The Role of Two F-Box Proteins, SLEEPY1 and SNEEZY, in Arabidopsis Gibberellin Signaling
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The SLEEPY1 (SLY1) F-box gene is a positive regulator of gibberellin (GA) signaling in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana). Loss of SLY1 results in GA-insensitive phenotypes including dwarfism, reduced fertility, delayed flowering, and increased seed dormancy. These sly1 phenotypes are partially rescued by overexpression of the SLY1 homolog SNEEZY (SNE)/SLY2, suggesting that SNE can functionally replace SLY1. GA responses are repressed by DELLA family proteins. GA relieves DELLA repression when the SCFSLY1 (for Skp1, Cullin, F-box) E3 ubiquitin ligase ubiquitinates DELLA protein, thereby targeting it for proteolysis. Coimmunoprecipitation experiments using constitutively expressed 35S:hemagglutinin (HA)-SLY1 and 35S:HA-SNE translational fusions in the sly1-10 background suggest that SNE can function similarly to SLY1 in GA signaling. Like HA-SLY1, HA-SNE interacted with the CULLIN1 subunit of the SCF complex, and this interaction required the F-box domain. Like HA-SLY1, HA-SNE coimmunoprecipitated with the DELLA REPRESSOR OF GA1-3 (RGA), and this interaction required the SLY1 or SNE carboxyl-terminal domain. Whereas HA-SLY1 overexpression resulted in a decrease in both DELLA RGA and RGA-LIKE2 (RGL2) protein levels, HA-SNE caused a decrease in DELLA RGA but not in RGL2 levels. This suggests that one reason HA-SLY1 is able to effect a stronger rescue of sly1-10 phenotypes than HA-SNE is because SLY1 regulates a broader spectrum of DELLA proteins. The FLAG-SLY1 fusion protein was found to coimmunoprecipitate with the GA receptor HA-GA-INSENSITIVE DWARF1b (GID1b), supporting the model that SLY1 regulates DELLA through interaction with the DELLA-GA-GID1 complex.

This study examines the roles of the SLEEPY1 (SLY1) F-box gene and its homolog SNEEZY (SNE)/SLY2 in GA hormone signaling. GA is required for several important transitions in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) development, including seed germination, stem elongation, fertility, and the transition to flowering (for review, see Sun and Gubler, 2004; Ueguchi-Tanaka et al., 2007; Aya et al., 2009). GA is also required for normal fertility and flower development and plays an important role in adaptations to cold, drought, and anoxia (Achard et al., 2006, 2008; Fukao and Bailey-Serres, 2008). During the green revolution, GA-insensitive management.
Finally, the DELLA RGL2 is the main repressor of seed germination (Lee et al., 2002; Peng and Harberd, 2002; Tyler et al., 2004; Ariizumi and Steber, 2007), although DELLAAs RGA, GAI, and RGL3 also function in seed germination (Cao et al., 2005; Piskurewicz and Lopez-Molina, 2009). The resemblance of the GRAS domain to STAT transcription factors and the fact that DELLA proteins localize to the nucleus initially suggested that DELLAAs might function in transcriptional control. Chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments showed that DELLA RGA localizes to promoter elements and appears to activate the expression of downstream negative regulators of GA responses (Zentella et al., 2007). Nevertheless, Zentella et al. (2007) suggested that the enrichment for DELLA RGA at these promoters is weak (2- to 3.5-fold), because DELLA appears to interact indirectly with these promoters rather than through protein-protein interaction. DELLA also appears to repress hypocotyl elongation in the dark by direct protein-protein interaction with the phytochrome-interacting factors PIF3 and PIF4 (de Lucas et al., 2008; Feng et al., 2008). DELLA binding prevents PIF3 and PIF4 transcriptional activators from binding to their promoter elements, thus blocking their transcription. Thus, it appears that DELLA may repress GA responses both with and without association with promoter elements.

The SCFSLY1 E3 ubiquitin ligase complex lifts DELLA repression by targeting DELLA for destruction by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. The SCF complex is composed of a Skp1 homolog termed ASK (for Arabidopsis Skp1), a Cullin homolog, an Rbx1 homolog, and an F-box protein that binds a specific target. There are 23 ASK genes, five Cullins (CULs), two Rbx1 homologs, and 694 F-box proteins in Arabidopsis (Gagne et al., 2002; Gray et al., 2002; Risseeuw et al., 2003). The F-box protein binds to the Skp1 homolog of the complex through direct protein-protein interaction via the F-box domain. The F-box protein generally binds the substrate protein via the C-terminal domain. Yeast two-hybrid data indicate that the SLY1 protein can bind to DELLA protein via the C-terminal domain. Yeast two-hybrid data indicate that the SLY1 protein can bind to DELLA protein via the C-terminal domain (Dill et al., 2004; Fu et al., 2004). GA binding stimulates the ability of the GA receptor GA-INSENSITIVE DWARF1 (GID1) to bind DELLA proteins. Based on yeast three-hybrid data, Arabidopsis GID1-GA binding to DELLA appears to increase the affinity of the F-box protein SLY1 for DELLA (Griffiths et al., 2006). Thus, GA stimulates SCFSLY1 binding to DELLA proteins, thereby allowing SCFSLY1 to catalyze the polyubiquitination of DELLA protein. Addition of four ubiquitin moieties to a target protein triggers its recognition and proteolysis by the 26S proteasome (Smalle and Vierstra, 2004). It appears that DELLA is destroyed via the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway, because both mutations in SLY1 and 26S proteasome inhibitors result in stabilization and increased accumulation of DELLA protein in the presence of GA (McGinnis et al., 2003; Dill et al., 2004; Fu et al., 2004).

