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We present a comprehensive characterization of the nucleoside *N*-ribohydrolase (NRH) family in two model plants, *Physcomitrella patens* (PpNRH) and maize (*Zea mays*; ZmNRH), using in vitro and in planta approaches. We identified two NRH subclasses in the plant kingdom; one preferentially targets the purine ribosides inosine and xanthosine, while the other is more active toward uridine and xanthosine. Both subclasses can hydrolyze plant hormones such as cytokinin ribosides. We also solved the crystal structures of two purine NRHs, PpNRH1 and ZmNRH3. Structural analyses, site-directed mutagenesis experiments, and phylogenetic studies were conducted to identify the residues responsible for the observed differences in substrate specificity between the NRH isoforms. The presence of a tyrosine at position 249 (PpNRH1 numbering) confers high hydrolase activity for purine ribosides, while an aspartate residue in this position confers high activity for uridine. Bud formation is delayed by knocking out single NRH genes in *P. patens*, and under conditions of nitrogen shortage, PpNRH-deficient plants cannot salvage adenosine-bound nitrogen. All PpNRH knockout plants display elevated levels of certain purine and pyrimidine ribosides and cytokinins that reflect the substrate preferences of the knocked out enzymes. NRH enzymes thus have functions in cytokinin conversion and activation as well as in purine and pyrimidine metabolism.

Nucleoside hydrolases or nucleoside *N*-ribohydrolases (NRHs; EC 3.2.2.1) are glycosidases that catalyze the cleavage of the *N*-glycosidic bond in nucleosides to enable the recycling of the nucleobases and Rib (Fig. 1A). The process by which nucleosides and nucleobases are recycled is also known as salvaging and is a way of conserving energy, which would otherwise be needed for the de novo synthesis of purine- and pyrimidine-containing compounds. During the salvage, bases and nucleosides can be converted into nucleoside monophosphates by the action of phosphoribosyltransferases and nucleoside kinases, respectively, and further phosphorylated into nucleoside diphosphates and triphosphates (Moffatt et al., 2002; Žrenner et al., 2006; Fig. 1B). Uridine kinase and uracil phosphoribosyl transferase are key enzymes in the pyrimidine-salvaging pathway in plants (Mainguet et al., 2009; Chen and Thelen, 2011). Adenine phosphoribosyltransferase and adenosine kinase (ADK) are important in purine salvaging (Moffatt and Somerville, 1988; Moffatt et al., 2002), and their mutants cause reductions in fertility or sterility, changes in transmethylation, and the formation of abnormal cell walls. In addition, both enzymes were also reported to play roles in cytokinin metabolism (Moffatt et al., 1991, 2000; von Schwartzenberg et al., 1998; Schoor et al., 2011). Cytokinins (N6-substituted adenine derivatives) are plant hormones that regulate
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cell division and numerous developmental events (Mok and Mok, 2001; Sakakibara, 2006). Cytokinin ribosides are considered to be transport forms and have little or no activity.

NRHs are metalloproteins first identified and characterized in parasitic protozoa such as *Trypanosoma*, *Crithidia*, and *Leishmania* species that rely on the import and salvage of nucleotide derivatives. They have since been characterized in other organisms such as bacteria, yeast, and insects (Versées and Steyaert, 2003) but never in mammals (Parkin et al., 1991). They have been divided into four classes based on their substrate specificity: nonspecific NRHs, which hydrolyze inosine and uridine (IU-NRHs; Parkin et al., 1991; Shi et al., 1999); purine-specific inosine/adenosine/guanosine NRHs (Parkin, 1996); the 6-oxopurine-specific guanosine/inosine NRHs (Estupiñán and Schramm, 1994); and the pyrimidine nucleoside-specific cytidine/uridine NRHs (CU-NRHs; Giabbai and Degano, 2004). All NRHs exhibit a stringent specificity for the Rib moiety and differ in their preferences regarding the nature of the nucleobase. Crystal structures are available for empty NRH or in complex with inhibitors from *Crithidia fasciculata* (CfNRH; Degano et al., 1998), *Leishmania major* (LmNRH; Shi et al., 1999), and *Trypanosoma vivax* (TvNRH; Versées et al., 2001, 2002). The structures of two CU-NRHs from *Escherichia coli*, namely YeIK (Ioana et al., 2008) and YbeK (ribA; Muzzolini et al., 2006; Garau et al., 2010), are also available. NRHs are believed to catalyze N-glycosidic bond cleavage by a direct displacement mechanism. An Asp from a conserved motif acts as a general base and abstracts a proton from a catalytic water molecule, which then attacks the C19 atom of the Rib moiety of the nucleoside. Kinetic isotope-effect studies on CfNRH (Horenstein et al., 1991) showed that the substrate’s hydrolysis proceeds via an oxocarbenium ion-like transition state and is preceded by protonation at the N7 atom of the purine ring, which lowers the electron density on the purine ring and destabilizes the N-glycosidic bond. A conserved active-site His is a likely candidate for this role in IU-NRHs and CU-NRHs. In the transition state, the C19-N9 glycosidic bond is almost 2 Å long, with the C1’ atom being sp2 hybridized while the C3’ atom adopts an exo-conformation, and the whole ribosyl moiety carries a substantial positive charge (Horenstein et al., 1991).

