Altered Chloroplast Development and Delayed Fruit Ripening Caused by Mutations in a Zinc Metalloprotease at the lutescent2 Locus of Tomato1[W][OA]
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The chloroplast is the site of photosynthesis in higher plants but also functions as the center of synthesis for primary and specialized metabolites including amino acids, fatty acids, starch, and diverse isoprenoids. Mutants that disrupt aspects of chloroplast function represent valuable tools for defining structural and biochemical regulation of the chloroplast and its interplay with whole-plant structure and function. The lutescent1 (l1) and l2 mutants of tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) possess a range of chlorophyll-deficient phenotypes including reduced rates of chlorophyll synthesis during deetiolation and enhanced rates of chlorophyll loss in leaves and fruits as they age, particularly in response to high-light stress and darkness. In addition, the onset of fruit ripening is delayed in lutescent mutants by approximately 1 week although once ripening is initiated they ripen at a normal rate and accumulation of carotenoids is not impaired. The l2 locus was mapped to the long arm of chromosome 10 and positional cloning revealed the existence of a premature stop codon in a chloroplast-targeted zinc metalloprotease of the M50 family that is homologous to the Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) gene ETHYLENE-DEPENDENT GRAVITROPISM DEFICIENT AND YELLOW-GREEN1. Screening of tomato germplasm identified two additional l2 mutant alleles. This study suggests a role for the chloroplast in mediating the onset of fruit ripening in tomato and indicates that chromoplast development in fruit does not depend on functional chloroplasts.

The chloroplast is a defining organelle of plant cells, serving as the site of the biochemical reactions associated with photosynthesis as well as the synthesis of amino acids, fatty acids, carotenoids, vitamins, and a range of specialized metabolites (Armbruster et al., 2011). The chloroplast is derived from an ancient cyanobacterial endosymbiont with many of the ancestral genes of this organism incorporated into the host genome (Timmis et al., 2004). Current estimates suggest that there are between 2,000 and 3,000 chloroplast-localized proteins, the vast majority of which are encoded by the host genome (Armbruster et al., 2011). Therefore, chloroplast homeostasis requires coordination of the nuclear and plastid genomes together with the transport of these nuclear-encoded proteins into the chloroplast, their processing, folding, and assembly into functional protein complexes and their subsequent degradation. These processes must be intricately regulated to reflect changes in plastid function during development, the metabolic status of the cell, and be responsive to environmental conditions that perturb chloroplast function. Developing a systematic understanding of the function of chloroplast-localized proteins has broad implications for defining and manipulating plant metabolism and considerable progress has been made in this area, particularly in model organisms (Waters and Langdale, 2009; Ajjawi et al., 2010; Armbruster et al., 2011). Investigating the function of chloroplast-localized proteins in diverse species with novel physiology or specialized metabolism will provide additional and important insight into the functions of this unique organelle.

The onset of fruit ripening is marked by a reprogramming of cellular metabolism that often includes cell wall modifications together with associated fruit
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softening, the conversion of chloroplasts into chromoplasts and the synthesis of brightly colored pigments such as carotenoids, the conversion of starch into simple sugars, and the synthesis of volatile compounds that influence taste and aroma. These biochemical processes are highly regulated and contribute to the transformation of the fruit from an unpalatable and often toxic organ into one that is attractive and nutritious for seed-dispersing fauna (Barry, 2010; Klee and Giovannoni, 2011). The factors that regulate the ripening transition are not fully understood, although specific transcription factors belonging to the MADS-box and SQUAMOSA PROMOTER BINDING PROTEIN families are necessary for ripening and affect multiple aspects of the ripening process, including ethylene synthesis, softening, color change, and aroma volatile production (Herner and Sink, 1973; Sink et al., 1974; Vrebalov et al., 2002, 2009; Manning et al., 2006; Itkin et al., 2009; Kovács et al., 2009; Chung et al., 2010; Jaakola et al., 2010; Karlova et al., 2011; Martel et al., 2011; Seymour et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2012). In climacteric fruit such as tomato (Solanum lycopersicum), these transcription factors act upstream of ethylene biosynthesis and ethylene is required for full development of the ripe phenotype (Barry and Giovannoni, 2004; Klee, 2010). Additionally, several mutants that alter different aspects of fruit quality do so through changes in either chloroplast or chromoplast biochemistry. For example, the high-pigment1 (hp-1), hp-2, and hp-3 loci possess altered chloroplast number and ultrastructure, contributing to fruits with elevated levels of chlorophyll, carotenoids, and flavonoids, together with altered patterns of aroma volatile production (Yen et al., 1997; Bino et al., 2005; Kolotilin et al., 2007; Galpaz et al., 2008; Kovács et al., 2009). Similarly, inhibition of chlorophyll degradation due to mutations in tomato and pepper (Capsicum annuum) homologs of the chloroplast-targeted STAY-GREEN protein of rice (Oryza sativa), are responsible for the green-flesh and chlorophyll retainer mutations of tomato and pepper that influence fruit color (Barry et al., 2008; Borovsky and Paran, 2008). Recently, multiple aspects of the ripening process, including the ethylene climacteric and carotenogenesis, were found to be disrupted in the Orange ripening (OrfD) mutant that encodes the M subunit of the plastidial NADH dehydrogenase complex (Nashilevitz et al., 2010). These studies illustrate the important role of the chloroplast in influencing fruit ripening and the quality of fleshy fruit.