We first identified MIF21.6 as a homolog of SLY1 in Arabidopsis by BLAST database search (At5g48170; McGinnis et al., 2003), and this predicted protein was subsequently named SNE (Strader et al., 2004) or SLY2 (Fu et al., 2004). Functional analysis showed that SNE/SLY2 overexpression can rescue the sly1 mutant phenotype (Fu et al., 2004; Strader et al., 2004). We chose to refer to this gene as SNE rather than as SLY2 because it is not yet clear whether SNE/SLY2 normally shows functional overlap with SLY1. Moreover, it is still possible that SNE/SLY2 may have some functions that do not overlap with those of SLY1. This study examines the relative roles of the two F-box proteins, SLY1 and SNE/SLY2, first through a careful analysis of their ability to complement the sly1-10 mutation, then by determining which domains are required for function, and finally by examining the ability of these proteins to bind to other proteins involved in GA signaling, including the CUL1 subunit of the SCF complex and the DELLA protein RGA in Arabidopsis. The ability of SNE to regulate the accumulation of DELLAAs RGA and RGL2 is examined. Finally, we established the interaction of the SLY1 protein with the GA receptor GID1 through coimmunoprecipitation.

**RESULTS**

**Sequence Homology of SLY1 and SNE/SLY2**

The homology of SLY1 to SNE is diagrammed in Figure 1 at both the level of mRNA and predicted protein sequence. The 453-bp SLY1 gene encodes a
predicted 151-amino acid protein, whereas the 471-bp SLY2/SNE open reading frame (ORF) encodes a predicted protein of 157 amino acids. Both gene sequences contain no introns (McGinnis et al., 2003). Based on InterPro motif analysis (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/InterProScan/; Hunter et al., 2009), the predicted SNE protein contains an F-box motif composed of amino acids 25 to 72. The presence of this functional domain suggests that SNE encodes an F-box subunit protein of an SCF E3 ubiquitin ligase (Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. S1). The homology of SLY1 and SNE was considered by dividing the proteins into three domains: the F-box domain, the N-terminal domain, and the C-terminal domain. Previously published yeast two-hybrid studies indicated that the C-terminal domain of SLY1 is required for interaction with DELLA proteins (Dill et al., 2004; Fu et al., 2004). The full-length SLY1 and SNE genes have 55.1% DNA and 33.1% amino acid sequence homology. The N-terminal domains before the F-box domain (SLY1, amino acids 1–32; SNE, 1–24) have 55.4% DNA/16.7% amino acid homology; the F-box domain itself (SLY1, amino acids 32–77; SNE, 25–72) has 55.0% DNA/26.8% amino acid homology; and the C-terminal domain (SLY, amino acids 78–151; SNE, 73–157) has 64.2% DNA/45.1% amino acid homology. Thus, the C-terminal region, which is believed to be involved in SLY1 interaction with its DELLA target protein, contains the highest degree of sequence homology with SNE (Fig. 1B).

Functional Analysis of SLY1 and Its Homolog SNE in Arabidopsis

Previous research showed that the SNE gene sequence was able to partly suppress the sly1 mutant phenotypes when expressed under the control of the constitutive cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter (Fu et al., 2004; Strader et al., 2004). This study used deletion analysis to further explore the functional importance of each of the three domains in controlling plant height, fertility, and DELLA destruction. An N-terminal hemagglutinin (HA) epitope tag was fused in-frame to SLY1 and SNE full-length ORFs and ORFs containing deletions of each of the three domains defined in Figure 1 and placed under the control of the 35S promoter. The full-length constructs are referred to as HA-SLY1 and HA-SNE, whereas the constructs lacking the N-terminal, F-box, and C-terminal domains are referred to as ΔN, ΔF, and ΔC alleles, respectively (Supplemental Table S1). These chimeric constructs and an HA vector-only control were then transformed into the sly1-10 mutant to determine whether they were able to rescue the sly1-10 dwarfism and fertility phenotypes. Supplemental Table S1 shows the number of transgenic plants obtained for each construct and the number of transformed plants that appeared to rescue the sly1-10 dwarf phenotype in the T2 generation. Based on initial observations, the HA-SLY1 construct fully complemented the sly1-10 dwarfism, whereas HA-SNE, HA-sly1ΔN, and HA-sneΔN partially complemented the dwarfism. The remaining constructs failed to complement.