Several NRH enzymes have been identified in plants, including an uridine-specific NRH from mung bean (*Phaseolus radiatus*; Achar and Vaidyanathan, 1967), an inosine-specific NRH (EC 3.2.2.2) and a guanosine-inosine-specific NRH, both from yellow lupine (*Lupinus luteus*; Guranowski, 1982; Szuwart et al., 2006), and an adenosine-specific NRH (EC 3.2.2.7) from coffee

**Figure 1.** A, Scheme of the reactions catalyzed by plant NRHs when using purine (inosine), pyrimidine (uridine), and cytokinin (iPR) ribosides as the substrates. B, Simplified schematic overview of cytokinin, purine, and pyrimidine metabolism in plants. The diagram is adapted from the work of Stasolla et al. (2003) and Zrenner et al. (2006) with modifications. The metabolic components shown are as follows: 1, cytokinin nucleotide phosphoribohydrolase; 2, adenine phosphoribosyltransferase; 3, adenosine kinase; 4, 5’-nucleotidase; 5, adenosine phosphorylase; 6, purine/pyrimidine nucleoside ribohydrolase; 7, cytokinin oxidase/dehydrogenase; 8, AMP deaminase; 9, hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase; 10, inosine kinase; 11, inosine-guanosine phosphorylase; 12, IMP dehydrogenase; 13, xanthine dehydrogenase; 14, 5’-nucleotidase; 15, GMP synthase; 16, hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase; 17, guanosine deaminase; 18, guanine deaminase; 19, guanosine kinase; 20, uracil phosphoribosyltransferase; 21, uridine cytidine kinase; 22, pyrimidine 5’-nucleotidase; 23, cytidine deaminase; 24, adenosine/adenosine deaminase. CK, Cytokinin; CKR, cytokinin riboside; CKRMP, cytokinin riboside monophosphate.
(Coffea arabica), barley (Hordeum vulgare), and wheat (Triticum aestivum; Guranowski and Schneider, 1977; Chen and Kristopeit, 1981; Campos et al., 2005). However, their amino acid sequences have not been reported so far. A detailed study of the NRH gene family from Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) has recently been reported (Jung et al., 2009, 2011). The AtNRH1 enzyme exhibits highest hydrolase activity toward uridine and xanthosine. It can also hydrolyze the cytokinin riboside N'-2-isopentenyl)adenosine (iPR), which suggests that it may also play a role in cytokinin homeostasis. However, Riegler et al. (2011) analyzed the phenotypes of homozygous double mutants and concluded that AtNRHs are probably unimportant in cytokinin metabolism.

Here, we identify and characterize plant IU-NRHs from two different model organisms, Physcomitrella patens and maize (Zea mays), combining structural, enzymatic, and in planta functional approaches. The moss P. patens was chosen to represent the bryophytes, which can be regarded as being evolutionarily basal terrestrial plants, and is suitable for use in developmental and metabolic studies (Cove et al., 2006; von Schwartzenberg, 2009), while maize is an important model system for cereal crops. We report the crystal structures of NRH enzymes from the two plant species, PpNRH1 and ZmNRH3. Based on these structures, we performed site-directed mutagenesis experiments and kinetic analyses of point mutants of PpNRH1 in order to identify key residues involved in nucleobase interactions and catalysis. To analyze the physiological role of the PpNRHs, single knockout mutants were generated. NRH deficiency caused significant changes in the levels of purine, pyrimidine, and cytokinin metabolites relative to those in the wild type, illustrating the importance of these enzymes in nucleoside and cytokinin metabolism.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Gene Models of NRHs from P. patens and Maize

