Preferential Up-Regulation of G2/M Phase-Specific Genes by Overexpression of the Hyperactive Form of NtmybA2 Lacking Its Negative Regulation Domain in Tobacco BY-2 Cells
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Many G2/M phase-specific genes in plants contain mitosis-specific activator (MSA) elements, which act as G2/M phase-specific enhancers and bind with R1R2R3-Myb transcription factors. Here, we examined the genome-wide effects of NtmybA2 overexpression, one of the R1R2R3-Myb transcription factors in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum). We used a custom-made 16-K cDNA microarray for comparative transcriptome analysis of transgenic tobacco BY-2 cell lines that overexpress NtmybA2 or its truncated hyperactive form. The microarray was also used to determine the transcript profile during the cell cycle in synchronized cultures of BY-2 cells. Combined microarray data from transgenic lines and synchronized cells revealed that overexpression of the truncated hyperactive form of NtmybA2, but not its full-length form, preferentially up-regulated many G2/M phase-specific genes in BY-2 cells. We determined promoter sequences of several such up-regulated genes and showed that all contain MSA-like motifs in the proximal regions of their promoters. One of the up-regulated genes, NtE2C, encoding for cyclin-specific ubiquitin carrier proteins, contained a single functional MSA-like motif, which specifically controlled the expression of a reporter gene in the G2/M phase in BY-2 cells. Furthermore, a genomic footprint experiment showed that the MSA element in the NtE2C promoter interacted with nuclear proteins in vivo. Therefore, we propose that the transcription of many G2/M phase-specific genes in tobacco is positively regulated by NtmybA2, in most cases through direct binding to the MSA elements.

Periodic gene expression is fundamental for the ordered occurrence of events through the eukaryotic cell cycle (Cho et al., 2001; Breeden, 2003; De Veylder et al., 2007). Many studies have shown that E2F transcription factors are critical regulators of the G1/S transition in animals and plants (De Veylder et al., 2003). In Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana), E2Fa and E2Fb function as transcriptional activators and positive regulators of the cell cycle (Sozzani et al., 2006). Transcript levels of many genes involved in DNA replication are strongly up-regulated in transgenic Arabidopsis plants, which co-overexpress E2Fa and its dimerization partner DPα (De Veylder et al., 2002). Microarray analysis of the transgenic plants revealed 181 putative E2F target genes, which contain the E2F-binding motif TTTCGGCC or its variants in their promoters (Vandepoele et al., 2005).

In contrast to the E2F-dependent regulation of G1/S phase-specific genes, less is known about the transcriptional regulation of genes expressed at the G2/M phase. In plants, many G2/M phase-specific genes contain a common cis-acting element, the so-called mitosis-specific activator (MSA) elements (Ito, 2000, 2005). In tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum), three R1R2R3-Myb proteins, NtmybA1, NtmybA2, and NtmybB, bind to the MSA element in vitro. NtmybA1 and NtmybA2 are structurally closely related and, when
transiently overexpressed, activate promoters from \textit{NACK1} and \textit{CYCB1;3} genes in an MSA-dependent manner. In contrast, NtmybB is able to repress these promoters antagonistically to NtmybA1 and NtmybA2 (Ito et al., 2001). We recently showed that two R1R2R3-Myb proteins in Arabidopsis, MYB3R1 and MYB3R4, are highly homologous to NtmybA1 and NtmybA2 and act as transcriptional activators on multiple G2/M phase-specific genes (Haga et al., 2007). The \textit{myb3r1 myb3r4} double mutant showed decreased expression of G2/M phase-specific genes, including \textit{CYCB2;1}, \textit{CDC20.1}, and \textit{KNOLLE} (KN). Incomplete cytokinesis was frequently observed in the double mutant during embryogenesis and postembryonic development, and it caused various developmental defects. This incomplete cytokinesis in the double mutant was mainly due to reduced expression of the \textit{KN} gene, which encodes for plant-specific syntaxin required for membrane fusion during cell plate formation. Similar functions at the G2/M phase were recently reported in \textit{Drosophila} Myb (Georlette et al., 2007) and human B-Myb (Osterloh et al., 2007). Myb proteins in these organisms are present in conserved multiprotein complexes called dREAM or Myb-MuvB, which are involved in the activation of various target genes, including many G2/M phase-specific genes.

In Arabidopsis, whose entire genome sequence has been determined, 65 of 82 G2/M phase-specific genes contain at least one MSA motif in their promoter regions (Menges et al., 2005). This finding, together with our previous observations in tobacco cells (Ito et al., 1998; Ito, 2000), led us to hypothesize that MSA elements may be present in a large number of G2/M phase-specific genes in tobacco and that such genes are transcriptionally regulated by NtmybA1 and/or NtmybA2 through the MSA elements. To test this idea, we examined the effects of NtmybA2 overexpression using tobacco BY-2 cells, which can be highly synchronized and easily transformed by the \textit{Agrobacterium tumefaciens}-mediated method (Nagata et al., 1992). We used a custom-made 16-K cDNA microarray for comparative transcriptome analysis of the transgenic BY-2 cell lines overexpressing NtmybA2 or its truncated form lacking the C-terminal region, which negatively regulates its own activity for transcriptional activation (Araki et al., 2004). The microarray was also used to determine the transcript profile during the cell cycle in synchronized cultures of BY-2 cells. The transcriptome data from the transgenic lines, in combination with those from synchronized cultures, revealed that many G2/M phase-specific transcripts were selectively and significantly up-regulated by stable overexpression of the truncated hyperactive form of NtmybA2 but not of wild-type NtmybA2. The up-regulation was preferentially observed in quiescent cells during the stationary phase. Corroborating our initial hypothesis, we found that up-regulated genes consistently contained motifs that closely matched the consensus MSA sequence, TCYAACGGYYA (Ito, 2000). Our data strongly suggest that NtmybA2 functions as a general positive regulator acting directly on G2/M phase-specific genes.

