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Building a cell plate during cytokinesis in plant cells requires the participation of a number of proteins in a multistep process. We previously identified phragmoplastin as a cell plate-specific protein involved in creating a tubulovesicular network at the cell plate. We report here the identification and characterization of a phragmoplastin-interacting protein, PHIP1, in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana). It contains multiple functional motifs, including a lysine-rich domain, two RNA recognition motifs, and three CCHC-type zinc fingers. Polypeptides with similar motif structures were found only in plant protein databases, but not in the sequenced prokaryotic, fungal, and animal genomes, suggesting that PHIP1 represents a plant-specific RNA-binding protein. In addition to phragmoplastin, two Arabidopsis small GTP-binding proteins, Rop1 and Ran2, are also found to interact with PHIP1. The zinc fingers of PHIP1 were not required for its interaction with Rop1 and phragmoplastin, but they may participate in its binding with the Ran2 mRNA. Immunofluorescence, in situ RNA hybridization, and green fluorescent protein tagging experiments showed the association of PHIP1 with the forming cell plate during cytokinesis. Taken together, our data suggest that PHIP1 is a novel RNA-binding protein and may play a unique role in the polarized mRNA transport to the vicinity of the cell plate.

Cytokinesis in higher plants is marked by the formation of phragmoplast that is initiated in late anaphase and continues through telophase of the cell cycle. The cell plate, a disc-like structure, is formed in the center of the phragmoplast and expands in a plane between the two daughter nuclei until it reaches the parental cell wall (Samuels et al., 1995; Staehelin and Hepler, 1996; Verma, 2001). Although the phragmoplast structure was known for a long time, no specific protein marker for this “organelle” was available until phragmoplastin was identified (Gu and Verma, 1996).

Building the cell plate from Golgi-derived vesicles is a multistep process. Golgi-derived vesicles are transported to the growing cell plate by sliding along the microtubules from the minus end to the plus end. The transport of vesicles may be driven by microtubule-associated motors such as kinesin-related proteins (Hong and Verma, 2008). Several stages of cell plate formation can be distinguished at the electron microscope level: delivery of vesicles from the Golgi apparatus to the equatorial plane, formation of a tubulovesicular network, consolidation of the tubular network and deposition of callose, fusion of the cell plate with the parental wall, and cellulose synthesis (Samuels et al., 1995; Staehelin and Hepler, 1996; Verma, 2001; Segui-Simarro et al., 2004).

Phragmoplastin is a 68-kD GTPase related to the dynamin family of proteins, but it does not contain either the pleckstrin homology domain or the Pro-rich domain, both of which are characteristic of animal dynamins (Verma and Hong, 2005). It is present largely in the membrane fractions (presumably the Golgi-derived vesicles) and can also be detected in the cytosol (Gu and Verma, 1996). It contains two separable self-assembly domains (SA1 and SA2) that are responsible for the formation of phragmoplastin polymers (Zhang et al., 2000). Intermolecular interaction between SA1 and SA2 leads to the formation of staggered helical polymer structures that wrap around vesicles. Polymerization of phragmoplastin is dependent on GTP binding (Zhang et al., 2000) and may be regulated by other protein components (Verma, 2001; Verma and Hong, 2005). It has five closely related paralogs (DRP1A–DRP1E) in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana;
Hong et al., 2003), which appear to act as tubulases in creating dumbbell-shaped tubular structures at the cell plate (Verma, 2001; Verma and Hong, 2005).

Polarized intracellular RNA localization plays an essential role in cell fate determination during embryonic development, polar cell growth, cell motility, and seed development (Okita and Choi, 2002; Van de Bor and Davis, 2004; Crofts et al., 2005). It is an efficient way to target gene products to the proper subcellular locations. More than 100 different mRNAs, including those encoding rice (Oryza sativa) storage proteins and Fucus actin mRNA, have been documented to be targeted to specific locations before they are translated into peptides (Crofts et al., 2005). These mRNAs are targeted to discrete regions of the cell by different mechanisms, of which the RNA localization pathway is the predominant one (Okita and Choi, 2002). This pathway involves the formation of a ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex and its movement along microtubule and microfilament tracks.

In this study, we identified an Arabidopsis protein as the phragmoplastin-interacting protein (PHIP1). Its deduced peptide contains several unique features, including a Lys (K)-rich domain (KRD), two RNA recognition motifs (RRMs), and three CCHC-type zinc fingers (ZnFs). It interacts with the Arabidopsis Rho-like GTPase, Rop1, in its GTP-bound configuration. It also binds specifically to the mRNA of another small GTPase, Ran2. PHIP1 colocalized with phragmoplastin at the cell plate and may play an essential role in targeting Rm2 mRNA and possibly other cell plate formation-related mRNAs to the vicinity of the cell plate at cytokinesis in plants. Such a mechanism may allow the plant cell to rapidly build this subcellular compartment, completion of which is essential for proper cytokinesis.

RESULTS

Identification of Phragmoplastin-Interacting Proteins

Phragmoplastin is structurally and functionally conserved in different plants. Our previous studies indicated that phragmoplastin forms a complex not only with itself (self-assembly; Zhang et al., 2000) but also with other proteins at the cell plate (Gu and Verma, 1997; Hong et al., 2001a, 2001b). In an attempt to identify phragmoplastin-associated proteins, we screened an Arabidopsis cDNA library constructed in the yeast two-hybrid system (Kim et al., 1997). Approximately two million yeast colonies containing the phragmoplastin bait and the cDNA library preys were screened with the X-Gal blue/white staining test. One cDNA isolated from two independent yeast colonies was found to encode a protein (see below) designated PHIP1 (Fig. 1; Suppemental Fig. S1).

To assess which region of phragmoplastin interacts with PHIP1, we divided the phragmoplastin cDNA into two parts (Supplemental Fig. S1A). A BglII fragment encoding the N-terminal one-third of the molecule including the GTPase region was unable to interact with PHIP1. The HincII-BamHI fragment encoding most of the dynamin homology II domain and part of the GTPase effector domain of phragmoplastin retained the ability to interact with PHIP1, suggesting that the interaction takes place through the C-terminal two-thirds of the phragmoplastin molecule. This interaction was further confirmed using an in vitro protein-protein interaction assay (Supplemental Fig. S2, lane 4; see below).