The lutescent mutants of tomato, lutescent1 (fl1) and fl2, are nonallelic monogenic mutants that have identical phenotypes resulting in an early and progressive loss of chlorophyll from leaves and fruits. In fruit tissue, the loss of chlorophyll during development renders the fruit a whitish-yellow color prior to the onset of ripening (Kerr, 1956). Here we report that fl1 and fl2 mutants exhibit pleiotropic chlorophyll-deficient phenotypes including hypersensitivity to high-light stress and delayed deetiolation. In addition, mutation at the fl1 and fl2 loci leads to a delay in the onset of fruit ripening, suggesting the possibility of a chloroplast-derived signal that stimulates fruit ripening. Concomitant with the diverse chlorophyll-deficient phenotypes, positional cloning of the fl2 locus revealed the presence of a point mutation that introduces a premature stop codon in a tomato homolog of ETHYLENE-DEPENDENT GRAVITROPISM DEFICIENT AND YELLOW-GREEN1 (EGY1), which encodes a chloroplast-targeted zinc metalloprotease required for chloroplast development in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana; Chen et al., 2005).

RESULTS

Lutescent Mutants Display Pleiotropic Chlorophyll-Deficient Phenotypes

Developing fruits of the fl1 and fl2 mutants of tomato are characterized by reduced chlorophyll content, leading to mature fruits that are whitish yellow rather than the typical green color associated with wild-type Ailsa Craig (AC) fruit (Fig. 1A). At the cellular level, mature green AC fruits viewed using confocal laser-scanning microscopy show significant amounts of chlorophyll autofluorescence and this is almost completely absent in mature fruits of fl1 and fl2 mutants (Fig. 1B). The chlorophyll loss observed in fl1 and fl2 fruits is progressive with increasing age but the average chloroplast number per cell in young developing fruits at 20 d post anthesis was considerably lower in fl1 and fl2 compared to AC (Fig. 1C). Growing fl1 and fl2 mutants over several years it was noted that the chlorophyll loss in fruits is typically more exaggerated in plants grown during the summer when light intensities are higher and photoperiods longer and was often accompanied by accumulation of purplish epidermal pigments that are likely to be anthocyanins (Fig. 1D). A lack of chlorophyll accumulation is also observed in developing pistils of fl1 and fl2 flowers (Fig. 1E). Progressive and early chlorophyll loss is also a feature of the leaves of fl1 and fl2 (Fig. 1F). This phenomenon is exaggerated in plants grown under high-light conditions where substantial chlorophyll loss was
observed even in young expanding leaves but is also apparent in l2 plants held in the dark for 2 weeks (Fig. 1, G and H). Leaves of a second l2 mutant allele, designated l2e3779, which was identified in an ethane methylsulfonylate (EMS) mutant screen in the M82 cultivar (Menda et al., 2004; see below for details of this allele) had 13% less chlorophyll than leaves of wild-type cv M82 when grown under light conditions. However, following 2 weeks in darkness, l2e3779 lost 95% of the chlorophyll content whereas M82 leaves lost only 37% (Fig. 1H).

**lutescent Mutants Exhibit Reduced Rates of Deetiolation and Thylakoid Membrane Formation**

The conversion of etioplasts into chloroplasts and the subsequent development of photosynthetic competency is regulated by light (Fankhauser and Chory, 1997). To establish whether the l1 and l2 loci impact photomorphogenesis, the rate of deetiolation was determined in the cotyledons of dark-grown seedlings exposed to light. Dark-grown seedlings of l1 and l2 displayed the typical etiolated phenotype observed in AC seedlings (data not shown). However, upon exposure to light, a delay in chlorophyll accumulation was observed in both mutants (Fig. 2A). Cotyledons of wild-type AC seedlings initiated chlorophyll synthesis within 2 h of transfer to the light and this steadily increased over the 24 h time frame of the experiment. In contrast, both l1 and l2 accumulated chlorophyll at a slower rate and achieved less than 60% of the levels attained by AC seedlings after 24 h of light exposure. Concomitant with the reduced rates of chlorophyll accumulation during deetiolation, examination of plastid ultrastructure revealed impaired development of the thylakoid membranes in the chloroplasts of l1 and l2 mutants compared to those of AC plastids (Fig. 2B). Although chlorophyll accumulates more slowly during deetiolation, the chlorophyll content of fully expanded leaves is similar in AC, l1, and l2 before the onset of early chlorophyll loss (Fig. 2C).

**Photosynthesis and Yield in lutescent Fruit**

Developing tomato fruit are green due to the presence of chloroplasts that are mainly localized in the outer pericarp wall. Chlorophyll concentration in the outer pericarp tissue of fruit of cv M82 varies between fruit and with the stage of development and on
average is 50- to 100-fold lower than in leaves (data not shown). The photosynthetic activity of M82 and l2 e3779 fruit at the mature green stage of development was determined by chlorophyll fluorescence (Fig. 3A). The concentration of chlorophyll was $22.2 \pm 1.2 \, \mu g \, g^{-1}$ fresh weight in M82 and $2.8 \pm 0.3 \, \mu g \, g^{-1}$ fresh weight in l2 e3779. PSII efficiency in fruit of M82 was similar to that of leaves (data not shown). In contrast, the fluorescence level of l2 e3779 fruit was lower than in M82 and the efficiency of PSII was significantly impaired (Fig. 3A). The determinate growth habit of the M82 variety enables quantitative analysis of fruit yield and overall biomass during harvesting. Plants of M82 and l2 e3779 were grown in the field during April to July, 2011. Individual plants were analyzed at time of harvesting with the l2 e3779 mutant exhibiting a 17% lower yield and biomass production than M82 (Fig. 3B).