RESULTS

Overexpression of C-Terminally Truncated NtmybA2 Enhances the Expression of G2/M Phase-Specific Genes

We have previously shown that transcription of \textit{CYCB1;3} and \textit{NACK1} is positively regulated by NtmybA1 and NtmybA2 through direct binding to the MSA elements (Ito et al., 2001). To test if constitutive overexpression of NtmybA2 can activate the G2/M phase-specific genes, we stably transformed BY-2 cells with a fusion between the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter and NtmybA2 cDNA (35S::NtmybA2). However, the resulting transgenic calli that expressed excess NtmybA2 mRNA did not show increased levels of the mRNAs for \textit{CYCB1;3}, \textit{NACK1}, or a tobacco gene homologous to \textit{KN} (hereafter designated \textit{NtKN}; Fig. 1A). Our previous study suggested that NtmybA2 contains a negative regulation domain in its C terminus, which inhibits its own activity for transactivation (Araki et al., 2004). To test the effects of the C-terminally truncated version of NtmybA2 (NtmybA2\textDelta C), we prepared transgenic BY-2 cells carrying 35S::NtmybA2\textDelta C. In the 35S::NtmybA2\textDelta C calli, the \textit{CYCB1;3} and \textit{NiKN} genes were significantly up-regulated compared with the control calli that were transformed with a vector alone (Fig. 1A). We then established liquid cultures from the transgenic calli in order to examine the mRNA levels of G2/M phase-specific genes in cell suspensions. Our real-time reverse transcription (RT)-PCR analysis showed that the levels of G2/M phase-specific transcripts in the control cells were correlated with cell division activity, being high on day 3 during the logarithmic phase of growth but decreasing dramatically on day 7, when the cells entered the stationary phase (Fig. 1B). A similar pattern of expression was observed in 35S::NtmybA2 cells. However, we found significant effects of NtmybA2\textDelta C overexpression on the expression of G2/M phase-specific genes, which were strongly dependent on the growth stage of the suspension cultures. On day 7 after subculture, the 35S::NtmybA2\textDelta C cells accumulated significantly higher levels of G2/M phase-specific transcripts compared with the control cells transformed with the vector alone. However, in 3-d-old cells, NtmybA2\textDelta C overexpression could not further increase the already elevated levels of the G2/M phase-specific transcripts (Fig. 1B).

The S phase-specific genes \textit{PCNA} and \textit{CYCA3;1} also showed preferential expression during the logarithmic phase, but their expression during the stationary phase was not affected by NtmybA2\textDelta C overexpression (Fig. 1B), thus suggesting that NtmybA2\textDelta C overexpression specifically affects the expression of G2/M phase-specific genes. Flow cytometric analysis showed that there was no significant difference between DNA
In summary, overexpression of the full-length NtmybA2 had little effect on the transcription of G2/M phase-specific genes. The C-terminal truncation, which eliminated the negative regulation of NtmybA2, elicited its ability to activate their transcription. Such activation could be observed only when the cells were at a stationary phase in which the G2/M phase-specific genes were normally repressed (Fig. 1B).

Microarray Analysis of 35S::NtmybA2ΔC

The observed effects of NtmybA2ΔC led us to hypothesize that many other G2/M phase-specific genes might be regulated by NtmybA2 and that such genes might also be up-regulated by NtmybA2ΔC overexpression. To test this possibility, we analyzed the effects of overexpression of the full-length and truncated versions of NtmybA2 in BY-2 cells using a custom-made 16-K cDNA microarray prepared from tobacco BY-2 cells (Matsuoka et al., 2004; Gális et al., 2006). cDNA probes were prepared by reverse transcription of RNA extracted from cells in the stationary phase (7–8 d after subculture) and were hybridized to the microarrays (Gális et al., 2006). Transformation of the BY-2 cells was repeated three times independently, and the transcript profiles from each transgenic line were analyzed statistically (Supplemental Table S1). The transcript profile of the 35S::NtmybA2 cells was not very different from that of the control cells transformed with an empty vector. There were only eight transcripts whose levels increased or decreased more than 1.5-fold by NtmybA2 overexpression (data not shown). In contrast, NtmybA2ΔC overexpression up- and down-regulated 227 and 85 transcripts more than 1.5-fold, respectively (Supplemental Table S2). The up-regulated group contained NtKN, NACK1, and CYCB1 transcripts, corroborating the results of the RT-PCR analysis (Fig. 1).

