Genotypic Difference in Silicon Uptake and Expression of Silicon Transporter Genes in Rice
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Rice (Oryza sativa) is a highly silicon (Si)-accumulating species that shows genotypic differences in Si accumulation. We investigated the physiological and molecular mechanisms involved in the genotypic difference in Si uptake between the japonica var. Nipponbare and the indica var. Kasalath. Both the Si concentration in the shoot and the Si uptake per root dry weight were higher in Nipponbare than in Kasalath grown in either soil or nutrient solution. The Si uptake by a single root was also higher in Nipponbare than in Kasalath. A kinetics study showed that Nipponbare and Kasalath had a similar $V_{\text{max}}$ value, whereas the $K_m$ was higher in Nipponbare. The expression of two Si transporter genes (Low silicon rice 1 [Lsi1] and Lsi2) investigated using real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction revealed higher expression of both genes in Nipponbare than in Kasalath. Immunostaining with Lsi1 and Lsi2 antibodies revealed a similar pattern of subcellular localization of these two Si transporters in both varieties; Lsi1 and Lsi2 were localized at the distal and proximal sides, respectively, of both exodermis and endodermis of the roots. These results revealed that the genotypic difference in the Si accumulation results from the difference in abundance of Si transporters in rice roots.

Silicon (Si) is a beneficial element for plant growth (Marschner, 1995). The beneficial effects of Si are characterized by helping plants to overcome various stresses including biotic and abiotic stresses (Epstein, 1999; Richmond and Sussman, 2003; Ma, 2004; Ma and Yamaji, 2006). For example, Si increases the resistance of plants to fungi, pests, lodging, and drought stresses. Si also alleviates mineral stresses such as manganese toxicity, aluminum toxicity, and phosphorus deficiency (Ma and Takahashi, 1990; Ma et al., 1997; Iwasaki et al., 2002). These beneficial effects of Si to plants are attributed to both Si deposited on various tissues and soluble Si. High deposition of Si in tissues forms a physical barrier that enhances the strength and rigidity of the tissues (Yoshida et al., 1962; Ma and Yamaji, 2006). Soluble Si plays an active role in enhancing host resistance to plant diseases by stimulating some defense reaction mechanism(s) (Fauteux et al., 2005).

Although all plants contain Si in their bodies, the Si concentration in the shoot greatly varies with the species, ranging from 0.1% to 10.0% in dry weight (Epstein, 1994; Ma and Takahashi, 2002; Hodson et al., 2005). Based on the Si concentration in the shoot and the Si to calcium ratio, plants are classified into Si accumulator, intermediate type, and Si excluder species (for review, see Takahashi et al., 1990). Species containing more than 1.0% Si are called Si accumulators, while those having less than 0.5% Si are called Si excluders. Plant species with a Si content between 0.5% and 1.0% are called intermediate type. In higher plants, only a few crop species of Gramineae and Cyperacea are Si accumulators and rice (Oryza sativa) shows the highest Si accumulation in Gramineae (Ma and Takahashi, 2002; Hodson et al., 2005). The difference in Si accumulation of different plant species has been ascribed to the ability of the roots to take up Si although the molecular mechanisms remain unknown (Mitani and Ma, 2005).

