984; Gang et al., 2001). The C10 monoterpenes are known to be synthesized by the condensation of the two 5-carbon interconvertible isomers, isopentenyl diphosphate (IPP) and dimethylallyl diphosphate (DMAPP). The C15 sesquiterpenes, in turn, are synthesized by the sequential condensations of one DMAPP and two IPP molecules. In plants, both IPP and DMAPP are synthesized via two parallel pathways, the mevalonate (MVA) pathway localized in the cytosol and the methylerythritol 4-phosphate (MEP) pathway localized in the plastid (Fig. 1; Bochar et al., 1999; Lichtenthaler, 1999; Rodriguez-Concepcion and Boronat, 2002; Rohdich et al., 2003; Rohmer, 2003). These two pathways have been recently elucidated in plants and microorganisms, and the genes encoding all the enzymes in both pathways have now been identified (Rodriguez-Concepcion and Boronat, 2002; Lange and Ghissemian, 2003).

The existence of distinct basil chemotypes is both the result of natural evolution and selective breeding (Darrah, 1974; Morales and Simon, 1996; Simon et al., 1999). This observation suggests that most basil lineages have the genetic potential to synthesize most, if not all, of the range of compounds that have been found collectively in this species. However, the molecular mechanisms by which such diversity is generated—gene silencing, gene duplications and modifications, differential gene regulation, and post-translational modification—are not clear.

![Figure 1. Pathways leading to the generation of terpenes and phenylpropenes. A, Overview of the MVA and MEP pathways localized in the cytosol and the plastids, respectively. DXS, DXF synthase; DXR, DXF reductoisomerase; CMS, CDP-ME synthase; CMK, CDP-ME kinase; MCS, CMEPP synthase; HDS, HMBP synthase; IDS, IPP/DMAPP synthase; IDI, IPP isomerase; AACT (acetoacetyl-CoA thiolase), HMGS (HMG synthase), HMGR (HMG reductase), MVK, MVA kinase; PMK, phosphonevalonate kinase; PMD, MVA diphosphate decarboxylase; and GGPPS, GGPP synthase. B, Overview of the phenylpropene pathway. The first few steps are shared with the phenylpropanoid pathways. Not all later steps are known.](http://www.plantphysiol.org)
Previously, we reported the characterization of a geraniol synthase (GES) gene isolated from the basil cultivar SD (Iijima et al., 2004). Here we report the characterization of eight additional terpene synthases (TPSs) from the three basil cultivars SD, SW, and EMX. We examined the activities of these TPSs as well as the activities of the enzymes catalyzing the formation of geranyl diphosphate (GPP) and farnesyl diphosphate (FPP), the substrates of monoterpene and sesquiterpene synthase, respectively. We also examined the expression of genes encoding these enzymes and earlier enzymes in the MEP and MVA pathways to better understand the basis of the variation in volatile terpene profiles among these three basil cultivars. Finally, we examined the activity of Phe ammonia lyase (PAL), the key regulatory enzyme of the phenylpropanoid pathway, and the expression of PAL and several other phenylpropanoid genes to examine the interaction between the phenylpropanoid and terpenoid pathways.

RESULTS
Characterization of Monoterpenes and Sesquiterpenes Produced by Basil Cultivars EMX, SD, and SW

Terpene and phenylpropene constituents (Fig. 2) in leaves of basil cultivars EMX, SD, and SW were extracted and analyzed (Tables I and II). The major volatile constituent of EMX leaves was the phenylpropene methylchavicol (4.5 mg g\(^{-1}\) fresh weight [FW]), and this cultivar contained very low amounts of terpenes distributed almost evenly between monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes. On the other hand, the SD and SW cultivars contain lower amounts of phenylpropenes than cultivar EMX, with monoterpenes predominating. The SD cultivar was particularly rich in geranial (6.5 mg g\(^{-1}\) FW) and neral (3.1 mg g\(^{-1}\) FW), both of which are derived from the oxidation of geraniol (Iijima et al., 2004), and it also had several sesquiterpenes in concentrations ranging from 90 to 537 \(\mu\)g g\(^{-1}\) FW, with \(\beta\)-caryophyllene and germacrene D being the most abundant. The main monoterpene in cultivar SW was (R)-linalool (2.1 mg g\(^{-1}\) FW) followed by 1,8-cineole (728 \(\mu\)g g\(^{-1}\) FW), and the main sesquiterpene was \(\alpha\)-bergamotene (453 \(\mu\)g g\(^{-1}\) FW). When total volatile terpene content of each cultivar is plotted against the total phenylpropene content, a clear negative correlation is observed (Table II).

