Osmosensitive Changes of Carbohydrate Metabolism in Response to Cellulose Biosynthesis Inhibition1[W][OA]
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Cellulose is the most abundant biopolymer in the world, the main load-bearing element in plant cell walls, and represents a major sink for carbon fixed during photosynthesis. Previous work has shown that photosynthetic activity is partially regulated by carbohydrate sinks. However, the coordination of cellulose biosynthesis with carbohydrate metabolism and photosynthesis is not well understood. Here, we demonstrate that cellulose biosynthesis inhibition (CBI) leads to reductions in transcript levels of genes involved in photosynthesis, the Calvin cycle, and starch degradation in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) seedlings. In parallel, we show that CBI induces changes in carbohydrate distribution and influences Rubisco activase levels. We find that the effects of CBI on gene expression and carbohydrate metabolism can be neutralized by osmotic support in a concentration-dependent manner. However, osmotic support does not suppress CBI-induced metabolic changes in seedlings impaired in mechanoperception (mid1 complementing activity1 [mc1]) and osmoperception (cytokinin receptor1 [cre1]) or reactive oxygen species production (respiratory burst oxidase homolog DF [rbohDF]). These results show that carbohydrate metabolism is responsive to changes in cellulose biosynthesis activity and turgor pressure. The data suggest that MCA1, CRE1, and RBOHDF-derived reactive oxygen species are involved in the regulation of osmosensitive metabolic changes. The evidence presented here supports the notion that cellulose and carbohydrate metabolism may be coordinated via an osmosensitive mechanism.

Plant cell walls form the bulk of the lignocellulosic biomass that has been identified as a source for the sustainable production of energy. Lignin and cellulose biosynthesis produce the main load-bearing elements of primary and secondary plant cell walls and represent major sinks for photosynthesis products (Paul and Foyer, 2001; Hancock et al., 2007; Demura and Ye, 2010). There have been a number of observations that support the long-held view that photosynthetic activity, primary metabolism, and carbon sinks, such as lignin biosynthesis, are coordinated and that photosynthesis is partially sink regulated. Examples include the RNA interference-based suppression of p-coumaroyl-CoA 3'-hydroxylase, which leads to a reduction of photosynthetic carbon assimilation and increased levels of photosynthesize in leaves (Rogers et al., 2005; Coleman et al., 2008), and the observation that the starch excess1 mutant exhibits reduced lignin accumulation while being impaired in starch turnover (Rogers et al., 2005). Very little is known about the mechanisms coordinating cellulose production with primary metabolism and photosynthesis.

Different mechanisms and regulators, which have been shown to control carbohydrate metabolism, are reviewed by Stitt et al. (2010) and Geigenberger (2011). Examples include (1) allosteric regulation of enzymes by metabolic intermediates, as demonstrated for AGPase or cytosolic Fru-1,6-bisphosphatase (Ghosh and Preiss, 2010). There have been a number of observations that support the long-held view that photosynthetic activity, primary metabolism, and carbon sinks, such as lignin biosynthesis, are coordinated and that photosynthesis is partially sink regulated. Examples include the RNA interference-based suppression of p-coumaroyl-CoA 3'-hydroxylase, which leads to a reduction of photosynthetic carbon assimilation and increased levels of photosynthesize in leaves (Rogers et al., 2005; Coleman et al., 2008), and the observation that the starch excess1 mutant exhibits reduced lignin accumulation while being impaired in starch turnover (Rogers et al., 2005). Very little is known about the mechanisms coordinating cellulose production with primary metabolism and photosynthesis.

Differen
(GWD1), an enzyme required for starch degradation (Geigenberger et al., 2005; Mikkelsen et al., 2005); and (3) regulatory changes in the expression of genes involved in starch metabolism in sink and source tissues, reported in different plant species such as barley (Hordeum vulgare), Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana), and potato (Solanum tuberosum), which appear to be influenced by soluble sugar levels (Smith et al., 2004; Bläising et al., 2005; Osuna et al., 2007; Kloosterman et al., 2008; Mangelsen et al., 2010).

Several examples for the adaptation of metabolic processes in plants to environmental change have been discussed recently (Geigenberger, 2011). Arabidopsis plants respond to drought and hyperosmotic stress with abscisic acid (ABA)-dependent changes in carbohydrate distribution (Kempa et al., 2008). In water-stressed potato tubers, starch synthesis and cell wall formation are reduced whereas Suc levels are increased (Oparka and Wright, 1988a, 1988b). Suc phosphate synthase and AGPase have been identified as key enzymes required to bring about these metabolic changes (Geigenberger et al., 1999a). However, the mechanisms translating environmental perturbation into a response in terms of enzyme activity and/or adaptation of carbohydrate metabolism are not well understood (Gupta and Kaur, 2005; Kempa et al., 2007; Hey et al., 2010).