PHIP1 Is a Plant-Specific Protein

DNA sequencing of the PHIP1 insert revealed that it contained an open reading frame fused in-frame with the activating domain in the vector. Because the cDNA insert did not contain a start codon (ATG) and a stop codon, it apparently was not a full-length clone (PHIP-KRZ in Fig. 1). Using this insert as a probe, we screened two independent cDNA libraries and were able to obtain clones longer than the original insert at both the C and N termini. The clone obtained from the Arabidopsis cDNA library, CD4-15 (Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center, Ohio State University; Kieber et al., 1993), extended the insert 440 bp at the C terminus, including an in-frame stop codon and a
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Figure 1. Functional domains and deletion constructs of phragmoplastin and PHIP1. A, Phragmoplastin contains three functional domains: the dynamin homology I (DYN1), DYN2, and a GTPase effector domain (GED). The PhrHc fragment containing DYN2 and part of GED is sufficient for interaction with PHIP1. Relative positions of restriction enzyme sites on the phragmoplastin cDNA are indicated: Bg2, BglII; Hc2, HincII; BH1, BamHI. B, PHIP1 contains one KRD, two RMMs, and three CCHC-type ZnFs. Constructs containing different motifs were assayed for interaction with phragmoplastin and Rop1. PHIP-KRZ lacking the N terminus and the third ZnF was isolated from the library screen using phragmoplastin as bait. Deletion fragments containing KRD and RMM retained the ability to interact with both phragmoplastin and Rop1. Phr, Full-length phragmoplastin; PhrHc, the HincII-BamHI fragment of phragmoplastin; PhrBgl, the BglII fragment of phragmoplastin. ZnF1, ZnF2, and ZnF3 indicate the three ZnFs present in the C terminus of PHIP1.
stretch of nine poly(A). Screening of another cDNA library, FL-1 (Seki et al., 1998), resulted in an apparently full-length clone that extended 190 bp at the 5’ end. The full-length clone contains at position 27 an ATG codon within a sequence context matching the consensus for translation initiation in plant mRNAs (AACCATGGC; Lutcke et al., 1987), suggesting that this may be the translation initiation codon of the PHIP1 mRNA.

The full-length cDNA is 2,115 bp long and encodes a peptide of 597 amino acid residues with a calculated molecular mass of 65.8 kD (Supplemental Fig. S2A). It contains multiple functional motifs, including a KRD at the N terminus, two RRMs in the center of the molecule, and three CCHC-type ZnF domains at the C terminus (Supplemental Fig. S2B; see below). A database search using the deduced peptide sequence failed to identify homolog proteins with a similar arrangement of these functional motifs from prokaryotes, fungi, or animals, suggesting that it represents a plant-specific protein. PHIP1 (At3g55340) is a unique gene in the Arabidopsis genome and has an ortholog gene in each of the two rice subspecies examined, Os05g0114500 in subspecies japonica and Os1_017529 in subspecies indica. The function of the rice orthologs has not been determined. The overall homology between the Arabidopsis PHIP1 and its rice orthologs is not very high (31% identity at the peptide level). However, they all contain the same functional motifs arranged in a similar manner, except that the rice proteins contain four CCHC-type ZnF domains as opposed to the three ZnFs present in PHIP1. Conservation of PHIP1 as a novel protein in higher plants is consistent with the unique nature of cytokinesis in plants (i.e. building of the cell plate de novo in the center of the phragmoplast).

PHIP1 Contains a KRD, Two RRMs, and Three ZnF Domains

Twenty Lys residues are clustered near the N terminus of PHIP1 between amino acid positions 83 and 131 (Supplemental Fig. S2, A–C). We term this domain the KRD. Three nuclear localization motifs (KKKR/NK) are found inside this sequence (Supplemental Fig. 2C). In addition to serving as nuclear localization signals, KRDs have also been implicated in nucleotide binding (Erard et al., 1998), protein-protein interactions (Huang and Kleinberg, 1999), and membrane association (Schwieger and Blume, 2007). The role of the KRD in PHIP1 remains unclear, although it is required for the interaction with phragmoplastin and Rop1 (see below).

Two copies of a truncated RRM are present in the middle of the PHIP1 molecule. RRM has been found in a number of RNA-binding proteins (Maris et al., 2005). It consists of two RNA-binding protein motifs, RNP-1 and RNP-2, separated by 30 amino acids (Dreyfuss et al., 1988). RNP-1 is an octapeptide with a consensus pattern of [RK]-G-[EDRKHPCG]-[AGSCIL]-[FY]-[LIV][X]-[FYLM], whereas RNP-2 is a hydrophobic segment of six residues. The full-length RRM spans approximately 90 amino acid residues. In PHIP1, both RRMs are slightly shorter, having only 78 amino acids (12 residues less than the consensus sequence). Both RRMs contain the two conserved core elements, RNP-1 and RNP-2 (Supplemental Fig. S2D).

Three copies of a CCHC-type ZnF (CYECEGKGLHST/SAC) with a consensus of CX4CX4HX3C are present near the C terminus of PHIP1. They belong to the classical ZnFs that constitute a short β-hairpin and an α-helix with a zinc atom coordinated in a Cys-Cys-His-Cys manner (Gamsjaeger et al., 2007). This type of ZnF is often found in the nucleocapsid proteins of retroviruses and can facilitate intermolecular interactions between nucleic acids and proteins (Berg, 1986; Matthews and Sunde, 2002; Gamsjaeger et al., 2007). Unlike viral gag proteins that also contain CCHC-type ZnFs but are small molecules (fewer than 100 amino acid residues), PHIP1 is a relatively large molecule (about 600 amino acids) and has multiple functional motifs. Proteins with a similar type of CCHC, CX3CX3HX3C, have also been implicated in protein-protein interactions (Tsang et al., 1997; Mackay and Crossley, 1998). The homology among the three copies of ZnFs extends to the sequences surrounding the ZnF motif, indicating that they might have evolved from intragenic duplications.

