Lignin Biosynthesis and Structure

Lignin is the generic term for a large group of aromatic polymers resulting from the oxidative combinational coupling of 4-hydroxycinnamoylpropanoids (Boerjan et al., 2003; Ralph et al., 2004). These polymers are deposited predominantly in the walls of secondarily thickened cells, making them rigid and impervious. In addition to developmentally programmed deposition of lignin, its biosynthesis can also be induced upon various biotic and abiotic stress conditions, such as wounding, pathogen infection, metabolic stress, and perturbations in cell wall structure (Caño-Delgado et al., 2003; Tronchet et al., 2010). Because lignin protects cell wall polysaccharides from microbial degradation, thus imparting decay resistance, it is also one of the most important limiting factors in the conversion of plant biomass to pulp or biofuels. The removal of lignin from plant biomass is a costly process; hence, research efforts are now aimed at designing plants that either deposit less lignin or produce lignins that are more amenable to chemical degradation (Sticklen, 2008; Weng et al., 2008a; Mansfield, 2009).

The main building blocks of lignin are the hydroxycinnamyl alcohols (or monolignols) coniferyl alcohol and sinapyl alcohol, with typically minor amounts of p-coumaryl alcohol (Fig. 1). The monolignols are synthesized from Phe through the general phenylpropanoid and monolignol-specific pathways. Phe is derived from the shikimate biosynthetic pathway in the plastid (Rippert et al., 2009). Certain enzymes of the lignin biosynthetic pathway, namely the cytochrome P450 enzymes CINNAMATE 4-HYDROXYLASE (C4H), p-COUMARATE 3-HYDROXYLASE (C3H), and FERULATE 5-HYDROXYLASE (F5H), are membrane proteins thought to be active at the cytosolic side of the endoplasmic reticulum (Chapple, 1998; Ro et al., 2001). Although metabolic channeling has been shown between PHENYLALANINE AMMONIA-LYASE (PAL) and C4H (Rasmussen and Dixon, 1999; Achnine et al., 2004), it remains unknown whether the other pathway enzymes are also part of metabolic complexes at the endoplasmic reticulum.

The units resulting from the monolignols, when incorporated into the lignin polymer, are called guaiacyl (G), syringyl (S), and p-hydroxyphenyl (H) units (Figs. 1 and 2). With some notable exceptions (Novo Úzal et al., 2009), lignins from gymnosperms are composed of G-units only (with minor amounts of H-units), whereas angiosperm dicot lignins are composed of G- and S-units. H-units are elevated in softwood compression wood and may be slightly higher in grasses (Boerjan et al., 2003). A variety of less abundant units have been identified from diverse species, and these may be incorporated into the polymer at varying levels (Ralph et al., 2004). Some units, such as those derived from the monomer sinapyl acetate, can make up to 85% of all S-units in the polymer (Lu and Ralph, 2008; Martínez et al., 2008). In addition to differences in lignin composition among taxa and species, lignin composition can also differ among cell types, as readily visualized by the histochemical Mäule staining, which is indicative of S-units, or when chemically analyzed by laser capture microdissection followed by microanalysis of lignin (Nakashima et al., 2008; Ruel et al., 2009). Lignins can even be dissimilar at the level of individual cell wall layers, as revealed by Raman, IR, and UV microscopy, or by immunolabeling of the secondary wall with antibodies cross-reacting with specific lignin substructures (Shi et al., 2006; Gierlinger and Schwanninger, 2007; Gou et al., 2008; Ruel et al., 2009).

**EVOLUTIONARY ASPECTS**

It is commonly accepted that lignin evolved together with the adaptation of plants to a terrestrial life to...
physcomitrella first appeared in moss (based on the complete biosynthetic pathway, except for F5H, studies based on available plant genomes indicate that an erect growth habit (Fig. 3). Comparative genomics provide them with the structural support needed for vascular plants that provides biomechanical support (Martone et al., 2009). In the lycophyte species Selaginella, convergent evolution has been demonstrated with the identification of a new F5H that is capable of functioning in S-lignin biosynthesis but that is structurally unrelated to F5H characterized in angiosperms (Weng et al., 2008b, 2010). It is also noteworthy that cell cultures, but not woody cell walls of Ginkgo biloba, are able to synthesize S lignin; the S-lignin pathway in gymnosperms is generally thought to be absent (Novo Úzal et al., 2009). Clearly, the complex evolutionary puzzle regarding S lignin has yet to be resolved.