Recent publications have shown that plants have evolved a mechanism to monitor the functional integrity of the cell wall and initiate changes in wall composition/structure and cellular metabolism to maintain wall integrity in response to environmental perturbations (Seifert and Blaukopf, 2010). A similar cell wall integrity mechanism exists in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, and it has been shown that the functional integrity of the yeast cell wall is maintained by three different signaling mechanisms (Levin, 2005). The first consists of plasma membrane-localized proteins, such as WSC1, which have extracellular domains capable of sensing physical cell wall damage and creating a signal that is relayed through the G-protein RHO1, PKC1 protein kinase, and a mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade. The second signaling system consists of the yeast osmosensing system based on SLN1 and SHO1, which uses YPD1 to regulate the expression of response genes (Lu et al., 2003). The third consists of a stretch-activated, plasma membrane-localized calcium channel complex formed by CCH1 and MID1, which generates a signal relayed via calcineurin and CRZ1 (Fischer et al., 1997). Interestingly, the MID1 COMPLEMENTING ACTIVITY1 (MCA1) protein from Arabidopsis can partially rescue a MID1-deficient yeast strain, while another Arabidopsis protein, CYTOKININ RECEPTOR1 (CRE1), can complement an SLN1-deficient strain in the presence of cytokinin (Inoue et al., 2001; Reiser et al., 2003; Levin, 2005; Nakagawa et al., 2007). Recently, it has been shown that MCA1 and RESPIRATORY BURST OXIDASE HOMOLOG DF (RBOHDF)-derived reactive oxygen species (ROS) are required to initiate lignin production induced by cellulose biosynthesis inhibition (CBI) in Arabidopsis seedling roots and that the lignin deposition can be suppressed by osmotic support (Hamann et al., 2009; Denness et al., 2011). These results suggest that similarities exist at the functional and organizational levels between the plant and yeast cell wall integrity maintenance mechanisms.

The aim of the work presented here was to analyze the short-term impact of CBI on carbohydrate metabolism/photosynthetic activity and to characterize the mechanism regulating these processes. To achieve this goal, we have used two cellulose biosynthesis inhibitors, isoxaben (ISX) and dichlobenil (DCB), with different modes of action. The highly specific preemergence herbicide ISX causes CBI during primary cell wall formation (Scheible et al., 2001; Hématy et al., 2007; Hamann et al., 2009; Tsang et al., 2011). All the mutations causing resistance or hypersensitivity to ISX affect components of the cellulose synthase complex active during primary cell wall formation, highlighting the specificity of the inhibitor. Another efficient and well-established cellulose biosynthesis inhibitor that was used here is DCB. This inhibitor reduces the activity of cellulose synthase complexes possibly by affecting the interaction of the complexes with the cytoskeleton (Delmer, 1999; Himmelspach et al., 2003; Rajangam et al., 2008). Our results show that CBI causes a transient redistribution of carbohydrate, reveal a regulatory role for turgor pressure, and implicate several genes in mediating the osmoinsensitive process.

RESULTS

CBI Affects the Expression of Genes Involved in Photosynthesis and Starch Metabolism

DNA microarray-based expression profiling of Arabidopsis seedlings exposed to CBI suggested global changes in transcript levels after 4 h (Hamann et al., 2009). The expression of several genes involved in photosynthesis and starch metabolism appeared down-regulated in response to inhibitor treatment (Supplemental Fig. S1). Examples include genes encoding components of the LIGHT-HARVESTING ANTENNA COMPLEX (LHC) of PSI and PSII, RUBISCO ACTIVASE, CHAPERONIN 60β (CPN60β), PLASTID TRANSCRIPTIONALLY ACTIVE14, and GLYCERALDEHYDE-3-PHOSPHATE DEHYDROGENASE B SUBUNIT (GAPB), which are involved in photosynthetic carbon fixation. Other genes apparently affected are involved in starch metabolism, such as PHOSPHOGLICOMUTASE, STARCH SYNTHASE3, ADP/GLC PYROPHOSPHORYLASE LARGE SUBUNIT1, GWD1, α-GLUCAN PHOSPHORYLASE1, DISPROPORTIONATING ENZYME1 (DPE1), STARCH-BRANCHING ENZYME2.2, and ISOAMYLASE2 (ISA2). To confirm the microarray-derived expression data, time-course experiments using similar experimental conditions were set up and quantitative reverse transcription
(qRT)-PCR-based expression profiling of six representative genes involved in carbohydrate metabolism and photosynthesis was performed. This time-course expression analysis confirmed the previous data by showing that the expression of CPN60B, GAPB, LHC3, DPE1, ISA2, and GWD1 was down-regulated after 4 h of ISX treatment (Fig. 1). To confirm that the results obtained were not caused by an unspecific side effect of the inhibitor, the same experimental setup was used but DCB was employed to inhibit cellulose biosynthesis (Supplemental Fig. S2). DCB treatment also resulted in reductions of transcript levels, but the changes were delayed and not as pronounced as with ISX. To summarize, the expression analysis shows that two different cellulose biosynthesis inhibitors cause reductions in the expression of genes involved in starch metabolism and photosynthesis.

CBI Apparently Inhibits the Activity of Rubisco and Affects the Distribution of Carbohydrates

The gene expression profiling experiments (Fig. 1; Supplemental Figs. S1 and S2) revealed that CBI treatment reduced the expression of genes associated with Rubisco activity and the Calvin cycle, thus suggesting that the inhibitor treatment might affect photosynthetic activity. To test this hypothesis, an ELISA-based assay was developed to quantify the levels of Rubisco activase in seedlings mock or inhibitor treated. It was found that the ISX but not the DCB treatment caused a significant reduction in activase levels (Fig. 2A, black bar; Supplemental Table S3).