The Interaction between PHIP1 and Phragmoplastin Is Independent of ZnFs

Cognizant of the fact that CCHC ZnFs have been implicated in protein-protein interactions (Tsang et al., 1997; Mackay and Crossley, 1998; Gamsjaeger et al., 2007), we examined if the three copies of CCHC ZnFs are involved in the interaction between PHIP1 and phragmoplastin. A series of deletions of PHIP1 were constructed and tested for interaction with phragmoplastin in the yeast two-hybrid system. In comparison with the full-length PHIP1, deletion of the last ZnF (PHIP-Z2), the last two ZnFs (PHIP-Z1), or all three ZnFs (PHIP-KR) did not affect its interaction with phragmoplastin (Supplemental Fig. S3). These results demonstrated that the CCHC ZnFs are not involved in the interaction between PHIP1 and phragmoplastin. This conclusion was also supported by the result that the C-terminal half of PHIP1, containing all three ZnFs (PHIP-Z), was not able to interact with phragmoplastin (Supplemental Fig. S3). This interaction was apparently mediated via the N-terminal half of PHIP1, where KRD and RRM motifs are present. In an attempt to further determine if KRD or RRM is responsible for the interaction, we constructed three deletions (PHIP-RZ, PHIP-KZ, and PHIP-R) that contained, respectively, RRM plus ZnFs, KRD plus ZnFs, or RRM alone. All three deletions were unable to interact with phragmoplastin (Supplemental Fig. S3), suggesting that the structural integrity of the PHIP1 N terminus containing both KRD and RRMs is required for interaction with phragmoplastin.
PHIP1 Interacts with Rop1 in the GTP-Bound Configuration

The Arabidopsis Rho-like protein Rop1, when expressed in BY-2 cells, was found to be associated with the plasma membrane as well as with the forming cell plate at cytokinesis (Z. Hong, D.P. Verma, and Z. Zhang, unpublished data). We attempted but were unable to detect a direct interaction between Rop1 and phragmoplastin in the yeast two-hybrid system (data not shown). We then tested the possibility of an interaction between Rop1 and PHIP1. We expressed PHIP1 in yeast Y190 cells containing dominant positive (GTP-bound; Rop-GTP), wild-type (Rop-WT), or dominant negative (GDP-bound; Rop-GDP) forms of Rop1 expressed from the pAS2 vector (Wu et al., 2000). Protein-protein interactions were assayed in yeast colonies using X-Gal staining. Compared with the interaction between phragmoplastin and PHIP1, the interaction between Rop1 and PHIP1 was weaker (Fig. 2A). It required up to 12 to 24 h to develop blue color, while the interaction between phragmoplastin and PHIP1 took only 3 to 4 h. It is interesting that PHIP1 interacted only with the GTP-bound form of Rop1 but not the GDP-bound form. This may suggest a functional significance of this interaction.

To verify the interaction observed in the yeast two-hybrid system, we carried out an in vitro pull-down assay. Rop1 and phragmoplastin were purified as glutathione S-transferase (GST)-tagged proteins (Fig. 2B, lanes 2 and 3). GST served as a negative control (Fig. 2B, lane 1). PHIP-KRZ, the nearly full-length PHIP1 that was isolated from the original library screen (Fig. 1), was synthesized in vitro in the presence of [35S]Met using the TNT T7 quick-coupled transcription/translation system (Promega; Fig. 2B, lane 4). [35S]-labeled PHIP1 was incubated with purified recombinant Rop1 or phragmoplastin bound to glutathione-agarose beads. As shown in Figure 2B, Rop1 and phragmoplastin were able to pull down a significant portion of [35S]-labeled PHIP1, whereas GST alone (negative control) did not retain any radiolabeled product. We also verified the interaction between Rop1 and deletion fragments of PHIP1 in a protein-protein pull-down assay. Our data demonstrated that both KRD and RRM motifs are required for the interaction and that the ZnFs are dispensable (Supplemental Fig. S4). These results further confirmed the specificities of interactions demonstrated by the yeast two-hybrid system. The data on in vitro interactions also suggest that no additional protein component is required for these interactions. Thus, PHIP1 appears to act as a sandwich between phragmoplastin and Rop1.

PHIP1 Is a Peripheral Membrane Protein

A hydrophilicity plot (Supplemental Fig. S2F) and membrane-spanning topology analyses revealed that PHIP1 is a highly hydrophilic protein with no transmembrane domain. The hydrophilic property is especially prominent at the N-terminal KRD region that is rich in Lys residues that carry positive charges under physiological conditions. The N terminus of PHIP1 is located in the plasma membrane.
PHIP1 does not contain a signal peptide sequence for targeting to the secretory pathway. Moreover, it contains three putative nuclear localization sequences within the KRD. Thus, PHIP1 is a putative soluble and possibly nucleus-localized protein.

To verify this, we carried out cellular fractionation, followed by western-blot analysis, in order to determine if PHIP1 is present in the soluble fraction and associated with membranes in plant cells. We first analyzed the specificity of the polyclonal antibodies raised against purified PHIP1 on western blots. The preimmune serum did not react with any visible band (Fig. 3A). The anti-PHIP1 antibody reacted strongly with a 92-kD polypeptide band (GST-PHIP1) but not with the 26-kD GST protein (Fig. 3A). This result indicates that the anti-PHIP1 antibodies recognize specifically PHIP1. Using these antibodies to react with soluble and total membrane fractions on western blots, PHIP1 was found to be tightly associated with the membranes (Fig. 3B, lane 2). No detectable amount of PHIP1 was found in the soluble fraction (Fig. 3B, lane 1). Treatments with an alkaline buffer (Na$_2$CO$_3$, pH 11.5) or chaotropic agents (1 M NaI) were able to extract PHIP1 from the membranes (Fig. 3B, lanes 5 and 7), suggesting that PHIP1 is a peripheral membrane protein.