**PATHWAY PERTURBATIONS**

Genes have been cloned for each of the steps of the lignin biosynthetic pathway and the impact on lignin amount and composition has been studied through mutants or reverse genetics in various species, mostly Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana), maize (Zea mays), alfalfa (Medicago sativa), poplar (Populus spp.), and tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) but more recently also in flax (Linum usitatissimum; CCoAOMT; Day et al., 2009), the gymnosperms Pinus radiata (HCT and 4CL; Wagner et al., 2007, 2009) and Picea abies (CCR; Wadenbäck et al., 2008), and in rice (Oryza sativa; CAD; Zhang et al., 2006; Li et al., 2009). (For full gene/protein names not provided in the text, see legend of Fig. 1.) From these studies, it can be concluded that reducing the expression of each gene, except F5H, lowers lignin amount in the cell wall to varying extents, depending on the gene, the species, and the level of gene redundancy (Li et al., 2008; Nakashima et al., 2008; Vanholme et al., 2008). Secondary walls with decreased lignin amounts are often characterized by collapsed vessels. This "irregular xylem" phenotype, indicative of reduced mechanical cell wall strength, is not unique to reductions in lignin, as it is also noted in mutants with defects in the biosynthesis of other cell wall polymers (Brown et al., 2005). Strongly reduced lignin amounts result in altered plant development, but more importantly, modest reductions can lead to normal development, as nicely demonstrated by the allelic series of cdh (ref3) mutants in Arabidopsis; the strongest allele causes small and sterile plants, and the weaker alleles result in relatively normal plants with still less lignin (Ruegger and Chapple, 2001; Schilmiller et al., 2009). This implies that, for applications in crops, fine-tuning of the lignin level or targeting the transgene expression to only specific cell types will be an important endeavor.

In addition to lignin content, lignin H/G/S composition also appears to be rather flexible. Silencing of HCT or C3H leads to lignin with H-unit levels as high as 100% of the total thioacidolysis lignin monomers (Franke et al., 2002; Abdulrazzak et al., 2006; Ralph et al., 2006; Besseau et al., 2007; Coleman et al., 2008). Down-regulation of F5H or COMT strongly reduces S-unit content; in contrast, up-regulation of F5H increases the S-unit content (Franke et al., 2000;
Furthermore, down-regulation of CAD increases the incorporation of cinnamaldehydes into the polymer (Baucher et al., 1998; Lapierre et al., 1999, 2004; Kim et al., 2003). The most remarkable shifts in lignin composition are seen when COMT is down-regulated; in these lignins, 5-hydroxyconiferyl alcohol, derived from the COMT substrate, is incorporated, although it is below the detection limit in wild-type lignin (Van Doorsselaere et al., 1995; Lapierre et al., 1999; Fig. 5). It is interesting that shifts in G and S levels, as well as shifts toward more aldehydes, generally have only minor effects on plant development. Obviously, because the relative ratio of the different monomers determines the frequency of the different bonds in the polymer, all these compositional shifts have repercussions on the structure of the polymer and may thus alter the cell wall properties.

The effects of lignin pathway perturbations often go beyond alterations in lignin amount, composition, and cell wall structure. Indeed, several studies have now demonstrated that perturbing individual steps of the lignin biosynthetic pathway affects the expression level of other lignin pathway genes and also the expression of genes involved in a multitude of other, seemingly unrelated biological processes. These wider effects are also reflected at the metabolite level (Rohde et al., 2004; Sibout et al., 2005; Shi et al., 2006; Dauwe et al., 2007; Leple et al., 2007). Uncovering their molecular basis might help mitigate the adverse effects on plant growth and development that often accompany lignin modifications.