To determine whether CBI-induced changes in transcript levels affect primary metabolism, metabolic profiling using 14C-labeled Suc was carried out at two time points (Fig. 2B; Supplemental Fig. S3) that were selected based on the expression changes observed (Fig. 1). ISX was used in these experiments, since it has been shown that the ISX but not the DCB treatment caused a significant reduction in activase levels (Fig. 2A, black bar; Supplemental Table S3).

Figure 1. qRT-PCR-based expression analysis of genes involved in carbohydrate metabolism in ISX-treated seedlings. The x axis represents time in hours, while the y axis shows expression level changes (ISX-treated versus mock-treated control seedlings). Values are means ± se from three biological replicates.

The results presented above suggest that CBI affects Rubisco activase levels and carbohydrate distribution. Suc levels increased in mock- and DCB-treated seedlings similarly and then started to diverge after 10 h, with mock-treated seedlings having higher levels than DCB-treated ones (Supplemental Fig. S4A). After 8 h, Glc and Fru levels started to differ between mock- and DCB-treated seedlings (Supplemental Fig. S4, B and C). In contrast, increases in starch levels were more pronounced in DCB- than in mock-treated seedlings after 8 to 10 h (Supplemental Fig. S4D). These observations are similar to the effects observed in DCB-treated seedlings. Changes in the expression of the genes analyzed are delayed compared with ISX-treated seedlings (compare Fig. 1 and Supplemental Fig. S2).

The results presented above suggest that CBI affects Rubisco activase levels and carbohydrate distribution. However, it is important to rule out any response that may be due to unspecific effects of the inhibitors used. Several mutants have been described that exhibit enhanced resistance to ISX (Scheible et al., 2001; Desprez et al., 2002). The mutation in isoxaben resistant1 (ixr1-1) causes an amino acid exchange in the cytoplasmic domain of CELLULOSE SYNTHASE3, increasing resistance to ISX by approximately 300-fold. Therefore, ixr1 was selected to confirm that the observed
Phenotypic effects are caused specifically by ISX (Scheible et al., 2001). Rubisco activase levels were measured in ecotype Columbia (Col-0) and *ixr1-1* seedlings mock or ISX treated for 10 h. The measurements showed that ISX treatment reduces Rubisco activase levels in Col-0. Rubisco activase levels were reduced in mock-treated *ixr1-1* seedlings compared with the Col-0 control (Fig. 3A). More importantly, Rubisco activase levels were not reduced in *ixr1-1* seedlings after ISX treatment. In parallel, soluble sugar and starch levels were determined in Col-0 and *ixr1-1* seedlings mock or ISX treated for 10 h (Fig. 3B; Supplemental Table S1). The ISX treatment reduced the levels of soluble sugars in Col-0 seedlings compared with mock-treated ones, while the starch levels were increased (Fig. 3B, hatched bars; expressed as the ratio of ISX- to mock-treated seedlings). The ISX treatment did not induce changes in soluble sugar and starch levels in *ixr1-1* seedlings compared with the corresponding mock-treated controls (Fig. 3B, black bars). Interestingly, the absolute amounts of both soluble sugars and starch were reduced in the mock-treated seedlings.
ixr1-1 seedlings compared with the Col-0 controls (Supplemental Table S1).

It has been shown that manipulation of starch levels can result in the induction of senescence and the activation of genes involved in cell death (Yandeau-Nelson et al., 2011). Programmed cell death was detected in Arabidopsis suspension culture cells after 24 h of thaxtomin A and 48 h of ISX treatment (Duval et al., 2005). To determine if cell death is occurring under our experimental conditions and the time frame analyzed, Evans blue assays were performed on seedlings treated with the chemicals used in the experiments presented here and below (Supplemental Fig. S5 and Supplemental Materials and Methods S1; Sanevas et al., 2007). While the Evans blue assays did not detect elevated levels of cell death after 10 h of CBI or polyethylene glycol (PEG) treatment, levels were enhanced in DCB-treated seedlings but still lower than the positive controls for cell death (NaCl and dimethyl sulfoxide; Supplemental Fig. S5).

To summarize, the cell viability assays show that ISX treatment does not cause large-scale cell death whereas DCB-treated seedlings do exhibit some. The effects observed with DCB were not as pronounced as with ISX. However, measurements of Rubisco activase levels, soluble sugars, and starch in mock-, DCB-, and ISX-treated Col-0 and ixr1-1 seedlings show that CBI affects specifically Rubisco activase levels and causes a transient redistribution of carbohydrates. The reduced Rubisco activase levels in the ixr1-1 seedlings are correlated with lower levels of soluble sugars and starch, suggesting that the carbohydrate metabolism in general might be reduced in the mutant background.