The Onset of Fruit Ripening Is Delayed in lutescent Mutants

Together with the altered chlorophyll content in the fruits of the lutescent mutants (Fig. 1, A and B), it was observed that fruits of each mutant ripened slightly, but consistently, later than AC fruit. This was confirmed through determining the duration from anthesis to the onset of ripening (breaker stage) that revealed an approximate delay of 6 d in the mutants compared with wild type (Fig. 4A). This delay in the onset of ripening was also observed in detached fruits harvested at the mature green stage of development and allowed to ripen off the vine. The onset of ethylene synthesis in detached fruits was delayed in both l1 and l2 and failed to reach the same levels as that observed in AC fruits (Fig. 4B). This slow-ripening phenotype appeared to be specific to a delay in the onset of the ripening process as once ripening was initiated the rate of progression appeared identical in wild-type and mutant plants with lycopene accumulation progressing at the same rate with both mutant and wild-type fruits becoming fully ripe at approximately 7 d after the onset of ripening (Supplemental Fig. S1). Furthermore, ethylene treatment of wild-type AC, l1, and l2 fruits at the mature green stage of development led to the onset of ripening within 2 d of treatment in each genotype, indicating that ethylene responsiveness is not significantly altered in mutant fruits (Supplemental Fig. S2). Similarly, the ethylene responsiveness of l1 and l2 dark-grown seedlings was normal (Supplemental Fig. S2). Together, these data suggest that mature green l1 and l2 fruit are fully competent to ripen yet are perturbed in a signal that initiates ethylene synthesis and therefore the onset of fruit ripening.

Positional Cloning of the l2 Locus

Classical linkage mapping indicated that the l2 mutant mapped in close proximity to the tangerine and hairs absent loci on linkage group VII that was subsequently identified as the long arm of chromosome 10 (Kerr, 1956). Using an F2 population of 60 individuals derived from an interspecific cross l2/l2 (tomato) × L2/L2 (Solanum galapagense), the l2 locus was mapped to a 7.5 centimorgan (cM) region of the long arm of chromosome 10 between the flanking RFLP markers T1682 and T802 (data not shown). Upon increasing the size of the F2 mapping population to 799 individuals by screening for recombinant individuals between T1682 and T0802 the position of the L2 locus was refined to a 0.88 cM interval between the RFLP markers.
T0615 and T0736 (Fig. 5A). T0615 and T0736 were used to screen three high Mr tomato genomic DNA libraries made from DNA extracted from *Solanum pennellii* and *S. galapagense* (Li et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2007). Five individual clones were found to hybridize to T0615 and a single 140-kb clone, 31F20, was isolated following screening with T0736. The ends of these clones were isolated by direct DNA sequencing and specific primers were designed to amplify each end by PCR. These ends were converted to RFLP probes to enhance the resolution of the genetic map and to position the genomic clones relative to one another. A probe derived from the reverse end of 31F20 (31R) hybridized to clone 178I9, indicating that the L2 locus was contained on two overlapping clones at a maximum physical distance of approximately 200 kb. 31R was used as a probe to screen a tomato cosmid library and a single clone, LeCOS196E17, was identified. The ends of this clone were isolated as previously described and converted to RFLP markers. Genetic mapping indicated that the L2 locus lies within clone LeCOS196E17, 0.06 cM from 196R and 0.19 cM from 196F. LeCOS196E17 was sequenced revealing five predicted genes, corresponding to the tomato genome loci identifiers *Solyc10g081450* through *Solyc10g081490* (SL2.40Ch10:61831485–61868274). Sequence similarity searches with each predicted gene revealed that *Solyc10g081450* encodes an α/β-fold hydrolase-related protein, *Solyc10g081460* encodes a predicted amino acid transporter, *Solyc10g081470* encodes a chloroplast-targeted zinc metalloprotease, *Solyc10g081480* encodes a protein of unknown function, and *Solyc10g081490* encodes a MYB transcription factor. Fragments of each gene were converted into RFLP markers and were mapped in the F2 population. *Solyc10g081470* cosegregated with the mutant phenotype whereas *Solyc10g081480* and *Solyc10g081460* were 0.06 and 0.13 cM from the L2 locus, respectively, suggesting that *Solyc10g081470* is the candidate gene for the L2 locus.

Sequencing of the predicted full-length cDNA of *Solyc10g081470* amplified by reverse transcription (RT)-PCR from L2/L2 and l2/l2 genotypes revealed a single T → A substitution at base 1574 of the cDNA clone (exon 10 in the genomic clone) in the l2 mutant. The substitution results in the conversion of Leu 525 into a stop codon, truncating the predicted protein by 23 amino acids. Confirmation that the single base pair substitution in *Solyc10g081470* is the underlying cause of the l2 mutant phenotype was achieved through complementation analysis. The full-length

Figure 3. Photosynthesis and yield in l2 e3779. A, Efficiency of PSII activity was determined by fluorescence measurements in fruit of l2 e3779 and M82. B, Total yield and biomass of field-grown plants of l2 e3779 and M82 (kg/plant). Data are presented as the mean of 11 replicates per data point ± se.