Microarray Analysis of the Cell Cycle-Regulated Transcripts

To examine whether the genes up-regulated by NtmybA2ΔC were actually G2/M phase specific, we performed a microarray analysis on synchronized cultures of BY-2 cells, which were induced by the aphidicolin method (Nagata et al., 1992). After release from the aphidicolin block, cells were sampled every 2 h from 0 to 16 h. The synchrony of the cell cycle progression was confirmed by measuring the mitotic index, conducting flow cytometry, and RNA gel blot analysis of the phase-specific transcripts such as CYCB1;3 and PCNA (Supplemental Fig. S1). cDNA probes prepared from these samples were hybridized to the 16-K microarrays. Synchronization of BY-2 cells was repeated twice independently for microarray analysis to identify transcripts that reproducibly showed similar cell cycle-regulated expression (Sup-
A total of 883 putative cell cycle-regulated transcripts were selected from 16,896 duplicated spots on the microarrays (Supplemental Fig. S2). These transcripts were then categorized into 10 classes (A–J) on the basis of transcript expression patterns in synchronous cultures using a GeneSpring-implemented self-organizing map clustering method followed by a hand selection of clusters (Fig. 2; Supplemental Table S4). The transcripts with peak expression during the M phase (6–10 h) were categorized into three classes based on their peak expression amplitudes: classes A (highest), B (intermediate), and C (lowest). Classes D and E represented transcripts that were preferentially expressed at the G2 (2–6 h) and G1 (10–14 h) phases, respectively. The S phase-specific transcripts showed their highest expression at 0 h, decreased during the G2 and M phases, and increased again toward the second S phase (14–16 h). These transcripts were classified into classes H, I, and J, depending on their oscillation patterns. The transcripts of classes F and G showed their highest expression at 2 and 0 h, respectively, but did not show increased expression in the second S or G2 phase in the synchronous cultures. This suggests that the expression of these transcripts was probably unrelated to the cell cycle and may have been induced by some stresses of the cells when they were washed for removal of aphidicolin or transferred into the new medium.

We performed real-time RT-PCR analysis of arbitrarily selected transcripts representing each class in order to confirm the expression patterns determined by microarray experiments (Supplemental Fig. S3). All examined transcripts in this experiment showed expression patterns that were consistent with the results of the microarray analysis. Moreover, we evaluated whether our classification matched with previously identified cell cycle-regulated genes with known expression patterns. Hence, the G2/M phase-specific CYCB1 transcripts were present only in classes A and B, whereas the G2-specific CYCA1 and CDKB1 transcripts belonged to class D. The histone genes, which are markers for the S phase, were present only in the S phase-specific classes (H–J). Class I contained transcripts for CDC6, CYCA3, NtE2F, and nine histone transcripts, whereas transcripts for PCNA, MCM, and 23 histone transcripts were placed in class J. These patterns are generally consistent with those previously reported for cDNA-amplified fragment length polymorphism-based transcript profiles of synchronized BY-2 cells (Breyne et al., 2002). We concluded that our microarray experiments successfully defined and properly classified the cell cycle-regulated transcripts.

**Figure 2.** Classification of cell cycle-regulated transcripts in synchronous cultures of BY-2 cells. Synchronization of BY-2 cells was performed twice independently in experiments A and B. In each experiment, cells were sampled at 2-h intervals after release from an aphidicolin block, and the total RNA extracted from each sample was used for microarray analysis. Among 16,896 ESTs in the microarray, 3,846 ESTs showed more than a 2-fold variation in transcript levels during the culture period in both experiments. These ESTs were further analyzed by self-organizing map clustering using data sets of the two independent experiments as described in “Materials and Methods.” These analyses yielded 883 cell cycle-regulated transcripts that were classified into 10 classes (A–J). The expression pattern of each transcript in experiment A is shown separately for each class. Similar expression profiles in each class were obtained in experiment B (data not shown). In each graph, the time points in the synchronous cultures are indicated in hours on the x axis and the median polishing-normalized relative expression levels are shown on the y axis in logarithmic scale.
Many G2/M Phase-Specific Genes Are Up-Regulated by NtmybA2ΔC

When our classification of the cell cycle-regulated transcripts was applied to the microarray data of 35S::NtmybA2ΔC cells, it was clear that M phase-specific classes of transcripts were predominant in the group of transcripts that were up-regulated by NtmybA2ΔC. In Table I, there are 32 listed transcripts that were 3-fold or greater up-regulated in the 35S::NtmybA2ΔC cells compared with the control cells transformed with the vector alone (Student’s t test, \( P \leq 0.05 \)). Among them, 20 transcripts (63%) belonged to one of the three M phase-specific classes (A, B, or C), whereas these classes accounted for only 1.3% (214 transcripts) of the total clones in the microarray. Subsequently, we calculated the proportion of up-regulated transcripts in each of the 10 classes (A–J). Approximately 60% of the transcripts in class A increased more than 2-fold and nearly 40% increased more than 3-fold by overexpression of NtmybA2ΔC (Fig. 3A). The proportion of up-regulated transcripts in class B was lower than that in class A, but it was still substantially higher than that in the other classes (C–J). The ratio between expression signals in 35S::NtmybA2ΔC and control cells was averaged for all transcripts in each class. As shown

