Laser Microdissection Unravels Cell-Type-Specific Transcription in Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Roots, Including CAAT-Box Transcription Factor Gene Expression Correlating with Fungal Contact and Spread[^W]
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Arbuscular mycorrhizae (AM) are the most widespread symbioses on Earth, promoting nutrient supply of most terrestrial plant species. To unravel gene expression in defined stages of *Medicago truncatula* root colonization by AM fungi, we here combined genome-wide transcriptome profiling based on whole mycorrhizal roots with real-time reverse transcription-PCR experiments that relied on characteristic cell types obtained via laser microdissection. Our genome-wide approach delivered a core set of 512 genes significantly activated by the two mycorrhizal fungi *Glomus intraradices* and *Glomus mosseae*. Focusing on 62 of these genes being related to membrane transport, signaling, and transcriptional regulation, we distinguished whether they are activated in arbuscule-containing or the neighboring cortical cells harboring fungal hyphae. In addition, cortical cells from nonmycorrhizal roots served as a reference for gene expression under noncolonized conditions. Our analysis identified 25 novel arbuscule-specific genes and 37 genes expressed both in the arbuscule-containing and the adjacent cortical cells colonized by fungal hyphae. Among the AM-induced genes specifying transcriptional regulators were two members encoding CAAT-box binding transcription factors (CBFs), designated *MtCbf1* and *MtCbf2*. Promoter analyses demonstrated that both genes were already activated by the first physical contact between the symbionts. Subsequently, and corresponding to our cell-type expression patterns, they were progressively up-regulated in those cortical areas colonized by fungal hyphae, including the arbuscule-containing cells. The encoded CBFs thus represent excellent candidates for regulators that mediate a sequential reprogramming of root tissues during the establishment of an AM symbiosis.

Ecto- and endomycorrhizal symbioses between higher plants and soil fungi are the most widespread beneficial plant-microbe interactions on Earth. Mycorrhizae are characterized by the transfer of limiting nutrients, in particular phosphorus and nitrogen, from fungal hyphae to the plant. In return, plants deliver hexoses to the fungi (Nehls et al., 2007), leading to a strongly increased photosynthate allocation to mycorrhizal roots. Apart from direct advantageous effects resulting from improved nutrition, indirect benefits of mycorrhizal interactions are enhanced resistances against abiotic and biotic stress conditions (Smith and Read, 2008).

Some 80% of all terrestrial plants enter an arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM) symbiosis with *Glomeromyctes* fungi (Schüssler et al., 2001). During AM, extraradical hyphae emerging from germinating spores penetrate the rhizodermis via hyphopodia, pass through outer cortical cells, and proliferate in the inner cortex (Parniske, 2008). In *Aruni*-type AM, these intraradical hyphae form highly branched intracellular structures termed arbuscules (Harrison, 1999). It has been shown that arbuscules are transient structures that only operate for a couple of days, indicating a tight control of their development and function (Harrison, 2005). Transfer of phosphorus and other minerals from fungal hyphae to the plant cytoplasm occurs at the periarbuscular interface that comprehends the fungal arbuscular membrane, the periarbuscular matrix, and the plant periarbuscular membrane (Parniske, 2000, 2008). The uptake of phosphorus is energy dependent and requires plant and fungal H+-ATPases (Requena et al., 2003) for an acidification of the periarbuscular space. Based on the localization of reporter proteins, Pumplin and Harrison (2009) demonstrated that different proteins are apparently targeted to specific domains of the periarbuscular membrane, indicating the presence of functional compartments at the symbiotic interface. In addition to AM-specific phosphate transporters essential for symbiotic inorganic phosphate transfer (Harrison et al., 2002; Bucher, 2007; Javot et al., 2007), two half ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters have recently
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been shown to be required for a functional AM symbiosis (Zhang et al., 2010).

An important benefit of legumes as AM models derives from the fact that this genus is able to enter a second beneficial plant-microbe interaction that leads to the development of nitrogen-fixing root nodules (Brewin, 1991). To initiate nodulation, secreted flavonoids first induce rhizobial genes required for the synthesis of lipochitooligosaccharide (LCO) nodulation (Nod) factors. These Nod factors, after perception by plant LysM-domain receptor kinases (Arrighi et al., 2006), activate downstream responses; that way inducing the formation of nodule primordia and mediating bacterial infection (Oldroyd and Downie, 2008). During AM, a similar molecular dialogue is initiated by the host plant via strigolactones that promote the branching of fungal hyphae and activate fungal metabolism (Akiyama et al., 2005; Besserer et al., 2006).

Subsequent recognition of AM fungi by the host involves the perception of diffusible Myc signals (Kosuta et al., 2003) including LCOs structurally related to rhizobial Nod factors (Maillet et al., 2011). Together, the diffusible Myc signals prepare root infection by communicating the symbiotic nature of mycorrhizal fungi (Oldroyd et al., 2005; Ercolin and Reinhardt, 2011). Following their entry via hyphopodia, fungal hyphae grow along a prepenetration apparatus (PPA), a cytoplasmic channel formed after the establishment of hyphopodia (Genre et al., 2005, 2008). This coordinated cytological response of epidermal cells indicates that in addition to diffusible Myc signals acting at a distance (Kuhn et al., 2010), there are others that require fungal contact (Kosuta et al., 2003; Kloppholz et al., 2011). It has to be pointed out that signaling also takes place in later stages of the symbiosis; where genes specifically expressed in arbuscule-containing cells have to be activated (Harrison, 2005). One such late signal triggering the induction of a phosphate transporter gene was identified as lyso-phosphatidylcholine (Drissner et al., 2007).

Targeted molecular research on AM suffers from two obstacles: an asynchronous development of the symbiosis leading to the concomitant presence of different stages, and an obligate biotrophy of AM fungi. In the last couple of years, untargeted high-throughput expression profiling was pursued to generate an inventory of AM-induced genes (Liu et al., 2003; Wulf et al., 2003; Frenzel et al., 2005; Hohnjec et al., 2005, 2006; Küster et al., 2007b). These approaches benefited from the identification of two legumes that proved to be excellent AM models: *Medicago truncatula* (Barker et al., 1990; Rose, 2008) and *Lotus japonicus* (Handberg and Stougaard, 1992). In case of *M. truncatula*, current research relies on an advanced genome project (Cannon et al., 2009; Young and Udvardi, 2009), more than 250,000 ESTs in the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute (DFCI) *Medicago* Gene Index (Quackenbush et al., 2001), and different microarray as well as GeneChip tools (Küster et al., 2004, 2007a; Hohnjec et al., 2005; Lohar et al., 2006; Benedito et al., 2008). Publicly available expression profiles based on EST, microarray, and GeneChip data can be queried using the DFCI *Medicago* Gene Index (Quackenbush et al., 2001), the Truncatulix (Hencell et al., 2009), and MediPlEx (Hencell et al., 2010) data warehouses as well as the *M. truncatula* Gene Expression Atlas, the latter exclusively integrating expression profiles generated via GeneChips (Benedito et al., 2008).

Although the transcriptome studies performed so far resulted in an identification of hundreds of AM-related genes (Balestrini and Lanfranco, 2006; Hohnjec et al., 2006), there is limited information on the signaling components activated during the formation of arbuscules and in particular on the transcriptional regulators involved in the reprogramming of root cortical cells toward an accommodation of symbiotic fungi. One obvious reason for this can be seen in the fact that most AM-related expression profiles were based on pooled tissue samples containing a mixture of different cell types and stages of arbuscule development. To overcome this problem, Balestrini et al. (2007) pioneered the use of laser microdissection for the identification of arbuscule-specific phosphate transporter genes in AM roots of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) via reverse transcription (RT)-PCR. A similar approach was later used in the studies of Gomez et al. (2009) and Guether et al. (2009) to track genes up-regulated in arbuscule-containing cells of *M. truncatula* and *L. japonicus*, respectively. On the level of individual genes, detailed in situ expression analyses were performed for selected genes (Küster et al., 2007b), including among others the phosphate transporter gene *MtPt4* (Liu et al., 2003), the *MtBcp1* gene encoding a blue-copper-binding protein (Hohnjec et al., 2005), and members of the AM-induced lectin gene family (Frenzel et al., 2005). Nevertheless, to our knowledge no promoter of a transcription factor (TF) gene specifically activated in AM roots was investigated so far.