Figure 4. The onset of fruit ripening is delayed in lutescent mutants. A, The number of days from anthesis to the onset of ripening was determined for each genotype. Data are presented as the mean of 71 replicates per data point ± se. Means followed by different letters indicate statistical significance at α = 0.001. B, Ethylene synthesis in detached fruits of AC, l1, and l2. Data are presented as the mean of three replicates per data point ± se.
Solyc10g081470 cDNA was expressed under the control of the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter in the l2 mutant background. Nineteen out of 22 independently transformed primary transformants recovered showed complementation of the l2 phenotype, determined by chlorophyll content of mature green fruit. Three lines were selected for subsequent analysis in the T1 generation (Fig. 5B). Typical phenotypes of AC and l2 mature green fruits are shown along with three independent T1 lines segregating for the NPTII selectable marker. Fruits from plants in the top section contained NPTII and showed complementation of the l2 mutant phenotype. Conversely the fruit on the bottom section came from plants that had segregated out the NPTII gene and had reverted to the l2 mutant phenotype.

**L2 Encodes a Tomato Homolog of Arabidopsis EGY1**

L2 (Solyc10g081470) encodes a predicted protein of 547 amino acids with a molecular mass of 58.4 kD. A BlastP search using the predicted L2 protein as the query sequence revealed homology to proteins from several higher plant species and other photosynthetic organisms, including bryophytes, algae, and cyanobacteria. Significantly, the Arabidopsis protein displaying the highest similarity to L2 (73% identical/82% similarity) was encoded by the EGY1 locus, a chloroplast-targeted member of the zinc-dependent M50 family of metalloproteases (http://merops.sanger.ac.uk) that is required for normal plastid development and gravitropic response in Arabidopsis (Chen et al., 2005). The M50 family is diverse, with members from bacteria, archaea, protozoa, plants animals, and some fungi. The family is characterized by two conserved domains, HEXXH and NXXPXXXLDG that are present in L2 and related homologs (Fig. 6). These two domains are predicted to form the active site with Glu acting as the catalytic residue and the histidines and Asp residues coordinating the zinc ions. Mutant studies have demonstrated the importance of these domains for catalytic activity (Rawson et al., 1997; Rudner et al., 1999). A second feature of this
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*Figure 5.* Positional cloning of the L2 locus. A, Genetic and physical map of the l2 locus derived using an interspecific F2 population of 799 plants. Distances between adjacent RFLP markers are given in cM. BAC clones isolated using the RFLP markers T0615 and T0736 are shown as gray bars. BAC ends were isolated as described in the text and given F and R designations. A cosmid clone, LeCOS196E17, isolated using 31F20-R is represented as a striped bar. Vertical dashed lines are shown to indicate relative positions of genetic markers and genomic clones. LeCOS196E17 contains five predicted genes (Solyc10g081450–Solyc10g081490). The genetic distance between these genes is shown in cM. Solyc10g081470 cosegregates with the l2 phenotype and has a structure comprised of 10 exons separated by nine introns. The T → A substitution in exon 10 that converts Leu 525 to a stop codon in l2 is shown. B, Complementation of the l2 mutant phenotype. Mature green fruit from three independently transformed segregating T1 lines containing the transgene (top section) and without the transgene (bottom section). AC and l2 fruits are shown for phenotypic comparison.
family is that they are integral membrane proteins with the active site domains lying within, or in close proximity to, the membrane-spanning domains (Fig. 6). The Aramemnon Plant Membrane Database (http://aramemnon.botanik.uni-koeln.de/index.ep), which collates the membrane topology predictions of several algorithms, predicts that Arabidopsis EGY1 contains eight transmembrane-spanning domains (Fig. 6). A search utilizing the TMHMM server version 2.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk) revealed that the predicted L2 protein has six transmembrane-spanning domains with an additional two domains lying slightly below

**Figure 6.** Amino acid alignment of L2-related proteins. Alignments based on the conserved region of L2 related proteins were generated using MUSCLE. Conserved amino acids are indicated by shaded squares. The highly conserved motifs characteristic of these proteins, GNLR, HEXXH, and NXXPXXLDG are underlined with solid black lines. The solid gray lines depict the predicted transmembrane helices for EGY1 as determined by the TmConsens algorithm (http://aramemnon.botanik.uni-koeln.de/index.ep). Accession numbers of the sequences are as follows: L2 (JQ683149), *Vitis vinifera* GSVIVT01029948001 (XP_002269447), *Populus trichocarpa* POPTRDRAFT_773851 (XP_002319720), rice Os03g0792400 (EEE60079), *Synecho-

cystis* sp. PCC 6803 sll0862 (NP_440944). The sequence of Arabidopsis EGY1 is based on annotation available at The Arabidopsis Information Resource (http://www.arabidopsis.org) and the sequences of Cre19.g754700 and Ppl517_1V6.2 are based on annotations of the *C. reinhardtii* and *Physcomitrella patens* genomes available through the Phytozome database (http://www.phytozome.net/).
the threshold of probability. Similarly, the selection of EGY1/L2 homologs depicted in Figure 6 are all predicted to contain between six and eight transmembrane-spanning domains lying in relatively conserved positions (data not shown). Localization experiments utilizing an Arabidopsis EGY1-GFP fusion demonstrated that EGY1 is targeted to the chloroplast (Chen et al., 2005). Similarly, in silico prediction of L2 subcellular localization using the Predotar server (http://urgi.versailles.inra.fr/predotar/predotar.html) resulted in a probability estimate of 0.79 for plastid localization.