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fold Change</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Gene ID</th>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11.78</td>
<td>1.25E-04</td>
<td>39297</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>2.20E-03</td>
<td>23145</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>1.01E-03</td>
<td>30077</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>95/84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>4.53E-03</td>
<td>29189/3265</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A/C</td>
<td>73/72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>4.01E-03</td>
<td>26092/21549</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.04</td>
<td>4.80E-03</td>
<td>4484</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>113/104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>1.02E-03</td>
<td>27097</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>8.19E-03</td>
<td>39367</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.77</td>
<td>1.98E-03</td>
<td>27273/27065</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>68.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>4.37E-03</td>
<td>1033</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>3.45E-03</td>
<td>28271</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>2.03E-03</td>
<td>5022</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>91.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>9.81E-06</td>
<td>6323</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>7.69E-03</td>
<td>2455</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>1.98E-03</td>
<td>27045</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>68.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>3.69E-02</td>
<td>31040</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>7.80E-04</td>
<td>6751</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>68.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.53</td>
<td>2.38E-04</td>
<td>3201/2259</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>71.2/66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>2.32E-02</td>
<td>1005</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>1.69E-03</td>
<td>1117</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>9.60E-04</td>
<td>4820</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>6.93E-03</td>
<td>6658</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>2.69E-04</td>
<td>24242</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>1.64E-02</td>
<td>30283</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>7.93E-03</td>
<td>10087</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>1.98E-02</td>
<td>2608</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>2.02E-04</td>
<td>30017/24314</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>148/53.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>4.23E-03</td>
<td>22336</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>7.73E-04</td>
<td>21354</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>5.51E-03</td>
<td>11337</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>3.75E-03</td>
<td>6370</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>1.79E-02</td>
<td>6468</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>78.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The cell cycle phase of peak expression for each cell cycle-regulated clone. *Classes representing defined patterns of cell cycle-regulated expression in the synchronized cultures. *Scores for the highest hit in the BLASTX search.
in Figure 3B, this value was much higher in class A (2.85) compared with the other classes, which varied around 1.0. Finally, for all cell cycle-regulated transcripts, the ratio between expression signals in 35S::NtmybA2ΔC and control cells was plotted against their peak expression times, which were estimated from the expression data in the synchronous cultures (Fig. 3C). This analysis showed that the transcripts expressed maximally in the M phase (6–10 h) tended to be more strongly up-regulated by NtmybA2ΔC than those whose expression was maximal in the other phases during the cell cycle.

Taken together, the combination of microarray data from the transgenic lines and those from the synchronized cultures demonstrated that overexpression of NtmybA2ΔC preferentially affects the transcript levels of M phase-specific genes in BY-2 cells. Furthermore, this analysis allowed us to identify novel target genes that are potentially regulated by NtmybA2.

Up-Regulated Genes in 35S::NtmybA2ΔC Contain MSA Motifs

Our microarray analysis identified 20 M phase-specific transcripts that were up-regulated 3-fold or more in 35S::NtmybA2ΔC cells (Table I). We hypothesized that these genes were regulated by NtmybA2 through direct binding to the MSA elements. In fact, this group of genes contained CYCB1;3 and NACK1, which were previously identified as target genes of NtmybA2 (Ito et al., 2001). To test if other genes in this group also have MSA motifs, we cloned upstream regions from eight representative genes (Gene ID/GenBank accession numbers are as follows: 4820/BP132633, 11337/BP526600, 10087/BP137396, 21354/BP530340, 22336/BP530697, 24242/BP531347, 28271/BP531840, and 39297/BP526919) by thermal asymmetric interlaced (TAIL)-PCR and determined the promoter sequences 0.9 to 1.9 kb upstream from the transcriptional start sites. The MSA elements contain a perfectly conserved characteristic core pentamer, AACGG, which is surrounded by less conserved nucleotide sequences (Ito, 2000). First, we searched for AACGG sequences in the forward and complementary strands in the eight promoter sequences and found 25 potential MSA core sites. By analyzing the sequences adjacent to the core motif, 18 of the 25 sequences matched the consensus MSA motif (TCYAACGGYYA) with identity scores of more than 70%. Figure 4 shows the positions and orientations of such putative MSA motifs in the promoter regions of the eight selected genes. All genes analyzed in this study contained one to four putative MSA motifs, most of which (17 of 18) were located proximal to the transcriptional start sites (−214 to −41). The presence

**Figure 3.** M phase-specific classes of transcripts are preferentially and strongly up-regulated by NtmybA2ΔC overexpression. A, Frequency of the transcripts up-regulated by NtmybA2ΔC in each class. For each transcript, the ratio of the expression signal in 35S::NtmybA2ΔC cells to that in control cells is averaged over three independent experiments. Frequencies of transcripts with this average expression ratio being more than 2 (gray bars) or 3 (black bars) are shown for each class (A–J). The frequency of such transcripts is also calculated in the total transcripts of the microarray (total). B, Magnitude of up-regulation by NtmybA2ΔC overexpression in each class. The expression ratio between the 35S::NtmybA2ΔC and control cells for each transcript is averaged for each class (A–J). The averaged expression ratio is also calculated in the total transcripts of the microarray (total). C, Scatterplot showing correlation between the time of peak expression and the magnitude of up-regulation by 35S::NtmybA2ΔC. For each cell cycle-regulated transcript, the expression ratio between 35S::NtmybA2ΔC and control cells is plotted against the time of peak expression estimated from the expression data in synchronous cultures as described in “Materials and Methods” (black dots). The mitotic index for each time point is also plotted (gray triangles) for comparison.
of MSA-like motifs in these NtmybA2ΔC-responsive genes further supports our categorization of potential target genes of NtmybA2.