![Figure 2. Structures of the main monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes found in the basil glands. A, Monoterpenes. B, Sesquiterpenes.](image-url)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>EMX</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>SW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Monoterpenes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha)-Pinene</td>
<td>22 (4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>39 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta)-Pinene</td>
<td>36 (9)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>75 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta)-Myrcene</td>
<td>24 (6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>88 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limonene</td>
<td>46 (9)</td>
<td>21 (13)</td>
<td>32 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,8-Cineole</td>
<td>352 (88)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>728 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ocimene</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>109 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linalool</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,101 (418)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sabinele</td>
<td>279 (13)</td>
<td>336 (39)</td>
<td>296 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenchol</td>
<td>150 (85)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camphor</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha)-Terpineol</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>68 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geranial</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6,541 (1,361)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neral</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,091 (728)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geraniol</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>325 (55)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nerol</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>138 (27)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sesquiterpenes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta)-Caryophyllene</td>
<td>289 (78)</td>
<td>537 (75)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha)-Bergamotene</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>453 (84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta)-Farnesene</td>
<td>64 (19)</td>
<td>90 (20)</td>
<td>84 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha)-Caryophyllene</td>
<td>77 (13)</td>
<td>171 (24)</td>
<td>18 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha)-Zingiberene</td>
<td>39 (12)</td>
<td>93 (13)</td>
<td>43 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germacrene D</td>
<td>86 (22)</td>
<td>513 (80)</td>
<td>123 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma)-Cadinene</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>88 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\beta)-Selinene</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>207 (30)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha)-Selinene</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>171 (26)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha)-Bisabolene</td>
<td>207 (51)</td>
<td>353 (54)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha)-Cadinol</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>98 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phenyl Propanoids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl chavicol</td>
<td>4,468 (957)</td>
<td>142 (63)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl eugenol</td>
<td>42 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>69 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eugenol</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>41 (9)</td>
<td>2,302 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cis-3-hexenol</td>
<td>87 (54)</td>
<td>152 (28)</td>
<td>251 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Average values and SD (in parentheses) of triplicate for each plant line are given.*
Characterization of Monoterpene-Synthesizing Activities in Glands of the Three Cultivars

It has been previously established that, in the Lamiaceae in general and in basil specifically, the leaf terpenoids and phenylpropenes are synthesized almost exclusively in the peltate glands (Hallahan, 2000; Gang et al., 2001; an exception has been reported for patchouli, where terpenes are apparently stored in mesophyll glands [Maeda and Miyake, 1997]). We therefore isolated the basil peltate glands and examined the level of TPS activity capable of synthesizing monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes from the respective substrates GPP and FPP (Table II). Cultivar SD had the highest levels of activity of monoterpenes synthases, about 5-fold higher than in SW and 15-fold higher than in EMX. The levels of sesquiterpene synthase activities in the glands of SD were 1.7-fold higher than in EMX, but similar to the levels of the corresponding enzymatic activities in SW.

Characterization of Prenyltransferase Activities in Glands of the Three Cultivars

GPP, the substrate of monoterpene syntheses, is synthesized from IPP and DMAPP by the enzyme GPP synthase (GPPS), which has been found to be a heterodimer in several angiosperms (Burke et al., 1999, 2004; Tholl et al., 2004). FPP, the precursor of all sesquiterpenes, is synthesized from two molecules of IPP and one molecule of DMAPP by the homodimeric enzyme FPP synthase (FPPS). GPPS activity levels were highest in SD and lowest in EMX, and FPPS activity levels were highest in SW and lowest in EMX (Table II). However, FPPS activity levels were always lower than those of GPPS activity levels, ranging from only 25% in SD to 55% in SW. Geranylgeranyl diphasphate (GGPP) synthase activity was undetectable in glandular trichome extracts of these three cultivars (GGPP is the substrate of diterpene synthases; see Fig. 1).

Identification and Characterization of Monoterpene and Sesquiterpene Synthases Expressed in the Glands of Basil Cultivars EMX, SD, and SW