In this study, we intended to sharpen our view on AM-related gene expression by a two-step approach. First, we performed a global transcriptome analysis of *M. truncatula* roots inoculated with the two widely studied AM fungus *Glomus intraradices* and *Glomus mosseae*. By using these two different microsymbionts, we were able to make use of the overlap of gene activation in both AM interactions, that way minimizing strain- or inoculum-related effects. To achieve a genome-wide identification of AM-activated genes, we relied on *Medicago* GeneChips; reported to cover more than 80% of the gene space in the model legume *M. truncatula* (Benedito et al., 2008). This marked extension of gene-specific probes significantly advanced earlier microarray-based AM transcriptome studies (Liu et al., 2003; Manthey et al., 2004; Hohnjec et al., 2005), leading to the identification of a core set of 512 *M. truncatula* genes involved in both AM interactions. In a second step, we intended to shed light on the spatial expression of a subset of AM-related genes. To achieve this goal, we performed cellular expression via...
studies via real-time RT-PCR, using RNA isolated from distinct pools of laser-microdissected cells. In our study, gene expression in *M. truncatula* arbuscule-containing cells was directly compared with transcription in the adjacent cortical cells colonized by fungal hyphae. As a control, cortical cells from nonmycorrhized roots were used to gain information on gene expression in the absence of a symbiotic interaction. With an emphasis on genes encoding membrane transporters, signaling-related proteins, and transcriptional regulators, this approach identified novel components of the cell-specific program orchestrating AM symbiosis. In total, we identified 25 arbuscule-specific genes, while 37 genes were activated both in arbuscule-containing and in the neighboring cells. Together, these results highlight general mechanisms underlying fungal colonization up to the formation of arbuscules. Among the transcriptional regulators, we identified two highly similar genes encoding CAAT-box binding TFs (CBFs), which we analyzed in more detail via the expression of promoter-GUS fusions in transgenic roots. Remarkably, both genes are already activated by the initial physical contact between fungal hyphae and the plant epidermis and are expressed concomitantly with fungal colonization of the root cortex up to the formation of arbuscules, making the encoded CBFs excellent candidates for regulators mediating the sequential reprogramming of root tissues during the establishment of an AM symbiosis.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Genome-Wide Transcriptome Profiling Identifies a Core Set of 512 *M. truncatula* Genes Related to Root Colonization by AM Fungi**

*M. truncatula* roots colonized with either *G. intraradices* or *G. mosseae* under conditions of phosphate limitation (20 μM phosphate) were stained for fungal structures 28 d post inoculation (dpi; McGonigle et al., 1990). To minimize dilution by noncolonized regions, we selected roots with a similarly high root length colonization (RLC; 60%–80%) and high arbuscule frequencies (60%–75%) for the isolation of total RNA. Samples were checked for AM (*MtPt4*) and nodule marker (*MtEnod18*) gene expression via RT-PCR, as described by Hohnjec et al. (2005). Since some AM-induced genes can be activated by phosphate, we incorporated a study of gene expression in nonmycorrhizal roots grown for 28 d in the presence of a high (2 mM) phosphate concentration. As a common control, nonmycorrhizal roots grown for 28 d under phosphate limitation (20 μM phosphate) were harvested.

Total RNA isolated from three biological replicates of mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal roots was used for GeneChip hybridizations. In our study, each biological replicate is defined as a pool comprising five root systems. The complete dataset is available from the Gene Expression Omnibus (accession no. GSE32208) and is also included as Supplemental Table S1. Applying a 2-fold induction at a false discovery rate (FDR)-corrected *P* value of *P* < 0.05 as a cutoff, we found that 1,214 and 888 genes were up-regulated in *G. intraradices*- and *G. mosseae*-colonized roots, respectively, whereas 576 genes were coactivated in both AM interactions (Fig. 1; Supplemental Table S2).

Of the 576 AM-induced genes, 44 were also activated at the cutoffs mentioned above in roots treated with 2 mM phosphate, leaving a core set of 532 genes significantly induced by two different AM fungi but not via elevated phosphate levels (Fig. 1; Supplemental Table S2). The low overlap between phosphate-induced gene expression and transcriptional activation by AM fungal colonization is in line with reports of Liu et al. (2003) and Hohnjec et al. (2005), illustrating that phosphate supply is unable to mimic AM-induced gene expression.

Our core set of 532 AM-related genes is supported by a search in the MediPlEx database (Henckel et al., 2010), combining in silico gene expression in AM EST collections from the DFCI Medicago Gene Index (libraries #ARE, #ARB, T1682, #GFS, 5520, #9CR) with the GeneChip hybridizations detailed above. This search returned 91 genes of the core set as AM induced with an *R* value larger than 2 (Stekel et al., 2000; Supplemental Table S2). Moreover, our core set contained 51 genes reported as coinduced in the same two AM interactions when relying on oligonucleotide microarrays (Hohnjec et al., 2005; Supplemental Table S2), whereas it encompassed 346 genes reported as
activated at least 2-fold by *G. intraradices* under different experimental conditions on the basis of *Medicago* GeneChips (Gomez et al., 2009; Supplemental Table S2).

Among the core set of AM-related genes, 15 well-known AM expression markers (Hohnjec et al., 2006; Küster et al., 2007b) were identified as strongly induced in our conditions (Table I). As an example, the *MtPt4* gene encoding a phosphate transporter known to be required for an efficient AM symbiosis (Javot et al., 2007) was activated more than 1,000 (log$_2$ = 10.02)- and 400 (log$_2$ = 8.65)-fold in *G. intraradices*- and *G. mosseae*-colonized roots, respectively. This very strong induction of AM-related marker genes (Table I) indicates that our approach should allow an identification also of those genes expressed either transiently or activated less strongly during arbuscule development.

Via comparisons of *Medicago* GeneChip probes to currently available *G. intraradices* sequences (Tisserant et al., 2011), 20 *Glomus* genes were identified among the set of 532 genes coinduced in both AM interactions (Supplemental Table S2). The remaining 512 AM-related *M. truncatula* genes were grouped into functional categories, based on automated annotations of the encoded gene products via the Sequence Analysis and Management System software (Bekel et al., 2009) and Gene Ontology classifications (http://www.medicago.org). In addition, MapMan (Usadel et al., 2005) was used to visualize gene expression profiles and to identify functional categories expressed most significantly different from others.

In Figure 2, the distribution of AM-coinduced genes into functional categories is shown. Typical AM-related gene families of unknown biological function encoding annexins (e.g. *MtAnn2*; Manthey et al., 2004), blue-copper proteins (e.g. *MtBcp1*; Hohnjec et al., 2005), germin-like proteins (e.g. *MtGlp1*; Doll et al., 2003), and lectins (e.g. *MtLec5* and *MtLec7*; Frenzel et al., 2005) are classified separately. For all these gene families except the one encoding annexins, comparisons to the *M. truncatula* Gene Expression Atlas (He et al., 2009) revealed that their members were expressed either specifically or were almost exclusively activated in AM roots in comparison to all other tissues analyzed (data not shown), that way widening our knowledge on previously unknown AM-related family members.

In addition to these gene families, the following functional categories were most prominent (Fig. 2; Supplemental Table S2): (1) cell wall biosynthesis and modification, including several enzymes related to a remodeling of the extracellular matrix; (2) chitin degradation, including several chitinases that might be involved in the disassembly of fungal structures; (3) protein degradation, including a range of different proteinases and peptidases that can be connected to the dynamic turnover of mycorrhizal structures; (4) hormone metabolism, including several genes involved in gibberellin biosynthesis; and (5) secondary metabolism, including a high number of cytochromes, components of the carotenoid metabolism, and UDP-sugar transferases. The activation of these functions is in line with previous reports on gene expression in AM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table I. <em>M. truncatula</em> AM marker genes activated in roots colonized with <em>G. intraradices</em> and <em>G. mosseae</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Probe IDs of <em>Medicago</em> GeneChips are referenced to the corresponding DFCI <em>M. truncatula</em> Gene Index IDs (release 10) and to <em>M. truncatula</em> gene names from the literature. Log$_2$ ratios of gene expression for <em>G. intraradices</em>-colonized (Gi-Myc), <em>G. mosseae</em>-colonized (Gm-Myc), and 2 mM phosphate-treated (2 mM-P) roots, all measured against roots grown at 20 μM phosphate, are given. Whereas all 15 AM marker genes are significantly up-regulated in the AM roots used for expression profiling, none of them is activated in roots treated with 2 mM phosphate. FDR-corrected P-values (FDR-P) are indicated. References for the AM-induced genes identified are as follows: <em>MtPt4</em> (Javot et al., 2007), <em>MtMyb1</em> (Liu et al., 2003), <em>MtTi1</em> (Grunwald et al., 2004), <em>MtLec7</em> (Frenzel et al., 2005), <em>MtGst1</em> (Wulf et al., 2003), <em>MtBcp1</em> (Hohnjec et al., 2005), <em>MtGlp1</em> (Doll et al., 2003), <em>MtHa1</em> (Krajinski et al., 2002), <em>MtScp1</em> (Liu et al., 2003), <em>MtVapyrin</em> (Pumplin et al., 2010), <em>MtSbtM1</em> (Takeda et al., 2011), <em>MtZip7</em> (Burleigh et al., 2003), <em>MtAnn2</em> (Manthey et al., 2004), and <em>MtDxs2</em> (Floss et al., 2008).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene Chip Probe ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.43062.1.S1_at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.8863.1.S1_at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.16454.1.S1_at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.45648.1.S1_at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.15957.1.S1_at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.15627.1.S1_at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.15653.1.S1_at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.12500.1.S1_at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.43470.1.S1_at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.40285.1.S1_at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.39050.1.S1_at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.32129.1.S1_at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.40995.1.S1_at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.20364.1.S1_at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.43585.1.S1_at</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
roots (Liu et al., 2003; Wulf et al., 2003; Hohnjec et al., 2006; Küster et al., 2007b; Guether et al., 2009).

Interestingly, the categories membrane transporters, signaling, and TFs were not only prominent but their members were also expressed most significantly different from others in AM roots (data not shown), based on statistical analyses implemented in MapMan (Usadel et al., 2005). In addition, many of these candidate genes either displayed a mycorrhiza-specific or mycorrhiza-enhanced expression in AM roots according to the Medicago Gene Expression Atlas (He et al., 2009), suggesting a relevance for AM interactions. Remarkably, these analyses also returned six TF genes coinduced in our conditions as being specifically expressed in AM tissues (Supplemental Fig. S1).

