Production of Reactive Oxygen Species by Plant NADPH Oxidases
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NADPH oxidases (NOX) catalyze the production of superoxides, a type of reactive oxygen species (ROS). The dramatic induction of ROS production by human NOX2 in activated blood phagocytic cells and its role in promoting pathogen killing has long motivated research in this area (Babior et al., 2002). In plants, the NOX homologs have been named respiratory burst oxidase homologs (Rboh) and they are also involved in ROS production in response to pathogens (Sagi and Fluhr, 2001; Torres et al., 2002). However, the discovery of new types of animal NOX genes and new functions for plant Rboh genes underlines diverse roles for NOX-generated ROS in eukaryotic cell biology, including animal and plant defense, development, hormone biosynthesis, and cellular signal transduction (Foreman et al., 2003; Kwak et al., 2003; Lambeth, 2004; Sagi et al., 2004; Torres et al., 2005). This Update will focus on recent advances in our understanding of intrinsic molecular properties of Rboh as they are related to their function in plants.

STRUCTURAL SIMILARITIES IN NOX-LIKE ENZYMES

NOX homologs in the plant and animal kingdoms contain cytosolic FAD- and NADPH-binding domains and six conserved transmembrane helices. The third and fifth bind two heme groups through four critical His residues. The heme groups are required for transfer of electrons across the membrane to oxygen, the extracellular (EC) acceptor, to generate the superoxide radical (Torres et al., 1998; Lambeth, 2004). Their presence in animals, plants, and filamentous fungi indicates a common ancient unicellular origin, although they are conspicuously absent in Saccharomyces and Candida (Lara-Ortiz et al., 2003).

All seven human NOX members contain the core transmembrane part, and some include additional N-terminal diversification of calcium-binding elongation factor (EF) hands and EF hands together with a peroxidase-like subdomain. The latter type, called DUOX, is unique in producing both superoxide and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) products (Ameziane-El-Hassani et al., 2005). In contrast, the Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) genome contains 10 members of basically similar structures, with EF hands at the N terminus. Closely related, but still different from the animal NOX, are the Arabidopsis ferric-chelate reductases (Fig. 1A; AtFRO) and their yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) counterparts, FRE1 and FRP1, which belong to a superfamily of flavocytochromes that transport electrons across membranes (Robinson et al., 1999; Staiger, 2002). AtFRO are found in roots and participate in the release of insoluble iron from Fe³⁺ oxide hydrates by their reduction to the soluble transport-ready Fe²⁺ form.

DIVISION OF LABOR IN THE MULTIGENE Rboh FAMILY

Rboh enzymatic function is to supply ROS for physiological and developmental purposes and, in animals, a diversification in function is becoming evident. The inspection of digital northern activities in Arabidopsis gathered from recent Affymetrix microarray slides reflects analogous gene specialization (Table I). The tissue-specific division of transcript distribution falls into three basic classes; expression throughout the plant (ArbohD and F), in the roots (Arboh A–G, I), and in a pollen-specific manner (Arboh H and J). The tissue-specific expression is reflected in the phylogenetic distribution shown in Figure 1A in which H and J form a small subclade. In the main clade, gene members are differentiated by their expression sensitivity to environmental inputs. The most common abiotic inducers of Atrboh transcript accumulation include conditions of anoxia/hypoxia (Branco-Price et al., 2005) and nitrogen stress, where AtrbohC to F are also induced by a variety of biotic stresses. Analysis of mutants has specifically identified AtrbohC in root hair development (Foreman et al., 2003), AtrbohD as the major constitutively active form, and AtrbohF as a biotic stress-inducible form (Torres et al., 2002). The diverse transcription patterns suggest Rboh will function in broad aspects of growth...
and physiological response. To what degree the detection of these transcripts reflects actual activity remains to be examined.