We had previously constructed annotated expressed sequence tag (EST) databases for SD, SW, and EMX peltate glandular trichomes that each contain approximately 3,500 ESTs (Gang et al., 2001; Iijima et al., 2004). An exhaustive search of these three databases revealed a total of nine contigs encoding proteins with sequence homology for known TPSs. After obtaining full-length cDNAs for all these contigs, the proteins encoded by these genes were aligned and compared (Fig. 3), and their phylogenetic relationships assessed (Fig. 4). One of these proteins, encoded by a cDNA found only in SD, has been previously identified as GES (the major monoterpenes in SD are geranial and neral, which are both derived from the oxidation of geraniol [Iijima et al., 2004]). A second cDNA, unique to SW, which showed 78% identity on the nucleotide sequence with GES, was expressed in E. coli and found to encode a monoterpene synthase that catalyzes the exclusive formation of (R)-linalool (Fig. 5A), which is the same linalool stereoisomer found in SW leaves. Interestingly, the SD EST database had several cDNAs that were almost identical in sequence to (R)-linalool synthase (LIS) from SW except that an insertion of
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Table II. The amounts of terpenes and phenylpropenes and the glandular enzymatic activities related to their formation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basil Cultivar</th>
<th>Mono + Sesqui</th>
<th>Total Terpenes</th>
<th>Mono + Sesqui</th>
<th>Total TPS Activity</th>
<th>GPPS + FPPS</th>
<th>Total Prenyltransferase Activity</th>
<th>PAL Activity</th>
<th>Phenylpropene Content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EMX</td>
<td>0.9 + 0.8 = 1.7</td>
<td>(1.3:1)</td>
<td>9.4 + 13.3 = 22.7</td>
<td>(6.7:1)</td>
<td>23.4 + 10.0 = 33.4</td>
<td>(2.3:3)</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>10.5 + 2.1 = 12.6</td>
<td>(4.9:1)</td>
<td>144.8 + 22.7 = 167.5</td>
<td>(6.4:1)</td>
<td>68.3 + 17.3 = 85.6</td>
<td>(3.9:1)</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>3.6 + 0.8 = 4.4</td>
<td>(4.4:1)</td>
<td>28.8 + 22.9 = 51.7</td>
<td>(1.3:1)</td>
<td>54.9 + 30.5 = 85.4</td>
<td>(1.8:1)</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aMicrogram per gram FW. *bPkat per milligram protein. *cRatio of monoterpenes to sesquiterpenes. *dRatio of the activities of monoterpen synthases to sesquiterpene synthases. *eRatio of the activities of GPPS to FPPS.

Overall, EMX had 0.9 mg of monoterpenes g⁻¹ FW, SD had 10.5 mg of monoterpenes g⁻¹ FW, and SW had 3.6 mg monoterpenes g⁻¹ FW. Cultivar SD also had the most sesquiterpenes, at 2.1 mg g⁻¹ FW, SW had approximately one-third of this concentration, and EMX had only 0.8 mg sesquiterpenes g⁻¹ FW.
Figure 3. Comparison of the sequences of the proteins encoded by nine basil TPS cDNAs. SES (GenBank accession no. AY693643); GDS (GenBank accession no. AY693644); FES (GenBank accession no. AY693647); TES (GenBank accession no. AY693649); MYS (GenBank accession no. AY693646); ZIS (GenBank accession no. AY693646); GES (GenBank accession no. AY693646); LIS (GenBank accession no. AY693646); and CDS (GenBank accession no. AY693646). White text on black box indicates identical amino acids in five or more sequences. Arrowhead indicates the position of the insertion of a T nucleotide between codon 228 and codon 229 of the LIS gene expressed in the SD cultivar (see text). Also indicated is the conserved area centered around codon 360 (GES numbering) that constituted the junction of the GES/LIS and LIS/GES chimeric cDNA constructs described in the text and in Figure 6.
one nucleotide (T) occurred between codon 228 and codon 229 (Fig. 3), causing a frameshift mutation and creating an aberrant open reading frame that encodes a truncated, nonfunctional enzyme.

Monoterpene synthases are located in the plastids and are synthesized in the cytosol with an N-terminal transit peptide extension that is cleaved after transport into the organelles (Gavel and von Heijne, 1990; Williams et al., 1998). Both GES and LIS protein sequences appear to have transit peptides (Fig. 3). A third cDNA, found in SW only, was expressed in E. coli and found to encode for a protein that catalyzes the formation of terpinolene, limonene, \( \beta \)-pinene, and one additional unidentified monoterpene (Fig. 5A). Because its major product was terpinolene, it was designated terpinolene synthase (TES). Finally, two closely related cDNAs (95.1% identical to each other on the nucleotides level), designated fenchol synthase (FES) and \( \beta \)-myrcene synthase (MYS), were found in all three databases. FES catalyzes the formation of fenchol, \( \alpha \)-pinene, limonene, and one additional unidentified monoterpene, and MYS catalyzes the exclusive formation of \( \beta \)-myrcene. Some of these monoterpene synthases catalyze the formation in vitro of some products that were not found in the glands (i.e. terpinolene). Similar apparent discrepancies between product profile of E. coli-produced plant TPSs and in vivo terpene profiles have been observed before (Jia et al., 1999). Metabolism of such products or lack of complete structural identity between the plant-derived and E. coli-produced enzymes has been implicated (Jia et al., 1999; Crowell et al. 2002).

The four other contigs encoded proteins with no apparent transit peptide (Fig. 3) and were therefore assigned as putative sesquiterpene synthases. Expression of their respective full-length cDNAs in E. coli followed by enzymatic assays identified these proteins as \( \gamma \)-cadinene synthase (CDS), selinene synthase (SES), \( \alpha \)-zingiberene synthase (ZIS), and germacrene D synthase (GDS; Fig. 5B), named for the major compounds they produce in vitro, but all of these enzymes produced other sesquiterpene compounds as well from FPP. cDNAs for SES, ZIS, and GDS were found in the EST databases of all three varieties, but cDNAs encoding CDS were found only in the database of SW.