Since the three cellular functions mentioned are particularly relevant for coordinating the reprogramming of root cortical cells toward an accommodation of fungal structures, we investigated the cell-type expression of 71 candidate genes selected from these categories via laser microdissection.

Longitudinal Sections Allow an Accurate Separation of Specific Cell Types from AM Roots via Laser Microdissection

We used laser microdissection to obtain specific pools of three different cell types in four biological replicates: cortical cells from noncolonized control roots (CCR), cortical cells from mycorrhizal roots (CMR), and cortical cells containing arbuscules (ARB; Fig. 3). We found that embedding of roots in Steedman’s wax (Gomez et al., 2009) preserves root morphology, allowing an identification of vascular tissues, cortical cells, and the epidermal cell layer including root hairs (Fig. 3, A–C). In contrast to transverse sections (Balestrini et al., 2007; Gomez et al., 2009), our longitudinal sections offered the possibility to evaluate the colonization status of complete root regions. Chains of arbuscules in different developmental stages and fungal hyphae growing in the extracellular space of adjacent cortical cells were clearly visible (Fig. 3, E–H). This facilitated cell harvest and allowed us to focus not only on mature arbuscules filling the complete cell lumen (ARB samples; Fig. 3, D, G, H), but also on cortical cells interspersed with fungal hyphae in the immediate neighborhood of arbuscule-containing cells (CMR samples; Fig. 3, E and F). Since each cell pool from the CMR cell type differs in the density and growth of fungal hyphae, the CMR samples are expected to display the strongest variation of transcriptional changes.

To assess the suitability of the collected samples and to check in particular for cross-contamination between CMR and ARB samples, the expression of the six AM marker genes MtPt4, MtBcp1, MtScp1, MtLec5, MtGlp1, and MtHa1 (Harrison et al., 2002; Krajinski et al., 2002; Doll et al., 2003; Liu et al., 2003; Frenzel et al., 2005; Hohnjec et al., 2005) was measured in all four biological replicates. Whereas transcripts of the MtTefα gene used as a constitutive control were amplified from all three cell types (Fig. 3, A–C), the expression of the six AM-specific genes tested was not induced by a treatment with 2 mM phosphate (Supplemental Table S2) were grouped into functional categories. The number of genes allocated to each functional category is indicated. The bars are colored as follows: black, functional categories studied by laser microdissection; dark gray, AM-related gene families; light gray, other functional categories.
The phosphate transporter gene *MtPt4* was used as an expression marker for cross-contamination between the CMR and ARB samples, since this gene is exclusively transcribed in cells containing arbuscules (Harrison et al., 2002). The detection of *MtPt4* transcripts in all ARB in contrast to their absence in all CMR samples (Fig. 4B) indicates that no significant cross-contamination of CMR samples with material from arbuscule-containing cells occurred. In contrast to *MtPt4*, transcripts of the other five genes tested could be amplified both in CMR and ARB samples (Fig. 4B). Of these, the Ser carboxypeptidase gene *MtScp1* was the only one expressed at similar levels in both cell types, whereas the *MtBcp1* gene encoding a blue-copper protein, the lectin gene *MtLec5*, the *MtGlp1* gene specifying a germin-like protein, and the H⁺-ATPase gene *MtHa1* displayed an up to 160-fold induction in ARB cells (Fig. 4C). While the results obtained for *MtScp1* and *MtBcp1* are in accordance with promoter studies indicating expression outside of arbuscule-containing cells (Liu et al., 2003; Hohnjec et al., 2005), *MtLec5*, *MtGlp1*, and *MtHa1* have so far been reported to be arbuscule specific (Krajinski et al., 2002; Doll et al., 2003; Frenzel et al., 2005). This deviance might be due to a higher sensitivity of our PCR-based method in comparison to the in situ expression methods used in the cited studies.

Together, our results demonstrate that the total RNA prepared from all three cell types was suitable for identifying arbuscule-specific as well as arbuscule-enhanced expression patterns, even if the harvested cell types were collected in close proximity.

**Laser Microdissection Identifies Novel Arbuscule-Specific Genes and Genes Being Generally Expressed in Cortical Cells Colonized by AM Fungi**

Expression of 71 candidate genes was measured by one-step real-time RT-PCR in at least three biological replicates. In these experiments, nine genes could not be amplified reproducibly from any cell type and were not considered further. Figure 5 gives an overview of the remaining 62 genes and their expression patterns in the laser-microdissected samples. Except for three genes encoding an ABC transporter (Mtr.44070.1.S1_at), a calcium-binding protein (Mtr.4781.1.S1_at), and an AP2/ERF TF (Mtr.11570.1.S1_at), none of these genes could be amplified reproducibly from CCR cells (data not shown), suggesting that they are either truly mycorrhiza specific or are expressed in other cell types than the ones investigated here, e.g. the vascular tissue.

Among the 62 genes analyzed for cell-specific expression, 21 displayed a specific activation in the arbuscule-containing cells, including six membrane transporter genes, seven signaling-related genes, six genes encoding TFs, and two fungal genes (Fig. 5; Supplemental Fig. S2). In addition, four genes were detected in all ARB, but in only one out of three CMR samples, indicating that they are expressed at a very low level in the latter cell type. Six genes specifically expressed in arbuscule-containing cells were described to be activated in these cells before (Gomez...
et al., 2009), but none of them was so-far known to be restricted to this cell type within mycorrhizal roots.

Interestingly, the majority of the genes investigated was found to be expressed both in arbuscule-containing cells (ARB) and in the adjacent cortical cells being colonized by fungal hyphae at different levels (CMR). Here, transcripts of 37 genes, including 14 membrane transporter genes, eight signaling-related genes, 12 TF genes, and three fungal genes were detected. Of these 37 genes, 28 were expressed at comparable levels in both cell types, whereas nine were significantly induced in either CMR or ARB (Fig. 6). So far, only four genes of this group were known to be expressed in arbuscule-containing cells (Gomez et al., 2009), but no information was available on their activity in the adjacent cells being connected to hyphal growth.

In total, cell-specific expression patterns were identified for 62 AM-related genes. In the following, our results are discussed in detail with respect to the functional classification of the genes.

### Glomus Intraradices Genes

Seven AM-related genes of fungal origin were selected for cell-specific transcriptome analysis. These genes encoded five membrane transporters, one calcium-binding protein, and two TFs (Fig. 5). Interestingly, our analysis of the expression patterns in the specific cell pools revealed that four genes seemed to be specifically expressed in arbuscules, indicating that the distribution of fungal transcripts can be restricted to these, irrespective of the coenocytic nature of the microsymbiont.

The genes investigated included three members specifying ATPases, two of which represented cytoplasmatic ATPases probably associated with proteasomes and thus being involved in protein degradation, whereas the third one was predicted to be membrane localized and involved in the transport of phospholipids or cations (Fig. 5). While two of the ATPase genes showed a specific expression in arbuscules, one was induced at comparable levels in both CMR and ARB samples. In addition, a gene specifying a voltage-dependent channel protein was also activated in both cell types, similar to a signaling-related gene encoding a calcium-binding protein (Fig. 5).

Interestingly, the two fungal genes related to transcriptional regulation, encoding a zinc finger and an RNA-binding protein, showed specific expression in ARB (Fig. 5). These genes might therefore control the transcription of fungal genes specifically required during arbuscule formation or function.

### M. truncatula Genes Encoding Membrane Transporters

A functional AM symbiosis is characterized by the exchange of nutrients between the micro- and macrosymbionts. It is thus not surprising that 37 M. truncatula genes encoding membrane transporters were coactivated in the two AM interactions studied (Supplemental Table S3), including 13 genes that Benedito

---

**Figure 4.** Detection of AM marker gene transcripts in laser-microdissected cell types. Marker gene expression was measured by real-time RT-PCR in four biological replicates of three different cell types: cortical cells from nonmycorrhizal control roots (CCR), cortical cells from mycorrhizal roots containing fungal hyphae (CMR), and arbuscule-containing cells (ARB). A and B, Gel electrophoresis of the final real-time RT-PCR amplification products representing the control gene MtTef and six AM marker genes. All amplified fragments had the correct sizes. Note that MtPT4 transcripts were not detected in CMR. C, Real-time RT-PCR measurement of five AM marker genes induced in ARB in comparison to CMR. Expression values are displayed as log2 mean values of all four biological replicates. Numbers and bars represent fold induction and s.s., respectively. Asterisks indicate significance levels of a Student’s t test on the expression values in the two different cell types: * = P < 0.1; ** = P < 0.05; *** = P < 0.005. MtTef, Transcriptional elongation factor a; MtPT4, phosphate transporter 4; MtBcp1, blue copper protein 1; MtScp1, Ser carboxypeptidase 1; MtLec5, lectin 5; MtGlp1, germin-like protein 1; MtHa1, H+-ATPase 1.
et al. (2010) identified previously on the basis of expression data reported by Gomez et al. (2009). Apart from the AM marker genes MtIP4 and MtHa1 already discussed above as well as the mycorrhiza-induced aquaporin gene MtNip1 (Uehlein et al., 2007), cellular expression patterns were determined for 20 genes of this functional category (Fig. 5).