There is also a genotypic variation in the Si concentration in the shoot within a species, although the variation is usually not as large as the species variation. For example, in a survey of about 400 cultivars of barley (Hordeum vulgare), the Si concentration in barley grain showed a large variation, ranging from 1.24 to 3.80 mg g$^{-1}$ in covered barley (Ma et al., 2003). In sugarcane (Saccharum officinarum) grown in the field, the Si concentration in the shoot varied with the variety, ranging from 6.4 to 10.2 mg g$^{-1}$ (Deren, 2001). In rice, japonica rice varieties usually have a higher Si concentration than indica rice varieties (Deren et al., 1992; Winslow, 1992; Winslow et al., 1997). Yuan and Cheng (1977) grew indica and japonica rice varieties in nutrient solution containing 100 mg L$^{-1}$ SiO$_2$ and found that the Si concentration in the shoots ranged from 117 mg g$^{-1}$ to 171 mg g$^{-1}$. In a field experiment, 18 rice genotypes varied significantly in Si concentration, ranging from 41 to 60 mg g$^{-1}$ (Deren, 2001). A genetic study showed that genotypic variation in Si concentration in the shoot was largely additive and some heterosis was
observed (Majumder et al., 1985). Recently, two studies revealed various quantitative trait loci for Si concentration and uptake in rice (Dai et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2006). However, the mechanisms responsible for the genotypic difference in Si uptake are poorly understood. Recently, two genes (Low silicon rice 1 [Lsi1] and Lsi2) encoding Si transporters have been identified in japonica rice varieties using rice mutants defective in Si uptake (Ma et al., 2002, 2004, 2006, 2007). However, the responsible genes in the indica rice varieties have not been characterized. In this study, we compared the Si uptake and the expression of these two Si transporter genes in the japonica var. Nipponbare and the indica var. Kasalath. We also examined the localization of two Si transporters in the two varieties and found that the genotypic difference in the Si uptake results from different abundance of Si transporters in rice roots.

### RESULTS

#### Genotypic Difference in Si Uptake

The Si concentration in rice roots and shoots and Si uptake were examined in the japonica var. Nipponbare and the indica var. Kasalath grown in both soil and solution culture for a relatively long term. When grown in soil, the Si concentration in the shoot was 17% higher in Nipponbare than in Kasalath (Table I). The Si uptake per root dry weight (uptake ability) was also about 22% higher in Nipponbare than in Kasalath. In solution-cultured rice, the Si concentration in the shoot was 25% higher in Nipponbare than in Kasalath (Table I). The Si uptake was 35% higher in Nipponbare than in Kasalath. The Si concentration in the roots was much lower than that in shoots and there was no marked difference in the root Si concentration between two varieties. More than 99% of total Si was localized in the shoot of both varieties.

To further compare the genotypic difference in Si uptake ability, we examined the short-term uptake by using the whole root system. The Si uptake in Nipponbare was 1.5- to 2.0-fold than that in Kasalath at either low (0.15 mM) and high (1.5 mM) Si uptake solution (Fig. 1, A and B). The difference in the Si uptake between the two varieties was observed as early as 3 h after the roots were exposed to the nutrient solution containing Si.

The Si uptake by individual roots was also examined using a multicompartment transport box. The Si taken up per excised root apex (0–3 cm) was 30% to 40% higher in Nipponbare than in Kasalath at each sampling time (Fig. 2).

A kinetic study showed that in both varieties the Si uptake was increased with increasing external Si concentration when the Si concentration in the nutrient solution was low (Fig. 3). However, the Si uptake was saturated at higher Si concentrations. Based on these curves, the $V_{\text{max}}$ value was estimated to be 3.0 and 2.1 mg Si g$^{-1}$ root dry weight for Nipponbare and Kasalath, respectively (Table II). The $K_m$ value was estimated to be 0.33 and 0.34 mM, respectively, for Nipponbare and Kasalath.

#### Expression of Si Transporter Genes and Localization of Si Transporters

The expression of two Si transporter genes (Lsi1 and Lsi2) in the roots was investigated using quantitative reverse transcription (RT)-PCR. The sequence of both Lsi1 and Lsi2 cDNA was the same in Nipponbare and Kasalath.

---

**Table I. Si concentration in the root and shoot and Si uptake of two rice varieties**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variety</th>
<th>Si Concentration (mg g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Si Uptake (mg g$^{-1}$ root dry weight)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shoot</td>
<td>Root</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil culture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nipponbare</td>
<td>30.3 ± 1.4</td>
<td>64.9 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasalath</td>
<td>25.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td>50.9 ± 5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution culture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nipponbare</td>
<td>17.2 ± 1.0</td>
<td>76.8 ± 7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasalath</td>
<td>13.0 ± 0.6</td>
<td>49.8 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 1. Uptake of Si by japonica rice variety Nipponbare and indica rice variety Kasalath. Twenty-day-old seedlings were placed in a nutrient solution containing 0.15 (A) and 1.5 mM (B) Si as silicic acid. Error bars represent ±SD ($n = 3$).**
The localization of Lsi1 was examined using immunostaining in the roots grown under the same conditions. Similar to the localization of Lsi1 in Nipponbare (Fig. 5A), Lsi1 in Kasalath was also localized in the exodermis and endodermis (Fig. 5B). Furthermore, Lsi1 in Kasalath was also localized on the distal side of both exodermis and endodermis (Fig. 5C and D).