Identification of Additional Alleles at the l2 Locus

A second putative l2 allele was reported as a spontaneous mutation in a trial plot of the cultivar Fireball in 1960 and was designated Lutescent Fireball (Kerr et al., 1975; Supplemental Fig. S3). An allelism test confirmed that F1 progeny of a cross between Lutescent Fireball and the original l2 allele in the Long Red variety displayed a typical lutescent phenotype (Kerr et al., 1975). RT-PCR amplification of L2 from cv Fireball yielded the expected transcript of 1,641 bp that was identical to that obtained from cv AC. In contrast, amplification of the L2 cDNA from Lutescent Fireball revealed multiple products of a slightly altered size (Fig. 7A). Sequence analysis of these RT-PCR products revealed the existence of four distinct L2 transcripts in the Lutescent Fireball cultivar ranging in size between 1,572 and 1,846 bp, each with aberrant splicing occurring between intron 7 and exon 8. Three of the splice variants (SV2, SV3, and SV4) cause frame-shift mutations, leading to incorporation of several spurious amino acids followed by premature stop codons that truncate the predicted L2 protein between 79 and 85 amino acids (Fig. 7B). In contrast the SV1 splice variant causes an in-frame deletion of the entire eighth exon, leading to the removal of 23 amino acids between Q455 and L479 (Fig. 7C). Furthermore, the wild-type transcript was not detected in the Lutescent Fireball cultivar, suggesting that the L2 protein may be non-functional in this variety. To further explore the possibility that a splicing defect in l2 is the underlying cause of the Lutescent Fireball phenotype, a 750-bp genomic fragment spanning exons 7 and 8 from in both Fireball and Lutescent Fireball revealed a G → A nucleotide change at position 7000 of the L2 genomic clone in the Lutescent Fireball cultivar (Fig. 7B). This single nucleotide substitution disrupts the consensus splice acceptor site at the boundary between intron 7 and exon 8 (CAG → CAA). Together, these data suggest that the phenotype of Lutescent Fireball is caused by aberrant splicing, resulting in the failure to produce a mature L2 transcript. A third mutant resembling l2, e3779 that figures prominently in the characterization described here, was recovered from an EMS mutagenized population of the M82 cultivar (Menda et al., 2004; Supplemental Fig. S2). Sequence analysis of the L2 cDNA amplified from e3779 revealed the deletion of a G nucleotide at position 1575 that results in a frame-shift mutation that
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*Figure 7.* Identification of additional l2 mutant alleles. A, Aberrant splicing of L2 in the Lutescent Fireball cultivar. RT-PCR amplification of cDNA fragments corresponding to L2 from Fireball (F) and Lutescent Fireball (LF) cultivars. The approximate size of the DNA fragments is indicated. B, Relative positions and nucleotide changes observed in the l2 mutant alleles identified in the Lutescent Fireball cultivar and in the EMS derived mutant e3779. White boxes correspond to exons. C, Consequences of l2 mutant alleles on the predicted L2 protein sequence.
removes Gly 488, resulting in the incorporation of 15 spurious amino acids before terminating in a premature stop codon (Fig. 7, B and C).

DISCUSSION
The Role of L2 in Chloroplast Function

The nonallelic l1 and l2 mutants display pleiotropic phenotypes that are consistent with defects in chloroplast development (Figs. 1 and 2). These data are supported by the finding that L2 encodes an ortholog of Arabidopsis EGY1, mutations that disrupt plastid number and development, leading to impaired formation of the thylakoid membranes and reduced accumulation of chlorophyll a/b-binding proteins of the light-harvesting complexes I and II (Chen et al., 2005; Guo et al., 2008). However, a notable difference between l2 and egy1 mutant alleles is that while egy1 mutant alleles have reduced chlorophyll content and are pale throughout development (Chen et al., 2005), leaves of l2 mutants are slow to deetiolate (Fig. 2) but eventually accumulate close to wild-type levels of chlorophyll prior to undergoing premature chlorophyll loss later in development (Fig. 2C). Furthermore, altered patterns of chlorophyll accumulation are evident between leaf and reproductive tissues of lutescent mutants. For example, while l1 and l2 leaves accumulate chlorophyll, the pistils and fruits of the mutants display greatly impaired chlorophyll accumulation from early in development and therefore resemble the pale phenotype of egy1 mutant alleles (Fig. 1). The basis for these differences, particularly the seemingly less significant role that L2 plays in chlorophyll accumulation in tomato leaves, is currently not understood.

