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Starch is the major storage carbohydrate in higher plants, with many important functions. In photosynthesizing leaves, starch accumulates during the day and is remobilized at night to support continued respiration, Suc export, and growth in the dark (Geiger and Servaites, 1994). In this context, starch has been identified as a major integrator in the regulation of plant growth to cope with continual changes in carbon availability (Sulpice et al., 2009). Its importance is demonstrated by the phenotype of starch-deficient mutants, which grow poorly or even die in short-day conditions (Caspar et al., 1986). In heterotrophic storage organs such as potato (Solanum tuberosum) tubers or developing seeds, starch serves as a longer term carbon store, which is remobilized later in development to support phases of reproductive growth. Since Suc supply to these tissues is fluctuating, regulatory mechanisms are required to stimulate starch synthesis when carbon availability increases (Geigenberger et al., 2004).

In addition to its central role in plant physiology, starch is also of great economical importance (Zeeman et al., 2010). It is the second most abundant biopolymer on earth, after cellulose, and the most important carbohydrate used for food and feed purposes. Therefore, it represents the major resource of our diet and the carbohydrate used for food and feed purposes. Thereafter, it represents the major resource of our diet and feedstock for many industrial applications, including bioethanol production (Smith, 2008). Understanding starch biosynthesis in plants could pave the way to new strategies to improve crop yield via the use of reverse genetics or marker-assisted breeding (Geigenberger and Fernie, 2006).

Starch metabolism has been the subject of intense research in the past, leading to a sound knowledge of the pathways and the enzymes involved. However, there are many open questions concerning the signals and mechanisms regulating starch metabolism. This review summarizes the current understanding of the regulation of the pathway of starch synthesis in higher plants. The first part will focus on the structure of the pathway, which is an important prerequisite for understanding its regulation. The second part is primarily concerned with signals and mechanisms regulating starch synthesis in response to fluctuations in the carbon and energy status of the plant. The pathway of starch remobilization has recently been reviewed extensively in the literature (Kötting et al., 2010; Zeeman et al., 2010) and will not be covered in any detail here.

THE PATHWAY OF STARCH BIOSYNTHESIS AND ITS SUBCELLULAR COMPARTMENTATION

Starch is an insoluble polymer of Glc residues synthesized inside plastids of higher plants. The pathway of starch synthesis has been clarified in the past and established for many plant species (for review, see Preiss, 1988; Ball and Morell, 2003; James et al., 2003; Geigenberger et al., 2004; Stitt et al., 2010; Vriet et al., 2010; Zeeman et al., 2010; and refs. therein). As outlined in Figure 1, the first committed step involves the conversion of Glc-1-P and ATP to ADP-Glc and inorganic pyrophosphate (PPi), catalyzed by ADP-Glc pyrophosphorylase (AGPase). ADP-Glc acts as the glucosyl donor for different classes of starch synthases (SS), which elongate the α-1,4-linked glucan chains of the two insoluble starch polymers amylose and amylpectin of which the granule is composed. Five distinct SS classes are known in plants: granule-bound SS, which is responsible for the synthesis of amylose; and soluble SS I to IV, responsible for amylpectin synthesis. Amylose is an essentially linear glucan that contains very few α-1,6-branches and makes up to 20% to 30% of normal starch, while amylpectin is more highly branched. Branch points are introduced by two classes of starch-branching enzymes (SBE I and II), which differ in terms of length of the glucan chains transferred and substrate specificities. Interestingly, starch synthesis also involves two types of debranching enzymes, which cleave branch points and are probably involved in tailoring the branched glucans into a form capable of crystallization within the granule. Genetic studies provide evidence that the different isoforms of SS, SBE, and debranching enzyme probably play specific roles in determining the complex structure of starch. They have to act in close coordination to synthesize the crystalline matrix of the starch granule. Intriguingly, SS III and SS IV have recently been implicated to be responsible for starch granule initiation (Szydloaeek et al., 2009).

In most tissues, AGPase is located exclusively in the plastid. In leaves in the light, Glc-1-P is synthesized...
from Calvin-Benson cycle intermediates via plastidic phosphoglucoisomerase and phosphoglucomutase (PGM), while ATP is provided by photophosphorylation at the thylakoid membrane (Fig. 1A). In non-photosynthetic tissues such as potato tubers (Fig. 1B), incoming Suc is mobilized by a series of cytosolic reactions to Glc-6-P, which is imported into the amyloplast in counterexchange with inorganic phosphate (Pi) by a Glc-6-P/Pi translocator (Kammerer et al., 1998) and subsequently converted to Glc-1-P via plastidial PGM. The second substrate of AGPase, ATP, is provided by mitochondrial respiration and imported into the plastid via the envelope ATP/ADP exchanger (Tjaden et al., 1998).