When the expression of each chimeric protein was examined by protein-blot analysis using the HA antibody, bands corresponding to the predicted full-length and truncated HA fusion proteins were observed (Supplemental Fig. S2). For each construct, two lines showing similar levels of protein expression were used for further analysis. However, it was noted that HA-SNE protein levels were on the whole lower than those of the HA-SLY1 constructs and that loss of the C-terminal domain led to some decrease in protein accumulation.

The degree to which each HA-SLY1 and HA-SNE construct was able to complement the sly1-10 mutation was determined by observing 30-d-old plants (Fig. 2A) and 45-d-old plants (Supplemental Fig. S3) and by measuring final plant height and the number of seeds per silique (Fig. 3). The full-length HA-SLY1 construct fully complemented the sly1-10 mutant phenotypes, including dwarfism, infertility, and delayed flowering (Fig. 2A; Supplemental Table S1). The HA-sly1ΔN construct appeared to fully rescue the final plant height and fertility phenotypes; however, these plants were shorter than the wild-type and the sly1-10 HA-SLY1 lines at 30 d due to slower plant growth (Figs. 2A and 3). HA-sly1ΔF and HA-sly1ΔC resulted in no apparent rescue of sly1-10 phenotypes compared with the untransformed and the vector-only controls. These results indicated that the F-box and C-terminal domains of SLY1 are required for SLY1 function, whereas the N-terminal region of SLY1 is required for full functionality of the SLY1 protein. The HA-SNE construct strongly complemented the sly1-10 mutation, resulting in a final plant height approximately 89% of the wild-type plant height and in fertility approximately 84% of the wild type (Figs. 2B and 3; Supplemental Fig. S3B). The sly1-10 HA-sneΔN did not show significant restoration of plant height in 30-d-old plants but appeared to result in some restoration of plant height and fertility in 45-d-old plants (Figs. 2A and 3; Supplemental Fig. S3C). The sly1-10 HA-sneΔF and the sly1-10 HA-sneΔC showed no suppression of sly1-10 phenotypes (Figs. 2A and 3). Thus, the F-box and C-terminal domains are required for SLY1 function and for SNE rescue of the sly1-10 plant height and fertility phenotypes. These results are consistent with previous reports indicating that SNE/SLY2 overexpression rescued sly1 mutant phenotypes (Fu et al., 2004; Strader et al., 2004).

The Effect of HA-SLY1 and HA-SNE Constructs on DELLA Protein Accumulation

To determine if the restoration of sly1-10 plant height was associated with a decrease in the accumulation of DELLA repressors of stem elongation, protein-blot analysis was performed to detect DELLA's RGA and GAI (Fig. 2C). Expression of HA-SLY1 and HA-sly1ΔN was associated with a considerable de-
increase in DELLA protein accumulation in 21-d-old sly1-10 seedlings, whereas expression of HA-sly1ΔF and HA-sly1ΔC did not result in decreased DELLA protein accumulation. This suggests that HA-SLY1 and HA-sly1ΔN constructs relieve DELLA repression through ubiquitination and proteolysis and that the F-box and C-terminal regions are required for this E3 ubiquitin ligase activity. Expression of HA-SNE also resulted in decreased DELLA protein accumulation in sly1-10 seedlings, suggesting that the HA-SNE fusion protein can partly replace SLY1 function in DELLA protein ubiquitination and destruction during stem elongation (Fig. 2C). Only a slight decrease in DELLA protein accumulation was observed in sly1-10 seedlings transformed with HA-sneΔN, suggesting that the N terminus may be needed for full function. Alternatively, the fact that HA-SLY1ΔN and HA-SNEΔN proteins accumulate at lower levels than HA-SLY1 and HA-SNE may explain their lesser effect on DELLA protein accumulation (Supplemental Fig. S2). The HA-sneΔF and HA-sneΔC constructs resulted in no significant decrease in DELLA protein accumulation in sly1-10 seedlings, suggesting that the F-box and C-terminal domains are required for SNE/SLY2 to regulate DELLA protein accumulation.

Because the infertility phenotype of the sly1-10 mutant was also fully suppressed by the HA-SLY1 construct and partially suppressed by the HA-sly1ΔN, HA-SNE, and HA-sneΔN constructs (Fig. 3B), we examined whether this suppression is associated with decreased DELLA protein accumulation. Protein-blot analysis was performed to examine the accumulation of DELLA proteins RGA, GAI, and RGL2 in flower bud tissue from 30-d-old plants. Transformation of sly1-10 with the HA-SLY1 and HA-SNE constructs resulted in a dramatic decrease in DELLA RGA and GAI accumulation compared with untransformed sly1-10 (Supplemental Fig. S4); transformation with HA-sly1ΔN resulted in a large decrease; transformation with HA-sneΔN resulted in a slight decrease; and transformation with HA-sly1ΔF, HA-sly1ΔC, HA-sneΔF, and HA-sneΔC resulted in no decrease in DELLA RGA and GAI protein accumulation. These results suggested that the suppression of infertility by SNE gene overexpression was, at least in part, the result of decreased RGA and GAI protein accumulation. Interestingly, a decrease in RGL2 protein levels was observed when sly1-10 was transformed with HA-SLY1 and HA-sly1ΔN (Supplemental Fig. S4A), but no decrease in RGL2 was observed when sly1-10 was transformed with HA-SNE (Supplemental Fig. S4B). This suggests that SNE is able to direct the degradation of DELLA RGA and GAI but not of the DELLA RGL2.