**Construction of a Hybrid GES/LIS**

The basil LIS is very different from other LIS sequences found in other species (Fig. 4). In contrast, basil LIS and GES are 81% identical on the protein level, suggesting that they evolved from a common ancestor relatively recently. The reaction mechanism of GES was previously determined (Iijima et al., 2004; Fig. 6C), and production of linalool could be very similar, except that the carbocation generated after removal of the pyrophosphate group will reside at the C3 position (Fig. 6C). In an attempt to identify areas in the proteins important for product specification, we constructed a hybrid protein by fusing the first 360 codons of GES with codons 361 to 574 of LIS (Fig. 6A). The hybrid protein that had the GES N terminus and the LIS C terminus (GES/LIS) catalyzed the formation of both geraniol and (R)-linalool (at an 8:2 ratio; Fig. 6B). On the other hand, the reciprocal hybrid protein (LIS/GES) did not have any activity under our experimental conditions.

**Characterization of the Expression of Genes Encoding Terpenoid Biosynthetic Enzymes**

In addition to the nine TPSs described above, all the EST databases also contained cDNAs encoding both the large and small subunits of GPPS and FPPS, all the genes encoding the enzymes of the MEP pathway, and acetoacetyl CoA thiolase (AACT), HMG synthase (HMCS), and HMG reductase (HMGR), the first three enzymes of the MVA pathway (see Fig. 1). These cDNAs were bound to macroarray filters, which were then hybridized with labeled cDNAs derived from reverse transcription of whole glandular trichome RNA preparations from each of the three cultivars.
Overall, steady-state levels of these monoterpene synthase mRNAs were the lowest in EMX glands, and the levels of expression of individual monoterpene synthases in the other two cultivars were also consistent with the observed levels of volatiles (e.g. relatively high levels of GES in the geraniol-producing SD cultivar, and high levels of LIS in the linalool-containing SW cultivar; Fig. 7). Similarly, CDS was more highly expressed in SW, which has the highest level of cadinene among the three cultivars, and SES and GDS had the highest expression levels in SD, which has the highest levels of α-selinene, β-selinene, and germacrene D. Because FES and MYS are 95.1% identical on the DNA level, this expression analysis method could not distinguish between them (Fig. 7). It is also possible that some as yet unidentified basil TPS genes are very similar to the genes on the blots; for example, the relatively high signal for TES in the SW glands (Fig. 7A) may be due to hybridization with a similar mRNA that encodes 1,8-cineole, a major component of SW volatile monoterpenes, and not only to hybridization to TES, which catalyzes the formation of limonene and β-pinene, which are only minor components of the SW volatile monoterpene fraction.

The levels of expression of the earlier genes in the terpene biosynthetic pathways did not follow any clear pattern. In general, the levels of steady-state mRNAs of the three MVA pathway genes were uniformly low, whereas MEP genes showed variable levels of steady-state mRNA. Also noteworthy was the observation that mRNA levels for the small subunit of GPPS were higher than those of the large subunit of GPPS in all three cultivars.

Characterization of the Expression of Genes Encoding Phenylpropanoid Biosynthetic Enzymes

The expression levels of the first three genes in phenylpropanoid and phenylpropene pathways, including PAL as well as cinnamate 4-hydroxylase (C4H) and 4-coumarate:CoA ligase (4CL), were measured in
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**Figure 5.** Identification of the product of the eight basil TPSs. Gas chromatographic separation of products synthesized in vitro by monoterpene and sesquiterpene synthase overexpressed in *E. coli*. A, Monoterpene synthases LIS, TES, FES, and MYS. Reaction products were obtained by SPME from GPP as a substrate. Peak 1, Linalool; 2, α-pinene; 3, unidentified monoterpene; 4, limonene; 5, terpinolene; 6, fenchol; and 7, β-myrcene. Each compound was identified with comparisons of retention times and mass spectra of authentic standards. The unlabeled peaks are non-terpenoid volatiles also found in control *E. coli* extracts. Top (inset), GC analysis for (R)-linalool and (S)-linalool stereoisomers on a chiral column. The top trace shows separation of a racemic linalool standard mixture with the (R)-linalool peak labeled R and the (S)-linalool peak labeled S; the middle trace shows linalool extracted from basil glands; the bottom trace shows linalool extracted from the in vitro enzyme assay of *E. coli*-produced LIS. B, Sesquiterpene synthases CDS, SES, ZIS, and GDS. Reaction products were obtained by SPME from FPP as a substrate. Products were identified with comparisons of retention times and mass spectra of authentic standards. Peak 1, Muurola 3,5-diene; 2, γ-cadinene; 3, β-elemene; 4, β-selinene; 5, α-selinene; 6, epi-α-selinene; 7, nerolidol; 8, α-bergamotene; 9, β-farnesene; 10, α-zingiberene; 11, β-bisabolene; 12, germacrene D. S, Unidentified sesquiterpenes.
the three basil cultivars (Fig. 7B). The basil EST databases contain several related sequences encoding each of these genes (four PALs, two C4Hs, four 4CLs). Although transcript levels varied widely among these genes, overall, levels of transcripts for PAL and 4CL genes were highest in EMX and lowest in SD. On the other hand, the levels of C4H transcripts were similar in all three cultivars.