The MSA Element in NtE2C Is Functional in Vivo

The gene corresponding to 21354/BP530340 was further analyzed to examine the in vivo function of its MSA motif. This transcript, designated NtE2C, encodes for the cyclin-specific ubiquitin carrier protein, also known as E2-C, which is involved in cyclin B degradation in animal systems (Zachariae and Nasmyth, 1999). In Arabidopsis, functional homologues are expressed in tissues with active cell division (Criqui et al., 2002). The G2/M phase-specific expression of NtE2C was confirmed by RNA gel blot analysis in the synchronized BY-2 cells (Supplemental Fig. S4). To analyze the cell cycle-regulated activity of the NtE2C promoter, the 1.0-kb promoter region was fused to the luciferase (LUC) reporter gene, and this construct (NtE2C::LUC) was introduced into BY-2 cells. The resulting transgenic BY-2 cells were synchronized by the aphidicolin method. The peak mitotic index was observed 7 h after release from the aphidicolin block (Fig. 5A); the LUC activity of the NtE2C::LUC cells rapidly increased from 6 to 9 h and then remained relatively constant (Fig. 5B). Similar changes in LUC activity were observed in our previous experiments, in which the LUC gene was fused to the CYCB1;3 and NACK1 promoters (Ito et al., 1998, 2001). Semiquantitative RT-PCR analysis of NtE2C::LUC showed that LUC mRNA levels changed dramatically during the cell cycle, with maximal levels at 7 to 8 h (Fig. 5C). Therefore, we concluded that the 1.0-kb NtE2C promoter, which contains a single MSA motif, is sufficient for cell cycle-regulated transcription in BY-2 cells.

To evaluate the significance of the MSA element, we introduced a point mutation in the core sequence of the MSA to create NtE2CΔMSA and fused it to the LUC gene. When this construct (NtE2CΔMSA::LUC)
was introduced into the BY-2 cells, LUC activity was about 20 times lower than that of the wild-type promoter, but surprisingly, the NtE2CΔMSA::LUC cells still showed G2/M phase-specific increase in LUC activity in the synchronized cultures (Fig. 5B, inset). Similar results were obtained by semiquantitative RT-PCR analysis (Fig. 5C). Mutation of the MSA motif dramatically reduced LUC mRNA levels, although these levels still oscillated in the cell cycle, peaking at the M phase. This indicates that most of the transcriptional activity from the NtE2C promoter depended on the single MSA element; however, it could also contain an additional cis-element that acts as a weak G2/M phase-specific enhancer.

Subsequently, we analyzed the in vivo occupancy of the MSA element in the NtE2C promoter. In vivo footprinting experiments were designed to allow the analysis of both strands in the region between −296 and +22, which contains the MSA motif (Fig. 6C). As shown in Figure 6, B and C, the G residues in the MSA core sequence (AACGG) are strongly protected on both forward and complementary strands. No other site of clear protection was observed in the promoter region tested. The G residues in the MSA motif were constantly protected throughout the cell cycle in the synchronized cultures of BY-2 cells (Fig. 6, A and B). This suggests that some nuclear factors, most likely the c-Myb-like factors (NtmybA1, NtmybA2, and/or NtmybB), specifically bind to the MSA element in vivo, and such binding constantly occurs throughout the cell cycle.

**Possible Autoregulation of NtmybA1 and NtmybA2 Genes**

NtmybA1 and NtmyA2 are expressed in a cell cycle-regulated manner that slightly precedes the expression of CYCB1;3 (Ito et al., 2001). To examine if these Myb genes also have MSA elements in the promoter regions, we isolated their genomic fragments by TAIL-PCR and sequenced the 2.5-kb (NtmybA1) and 2.1-kb (NtmybA2) upstream regions. The upstream region of NtmybA1 contained two putative MSA motifs that contained the AACGG core motif and fitted the 11-bp consensus MSA sequence with an identity score of more than 70%, whereas that of NtmybA2 contained

**Figure 6.** In vivo footprinting analysis of the NtE2C promoter during the cell cycle. A, Change in the mitotic index during the synchronized cultures of BY-2 cells. The cells were synchronized by aphidicolin treatment. After release from the aphidicolin block, the cells were sampled at 1-h intervals during the culture period to measure the mitotic index. B, Cells at the indicated times after aphidicolin removal were treated in vivo with DMS, and ligation-mediated PCR amplification was performed as described in “Materials and Methods.” As a control, ligation-mediated PCR was performed on in vitro DMS-treated genomic DNA (lane m). Signals representing G residues are shown by black bars, and the protected G residues are indicated by arrowheads. Asterisks indicate the results of the independent experiment. C, Protected residues in in vivo footprinting. The nucleotide sequences of the NtE2C promoter are shown for both strands. The MSA-like motif is boxed, and the protected G residues are shown with a black background. Positions of the end-labeled primers used for the ligation-mediated PCR are shown by arrows (primers B3 and C3).
only one such motif (Fig. 7A). These motifs were located close to the transcriptional start sites, similar to their positions in other G2/M phase-specific genes (−74 and −127 in NtmybA1 and −148 in NtmybA2). RT-PCR analysis further showed that NtmybA2ΔC overexpression significantly increased NtmybA1 and NtmybA2 mRNA levels in cells during the stationary phase (Fig. 7B); however, there was no such change in the NtmybB mRNA levels. This suggests that NtmybA2, and possibly NtmybA1, activated its own transcription by binding to the MSA elements.