Because RGL2 is the major DELLA repressing seed germination, we next examined the effect of HA-SNE and HA-SLY1 overexpression on DELLA accumulation and on seed germination efficiency in sly1-10. The sly1-10 mutant has increased seed dormancy and when after-ripened germinates more slowly than the wild type (Ariizumi and Steber, 2007). The sly1-10 seed germination phenotype was fully rescued by HA-SLY1 and partially rescued by HA-SNE overexpression after 4 d of incubation (Fig. 4A). Protein-blot analysis showed that HA-SLY1 overexpression was associated with the disappearance of both RGA and RGL2. In contrast, the rescue of seed germination by HA-SNE overexpression was associated with a decrease in RGA protein but not in RGL2 protein levels (Fig. 4B). This suggests that an SCFSNE-E3 complex may be able to ubiquitinate and target RGA but not RGL2 for destruction.

Evidence for the Formation of SCFSLY1 and SCFSNE Complexes and for Protein Interaction with DELLA RGA

The fact that sly1-10 rescue by overexpression of full-length and N-terminal deletions of SLY1 and SNE is associated with a decrease in DELLA protein accumulation suggested that these two F-box proteins form SCF complexes that interact with and regulate DELLA proteins by ubiquitination. If this is true, we would expect SLY1 and SNE to interact with DELLA RGA.
protein and with the SCF CUL1 subunit via the F-box domain. To examine in planta protein-protein interactions, we performed coimmunoprecipitation experiments using an HA antibody matrix to affinity purify full-length and deletion alleles of HA-SLY1 and HA-SNE proteins from seedling extracts. Protein-blot analysis of these extracts showed that CUL1 coimmunoprecipitated with the HA-SLY1, HA-sly1
\textsuperscript{DN}, HA-sly1
\textsuperscript{DF}, HA-SNE, HA-sne
\textsuperscript{DN}, and HA-sne
\textsuperscript{DF} fusion proteins but not with the HA-vector control or with the HA-sly1
\textsuperscript{DC} and HA-sne
\textsuperscript{DC} proteins (Fig. 5; Supplemental Fig. S5). This result suggests that SLY1 and SNE proteins form SCF complexes that include CUL1 and that complex formation requires the F-box domain.

Protein-blot analysis also showed that the DELLA protein RGA coimmunoprecipitated with the HA-SLY1, HA-sly1
\textsuperscript{DN}, HA-sly1
\textsuperscript{DF}, HA-SNE, HA-sne
\textsuperscript{DN}, and HA-sne
\textsuperscript{DF} fusion proteins but not with the HA-vector control or with the HA-sly1
\textsuperscript{DC} and HA-sne
\textsuperscript{DC} proteins and not with the HA-vector control. The addition of GA to the protein extract increased the quantity of RGA coimmunoprecipitated with HA-SLY1, but the interaction was still observed without GA addition due to the presence of endogenous GA in seedling extracts. This result indicates that both SLY1 and SNE can interact with RGA protein in planta and that the C-terminal domain of SLY1 and SNE are required. Taken together, these data indicate that SCF SNE and SCF SLY1 E3 ubiquitin ligases form in vivo and regulate DELLA RGA by direct protein interaction.

The Effect of SNE Overexpression on Plant Growth Habit

In addition to partly suppressing the sly1-10 dwarf and infertility phenotypes, we observed that HA-SNE plants show an aberrant plant growth habit consisting of decreased apical dominance and a prone growth habit (Fig. 2, A and B). All 17 sly1-10 HA-SNE plants showed a similar phenotype where secondary lateral shoots formed at a wide angle to the primary shoot (Supplemental Fig. S3). To determine whether this HA-SNE overexpression phenotype was dependent on the sly1-10 background, wild-type ecotype Landsberg erecta (Ler) and the GA biosynthesis mutant ga1-3 were transformed with the HA-SNE overexpression construct. It appeared that wild-type Ler HA-SNE plants also showed the decreased apical dominance
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Figure 3. Final plant height and fertility in the wild-type (WT) Ler, sly1-10, and sly1-10 transformed with the indicated constructs. A, Final plant height (cm) was determined after 120 d of incubation in a growth chamber. B, Fertility was determined based on the number of seeds per siliqure. Wild-type Ler and sly1-10 plants transformed with the HA vector were used as controls.
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Figure 4. SNE overexpression partial rescue of the sly1-10 seed germination phenotype is associated with decreased DELLA RGA but not RGL2 protein accumulation. A, Germination of sly1-10 and two independent sly1-10 lines transformed with HA-SLY1 (#27-2 and #27-3) and HA-SNE (#7 and #12). Seeds were incubated on MS agar plates at 4°C for 3 d, followed by 22°C for 4 d. WT, Wild type. B, Protein-blot analysis of RGA and RGL2 in sly1-10 HA-SLY1 and sly1-10 HA-SNE seeds imbibed on MS agar plates at 4°C for 3 d, followed by 22°C for 24 h. Protein was detected with anti-RGA and anti-RGL2. Sixty micrograms of total protein was loaded. [See online article for color version of this figure.]
Thus, the aberrant growth phenotype is not dependent on the sly1-10 background. The HA-SNE overexpression construct did not rescue the dwarfism of the ga1-3 plants. GA treatment of the ga1-3 HA-SNE fusion construct did not rescue the dwarfism of the ga1-3 plants and fertility phenotypes but resulted in the same aberrant prone growth habit seen in the wild-type Ler transformants (Supplemental Fig. S6B). These results suggest that the aberrant growth phenotype is a direct result of SNE overexpression and does not result from an interaction between SNE overexpression and the sly1 mutant background.