By contrast, in cereal seed endosperm, AGPase is mainly located in the cytosol, with a total AGPase activity of about 85% to 95% (James et al., 2003). ADP-Glc synthesized in the cytosol must be imported into the plastid to support starch synthesis. The maize (Zea mays) mutant of the ADP-Glc transporter, brittle1, was identified in 1926. The endosperm of this mutant was characterized by decreased starch content and a collapsed or brittle phenotype (Mangelsdorf and Jones, 1926). Recent transport studies have confirmed that this protein exchanges ADP-Glc in antiport with ADP in maize (Kirchberger et al., 2007) and wheat (Triticum aestivum; Bowsher et al., 2007). Overall, these studies show that cereal endosperm, being the most important source of starch worldwide, employs a unique pathway of starch biosynthesis that requires two additional steps, cytosolic AGPase and ADP-Glc transport, not present in other cereal tissues or noncereal plants (Fig. 1B). It seems likely that control of flux through this pathway will differ from that in other heterotrophic tissues such as potato tubers.

The classical and widely accepted pathway of starch synthesis was recently questioned. It was suggested that in leaves, ADP-Glc is synthesized in the cytosol by Suc synthase, using ADP and Suc as substrates, and then imported into the chloroplast for starch synthesis (Baroja-Fernández et al., 2004; Muñoz et al., 2005). The proposal of this controversial new pathway for starch synthesis led to a considerable debate in the literature (Baroja-Fernández et al., 2005; Neuhaus et al., 2005).

**Figure 1.** Schematic representation of the pathway of starch biosynthesis, its subcellular compartmentation, and distribution of flux control in photosynthetic leaves (A) and heterotrophic tissues (B). The reactions of the pathway of starch biosynthesis are catalyzed by the following enzymes: 1, phosphoglucoisomerase; 2, PGM; 3, AGPase; 4, SS; 5, SBE; 6, starch-debranching enzyme; 7, inorganic pyrophosphatase; 8, Suc synthase; 9 UDP-Glc pyrophosphorylase; 10, fructokinase; 11, ATP/ADP translocator; 12, Glc-6-P/Pi translocator; 13, cytosolic AGPase; and 14, ADP-Glc/ADP translocator (steps 13 and 14 are highlighted to be specific for cereal endosperm). The false color symbols represent the relative flux control coefficients (C) of the constituent enzymes, defined as the relation between the fractional change in enzyme activity (E) and starch flux (J). Missing symbols represent reactions for which experimental data are missing. Data were taken from Neuhaus and Stitt (1990) and Stitt et al. (2010) for leaves and from Geigenberger et al. (2004) for growing potato tubers.
Finally, genetic studies in Arabidopsis (*Arabidopsis thaliana*) showed that the classical pathway is most likely correct (Barratt et al., 2009; Streb et al., 2009). Suc synthase activity in Arabidopsis leaves is too low to account for the rate of starch synthesis, and removal of almost all Suc synthase activity in quadruple *sus* mutants did not lead to any changes in the levels of ADP-Glc or starch (Barratt et al., 2009). Moreover, subcellular metabolite analysis in growing potato tubers using nonaqueous fractionation showed that more than 95% of the total ADP-Glc is located in the plastid, providing evidence for the classical pathway of starch synthesis to operate also in tubers (A. Tiessen and P. Geigenberger, unpublished data).

The debate on the pathway of starch synthesis raised an interesting problem. It has been found that Arabidopsis *pgm1* null mutants with a complete knockout of plastidial PGM still harbor low but significant levels of ADP-Glc and starch (Muñoz et al., 2006; Streb et al., 2009). A possible explanation for the residual starch and ADP-Glc levels in the *pgm1* mutant could be import of Glc-1-P into the plastid. Transport studies revealed significant uptake of Glc-1-P into isolated chloroplasts, which explains the low-starch phenotype in the *pgm1* mutant, while it seems to be of minor relevance under normal conditions in the wild type (Fettke et al., 2011). Moreover, Glc-6-P/Pi transporter2, a hexose phosphate transporter at the inner plaste membrane (Geigenberger et al., 2004; Fig. 1B). The direct interconnection between cytosolic and plastidial hexose phosphate pools in photosynthesizing leaves suggests so far unnoticed intracellular carbon fluxes toward plastidial starch that may increase the flexibility of plant metabolism when starch synthesis is impaired and sugar supply is increasing (Fettke et al., 2011). Further studies, including nonaqueous fractionation techniques as established for leaves (Gerhardt et al., 1987) and potato tubers (Farré et al., 2001; Tiessen et al., 2002), will be necessary to finally resolve the subcellular distribution of hexose phosphates and ADP-Glc in different tissues, genotypes, and conditions.