The largest group comprised genes encoding oligopeptide transporters of the H+/oligopeptide symporter type. Eleven members of this class were coinduced in both AM interactions and seven of them were examined in the laser-microdissected cell pools (Fig. 5). Proton-dependent oligopeptide transporters (POTs) are reported to be involved in the uptake of small peptides into eukaryotic cells (Paulsen and Skurray, 1994). Possible functions are thus connected to an intake of degraded fungal proteins subsequent to the action of AM-activated proteases (Supplemental Table S2), alternatively the uptake of fungal effector peptides, such as the recently reported SP7 protein (Kloppholz et al., 2011; Plett et al., 2011), is an intriguing possibility. The wide range of possible POT functions is mirrored by cellular expression patterns, since we found two arbuscule-specific POT genes (Fig. 5), three POT genes equally expressed in CMR and ARB cells (Fig. 5), and one POT gene being induced in each cell type (Figs. 5 and 6).

A second prominent group of AM-related genes encoded five ABC transporters and three aquaporins. From this collection, we identified one ABC-transporter gene as ARB specific (Fig. 5) and three more as being expressed with no significant difference between CMR and ARB (Fig. 5). With MtStr and MtStr2, two M. truncatula ABC transporter genes were recently reported to be important for AM (Zhang et al., 2010). Both genes belong to the G subfamily of ABC transporters and are specifically expressed in arbuscule-containing cells. The ABC transporter identified as arbuscule specific in our study belongs to the same subfamily, suggesting a similar function. Zhang et al. (2010) speculated that strigolactones might be a substrate for these transporters, inducing the strong ramification of fungal hyphae that leads to arbuscule formation, which would explain the cell-specific expression. In contrast, the three ABC-transporter genes we found expressed in arbuscule-containing and adjacent cortical gray (legend see below). P values represent significance levels of a Student’s t test on the expression values in the two different cell types. In addition, the log2 expression ratios of gene expression in roots mycorrhized with Glomus intraradices versus nonmycorrhizal roots (Supplemental Table S1) are shown. Footnotes: (1): One of the three biological replicates was replaced by replicate four, to obtain three gene-specific PCR products of the correct size or a consistent expression pattern. (2): A gene-specific PCR product could only be obtained for two out of three biological replicates of ARB. (3): A gene-specific PCR product could only be obtained for two out of three biological replicates of CMR. (4): A gene-specific PCR product was obtained for only one out of three biological replicates of CMR.

**Figure 5.** Cell-type-specific expression of genes activated in mycorrhizal roots. Real-time RT-PCR measurement of gene expression in three biological replicates of the laser-microdissected cell types CMR (cortical cells from mycorrhized roots) and ARB (arbuscule-containing cells). Differences in transcription are indicated by different shades of
cells specify P-glycoprotein multidrug resistance transporters of the ABCB subfamily. Since several members of this group are known to transport auxins (Geisler and Murphy, 2006), a role for these might be the fine tuning of auxin distribution in colonized root cells.

In the group of aquaporins, we investigated the expression pattern of two Nod 26-like intrinsic proteins (NIP) genes including MtNip1, which was described as AM induced by Uehlein et al. (2007) and was also strongly AM induced here. Due to the fact that this gene is slightly induced by phosphate, it was not included in our core set of 512 AM-related genes. In line with the results of Gomez et al. (2009), we found MtNip1 to be activated in ARB cells. In addition, we could show here that this gene is expressed exclusively in this cell type, whereas the second NIP gene was expressed in the surrounding, hyphae-containing cortical cells as well (Fig. 5). Interestingly, MtNip1 and other NIPs did not facilitate water uptake in heterologous expression systems, but acted as low-affinity transport system for ammonium (Uehlein et al., 2007), which is the main form in which nitrogen is supplied to the plant by AM fungi (Govindarajulu et al., 2005). These observations support the idea that nitrogen is provided by the microsymbiont via arbuscules as well as fungal hyphae growing in the apoplast of cortical cells, and the two NIPs might be involved in uptake of these nutrients into host cells.

Strikingly, the most strongly induced transporter genes in our experiment encoded three defensins. These seem to be of special importance for arbuscule-containing cells, since one defensin gene was exclusively expressed (Fig. 5) and the other two were significantly up-regulated in ARB (Figs. 5 and 6). Many members of the defensin gene family are activated in plants during defense reactions in response to diverse pathogens (Thomma et al., 2002), including harmful fungi. Although the activation of plant defense responses has been reported for the initial stages of mycorrhizal colonization, they seem to be effectively controlled and down-regulated when the symbiosis is completely established (Harrison and Dixon, 1993). Interestingly, some defensins are known to reduce the elongation of fungal hyphae and to cause strong hyphal branching (Broekaert et al., 1995). Therefore, those defensins specifically activated during a mature mycorrhiza may in fact influence hyphal ramification during early arbuscule development or control arbuscule lifespan.

The remaining membrane transporter genes we found to be up-regulated during AM are mainly involved in the transfer of micronutrients, macronutrients such as nitrate, or carbohydrates. Concerning ion transporters of the MtZIP family (Burleigh et al., 2003), we identified a gene encoding the manganese transporter MtZip7 (López-Millán et al., 2004). We found the MtZip7 gene and a zinc transporter gene to be expressed in CMR and ARB cells alike (Fig. 5), whereas a copper transporter gene was found to be ARB specific (Fig. 5). Since AM fungi probably improve micronutrient supply of host plants (Clark and Zeto, 2000; Parmiske, 2008), the three transporter genes might be activated by the plant to enhance uptake of these elements from the microsymbiont. The fact that we found two of the transporter genes mentioned to be expressed in both arbuscule-containing and surrounding hyphae-containing cortical cells supports the assumption that an exchange of nutrients by the symbiotic partners or at least an uptake by the plant is not restricted to arbuscules.

With respect to sugar allocation in AM roots, we analyzed the expression patterns of two carbohydrate transporters. Due to the fact that hexoses derived from the plant metabolism are supplied to mycorrhizal fungi, AM roots represent strong carbon sinks. Whereas hexoses generated from Suc via the activity of apoplastic invertases are directly available to the fungus (Schaarschmidt et al., 2007), hexoses provided by cytoplasmic invertases or Suc synthases (Hohnjec et al., 1999) first have to be exported to the extracellular space (Baier et al., 2010). Up to now, little is known about the carbohydrate transporters involved in the translocation of symplastic hexoses to the apoplastic interface. The two genes investigated here are candidates for this function, but showed no consistent expression. Since only one was ARB specific (Fig. 5), Suc transfer is probably not limited to arbuscules.
which is consistent with the expression of genes encoding the cytoplasmatic Suc-cleaving enzyme MtSucS1 (Hohnjec et al., 2003) and the hexose transporter Mtst1 (Harrison, 1996).

**M. truncatula Genes Related to Signaling**

In Supplemental Table S4, the expression characteristics of 41 genes encoding signaling-related components induced in both AM interactions are summarized. A large group consists of genes probably involved in intracellular signal transduction, including 13 genes encoding protein kinases, a phosphatase, and a protein phosphatase inhibitor. Furthermore, genes specifying two inositol polyphosphate phosphatases, a phosphatidylinositol transfer protein, a calmodulin-binding protein, two Rop guanine nucleotide exchange factors, and a G-protein deserve attention. Together, they might represent those members of large gene families that mediate calcium, phosphoinosite, or G-protein signaling in AM roots. Seven genes from this group and an additional calmodulin gene only induced by G. intraradices were investigated for their cellular expression pattern (Fig. 5). Interestingly, we found genes encoding three protein kinases (two Ser/Thr kinases and a SNF1-related kinase) and one inositol triphosphate phosphatase to be exclusively expressed in ARB (Fig. 5). To our knowledge, these are the first genes reported to be involved in internal signaling processes that are specifically up-regulated in arbuscule-containing in comparison to the neighboring cells. Another Ser/Thr protein kinase gene was strongly induced in ARB (Figs. 5 and 6), whereas a calmodulin gene, a G-protein gene, and one additional Ser/Thr protein kinase gene were expressed at equal levels in ARB and CMR (Fig. 5), hinting that they are involved in signal processes occurring in cortical cells of colonized root areas in general.

A second group of AM-activated, signaling-related genes encodes components associated with vesicle-mediated transport, including a syntaxin, a clathrin assembly protein, a GTP-binding protein, and a basic secretary protein. It is tempting to speculate that these proteins are involved in the membrane biogenesis associated with an intracellular accommodation of fungal structures. The expression pattern of the two genes we analyzed in the specific cell pools is in line with the assumption that in particular the arbuscule-containing cells are places of intensive membrane buildup and turnover, since a gene encoding a clathrin assembly protein was exclusively detected in ARB (Fig. 5) and a syntaxin gene was found to be ARB induced (Figs. 5 and 6).