PHIP1 Is Localized at the Cell Plate during Cytokinesis in Plants

Phragmoplastin is associated with the cell plate during cytokinesis in plants (Gu and Verma, 1996, 1997). To test if PHIP1 is colocalized with phragmoplastin at the cell plate during cytokinesis, we performed fluorescence immunocolocalization using antibodies against PHIP1 and phragmoplastin. Purified IgG to phragmoplastin was conjugated with Alexa-488 (a fluorochrome that is excited by 488-nm light and emits green light), whereas IgG to PHIP1 was labeled with Alexa-594, which is excited by 594-nm light and emits red light. Onion (Allium cepa) root tip cells were used, since they are large and the cell plate is easy to identify with a fluorescence microscope. Antibodies against either phragmoplastin or PHIP1 reacted with only a protein band of the proper size on western blots of Arabidopsis samples (Fig. 3; Gu and Verma, 1996, 1997). It is likely that both antibodies recognize counterparts of phragmoplastin and PHIP1 in onion samples. Root tip cells were incubated with different fluorochrome-labeled antibodies and visualized using proper sets of optical filters. As shown in Figure 4, C and D, antibodies against PHIP1 (red color) and against phragmoplastin (green color) colocalized at the cell plate in the onion root tip cells. We also determined subcellular localization using transgenic tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) BY-2 cells expressing GFP-PHIP1 (Supplemental Fig. S5E) and showed that the green fluorescence of GFP-PHIP1 was concentrated at the cell plate, while the fluorescence of GFP was excluded from the cell plate in control cells (Supplemental Fig. S5, A–D). These data further confirmed that PHIP1 is associated with the cell plate at cytokinesis in plant cells.

PHIP1 Specifically Binds with the Ran2 mRNA

Using PHIP1 as bait in the two-hybrid system, we screened an Arabidopsis cDNA library for its interacting partners. We identified several putative PHIP1-interacting clones, among which five were confirmed to interact with PHIP1 using in vitro protein-protein pull-down assays (data not shown). They encode a
nitrate reductase (pPHIP-IP-5), a cadmium-induced protein (pPHIP-IP-7), a pentatricopeptide repeat-containing protein (pPHIP-IP-30), a CBL-interacting protein kinase 6 (pPHIP-IP-31), and the small GTP-binding protein Ran2 (pPHIP-IP-33; Ma et al., 2007). Bearing in mind that PHIP1 is a putative RNA-binding protein, we asked if any of these interactions also existed at the protein-mRNA level.

We used GST-tagged PHIP1 to adsorb Arabidopsis total RNA directly, followed by extensive washing and reverse transcription (RT)-PCR using gene-specific primers. RNAs bound to the glutathione beads were extracted by phenol-chloroform solution and reverse transcribed into cDNA. PCR amplification was carried out using five pairs of primers corresponding to the cDNAs of the five PHIP1-interacting partners. As shown in Figure 5A, lane 4, a PCR product band of 0.66 kb was specifically amplified using primer pairs corresponding to the Ran2 cDNA (pPHIP-IP-33). Four other pairs of primers failed to produce any PCR products under similar RT-PCR conditions, even after using extended cycles (more than 35) of PCR (data not shown). No PCR product was amplified in negative controls in which GST was used instead of GST-PHIP1 (Fig. 5A, lane 1) or when mRNA was used directly to replace the RT product of the PHIP1-bound mRNA as a template for PCR amplification (Fig. 5A, lane 3). These data suggest that PHIP1 interacts with Ran2 protein as well as Ran2 mRNA.

To confirm the binding of PHIP1 with the Ran2 mRNA, we carried out a UV cross-linking assay of PHIP1 with 32P-radiolabeled Ran2 mRNA. Radioactive Ran2 mRNA was synthesized in vitro using T7 RNA polymerase (Takara) in the presence of [32P]UTP. Purified PHIP1 was incubated with radiolabeled Ran2 mRNA and irradiated under 360-nm UV light. Unbound mRNA was removed by treatment with RNase A. The cross-linking products of PHIP1 were resolved by SDS-PAGE. As shown in Figure 5B, PHIP1 (lane 2) but not GST (lane 1; negative control) was found to be labeled with the radioactive probe, suggesting that Ran2 mRNA is bound to PHIP1.

The binding of PHIP1 with the Ran2 mRNA was further confirmed by RNA electrophoretic mobility-shift assay. For this assay, 32P-labeled Ran2 mRNA was incubated with purified GST-PHIP1 and resolved on a 0.7% agarose gel. The mobility of Ran2 mRNA was clearly shifted by treatment with PHIP1 (Fig. 5C, lane 3) but not GST (lane 1; negative control) was found to be labeled with the radioactive probe, suggesting that Ran2 mRNA is bound to PHIP1. The binding of PHIP1 with the Ran2 mRNA was distributed toward the cell plate at the subcellular level. We used digoxigenin-labeled antiserum Ran2 mRNA to react with cytokinetic cells and demonstrated that Ran2 mRNA is indeed highly concentrated in the vicinity of the cell plate (Fig. 4D).
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Figure 4. Subcellular localization of PHIP1 and Ran2 mRNA at cytokinesis. A to D, Direct immunofluorescence localization of PHIP1. Onion root tip cells were fixed in paraformaldehyde and reacted to antibodies against Arabidopsis PHIP1 (α-PHIP1) or soybean phragmoplastin (α-Phr). Antibodies to PHIP1 were conjugated with fluorescent dye Alexa 594 and exhibited red fluorescence, whereas phragmoplastin antibodies conjugated with Alexa 488 emitted green fluorescence. Nuclear DNA was stained with DAPI. The bright-field image (Light) was taken using Nomarski optics. Arrows indicate the position of the cell plate. E to J, Whole-mount in situ RNA hybridization. Tobacco BY-2 cells were fixed and reacted with digoxigenin-labeled Ran2 RNA probes, which were detected by anti-digoxigenin antibody conjugated with alkaline phosphatase (F, H, and J). Nuclear DNA (N) was stained with DAPI and imaged under a UV filter (F, G, and I). A nondividing cell