THE REGULATORY CASCADE

In the past few years, significant progress has been made in understanding the regulation of lignification. New data indicate a regulatory cascade of upstream transcription factors that control the formation of secondary walls by activating a range of other transcription factors. Some of these downstream transcription factors are then able to induce the expression of genes of the lignin biosynthetic pathway (Zhong and Ye, 2007). The regulatory cascade explains why several of the currently described transcription factors lead to enhanced or reduced lignification when misexpressed in plants while they do not directly regulate the lignin biosynthetic genes by binding to their promoters (Zhong et al., 2006, 2008). Therefore, yeast one-hybrid assays, protoplast transient expression assays, and electrophoretic mobility shift assays have been essential to prove the direct binding of a given transcription factor to the promoters of lignin genes (Table I).

Microarray experiments on Arabidopsis cell suspension cultures that are induced to form tracheary elements have identified a set of transcription factors involved in this cell differentiation process (Kubo et al., 2005). Whereas VASCULAR-RELATED NAC-DOMAIN6 (VND6) and VND7 were shown to be key upstream regulators of the protoxylem and metaxylem formation, respectively (Kubo et al., 2005; Yamaguchi et al., 2008), SECONDARY WALL-ASSOCIATED NAC DOMAIN PROTEIN1 (SND1) was described as an upstream regulator of interfascicular fiber development, and overexpression of SND1 led to ectopic formation of secondary cell walls (Zhong et al., 2006). Moreover, SND1 was able to regulate at least 10 other transcription factors (Zhong et al., 2007, 2008; Zhou et al., 2009), some of which activated the phenylpropanoid pathway (e.g. Myb46, Myb63, and Myb58, Table I).

Engineering the expression of transcription factors has the potential to alter lignification with fewer adverse effects on plant development for two reasons. First, these transcription factors bind the promoters of...
TRANSPORT AND POLYMERIZATION

After their biosynthesis, the monolignols are translocated to the cell wall by a mechanism that remains a mystery. In one model, the monolignols are translocated over the plasma membrane through their 4-O-glucosylated forms, coniferin and syringin, that are deglucosylated upon their arrival by glucosidases located in the cell wall. However, Arabidopsis mutants defective in the corresponding glucosyltransferases have normal lignin levels (Lanot et al., 2006; A. Chapelle, C. Lapierre, and L. Jouanin, unpublished data; A. Lanot, R. Dixon, and D. Bowles, personal communication). In another model, monolignols are transported to the plasma membrane by Golgi-derived vesicles. However, Kaneda et al. (2008), using [1H]Phe feeding experiments, did not find evidence for Golgi-derived vesicles in monolignol transport, nor did they find any significant label in the monolignol glucosides. Thus, at present, there is no convincing support for a role for monolignol glucosides or Golgi-derived vesicles in the transport of monolignols to the cell wall. Current working models assume that monolignols are translocated across the plasma membrane by transporters, but tests on several prime candidate transporters by reverse genetics have not yet revealed any effect on monolignol transport (Ehlting et al., 2005; L. Samuels, personal communication). Nevertheless, additional studies are needed. Alternatively, coniferyl and sinapyl alcohols might have the capability of unaided diffusion through the plasma membrane (Boija and Johansson, 2006).