**DISCUSSION**

**Basil Cultivars Differ in the Expression of Specific TPSs**

The multiplicity of terpenes produced by a single plant has been noted before for organisms as varied as grand fir trees (Bohlmann and Croteau, 1999) and Arabidopsis (*Arabidopsis thaliana*; Chen et al., 2003). This multiplicity is achieved both by the expression of multiple TPS genes and by the ability of some TPSs to catalyze the production of multiple products (Bohlmann et al., 1997; Aubourg et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2003; Martin et al., 2004). However, with the exception of Arabidopsis, the entire set of TPS genes in any plant species is not known. Consequently, the number of TPSs analyzed in most species has been small, and intraspecific variation in terpene gene expression has hardly been studied.

To better understand the molecular mechanisms that control chemical differentiation in basil, we have identified and characterized four monoterpene synthases and four sesquiterpene synthases expressed in...
the glandular trichomes of one or more of the three basil varieties, EMX, SD, and SW, while another basil monoterpene synthase, GES, had been previously reported (Iijima et al., 2004). While the majority of these nine TPSs make multiple products, they nevertheless still do not account for the entire spectrum of volatile terpenes found in these three varieties. It is possible that the basil genome contains additional monoterpene and sesquiterpene synthases, or that the expression of the above genes in planta leads to the synthesis of additional products not seen with the enzymes obtained in the prokaryotic expression system. Despite the incompleteness of the information on the TPS gene family in basil, our data indicate that the monoterpene and sesquiterpene synthases are differentially expressed in the glands of the three basil cultivars, and that the types of volatile terpenes produced in these glands are controlled by the expression of these TPSs. While this result is far from surprising, it nevertheless demonstrates that intraspecific differences in terpene gene expression exist in this largely cross-pollinating species and can be (and have been) exploited for breeding special chemotypes.

**Additional Variability in Terpene Composition Is Achieved by Coding Sequence Evolution**

The majority of the TPS proteins characterized in this study are fairly divergent from each other (<50%) and are therefore likely to have been present in the progenitor to the basil lineage. However, FES and MYS are 95% identical to each other, but they nevertheless produce different products. Likewise, GES and LIS are 81% identical to each other. Furthermore, the N-terminal transit peptides, which are usually not highly conserved, are almost identical to each other in these two pairs of genes. The relatively close similarity of these protein pairs indicates that further terpene biosynthetic diversity is continuing to be generated in the basil lineage by gene duplication and divergence. Particularly interesting is the observation of the close relatedness between basil GES and LIS, since geraniol and linalool are structurally similar monoterpene.
alcohols, differing only in the substitution position of the hydroxyl group. Furthermore, previously characterized LISs from many species as well as GES (which has so far been reported only from basil) were found to produce only a single product, either linalool or geraniol, but not both (Pichersky et al., 1995; Jia et al., 1999; Crowell et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2003; Iijima et al., 2004). This is also the case for the basil TPSs and GES as well. However, the results of our domain-swapping experiments demonstrate that it is possible to generate a monoterpane synthase that can synthesize both geraniol and linalool, and that multiple amino acids must contribute to such a dual selectivity. Such an enzyme, however, has not yet been found in nature. Domain-swapping experiments with sesquiterpene synthases and other monoterpane synthases have obtained similar results, showing that chimeric enzymes often synthesize a combination of the products of the original enzymes (Back and Chappell, 1996; El Tamer et al., 2003; Katoh et al., 2003; Peters and Croteau, 2003).

The process of random mutations can lead to functional divergence in duplicated genes (Pichersky and Gang, 2000) and could also generate nonfunctional genes, such as the frameshift mutation observed in a linalool-like gene found in cultivar SD. Interestingly, this mutated gene, whose sequence is otherwise almost identical to that of LIS from SW, seems not to be highly expressed (Fig. 7); however, it must be expressed at some level since it was present in the EST database of SD. A similar situation has recently been reported in maize, where one variety has one locus encoding a functional TPS and another locus encoding a nonfunctional TPS due to a frameshift mutation, whereas in a second variety the functional and nonfunctional loci are reversed (Kollner et al., 2004). However, the expression of the genes in the two loci was not examined separately.

While gene duplications are considered a prerequisite for divergence when genes encoding essential functions are involved, in secondary metabolism this requirement is not absolute (Pichersky and Gang, 2000). It is possible that some of the different TPSs observed here are truly allelic. However, short of fully sequencing the basil genome or large-scale genomic cloning and sequencing efforts, only genetic crosses would allow the determination of allelism, and this task is further complicated by the fact that some of these genes encode enzymes with multiple and sometimes overlapping products.