Analysis of Different Signaling Cascades Possibly Mediating the CBI-Induced Carbohydrate Redistribution

To determine if an established signaling mechanism is required to mediate the changes observed in gene expression and carbohydrate distribution, mutants impaired in different signaling cascades were used. ISX was employed for these experiments, since its effects on gene expression and metabolism are more direct than those of DCB. We tested if the ISX-induced metabolic and gene expression changes described above were modified in any of the following mutants: (1) sugar-signaling Glc insensitive2 (gin2-1; Moore et al., 2003); (2) metabolic signaling snf1 kinase homolog10 (kin10) and kin11 (Baena-González et al., 2007); (3) regulation of sugar distribution aba deficient2 (aba2-1; Rook et al., 2001) and tonoplast monosaccharide transporter1-2-3 (tmt1-2-3; Worman et al., 2006); (4) osmosensing Arabidopsis His kinase1 (ahk1) and osmo/ cytokinin-sensing cytokinin receptor1 (cre1; Tran et al., 2007); (5) cell wall signaling wall-associated kinase1 (wak1; Brutus et al., 2010); (6) ROS production (rbohDF; Kwak et al., 2003); and (7) calcium uptake during mechanoperception and regulation of cell wall damage-induced lignin production (mca1; Nakagawa et al., 2007; Denness et al., 2011).

qRT-PCR-based expression analysis showed that ISX-induced changes in transcript levels (similar to Col-0) were still detectable in gin2, kin10, kin11, ahk1, wak1, tmt1-2-3, and aba2-1 seedlings (data not shown). In cre1 seedlings, expression of the genes analyzed showed a reduced but still significant reduction following CBI (Fig. 4). In mca1 and rbohDF seedlings, expression of most of the genes analyzed did not change in response to CBI treatment (Fig. 4), similar to the ixr1-1 control.

In parallel, soluble sugar and starch levels were quantified in seedlings of all the mutants before treatment commenced and after 10 h of mock or ISX treatment. Several mutants (aba2, kin11, tmt1-2-3, gin2, mca1, rbohDF, and ixr1) exhibited differences in starch levels compared with the control before the start of treatment (Supplemental Table S1). However, all the mutants analyzed exhibited enhanced starch levels upon ISX treatment compared with the corresponding mock-treated controls. These results suggest that the mechanisms mediating the changes in starch levels are not fundamentally impaired in the mutants assessed. To summarize, while the results from the expression profiling analysis implicates MCA1, CRE1, and RBOHDF in mediating the changes in transcript levels induced by ISX, the carbohydrate measurements showed that none of the mutations impair the ISX-induced changes in starch levels. These results suggest that either the mechanism mediating the response to ISX is redundantly organized (i.e. several signaling cascades could act in parallel) or an unknown novel signaling mechanism is mediating the ISX-induced changes in carbohydrate distribution.

CBI and Hyperosmotic Stress Treatments Induce Different Metabolic Changes

The results presented above suggested that none of the regulatory mechanisms impaired in the mutants investigated is responsible for mediating the ISX-induced metabolic changes. CBI treatment has been shown
previously to cause both the loss of the cellulose synthase complexes from the plasma membrane in root epidermal cells and the swelling of these cells (Lazzaro et al., 2003; Paredez et al., 2006; Hamann et al., 2009; Tsang et al., 2011). It seems likely that the swelling is caused by turgor pressure in the individual cell pushing against a weakened cell wall. ISX-induced effects, such as cell swelling, lignin deposition, necrosis, and gene expression changes, can be prevented by osmotic support in a concentration-dependent manner using sorbitol or mannitol (Hamann et al., 2009). Both sugar alcohols are frequently used for the analysis of hyperosmotic stress responses and the manipulation of turgor pressure in plants (Donaldson et al., 2004; Boudsocq et al., 2007). Previous work has shown that hyperosmotic stress (to simulate water stress) causes carbohydrate redistribution in potato tuber slices, with Suc levels being enhanced and starch levels decreased (Oparka and Wright, 1988a, 1988b). Therefore, we decided to investigate if ISX and hyperosmotic stress treatments result in similar effects on carbohydrate distribution. Accordingly, starch and soluble sugar levels were analyzed after seedlings were treated with ISX, 5% PEG, 300 mM sorbitol, 300 mM mannitol, 150 mM NaCl, or 150 mM KCl for 10 h (Fig. 5; Supplemental Table S2). Mannitol/sorbitol treatments result in significantly enhanced levels of Glc and Fru, while Suc and starch levels are reduced (Fig. 5, dark gray and dark gray hatched bars). NaCl- and KCl-treated seedlings exhibit decreases in the levels of Glc, Fru, and starch, while the Suc levels are increased (Fig. 5, light gray and light gray hatched bars). Only the starch levels were significantly reduced in 5% PEG-treated seedlings (Fig. 5, white bars). The soluble sugars did not exhibit significant differences. Interestingly, ISX-treated seedlings exhibit lower Glc, Fru, and Suc levels than any of the other treatments investigated and are the only seedlings that exhibit increased starch levels compared with the mock-treated controls (Fig. 5, black bars).

These results suggest that ISX treatment has a different effect on carbohydrate distribution than osmotic stress responses. We have previously shown that osmotic support (hyperosmotic stress treatment) suppresses the effects of ISX treatment on seedling morphology and gene expression levels (Hamann et al., 2009). Taken together, these results raise the possibility that changes in turgor pressure may either affect the same processes or be responsible for the observed effects caused by CBI.