MEMBRANE LOCALIZATION OF Rboh AND COMPARTMENTALIZATION OF SUPEROXIDE PRODUCTION

Cellular fractionation of plant tissue indicates that Rboh proteins are localized into the plasmalemma membrane (Sagi and Fluhr, 2001; Simon-Plas et al., 2002). Interestingly, NtrbohD was found to be enriched in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) Bright-Yellow 2 cells on chemically distinct membrane microdomains, called lipid rafts, that may indicate a requirement for coupling to other membrane components (Mongrand et al., 2004). The precise submembrane distribution of Rboh is likely critical for its function, as noted in the asymmetric distribution of Rboh activity in AtrbohC-dependent ROS signaling in root hair growth (Foreman et al., 2003; Carol et al., 2005) and in Rboh involvement in xylem differentiation (Barcelo, 2005). Additionally, intracellular locations have been reported for human NOX2 and DUOX (Lambeth, 2004; Ameziane-El-Hassani et al., 2005; Murillo and Henderson, 2005), but are yet to be noted in plant Rboh biology.

ROS can function as cellular second messengers that are likely to modulate many different proteins, leading to a variety of responses (Mori and Schroeder, 2004). However, an enzymatic dismutation step must first take place to produce from the superoxide ($O_2^-$) the more stable $H_2O_2$ derivative that is required for a viable long-range cell-to-cell signal or for passing membranes (Allan and Fluhr, 1997). Thus, the implications for vectorial transfer of charge and EC superoxide accumulation are important to understand the complexity of Rboh biology (Fig. 1B). How is the superoxide product dismutated to give rise to the $H_2O_2$ intercellular signal? In humans, an EC-superoxide dismutase (SOD) is thought to play an important role in maintenance of EC matrix biology (Petersen et al., 2004), but in plants only a paucity of evidence supports EC-SOD activity. Although secretory motifs are absent for known plant SODs, a high PI-SOD isoform (hiPI-SOD) showed an EC developmental buildup in the secondary cell wall of Zinnia elegans and Pinus sylvestris (Karpinska et al., 2001; Karlsson et al., 2005). Similarly, the nectar of the tobacco flower contains large amounts of $H_2O_2$ supplied in part by tandem action of Rboh and an EC germin-like SOD protein called Nectarin I (Carter and Thornburg, 2004). Whether other germin-like genes function in the capacity of EC-SOD is unknown.

The superoxide product is membrane impermeable in animals due to its negative charge in ambient conditions of pH (pKa of superoxide is 4.8; e.g. blood pH is 7.4). However, under conditions of exceptionally low pH, the superoxide can be protonated and, as such, has been shown to functionally cross yeast membrane compartments (Wallace et al., 2004). In plants, the physiological range of EC pH is 5, in which 16% of the superoxide would be in the membrane-permeable hydroperoxyl (HO$_2$) form. Thus, the external pH status could moderate the compartmentalization of superoxides produced by Rboh outside the membrane and perhaps enable the participation of cytoplasmic SOD in the catalysis of $H_2O_2$ formation.
Table I. Rboh tissue-specific and environmental response activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rboh</th>
<th>Protein Code</th>
<th>Tissue Specificity</th>
<th>Induction/Repression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>At5g07390</td>
<td>Root, elongation zone</td>
<td>Induction: hypoxia/salt stress, genotoxic, nitrogen starvation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>At1g09090</td>
<td>Root, elongation zone</td>
<td>Induction: anoxia, hypoxia, methyl jasmonate, UVB, elevated in rbohC mutant. Repressed: ABA, cold, zeatin cycloheximide.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>At5g51060</td>
<td>Root, elongation zone</td>
<td>Induction: Botrytis cinerea, Pseudomonas syringae, Agrobacterium, ozone. Repression: cycloheximide, H₂O₂, 6-benzyl adenine.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>At5g47910</td>
<td>All plant parts</td>
<td>Induction: cycloheximide, anoxia, H₂O₂, chitin, ozone, AgNO₃, methyl jasmonate, Frankliniella occidentalis, Phytophthora infestans. P. syringae. Repression: ABA, high CO₂.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>At1g19230</td>
<td>Cell suspension, root, and seeds</td>
<td>Induction: Agrobacterium, nitrogen starvation, genotoxic. Repression: senescence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>At1g64060</td>
<td>All plant parts</td>
<td>Induction: Agrobacterium, brassinolide. Repression: isoxaben.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>At4g25090</td>
<td>Root, elongation zone</td>
<td>Induction: low nitrogen, salicylic acid, Glc, Suc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>At5g60010</td>
<td>Stamens, pollen</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>At4g11230</td>
<td>Root, elongation zone</td>
<td>Induction: anoxia, cycloheximide, norflurazon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>At3g45810</td>
<td>Stamens, pollen</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on data from the 2,180-microarray database compiled in GENEVESTIGATOR. Tissue signals that are significantly higher than background (P ≤ 0.06) are indicated. Experiments are summarized in https://www.genevestigator.ethz.ch (Zimmermann et al., 2004). Induction of more than 2-fold or, where indicated, repression by 0.5-fold and above 200 in the relative signal value are indicated.