Ongoing genomic analyses suggest that most plant genomes contain at least two genes coding for NRHs. Several plant genomes, such as those of moss (P. patens), maize, Arabidopsis, rice (Oryza sativa), tomato (Solanum lycopersicum), and wheat, appear to contain several NRHs. We focused on two model plant organisms, the moss P. patens and maize, due to the availability of detailed information on cytokinin metabolism in both species (Massonneau et al., 2004; von Schwartzenberg, 2009; Vyroubalová et al., 2009). The genome databases for the moss P. patens (www.phytozome.net, version 9.1) and maize (www.maizesequence.org, version 5b.60) indicate that these species contain three and five NRH genes, respectively. In order to identify the correct gene models in each case and obtain the corresponding recombimant proteins, we cloned the complementary DNAs (cDNAs) of these eight NRHs using gene-specific primers and deposited their sequences to GenBank (see “Materials and Methods”).

The cDNA sequence obtained for PpNRH1 corresponds to the predicted gene model (Pp1s357_22V6.1), those obtained for PpNRH2 and PpNRH3 do not match the predicted gene models in the genome annotation version 6.1 (Pp1s140_172V6.1 and Pp1s5_276V6.1; see “Materials and Methods”; Supplemental Fig. S1). The two paralogous genes ZmNRH1a and ZmNRH1b are localized on chromosomes 8 and 3 (GRMZM2G029845 and GRMZM2G134149, respectively). The two other paralogous, ZmNRH2a and ZmNRH2b, lie on chromosomes 4 and 1 (GRMZM2G085960 and GRMZM2G105344), respectively. The last ZmNRH3 gene (GRMZM2G104999) is localized on chromosome 2. These genes encode proteins of between 315 and 341 residues. All plant NRHs exhibit a conserved sequence motif, DTDPGIDDD, at the N terminus (Fig. 2), which is involved in the binding of a calcium ion and the Rib moiety of the substrate (Versées and Steyaert, 2003). Another group of extracellular NRHs with two domains was recently identified in Arabidopsis (At5g18860; Jung et al., 2011). The authors suggested that these NRHs could correspond with the extracellular adenosine hydrolase activity found in potato (Solanum tuberosum) tubers (Rieve et al., 2008). Although the first domain carries a DTDVDTDD motif, the second contains an unconserved DMDMSXGD motif. Analogous sequences are also present in the genomes of other...
plants such as maize (GRMZM2G386229) and rice (Os05g33644 and Os05g33630).

**Substrate Specificity of Plant NRHs**

The pH effect on the specific activity of PpNRH1 (Supplemental Fig. S2) was analyzed, and high activity was found between pH 7.0 and 9.0. All subsequent kinetic analyses, therefore, were performed at pH 7.5. PpNRH1, ZmNRH2a, ZmNRH2b, and ZmNRH3 were obtained active and in high yield in soluble form. In contrast, the production of PpNRH2, ZmNRH1a, and ZmNRH1b primarily resulted in the formation of inclusion bodies, and refolding attempts did not lead to restoration of the enzymatic activity. Only very small quantities of these enzymes could be obtained in soluble form. We thus were only able to briefly screen the three NRHs with possible natural substrates, including purine, pyrimidine, and cytokinin ribosides, at 200 μM concentration (Table I). It was possible to analyze the substrate preferences for PpNRH2, whereas ZmNRH1a and ZmNRH1b show only negligible activity. However, cytidine and adenosine could be substrates of the two maize enzymes, but we cannot rule out that untested ribosides can be more suitable substrates. So far, the production of recombinant protein was not observed for any of the four splicing variants of PpNRH3, in contrast to the studied NRHs.