In addition to a role in mediating chlorophyll accumulation during development, evidence suggests that EGY1/L2 and related homologs may play a role in mediating chloroplast responses to environmental conditions. For example, both l1 and l2 mutants are hypersensitive to darkness and high-light stress, leading to enhanced chlorosis (Fig. 1, G and H) and EGY1 protein levels in Arabidopsis are influenced by dark/light cycles with increased abundance evident in light-grown tissues (Chen et al., 2005). Similarly, iron deficiency, a stress known to reduce photosynthetic electron transfer, resulted in increased accumulation of the EGY1/L2 homolog, C_770040, from Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (Naumann et al., 2007). Furthermore, publically available Arabidopsis gene expression data revealed that EGY1 is induced under both high-light treatments and iron deficiency together with other biotic and abiotic stresses (Zimmermann et al., 2004). Together these data suggest a role for EGY1/L2 in both the early steps of chloroplast development and the maintenance of chloroplast homeostasis in response to environmental perturbation.

EGY1 and L2 belong to the M50 family of metalloproteases and each contains motifs known to be required for the activity of functionally characterized members of this family (Fig. 6). While considerable progress has been made on elucidating the role of chloroplast-localized proteases (Kato and Sakamoto, 2010), the exact biochemical function of EGY1/L2 and other plant M50 proteases, including the identity of their in vivo substrates remains unknown. Members of the M50 family of proteases are integral membrane proteins with their active sites residing within or adjacent to the membrane (Fig. 6). The M50 family belong to a category of proteases referred to as intramembrane cleaving proteases that are involved in the regulation of cellular responses to metabolic status and stress that participate in a general phenomenon known as regulated intramembrane proteolysis (RIP). For example, the founder member of the M50 family, the sterol-regulatory element binding protein (SREBP) site-2 protease regulates sterol biosynthesis and uptake in mammalian cells through release of the membrane-bound transcription factor SREBP in a pathway that involves trafficking of the sequestered SREBP from the endoplasmic reticulum to the Golgi under low-sterol conditions (Osborne and Espenshade, 2009). Similar two-step proteolysis occurs in response to stress in bacteria, allowing the release of membrane-bound transcription factors (Rudner et al., 1999; Alba et al., 2002; Kanelara et al., 2002). Far less is known about RIP in plants. Membrane-tethered transcription factors have been identified in plants and in a few instances proteolytic cleavage of these transcription factors has been demonstrated but none of these have been reported to involve transcription factors that are sequestered in the chloroplast (Seo et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2010; Liu and Howell, 2010). Therefore, it is possible that EGY1/L2 proteases participate in chloroplast-localized phenomena such as processing or degradation of proteins at the thylakoid membrane during photosystem assembly or in response to environmental perturbation and disruption of photosynthetic function. Examples of proteolysis and processing in the chloroplast are already well characterized and are involved in the turnover and repair of photosystem proteins in response to photoinhibition and abiotic stress (Kato and Sakamoto, 2010; Sun et al., 2010).

lutescent Mutants and Their Impact on Fruit Development and Ripening

Both l1 and l2 mutants exhibited a delay in the onset of fruit ripening (Fig. 4). However, once ripening is initiated in l1 and l2 fruits the rate of ripening appears normal and mutant fruits accumulate wild-type levels and composition of carotenoids (Supplemental Fig. S1), suggesting that a fully functional chloroplast is not required for chromoplast development in tomato. The concept of separation of chloroplast and chromoplast development is also observed in stay-green mutants of tomato and pepper that undergo typical chromoplast development in the absence of chlorophyll breakdown.
and thylakoid membrane dissolution (Cheung et al., 1993; Roca et al., 2006).

As L1 and L2 mutants have disrupted chloroplast function, it is likely that the delayed fruit ripening phenotypes observed in mutant fruit arise as an indirect consequence of an altered chloroplast-derived signal that promotes the onset of ripening. The recent finding that disruption of Glu-1-semialdehyde aminotransferase activity in tomato fruits alters early seed development (Lytovchenko et al., 2011) provides a possible mechanism that could ultimately lead to a delay in the onset of ripening. It will be of interest to examine the rates of ripening in Glu-1-semialdehyde aminotransferase-silenced lines and early seed development in L1 and L2 mutants, particularly as fruit of lutescent mutants are also compromised in their photosynthetic capacity (Fig. 3A).

Alternatively, lower chloroplast numbers in developing fruits of L1 and L2 could be supportive of reduced levels of a factor that promotes ripening. The nature of the signals that stimulate ripening are not fully understood, although multiple factors including ethylene are known to play a role in ripening induction (Srivastava and Handa, 2005; Barry and Giovannoni, 2007; Zhang et al., 2009; Barry, 2010). The ability of ethylene to trigger rapid and normal ripening of L1 and L2 fruit at the mature green stage of development, coupled with the delay in the onset of ethylene synthesis suggests that L1 and L2 fruit possess a typical response to ethylene but may be perturbed in the ability to initiate the ripening-related increase in ethylene production (Fig. 4; Supplemental Fig. S1). Recent characterization of the OrrP5 mutant of tomato that encodes the M subunit of the chloroplast-localized NADH dehydrogenase complex, also supports a role for a chloroplast-derived signal that promotes ripening (Nashilevitz et al., 2010). The OrrP5 mutant exhibits a delay in the onset of fruit ripening and ripening-related ethylene biosynthesis. Although the reason for this delay is not fully understood, the OrrP5 mutant has a 30% to 40% reduction in the levels of both Ser and Asp, two chloroplast-derived amino acids that are precursors of Met, which serves as the precursor of ethylene (Nashilevitz et al., 2010). It will be of interest to determine whether chloroplast metabolism in L1 and L2 fruit influences seed development and precursor pools for the synthesis of hormones and to define whether a link exists between these factors and the observed delay in the onset of fruit ripening.