Lignin polymerization occurs via oxidative radicalization of phenols, followed by combinatorial radical coupling. In the first step, the monolignol phenol is oxidized (i.e. dehydrogenated). The resulting phenolic radical is relatively stable due to delocalization of the unpaired electron in the conjugated system (Fig. 4). Subsequently, two monomer radicals may couple to form a (dehydro)dimer, thereby establishing a covalent bond between both subunits. Monolignol radicals favor coupling at their β positions, resulting essentially in only the β-β, β-0-4, and β-5 dimers (Fig. 4). This radical-radical coupling occurs in a chemical-combinatorial fashion; thus, the ratio of each of the possible coupling products depends largely on the chemical nature of each of the monomers and the conditions in the cell wall (Ralph et al., 2004). Then, the dimer needs to be dehydrogenated, again to a phenolic radical, before it can couple with another monomer radical. This mode of action, in which a monomer (radical) adds to the growing polymer, is termed endwise coupling; the polymer grows one unit at a time. Coupling of two lignin oligomers is rare in S/G-lignins but relatively common in G-lignins, where 5-5 coupling accounts for approximately 4% of the linkages (Argyropoulos et al., 2002; Wagner et al., 2009). During each coupling reaction, two radicals are “consumed” (in a so-called “termination reaction”) as each single electron contributes to the newly formed bond, making this type of radical polymerization intrinsically different from the radical chain reactions that occur in the polymerization of several industrial polymers, such as polyethylene, polypropylene, and polystyrene. The average length of a linear lignin chain in poplar is estimated to be between 13 and 20 units (Reale et al., 2004; Stewart et al., 2009).

Monolignol dehydrogenation involves peroxidases and/or laccases. Whereas peroxidases use hydrogen peroxide as a substrate, laccases use oxygen to oxidize their metal centers to enable catalytical phenol oxidation. Both types of enzymes belong to large gene families of which the individual members have overlapping activities, making the process difficult to study in planta; a knockout may have little to no effect on lignification due to gene redundancy (McCaig et al., 2005; Sato and Whetten, 2006). Peroxidases may differ in their substrate specificities; whereas some almost exclusively accept coniferyl alcohol, others are highly specific toward sinapyl alcohol (Marjamaa et al., 2006; Gómez Ros et al., 2007). Because the structure of lignin depends on the availability of monolignol radicals, peroxidase specificity may determine in part the structure of the final lignin polymers, opening possibilities
for altering lignin structure by modified expression of specific peroxidase isoforms. Although monolignols might be dehydrogenated via direct interaction with an electron-removing (oxidizing) enzyme, the radicals might alternatively be generated by radical transfer. Indeed, direct interaction of the growing lignin polymer with enzymes may be unlikely given the limited freedom of movement of both in the cell wall. The \( p \)-coumarate moiety in sinapyl \( p \)-coumarate has been proposed as a radical shuttle for lignification in grasses; \( p \)-coumarate, unlike sinapyl alcohol, is an excellent substrate for peroxidases found in grasses, but the \( p \)-coumarate radical efficiently transfers its unpaired electron to sinapyl alcohol and, presumably, also to lignin polymers (Hatfield et al., 2008).

In conclusion, the current model of lignification involves peroxidases and/or laccases to provide the oxidative capacity in the cell wall. All phenolic compounds that enter this region will eventually have the potential to radicalize and incorporate into the lignin polymer, subject to simple chemical oxidation and (cross-)coupling propensity. This lignification model also nicely explains why many other phenolic molecules can be integrated into the growing lignin polymer and opens up the possibility of tailoring lignins for industrial applications by regulating the influx and types of monolignols into the cell wall (Ralph, 2006; Grabber et al., 2008).

### STRUCTURE

Various methods exist to reveal the molecular details of lignin structure. As lignin is a complex and heterogeneous mixture of polymers, the methods generally aim at estimating the average frequency of the main units and the main bond types in the polymer, from a conglomerate simply of the whole plant or in crudely fractionated major tissues. Nitrobenzene oxidation, pyrolysis-gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS), and thioacidolysis and derivatization followed by reductive cleavage are degradative methods that reveal the H/G/S composition of the lignin polymer. All these methods liberate only a fraction of the polymer for analysis. Thioacidolysis and derivatization followed by reductive cleavage liberate monomers only from the so-called noncondensed fraction of the poly-