DISCUSSION

Coregulation of G2/M Phase-Specific Genes by NtmybA2

The MSA element has been identified as a cis-regulatory element that is necessary and sufficient for the G2/M phase-specific transcription of the CYCB1 gene in BY-2 cells (Ito et al., 1998). These elements are commonly present in the G2/M phase-specific genes of different plant species, including alfalfa (Medicago sativa), bell pepper (Capsicum annuum), tobacco, soybean (Glycine max), periwinkle (Vinca minor), and Arabidopsis (Ito, 2000; Schantz et al., 2005; Zhiponova et al., 2006). In tobacco, the R1R2R3-Myb transcription factor, NtmybA2, binds to the MSA motif in vitro, and its overexpression activates MSA-containing promoters in transient expression assays in BY-2 protoplasts (Ito et al., 2001). These findings suggested that many G2/M phase-specific genes might be regulated by a common mechanism that involves interaction between the MSA elements and NtmybA2 in tobacco. The present study provided direct evidence supporting this hypothesis. First, microarray analysis showed that the M-specific classes of transcripts were much more frequently and strongly up-regulated by NtmybA2ΔC overexpression than those expressed at other phases of the cell cycle. Second, promoter sequences of the strongly up-regulated genes contained motifs that are highly homologous to the MSA consensus sequence. Third, the single MSA-like motif present in one of the up-regulated genes, NtE2C, was functional in vivo. Mutation of this motif resulted in a dramatic reduction of NtE2C promoter activity in BY-2 cells. Taken together, our results provide strong evidence that NtmybA2, and possibly NtmybA1, directly and positively regulates the transcription of many G2/M phase-specific genes in tobacco.

Differential Effects of NtmybA2ΔC on G2/M Phase-Specific Genes

We found that the effect of NtmybA2ΔC overexpression varied significantly depending on the individual genes of M-specific classes that were defined by our microarray analysis. One possibility is that not all G2/M phase-specific genes may be directly targeted by NtmybA2. We noticed a strong tendency for the genes up-regulated by NtmybA2ΔC to show sharp expression peaks at the M phase in synchronous cultures. Among the transcripts of the M phase-specific classes, those in class A, which showed their expression peaks with the greatest sharpness, were most frequently and strongly up-regulated by NtmybA2ΔC overexpression (Figs. 2 and 3). We speculate that most of the class B and C transcripts may not be directly regulated by NtmybA2. Our data also showed that overexpression of the truncated hyperactive form of NtmybA2 did not equally affect the target genes. There was substantial variation in the effects of NtmybA2ΔC on the expression levels of individual class A genes that were shown to have MSA-like motifs. The ratio of transcript levels in the 35S::NtmybA2ΔC and control cells varied greatly, ranging from 3.10 (Gene ID 11337) to 11.8 (Gene ID 39297). We could not find a direct correlation between the number of MSA motifs in the promoter regions and the effect of NtmybA2ΔC overexpression. Thus, gene 22336, which has four MSA-like motifs, was less affected by NtmybA2ΔC compared with gene 10087, which has a single MSA motif. These findings are consistent with our previous study in Arabidopsis plants with simultaneous mutations in MYB3R1 and MYB3R4 genes, which are highly
homologous to NtmybA2. In this double mutant, several G2/M phase-specific genes were down-regulated, but the reduction in transcript levels varied significantly depending on the individual genes, irrespective of the number of MSA-like motifs. For example, the KN and CYCB1;1 genes both contained three MSA-like motifs; however, the former was down-regulated by 3.3-fold in the double mutant, whereas the latter showed no such down-regulation at all (Haga et al., 2007). Nevertheless, it has been reported that the MSA elements are essential for CYCB1;1 expression in Arabidopsis (Li et al., 2005). Our hypothesis is that Arabidopsis and tobacco may express some other MSA-binding activators and that the contribution of NtmybA2 and its homologues may vary depending on the individual target genes. Another possibility is that some other cis-acting elements might act positively on the transcription of some G2/M phase-specific genes, which could also explain the differential effects of NtmybA2C overexpression. Indeed, the presence of additional cis-elements was suggested by our analysis of NtE2C promoters, which showed residual transcriptional activity at the G2/M phase in the absence of the MSA element (Fig. 5). In the light of this result, it is noteworthy that the NtE2C promoter contains the GCCCCR motif, which could enhance the transcriptional activity of the CYCB1;1 gene (Li et al., 2005).