(E and F) and a cytokinetic cell (G and H) with the cell plate (CP) are shown. The Ran2 sense RNA probe was used as a negative control (l and J). Bar = 10 μm.
**DISCUSSION**

**PHIP1 Is an RNA-Binding Protein Associated with Cell Plate Formation**

Amino acid sequence analysis revealed that PHIP1 does not share overall sequence homology and motif arrangements with any other known genes in GenBank, suggesting that it represents a novel RNA-binding protein. The most homologous genes to Arabidopsis PHIP1 are two rice sequences (Os05g0114500 in subspecies japonica and Os_017529 in subspecies indica). They share approximately 31% identity with PHIP1 at the amino acid level and contain two RRMs and four CCHC-type ZnFs, as opposed to three ZnFs present in PHIP1. The other major difference is that the rice proteins do not contain a KRD. The function of these rice genes remains unknown.

PHIP1 contains multiple conserved motifs, including two nuclear localization signals in a KRD, two RRMs, and three ZnFs. ZnFs are found in a number of proteins that can bind to DNA, RNA, and DNA-RNA hybrids (Brown, 2005; Hall, 2005; Gamsjaeger et al., 2007). In addition, ZnFs have also been implicated in protein-protein interactions (Mackay and Crossley, 1998; Gamsjaeger et al., 2007). The mammalian transcription factor FRIEND OF GATA1 contains multiple ZnFs, of which the sixth one, a CCHC type, interacts with the N terminus of GATA1 (Tsang et al., 1997). PHIP1 contains three copies of a CCHC-type ZnF with a consensus of Cys-X2-Cys-X4-His-X4-Cys. To assess if these ZnFs are involved in interaction with phragmoplastin, we made a series of deletions that contained two, one, or none of the three ZnFs. The data clearly excluded the involvement of ZnFs in the interaction of PHIP1 with phragmoplastin. The exact role of the ZnFs in PHIP1 remains unknown.

The ZnFs of PHIP1 resemble more closely those of retroviral nucleocapsid proteins (Gamsjaeger et al., 2007). The gag gene of retroviruses including HIV encodes the so-called gag precursor that is cleaved into three mature proteins, the matrix protein, the capsid protein, and the nucleocapsid protein. In the mature virus, the matrix protein is associated with the inner side of the lipid envelope, the capsid protein forms the outer shell of the nucleocapsid, and the nucleocapsid proteins are complexed with RNA inside the nucleocapsid. ZnFs of nucleocapsid proteins have been shown to bind to $^{65}$Zn on western blots (Bess et al., 1992), but it is not known if these fingers are directly involved in RNA binding.

PHIP1 contains two RRMs that may be involved in the specific binding with the Ran2 mRNA. These RRMs may function together with the ZnFs and modulate the post-transcriptional regulation of Ran2 and other genes at cytokinesis. The function of the KRD and the two nuclear localization signals present remain to be determined. It is possible that this protein shuttles between the nucleus and the forming cell plate at cytokinesis and functions in transporting Ran2 and other mRNAs to the vicinity of the cell plate (Fig. 6). These data are consistent with the observations that Ran2 protein is localized at the cell plate as well as at the nuclear envelope (Ma et al., 2007).

**Involvement of Rho-Like Proteins in Cell Plate Formation**

The Rho protein family includes Rho, Rac, and Cdc42 (Gu et al., 2004; Brembu et al., 2006). Yeast Cdc42, a Rho...
homolog, controls the assembly of polarized complexes at the cell surface that regulate exocytotic secretion and bud formation (Mata and Nurse, 1998). Yeast Rho protein has been found in the cell cortex of a growing bud (Hirata et al., 1998) and has been suggested to control callose synthase activity (Qadota et al., 1996). Plant Rop GTPases (Rho-like proteins) may have functions similar to those of Cdc42 and Rac (Li et al., 1999; Gu et al., 2004; Brembu et al., 2006). We demonstrated that Arabidopsis Rop1 interacts with PHIP1 both in the yeast two-hybrid system and in the in vitro pull-down assay. This is significant because it suggests the possibility that Rho-like proteins may be involved in the control of cell plate formation, probably providing spatial information. Previously, mutations at the conserved GTP-binding motifs of Rop1 were introduced so that the mutated Rop GTPases are locked at either the GTP-bound or GDP-bound conformation (Li et al., 1999). In this study, we examined whether PHIP1 interacts with the GTP-bound or GDP-bound form of Rop GTPase. Our data demonstrated that PHIP1 interacts with the wild-type Rop1 and Rop-GTP mutant but not the GDP-bound form of Rop1 (Fig. 2). This suggests that a GTP-bound, active Rop GTPase may be recruited from the cytoskeleton or membrane compartments to the PHIP1-phragmoplastin complex at the cell plate. Upon hydrolysis of GTP to GDP, the GDP-bound, inactive Rop GTPase may then dissociate from the PHIP1-phragmoplastin complex. This could be one of the mechanisms regulating the activity of phragmoplastin at the forming cell plate and may provide a mechanism for the activation of callose synthase, since Rho is known to control the activity of callose synthase in yeast (Qadota et al., 1996).