PpNRH1 is most active toward the two purine ribosides xanthosine and inosine and exhibits weaker activity with adenosine, uridine, and guanosine. In contrast, PpNRH2 prefers the pyrimidine riboside uridine and is less active toward xanthosine and inosine. ZmNRH2a and ZmNRH2b are also most active toward uridine. The activity of ZmNRH2b is 10 and 200 times higher than that of ZmNRH2a and PpNRH2, respectively. The comparatively low activity of PpNRH2 is likely due to being poorly expressed in *E. coli* and its low stability. ZmNRH3 preferentially hydrolyzes inosine and xanthosine, while the remaining nucleosides are weaker substrates. PpNRH1 and ZmNRH3 exhibit similar substrate preferences. However, subtle differences between these two enzymes are shown in Figure 3.

PpNRH1, ZmNRH2a, ZmNRH2b, and ZmNRH3 were further analyzed to determine their $K_m$ and catalytic constant ($k_{cat}$) values (Table II) and confirmed the results discussed above. Both PpNRH1 and ZmNRH3 show the highest catalytic efficiency with inosine and xanthosine, while ZmNRH2a and ZmNRH2b display the highest catalytic efficiency for uridine and xanthosine. Although ZmNRH2a and ZmNRH2b have relatively high $K_m$ values for uridine, it is also the substrate for which they have the highest $k_{cat}$ values. Based on these kinetic values and the current system of classification, the investigated plant NRHs belong to the nonspecific IU-NRH class (Parkin et al., 1991; Shi et al., 1999). However, both PpNRH1 and ZmNRH3 (which prefer inosine and xanthosine) are apparently kinetically different from ZmNRH2a, ZmNRH2b, and PpNRH2 (all of which prefer uridine and xanthosine) and the AtNRH1 from Arabidopsis (Jung et al., 2009, 2011). Therefore, it seems that there are at least two subclasses of IU-NRHs in plants. Details of the sequences of these two subclasses are discussed below.

Inosine, xanthosine, and guanosine are all intermediates in the purine catabolic pathway (Fig. 1B) that starts with AMP (Zrenner et al., 2006). The xanthine and hypoxanthine nucleobases are formed by the action of nucleoside hydrolases and are further processed by xanthine dehydrogenase to give uric acid. Adenosine and adenosine are by-products of the cytokinin degradation reactions catalyzed by cytokinin oxidase/dehydrogenase (EC 1.5.99.12; Houba-Hérin et al., 1999). In contrast to the two known bacterial CU-NRHs, the five plant IU-NRHs exhibit very weak activity toward cytidine (Table I). This is consistent with the fact that plants preferentially convert cytidine to uridine via cytidine deaminase (EC 3.5.4.5; Stasolla et al., 2003). To analyze the conversion of cytokinin ribosides, we first determined the extinction coefficients of iPR and trans-zeatin riboside (tZR) by spectrometric measurement according to Parkin (1996). All of the studied plant IU-NRHs have only weak activity toward iPR and tZR, between 1% and 0.1% of that toward their best substrates (Table I). ZmNRH2b and ZmNRH3 exhibit catalytic efficiencies between 1.7 and $5.3 \times 10^2$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ (Table II). The

| Table I. Substrate specificity of NRHs from *P. patens* and maize |
|-------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Substrate      | PpNRH1 | PpNRH2 | ZmNRH2a | ZmNRH2b | ZmNRH3  |
| Inosine        | 87      | 22      | 9.3     | 9.5     | 100     |
| Xanthosine     | 100     | 61      | 53      | 34      | 70      |
| Adenosine      | 6.1     | 1.6     | 15      | 3.5     | 2       |
| Guanosine      | 1.7     | 0       | 0.1     | 0.5     | 1.5     |
| Uridine        | 5.7     | 100     | 100     | 100     | 5       |
| Cytidine       | 0.1     | 3.9     | 5.0     | 0.9     | 0.1     |
| iPR            | 0.1     | +       | 0.03    | 0.3     | 1.4     |
| tZR            | 0.1     | +       | 0.03    | 0.3     | 1.5     |
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conversion of the substrates by PpNRH1 and PpNRH2 was further confirmed by HPLC analysis using tritiated iPR and by HPLC-UV analysis for PpNRH1, ZmNRH2b, and ZmNRH3 (Supplemental Fig. S3).