CONCLUSION

Cloning of the L2 gene has identified a link between chloroplast development and as-yet-unidentified factors that influence the onset of fruit ripening. The mechanism of this interaction, together with the specific biochemical activity of EGY1/L2 and the potential role of these proteins in RIP remain to be identified. In this regard, we have shown that the L1 mutant, which resides at a distinct locus on chromosome 8 (Tanksley et al., 1992), possesses an identical phenotype to that of L2, suggesting the strong possibility that the underlying gene may be functionally related to L2. Therefore, identification of the gene underlying the L1 mutant phenotype may provide insight into the molecular function of EGY1/L2 in plants.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material and Treatments

Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) seeds homozygous for the L1 (LA3717) and L2 (LA3581) mutations and Solanum galapagense (LA0483) were obtained from the Tomato Genetics Resource Center, University of California, Davis. The parental cultivar AC was originally obtained from the Glasshouse Crops Research Institute (Littlehampton, Sussex, UK). Seeds of the cultivars Fireball (CN 18026) and Lutescent Fireball (CN 1586) were obtained from Plant Gene Resources of Canada. Seeds of the EMS mutant, e3779 and the MR2 parental cultivar were provided by Dani Zamir (Hebrew University of Jerusalem). Tomato plants were grown in pot-based compost supplemented with fertilizer in greenhouses equipped with heating and cooling systems and supplemental lighting either at Cornell University, Ithaca, New York or at Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan and under greenhouse conditions at Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel or in the field at an experimental station in Akko, Israel.

Evaluation of deetiolation response of tomato seedlings was performed as follows. Surface-sterilized seeds were sown on 1% water agar supplemented with 0.5% Murashige and Skoog salts pH 5.6 and incubated in the dark for 7 d at 25°C. The germinated seedlings were then exposed to light for up to 24 h and the cotyledons excised and either immediately frozen in liquid N2, or immersed in fixative for transmission electron microscopy (described below). Experiments to evaluate the triple-response phenotype in dark-grown tomato seedlings were performed as previously described (Barry et al., 2005) with the exception that seedlings were measured at 8 d after sowing. Ethylene treatments were performed by sealing fruits at the mature green stage of development in glass jars of a known volume and exposing to 10 μL L−1 of ethylene for 24 h. Following ethylene treatments fruits were held at room temperature for 72 h and ripening evaluated. For evaluation of response to high-light conditions plants were grown under a 16 h photoperiod at 27°C/20°C day/night temperature and a light intensity of 500 μmol m−2 s−1. Plants were photographed 3 weeks after germination.

Ethylene Measurements

Mature green fruit were harvested and held at room temperature for 24 h. For ethylene determination, fruits were sealed each day for 2 h in glass jars and a 1-mL headspace sample was analyzed by gas chromatography using a Carle AGC series 100 gas chromatograph equipped with an activated alumina column and flame ionization detector, as previously described (Watkins et al., 2004).

Measurements of Photosynthetic Efficiency

The efficiency of PSII was estimated by measuring chlorophyll fluorescence with a computer-controlled pulse amplitude modulated fluorometer IMAGMAX/L (Walz). Detached fruit were dark adapted for 20 min. A 2-cm-thick slice was cut and placed in the measuring chamber with the fruit surface facing up toward the measuring beam. A low (0.1 μmol photons m−2 s−1) modulated (1.6 kHz) measuring light was used to determine the minimal fluorescence level, followed by a 1 s of saturating white light pulse of 1,500 μmol photons m−2 s−1 to obtain the maximal fluorescence level. The fruit was then illuminated with continuous actinic red light (650 nm, 40-μmol photons m−2 s−1), until a steady-state fluorescence was reached (up to 10 min). A second saturating pulse was then applied to determine the maximal fluorescence level in the light-adapted state.

Pigment Analysis

Chlorophyll was extracted from tissues in acetone and quantified as previously described (Lichtenthaler, 1987). Fruit carotenoids were analyzed by HPLC as previously described (Ronen et al., 1999).
Confocal Laser-Scanning Microscopy

The chloroplasts of tomato fruit were imaged using an Olympus Fluoview FV1000 laser-scanning confocal microscope. Chlorophyll autofluorescence was detected with a 650 to 750 nm band pass filter following excitation at 488 nm with an argon laser line. Cells were visualized using differential interference contrast transmitted light microscopy using a 20x UPlanSApo objective with a numerical aperture of 0.75. Images were merged using Olympus Fluoview software.

Transmission Electron Microscopy

Cotyledons were fixed in a mixture of 2.5% glutaraldehyde and 2.5% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M cacodylate buffer at 4°C for 24 h, postfixed in 1% osmium tetroxide, and dehydrated in a graded acetone series. Samples were infiltrated and embedded in Poly/Bed 812 resin (Polysciences Inc.). Thin sections (70-nm thickness) were obtained with a PTXL ultramicrotome (RMC, Boeckeler Instruments) on 200 mesh copper grids stained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate. Sections were imaged using a JEOL 100CX transmission electron microscope (JEOL) at a 100-kV accelerating voltage.