### DISTRIBUTION OF FLUX CONTROL IN THE PATHWAY

Metabolic control analysis was developed in the early 1970s (Kacser and Burns, 1973) and is probably the most widely used mathematical tool for the study of control in plant systems (ap Rees and Hill, 1994). It quantifies the response of system variables (e.g. fluxes) to small changes in system parameters (e.g. the amount or activity of the individual enzymes). The relative contributions of enzymes to the control of flux in a pathway can be experimentally assessed by systematically creating, for every enzyme in the pathway, a set of plants with a stepwise reduction in the activity of the enzyme. The availability of mutants and transgenic lines with altered expression of the enzymes of the pathway of starch synthesis allowed systematic investigations into the contributions of each step in the pathway to control flux into starch. The work started in the early 1990 in Arabidopsis, driven by the availability of genetic resources, and was recently applied to growing potato tubers (Geigenberger et al., 2004). In Arabidopsis leaves, the majority of control has been found to reside in the reaction catalyzed by AGPase (Neuhaus and Stitt, 1990; Fig. 1A). This is in contrast with potato tubers, where control is shared between AGPase, plastidial PGM, and the plastidial adenylate transporter, with the vast predominance residing in the exchange of adenylates across the amyloplast membrane (Geigenberger et al., 2004; Fig. 1B). The different distribution of flux control in photosynthetic and nonphotosynthetic starch synthesis can be explained, since during photosynthesis the chloroplast can produce sufficient ATP to support starch synthesis, whereas in the amyloplast energy must be imported from the cytosol. In confirmation of these studies, overexpression of a heterologous AGPase (Stark et al., 1992) or plastidial adenylate transporter (Tjaden et al., 1998) led to increased starch accumulation in transgenic potato tubers.

Despite the great economical importance of cereal starch, systematic flux-control studies are lacking for cereal seed endosperm. Although mutants in individual steps of the pathway such as cytosolic AGPase and the brittle1 ADP-Glc transporter have been found to be deficient in starch accumulation (for review, see Jeon et al., 2010), the contributions of these enzymes to the control of flux into starch have not been quantified. Interestingly, when mutated forms of a heterologous AGPase were overexpressed in wheat (Smidansky et al., 2002), rice (*Oryza sativa*; Sakulsingharoj et al., 2004; Smidansky et al., 2003), and maize (Wang et al., 2007), seed weight and yield were increased. This provides evidence that AGPase is colimiting for overall starch accumulation also in cereal crops (for review, see Hannah and James, 2008).

### REGULATORY MECHANISMS

Even when an enzyme has a large control coefficient, it will only be able to contribute to regulation if mechanisms exist to alter the amount or activity of the enzyme. As reviewed in the following section, marked regulatory properties have been found for enzymes involved in starch biosynthesis, especially for AGPase, which is subject to multilevel regulation (Fig. 2).

### TRANSCRIPTIONAL REGULATION

Several genes involved in starch synthesis have been found to be subject to transcriptional regulation in diverse tissues such as Arabidopsis leaves (Smith...
Figure 2. Regulation of plastidial AGPase by multiple mechanisms allows starch synthesis to respond across a range of time scales to a variety of physiological and environmental stimuli. Plastidial AGPase is a heterotetramer that contains two large (APL; 51 kD) and two slightly smaller (APS; 50 kD) subunits, which both have regulatory functions. Top, Allosteric regulation by 3PGA and Pi operates in a time frame of seconds to adjust the rate of starch synthesis to the balance between photosynthesis and suc synthesis in leaves in the light and suc breakdown and respiration in tubers. Left, Posttranslational redox modulation involves reversible disulfide bond formation between Cys-82 of the two small APS1 subunits, leading to changes in AGPase activity in response to light and sugar signals in a time frame of minutes to hours. The signaling components leading to redox modulation of AGPase involve Trx and NTRC, which are linked to photoreduced Fdx and interact with different sugar signals. Right, In Arabidopsis leaves, APS1 and APL1 have been identified as potential targets for reversible protein phosphorylation. More studies are needed to investigate the in vivo relevance of this mechanism and the underlying plastidial kinase network. Bottom, Transcriptional regulation in response to changes in carbon and nutrient supply allows more gradual changes in AGPase activity, which may require up to days to develop. Red font indicates inhibition, blue font indicates activation, and question marks indicate unknown (see main text for references).