Crystal Structure and Substrate Binding in Plant NRHs

The crystal structures of PpNRH1 and ZmNRH3 are, to our knowledge, the first reported crystal structures for any plant NRH. The asymmetric unit of the PpNRH1 crystal contains four very similar dimers with an average root mean square deviation (RMSD) of 0.75 Å for all Ca atoms. The asymmetric unit of the ZmNRH3 crystal contains only one dimer (Fig. 4A; Supplemental Table S1). This is consistent with the results from gel filtration chromatography showing that the active form is a dimer (Supplemental Fig. S4). PpNRH1 and ZmNRH3 monomers and dimers are very similar to each other, with average RMSD values of 0.9 and 1.2 Å for all Ca atoms, respectively. Each monomer possesses a typical NRH fold containing 12 β-strands and 13 α-helices. Indeed, a structural comparison of the plant NRH monomer with all entries in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) using Secondary Structure Matching- program (European Bioinformatics Institute) revealed that it resembles numerous NRH structures from protozoa and bacteria, such as TvNRH, CnNRH, LmNRH, YeK, and YbeK, with RMSD values ranging from 1.4 to 1.8 Å over 283 to 338 Ca atoms, corresponding to a sequence identity of 31% to 34% (Supplemental Fig. S5). The major structural difference concerns the loop region 278 to 294 (PpNRH1 numbering) involved in dimer contact, which is longer in plant NRHs than in all other known NRHs. All of the NRHs studied to date are homotetramers, except for TvNRH, which is a homodimer (Versées et al., 2001). Although PpNRH1 and ZmNRH3 are dimeric, their dimer is different from that of TvNRH. The ZmNRH3 and PpNRH1 dimer interfaces, which cover 1,262 and 1,278 Å² per subunit, respectively, involve three regions (residues 156–164, 228–223, and 263–289) that form hydrophobic interactions and are complemented by 10 hydrogen bonds.

A conserved Ca²⁺ ion in the active site is tightly bound to the Asp-25, Asp-30, and Asp-258 (Asp-8, Asp-13, and Asp-240) and to the main-chain carbonyl group of Leu-141 (Leu-123) in PpNRH1 (ZmNRH3 numbering). Its octahedral coordination can be completed either by three water molecules or by a catalytic

### Table II. Kinetic parameters for PpNRH1, ZmNRH2a, ZmNRH2b, and ZmNRH3

Activities were measured in 200 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5) containing 400 mM KCl and 1 mM DTT and using substrates up to 600 μM concentration. Kinetic constants were determined with GraphPad Prism 5.0 data-analysis software. –, Not determined.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>PpNRH1</th>
<th>ZmNRH2a</th>
<th>ZmNRH2b</th>
<th>ZmNRH3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>μM</td>
<td>s⁻¹</td>
<td>s⁻¹ M⁻¹</td>
<td>μM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inosine</td>
<td>78 ± 5</td>
<td>6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>7.7 ± 10³</td>
<td>1,013 ± 115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xanthosine</td>
<td>116 ± 9</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.4</td>
<td>6.1 ± 10⁴</td>
<td>178 ± 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenosine</td>
<td>113 ± 7</td>
<td>0.6 ± 0.03</td>
<td>5.4 ± 10⁴</td>
<td>60 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guanosine</td>
<td>61 ± 6</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.01</td>
<td>3.5 ± 10⁴</td>
<td>121 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uridine</td>
<td>890 ± 102</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1.5 ± 10⁷</td>
<td>468 ± 66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iPR</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IzR</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Saturation curves of activity for PpNRH1, ZmNRH2a, ZmNRH2b, and ZmNRH3. Data were measured with five substrates (inosine, xanthosine, adenosine, guanosine, and uridine) in 200 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5) containing 400 mM KCl and 1 mM DTT.
water molecule and the 2’- and 3’-hydroxyl groups of the substrate Rib moiety. The catalytic water molecule in turn interacts with the catalytic base, which is believed to increase the nucleophilicity of the water molecule attacking the C1’-N9 bond of the substrate. The calcium ion is believed to lower the pK<sub>a</sub> of the catalytic water molecule prior to proton transfer to the active site base (Degano et al., 1998). Based on the sequence similarity and structural comparisons with protozoan NRHs (Versées et al., 2001), we designed and produced D25A and D8A mutants of PpNRH1 and ZmNRH3, respectively, to verify the roles of the targeted Asp residues as catalytic bases. Both mutants exhibit 10<sup>4</sup>-fold lower activity than the wild-type proteins (Table III). These results confirm that the second Asp of the DTDPGIDD conserved motif functions as the active site base in plant NRHs. A similar result was reported for the equivalent D10A mutant in TvNRH (Versées et al., 2002), which was between 10<sup>2</sup> and 10<sup>4</sup> times less active than the wild-type enzyme but has an identical pK<sub>a</sub> value.