Intracellular RNA Distribution and Cell Polarity

Polarized mRNA distribution within a cell was first observed in animal oocytes and has now been found in many cell types, including neurons, fibroblasts, and myoblasts of animal somatic cells (St Johnson, 1995; Mohr, 1999), in embryonic cells of the brown alga Fucus (Bouget et al., 1995, 1996), and during seed development (Okita and Choi, 2002; Crofts et al., 2004, 2005). A single mRNA molecule, once targeted to a subcellular location, can be translated multiple times in that location. This can also prevent the expression of the encoded proteins in the wrong region of the cell. The transport of myelin basic protein mRNA from the cell body to the dendrites of dendrocytes in culture occurs along the cytoskeleton and is apparently mediated by a plus-end-directed, kinesin-like motor (Ainger et al., 1993). In a developing Fucus zygote, actin mRNA is first symmetrically distributed and then accumulates at the thallus pole at the time of polar axis fixation. At the end of the first cell division, actin mRNA is specifically redistributed from the thallus pole to the forming cell plate. During the second cell division of the embryo, actin mRNA continues to accumulate at the cell plate. The third division of the embryo is perpendicular to the first two divisions, and actin mRNA does not accumulate at the cell plate (Bouget et al., 1996). Actin has been localized at the cell plate (Endle et al., 1998). Using antibodies against soybean (Glycine max) phragmoplastin on a western blot, we were able to detect the presence of a phragmoplastin homolog of the expected molecular mass (about 70 kD) in a crude extract of Fucus embryos (L. Ma, B. Xie, Z. Hong, D.P.S. Verma, and Z. Zhang, unpublished data). This result suggests that the basic machinery for cell plate formation may be conserved (Verma and Hong, 2005). In this report, we show that Ran2 mRNA is also distributed in the vicinity of the cell plate (Fig. 4D). Unlike typical cell plate-specific proteins such as phragmoplastin (Gu and Verma, 1997; Hong et al., 2003), callose synthase 1 (Hong et al., 2001a), and UDP-Glc transferase (Hong et al., 2001b), both Ran2 mRNA and PHIP1 were not tightly bound to the cell plate. It was recently demonstrated that ribosomes and presumably protein translation exist in the vicinity of the cell plate immediately outside of the cell plate assembly matrix (Segui-Simarro et al., 2004). Both Ran2 mRNA and PHIP1 protein may be distributed closely to the cell plate but excluded from the cell plate assembly matrix. Active transport of mRNAs may require the formation of an RNP complex that has been observed in neurons (Spirin, 1994). PHIP1 may be one of the candidates that bind to specific mRNAs and deliver them to the vicinity of the cell plate during cytokinesis in plant cells.

PHIP1 Forms a Complex with Phragmoplastin and Is Targeted to the Cell Plate

Members of the dynamin family share some common properties, including having GTPase activity and
the capacity to self-assemble into helical structures (Verma and Hong, 2005). They differ from each other by interacting with different protein partners. Animal dynamin interacts with a number of proteins and plays an important role in clathrin-mediated endocytosis and synaptic vesicle recycling (Schmid et al., 1998). Dynamin is targeted to the coated pits by interacting with amphiphasin and the AP2 complexes, a major constituent of the clathrin coat (David et al., 1996).

Unlike dynamin, phragmoplastin lacks both the pleckstrin homology domain and the Pro-rich domain and performs a very different biological function, regulating the formation of the tubular structures at the cell plate in plants. Several players involved in cell plate formation have been identified (Hong and Verma, 2008). The cloning of PHIPI1 has added a distinct member to this group of proteins, which may bind and transport mRNAs such as Ran2 to the vicinity of the cell plate (Fig. 6). The precise function of PHIPI1 in targeting Ran2 RNA at the cell plate remains to be determined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial and Yeast Strains

Escherichia coli strains DH5α (GIBCO-BRL) and Top10F- (Invitrogen) were used for plasmid construction and protein expression. DH1 (GIBCO-BRL) was used for plasmid rescue from yeast cells. Yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) Y190 (MATα, ura3-52, his3-b0), lys2-801, ade2-101, trp1-901, leu2-3, 112, gal4Δ, gal80Δ, cyh2, lys2, GAL1UAS-HIS3, URA3-GAL1UAS-lacZ) was used as host for two-hybrid experiments.

Screening of a cDNA Library for Interacting Proteins

Full-length phragmoplastin cDNA (pSDL12a; Gu and Verma, 1996) was partially digested with BglII and XhoI, and the fragment (2 kb) was ligated into pAS1-CYH2 vector, generating pAS-Phr. This plasmid was used as bait to screen an Arabidopsis cDNA library constructed in pPI34 obtained from the original screening of the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (Ohio State University). The cDNA library was converted into plasmid pACT through in vivo excision as described. The pooled plasmids were purified through CsCl ultracentrifugation. Yeast Y190 was first transformed with pAS-Phr by electroporation and selected on SC-Trp-Leu-His medium. Blue colonies were purified, and plasmids were isolated and propagated in DH1 cells. Purified plasmids were reintroduced into Y190 cells containing 1 m M MgCl2, 0.2 mM dithiothreitol, and 300 mg L-1 isopropylthio-β-galactoside for 4 h. Cells were washed and resuspended in STE buffer (10 m M Tris-Cl, pH 8.0, 150 m M NaCl, and 1 m M EDTA). After incubation with 100 μg mL-1 lysozyme for 5 min, cells were lysed in the presence of 0.5% sarkosyl followed by sonication (Frangioni and Neel, 1993). The supernatant was diluted with Triton X-100 to a final concentration of 1% and incubated with glutathione-agarose beads (Sigma) followed by extensive washing with STE buffer.

Expression and Purification of GST Fusion Proteins

A plasmid (pGST-Phr) expressing a GST-phragmoplastin fusion protein was constructed by inserting a Snat-XhoI fragment from pACT-Phr into the same sites of pGEX-KG (Frangioni and Neel, 1993). GST-Rop1 fusion protein was expressed from pGEX-R1A (Li et al., 1999; Wu et al., 2000), which was made by cloning a Nicot-Srl fragment containing the coding region of Arabidopsis Rop1 cDNA into the same sites of pGEX-KG and transformed into E. coli JM109. Expression of GST fusion proteins was induced by 1 m M isopropylthio-β-galactoside for 4 h. Cells were washed and resuspended in STE buffer (10 m M Tris-Cl, pH 8.0, 150 m M NaCl, and 1 m M EDTA). After incubation with 100 μg mL-1 lysozyme for 5 min, cells were lysed in the presence of 0.5% sarkosyl followed by sonication (Frangioni and Neel, 1993). The supernatant was diluted with Triton X-100 to a final concentration of 1% and incubated with glutathione-agarose beads (Sigma) followed by extensive washing with STE buffer.