ALLOSTERIC REGULATION BY METABOLITES

While there is transcriptional regulation of genes involved in starch metabolism, changes in transcript levels are often not reflected by changes in the respective protein levels or enzyme activities (Geigenberger and Stitt, 2000; Gibon et al., 2004a; Smith et al., 2004). This suggests additional regulatory mechanisms at the posttranscriptional level. The activities of a number of starch metabolic enzymes have been shown to be modulated by effector molecules, which are often metabolic intermediates (Tetlow et al., 2004a). Allosteric regulation of AGPase was discovered in the 1960s...
response to light signals (Schürmann and Buchanan, Benson cycle and other photosynthetic proteins in isoforms that also activate enzymes of the Calvin-Benson cycle). The relative sensitivity of AGPase to these allosteric effectors seems to depend on the tissue and subcellular localization. Intriguingly, AGPases from wheat (Tetlow et al., 2004a) and barley endosperm (Doan et al., 1999) have been found to be largely insensitive to allosteric effectors.

**POSTTRANSLATIONAL PROTEIN MODIFICATION**

Research in the last 10 years has shown that starch biosynthesis is also regulated by posttranslational protein modification. AGPase has been discovered to be subject to posttranslational redox regulation, involving the reversible formation of an intermolecular Cys bridge between Cys-82 of the two small subunits of the heterotetrameric enzyme (Fu et al., 1998; Tiessen et al., 2002). The reduced and active form of AGPase contains the two small subunits as monomers, while the oxidized form contains these subunits as a dimer and displays low activity. Reduction of AGPase leads to dramatic alterations in the kinetic properties of the enzyme, resulting in an increase of the substrate affinities and the sensitivity to the allosteric activator 3PGA, while the sensitivity to inhibition by Pi is decreased (Tiessen et al., 2002). AGPase from potato tubers and pea (Pisum sativum) leaf chloroplasts has been shown to be reduced by thioredoxin (Trx) f and m in vitro (Ballicora et al., 2005; Geigenberger et al., 2005), representing Trx isoforms that also activate enzymes of the Calvin-Benson cycle and other photosynthetic proteins in leaves (Stitt et al., 1987) and to changes in the balance between Suc breakdown and respiration in tubers (Geigenberger et al., 1998). The study of Michalska et al. (2009) showed that NTRC mediates the reductive activation of AGPase by NADPH in vitro, while NTRC deletion mutants were used to provide evidence that NTRC performs this function also in vivo.

Using large-scale proteomics screens in Arabidopsis and other species, further starch-related proteins have been identified as potential Trx targets. This includes two enzymes of the starch synthesis pathway in wheat endosperm, the brittle1 ADP-Glc transporter and SBE IIa (Balmer et al., 2006), implying redox regulation of starch biosynthesis also to be present in cereal endosperm tissue. This may not involve cytosolic AGPase, since its small subunit is lacking the conserved regulatory Cys-82 (Hendriks et al., 2003). While redox regulation seems to be restricted to plastidial AGPase, more studies are clearly needed to investigate this type of regulation in cereal seeds. In addition to this, various enzymes involved in starch degradation have been found to be redox regulated, which may imply a coordinated regulation of starch synthesis and degradation by redox signals (for review, see Kötting et al., 2010).

More recent studies implicate reversible protein phosphorylation to play a role in the regulation of starch metabolism. In isolated amyloplasts from wheat endosperm, several enzymes involved in starch biosynthesis have been found to be phosphorylated, including different isoforms of SS and SBE (Tetlow et al., 2004b, 2008). Large-scale phosphoproteome profiling provides evidence for an extension of the role of protein phosphorylation to starch metabolic enzymes in maize (Grimaud et al., 2008) and Arabidopsis (Heazlewood et al., 2008; Lohrig et al., 2009; Reiland et al., 2009; Kötting et al., 2010). In Arabidopsis, several proteins involved in the pathway of starch biosynthesis in leaves have been identified as potential targets for reversible protein phosphorylation, such as phosphoglucose isomerase (At4g24620), PGM (At5g51820), AGPase small subunit (At5g48300) and AGPase large subunit (At5g19220), and SS III (At1g11720). More studies are needed to investigate the in vivo relevance of this mechanism. Several protein kinases and phosphatases have recently been identified to be potentially located in the plastid (Schlebner et al., 2008; Baginsky and Gruissem, 2009). Reverse genetic approaches will be necessary to identify whether they are involved in posttranslational modification of starch biosynthetic enzymes. In this respect, the possible interaction between redox regulation and protein phosphorylation is also an interesting avenue to follow (Bräutigam et al., 2009).
RESPONSE TO LIGHT SIGNALS