---

**Table 1. Overview of transcription factors with a role in the regulation of the phenylpropanoid pathway**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transcription Factor</th>
<th>PAL</th>
<th>C4H</th>
<th>4CL</th>
<th>HCT</th>
<th>C3H</th>
<th>CCoAOMT</th>
<th>CCR</th>
<th>F5H</th>
<th>COMT</th>
<th>CAD</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis AtMYB4 1</td>
<td>↓</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>qPCR, TEA</td>
<td>Jin et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis AtMYB46 1</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>qPCR, TEA</td>
<td>Zhong et al. (2007); Ko et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis AtMYB58 1</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>qPCR, TEA, EMSA</td>
<td>Zhou et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabidopsis AtMYB63 1</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>qPCR, TEA, EMSA</td>
<td>Zhou et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BREVIPEDICELLUS 1</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>qPCR, EMSA</td>
<td>Mele et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco NtLIM1 1</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>qPCR, EMSA</td>
<td>Kawaoka et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize ZmMYB31 1</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>qPCR</td>
<td>Fornalé et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize ZmMYB42 1</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>qPCR</td>
<td>Fornalé et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poplar PtmYB21 1</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>qPCR, TEA</td>
<td>Karpinska et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poplar PtmYB3 1</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>qPCR, TEA</td>
<td>McCarthy et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poplar PtmYB20 1</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>qPCR, TEA</td>
<td>McCarthy et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eucalyptus EgMYB1</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>TEA, EMSA</td>
<td>Legay et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eucalyptus EgMYB2</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>qPCR, EMSA</td>
<td>Goicoechea et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antirrhinum majus AmMYB330</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>RNA blot</td>
<td>Tamagnone et al. (1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinus taeda PtMYB4</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>RNA blot, EMSA</td>
<td>Pitzlaff et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinus taeda PtMYB1</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>qPCR</td>
<td>Bomal et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinus taeda PtMYB8</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>qPCR</td>
<td>Bomal et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitis vinifera VvWRKY2</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>▼</td>
<td>qPCR, TEA</td>
<td>Guillaumie et al. (2010)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ ^{a} \]qPCR refers to quantitative reverse transcription-PCR results from overexpressing lines, what does not necessarily imply direct binding on the respective promoters, except for Myb58, for which the qPCR was done in an estradiol-inducible system in the presence of the protein synthesis inhibitor cycloheximide (Zhou et al., 2009). EMSA, Electrophoretic mobility shift assay; TEA, protoplast transient expression assay; Y1H, yeast one-hybrid assay. \[ ^{b} \]Direct promoter binding proven by TEA, EMSA, or Y1H. \[ ^{c} \]EMSA shows binding to the Pal box. \[ ^{d} \]EMSA shows binding to the AC-promoter element.
mer (i.e. only those H-, G-, and S-units that are \( \beta\)-O-4-ether linked with other units are released from the polymer and quantified by GC-MS; Lapierre et al., 1985; Lu and Ralph, 1997). A streamlined thioacidolysis method now allows running some 50 samples per day (Robinson and Mansfield, 2009).

NMR, particularly two-dimensional (2D) \(^{13}\)C-\(^{1}\)H-correlated (HSQC, HMQC) spectroscopy, which combines the sensitivity of \(^{1}\)H NMR with the higher resolution of \(^{13}\)C NMR, continues to be the best method to reveal the frequencies of the different lignin units and the interunit bonding patterns (Fig. 5; Ralph et al., 1999; Ralph and Landucci, 2010). Although the best quality spectra are obtained from purified isolated lignins, the entire lignin fraction can be analyzed when using cruder isolates, such as so-called “cellulolytic enzyme lignin,” in which most of the polysaccharides are removed by treatment with crude cellulases, or when using unfractionated whole cell wall material, either fully dissolved or simply swollen in the gel state (Yelle et al., 2008; Kim and Ralph, 2010). Analysis of the lignins in these preparations allows most of the details of the overall lignin structure to be determined. The 2D NMR “profile” of unfractionated walls now becomes a fingerprint of all of the components (the various polysaccharides and lignins) in the cell wall. A new development in 2D \(^{13}\)C-\(^{1}\)H-correlated spectral data analysis is the use of multivariate analysis that provides even better peak assignment and, hence, a better characterization of the cell wall, as demonstrated by comparing the differences between tension wood and normal wood in poplar (Hedenström et al., 2009).