Detection of Protein-Protein Interaction by in Vitro Pull-Down Assay

For in vitro labeling of PHIPI1, a Saff fragment encoding a partial PHIPI1 peptide lacking the first 96 amino acid residues was subcloned into the same site of pAGA3 (Sanford et al., 1991) that contains a hemoglobin 5′-leader sequence under the T7 promoter. The resulting plasmid, pAGA-PHIP1, was used for quick-coupled transcription/translation in the TNT-T7 system (Promega) in the presence of [35S]Met (Amersham). Radiolabeled PHIPI1 product (10 μL) was diluted in 260 μL of interaction buffer (20 m M Tris-Cl, pH 8.0, 100 m M KCl, 2 m M MgCl2, 0.5% Lubrol, and 0.5% bovine serum albumin) and incubated with 10 μL of purified GST fusion proteins bound to glutathione-agarose beads for 1 h. The beads were washed five times with the interaction buffer without bovine serum albumin, and the bound proteins were eluted directly in SDS-PAGE sample buffer. After electrophoresis, the gel was stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250, dried, and autoradiographed.

GST Fusion Protein Purification and Preparation of Antibodies

For the purification of PHIPI1 protein from E. coli, a BamHI-Xho fragment encoding the full-length PHIPI1 peptide lacking the first 53 amino acid residues was excised from pP34 obtained from the original screening of the Arabidopsis cDNA library in the yeast two-hybrid system. The fragment was inserted into the same sites of pGEX-KG, generating pGST-PHIP1 that expressed a GST-PHIP1 fusion protein. The plasmids were transformed to E. coli strain JM109, and expression of the fusion protein was induced by isopropylthio-β-galactoside. GST-PHIP1 was purified using glutathione-agarose beads (Sigma). GST tag was cleaved by incubating the bead-bound GST-PHIP1 with thrombin (2 units mL-1; Sigma) in the thrombin buffer (50 m M Tris-Cl, pH 8.0, 150 m M NaCl, 2.5 m M CaCl2, and 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol). The cleaved products were resolved on 10% PAGE. PHIP1 bands from 10 gels containing about 1 mg of PHIP1 protein was excised, eluted with 0.85% NaCl, lyophilized, and used to raise antibodies in two rabbits. For purification of antibodies against PHIPI1, purified GST-PHIP1 fusion protein was resolved on PAGE and transferred to nitrocellulose. The membrane containing the PHIPI1 band was excised, blocked with 5% nonfat milk in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and used to react with PHIPI1 antibody in a serum/PBS (1:2) solution. Antibodies bound to the membrane slices were eluted by 100 m M Gly (pH 2.5), and the eluted antibodies were immediately neutralized by 1 m Tris (pH 8.0) solution.
Preparation of Plant Samples and Western-Blot Analysis

Arabidopsis young seedlings (10 d old) were frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground into powder with a mortar and pestle. The powder was resuspended in extraction buffer (50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol, and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride). The homogenate was spun at 10,000g for 15 min, and the supernatant was further separated into supernatant (S100) and membrane (100P) fractions by ultracentrifugation at 100,000g for 90 min. The total membrane pellet was extracted with 1% Triton X-100 in extraction buffer, 100 mM Na2CO3 (pH approximately 11.5), or 1M NaI in extraction buffer, followed by centrifugation at 100,000g for 30 min. Fractions were adjusted to 1× SDS loading buffer and resolved on SDS-PAGE. Proteins were transferred to a polyvinyldiene difluoride membrane (Amersham) and probed with purified PHIP1 antibody. Horseradish peroxidase-labeled goat antibody against rabbit IgG was used as a secondary antibody. The protein bands were visualized on Kodak BioMax MS film using SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Pierce). Purified GST and GST-PHIP1 fusion protein were used as negative and positive controls, respectively. In another negative control, the preimmune serum was used to replace the first antibody.

Expression of GFP-PHIP1 in Tobacco BY-2 Cells

The PHIP1 cDNA was cloned at the EcoRI site of pMON-GFP (Hong et al., 2001a). The coding region of PHIP1 was fused in-frame with the GFP cDNA downstream of the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter (Fig. 6). The whole cassette was released by NotI and cloned into the same site of pMON18342 (Hong et al., 2001a), generating pMBin-GFP-PHIP1. Plasmid pMON30066 expressing GFP served as a control. Tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) BY-2 cells were transformed via Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated procedures (Gu and Verma, 1997).

Immunofluorescence Microscopy

Affinity purified IgGs against PHIP1 and phragmoplastin were conjugated with the fluorescent dyes Alexa 594 and Alexa 488 (Molecular Probes), respectively, following the manufacturer’s instructions. Onion (Allium cepa) root tips were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and 15% Suc in PHEM buffer (60 mM PIPES, 25 mM HEPES, pH 6.9, 10 mM EGTA, and 2 mM MgCl2) at room temperature for 3 h. The samples were washed with washing buffer (10 mM MES, pH 5.7, 30 mM CaCl2, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, 400 mM mannitol, and 0.1% bovine serum albumin) three times for 10 min each. The tissues were digested with freshly prepared digestion buffer (2% cellulase, 1% Macerozyme R10, 0.5% pectinase, and 0.5% Driselase in washing buffer) for 30 min, followed by rinsing with PHEM buffer twice for 5 min each. Root tips were squeezed between two poly-Lys-coated glass slides. Cells were permeated with 0.2% Triton X-100 in PHEM for 5 min and extracted by –20°C methanol:acetone (1:1) for 10 min. The cells were blocked with 1% nonfat milk in PHEM for 1 h and reacted with Alexa dye-labeled antibody for 1 h. The slides were washed with PBS containing 0.5% Tween 20 and 1 μg ml–1 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI). Fluorescence microscopy was carried out in a Zeiss microscope with appropriate filters.