REGULATION OF STARCH BIOSYNTHESIS IN RESPONSE TO LIGHT SIGNALS

In the developing cereal endosperm, some of the enzymes of the starch biosynthetic pathway have been found to form protein complexes. Heterocomplexes comprising specific isoforms of SS and SBE have been identified in wheat (Tetlow et al., 2004b, 2008) and maize (Hennen-Bierwagen et al., 2008), and some complexes also have been found to include AGPase and starch phosphorylase (Tetlow et al., 2008; Hennen-Bierwagen et al., 2009). While the underlying mechanisms for complex formation are largely unresolved, there is evidence that the physical association of these proteins depends on their phosphorylation status (Tetlow et al., 2004b; Liu et al., 2009). Complex formation may serve to orchestrate the activities of the different SS and SBE isoforms acting on a common amylopectin substrate, which may help to improve the efficiency of starch polymer construction. However, direct evidence is lacking for the in vivo relevance and the physiological importance of these complexes for starch synthesis in the developing endosperm. Moreover, it is unclear whether similar starch enzyme complexes exist in other tissues. Intriguingly, enzymes previously unknown to be involved in plastidial starch synthesis also have been found within a complex from maize endosperm, including pyruvate:phosphate dikinase and Suc synthase (Hennen-Bierwagen et al., 2009). Further studies are needed to evaluate the significance of these results. Pyruvate:phosphate dikinase is generating PPi, and it has been suggested that an increase in the PPi concentration may lead to inhibition of AGPase activity in the plastid. However, the plastidial concentration of PPi in cereal endosperm is unknown, and its determination would require the adoption of the nonaqueous fractionation method to cereal endosperm tissues.

REGULATION OF STARCH BIOSYNTHESIS IN RESPONSE TO LIGHT SIGNALS

In the chloroplast of leaves, starch is synthesized during the day and degraded during the night. This requires a tight regulation of the pathways of starch synthesis and degradation in response to light signals. Two different mechanisms are acting on AGPase to turn starch synthesis on in the light and off in the dark. First, illumination of leaves or isolated chloroplasts leads to rapid redox activation of AGPase, which is completely reversed in the dark (Hendriks et al., 2003). Using transgenic Arabidopsis plants expressing a mutated AGPase where the regulatory Cys-82 of APS1 has been replaced by Ser, genetic evidence has been provided that redox regulation contributes to the coordination of starch synthesis and breakdown during the light/dark cycle, allowing complete inactivation of AGPase in the dark (Stitt et al., 2010). Second, allosteric regulation of AGPase by changes in the plastidial concentrations of 3PGA as activator and Pi as inhibitor provides a further mechanism for light/dark modulation of starch biosynthesis. 3PGA is the first fixation product of the Calvin-Benson cycle, and its concentration in the chloroplast stroma will increase when the fixation cycle is turned on in the light and decrease when it is turned off in the dark (Gerhardt et al., 1987). Pi will change inversely to 3PGA. Recently, overexpression of a mutated form of AGPase that is more sensitive to allosteric activation led to an increase in transitory starch synthesis, demonstrating the importance of the regulatory properties of AGPase for the regulation of diurnal starch synthesis in Arabidopsis leaves (Obana et al., 2006). Allosteric regulation and redox regulation will act synergistically on AGPase to achieve the activation of starch synthesis in the light and complete inactivation in the dark. First, redox regulation leads to changes in the sensitivity of the enzyme to its allosteric effectors, which are in line with changes in their concentrations in the chloroplast stroma in response to light/dark alterations. Second, studies with isolated chloroplasts show that light-dependent redox activation of AGPase itself is promoted by the allosteric activator 3PGA (Hendriks et al., 2003). This indicates a very close interaction between redox and allosteric regulation of AGPase to achieve a very efficient on/off regulation of starch synthesis in response to light/dark changes. The underlying mechanisms for the stimulation of redox activation of AGPase by 3PGA are unclear at the moment but may involve modification of the midpoint redox potential of the regulatory Cys-82 by metabolites, as shown for photosynthetic enzymes (Scheibe, 1991).