Soaking or cocrystallization of WT-PpNRH1 and D25A-PpNRH1, as well as of WT-ZmNRH3 and D8A-ZmNRH3, with nucleosides or inhibitors was unsuccessful. Therefore, we resorted to modeling and docking studies to understand the determinants for the substrate specificities of plant NRHs. We used the structure of YeiK in complex with inosine (PDB 3B9X) to model a substrate into the active sites of PpNRH1 and ZmNRH3 by structural superposition. The Rib moiety is tightly bound to conserved residues among the NRH family corresponding to Asn-176, Glu-182, Asp-258, Asn-184, Asp-29, Asp-30, and Asn-54 in PpNRH1 (Asn-158, Glu-164, Asp-240, Asn-166, Asp-12, Asp-13, and Asn-37 in ZmNRH3). In contrast, the nucleobase is surrounded by more variable residues (PpNRH1 numbering): Val-98 and His-99 from helix α3; aromatic residues Tyr-241, Tyr-244, His-245, and Tyr-249 from helix α11; His-257 in helix α12; Asn-176 in the β5-α7 loop; the adjacent side chain of Lys-297, which protrudes into the active site from the other subunit and is hydrogen bonded to Tyr-244; and the main-chain oxygen of Asn-176. A structural comparison of the substrate-binding sites of PpNRH1 and ZmNRH3 (Fig. 4B) shows that only one residue is different around the nucleobase, Tyr-244 in PpNRH1 is replaced by a Trp in ZmNRH3.

IU-NRHs such as CfNRH (Parkin et al., 1991; Degano et al., 1996) are characterized by a catalytic triad that consists of one His and two Tyr residues. These residues belong to a particular α9 helix (equivalent to the α11 helix in plant NRHs) that is known to move upon substrate binding in CU-NRHs from E. coli (Giabbai and Degano, 2004; Muzzolini et al., 2006; Iovane et al., 2008; Garau et al., 2010). This triad binds the substrate and allows the efficient protonation of the N7 atom of the purine base (the leaving group). Intriguingly, no such triad is present in the CU-NRHs, suggesting that the residues required for leaving group activation when protonating pyrimidine bases are different from those required for purines. In CfNRH, the triad consists of Tyr-229, Tyr-225, and His-241; His-241 is the residue...
that protonates the N7 atom (Fig. 5A; Gopaul et al., 1996). PpNRH1, which is an IU-NRH, possesses an equivalent triad consisting of His-245, Tyr-241, and His-257, in which His-257 is expected to protonate the leaving group. However, in this work, we mutated His-99 into Ala in PpNRH1 and found this mutant inactive with any riboside tested as a substrate. His-99 is conserved in all NRHs except for TvNRH. In our docking experiments (see below), His-99 is only 3.2 to 3.5 Å away from the N9 atom of the purine ring as well as the N1 and O2 atoms of a docked pyrimidine ring, indicating that it is another potential proton donor.

Interestingly, Giabbai and Degano (2004) concluded from their mutagenesis analysis on two potential catalytic acids in bacterial YeiK (His-82 and His-239, equivalent to His-99 and His-257 in PpNRH1) that other active-site residues can function as alternative proton donors that could contribute to the N-glycosidic bond cleavage in this CU-NRH. The PpNRH1 substrate-binding site involves two additional residues, Tyr-244 and Tyr-249, which point toward the nucleobase compared with their equivalent residues Ile-228 and Tyr-234 in CfNRH. In CfNRH, the substrate-binding site is completed by the side chain of Tyr-243 residue, which is located in the loop following the mobile a11 helix. Interestingly, the side chain of Lys-297 (from the neighboring subunit in PpNRH1) occupies a position similar to that of Arg-233 (Fig. 5A), suggesting that the dimer formation has an important role in enzymatic function of plant NRHs.