Affinity Adsorption Assay

GST-PHIP1 bound to the glutathione beads was incubated with the total RNA from 6- to 8-d-old Arabidopsis seedlings for 1 h on ice with slight shaking. The beads were washed three times with binding buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 5 units of RNasin, 2 mM β-mercaptoethanol, and 0.01 mM EDTA). RNAs bound to the beads were extracted by phenol:chloroform:amyl alcohol (49:49:2) twice with vigorous shaking. The eluted RNAs were precipitated with 95% ethanol at –20°C for 30 min. The RNA pellet was washed with 75% ethanol, briefly dried in air, and dissolved with diethyl pyrocarbonate-treated water. The eluted RNAs were reverse transcribed into cDNA using the PCR Kit (Amv) version 3.0 (Tokara). The identity of the eluted RNAs was profiled by RT-PCR amplification analysis using five pairs of primers corresponding to five Arabidopsis cDNAs that were previously identified as protein-protein interaction partners of PHIP1 in a yeast two-hybrid screen (L. Ma, B. Xie, Z. Hong, D.P.S. Verma, and Z. Zhang, unpublished data). The following five pairs of primers were used in this experiment: 5′-AGTCGACATGACTTCTTCTCTCCAC-3′ and 5′-GGAATTCCATCTTTAGTCCTCTTC-3′ for PHIP-IP-5 (encoding a nitrate reductase); 5′-CGGGTGACGAGTGGCTGATGATA-3′ and 5′-CCGGAATTCCTACGTTATGATTTA-3′ for PHIP-IP-7 (encoding a cadmium-induced protein); 5′-CCGGTGACATGACTGAAATGGCTTCT-3′ and 5′-CCGAATTCCAGAAGATGCCCTGAA-3′ (a pen-tatricopeptide repeat-containing protein); 5′-AATTCGATGCTGGCAAGC-5′ and 5′-CGGATTACCAGCCGAGGTTGAG-3′ (encoding a CBL-interacting protein kinase); and 5′-CGTGCACAGGCTC- ATGCTAACAC-3′ and 5′-CGGAATTTCTACTCAATGCCTCATCA-3′ for PHIP-IP-33 (encoding the small GTPase Ran2; Ma et al., 2007). PCR amplification conditions were set differently for each gene on the basis of the annealing temperatures of the primers and the lengths of the expected PCR products. A series of PCR cycles from 25 to 35 were performed for each gene. Only the Ran2 mRNA (PHIP-IP-33) was detected under these RTPCR conditions, while RT-PCR for the other four mRNAs failed to amplify any PCR products. The PCR conditions used for successful detection of the Ran2 mRNA (PHIP-IP-33) were 28 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 63°C for 45 s, and 72°C for 45 s. GST bound to beads was treated as described above and served as a negative control.

Radiolabeling of RNA Transcripts

Radioactive mRNA probe was prepared essentially as described elsewhere (Vaquero et al., 1998) with some modifications. The Ran2 cDNA (0.66 kb), cloned in pTRYB vector, was transcribed in vitro in the presence of [a-32P]UTP using T7 RNA polymerase. The reaction mixture (30 μL) contained 1× T7 RNA polymerase buffer, 5 μL dithiothreitol, 0.5 μL rATP, rCTP, and rGTP each, 12 μL T7 RNA polymerase, 5 μL dithiothreitol, 0.5 μL rATP, rCTP, and rGTP, respectively. In another negative control, the preimmune serum was used to replace the first antibody. The protein bands were visualized on Kodak BioMax MS film using SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Pierce). Purified GST and GST-PHIP1 fusion protein were used as negative and positive controls, respectively. In another negative control, the preimmune serum was used to replace the first antibody.

UV Cross-Linking Assay

A modified protocol as described previously by Vaquero et al. (1998), Sladicek et al. (2004), and Sully et al. (2004) was adopted for the UV cross-linking assay. Purified GST-PHIP1 protein was incubated with 15 ng of [32P]Ran2 mRNA in a reaction mix of 48 μL containing 10 μL Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 mM benzamidine, and 0.05 μL μL–1 RNasin for 30 min at 4°C with slight shaking. The reaction mix was irradiated under 360-nm UV light over a distance of approximately 3 to 5 cm on ice for 90 min. The UV-irradiated sample was treated with 1.5 μg of RNase A for 15 min at 37°C to remove unbound RNA and boiled for 5 min in 3× SDS loading buffer (6% SDS, 87.5 mM Tris, pH 6.8, 30% glycerol, and 0.03% bromophenol blue). Proteins were resolved on 10% SDS-PAGE. The PAGE gel was stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue (C-250), dried, and autoradiographed by exposure to x-ray film at –80°C for 20 to 48 h. GST protein treated with the same procedures served as a negative control.

RNA Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assays

Purified GST-PHIP1 was incubated with 10 ng of [32P]Ran2 mRNA in a total volume of 32 μL of reaction buffer for 30 min at 4°C with slight shaking. The RNA products were separated on a 0.7% agarose gel. After electrophoresis, the gel was dried and autoradiographed at –80°C for 8 to 24 h. GST protein treated with the same procedures served as a negative control.

Whole-Mount mRNA Hybridization

Arabidopsis Ran2 cDNA was amplified from total mRNA by RT-PCR using primers Ran2-F1 (5′-ACCTCGAGATGGCTCTACCTAACC-3′) and Ran2-R1 (5′-CCCTCGAGATGGCTCTACCTAACC-3′). The cDNA was cloned in pCR2.1 (Invitrogen) and used as a template for the synthesis of antisense RNA (negative control) and sense RNA probes using a digoxigenin RNA labeling kit (Roche). Tobacco BY-2 cells were fixed in the fixative solution (4% [v/v] paraformaldehyde, 15% [v/v] dimethyl sulfoxide, 0.1% [v/v] Tween 20, and 3% [w/v] Suc in PBS) for 1 h. After washing with PBS solution containing 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20, the cells were hybridized with the labeled.
RNA probes at 55°C overnight, followed by hybridization with anti-digoxigenin antibody (1:2,000) conjugated with alkaline phosphatase (Hejatko et al., 2006). DAPI (1 μg mL⁻¹) was supplemented in the second antibody solution, and the fluorescence was observed under a UV filter.

Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data libraries under accession number AF196776.
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