Three chitinase genes strongly induced in both AM interactions were included in the analysis of signaling-related genes (Fig. 5). Chitinases hydrolyze β-1,4-glycosidic bonds (Salzer et al., 2000) and are mostly regarded as part of plant defense mechanisms (Arlorio et al., 1992). Although several chitinase genes are up-regulated during early phases of nodulation and mycorrhization, this activation is transient and they are in general not regarded to play a role during later stages. Nevertheless, several members of the class III chitinase gene family are activated specifically during AM (Salzer et al., 2000), and for one of these genes an arbuscule-specific localization was found by in situ hybridization (Bonanomi et al., 2001). In this study, we investigated three members of the chitinase gene family and found two of them only in ARB (Fig. 5), whereas the third one was active in CMR and ARB (Fig. 5). The predominant ARB expression of chitinase genes might thus support the formation of functional symbiotic interfaces by reducing the amount of chitinous elicitors.

The largest group of signaling-related genes encompassed 15 genes encoding receptor kinases with a predicted membrane localization that presumably perceive extracellular AM-related signals. From this group, we investigated genes encoding a leucin-rich repeat receptor kinase and the LysM receptor kinase MtLyr1. In addition, a gene encoding a membrane-bound lectin with predicted kinase activity was analyzed (Fig. 5). Whereas the lectin gene and the leucin-rich repeat receptor kinase gene were equally expressed in both cell types studied, MtLyr1 was specifically expressed in ARB cells (Fig. 5). In M. truncatula, the two LysM receptor kinases MtNfp, representing the Lyr type, and MtLyk3, representing the Lyk type of this family; were identified as Nod-factor receptors (Gough and Cullimore, 2011). MtNfp also plays a role during early AM interactions, since it is essential for the perception of the recently characterized Myc-LCO signals (Maillet et al., 2011). Since MtNfp is not essential for the establishment of an AM (Amor et al., 2003), Maillet et al. (2011) speculated that another receptor active at higher Myc-LCO concentrations must exist. These could be achieved during arbuscule formation, as a result of a tighter contact between the two symbiotic partners during infiltration of cortical cells. Interestingly, it was shown recently that in the nonlegume Parasponia, an ortholog of MtNfp named PaNfp is essential for the symbioses with nitrogen-fixing bacteria as well as AM fungi (Op den Camp et al., 2011). In contrast to legume plants, the Parasponia genome only contains a single Lyr-type receptor kinase gene, whereas legume plants contain two copies (Op den Camp et al., 2011). It was speculated that during evolution of legume plants and their ability to form nodules, duplication of the initial Lyr gene occurred and one copy became the receptor for rhizobial LCOs, whereas the other one is targeted by Myc LCOs. The closest relative of MtNfp is MtLyr1, which could thus represent a potential receptor for Myc LCOs (Op den Camp et al., 2011). We could show here that MtLyr1 is exclusively expressed in ARB and not in the surrounding cortical cells from mycorrhized roots. This fits well to the phenotype of RNAi knockdowns of the more ancient PaNfp gene, since here the AM symbiosis is aborted at the point of arbuscule formation. Our finding that MtLyr1 is exclusively expressed in
Arbuscule-containing cells supports the fact that the encoded receptor is needed for this step, possibly to perceive Myc LCOs secreted during later stages of AM.

**M. truncatula Genes Encoding Transcriptional Regulators**

In total, 25 genes encoding TFs were identified as coinduced in AM roots (Table II), comprising the families AP2/ERF, Z-C2H2, CAAT-box binding, GRAS, MYB, WRKY, and NAC. Of these, only five GRAS-TF genes and one gene encoding a Myb-TF (designated MtMyb1 in Table I) were previously reported to be specifically activated in roots colonized with *Glomus* spp. (Liu et al., 2003; Gomez et al., 2009), while a few members of other gene families were reported to be of relevance in the root nodule symbiosis.

With six members each, genes encoding GRAS and AP2/ERF transcriptional regulators were most prominent among the TFs identified. This is particularly interesting, since analogous proteins are involved in early signaling in the root nodule symbiosis. Here, the GRAS-TFs MtNsp1 and MtNsp2 (Kaló et al., 2005; Smit et al., 2005) as well as the AP2/ERF-TF MtErn1 (Middleton et al., 2007) are essential for the activation of symbiosis-related genes via Nod-factor signaling. Detailed studies on these TFs led to the identification of two further AP2/ERFs (MtErn2, MtErn3) and revealed that GRAS and AP2/ERF proteins interact with promoter sequences of early nodulin genes (Andriankaja et al., 2007; Hirsch et al., 2009). Since the TF proteins mentioned obviously represent an important control system in the regulation of symbiosis-specific genes, it is interesting that with MtErn1 and MtErn2, two of these were found to be induced in AM roots in our study.

Additional prominent TF genes induced in AM roots specified Cbfs of the HAP3 and HAP5 type, C2H2 zinc-finger proteins, and Myb proteins, with three members each (Table II). In the root nodule symbiosis, the MtHap2-1 CBF was identified as a key developmental regulator by Comber et al. (2006), whereas the zinc-finger protein Mszpt2-1 was shown to be essential for the differentiation of the nitrogen-fixing zone of root nodules (Frugier et al., 2000). So far, both gene families were not reported to be related to *Glomus*-colonized roots.

Since we regarded transcriptional regulators as particularly interesting for our study, we investigated the cellular expression pattern of a large subset of 17 TF genes, including members of the five most prominent families. Additionally, an AP2/ERF induced by diffusible factors from AM fungi (N. Hohnjec, unpublished data) was included in the analysis (Fig. 5).

### Table II. Overview of 25 M. truncatula AM-induced genes encoding transcriptional regulators

Probes IDs of *Medicago* GeneChips are referenced to *M. truncatula* gene names, where applicable. Log2 ratios of gene expression for *G. intraradices*-colonized (Gi-Myc), *G. mosseae*-colonized (Gm-Myc), and 2 mM phosphate-treated (2 m-P) roots, all measured against roots grown at 20 μM phosphate, are given. FDR-corrected P values (FDR-P) are indicated. References for the AM-induced TF genes identified are as follows: *MtErn1* (Middleton et al., 2007), *MtErn2* (Andriankaja et al., 2007), *MtMyb1* (Liu et al., 2003), *MtCbf1* (this work), and *MtCbf2* (this work).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GeneChip Probe ID</th>
<th>Gene Annotation</th>
<th>Gi-Myc</th>
<th>FDR-P</th>
<th>Gm-Myc</th>
<th>FDR-P</th>
<th>2 m-P</th>
<th>FDR-P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.46362.1.S1_at</td>
<td>AP2/ERF TF</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>2.4E-05</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>3.3E-04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>9.8E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.21492.1.S1_at</td>
<td>AP2/ERF TF</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>1.2E-06</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>2.6E-06</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>9.0E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.15867.1.S1_at</td>
<td>AP2/ERF TF</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>3.6E-04</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>2.4E-03</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>4.3E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.31671.1.S1_at</td>
<td>AP2/ERF TF</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>1.2E-04</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>1.1E-03</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>2.9E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.43947.1.S1_at</td>
<td>MtErn2</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>4.3E-05</td>
<td>2.32</td>
<td>1.9E-04</td>
<td>-1.38</td>
<td>4.4E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.7556.1.S1_at</td>
<td>MtErn1</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>5.0E-03</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>3.1E-02</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>9.7E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.28153.1.S1_at</td>
<td>MtCbf2</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>9.5E-06</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>1.1E-04</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>9.9E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.21492.1.S1_at</td>
<td>C2H2 zinc finger TF</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>3.2E-06</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>2.4E-04</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>8.3E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.4957.1.S1_at</td>
<td>C2H2 zinc finger TF</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.5E-03</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>2.0E-03</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.0E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.51511.1.S1_at</td>
<td>MtCbf1</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>1.8E-09</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>1.5E-08</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td>1.5E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.51511.1.S1_at</td>
<td>MtCbf1</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>1.0E-08</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>7.7E-08</td>
<td>-0.82</td>
<td>9.2E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.16863.1.S1_at</td>
<td>MtCbf2</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>4.8E-07</td>
<td>3.32</td>
<td>8.1E-07</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>2.7E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.36004.1.S1_at</td>
<td>GRAS TF</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>1.4E-04</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>6.9E-08</td>
<td>-0.78</td>
<td>1.5E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.7264.1.S1_at</td>
<td>GRAS TF</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>1.9E-08</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>9.3E-06</td>
<td>-0.66</td>
<td>1.4E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.31954.1.S1_at</td>
<td>GRAS TF</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>1.9E-05</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>3.5E-04</td>
<td>-1.18</td>
<td>7.9E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.31955.1.S1_at</td>
<td>GRAS TF</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>2.2E-06</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>4.1E-05</td>
<td>-2.39</td>
<td>1.8E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.47463.1.S1_at</td>
<td>GRAS TF</td>
<td>3.06</td>
<td>7.8E-06</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>2.8E-05</td>
<td>-1.33</td>
<td>5.6E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.14841.1.S1_at</td>
<td>GRAS TF</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>1.6E-03</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.7E-02</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>9.7E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.8863.1.S1_at</td>
<td>MtMyb1</td>
<td>9.90</td>
<td>6.7E-10</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td>2.3E-09</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>8.1E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.10894.1.S1_at</td>
<td>Myb TF</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>6.2E-04</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>3.8E-02</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.2E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.33210.1.S1_at</td>
<td>Myb TF</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>3.1E-02</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>3.2E-02</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.6E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.49041.1.S1_at</td>
<td>NAC-domain TF</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>1.7E-07</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>2.2E-04</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>8.0E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.51555.1.S1_at</td>
<td>WRKY TF</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>2.2E-02</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.8E-02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>9.7E-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mtr.23616.1.S1_at</td>
<td>WRKY TF</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>4.2E-02</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>2.1E-02</td>
<td>-1.54</td>
<td>4.2E-02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Interestingly, we found arbuscule-specific or ARB-induced genes in four of the families investigated. Whereas a C2H2 zinc finger, two AP2/ERF, and three GRAS TF genes were ARB specific (Fig. 5), MtMyb1 and a further GRAS gene were significantly activated in ARB cells (Fig. 5). The enshrouded TFs thus represent candidates for regulators that control the expression of genes required for proper arbuscule development and function. On the other hand, all gene families containing ARB-specific members also included genes expressed at equal levels in CMR and ARB (Figs. 5 and 6), indicating that different members of a gene family control different steps of the symbiosis.