Although a fair number of the spectral data (contours) have been resolved (essentially those colored in Fig. 5), the molecular origins of many remain unknown. The comparative analysis of lignin from wild-type and transgenic or mutant plants by wet chemistry methods along with NMR analyses has helped significantly in revealing their origin. A striking example is that of COMT-down-regulated plants. Thioacidolysis and phenolic profiling of xylem extracts revealed the increased abundance of units derived from 5-hydroxyconiferyl alcohol; the resulting benzodioxane units, formed when this new monomer incorporates into the polymer, were revealed as new contours in the NMR spectra (Ralph et al., 2001; Marita et al., 2003; Morreel et al., 2004b). More recently, NMR of CCR-deficient plants (poplar, tobacco, and Arabidopsis) showed a low-level incorporation of ferulic acid into lignin. In addition to the previously anticipated \( \beta\)-O-4-ferulate structures, NMR and thioacidolysis evidence was presented for a novel bis-(\( \beta\)-O-4)-ether product arising from coupling of ferulic acid into lignin. In this structure, NMR and thioacidolysis evidence was presented for a novel bis-(\( \beta\)-O-4)-ether product arising from coupling of ferulic acid into lignin (Leplé et al., 2007; Ralph et al., 2008). These novel acetal units are now indicative of (free) hydroxycinnamic acid incorporation into lignins. These new units should not be confused with radical coupling products from lignification reactions of ferulate-polysaccharide esters, which are abundant in grasses.

NMR data also provide insight into other aspects of the lignin polymer, such as polymer length, as nicely demonstrated by the analysis of lignin from \( F_{5}H \)-overexpressing poplar (Stewart et al., 2009). Lignins from these trees have the “world-record” \( C \) content, with an \( S/G \) ratio of greater than 35 versus approximately 2 for wild-type poplar lignin. As readily seen by NMR, the lignin is quite homogeneous, composed essentially only of syringaresinol and \( \beta\)-ether units (with small amounts of spirodienones; for bond types, see Fig. 5). Importantly, if the quantification is correct and the syringaresinol level is of the order of 10%, the average lignin chain can only be about 10 units, as it is a simple fact that a linear (S) lignin chain can only have a single resinol unit in that chain. Both factors (the high \( \beta\)-ether level and the short chain length) and the consequently high content of units with a free phenolic end probably contribute to this transgenic plant’s remarkable pulping and biomass conversion performance (Huntley et al., 2003; Stewart et al., 2009).

**TOWARD “LIGNIN OLIGOMER SEQUENCING” AND “LIGNOMICS”**

As lignins have moderately high molecular weights and are highly heterogeneous polymers, deducing their primary structures (i.e. the sequence of H-, G-, and S-units in individual polymers and the bonds
between them) is not possible using NMR methods that are typically based on lignin extracted from multiple cell types. In fact, because lignin is combinatorial, sequencing of lignin is meaningless, except for individual molecules. Nevertheless, understanding how monolignols couple (i.e. the propensity to couple a given way) provides insight into the factors that determine lignin structure. In an attempt to start determining the primary structures of low-Mₘ oligolignols, mixtures have been extracted from poplar xylem and analyzed by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS; Morreel et al., 2004a). Forty of these structures were identified, and all were in agreement with combinatorial oxidative polymerization. When the relative abundances of these oligolignols were analyzed from transgenic plants with modified lignin, they nicely corresponded with the lignin structural data as obtained by NMR of the total lignin, advocating the value of oligolignol profiling as a high-throughput, easy-to-use, and more sensitive method than traditional NMR- or GC-based methods to reveal changes in incipient lignin structure. In addition, LC-MS-based oligolignol profiling has the potential to discover new lignin monomers. For example, sinapyl p-hydroxybenzoate was authenticated as a new monomer (or monomer conjugate) by identifying its cross-coupling product with sinapyl alcohol (Lu et al., 2004; Morreel et al., 2004a). Nonetheless, the identification of such oligolignols by LC-MS necessitates a profound knowledge of their fragmentation behavior in the gas phase. This laboriously gained knowledge has now allowed the development of an MS-based algorithm that allows sequencing of individual lignin oligomers (Morreel et al., 2010).