Light-dependent redox activation of AGPase resembles the light activation of enzymes of the Calvin-Benson cycle and related photosynthetic processes (Fig. 3). Photosynthetic electron transport leads to reduction of ferredoxin (Fdx), and reducing equivalents are transferred by ferredoxin:thioredoxin reductase (FTR) to Trx f and m, which activate target enzymes by reduction of regulatory disulfides (Schürmann and Buchanan, 2008). Both starch synthesis and the Calvin-Benson cycle are activated by reduced Trx f, allowing photosynthesis and end product synthesis to be coordinately regulated in response to light via the same signaling pathway. Studies on Arabidopsis knockout mutants will be required to evaluate the significance of the different plastidial Trx isoforms (see above) and FTR for redox activation of AGPase and starch synthesis during light/dark changes.

A further signaling pathway contributing to light-dependent redox activation of AGPase is provided by NTRC, which uses NADPH to reduce AGPase (Michalska et al., 2009). Arabidopsis knockout mutants showed that 40% to 60% of the light activation of AGPase and the associated increase in starch synthesis are attributable to NTRC. In the light, NTRC is linked to photoreduced Fdx via Fdx:NADPH reductase and complements the classical FTR/Trx system in
REGULATION OF STARCH BIOSYNTHESIS IN RESPONSE TO SUGAR SIGNALS

Changes in the light/dark cycle will also lead to strong alterations in the carbon balance of the plant. Moreover, plants experience massive fluctuations of carbon availability when the rate of photosynthesis is modified due to changes in light intensity/quality, daylength, or abiotic stress conditions or when the rate of carbon use is changed for growth and development. This is buffered by accumulation and remobilization of starch as a carbon reserve, integrating changes in the balance between carbon supply and growth (Gibon et al., 2009; Sulpice et al., 2009; Stitt et al., 2010). In leaves, sugar-dependent regulation allows starch synthesis to be linked to photosynthetic activity and carbon export rates to growing tissue. Starch synthesis also has to be regulated during the day in a manner to provide sufficient carbon for growth and metabolism in the following night. If plants are immediately shifted to short-day conditions allowing less photosynthesis per day, sugars are depleted during the night, leading to a temporary restriction of carbon utilization for growth, which is then followed by an accumulation of sugars and a stimulation of starch biosynthesis in the subsequent photoperiod (Gibon et al., 2004b). In nonphotosynthetic storage organs such as growing potato tubers, starch synthesis has to be regulated in response to fluctuations in the supply of Suc from the leaves due to changes in the light/dark cycle, sink-source alterations, or developmental changes (Geigenberger and Stitt, 2000; Tiessen et al., 2002). If more carbon is available, starch synthesis is specifically activated to channel a greater proportion of the incoming Suc into starch.

Transcriptional regulation will be involved in long-term acclimation of starch metabolism to changes in the carbon status and photoperiodic signals (Blässing et al., 2005; Gibon et al., 2009; Graf et al., 2010; Harmer, 2010). However, it is unlikely that this mechanism will contribute significantly to the more short-term regulation of starch synthesis in response to diurnal changes in sugar levels, since changes in transcripts within this time frame are in most cases not followed by changes in protein levels in leaves or tubers (Geigenberger and Stitt, 2000; Gibon et al., 2004a; Smith et al., 2004). In both Arabidopsis leaves (Gibon et al., 2004b; Kolbe et al., 2005) and growing potato tubers (Tiessen et al., 2002), the stimulation of starch synthesis in response to a change in sugar levels occurred already within 1 to 2 h and involved posttranslational redox activation of AGPase. In leaves, redox activation of AGPase increased during the day as leaf sugar levels increased, an effect that is more pronounced when carbon utilization for growth is restricted (Hendriks et al., 2003; Gibon et al., 2004b). External feeding of Suc or Glc to leaves in the dark showed that sugar-dependent redox activation of AGPase and stimulation of starch synthesis occur independently of light (Hendriks et al., 2003;
Kolbe et al., 2005). Moreover, activation of AGPase in leaves (Hendriks et al., 2003) and growing tubers (Tiessen et al., 2002) was closely correlated with the sugar content across a range of physiological and genetic manipulations. Light led to an additional activation in leaves, showing both sugar- and light-dependent redox activation of AGPase to be additive (Hendriks et al., 2003). As demonstrated for potato tubers, Suc-dependent redox activation of AGPase can override allostERIC regulation by changes in the 3PGA-Pi ratio, leading to an activation of AGPase also in the face of decreasing levels of substrates and the activator 3PGA and increasing levels of the inhibitor Pi (Tiessen et al., 2002). This allows the rate of starch synthesis to be increased in response to external inputs and independently of any increase in the levels of phosphorylated intermediates. In darkened leaves and roots of Arabidopsis plants, knockout of NTRC almost completely prevented sugar-dependent redox activation of AGPase and the related stimulation of starch synthesis (Michalska et al., 2009). This provides direct evidence for (1) the importance of the NADP-NTRC system for the reduction of AGPase in nonphotosynthetic tissues and (2) the in vivo relevance of redox activation of AGPase to mediate the sugar-dependent stimulation of starch accumulation (Fig. 3). The oxidative pentose phosphate pathway most likely functions in the production of NADPH to activate NTRC under nonphotosynthetic conditions, although more studies are needed to evaluate its contribution to regulate AGPase and starch biosynthesis. External supply of Glc to darkened leaves and heterotrophic potato tubers led to a strong increase in hexose phosphate levels via hexokinase and to a subsequent increase in the reduction state of the NADP system, leading to redox activation of AGPase (Geigenberger et al., 2005; Kolbe et al., 2005). In contrast to this, increased redox activation of AGPase by Suc was not accompanied by substantial changes in the hexose phosphate levels or the NADP reduction state, implying that additional signaling mechanisms are involved.