**Expression Analysis of SNE/SLY2**

Previous reverse transcription-PCR and northern-blot analyses showed that the SLY1 mRNA is present throughout the plant, whereas the SNE mRNA is mainly present in flowers and to a lesser extent in stems (Strader et al., 2004). The meta-analysis tool Genevestigator (https://www.genevestigator.com/gv/index.jsp) and the Arabidopsis microarray database were used to compare the spatiotemporal pattern of SLY1 and SNE gene expression (Hruz et al., 2008). The level of SLY1 mRNA accumulation appears to be 3- to 5-fold higher than that of SNE in most tissues, with the two genes showing similar expression trends in a developmental analysis (Supplemental Fig. S7A). SNE transcript appeared highest in callus, shoot apex, and root endodermis (Supplemental Fig. S8) and lowest in germinating seeds and seed tissues (endosperm, seed coat). SLY1 expression appeared highest in the abscission zone, hypocotyl, shoot apex, and petals and lowest in pollen and stigma.

GUS transcriptional fusions were used to further compare the expression patterns of these F-box genes. A construct containing the SLY1 promoter region fused to the GUS reporter gene was transformed into wild-type Ler and the expression pattern analyzed by histochemical staining for GUS activity (Ariizumi et al., 2002a). A total of six independent SLY1p-GUS lines were examined; representative GUS expression patterns are shown in Supplemental Figure S9A. Consistent with the previous reverse transcription-PCR analysis (McGinnis et al., 2003), SLY1p-GUS expression was found in most parts of the plant. Strong expression was seen in the cotyledons and hypocotyls of 6-d-old seedlings, in the vasculature of seedlings, leaves, and roots, in the primary root tip, and in the anthers, filaments, petals of flowers, and the receptacle of siliques. In contrast, the expression of SNE-GUS appears to be much less widespread. An enhancer trap line in which a T-DNA containing the GUS reporter was inserted just before the SNE translational start site was used to examine expression in germinating seeds, seedlings and seedling roots, mature leaves, and flowers. Low-level SNE-GUS expression was evident only in flower anthers (Supplemental Fig. S9B).

**Protein Interaction between SLY1 and the GID1b GA Receptor**

Based on our results, SLY1 most likely is the predominant F-box protein functioning in GA signaling because it is expressed at higher levels and because it can target more DELLA proteins for destruction. In the current model of GA signaling, the formation of the GID1-GA-DELLA complex allows SCFSLY1 to bind to and ubiquitinate DELLA protein (Hirano et al., 2008). This model predicts that SLY1 should form a complex that includes not only DELLA but also GID1 protein. Coimmunoprecipitation was used to examine whether or not the SLY1 protein interacts with GID1b in planta using sly1-10 plants transformed with an HA-GID1b and FLAG-SLY1 epitope-tagged fusion proteins expressed on the 35S promoter. When the FLAG-SLY1 protein was affinity purified from 12-d-old seedling extracts using a FLAG antibody matrix, protein-blot analysis showed that HA-GID1b coimmunoprecipitated with FLAG-SLY1 and that this interaction increased with the addition of GA to the plant protein extract (Fig. 6). This indicates that SCF<sub>SLY1</sub> interacts with a complex that includes GID1b.

**DISCUSSION**

Previous work suggested that the SLY1 homolog SNE/SLY2 may encode a second F-box protein functioning in GA signaling, since overexpression of SNE
GID1. We examined the interaction of SLY1 with the GA receptor SLY1 and SNE/SLY2 in GA signaling. Finally, we investigated the relative roles of whether the SNE protein could form an SCF E3 ubiquitin ligase complex in planta, and the relative roles of SLY1 and SNE/SLY2 in GA signaling. Finally, we examined the interaction of SLY1 with the GA receptor GID1.