In contrast to the TF genes mentioned so far, all three Cbf genes were expressed at similar levels in CMR and ARB (Fig. 5), indicating a more general role in the coordination of fungal colonization. Cbf proteins are known to regulate the expression of genes containing CCAAT motifs in their promoter sequences by forming heterotrimeric complexes that bind to the CAA box (Combier et al., 2008). Since CCAAT motifs are present in about 30% of eukaryotic promoters (Mantovani, 1998), Cbf proteins represent global regulators of gene expression that probably gain specificity via interactions with other TFs (Maity and de Crombrugghe, 1998). That way, Cbf activation during an AM symbiosis can mediate an expression of whole sets of AM-related genes via the recognition of their promoter regions and a subsequent interaction with other transcriptional regulators. We therefore analyzed the two genes Mtr.51511.1.S1_at (designated MtCbf1) and Mtr.16863.1.S1_at (designated MtCbf2), both encoding CBFs of the HAP5 type, in more detail.

Expression of the MtCbf1 and MtCbf2 Genes Encoding CBFs Correlates with Fungal Contact and Spread

The two genes MtCbf1 and MtCbf2 are highly similar (96.3% identity on the level of nucleic acids; Supplemental Fig. S3), indicating that they might be derived from a duplication event. They are located in close proximity on *M. truncatula* chromosome 2 (http://www.medicagohapmap.org/, bacterial artificial chromosome clone AC136138), being separated by two different *M. truncatula* genes. Both MtCbf promoter sequences display no marked similarities, except of the region immediately upstream of the start codons (Supplemental Fig. S4). To obtain a comprehensive insight into the up-regulation of the MtCbf1 and MtCbf2 genes during successive stages of fungal colonization, their activity was analyzed both via real-time RT-PCR and via the expression of promoter-GUS fusions in transgenic roots, using a 4-week time course of mycorrhization. The results obtained confirmed the expression patterns of MtCbf1 and MtCbf2 detected in ARB and CMR cell pools, and in addition revealed a striking activation of these genes already during very early stages of the AM interaction.

The analysis of reporter gene expression in transgenic roots showed that both promoters displayed no activity in roots grown in the absence of mycorrhizal fungi (data not shown). In mycorrhizal transgenic roots, first spots of blue staining in epidermal cell layers were observed as early as 5 dpi for MtCbf1 and MtCbf2 in those places where fungal hyphae just attached to the plant epidermis, but had not yet entered the host cells (Figs. 7, A–C and 8, A, B, D, and E). This activation was always dependent on direct physical contact between the two symbiotic partners and is therefore most probably not induced by diffusible signals from AM fungi. Once the fungus had entered the root cortex, promoter activity expanded to cortical and arbuscule-containing cells, always related to the progression of fungal hyphae (Figs. 7, D, E, G, and H and 8, C and F). Whereas epidermal staining became even more pronounced for MtCbf1 during these stages and was so intense that staining of underlying cell layers could hardly be distinguished (Fig. 7, F and I), epidermal staining for MtCbf2 remained at a lower level, and the activity of this promoter appeared stronger in the cortex (Fig. 8, G and H). During later AM stages, when fungal progress is mostly achieved by an intraradical spread of the hyphae, no activity in epidermal cells was observed any more for both promoters, and reporter gene activity became restricted to those cortical cells that were either in contact with fungal hyphae or that contained arbuscules (Figs. 7, K–P and 8, I–P). No GUS staining was observed in root regions that did not contain fungal infection units (data not shown).

In our laser-microdissection experiments, both genes were identified as expressed with no significant difference between CMR and ARB (Fig. 9, A and B), although MtCbf1 tended to be more strongly expressed in CMR (3.5-fold induction) and MtCbf2 appeared activated in ARB (3.8-fold induction). This tendency was also mirrored in the reporter gene expression patterns driven by the two promoters, since GUS staining mediated by the MtCbf2 promoter seemed to be more intense in the arbuscule-containing cells (Fig. 8M), whereas GUS staining mediated by the MtCbf1 promoter was equal in arbuscule-containing and surrounding cortical cells interspersed with fungal hyphae (Fig. 7M). Taking into account that GUS staining appears more intense in the densely filled cells containing arbuscules, these expression patterns largely confirm our results obtained via laser microdissection.

During the mycorrhizal time course performed from 7 to 28 dpi, the MtCbf1 and MtCbf2 promoters displayed a constantly rising activity, visualized by representative GUS staining patterns from different time points (Fig. 9, C–K). This increase closely correlated to the expression of the AM marker genes MtIP44, representing an arbuscule-specific gene, and MtBcp1, representing a gene expressed in arbuscule-containing as well as in the surrounding, hyphae-containing cortical cells (Fig. 9L). In our time course, MtIP44 and MtBcp1 from 7 to 28 dpi showed a constantly increased transcription, leading to an up-regulation of 836- and 341-fold, respectively, at 28 dpi in comparison to 7 dpi.
Figure 7. Activity of the MtCbf1 promoter in *M. truncatula* mycorrhizal roots. A, B, D to F, and K to M, Light micrographs of *M. truncatula* mycorrhizal roots expressing the *gusA* gene under the control of the MtCbf1 promoter. C, G to I, and N to P, Corresponding fluorescence micrographs showing counterstaining of fungal structures with Alexa Fluor 488 WGA conjugate at exactly the same root position. In A to C, F, and I, whole roots are shown; whereas D, E, G, H, and K to P show 60-μm-thin sections. E, H, L, and O represent enlarged regions of the roots shown in D, G, K, and N, respectively. A to C, Promoter activity during early AM stages, with fungal hyphae being just attached to the root epidermis. D, E, G, and H, Promoter activity in a young infection unit. F and I, Strong epidermal promoter activity in a region with an expanding infection unit. K, L, N, and O, Promoter activity in a densely colonized root. M and P, Enlargement of a single arbuscule. Scale bars represent 500 μm for A; 200 μm for F, I, K, and N; 100 μm for B, C, D, and G; 50 μm for L and O; 20 μm for E, H, M, and P.
Figure 8. Activity of the MtCh2 promoter in M. truncatula mycorrhizal roots. A to C, G, I, and K to M, Light micrographs of M. truncatula mycorrhizal roots expressing the gusAmt gene under the control of the MtCh2 promoter. D to F, H, N to P, Corresponding fluorescence micrographs showing counterstaining of fungal structures with Alexa Fluor 488 WGA conjugate at exactly the same root position. In A to H, whole roots are shown, whereas I to P show 60-μm-thin sections. B and E represent enlarged regions of the root shown in A and D. K, L, N, and O represent enlarged regions of the root shown in I. A, B, D, and E, Promoter activity during early stages, with fungal hyphae being just attached to the root epidermis. In this case, the hyphae in contact to the root surface (indicated by arrows) emerged from a highly mycorrhized leek root attached to the M. truncatula root. C and F, Promoter activity in a young infection unit. G and H, Promoter activity in a region with an expanding infection unit. In contrast to MtCh1, no strong MtCh2 activity can be observed in epidermal cell layers in this stage, hence the strong GUS staining in the cortex is visible from the outside. I, K, L, N, and O, Promoter activity in a densely colonized root. M and P, Enlargement of a group of arbuscules. Scale bars represent 200 μm for A, D, G, H, and I; 100 μm for C and F; 50 μm for B, E, K, L, N, and O; and 20 μm for M and P.
Interestingly, the expression of both MtCbf1 and MtCbf2 was strongest already at 21 dpi, where 70- and 37-fold inductions in comparison to 7 dpi was reached. At 28 dpi, the activity of both TF genes already declined, probably due to a reduced number of epidermal infection events at this time point. Together with our promoter studies (Fig. 9, F and K), this observation underlines the importance of both genes already for early infection stages.

It can be concluded that MtCbf1 and MtCbf2 seem to be relevant during all stages of the AM symbiosis being characterized by a direct physical contact between the two partners. Therefore, the encoded CAAT-box TFs represent excellent candidates for novel regulators not only during later AM stages, but especially for the first steps of the interaction, where knowledge both on regulators mediating infection as well as infection-related expression markers is scarce. Interestingly, after the first activation in the epidermal cell layer, activity of both promoters seemed to precede the actual fungal colonization, since GUS staining did not only spread into the surrounding epidermal cells, but was several cells ahead of the proceeding fungal hyphae in the cortex. It is tempting to speculate that this gene expression pattern is promoted by a short-distance signal that prepares cells for an arrival of the microsymbiont, possibly facilitating fungal entry in the proximity of the first infection site or the hyphal spread in the cortex. In this context, the ability of CBFs to interact with a large range of promoters becomes particularly interesting, since they might thus be able to activate large parts of the symbiotic program in colonized root cortical cells, ultimately leading to the reprogramming of host cells toward an accommodation of symbiotic fungi.