DIRECT CONTROL OF Rboh ACTIVITY BY CALCIUM

NOX2 requires cytosolic protein components that are essential for its activation (Lambeth, 2004). In contrast, plant Rboh is stimulated directly by Ca²⁺, likely mediated by the N-terminal extension containing EF-hand calcium-binding motifs (Sagi and Fluhr, 2001). The mammalian NOX5 containing N-terminal EF-hand motifs is expressed in lymphoid organs and testes and generates superoxide in response to physiological intracellular Ca²⁺ bursts (Banfi et al., 2004). Indeed, Ca²⁺ binding induced conformational change of NOX5, leading to enzyme activation through N- and C-terminal intramolecular interaction. Interestingly, although NOX2 is not stimulated directly by Ca²⁺, it can be stimulated by the EF-hands-containing myeloid-related proteins MRP8 and MRP14 in a cytosolic effector-independent manner (Berthier et al., 2003). Moreover, in human monocytes, the assembly and activation of NOX2 in the NOX enzyme complex is regulated by calcium and protein kinase C-dependent phosphorylation (Cathcart, 2004). Taken together, stimulation by calcium is emerging as an inherently conserved trait of NOX and Rboh enzymes.

ROS PRODUCTION AND CALCIUM SIGNALING

In planta, cytosolic Ca²⁺ spiking can be seen to precede NOX activation as part of elicitor-induced defense responses (Nürnberger and Scheel, 2001; Zhao et al., 2005). For example, in tobacco cells, elicitors induce dynamic cytosolic Ca²⁺ spiking from a resting level of 50 to 100 nM to 1 to 5 μM in 2 to 5 min (Lecourieux et al., 2002). Thus, it is possible that calcium directly initiates Rboh activation. However, ROS production from the initial Ca²⁺-dependent activation of a NOX subsequently triggers a larger Ca²⁺ influx (Pugin et al., 1997; Pei et al., 2000; Kadota et al., 2004). In this scenario, ROS functions as a cellular second messenger activating Ca²⁺-permeable channels in a redox-controlled manner (Mori and Schroeder, 2004). AtrbohC was implicated in ROS-dependent activation of Ca²⁺ channels during root hair growth (Foreman et al., 2003) and AtrbohD and AtrbohF in abscisic acid (ABA)-induced activation of Ca²⁺ channels in guard cells (Kwak et al., 2003), suggesting the existence of a reiterated ROS to a calcium signal transduction module. If Ca²⁺ is involved in Rboh activation as well as serving as a target for the Rboh product, a potential self-amplifying loop will be formed. Similarly, but longer, timescale activation loops were suggested in a mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade and H₂O₂-dependent increase of Rboh mRNA levels in Nicotiana benthamiana (Yoshioka et al., 2003). Presumably, runaway activation of Rboh can be tempered by cellular mechanisms for rapid calcium removal, substrate (NADPH) depletion (Hunt et al., 2004), or depletion of the superoxide product by interaction of superoxide with nitric oxide and other scavenging systems (Delledonne et al., 2001). The interplay of ROS and calcium offers a nexus for the fascinating and daunting prospect of signaling cross-talk (Bowler and Fluhr, 2000).

OTHER REGULATORY MECHANISMS: ALKALINIZATION AND SMALL GTPases

Medium (or apoplast) alkalinization can precede NOX activation. It is thought to result from elicitor-induced depolarization of the plasma membrane and subsequent K⁺/H⁺ exchange followed by Ca²⁺ influx/Cl⁻ efflux (Simon-Plas et al., 1997; Nürnberger and Scheel, 2001; Zhao et al., 2005). Inactivation of the NtrbohD-dependent ROS accumulation does not affect...
the EC pH change (Simon-Plas et al., 2002), which is attributed mainly to the activity of a plasmalemma H^+-ATPase (Simon-Plas et al., 1997). Whereas Rboh activation appears to be preceded by alkalization, a special case of concomitant EC acidification is associated with AtFRO activity. In that case, acidification of the root rhizosphere carried out by a proton-pumping system enhances local solubility of Fe^{III} ions before reduction of the Fe^{III}-chelate complex (Staiger, 2002). Whether pH changes preceding protein enzyme activation are common for all Rboh members is unknown.