To follow up on these observations, seedlings were treated with ISX or DCB alone or in combination with 5%/10% PEG or 300 mM sorbitol (as a control), and the impacts of the treatments on both gene expression and soluble sugar/starch levels were determined. Gene expression levels were not affected by 5% PEG treatment alone (Supplemental Fig. S6B) and were reduced by both ISX and DCB (Fig. 6A; Supplemental Fig. S6B). Combining ISX treatment with 5%/10% PEG or 300 mM sorbitol reduced the impact of ISX on gene expression (Fig. 6A, 5%/10% PEG; Supplemental Fig. S6A, 300 mM sorbitol). Combining DCB treatments with 5% PEG had a similar but not as pronounced effect (Supplemental Fig. S6B).

To examine if combining PEG with ISX also affects CBI-induced carbohydrate redistribution, soluble sugars and starch contents were measured in seedlings treated with/without ISX and three different PEG concentrations (2.5%, 5%, and 10%). Increasing concentrations of PEG in samples without ISX had very limited effects on carbohydrate levels (Supplemental Fig. S7A). In control (no-PEG) CBI-treated seedlings, decreases in Glc, Fru, and Suc levels were observed, whereas starch content was enhanced (Fig. 6B, black bars).
bars). PEG treatment did not affect CBI-induced Fru changes in a concentration-dependent manner. However, the addition of PEG reduced the CBI effect on Glc, Suc, and starch levels in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 6B; Supplemental Table S2). To confirm the specificity of the observed effects, seedlings were also treated with a combination of ISX and sorbitol (Supplemental Fig. S7B) or DCB and PEG (Supplemental Fig. S7C). Sorbitol treatment by itself affected carbohydrate distribution as described previously and reduced the effect of ISX on starch accumulation (Supplemental Fig. S7B). Similarly, while DCB-treated seedlings exhibited increased starch levels, cotreatment with PEG reduced the starch levels (Supplemental Fig. S7C). It was also determined whether turgor pressure manipulation neutralizes the CBI-induced reduction of Rubisco activase levels, so enzyme levels were determined in seedlings mock, PEG, ISX, DCB, ISX/PEG, or DCB/PEG treated. No reproducible effects of osmotic support on Rubisco activase levels were detectable (data not shown).

To summarize, the results show that the CBI-induced effects on the expression of genes involved in starch metabolism and photosynthesis can be suppressed by osmotic support. Combining different osmotica (sorbitol and PEG) with different inhibitors (ISX and DCB) reduces the effects of CBI treatment on starch levels in a concentration-dependent manner. These results show that changes in carbohydrate distribution induced by CBI can be reduced/neutralized by providing osmotic support.

**CRE1, MCA1, and RBOHDF Are Involved in the Suppression of CBI Effects by Osmotic Support**

To identify the signaling cascades mediating the effects of osmotic support on carbohydrate metabolism, the same mutants as described above were employed. It was determined whether osmotic support (by PEG) still prevents the ISX-induced reduction in transcript levels and changes in carbohydrate distribution in the different mutant backgrounds.

qRT-PCR-based expression analysis found that in *ixr1-1* seedlings (included as a positive control), the expression of *CPN60B* and *GWD1* was slightly increased after 4 h of 5% PEG/ISX treatment. The expression analysis showed that osmotic support reduced the CBI-induced expression effects in *gin2, kin10, kin11, ahk1, wsk1, tmi1-2-3*, and *aba2-1* seedlings similar to the Col-0 control, suggesting that these genes are not involved in the process examined (data not shown). Interestingly, in ISX/PEG-treated *rbohDF* and *cre1* seedlings, the expression levels of the genes examined were less affected by PEG treatment than in the control (Fig. 7A). The levels of gene expression in 5% PEG/ISX-treated *mca1* seedlings were very similar to those of mock-treated controls (compare Figs. 7A and 4).

Soluble sugar and starch levels were measured in Col-0, *cre1, mca1*, and *rbohDF* seedlings treated with 5% PEG and ISX for 10 h (Fig. 7B; Supplemental Table S1). Increased starch levels were detected upon ISX treatment in Col-0, *cre1, mca1*, and *rbohDF* seedlings but not in *ixr1-1* (Fig. 7B, black bars). In Col-0 and *mca1* seedlings treated with PEG, reduced starch levels were detected compared with the corresponding mock controls (Fig. 7B, light gray hatched bars). Starch levels were slightly enhanced in *ixr1-1* seedlings while being similar to the corresponding controls in *cre1* and *rbohDF*, suggesting that both mutants are impaired in the detection of PEG in the medium. No significant increase in starch levels was detected in Col-0 seedlings upon PEG/ISX cotreatment (Fig. 7B, black hatched bar, highlighted by the red arrow). Starch levels were slightly reduced compared with the control in *ixr1-1* seedlings. In PEG/CBI-treated *cre1, mca1*, and *rbohDF* seedlings, the starch levels were increased, which differed from the corresponding Col-0 control (red arrow). This suggests that MCA1, CRE1, and RBOHDF are required for the PEG-based suppression of ISX-induced changes. To summarize, PEG-based suppression of the ISX-induced changes in gene expression and starch levels is reduced in *cre1* and...
The coordination of photosynthetic activity with primary metabolism and the redistribution of carbohydrates in response to abiotic and biotic stress in plants has been discussed previously (Paul and Foyer, 2001). Soluble sugar levels have been identified as integrating elements linking plant metabolism and the environment, since they are influenced both by sink activities and biotic/abiotic stresses (Geigenberger, 2011).