**Stable Transformants Versus Transient Assays**

We found clear differences in the effects of NtmybA2 overexpression in the two different experimental systems: stable overexpression in transformed BY-2 cells (this study) and transient overexpression in BY-2 protoplasts transfected with a plasmid containing 35S::NtmybA2 (Ito et al., 2001). In the earlier experiments, overexpression of the full-length NtmybA2 strongly activated LUC reporter gene expression from plasmids containing CYCB1;3::LUC or NACK1::LUC. The transcription of the LUC gene was further enhanced by C-terminal truncation of NtmybA2 (Araki et al., 2004). Conversely, this study showed that CYCB1;3 and NACK1 genes were up-regulated only in 35S::NtmybA2AC stably transformed cells but not in 35S::NtmybA2 cells. This discrepancy may be explained by the difference in the state of the cells (intact cells versus protoplasts) or levels of expression of NtmybA2 between the two experimental systems. Another possible explanation is that increased NtmybA2 expression may activate target promoters when they are present in naked DNA molecules but not when they are present in a native chromatin configuration. Our speculation is that there might be some other rate-limiting steps in addition to the increased expression of NtmybA2 for the activation of target promoters in the native chromatin structure. Indeed, it has been reported that chromatin modification mediated by HISTONE MONOUBIQUITINATION1 positively regulates the expression of many G2/M phase-specific genes in Arabidopsis (Fleury et al., 2007). Our results suggest that the C-terminal region of NtmybA2 inhibits its own activity for transcriptional activation of the endogenous genes and that relieving this inhibitory effect might enable up-regulation of target genes in native chromatin structure. The CDK/CYCBI-mediated phosphorylation of NtmybA2 is one possible mechanism for relieving this inhibitory effect (Araki et al., 2004).

**Positive Feedback Regulation of G2/M Phase-Specific Transcription**

We showed that NtmybA1 and NtmybA2 contain MSA motifs in their promoter regions. These genes were up-regulated by NtmybA2AC overexpression in BY-2 cells during the stationary phase, as was observed for other G2/M phase-specific genes such as NtkN and CYCB1;3. Our data suggest that the NtmybA2 gene itself may be one of the downstream targets of NtmybA2. Therefore, we can postulate the existence of an autoregulatory loop in which NtmybA2 activates its own transcription. In general, the autoregulatory control provides a very sensitive means to control the accumulation of rate-limiting products. Similar autoregulation is also known for the E2F transcription factor in animal cells, which acts as a rate-limiting factor during the G1-to-S transition (Johnson et al., 1994). In this autoregulation, transcription of the E2F1 gene is activated by E2F activity, which comprises its own products. Moreover, we previously suggested another mode of positive feedback control for the activation of NtmybA2 activity, in which CYCB1 transcription is activated by NtmybA2, which in turn is activated by a CDK in a complex with CYCB1 (Araki et al., 2004). These positive feedback controls would allow an initial modest accumulation of NtmybA2 activity to rapidly amplify NtmybA2 expression, thus resulting in a burst of NtmybA2 activity. Such mechanisms might help to explain the observed sharp increase in the levels of the G2/M phase-specific transcripts during the cell cycle, which might further explain the rapid and irreversible entry into mitosis. The existence of multiple pathways of positive feedback regulation might provide robustness to the mechanisms that ensure the transcription of a specific set of genes at the correct time during the cell cycle.

In summary, we propose that NtmybA2 positively coregulates many G2/M phase-specific genes by binding to the MSA elements in tobacco and that its activity might be controlled by multiple mechanisms of positive feedback regulation. Such mechanisms might provide a sensitive switch that allows a rapid increase in the set of transcripts at the G2-to-M transition.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Construction of Plasmids**

For cloning of the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter into a binary vector, the KpnI/EcoRV fragment of pJJ60 (Guerineau and Mullineaux, 1993)
was blunt ended by T4 DNA polymerase and cloned into the EcoRI/HindIII interval of pZP221 (Hajdukiewicz et al., 1994). The resulting plasmid, pZP221-35S, was used to construct 35S::NtmybA2 and 35S::NtmybA2ΔC. The PGAD10 plasmid containing the full-length NtmybA2 cDNA was isolated previously (Ito et al., 2001); this plasmid was cut by Sall, and the excised cDNA fragment was cloned into the Sall site of pZP221-35S to create 211-Sall-NtmybA2ΔC. Similarly the 211-Sall-NtmybA2ΔC construct was created by inserting the Sall fragment of pZP-NtmybA2Δ3C (Araki et al., 2004) into the Sall site of pZP221-35S.

The promoter region of NIE2C was amplified from BY-2 genomic DNA by PCR using the sense primer 5'-AATGGCAAGGTGCGGAGCACAAG-3' containing a Sall site and the antisense primer 5'-AATGGC-GACTGTTAGAACAGGAAAAGGAGCGT3' containing the Sall site. The amplified fragment was cut with Sall and cloned into the Sall/Sall site of pFST711-LUC (Kono et al., 2003) to create 211-Sall-LUC. The NIE2C promoter was mutagenized at the MSA motif with the QuikChange multi site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) according to the manufacturer's instructions using the mutagenic primer 5'-GGTTTAAACTGACCAATAA-GAATAAAAACACCT-3'. The mutated NIE2C promoter, in which CGGIT in the MSA motif was changed to CCAAAT, was cloned into the Sall/Sall site of pZP221-LUC to create 211-NIE2CΔMSA-LUC.

Cells, Transformation, and Synchronization

Maintenance, synchronization, and Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation of tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) BY-2 cells were performed as described previously (Ito et al., 1998). DNA synthesis and mitotic index determination were described previously (Genschik et al., 1998).