Another intriguing observation is the closer similarity of the basil ZIS to the basil monoterpane synthases rather than to the other three sesquiterpene synthases or to sesquiterpene synthases from other species (Fig. 4). It is possible that ZIS evolved from a monoterpane synthase by a deletion of the coding region for a transit peptide. This hypothesis is plausible since it has been previously demonstrated that TPSs can use both FPP and GPP as substrates (Kollner et al., 2004), and we found this to be true for ZIS as well (although the activity of ZIS with GPP, which produced β-pinene, limonene, and α-terpineol, was 13-fold lower than with FPP). Previously, the sesquiterpene farnesene synthase has been proposed to have evolved from a monoterpane synthase in several plants (Martin et al., 2004; Pechous and Whitaker, 2004).

Enzyme Activity Levels Are Only Partially Correlated with mRNA Levels

Our results indicate that genes encoding the key enzymes in both the MVA and MEP pathways are active in the basil glands, although the steady-state levels of transcripts for some, but not all, MEP genes were higher than the transcript levels of the three MVA genes we tested (the other MVA genes were not present in the EST databases at all, suggesting even lower levels of expression). However, assessing the relative contribution of these two pathways to the synthesis of the final product is not straightforward because a linear correlation between transcript levels and protein levels cannot be assumed and, furthermore, the specific activity of each enzyme is unique and can vary greatly among enzymes.

With these caveats, our results indicate a loose correlation between transcript levels and enzymatic activity levels for GPPS, FPPS, and the TPSs in the three cultivars. EMX glands had the lowest levels of transcripts for each of these enzymes, and correspondingly lower levels of enzymatic activities. Transcript levels of the small subunit of GPPS are similar in SD and SW (and, as in snapdragon, higher than transcript levels for the large subunit [Tholl et al., 2004]), and GPPS activity levels in these two cultivars are similar. For FPPS, transcript levels in SD glands are similar to those in SW glands, but FPPS activity levels are about twice as high in SW compared to SD. The loose correlation between mRNA levels and enzyme activities means that post-translation regulation mechanisms may be operative.

The Overall Output of Terpenes and the Ratio of Monoterpane to Sesquiterpene Are Influenced by Different Factors

The total amount of terpenes produced correlated well with the total levels of TPS activities, but not with the total levels of GPPS and FPPS activities. For example, SD leaves contain roughly three times more total terpenes than do SW leaves, and the ratio of TPS activity levels between these cultivars is also close to 3:1 (Table II). Likewise, SD leaves have about 7.4 times more terpenes than EMX leaves, and the ratio of TPS activity levels between the two cultivars is similar. On the other hand, SD and SW leaves have similar levels of total prenyltransferase activities, but SD has 3-fold more terpenes. Total terpene content was also negatively correlated with total phenylpropane content and PAL activity (Table II). These observations suggest that high levels of the final biosynthetic enzymes in the
terpene pathways, coupled with lower levels of PAL activity, tend to restrict the phenylpropene pathway and increase the flux in the terpene pathway.

The ratio of total monoterpenes to total sesquiterpenes produced by each cultivar was weakly correlated with both the ratio of the activity levels of monoterpenene synthases to sesquiterpene synthases, and the ratio of GPPS and FPPS activities (Table II). It therefore appears that once the flux in the terpene pathways is increased, GPPS and FPPS may exert some control on the levels of precursors that are directed toward the specific synthesis of monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes, but do not greatly influence the total amount of terpenes produced. This scenario is only valid if the monoterpenic and sesquiterpenic pathways are not completely independent. In fact, it was previously shown that cross-talk between the MVA and MEP pathways can occur (Mammone et al., 2003; Hemmerlin et al., 2003; Laule et al., 2003). For example, in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) and Arabidopsis, the overexpression of HMGR did not affect the formation of sesquiterpenes (Chappell et al., 1995; Re et al., 1995; Schaller et al., 1995), suggesting that these sesquiterpenes were synthesized from IPP exported from the plastid into the cytosol. It was also reported that sesquiterpenes in chamomile are partially derived from the MEP pathway (Oboh et al., 1999), and Piel et al. (1998) showed that sesquiterpenes as well as monoterpenes are generated from deoxy-D-xylulose in several plants. A detailed flux analysis will be necessary to firmly establish the parameters of cross-talk between cytosolic and plastidic pathways of terpene biosynthesis in basil glandular trichomes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material

Seeds for SD (Sweet Dani) were obtained from a local nursery. The source of the seeds of EMX and SW cultivars is described in Gang et al. (2001). Seeds were planted in small pots, covered with plastic wrap, and put in the growth chamber for 2 nights. After germination, they were grown in the greenhouse for 1 week and transferred into 500-mL pots. Sunshine Mix no. 1 potting soil was used for planting and plants were grown under constant illumination.