In order to determine how the activities of cellulose biosynthesis, primary metabolism, and photosynthesis are coordinated, we inhibited cellulose biosynthesis using two inhibitors (ISX and DCB) with distinctly different modes of action. Overall, the results obtained with both were similar. However, DCB seems not to be such an effective inhibitor, based on the delayed (changes in gene expression levels) and less pronounced (changes in soluble sugars and starch levels) responses of Arabidopsis seedlings to the inhibitor treatment. In addition, DCB-treated seedlings exhibited elevated levels of cell death. We found that both mock- and CBI-treated seedlings exhibit an initial increase in Suc (probably from the fresh medium provided) at the start of treatment. While the mock-treated seedlings then show transient increases in Glc/Fru levels and no change in starch, CBI treatment results in a transient increase in starch levels but no increases in Glc and Fru. Increased starch contents upon CBI have been observed previously in the temperature-sensitive Arabidopsis mutants radially swollen1, -2, and -3 (Peng et al., 2000). Conversely, reduced starch levels and thicker cell walls have been described in leaves of the pea (Pisum sativum) mutant rugosus3, which is impaired in the plastidial phosphoglucomutase (Harrison, 1998). These observations suggest that a dedicated regulatory mechanism coordinates starch and cellulose biosynthesis, that they may compete for the same carbon source, and that reduction in one activity leads to enhancement in the other. This hypothesis is supported by the negative correlation observed between biomass production/growth and starch levels across 94 Arabidopsis accessions (Sulpice et al., 2009).

Photosynthetic activity is partially sink regulated, and soluble sugar levels can regulate enzyme activities and the expression of genes involved in primary metabolism and photosynthesis (Koch, 1996; Gupta and Kaur, 2005). High sugar levels cause increased starch synthesis, reductions of transcript levels of genes involved in photosynthesis, and the inhibition of photosynthetic activity (Koch, 1996; Geigenberger et al., 2005). Here, we found that CBI reduced the expression of genes involved in photosynthesis and, in the case of ISX, also reduced Rubisco activase levels, although the soluble sugar levels were lower in inhibitor-treated seedlings than in mock controls. Rubisco activase is required for the activation of Rubisco in vivo, and it was shown that activase deficiency leads to decreased PSII activity (Cai et al., 2010; Carmo-Silva and Salvucci, 2011). These results show that the expression of genes involved in carbohydrate metabolism and Rubisco activase/photosynthesis can be inhibited in the absence of high levels of soluble sugars. The data suggest that another mechanism may exist that can regulate the expression of genes involved in carbohydrate metabolism and Rubisco activase levels.

To gain insights into the mode of action of the mechanism regulating the observed CBI-induced metabolic...
and gene expression effects, mutants impaired in different signaling pathways were systematically tested. For these experiments, only ISX was used, since the available evidence suggests that it is more specific than DCB. The metabolic and gene expression changes detected in gin2, kin10, kin11, ahk1, wak1, tmt11-2-3, and aba2-1 seedlings to treatments were similar to the Col-0 control, suggesting that the signaling cascades impaired in these mutants are not involved in the processes examined here. In mca1, cre1, and rbohDF seedlings, ISX-induced expression changes were absent but starch levels were still enhanced. Previous work has shown that these three genes are required for CBI-induced lignin deposition (Denness et al., 2011). Therefore, these results suggest that a distinct mechanism is responsible for mediating the CBI-induced changes in carbohydrate distribution.

Previous results from our own and other research groups have shown that the manipulation of turgor pressure suppresses CBI-induced lignin production in Arabidopsis seedlings and modifies Suc and starch levels in potato tuber slices (Oparka and Wright, 1988a, 1988b; Chaves, 1991; Geigenberger et al., 1999a, 1999b; Hamann et al., 2009; Valerio et al., 2011). Here, we compared the effects of different osmotica (normally used to create hyperosmotic stress) and CBI on soluble sugar and starch levels. The results suggest that CBI has distinctly different effects on carbohydrate levels compared with mannitol, sorbitol, NaCl, KCl, and PEG. In particular, starch levels in CBI-treated seedlings were enhanced, which was not the case in seedlings exposed to hyperosmotic stress conditions. The combination of hyperosmotic stress (caused by PEG or sorbitol) with CBI treatments led to the suppression of CBI-induced gene expression changes and reduction of the CBI-induced redistribution of carbohydrates. These results suggest that the CBI-induced effects may be responsive to changes in turgor pressure and that CBI may have opposite effects on turgor pressure compared with hyperosmotic treatments. Loosening of the plant cell wall due to CBI could allow cell expansion, which in turn could affect turgor pressure. Bulging epidermal cells in CBI-treated seedlings have been described before and would support this hypothesis (Hamann et al., 2009; Tsang et al., 2011). Previous work by other research groups has provided additional evidence for the importance of plant cell walls in the regulation of turgor pressure and response to drought/osmotic stress (Zhu et al., 1993, 2010; Chen et al., 2005). To summarize, the results presented here suggest that a turgor pressure-sensitive mechanism could regulate carbohydrate metabolism and raise the question of whether CBI treatment could generate a hypooosmotic stress situation (since hyperosmotic stress treatments have been shown to suppress the CBI-induced changes in transcript and enhanced starch levels).