CONCLUSION

An attribution of gene activity to defined developmental stages is an important step toward the understanding of complex biological processes. That way, our identification of specific cellular expression patterns for a large subset of AM-related genes in mycorrhizal roots allows an association of these genes with the different developmental stages of an AM symbiosis, as shown in Figure 10. The colonization of plant roots by AM fungi is characterized by at least four distinct steps. While the first step is characterized by an exchange of diffusible signals between the two partners, causing the activation of signal cascades in

Figure 9. Expression of MtCbf1 and MtCbf2 during a time course of mycorrhization. A and B, Real-time RT-PCR measurement of MtCbf1 and MtCbf2 expression in arbuscule-containing cells (ARB) and adjacent cortical cells colonized by fungal hyphae (CMR). Gene expression is displayed as the log2 mean value of three biological replicates. Bars represent s.e. C to F, Promoter activity of MtCbf1 during a time course covering 7 to 28 dpi of mycorrhization, represented by roots displaying typical GUS staining patterns for each time point. G to K, Promoter activity of MtCbf2 during a time course covering 7 to 28 dpi of mycorrhization, represented by roots displaying typical GUS staining patterns for each time point. L, Real-time RT-PCR measurement of MtCbf1, MtCbf2, MtPt4, and MtBcp1 in M. truncatula roots during the 7 to 28 dpi time course of mycorrhization. Bars represent s.e.
epidermal and cortical cells, the second step involves direct physical contact, leading to hyphopodium formation by the fungus and PPA formation on the plant side, followed by penetration of rhizodermal cells by fungal hyphae. In the third step, fungal hyphae spread in the apoplast, resulting in the fourth and most intimate step, the formation of arbuscules. It has to be noted that steps two, three, and four are achieved in a short time period, where AM development is characterized by a spread of infection units from initial entry points, being accompanied by a sequential buildup and decay of arbuscules.

Due to the fact that our AM expression profiles were recorded on the basis of pooled tissue samples of strongly mycorrhized roots, it is likely that processes occurring during later, functional stages of AM (steps three and four) were preferentially identified, and these are also represented by our specific cell pools.

Figure 10. Schematic summary of fungal and plant gene expression patterns during four different stages of the AM symbiosis. Proteins encoded by the genes identified are grouped according to functional categories. Fungal gene products are listed in orange, plant gene products in green boxes. The total number of genes with identical annotations is indicated in brackets. Note that it remains to be elucidated to what extent genes identified as expressed in arbuscule-containing as well as in the adjacent cortical cells colonized by fungal hyphae are already active during stages I and II.
derived by laser microdissection. We propose that the genes we identified to be active in cortical cells colonized by fungal hyphae and arbuscule-containing cells are related to the general progression of fungal hyphae in the root cortex, whereas genes only active in arbuscule-containing cells account for specific functions of this highly specialized symbiotic interface. Our identification of transporters, signaling-related genes, and TFs with distinct expression patterns provide insight into the genetic processes accompanying and allowing progression toward a functional symbiosis (Fig. 10). Interestingly, only two genes with enhanced expression in cortical cells colonized by fungal hyphae in comparison to arbuscule-containing cells were identified, suggesting that processes exclusively related to the growth of fungal hyphae in the cortex, which are not needed for arbuscle formation and function, are rare. It remains unclear, whether the mechanisms allowing fungal penetration of roots also guide intraradical growth of fungal hyphae. Regarding the fact that the primary infection is guided intracellularly via the PPA, whereas the growth of fungal hyphae in the cortex is mostly apoplastic and keeping in mind that fungal hyphae in contact to cortical cells may also be involved in nutrient transfer to the plant, there are differences between these two steps. It therefore seems feasible that there will be a set of genes whose activity is required during all stages, like the two CAAT-box TF genes identified in this study (Fig. 10). In addition, some genes specifically needed for the initial infection likely exist, analogous to the arbuscule-specific genes we identified here. Both steps are tightly controlled by the plant, mirrored in two types of mutants identified for the AM symbiosis (Harrison, 2005). These either mediate no entry of fungal hyphae at all, or allow entry and spread but no arbuscule formation, suggesting the existence of specific fungal signal molecules and transduction pathways required in these stages.

The identification of two CBF genes specifically activated during all stages of an AM infection delivers two candidates mediating a high-level control of gene expression during the colonization of roots by AM fungi. It will be interesting to see, whether other CAAT-box TF genes identified as AM induced show a similar expression pattern and how many genes active during apoplastic growth of fungal hyphae are already activated during earlier stages. These questions can only be solved via an analysis of cell types from early stages of AM interaction such as epidermal regions challenged with AM fungal signals or cortical regions harboring PPAs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Growth, AM Fungal Inoculation, and Visualization of AM Fungal Structures**

*Medicago truncatula* Gaertn ‘Jemalong’ genotype A17 seeds were surface sterilized and scarified as reported by Hohnjec et al. (2003). Plants were grown in the climate chamber (humidity: 70%; photosynthetic photon flux: 150 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) at a 16-h light (23°C) and 8-h dark (18°C) regime. For subsequent *Medicago* GeneChip hybridizations using whole roots, plants were mycorrhizal with AM fungi under conditions of phosphate limitation (20 μM phosphate). In addition, nonmycorrhizal roots grown at 20 μM phosphate as well as nonmycorrhizal roots grown at 2 μM phosphate were generated as described previously (Hohnjec et al., 2005). Two different AM fungal inocula were used: *Glaux mesnili* granular AM fungus inoculum RGC-12 (Bioneer R&D), and *Glaux intraradices* Schenk and Smith DAOM197198 inoculum (Premier Tech Biotechnologies), the latter having recently been reassigned to *Rhizophagus irregularis* (Blažsk., Wubet, Renker, and Buscot) C. Walker and A. Schüßler comb. nov. (Stockinger et al., 2009). At 28 dpi with AM fungi or at 28 d growth under nonmycorrhizal conditions, roots were harvested and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Randomly selected areas of mycorrhizal roots were stained for fungal colonization using the gridline intersection method according to McConigle et al. (1990). Here, the percentage of RLC (scoring hyphae, spores, vesicles, or arbuscules) ranged from 60% to 80%, while the relative arbuscule frequency in colonized fragments varied between 60% and 75%.

To obtain mycorrhizal roots for embedding in Steedman’s wax, 2-week-old seedlings were mycorrhizal by adding 15% (v/v) inoculum *G. intraradices* isolate 49 (Maier et al., 1995) produced in leek (*Allium porrum* ‘Elefant’) cultures to the substrate. Mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal plants were fertilized with half-strength Hoagland solution containing 20 μM phosphate and an additional 2 μM NH₄NO₃. RLC was checked regularly via ink staining according to the protocol of Vierheilig et al. (1998) and gridline intersection counting according to McConigle et al. (1990). Seventy percent to 80% RLC turned out to be most convenient for the selection of arbuscule-containing and adjacent cortical cells. This level of colonization was usually reached at 21 dpi.

**Tissue Embedding, Tissue Sectioning, and Laser Microdissection**

Roots were embedded using the Steedman’s wax protocol (Gomez et al., 2009) with the following modifications: Eosin was already added in the first step of the ethanol series (75% (v/v) ethanol with 0.1% (v/v) Eosin y), the overnight fixation step in Farmer’s fixative, and the overnight incubation step in 1:1 ethanol: wax were extended from 12 to 14 h, and root pieces were embedded in TurbOllow moulds as well as cassettes (McCormick Scientific). Blocks were stored in vacuum-sealed plastic bags containing desiccant bags at 4°C.

Longitudinal root sections of 12 μm were obtained using a Hyrax M55 rotary microscope (Zeiss). Ribbons were spread on heat-sterilized glass slides and were stretched with diethylpyrocarbonate-treated autoclaved water. Slides were dried for 1 h in a hybridization oven at 32°C. Slides with sections were used on the same or the following 2 d and stored in vacuum-sealed plastic bags with desiccation bags at 4°C if necessary. Sections were dewaxed immediately before cell harvest by washing the slides with absolute ethanol several times at 38°C on a heating plate, until the wax was not visible anymore. Subsequently, slides were dried on the heating plate.

The P.A.L.M. microbeam system with a Capmover (Zeiss) was used for laser microdissection and pressure catapulting. To collect cells, the CloseCut and Auto-LPC function was used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were collected into 500-μL adhesive caps (Zeiss) and stored directly at –80°C after the harvest was completed.

For each cell type, four biological replicates were produced, based on distinct rounds of plant cultivation and root embedding. Biological replicates consisted of three technical replicates of approximately 1,000 cells each, which were pooled after RNA isolation and amplification.

**RNA Isolation and Amplification**

Whole-root mycorrhizal samples were taken from frozen stocks, pooled, and ground using lysing matrix D tubes (MP Biomedicals) in a FastPrep (MP Biomedicals) prior to RNA extractions. Total RNA isolation and DNase I on-column digestion was performed via RNeasy kits (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA preparations were quality checked both via spectrophotometry (NanoDrop ND-1000, Peqlab) and via capillary electrophoresis in RNA nano chips (Agilent Bioanalyzer, Agilent), as recommended by the manufacturers.