**Functional Analysis of SLY1 and SNE F-Box Proteins in GA Signaling**

A side-by-side comparison was conducted to determine the domains required for HA-SLY1 and HA-SNE to rescue sly1-10 and to interact with the SCF subunit CUL1 and the DELLA RGA proteins in planta (Fig. 5). Consistent with previous results, HA-SLY1 gave complete rescue of the germination, fertility, and plant height phenotypes of sly1-10, whereas HA-SNE only partly rescued these phenotypes (Figs. 2–4; Supplemental Fig. S3). In both cases, the F-box and C-terminal domains were required for function. Deletion of the N-terminal domains of HA-SLY1 and HA-SNE decreased the capacity of these proteins to complement the sly1-10 phenotype (Figs. 2 and 3; Supplemental Fig. S3). Thus, it appears that although the N terminus is not essential, it does play some role. Consistent with the functional data, it was observed that the C terminus was required for HA-SLY1 and HA-SNE to interact with the DELLA RGA protein in planta in coimmunoprecipitation experiments (Fig. 5). Previous work demonstrated the interaction of SLY1 with DELLA protein in vitro, by yeast two-hybrid assay, and by pull-down assay (Dill et al., 2004; Fu et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2009) as well as the association of SLY1 with the SCF complex protein CUL1 in vitro (Wang et al., 2009). In this study, both HA-SLY1 and HA-SNE coimmunoprecipitated with CUL1 in planta, and this interaction required the F-box domain (Fig. 5), indicating that it is required for SCF complex formation. The F-box domain is required for the F-box protein to interact with the Skp1 subunit during SCF complex formation (Gagne et al., 2002, 2004). Both DELLA RGA and CUL1 proteins coimmunoprecipitate with the HA-SLY1ΔN and HA-SNEΔN proteins, indicating that the N-terminal domain is not absolutely essential for these protein-protein interactions (Fig. 5). It is possible that less RGA coimmunoprecipitates with HA-SLY1ΔN than with HA-SLY1 protein because the N-terminal deletion accumulates at lower levels (Supplemental Fig. S2). Taken together, these results indicate that SNE can function in a manner very similar to SLY1, forming an SCF complex in planta that can function in GA signaling through interaction with the DELLA RGA.

**SNE Function Partly Overlaps with SLY1 Function in GA Signaling**

Overexpression of HA-SNE partially rescued the dwarfism, infertility, and germination phenotypes of the sly1-10 mutant (Figs. 2–4; Supplemental Fig. S3). This rescue was correlated with decreased levels of the DELLA proteins RGA and GAI, suggesting that an SCFSNE E3 ubiquitin ligase can regulate these DELLA proteins via ubiquitination and destruction by the 26S proteasome (Figs. 2 and 4; Supplemental Fig. S4). Several observations support this theory, including that HA-SNE interacts with DELLA RGA and forms an SCF complex in planta (Fig. 5). Both interaction with CUL1 and rescue of the sly1-10 phenotypes required the F-box domain, indicating that formation of an SCFSNE complex is required for its function in GA signaling (Figs. 2, 3, and 5). This is consistent with previously published data showing that SNE protein can interact with Arabidopsis Skp1 homologs in the yeast two-hybrid system (Fu et al., 2004). Finally, HA-SNE overexpression does not rescue the dwarfism or flowering phenotypes of the GA biosynthesis mutant ga1-3 in the absence of GA (Supplemental Fig. S6C). This suggests that SNE acts through GA signaling rather than via a parallel pathway and that the SCFSNE E3 ubiquitin ligase targets DELLA for destruction via a GA-dependent mechanism similar to the SCFSLY1 (McGinnis et al., 2003; Dill et al., 2004; Fu et al., 2004).

The function of SNE/SLY2 in GA signaling appears to only partly overlap with that of SLY1. Whereas overexpression of HA-SLY1 caused a decrease in DELLA RGA, GAI, and RGL2 protein accumulation in seeds and flowers of the sly1-10 mutant, overexpression of HA-SNE caused a decrease in DELLA RGA and GAI but not in DELLA RGL2 protein accumulation (Fig. 4; Supplemental Fig. S4). This suggests that SNE can only regulate a subset of DELLA proteins.
Future work will need to examine whether SNE protein shows stronger binding to RGA and GAI than to RGL2 or whether SNE binds to RGL2 but fails to ubiquitinate RGL2. RGL2 is a key DELLA protein controlling seed germination as well as flowering and fertility (Lee et al., 2002; Cheng et al., 2004; Tyler et al., 2004; Cao et al., 2005, 2006). Thus, failure to regulate RGL2 may partly explain why HA-SNE overexpression only partly rescues the fertility and germination of slv1-10 (Figs. 3 and 4). This, taken together with the facts that the SNE mRNA accumulates at lower levels than the SLY1 mRNA (Supplemental Figs. S7–S9) and that the slv1 mutant shows strong dwarfism and infertility phenotypes in the presence of the normal SNE gene, supports the conclusion that SLY1 is the major F-box protein contributing to GA signaling in Arabidopsis.