The localization of Lsi2 was also investigated in both varieties. Immunostaining with antibody of Lsi2 showed that Lsi2 was also localized at the exodermis and endodermis in both varieties (Fig. 6, A and B). In contrast to Lsi1, Lsi2 was localized in the proximal side of both exodermis and endodermis.

DISCUSSION

Both soil and nutrient culture showed that there is a genotypic difference in Si concentration in the rice shoot between japonica rice var. Nipponbare and indica rice var. Kasalath (Table I). This result is consistent with previous findings that indica rice appears to have a lower Si concentration than japonica (Deren, 2001; Wu et al., 2006), although different varieties were used in their studies. The shoot mineral concentration might be regulated by many factors such as uptake ability, root and shoot biomass, translocation ability from the root to the shoot, transpiration rate, and so on. The Si concentration in the roots was similar between Nipponbare and Kasalath and was much less than that in the shoot Si (Table I). The amount of Si in the root was only 1% of total Si in the plants in either variety, indicating that most of the Si taken up by the roots is translocated to the shoot and that there is no significant decrease of Si uptake in either variety as a result.

To examine the mechanism involved in genotypic difference in Si uptake, we first compared the kinetics of Si uptake in two varieties. Both varieties showed a similar K_{m} value (Fig. 3; Table II), suggesting that similar transporters involved in the Si uptake are present in the roots of both varieties. However, the V_{max} value was higher in Nipponbare than in Kasalath (Fig. 3; Table II), indicating that the transporters are more abundant in Nipponbare. Rice roots take up Si in the form of silicic acid, an uncharged molecule (Takahashi and Hino, 1978). So far, two transporters (Lsi1 and Lsi2) for silicic acid have been recently identified in japonica rice varieties (Ma et al., 2006, 2007). Lsi1 is an influx transporter responsible for Si transport from the external solution to the root cells (Ma et al., 2006). This transporter belongs to a Nod26-LIKE MAJOR INTRINSIC PROTEIN2 (NIP2) subgroup in the NIP subfamily of aquaporins (Ma et al., 2006). Lsi1 is mainly expressed in the roots and the expression is decreased by one-fourth by Si supply. Moreover, in the roots, Lsi1 is expressed more intensively in the mature regions than in the root tips (Yamaji and Ma, 2007). On the other hand, Lsi2 is an efflux transporter involved in Si transport from the root cells to the apoplast (Ma et al., 2007). Lsi2 is a putative anion transporter. Lsi2 was also constitutively expressed in the roots and the expression was decreased by Si supply as Lsi1. These two transporters play a crucial role in Si uptake because disruption of either of them resulted in a significant decrease of Si uptake in japonica rice varieties.

[Image 72x576 to 263x711]

**Figure 2.** Uptake of Si by individual, excised roots. Ten excised roots (5.5 cm long) from japonica rice variety Nipponbare and indica rice variety Kasalath were placed in a multicompartment transport box. Twelve milliliters of treatment solution containing 0.75 mM Si as silicic acid was applied to compartment 1 (root apex, 0–3 cm) and 4 mL of treatment solution without Si was added to compartments 2 and 3. At times indicated in the figure, the Si exuded from the xylem in compartment 3 was measured. Error bars represent ±SD (n = 3).