Finally, the MS analysis of xylem extracts reveals that many phenylpropanoid-derived molecules still have an unknown identity. Therefore, the structural elucidation and quantification of the full suite of these molecules by LC-MS, which we refer to in our laboratory as “lignomics,” may identify additional candidate lignin monomers and their possible biosynthetic routes.

TRENDS AND RESEARCH AVENUES

One new trend in lignin research is to steer biosynthetic pathways toward the biosynthesis of molecules that, upon incorporation into the lignin polymer, will improve lignin degradation. This idea stems from the growing list of newly discovered lignin monomers, from the observation that lignin is able to readily copolymerize alternative units that derive from incomplete monolignol biosynthesis in plants with pathway perturbations, and from examples in which plants with altered lignin structures are shown to be viable and more easily processed (Pilate et al., 2002; Huntley et al., 2003; Ralph et al., 2006; Leple et al., 2007). This concept of copolymerizing alternative lignin monomers into the polymer has already been demonstrated in a biomimetic system by polymerizing coniferyl ferulate together with normal monolignols into maize primary cell walls (Grabber et al., 2008). The resulting lignin, now strongly enriched in easily breakable ester bonds in the lignin backbone, degrades at lower temperature and alkaline charges. If such dramatic improvement can also be achieved by genetic engineering, it may result in less energy-

Figure 5. 2D ¹³C-¹H correlation (HMQC, HSQC) NMR spectrum of an acetylated Arabidopsis cellulolytic enzyme lignin that contains the whole lignin fraction from the cell wall but is depleted in polysaccharides after their removal via treatment with crude cellulases. The spectrum provides a convenient profile of aspects of the lignin structure. A, The aromatic region allows the S/G ratio to be readily determined via integration of the well-separated S₂6 and G₂ correlation peaks. B, The aliphatic region of the same spectrum allows the individual structures (at right, colored the same as their associated contours), and therefore the bonding patterns, to be visualized and (in principle) quantified. Dibenzodioxocin units (D) are only seen at lower contour levels (data not shown), like the other correlations from the spirodienones (S). Benzodioxane units (H) from the incorporation of 5-hydroxyconiferyl alcohol are below the detection limit in wild-type Arabidopsis lignin but are seen in lignins from COMT-down-regulated plants.
demanding biomass pretreatments to liberate the cellulose.

Additional insight into the factors that determine lignin structure and degradability can be obtained by mathematical modeling. According to Freudenberg’s concept, coupling of monolignols is dependent on their speed of delivery to the cell wall, their chemical characteristics, and the oxidative environment in the wall, among other factors (Vanholme et al., 2008). A mathematical model has now been developed that predicts the frequency of the different bond types, the number of different polymers, their lengths, and the relative abundance of the different length polymers under varying parameters. This in silico tool can be used to define the parameters that influence lignin degradation in processes such as alkaline pulping or biomass pretreatment methods used in biofuel production (van Parijis et al., 2010). The model is such that it can accommodate the incorporation of alternative lignin monomers to evaluate the effect on lignin degradability.

Regarding more fundamental research, systems biology has revealed that lignin perturbations have wide-ranging consequences on the regulation of the pathway itself and on other metabolic pathways and biological processes (Vanholme et al., 2008). Understanding how this network functions, how it responds to lignin perturbations, and how it can be mathematically modeled to predict the effects of lignin perturbations while taking into account feedback loops, enzyme kinetics, and fluxes still has a long way to go.

Finally, although many studies have demonstrated the beneficial effects of lignin engineering on end-use applications, such as pulping and saccharification in the laboratory, only a few studies have evaluated applications, such as pulping and saccharification in the beneficial effects of lignin engineering on end-use applications. This in silico tool can be used to define the parameters that influence lignin degradation in processes such as alkaline pulping or biomass pretreatment methods used in biofuel production (van Parijis et al., 2010). The model is such that it can accommodate the incorporation of alternative lignin monomers to evaluate the effect on lignin degradability.
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