Genetic and Physical Mapping of the L2 Locus

An interspecific F2 mapping population was generated from the following cross tomato (L2/12) (LA3851) × S. galapagense (L2/L2; LA0033). Genomic DNA isolation and molecular mapping using RFLP markers was performed as previously described (Barry et al., 2005). Details of tomato genetic maps and the chromosome 10 molecular markers can be accessed through the Solanaceae Genomics Network (http://solgenomics.net/). Restriction enzymes yielding RFLPs between tomato and S. galapagense for given DNA probes are as follows: T736: HaeIII, CT75: NdeI, T615: NalIV, 95R: Avall, 196E17F: XbaI, 196E17R: EcoRV, cEFL01H23: RadL, L2: Apol, cTOF246: NdeI. A physical contig spanning the L2 locus was obtained via screening and characterization of ordered bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) and cosmid libraries derived from tomato, Solanum pennelli, and S. galapagense (Li et al., 2005; Chen et al., 2007). Clones LA0483BAC69F22, LA0483BAC69F23, and LA0483BAC1789 were isolated from an S. galapagense library. Clones LpenCOS31F20, LpenCOS95S3L, and LpenBAC13724 were isolated from two S. pennelli libraries. The approximate size of each BAC clone was determined by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. BAC and cosmid ends were isolated by direct DNA sequencing and converted to RFLP markers for further genetic and physical mapping. Subcloning of the tomato cosmid clone LeCOS196E17 was achieved by three separate restriction enzyme digests (BanHI, EcoRI, and HindIII) followed by ligation into pBluescript SK+ (Stratagene), previously linearized with the same restriction enzymes. The resultant clones were sequenced with vector primers to yield a number of anchor points that were subsequently utilized to extend and complete the sequence of LeCOS196E17 using multiple rounds of primer extension.

RNA Isolation and Cloning of L2 cDNA and Genomic Fragments

RNA was extracted from leaf and fruit tissues using either previously described methods or the RNeasy plant mini kit (Qiagen; Griffiths et al., 1999; Barry et al., 2005). cDNA synthesis was performed using the transcriptor first-strand cDNA synthesis kit (Roche Applied Science). The coding region of L2 was amplified by RT-PCR using cDNA synthesized from RNA extracted from leaf of either wild-type (L2/L2) or mutant (L2/12) genotypes using the primers L2PROT-F: 5'-GAGCCTTTTATCAAACGACTTACCTGCA-3' and L2PROT-R: 5'-GCCAACAACCCACATCTAATTGAATTA-3'. Detection of the point mutation in the translational start codon was achieved using amplification of a genomic fragment spanning exons 7 and 8 from the Fireball and Lutescent Fireball cultivars using the primers L2GEN-1F: 5'-GTAGATTCGCCAGGCTGATCC-3' and L2GEN-1R: 5'-GAGAATCACAGGCATTTGATCTAC-3'. Fragments were amplified using the Pfu Ultra DNA polymerase (Agilent Technologies) and cloned into either EcoRI linearized pBluescript SK+ (Agilent Technologies) using standard cloning techniques or into pCRBlunt-TOPO vector (Invitrogen Corporation). Sequencing of inserts was accomplished using vector primers and the gene-specific primers L2PROT-1: 5'-GAGCCTTTTATCAAACGACTTACCTGCA-3' and L2PROT-2: 5'-TGAGGACGACATTTCAACTCGTCTC-3', L2PROT-3: 5'-TCTGGACTTGATTGTCCGTC-3', and L2PROT-4: 5'-AAAGACATTCAACCTGGTAGCA-3'.

DNA Constructs and Plant Transformation

The 2.0 kb DNA insert was released from pBluescript SK+ by digestion with Xhol and SalI. The fragment was ligated downstream of the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter in the binary vector pBI121, previously modified by removal of the Ubi3 coding region by digestion with the same restriction enzymes. Transgenic tomato plants were generated through cotyledon-derived explants via Agrobacterium tumefaciens mediated transformation (strain LBA4404), using previously described methods (Fillatti et al., 1987). Transgene integration was determined by a combination of gel-blot and PCR analysis.

DNA Sequence Analysis and Bioinformatics Resources

DNA sequences were assembled using Sequencher version 4.7 (Gene Codes Corporation). The prediction of genes within genomic DNA sequence was performed using the FGENESH hidden Markov model-based gene structure prediction program (http://linux1.softberry.com/berry.pl). Amino acid alignments were generated using MUSCLE (Edgar, 2004) and were decorated using the Boxshade server version 3.2.1 (http://www.ch.embnet.org). Analysis of membrane-spanning domains was accomplished using the Aramemnon database (http://aramemnon.uni-koeln.de/); Schwacke et al., 2003) and prediction of chloroplast transit peptides was accomplished using the Predotar prediction server (http://urgi.versailles.inra.fr/predotar/predotar.html).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SAS (SAS Institute, www.sas.com). The genotypic constituents were evaluated using least squares means. Sequences reported in this manuscript have been deposited in GenBank under the following accession number: JQ683149.

Supplemental Data

The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

Supplemental Figure S1. Carotenoid composition in ripe fruits of the lutescent mutants.

Supplemental Figure S2. The lutescent mutants have a normal ethylene response.

Supplemental Figure S3. Phenotypes of additional L2 alleles.
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