The SNE gene can regulate DELLA proteins, but might it also regulate genes that are not part of GA signaling? The overexpression of HA-SNE not only rescued the GA-insensitive phenotypes of slv1-10 but also resulted in changes in growth habit, including loss of apical dominance and a prone growth habit where stems were angled downward (Fig. 2, A and B; Supplemental Fig. S3). The phenotype was not dependent on the slv1-10 background, as these phenotypes were also observed when HA-SNE was transformed into wild-type Ler and ga1-3 (Supplemental Fig. S6). Digital northern analysis shows that the SNE mRNA is expressed in the shoot apex and in callus cells (Supplemental Fig. S8). It is not clear whether the prone phenotype does or does not result from changes in GA signaling. Thus, future research will need to examine whether SNE regulates an alternative target involved in meristem function and/or GA signaling. Previous work demonstrated that SNE mRNA is expressed in the endodermis and the quiescent center of the root, whereas SLY1 is only expressed in the stele (Cui and Benfey, 2009). Cui and Benfey (2009) hypothesized that SNE may be important for DELLA regulation in the root cells that do not show SLY1 expression.

**SLY1 Physical Interactions with the GID1 GA Receptor**

This study next examined the interaction of the SCF<sup>SLY1</sup> E3 ubiquitin ligase with the GA receptor, GID1. The current model of GA signaling proposes that the F-box protein SLY1 of Arabidopsis and the orthologous F-box GID2 of rice trigger DELLA destruction only when DELLA protein is bound by the GA receptor GID1. GID1 protein affinity for DELLA protein increases when GID1 binds GA hormone (Griffiths et al., 2006; Nakajima et al., 2006; Willige et al., 2007). Yeast three-hybrid analysis has demonstrated that the rice F-box GID2 only binds to DELLA protein when it is in the GID1-GA-DELLA complex (Hirano et al., 2010). It appears that GA may also stimulate SLY1-GID1 interaction in Arabidopsis, as communoprecipitation demonstrated that FLAG-SLY1 forms a complex with HA-GID1b (Fig. 6). Based on the work of Hirano et al. (2010), the GID1-SLY1 complex likely includes a DELLA protein. This is, to our knowledge, the first in planta demonstration that the F-box protein forms a complex that includes the GA receptor, thus supporting the rice model derived from yeast three-hybrid data.

**Implications for GA Signaling**

The research presented here has several broader implications for GA signaling. This study and others indicate that SLY1 is the major F-box protein regulating DELLA protein in GA signaling (Dill et al., 2004; Fu et al., 2004; Ariizumi and Steber, 2007). It appears that SNE can regulate DELLA s RGA and GAI but not RGL2 (Fig. 4; Supplemental Fig. S4). However, based on the unusual growth phenotype of SNE overexpression lines and the apparently unique role of SNE in the control of root elongation, it appears that SCF<sub>SNE</sub> may play a specialized role that will need to be considered in future studies (Supplemental Fig. S3; Cui and Benfey, 2009). This study demonstrated that the SLY1 protein exists in complex with the GID1b receptor protein in planta. This result supports the model that SCF<sub>SLY1</sub> binding to and ubiquitination of DELLA protein is stimulated when DELLA is in complex with GID1 and GA hormone (Fig. 6; Hirano et al., 2010), resulting in GA-stimulated ubiquitination and destruction of DELLA (McGinnis et al., 2003; Dill et al., 2004; Fu et al., 2004).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Materials and Growth Conditions**

Wild-type Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) Ler, as well as slv1-10 and ga1-3 mutations in the Ler background, were obtained as described previously (Koornneef and van der Veen, 1980; Ariizumi et al., 2008). The germination of ga1-3 seed was stimulated by imbibing in 10 μM GA<sub>3</sub> for 3 d at 4°C and then washing with sterile water. Seeds were sterilized and imbibed in sterile water for 3 d at 4°C to break dormancy, and then all seeds were transferred to half-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) salts (Sigma-Aldrich)/0.8% agar (MS agar) and incubated at 22°C under constant fluorescent light for 10 to 14 d. Seedlings were transferred to soil and grown at 22°C under fluorescent light (16-h day; McGinnis et al., 2003) for growth rate and fertility comparisons. To determine the effect of GA treatment on plant growth, plants grown in soil were sprayed every 3 d with 10 μM GA<sub>3</sub>.

A slv1-10 line transformed with the FLAG-SLY1 and HA-GID1b translation fusions was constructed by crossing the slv1-10 FLAG-SLY1 line (described below) to the previously constructed slv1-10 HA-GID1b line (Ariizumi et al., 2008). F1 and F2 seeds were sown on MS agar containing 20 mg L<sup>-1</sup> hygromycin. F3 seeds from each F2 individual were harvested. Among several independent F3 plants, a FLAG-SLY1 F3 individual expressing HA-GID1b protein at a level similar to the original slv1-10 HA-GID1b line was selected based on protein-blot analysis (Fig. 5).