There is evidence implicating the sugar signaling molecule trehalose-6-phosphate (Tre-6-P) in the signal transduction pathway that mediates Suc-dependent redox activation of AGPase (Kolbe et al., 2005; Lunn et al., 2006; Fig. 3). Tre-6-P is the phosphorylated intermediate of trehalose biosynthesis and has been found as an indispensable regulator of sugar utilization and growth in eukaryotic organisms as different as yeast and plants (Paul et al., 2008). Different lines of evidence have been provided that Tre-6-P promotes redox activation of AGPase in response to Suc. First, Tre-6-P levels showed an accentuated increase in response to increasing Suc levels during the diurnal cycle in leaves or after external feeding of Suc to carbon-starved seedlings, leading to redox activation of AGPase and stimulation of starch synthesis (Lunn et al., 2006). Second, addition of micromolar concentrations of Tre-6-P to isolated intact chloroplasts led to a specific stimulation of reductive activation of AGPase within 15 min (Kolbe et al., 2005). Uptake studies using radiolabeled Tre-6-P provide evidence for a transport system with micromolar affinities for Tre-6-P at the chloroplast envelope (J. Michalska and P. Geigenberger, unpublished data). Third, increased Tre-6-P levels by expression of a heterologous Tre-6-P synthase in the cytosol led to increased redox activation of AGPase and starch in Arabidopsis leaves, while expression of a Tre-6-P phosphatase to decrease Tre-6-P levels showed the opposite effect (Kolbe et al., 2005). Moreover, Tre-6-P phosphatase expression strongly attenuated the increase in AGPase activation in response to external Suc feeding. While this establishes Tre-6-P as an intracellular signal linking Suc in the cytosol with AGPase in the plastid, it remains unclear at the molecular level (1) how Tre-6-P is connected with Suc, (2) how it is transported into the plastid, and (3) by which mechanism(s) it modulates thioREDoxin/NTRC-dependent activation of AGPase. In addition to its role in metabolic signaling, Tre-6-P may also be involved in other signaling pathways leading to changes in cell shape, leaf, and branching phenotypes (Sato-Nagasawa et al., 2006; Chary et al., 2008). More studies are needed to dissect the emerging role of Tre-6-P in the coordination of metabolism with development.

Studies in potato tubers (Tiessen et al., 2003; McKibbin et al., 2006) and Arabidopsis leaves (Jossier et al., 2009) also implicate the highly conserved SNF1-related protein kinase (SnRK1) to be involved in the signaling pathway linking redox activation of AGPase and starch synthesis to sugars. Recent studies provide evidence that Tre-6-P inhibits SnRK1 activity (Zhang et al., 2009), indicating a possible feedback loop that turns down SnRK1 signaling when Tre-6-P is accumulating. However, this depended on the presence of an unidentified component that was present in many growing tissues, but not in mature leaves, indicating that the interactions between Tre-6-P and SnRK1 may be indirect and tissue specific. It will obviously be of great interest to identify and further analyze the relation between Tre-6-P and SnRK1 signaling, which may involve interactions at the transcriptional (Paul et al., 2008) and posttranslational (Harthill et al., 2006) levels. Interestingly, antisense repression of SnRK1 in developing pea embryos led to an inhibition of starch accumulation despite sugar and Tre-6-P levels that were increased, indicating that changes in SnRK1 can override the Tre-6-P-dependent regulation of starch biosynthesis (Radchuk et al., 2010). This suggests SnRK1 to be involved in different signaling pathways acting on starch synthesis. In mammals, AMP-activated protein kinase, which is homologous to SnRK1, has recently been found to be inhibited by glycogen and suggested to act as a glycogen sensor (McBride et al., 2009). Whether there is a similar sensing mechanism in plants that monitors starch availability remains to be determined.
REGULATION OF STARCH BIOSYNTHESIS IN RESPONSE TO CHANGES IN MITOCHONDRIAL METABOLISM