Volatile Oil Extraction from Leaves

Basil (Ocimum basilicum) young leaves (0.5-1 cm, 50 mg) of each cultivar were added to liquid N₂ and ground by mortar and pestle. The powder was soaked in 2 mL methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE) containing an internal standard (toluene, 0.02 mg) and extracted for 2 h at room temperature in 5-mL glass vials with tightly sealed rubber septa caps. The MTBE upper layer, which included the volatile oil, was removed and placed into another vial and concentrated to 200 μL under gentle N₂ gas flow for gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis. Data points were obtained in triplicate.

GC-MS Analysis of Plant Volatiles

A Shimadzu QP-5000 system (Shimadzu, Columbia, MD) equipped with Shimadzu GC-17 gas chromatograph was used for GC-MS analysis of volatile compounds. Separation was performed on a CP-5 column (30 m × 0.23 mm i.d. × 1.0 μm film thickness; Altech Associates, Deerfield, IL). The GC condition was the same as reported previously. Ultrapure helium was used as the carrier gas at a rate of 1.3 mL min⁻¹. Samples (2 μL) were injected by the Shimadzu AOC-17 autoinjector. Eluted compounds were identified by comparing their retention time and mass fragmentation patterns with standard compounds. Linalool optical isomers were analyzed by a Chrompack column (30 m × 0.32 mm i.d. × 0.25-μm film thickness; J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA). The conditions were set for 55°C as the initial temperature for 2-min hold, and gradient to 220°C by 2°C min⁻¹. Injection and detector temperatures were set at 220°C and 250°C, respectively.

Crude Enzyme Extraction from Glands

Glands were isolated following the procedures previously described by Gang et al. (2001). The crude enzyme extracts from these glands were prepared as previously described (Iijima et al., 2004).

TPS Enzyme Assays

TPS assay was measured as previously described (Iijima et al., 2004). TPS activity was assayed by incubating 5 μL of the enzyme sample in a final volume of 50 μL buffer containing 50 mM HEPES-KOH, pH 8.0, 1 mM dithioerythritol, 0.5 mM MnCl₂, 20 mM MgCl₂, 10% glycerol, and 5 μM of [1⁻¹⁴C]IPP or [1⁻¹³C]FPP (final specific activity 20 mCi mmol⁻¹; American Radiolabeled Chemicals, St. Louis). After incubation for 30 min at 32°C, 160 μL hexane were added to the tube, vortexed briefly, and centrifuged to separate the phases. The hexane layer was directly placed into a scintillation vial containing 2 mL of nonaqueous scintillation fluid (Econo-Safe Research Products International, Mount Prospect, IL). This extraction procedure was repeated twice and the total hexane phase was counted in a liquid scintillation counter (LS-6500 model; Beckman Instruments, Fullerton, CA). Extracts containing heat-inactivated enzymes were used as controls.

Prenyltransferase Assays

Prenyltransferase activity was measured according to Tholl et al. (2001). The reaction was initiated by adding 5 μL of enzyme to the assay solution containing 40 μM [1⁻¹⁴C]IPP (final specific activity, 22.5 mCi mmol⁻¹) and 40 μM DMAPP in a final volume of 50 μL buffer (25 mM MOPS, pH 7.0, 10% [v/v] glycerol, 2 ms dithiothreitol, and 10 mM MgCl₂). After 250 μL hexane were immediately overlaid on the assay mixtures, they were incubated for 40 min at 30°C. Assays were stopped by adding 5 μL of 3 M HCl and incubated for an additional 20 min at 30°C to hydrolyze the acid-labile allylic diphosphates. After hydrolysis, products were extracted by vortexing for 15 s and centrifuging for 1 min. The hexane phase (180 μL) was counted in a liquid scintillation counter. For product identification, the reaction was scaled up 2-fold and, after HCl hydrolysis, cold standard compounds (geraniol, linalool, nerolidol, farnesol, and geranylgeraniol) were added and the whole mixture extracted twice with 100 μL of MTBE, concentrated to 30 μL by gentle nitrogen gas flow, and 7 μL were injected into radio GC (Shimadzu GC-17) connected to a thermal conductivity detector and equipped with a radio detector (IN/US Systems, Tampa, FL). Helium was used as the carrier gas, and injection and detection ports were set at 250°C and 300°C, respectively. The separation was achieved with an XT-5 column (30 m × 0.25 mm with 0.25-μm phase coating; Restek, Bellefonte, PA) and a gradient from 50°C min⁻¹ followed to 130°C at a rate of 20°C min⁻¹, to 170°C at a rate of 3°C, and, finally, to 260°C at a rate of 50°C min⁻¹.