Total RNA was isolated from laser-micro-dissected cells using the RNeasy micro kit (Qiagen). Three hundred and fifty microliters of RLT buffer containing β-mercaptoethanol were added to each sample followed by a 30-
min incubation at room temperature. The lysate was spun down for 5 min at 13,400g, mixed 1:1 with ethanol absolute, and transferred to the clean-up column. On-column DNase I digestion was performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. RNA from laser-microdissected cells was amplified using the TargetAmp 2-round aRNA amplification kit (Epiconcept Biotechnologies), as specified by the manufacturer. Quantity and quality of total RNA as well as T7-amplified aRNA was checked via capillary electrophoresis in RNA pico and nano chips, respectively, using an Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent).

**Medicago GeneChip Hybridizations**

RNA was processed for use on Affymetrix GeneChip Medicago genome arrays, according to the manufacturer’s GeneChip 3’ in vitro transcription (IVT) express kit user manual. Briefly, 100 ng of total RNA with an RNA integrity number (Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer, Agilent) of at least 8.5 containing spiked-in poly-A RNA controls was used in a RT reaction (GeneChip 3’ IVT express kit; Affymetrix) to generate first-strand cDNA. After second-strand synthesis, double-stranded cDNA was used in a 16-h IVT reaction to generate aRNA (GeneChip 3’ IVT express kit; Affymetrix). Size distribution of in vitro transcribed aRNA and fragmented aRNA, respectively, was assessed via an Agilent 2100 bioanalyzer (Agilent), using a RNA 6000 nano assay. Ten micrograms of fragmented aRNA was added to a 300-μL hybridization cocktail also containing hybridization controls. Two hundred microliters of the mixture was hybridized on GeneChips for 16 h at 45°C. Standard post-hybridization wash and double-stain protocols (FS450_0001; GeneChip HWS kit; Affymetrix) were used on an Affymetrix GeneChip Fluidics station 450. GeneChips were scanned on an Affymetrix GeneChip scanner 3000 7G.

**Evaluation of Data from Medicago GeneChip Hybridizations**

Cell files obtained from Medicago GeneChip hybridizations were analyzed using the Robin software (http://mapman.gabipd.org/web/guest/robin). Normalization was performed across all GeneChips using the robust multichip average algorithm. Intensity values calculated for each probe set were log2 transformed and averaged across all three biological replicates. Log2 differences between the conditions studied were evaluated statistically by applying a PCR correction for P values implemented in Robin. Original annotations of probes from Medicago GeneChips were replaced by automated annotations as well as functional classifications generated via Sequence Analysis and Management System (Bekel et al., 2009) and Gene Ontology classifications (http://www.medicago.org/GeneChip). To visualize gene expression profiles, MapMan (Usadel et al., 2005) was used. Data from Medicago GeneChip hybridizations were related to silico expression profiles using Medicago (Hencinas et al., 2010), applying the AM root libraries preselection. Since Medicago GeneChips are based on gene models from EST and genomic sequences, the number of probe sets exceeds the number of genes represented to a certain extent. Nevertheless, we refer to genes instead of probe sets in this work for reasons of simplicity.

**Real-Time RT-PCR**

Primers were designed using the Primer3 Web interface (Rozen and Skaletsky, 2000) according to the following criteria: product size range 100 to 150 bp; primer size min: 18 bp, opt: 21 bp, max: 24 bp; primer Tm min: 52°C, opt: 53°C, max: 55°C. If the position of the coding region was known, the amplicon was preferentially positioned in the 3’ region of the gene or the 3’ untranslated region. The primer pairs suggested by the primer3 program were blasted against the DFCI Medicago Gene Index (Quackenbush et al., 2001) to check for mismatches in known M. truncatula transcript sequences. The number of matching bp in off-target genes and especially matches at the 3’ end of the primer were taken into account and the most suitable primer pair according to these parameters was chosen. All primer pairs were tested for performance and specificity with RNA from whole-root tissue prior to using them for RNA from laser-microdissected samples. For some of the genes, primer pairs published by Gomez et al. (2009) were used. These are indicated in Supplemental Table S5, where all primer pairs are listed.

For the laser-microdissected samples, 50 ng of T7-amplified RNA (aRNA) were used for real-time RT-PCR, using the SensiMix SYBR one-step kit (Bioline). RT-PCR conditions (Realpex cycler, Eppendorf) were as follows: 10 min 42°C, 10 min 95°C, 50 cycles (15 s 95°C, 30 s 55°C, 30 s 72°C), 15 s 95°C, melting curve from 40°C to 95°C. In addition to a melting curve analysis, all real-time PCR products were separated on 2% (w/v) agarose gels to check both for specificity and a correct amplification size. Resequencing of selected PCR products was used to additionally confirm the specific amplification of the target gene. Expression results were averaged over three biological replicates. In case one replicate delivered a significantly deviant result from the other two, the measurement was repeated. If the difference persisted, the fourth replicate was included into the analysis and replaced the replicate in question, if this resulted in a more consistent expression pattern. The constitutive translation elongation factor gene MtTef1 (TC178258 in the DFCI Medicago Gene Index) was used for normalization across different conditions. MtTef1 expression was analyzed in four technical replicates, and the average value was used to calculate relative gene expression levels using the 2^−ΔΔCt value with ACT = CT_{target}−CT_{MtTef1}. Expression differences were analyzed for significance using the Student’s t-test incorporated in MS Excel 2007 (Microsoft Corp.).

For the whole-root samples, 50 ng of total RNA were used for real-time RT-PCR, using the SensiMix SYBR one-step kit (Bioline) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The constitutive translation elongation factor gene MtTef1 (TC178258 in the DFCI Medicago Gene Index) was used for normalization across different conditions. All expression results were averaged over three biological replicates. Relative gene expression levels were calculated as described above.

**Construction and Histological Analysis of Transgenic Hairy Roots**

The promoters of two CAAT-box TF genes represented by probe sets Mtr.51511.1.S1_at (referred to as MtCbf1) and Mtr.16863.1.S1_at (referred to as MtCbf2) were PCR amplified with phusion hot start DNA polymerase (Finnzymes, Biozym) from M. truncatula Gaertn ‘Jemalong’ genotype A17 genomic DNA, using gene-specific primers containing appropriate restriction sites. The amplified products covered the −1,533/−3 region for MtCbf1 and the −1,484/−6 region for MtCbf2 relative to the start codon. The promoter regions were cloned in front of the gusAint gene of pGUSint (Hohneck et al., 2003) using SphI/Smal restriction sites for MtCbf1 and SphI/EcoRI restriction sites for MtCbf2. Whereas the promoter-GUS fusion of MtCbf1 was excised using SphI, the promoter-GUS fusion of MtCbf2 was excised using Sall/Stul. Both fragments were filled in with Klenow polymerase, and cloned into the Smal site of the binary plasmid pRedRoot (Limpens et al., 2004). The plasmids obtained were electroporated into Agrobacterium thaliana ARqua1, and the resulting strains were used to generate hairy roots on M. truncatula ‘Jemalong’ A17 according to Vieweg et al. (2004). Transgenic roots were identified using dsRed fluorescence (Leica MZ 10F, Leica Microsystems) and were mccoryzed by adding 15% (v/v) incoom G. intraradices isolate 49 (Maier et al., 1995) produced in leek cultures (cv. Elfantr) to the substrate. GUS assays were performed as described by Hohneck et al. (2003), without preheating of the samples. To obtain thin sections, GUS-stained roots were embedded in 5% agarose and cut using a Leica VT1000S vibratome (Leica). Counterstaining of fungal structures was performed with Alexa Fluor 488 wheat germ agglutinin conjugate (Invitrogen). Roots were bleached for 3 min in 10% KOH at 95°C, washed three times with water, and stained in phosphate-buffered saline buffer containing 20 μg/ml. Alexa Fluor 488 WGA conjugate overnight. For staining of fungal structures in thin sections and staining of extraradical hyphae on the root surface, samples were directly transferred into phosphate-buffered saline buffer containing 20 μg/ml. Alexa Fluor 488 WGA conjugate and stained overnight. Photo documentation was performed with a Leica MZ 10F stereomicroscope (Leica Microsystems), equipped with an Olympus XC50 camera (Olympus), and with a Zeiss Axio Observer Z1 microscope equipped with an AxioCam MRc1 (Zeiss).

GeneChip data are available from the Gene Expression Omnibus accession number GSE32208.
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Supplemental Table S5. Real-time RT-PCR primers used in this study and size of predicted PCR products.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Karen S. Gomez and Maria Harrison (Boyce Thompson Institute for Plant Research) for sharing protocols and for helpful discussions on laser microdissection. Excellent bioinformatics support was provided by Kolja Henckel (Bioinformatics Resource Facility, Center for Biotechnology, Bielefeld University).

Received September 8, 2011; accepted October 26, 2011; published October 27, 2011.

LITERATURE CITED


and Glomus intraradices gene expression in cortical cells harboring arbuscules in the arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. BMC Plant Biol 9: 10
Parniske M (2000) Intracellular accommodation of microbes by plants: a...
common developmental program for symbiosis and disease? Curr Opin Plant Biol 3: 320–328