[Image 335x96 to 526x239]

**Figure 3.** Si uptake by rice roots from a solution with various Si concentrations. The seedlings were cultured for 12 h. Error bars represent ±SD (n = 3).
higher Si uptake ability than *indica* ecotype are unknown. It is hypothesized that *japonica* evolved in Si-deficient uplands and developed a mechanism to attain more Si, whereas *indica* evolved in lowlands where Si was more available (Deren, 2001).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Materials and Growth Conditions**

The *japonica* var. Nipponbare and the *indica* var. Kasalath were used in this study. Seeds were soaked in water overnight at 25°C in the dark. The seeds were then transferred to a net floated on 0.5 mM CaCl₂ solution in a plastic container. On day 5, the seedlings were transferred to a 3-L plastic pot containing one-half-strength Kimura B solution (pH 5.6). The composition of the nutrient solution is as reported previously (Ma et al., 2001). The solution was renewed every 2 d. All experiments were conducted with three replicates in a glasshouse at 25°C with natural light.

**Soil and Solution Culture**

Soil culture was performed for Nipponbare and Kasalath under flooded condition. The soil used was as previously described (Ma et al., 2001). Two seedlings each (5 d old) were planted in a 3-L pot filled with soil previously amended with coating fertilizer (14-12-14 for nitrogen-phosphorus-potassium) at a rate of 1 g kg⁻¹ soil. Tap water was supplied daily. One month later, the shoots were harvested. For solution culture, 2-week-old seedlings of each variety were transplanted to a 1.2-L plastic pot (two seedlings per pot) containing one-half-strength Kimura B solution (pH 5.6) with 0.15 mM Si as silicic acid. Silicic acid was prepared by passing potassium silicate through a cation-exchange resin (Amberlite IR-120B, H⁺ form). The solution was renewed every 2 d. After 1 month, the plants were harvested.
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**Table II.** $V_{\text{max}}$ and $K_{\text{m}}$ values for Si uptake by Nipponbare and Kasalath

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variety</th>
<th>$V_{\text{max}}$ (mg Si g⁻¹ root dry weight h⁻¹)</th>
<th>$K_{\text{m}}$ (mM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nipponbare</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasalath</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Ma et al., 2006, 2007). We then compared the sequence and gene expression of the two Si transporters (*Lsi1* and *Lsi2*) between Nipponbare and Kasalath. Sequence analysis showed that the open reading frame of these two genes was the same in Nipponbare and Kasalath (data not shown). However, the expression levels of *Lsi1* and *Lsi2* were higher in Nipponbare than in Kasalath (Fig. 4). This is consistent with the result of the kinetic study (Fig. 3; Table II), indicating that more Si transporters are expressed in the Nipponbare than in Kasalath. The expression levels of genes may be controlled by a number of factors such as promoter sequence and transcription factor. The mechanism responsible for the difference in expression of *Lsi1* and *Lsi2* remains to be identified.

The cellular localization of *Lsi1* and *Lsi2* is characterized by polar localization; *Lsi1* and *Lsi2* are localized at the distal and proximal side, respectively, of both exodermis and endodermis of *japonica* rice variety roots (Ma et al., 2006, 2007). In Kasalath and Nipponbare grown under the same condition, the localization of both *Lsi1* and *Lsi2* in Kasalath roots was similar to that in Nipponbare (Figs. 5 and 6), indicating that different uptake capacity is not due to the localization of Si transporters. There are also two Casparian bands at the exodermis and endodermis in Kasalath like Nipponbare, which prevent the solutes across the cortex to the stele. Therefore, *Lsi1* and *Lsi2* are required to transport Si from the external solution to the stele in both Kasalath and Nipponbare.

Root biomass is also a very important factor determining mineral uptake. Kasalath had a larger root biomass than Nipponbare (data not shown), which helps to acquire more Si. That is why the difference in the shoot Si content between Nipponbare and Kasalath was smaller than the difference in the Si uptake per root dry weight (Table I) and the difference in the expression of *Lsi1* and *Lsi2* (Fig. 4). However, since the difference in uptake ability of Si per individual root is larger than the difference in the root biomass (Fig. 2), Nipponbare showed a higher accumulation of Si in the shoot (Table I).