In mammalian phagocytes, the small GTPase Rac is among the cytosolic accessory factors that activate ROS production by NOX2 (Lambeth, 2004). Despite the apparent lack of similar accessory homologs in plants, plant Rac homologs (called ROP for Rho-like proteins) appear to regulate ROS defense production most likely via NOX (Kawasaki et al., 1999; Baxter-Burrell et al., 2002; Moeder et al., 2005). Interestingly, in ozone-stimulated cell death, the concomitant activation of membrane-bound NOX is mediated through the G α-subunit of the heterotrimeric G protein (Joo et al., 2005). The role of ROP GTPases appears to be more than simple activation of Rboh, but is involved in accurate spatial emulation of ROS. A RhoGDI (SCN1/AtRhoGDI) likely controls the activity of a ROP GTase, resulting in root hair tip-focused activation of AtbbohC (Carol et al., 2005). Without SCN1/AtRhoGDI, the Rboh activity as detected by nitroblue terazolium is spatially deregulated and spread throughout the hair cell. Asymmetric bursts of NOX activity in Z. elegans are important to pinpoint the supply of H_2O_2 for peroxidase-based polymerization of lignin. In this case, Rac-like GTase protein is detected on the plasma membrane juxtaposed to the site facing developing tracheary elements (Nakanomyo et al., 2002). How GTases and other upstream modulators of Rboh activity operate mechanistically remains to be elucidated, although their juxtaposition with Rboh on lipid rafts may facilitate their direct or indirect interaction (Mongrand et al., 2004).

THE LANGUAGE OF Rboh ROS

ROS produced by NOX have EC and intracellular ramifications. EC-ROS products are associated with direct oxidative cross-linking of cell wall components during defense (Apel and Hirt, 2004), differentiation of plant vascular tissue (Nakanomyo et al., 2002), and suberization in wounded potato (Solanum tuberosum) tubers (Razem and Bernards, 2003). Opposing depolymerization properties of ROS are likely employed in NADPH-dependent cell loosening that takes place as a prelude to cell wall expansion (Rodriguez et al., 2002). In these cases, the Rboh is meant to deliver a spatially localized product because of the rapid EC dissipation of H_2O_2 (Allan and Fluhr, 1997).

Plant Rboh also functions as intercellular signal transponders to create local ROS transients that send a message. In addition to ABA-induced guard cell closure and root hair growth, H_2O_2 acts as a second messenger for the induction of defense genes in response to systemin and jasmonate during wound responses (Orozco-Cardenas et al., 2001). Repressing Rboh activity altered redox-related metabolism and induced multiple pleiotropic developmental effects in addition to hindering systemic wound responses (Sagi et al., 2004). These results suggest that ROS generated by Rboh act in several hormone-signaling pathways. How will this message be interpreted specifically to modulate cell death, wound response, reaction to hypoxia, stimulation of growth, etc.? How will the cellular ROS scavenging system modify this response (Davletova et al., 2005)? In the simplest case, a differentiated cell will interpret the message from the module in a manner specific to each cell type, such as stomatal closure in guard cells or elongation in root hairs. When choices are to be made between multiple possible cellular responses, the strength, pulse length, and spatial context, as well as the interaction of ROS with other signals, are likely to play a role.

Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data libraries under accession numbers P04839 (HsNOX2), AAG33638 (HsNOX5), NP_196356 (AtbbohA), NP_975799 (AtbbohB, AAS15724 (AtbbohC), NP_199602 (AtbbohD), NP_173357 (AtbbohE), NP_564821 (AtbbohF), NP_194239 (AtbbohG), NP_200809 (AtbbohH), NP_190167 (AtbbohI), NP_171665 (AtFRO1), and NP_171664 (AtFRO2).
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