### Docking Analysis and Site-Directed Mutagenesis of PpNRH1

Xanthosine and inosine show similar binding modes when docked into the PpNRH1 active site (Fig. 5B), with conserved interactions between the 6-oxo group and the side chains of Tyr-244 and Tyr-249 (and possibly the side chain of Lys-297) as well as between the N7 atom (protonated during catalysis) and the side chain of Tyr-241 (3.5-Å distance). Both the 2-oxo group and the N1 atom of xanthosine can form additional hydrogen bonds to the main chain carbonyl group of Asn-176. Docking experiments with uridine revealed that the 4-oxo group can interact with the hydroxyl groups of Tyr-244 and Tyr-249. The 2-oxo group points toward a cluster formed by four residues: the two imidazole rings of His-99 and His-257, the hydroxyl of Tyr-241, and the carboxyl group of Asp-29 (Fig. 5C).

To verify the results of our docking studies on substrate binding based on the PpNRH1 structure, we performed site-directed mutagenesis of Tyr-241, Tyr-244, His-245, and Tyr-249 from the mobile helix a11 and replaced them with Ala. We also mutated another residue from the a11 helix, Glu-247, whose side chain does not project into the substrate-binding site. Three other residues, Asp-250 and Asp-252, both positioned in the loop between helix a11 and strand β5, and Tyr-255, were also targeted in order to determine if more mobile regions are involved in substrate binding, as shown previously for TvNRH (Versées et al., 2002). Circular dichroism spectroscopy measurements indicate that the folding in solution of each mutant variant resembles that of WT-PpNRH1 (Supplemental Fig. S6).

The kinetic constants determined for each of the mutant variants using xanthosine and inosine as substrates are shown in Table III. The Y241A, Y244A, H245A, and Y249A mutants exhibit lower turnover rates (approximately 100-, 16-, 10-, and 22-fold lower $k_{cat}$ values for inosine), which is consistent with their predicted role in nucleobase binding. The three mutants Y244A, H245A, and Y249A have higher $K_m$ values (in the millimolar range) for inosine than for xanthosine, indicating a loss of interaction with the 6-oxo group of the inosine base. Because xanthosine has one more oxygen atom, the effect on $K_m$ values is less pronounced because this additional oxygen, the 2-oxo group, could make an additional hydrogen bond to the main chain oxygen of inosine, which is consistent with their predicted role in nucleobase binding. The three mutants Y244A, H245A, and Y249A have higher $K_m$ values (in the millimolar range) for inosine than for xanthosine, indicating a loss of interaction with the 6-oxo group of the inosine base.

### Table III. Kinetic parameters for PpNRH1 and mutant proteins with the potential natural substrates inosine and xanthosine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>Relative Rate Inosine/Xanthosine</th>
<th>$k_m$ ($\mu M$)</th>
<th>$k_{cat}$ ($s^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$s^{-1} M^{-1}$</th>
<th>$K_m$ ($\mu M$)</th>
<th>$k_{cat}$ ($s^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$s^{-1} M^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT-PpNRH1</td>
<td>100/115</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.7 x 10^4</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.1 x 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D25A</td>
<td>100/106</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>5.4 x 10^-4</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>5.8 x 10^-4</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H99A</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.2 x 10^3</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.6 x 10^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y241A</td>
<td>100/340</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.0 x 10^3</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.9 x 10^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y244A</td>
<td>100/188</td>
<td>1,208</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.0 x 10^3</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.6 x 10^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H245A</td>
<td>100/240</td>
<td>1,090</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>6.1 x 10^4</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>5.1 x 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E247A</td>
<td>100/124</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.3 x 10^4</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.8 x 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y249A</td>
<td>100/270</td>
<td>1,390</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>5.2 x 10^4</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>4.9 x 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D250A</td>
<td>100/108</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>5.3 x 10^4</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.1 x 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D252A</td>
<td>100/115</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.0 x 10^4</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.2 x 10^3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y255A</td>
<td>100/190</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>6.2 x 10^2</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.8 x 10^2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Asn-176 (Fig. 5B). In addition, xanthosine, which is negatively charged at the studied pH, may be further stabilized by an interaction with Lys-297. In contrast, inosine exists predominantly as a neutral species at physiological pH. His-245 is fully conserved among plant NRHSs, and the docking studies indicate that it does not interact with the nucleobase. However, a slight shift of helix α11, such as that observed on substrate binding in bacterial NRHSs (Fig. 5A; Iovane et al., 2008), could bring its imidazole side chain into direct contact with the nucleobase, which is supported by mutagenesis results. The $K_m$ values for the Y241A variant are higher but not as much as for the three mutants above. The residual activity of Y241A is not in favor of the catalytic acid function of this residue. However, the strongly reduced turnover rate is probably