In conclusion, the genotypic difference in the Si accumulation results from a difference in the ability of the roots to take up Si between the *japonica* rice variety and *indica* variety, which results from the difference in the expression level of Si transporter genes. The evolutionary reasons why the *japonica* rice ecotype has a
mixure of 3 mL of HNO₃ (62%), 3 mL of hydrogen peroxide (30%), and 2 mL of hydrofluoric acid (46%) and the digested sample was diluted to 100 mL with 4% boric acid. The Si concentration in the digest solution was determined by the colorimetric molybdenum blue method at 600 nm.

**Quantitative RT-PCR**

Transcript level of Lsi1 and Lsi2 was measured by quantitative RT-PCR as described previously (Yamaji and Ma, 2007). Total RNA was extracted from the roots of 5-week-old seedlings using a RNAeasy Plant Extraction minikit (Qagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. First-strand cDNA was synthesized from 1 μg of total RNA using oligo d(T)18 primer and SuperScript First-Strand Synthesis system for RT-PCR (Invitrogen). Relative transcript levels of Lsi1, Lsi2, and Actin (internal control) were measured as described previously (Ma et al., 2006, 2007). Quantitative real-time PCR was performed in 20 μL reaction volume containing 2 μL of 1.5 diluted cDNA, 200 nM each gene-specific primers, and SYBR Premix Ex Taq (Takara Bio) using Applied Biosystems 7500. Primer sequences used are: Lsi1, 5'-CCGTGGATGTGTACGG-GAACCA-3' (forward) and 5'-CGTGAACCTGGTGTCGCCA-3' (reverse); Lsi2, 5'-ATCTGGAGCTCATGGGCC-3' (forward) and 5'-ACGGTTGTACC-GAGGTGGA-3' (reverse); Actin, 5'-GACTCTGATGGTGTCACC-3' (forward) and 5'-GGCTGGAGAGGAAGCTTACG-3' (reverse).

**Immunohistological Staining**

Lsi1 and Lsi2 immunostaining was performed according to the method previously described (Yamaji and Ma, 2007). The synthetic peptide C-ADDYDMEN (positions 287–295 of Lsi1) and C-GAELSVDGK (positions 211–219 of Lsi2) were used to immunize rabbits to obtain antibodies against Lsi1 and Lsi2, respectively. Rice (Oryza sativa) roots were fixed in 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde and 60 mM Suc buffered with 50 mM cacodylic acid (pH 7.4) for 2 h at room temperature with occasional degassing. After three washes with 60 mM Suc and 50 mM cacodylic acid (pH 7.4), the fixed samples were embedded in 5% agar and sectioned 80-μm thick with a microslicer (ZERO1; Dosaka EM). The sections were placed on microscope slides, incubated with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; 10 mM PBS, pH 7.4, 138 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl) containing 0.1% (w/v) pectolyase Y-23 (Seishin) at 30°C for 2 h, and then reincubated in PBS containing 0.3% (v/v) Triton X-100 at 30°C for 2 h, washed three times with PBS and blocked with 5% (w/v) bovine serum albumin in PBS. The slides were incubated in a 37°C chamber with the purified rabbit anti-Lsi1 or anti-Lsi2 polyclonal antibodies (1:100 dilution in PBS). After three washes in PBS and blocking with 5% (w/v) BSA in PBS, the slides were exposed to secondary antibodies (Alexa Fluor 555 goat anti-rabbit IgG; Molecular Probes) for 2 h at room temperature, washed five times in PBS, and mounted with 50% (v/v) glycerol in PBS. Samples were examined with a laser-scanning confocal microscope (LSM510; Carl Zeiss).

**Figure 5.** Localization of Si influx transporter Lsi1 in different rice varieties. The roots were stained with anti-Lsi1 polyclonal antibody. A, Nipponbare; B to D, Kasalath. A and B, 20 mm. C and D, 40 mm from the root apex. EX, Exodermis; ED, endodermis. Bar = 100 μm.

**Figure 6.** Cellular localization of Si efflux transporter Lsi2 in different rice varieties. The roots were stained with anti-Lsi2 polyclonal antibody. A, Nipponbare. B, Kasalath. A and B, 20 mm from root apex. EX, Exodermis; ED, endodermis. Bar = 100 μm.
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