In Col-0 seedlings, combining CBI and PEG treatments resulted in suppression of the inhibitor-induced gene expression changes and metabolic effects. In mca1, cre1, and rbohDF seedlings, osmotic support did not suppress the CBI effects on starch levels. By contrast, transcript levels were slightly reduced in CBI/PEG-treated cre1 and rbohDF seedlings and not significantly changed in mca1 seedlings. Since there are no differences regarding transcript levels detectable between CBI and CBI/PEG treatment in mca1 seedlings, the exact role of MCA1 in this context would be difficult to characterize if only gene expression data were being considered. However, the data from the starch quantifications in the three mutants suggest that all three genes are required for the osmotic suppression of CBI-induced starch changes. CRE1 has been shown to function as a cytokinin receptor in plants, to be able to rescue an osmosensor (SLN1)-deficient yeast strain in the presence of cytokinin, and has been implicated in the ABA-mediated response to drought stress (Inoue et al., 2001; Reiser et al., 2003; Tran et al., 2007). Previous research has shown that CBI causes no increases in ABA levels in liquid culture-grown seedlings and that genes involved in ABA metabolism/signaling do not exhibit dramatic changes in transcript levels (Hamann et al., 2009; A. Wormit, unpublished data). In parallel, cytokinin-deficient mutant seedlings exhibit the same response to CBI as the Col-0 seedlings (A. Wormit, unpublished data), RBOHDF and RBOHF encode NADPH oxidase enzymes that are required to generate ROS during the response to biotic and abiotic stress (Torres et al., 2002). Mild salt stress results in the activation of RBOH expression and increased ROS production, whereas overexpression of a Chlamydomonas gluthathione peroxidase improves salt stress tolerance in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) plants (Yoshimura et al., 2004; Xie et al., 2011). Characterization of the salt-overly sensitive6 allele of ARABIDOPSIS CELLULOSE SYNTHASE-LIKE D5 has implicated ROS-based signaling processes in the response to osmotic stress (Zhu et al., 2010). MCA1 encodes a plasma membrane protein that can partially rescue a yeast strain deficient in the MID1 CCH1 stretch-activated calcium channel (Nakagawa et al., 2007). MCA1 has been implicated in Ca2+ signaling, mechanosensing in Arabidopsis roots, and CBI-induced lignin deposition (Nakagawa et al., 2007; Hamann et al., 2009). These results suggest that RBOHDF, MCA1, and CRE1 could perceive changes in turgor pressure and/or plasma membrane distortion caused by turgor manipulation. cre1 and rbohDF seedlings do not exhibit reductions in starch levels like the Col-0 control upon PEG treatment, suggesting that they are fundamentally impaired in the perception of turgor pressure changes. mca1 seedlings exhibit a more pronounced reduction in starch levels upon PEG treatment alone, suggesting that they are hypersensitive to turgor manipulation and might respond to a different stimulus. This situation could be similar to that in yeast cells, where osmoperception (SLN1), stretch-activated calcium channels (MID1 CCH1), and cell wall integrity monitoring pathways perceive different inputs but interact to generate a
response tailored to a particular stimulus (García et al., 2009). The translation of signals in plants generated by CRE1 and MCA1 could occur via RBOHDF-derived ROS, since the activity of the enzyme is regulated synergistically by phosphorylation and Ca²⁺ influx (Cazalé et al., 1998; Ogasawara et al., 2008). Since the mutants affect only the osmosensitive effects and not the ISX-induced starch changes, the observed metabolic effects of ISX treatment are either mediated by a redundantly specified mechanism or by another mechanism coordinating cellulose biosynthesis directly with primary metabolism and photosynthesis.

We have shown here that changes in the activity of cellulose biosynthesis reduce the expression of genes involved in starch degradation and photosynthesis, that the changes cause a redistribution of carbohydrates, and that they reduce the levels of Rubisco activase in Arabidopsis seedlings. In addition, we have shown that manipulation of turgor pressure can suppress the CBI effects on gene expression and carbohydrate distribution in a concentration-dependent manner. CRE1, MCA1, and RBOHDF are essential for the osmosensitive responses but not the ones induced by ISX. These results suggest that two different signaling mechanisms exist. The first coordinates the activity of cellulose biosynthesis with primary metabolism and photosynthesis. The second is a turgor pressure-sensitive mechanism that can modify carbohydrate metabolism. The latter raises the possibility that turgor pressure could act as a central integrator enabling the plant cell to monitor and coordinate different metabolic and cellular processes in response to both environmental and cellular stimuli.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Material**

Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) wild-type (Col-0) and mutant seedlings were grown and treated with 600 nM ISX as described (Hamann et al., 2009). For combined treatments, the respective PEG 8000, sorbitol, and mannitol amounts were dissolved in the growth medium. All chemicals were obtained from Sigma unless stated otherwise. Mutants were obtained from the Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Center (Arabidopsis. info/) or from specific laboratories: cre1-10 (At1g01380), mca1 (At1g35920), rbohD1 (J. Jones, Sainsbury Laboratory; At1g29710, At1g46400), gin2-1 (At4g35920), ahad2-1 (At1g52340), kin10 (SALK_127939, 21.55.x, At1g01900), kin11 (WiscDilux230803, At2g29160), akt1 (SALK_000972, 28.80.x, At1g17820), iox1 (B.K. Kohorn, Bowdoin College), and tmt1-2-3 (E.N. Neuhaus, University of Kaiserslautern).