In addition to changes in the carbon status, mitochondrial metabolism has recently been implicated in the regulation of starch accumulation in the plastid (Geigenberger et al., 2010). Mitochondrial respiration is linked to starch due to its predominant role to provide ATP to fuel starch biosynthesis in heterotrophic tissues. In developing tubers and seeds, inhibition of respiration in response to a decrease in internal oxygen concentrations led to a decrease in the cellular energy status and in the rate of starch synthesis (Geigenberger, 2003b). Moreover, starch accumulation was stimulated and the adenylate energy state increased when growing tubers were exposed to super-ambient oxygen concentrations, indicating that the levels of adenylate pools are colimiting for starch synthesis in growing tubers (A. Langer, J.T. van Dongen, and P. Geigenberger, unpublished data). This conclusion was further strengthened by several independent studies providing genetic and physiological evidence that manipulation of the synthesis (Loef et al., 2001; Oliver et al., 2008), equilibration (Regierer et al., 2002; Oliver et al., 2008; Riewe et al., 2008b), salvaging (Riewe et al., 2008a), or transport (Tjaden et al., 1998; Geigenberger et al., 2001) of adenylates led to corresponding changes in the rate of tuber starch synthesis. The stimulation of starch synthesis was mechanistically linked to an increase in AGPase activity. This suggests a close interaction between ATP availability in the plastid, AGPase activity, and starch biosynthesis. There are two possible explanations. First, AGPase activity is most likely restricted by the plastidial concentration of ATP as a substrate (Geigenberger et al., 2001). This conclusion is supported by studies on subcellular metabolite concentrations in growing potato tubers, showing that the plastidial ATP concentration is close to the $K_m$ (ATP) of AGPase (Farré et al., 2001; Tiessen et al., 2002). Second, increased ATP levels and ATP-AMP ratios were closely linked to an increase in the redox activation state of AGPase (Oliver et al., 2008; Riewe et al., 2008b). The underlying mechanism is unclear at the moment, but it may involve changes in the midpoint redox potential of the regulatory Cys of APS1 in response to binding of ATP as substrate. Alternatively, redox regulation of AGPase may be subject to low-energy signaling involving SnRK1 (Baena-González et al., 2007). Although there is no direct activation of SnRK1 by changes in adenylate levels, AMP has been shown to modulate the phosphorylation state of SnRK1, leading to an increase in its activity in vitro (Sugden et al., 1999).

More recently, changes in mitochondrial malate metabolism have been implicated in the regulation of plastidial starch synthesis. In transgenic potato tubers, antisense inhibition of malic enzyme, catalyzing the NAD-dependent conversion of malate to pyruvate in the mitochondrial matrix, led to activation of AGPase and increased accumulation of starch (Jenner et al., 2001). Starch synthesis was also altered in transgenic tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) plants with antisense repression of mitochondrial malate dehydrogenase or fumarase, which was shown to be mechanistically linked to an altered redox status of AGPase in the plastid (Centeno et al., 2011). While the intracellular signals linking mitochondrial malate metabolism to the plastid still have to be resolved, a strong correlation was observed between changes in cellular malate concentration, NADP reduction state, and starch synthesis in the fruit (Centeno et al., 2011). Similar effects were observed after external supply of malate to tomato fruit tissue. It is quite likely that the increase in the reduction state of NADP activates plastidial NTRC, which in turn leads to redox activation of AGPase and starch synthesis. This would suggest that NTRC-related reduction of AGPase can be triggered by a mitochondrially derived metabolite, signaling changes in the mitochondrial redox status to the plastid.

CONCLUSION

There have been recent advances in our understanding of the regulation of starch synthesis in response to environmental and metabolic signals. However, our knowledge of the signal transduction cascades remains far from complete. Specifically, there is a lack of knowledge on the molecular identity of the sensors, the intracellular signaling pathways, and the integration between photosynthetic and metabolic signals. Work in the last years also extended our understanding of the role of posttranslational protein modifications and protein-protein interaction in the regulation of starch synthesis. Evidence is emerging that starch synthesis is regulated by reversible protein phosphorylation and protein complex formation. However, it remains unclear whether these mechanisms are significant in vivo and whether their roles can be generalized for different plant species. More work will be needed to achieve a better understanding of these important aspects of the regulation of starch synthesis and to apply this knowledge for crop improvement.
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