PAL Assay

PAL activity was measured according to the method of Gang et al. (2002). Five microliters of the enzyme sample were reacted with 51 μM of L-[(¹⁴C)l]Phe (final specific activity 9.2 mCi mmol⁻¹) in 50 μL of assay buffer (100 mM Naorate buffer, pH 8.8). After incubation for 1 h at 28°C, 5 μL of 6 N HCl were added and the mixture extracted with 160 μL of ethyl acetate. The ethyl acetate layer (130 μL) was used for scintillation counting.
Isolation of TPS cDNAs and Expression in Escherichia coli

The construction of EST databases from the peltate glands of three basil cultivars EMX, SD, and SW was previously reported (Ijiima et al., 2004). BLAST searches revealed numerous ESTs with sequence similarity to TPSs. Potential TPS cDNAs were assembled into contigs. Full-length cDNA from each contig (obtained by 5′ RACE when necessary) were cloned into the pCR-TOPO TA vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and expressed in the E. coli expression system. These plasmids were transformed to Codon Plus cells (Invitrogen). E. coli cultures carrying TPS expression constructs were induced by adding 0.5 mM isopropylthio-β-galactoside and grown at 18°C for 18 h. Cells were then harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in lysis buffer, and sonicated as previously described (Chen et al., 2003).

Sequence Analysis

Alignment of multiple protein sequences was performed using the ClustalX program (Thompson et al., 1997). Sequence relatedness by the ClustalX package. The phylogenic tree was drawn using the TREEVIEW program (http://taxonomy.zoology.gla.ac.uk/rod/treeview.html; Page, 1996).

Construction of Hybrid GES-LIS Protein

Construction of GES/LIS chimeric cDNAs was carried out by PCR. A conserved region centered around codon 360 (using the GES numbering) was chosen as the junction. For the GES/LIS cDNA, the N-terminal encoding part of the GES cDNA was amplified by PCR with the sense primer 5′-AATGCTTGGACCGCATGCCGCAA-3′ and the antisense primer 5′-AACGCGCATGAGATTCTTATGTTTA-3′, and the C-terminal encoding part of the LIS cDNA was amplified with the sense primer 5′-TACATGAAAATACTGCTATCCC-3′ and the antisense primer 5′-TGACCTGAAAGAAAGGAGAAGTGAAG-3′. The two amplified fragments were mixed and the complete hybrid cDNA was obtained by PCR with primers 5′-AATGCTTGGACCGCATGCCGCAA-3′ and 5′-TGACCTGAAAGAAAGGAGAAGTGAAG-3′. To make a GES/LIS cDNA, the N-terminal encoding part of the LIS cDNA was amplified by PCR with the sense primer 5′-AATGCTTGGACCGCATGCCGCAA-3′ and the antisense primer 5′-AACCGACATGAGATTCTTATGTTTA-3′, and the C-terminal encoding part of GES cDNA was amplified with the sense primer 5′-TACATGAAAATACTGCTATCCC-3′ and the antisense primer 5′-TACATGAAAATACTGCTATCCC-3′. The two amplified fragments were mixed and the complete hybrid cDNA was obtained by PCR with primers 5′-AATGCTTGGACCGCATGCCGCAA-3′ and 5′-TACATGAAAATACTGCTATCCC-3′.

Analysis of the Expression of the Genes Encoding the Terpene and Phenylpropene Biosynthesis Pathway

Full-length cDNAs of the nine TPSs were amplified by PCR using each plasmid as a template with T7 and V5 primers. Other genes encoding MEP and MVA pathways (Fig. 1) and phenylpropene pathways were identified from the basil EST databases by BLAST search, and those partial fragments from the basil EST databases by BLAST search, and those partial fragments were amplified by PCR with T7 and T3 primers from each EST clone as the template. Ten microclones of each PCR product were diluted with 100 μL of 0.4 μl NaOH and 25 μl NaEDTA, and incubated at 94°C for 10 min. Slot blot was prepared on Hybond-N’ membrane (Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ). The PCR products (95 μl) were added to sample wells of the apparatus and vacuum was applied. Each well was rinsed with 200 μL of 20 × SSPE (3.6 μl NaCl, 20 μl phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, 20 μl EDTA). The wells were evacuated again and the vacuum was applied for a couple of minutes. Membrane was air dried and cross-linked by UV and oven. A ubiquitin cDNA was used as control. Two microclones of RNA prepared from three basil glands were reverse transcribed by M-MuLV reverse transcriptase (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) with dNTPs including 0.4 μM dCTP (0.5 μCi). After purification, these reverse transcripts were used as probes for hybridization. Upon request, all novel materials described in this publication will be made available in a timely manner for noncommercial research purposes, subject to the requisite permission from any third-party owners of all or parts of the material. Obtaining any permissions will be the responsibility of the requestor.

Sequence data from this article have been deposited with the EMBL/GenBank data libraries under accession numbers AY693643, AY693644, AY693645, AY693646, AY693650, AY693646, AY693653, AY693647, and AY693645.
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