**qRT-PCR**

For RNA isolation, the RNAeasy Mini Kit was used according to the manufacturer’s protocol (http://www.qiagen.com). For cDNA synthesis, the Qiagen QuantiTect Rev. Transcription Kit was used as described by the manufacturer. The Qiagen QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR Kit was used to perform qRT-PCR expression analysis on a Corbett Rotorgene 3000 machine according to the manufacturer’s instructions (http://corbettlifeScience.com) and an Applied Biosystems 7500 PCR system. The sequence of qRT-PCR primers used is listed in Supplemental Materials and Methods S1. For data analysis, Relative Expression Software Tool 384, version 2 (Plaiffl et al., 2002) was used. Expression data were standardized using UBQUITIN10 and normalized to the expression of the respective gene in mock-treated samples.

**Carbohydrate Measurement**

Plant tissue samples were freeze dried and then ground to a fine powder using a TissueLyser (Qiagen) with metal steel balls (25 Hz, 2 min). Soluble sugars were extracted in successive aliquots of 80% ethanol at 95°C. Ethanol fractions were pooled, and the released Glc, Fru, and Suc were analyzed sequentially using a coupled enzymatic assay. In this assay, the reduction of NADP⁺ is directly proportional to the substrate concentration and was determined spectrophotometrically at an optical density at 340 nm (Jones et al., 1977; Passonneau and Lowny, 1993). The residual tissue material was used for starch extraction as described (Reinhold et al., 2007). After hydrolysis, starch was quantified as Glc units as described above. The soluble sugar and starch contents were calculated as averages ± SD of at least three biological replicates, and each experiment was repeated at least three times.

**Metabolic Flux Measurement**

Arabidopsis seedlings were grown and treated with ISX as described (Hamann et al., 2009). Radiolabel (30 μM Suc at a specific activity of 2.1 MBq mmol⁻¹) was added at three different time points: 1 h before the CBI treatment or 7 or 11 h after the treatment commenced. Following the provision of radiolabel, incubations were continued for 1 h, then plant material was harvested, washed three times in half-strength Murashige and Skoog medium in 2.56 μM MES buffer (100 μL per sample), and frozen in liquid nitrogen. Plant material was extracted with 80% (v/v) ethanol at 80°C (1 mL per sample) and reextracted in two subsequent steps with 50% (v/v) ethanol; the combined supernatants were dried under an air stream at 40°C and taken up in 1 mL of water. Label was separated by ion-exchange chromatography and thin-layer chromatography as described (Fernie et al., 2001). Insoluble material remaining after ethanol extraction was analyzed for label in starch, cell wall, and protein as described (Fernie et al., 2002). Absolute fluxes were calculated from the label incorporation divided by the specific activity of the hexose phosphate pools in the samples (Geigenberger et al., 2005). For flux to glycolysis, the sum of label retained in protein, amino acids, organic acids, and released ¹⁴CO₂ was determined. The specific activity was calculated by dividing the label incorporation in the hexose phosphate pool by the absolute levels of hexose phosphates.

**Measurement of Relative Rubisco Activase Levels**

Arabidopsis seedlings were harvested and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Frozen seedlings were homogenized and resuspended in ice-cold extraction buffer (100 mg mL⁻¹; 50 μM HEPES, pH 7.5, with KOH, 20% [v/v] glycerol, 0.25% [v/v] bovine serum albumin, 1% [v/v] Trition X-100, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol, and Sigma Plant Protease Inhibitor Cocktail), as adapted from Sulpice et al. (2007). The mixture was vortexed and centrifuged for 10 min at 4°C. Relative Rubisco activase amount was measured using an ELISA (Sigma) adapted for these experiments. Briefly, seedling extracts were diluted in carbonate-bicarbonate buffer supplemented with fresh protease inhibitors (final concentration of 0.5 mg fresh weight ml⁻¹). Proteins (200 μL of the diluted cell mixture) were allowed to bind to the surface of the microplate wells (Costar high bind) for 45 min at 37°C. Wells were washed (phosphate-buffered saline containing 0.05% Tween 20), blocked (5% bovine serum albumin in phosphate-buffered saline; 2 h at room temperature), and washed again. Bound Rubisco activase was determined using goat anti-Rubisco activase antibodies (Santa Cruz) and donkey anti-goat antibodies conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (Sigma). Horseradish peroxidase activity was detected using Lumi-Light substrate (Roche). Unspecific binding was accounted for by deducting a buffer-only control containing no protein, and absorbance was measured at 450 nm in a Thermo Scientific multiwell microplate reader.

**Statistical Analysis**

Unless stated otherwise in the figure legends, statistical tests were performed as follows. Comparisons of the saccharide contents were based on three data points. In both cases, a one-way ANOVA with a two-tailed distribution and a 0.05 degree of significance was performed. qRT-PCR results were compared using eight individual data points with a one-way ANOVA, a two-tailed distribution, and a 0.01 degree of significance.
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