**Plasmid Construction and Plant Transformation**

In-frame N-terminal fusions of the full-length or truncated SLY1 (At4g24210; GenBank accession no. NM_118554) and SNE (At5g48170; NM_124191) coding regions to the HA epitope tag were constructed under the control of the constitutive CaMV 35S promoter. Fragments containing the full-length, N-terminal, and C-terminal deletions of the SLY1 and SNE genes were obtained by PCR using gene-specific primer pairs (Supplemental Table S2). N-terminal deletions of the SLY1 and SNE genes were generated using
the SLY-Ndel-F/SLY-F-R and SNE-Ndel-F/SNE-F-R primers, respectively. C-terminal deletions were generated using SLY-Ndel-F/SLY-Cdel-R and SNE-Ndel-F/SNE-Cdel-R primers. Internal deletions of the SLY1 and SNE F-box domains were created using the following strategy. (1) The SLY-Ndel-F and SLY-F-R primers were used to amplify fragment A, while the SLY-Fbox-Fr and SLY-F-R primers were used to amplify fragment B. (2) These PCR products were gel purified and then diluted in water (1:1,000). (3) A reaction was set up using 0.5 μL of the A and B fragments as a PCR template, generating a deletion of the F-box by amplification with the SLY-Ndel-F and SLY-F-R primers (SNE-Ndel-F and SNE-F-R). The proofreading enzyme KOD Hotstart DNA polymerase (Novagen) was used, and all constructs were confirmed by sequencing. These amplified PCR fragments were then phosphorylated and directly cloned as blunt-end fragments into the Smal site of HA- pBluescript (Ariizumi et al., 2008) to obtain the HA-SLY1, HA-SLY1N, HA- SLY1F, HA-SLY1C, HA-SNE, HA-SNE2N, HA-SNE2C, and HA-SNE2C trans- lational fusions. The HindIII-Sacl fragments from these HA-fused full-length and truncated SLY1/pBluescript plasmids were excised and cloned into the HindIII-Sacl site of T-DNA binary vector pBl101, while the HindIII-Sacl frag- ments from HA-SLY1/pBluescript and HA-SNE2C/pBluescript plasmids were excised and cloned into the HindIII-Sacl site of T-DNA binary vector pBl101H (Ariizumi et al., 2002b).

To construct the N-terminal FLAG-tagged SLY1 construct, the DNA se- quence for three repeats of the FLAG epitope was amplified using FLAG-F (Ariizumi et al., 2002b). The cloned PCR fragments containing the full-length SLY1 were phosphorylated and directly cloned as blunt-end fragments into the Smal site of HA- pBluescript to generate FLAG/ pBluescript. PCR fragments containing the full-length SLY1 ORF were directly cloned set as a blunt-end fragment into the Smal site of FLAG/pBluescript to obtain FLAG-SLY1/pBluescript. The HindIII-Sacl fragment from the FLAG- SLY1/pBluescript plasmids was cloned into the HindIII-Sacl site of T-DNA binary vector pGTV-HPT.

The constructs were transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens GV3101 by the freeze-thaw method (An et al., 1988). These constructs were transformed into sly1-10, wild-type Lr, or ga1-3 and selected on MS agar with 20 μg L−1 hygromycin (Clough and Bent, 1998). The expression of each chimeric protein of appropriate size was confirmed by protein-blot analysis using HA antibody (Supplemental Fig. S2). Two independent lines showing similar expression of each fusion protein were used for further analysis.

To create the GUS transcriptional fusion to the SLY1 promoter, the 2.0 kb region upstream of the SLY1 ORF was amplified with KOD Hotstart DNA polymerase using the SLY1pro-F and SLY1pro-R primers, phosphorylated, and cloned as a blunt-end fragment into the Smal site of pBl121 (Clontech). These constructs were transformed into Ler and selected on MS agar plus kanamycin (30 μg mL−1). Expression of the SNE gene was examined using the snyt-2z line, which contains an enhancer trap T-DNA insertion 1 bp from the translational start site. The snyt-2z line was isolated by PCR screening of each line, which contains an enhancer trap T-DNA insertion 1 bp before the translational start site of the SNE gene was examined using the snyt-2z line, which contains an enhancer trap T-DNA insertion 1 bp from the translational start site. The snyt-2z line was isolated by PCR screening of each line, which contains an enhancer trap T-DNA insertion 1 bp before the translational start site.

The constructs were transformed into Ler and selected on MS agar plus kanamycin (30 μg mL−1). Expression of the SNE gene was examined using the snyt-2z line, which contains an enhancer trap T-DNA insertion 1 bp from the translational start site. The snyt-2z line was isolated by PCR screening of each line, which contains an enhancer trap T-DNA insertion 1 bp before the translational start site.

For germination experiments, 30 to 60 seeds from each genotype grown in half-strength MS buffered with 5 mM MES, pH 5.5, for 2 h on ice. The cross-linking reagent dithiobis-succinimidyldipropionate (Pierce) was added to a final concentration of 1 mM and further incubated for 30 min on ice. The cross-linking reaction was stopped by adding 2 mM Gly for 15 min on ice. Protein concentration of the supernatant was determined, and 5 μL of protein extract was incubated with 40 μL of anti-HA matrix (Roche) in the presence of 100 μg mL−1 BSA, and anti-HA matrix was washed three times with buffer A, it was resuspended in 1X SDS sample buffer, boiled for 3 min, and pelleted for 2 min at 14,000 rpm, and 20 μL of the supernatant was loaded for SDS-PAGE separation.

Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data libraries under accession numbers NM_118554 (SLY1), NM_124191 (SNE/ a1-3) and NM_126218 (RGa), NM_101361 (GA1), NM_112166 (RGL2), and NM_116666 (GID1b).
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