Expression Analysis

Extraction of total RNA, cDNA synthesis, and real-time RT-PCR analysis were performed as described previously (Haga et al., 2007). For semiquantitative PCR analysis, Extaq polymerase (TaKaRa) was used. Amplification was performed with cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 56°C for 1 min, and 72°C for 2 min. The PCR products were electrophoresed on 1.0% agarose gels in the presence of ethidium bromide (0.5 mg L−1). RNA gel blot analysis was performed as described previously (Ito et al., 1997). The primer sequences used for real-time RT-PCR and semiquantitative PCR analyses are available upon request.

Microarray Analysis

Tobacco microarrays were prepared by spotting PCR-amplified cDNA fragments from BY-2 cells onto microarray slides (Amersham Biosciences) as described previously (Galis et al., 2006). Sequences of cDNA clones that provided quality sequence during single-run sequencing can be retrieved from http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez after the addition of prefix “BY” to designated clone numbers (e.g. BY820).

Probe Labeling and Microarray Hybridization

One microgram of mRNA was labeled using SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen), random 9-mer primers, and Cy-3/Cy-5-labeled dCTP nucleotides (Amersham Biosciences). Probe labeling and hybridization were performed with cycles of 93°C for 30 s, 56°C for 30 min, lyophilized thrice, and 5°C for 30 min, before hybridization. The primers used were 5'-CGACCTTAAACCTGCGCAATG3' and 5'-GAATTCAGATC-3'. The primers used were 5'-CGACCTTAAACCTGCGCAATG3' and 5'-GAATTCAGATC-3'. The primers used were 5'-CGACCTTAAACCTGCGCAATG3' and 5'-GAATTCAGATC-3'. The primers used were 5'-CGACCTTAAACCTGCGCAATG3' and 5'-GAATTCAGATC-3'. The primers used were 5'-CGACCTTAAACCTGCGCAATG3' and 5'-GAATTCAGATC-3'. The primers used were 5'-CGACCTTAAACCTGCGCAATG3' and 5'-GAATTCAGATC-3'. The primers used were 5'-CGACCTTAAACCTGCGCAATG3' and 5'-GAATTCAGATC-3'. The primers used were 5'-CGACCTTAAACCTGCGCAATG3' and 5'-GAATTCAGATC-3'.

Normalization of cDNA signal intensity was performed in ExpressHyb hybridization solution (Clontech) at 60°C for 4 h as described previously (Galis et al., 2006). The slides were washed in SSC/SDS buffer solutions at 55°C (final wash in 0.1x SSC and 0.1% [w/v] SDS), and the Cy-5 (sample signal) and Cy-3 (normalization signal) values were obtained by scanning with a GenePix 400A microarray scanner (Molecular Dynamics). The scanned images were analyzed with the MicroArray Suite program (Scanalytics, BD Biosciences).

Flow Cytometric Analysis

Samples for flow cytometric analysis were prepared using the Cystain UV Prec P reagent kit (Partec). About 0.1 g fresh weight of BY-2 cells was collected on filter paper, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C. The samples were then thawed using a razor blade in 0.5 mL of nuclear extraction buffer. After filtration through a 30-μm-mesh nylon sieve, 2 mL of staining solution containing 4',6'-diamidino-2-phenylindole was added. The samples were then analyzed with a PAS flow cytometer (Partec).

Other Nucleic Acid Procedures

Nucleotide sequences were determined using an ABI 3130xl genetic analyzer and the BigDye terminator cycle sequencing kit version 3.1 (Applied Biosystem).
Biosystems). The TAIL-PCR procedure was carried out as described previously (Liu et al., 1995). Sequences of arbitrary degenerate primers and gene-specific primers for NtE2C, NtmybA1, and NtmybA2 are available upon request. Transcription start sites were determined by sequencing PCR products of 5′ RACE, which was performed using the FirstChoice RLM-RACE kit (Ambion) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Sequence data for the promoter regions in Figure 4 can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data libraries under accession numbers AB472848 to AB472855. Microarray data from this article have been deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus data repository (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) under accession numbers GSE14250 (synchronization experiment) and GSE14251 (overexpression experiment).
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The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

**Supplemental Figure S1.** Cell cycle progression in synchronized cultures of BY-2 cells.

**Supplemental Figure S2.** Flow chart showing the processing of microarray data for cell cycle-regulated transcripts.

**Supplemental Figure S3.** Real-time RT-PCR analysis of the representative transcripts in each of the cell cycle-regulated classes.

**Supplemental Figure S4.** Expression pattern of NtE2C in synchronized BY-2 cells.

**Supplemental Table S1.** Normalized expression data for transgenic BY-2 cells carrying 3SS::NtmybA2, 3SS::NtmybA2ΔC, and the vector alone.

**Supplemental Table S2.** Summary of genes up- or down-regulated in 3SS::NtmybA2ΔC cells.

**Supplemental Table S3.** Normalized expression data during the cell cycle in synchronized cultures of BY-2 cells.

**Supplemental Table S4.** Summary of cell cycle-regulated genes in BY-2 cells.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Tomoko Narisawa and Mami Sasaki for helping in the microarray analysis, Miki Yoshioka, Kanako Komatsu, Yuka Sako, and Hiro Iguchi for technical assistance, and Yasunori Machida for helpful discussions.

Received January 12, 2009; accepted February 18, 2009; published February 25, 2009.

**LITERATURE CITED**