Figure 5. A, Comparison of the substrate-binding sites of PpNRH1 (green) and the IU-NRH from C. fasciculata (yellow; PDB 2MAS). The shift of helix α9 (in dark gray and equivalent to helix α11 in plant NRHSs) that occurs upon substrate binding in the active site of Yeik is shown together with the observed position of the inosine substrate (shown in gray; PDB 3B9A). Dashed lines represent potential hydrogen bonds between the CINRH residues and the purine base. B and C, Binding of the nucleobases of xanthosine and uridine in the active site of PpNRH1. The substrate molecules were docked into the active site of PpNRH1 (in green) using AutoDock 4.2. The rearrangements of the Tyr-249 and Tyr-244 side chains upon substrate binding are shown as lines. A model of PpNRH2 is shown in orange for comparison. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines, and those that depend on the orientation of the imidazole ring of His-99 are shown in light blue. Differences in sequence between the two NRHSs are labeled in red. D, Substrate specificity of PpNRH1 proteins with six riboside substrates. Data were measured in 200 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5) containing 400 mM KCl and 1 mM DTT and using 200 μM substrates. The activity toward inosine, as the best substrate for the wild-type enzyme, was arbitrarily taken as 100%. Using xanthosine as the substrate, a specific activity of 137 nkat mg$^{-1}$ was measured for WT-PpNRH1, 1.2 nkat mg$^{-1}$ for Y241A, 8.4 nkat mg$^{-1}$ for Y244A, 14 nkat mg$^{-1}$ for H245A, 135 nkat mg$^{-1}$ for E247A, 6 nkat mg$^{-1}$ for Y249A, 155 nkat mg$^{-1}$ for D250A, 66 nkat mg$^{-1}$ for D252A, and 4.3 nkat mg$^{-1}$ for Y255A. The D25A and H99A proteins exhibited no or negligible activity. E, Comparison of the active-site composition between ZmNRH3 (pink) and ZmNRH2b (model; light yellow). Differences in the sequences of the two NRHSs are indicated by red labels.
a consequence of ineffective stabilization of the negative charge in the leaving group, leading to an increased energy barrier to reach the transition state. Because Tyr-241 is fully conserved among plant NRHs, it is most likely involved in this process and in line with similar findings on the equivalent residue in YeiK from E. coli and CNRH (Iovane et al., 2008).

As expected from the structure, the E247A variant resembles the wild-type enzyme regarding activity. Both D250A and D252A also behave similarly to the wild-type enzyme, meaning that no more conformational change occurs upon substrate binding, and these residues are not in interaction with the substrate. A puzzling result was obtained with Y255A, which exhibits a 50-fold decrease in $k_{cat}$ and a 10-fold increase in $K_m$ values for inosine (Table III). This residue is not in direct contact with the substrate, based on docking models, but it lies in the vicinity of His-245 and His-257. Thus, it seems that the mutation has an indirect effect on catalysis by influencing the function of at least one of the two His residues.

Substrate specificity differences measured for all PpNRH1 variants with six various substrates are shown in Figure 5D. Two significant changes appear in the substrate specificity of the Y244A and Y249A proteins. First, both of the corresponding mutants have substantially higher reaction rates with adenosine (20% and 38%, respectively) compared with inosine (Fig. 5D), while WT-PpNRH1 hydrolyzes adenosine at only an 8% rate. Second, they also show higher relative rates with the cytokinin riboside iP compared with inosine (62% and 10%, respectively), while the wild type shows only 0.1% activity. Therefore, it appears that Tyr-244 and Tyr-249 are both essential for the enzyme’s activity but also have negative effects on the binding of the 6-amino group of the purine ring (in the case of adenosine) and that of the isoprenoid side chain (in the case of cytokinin ribosides).

All kinetic data correlate well with the docking experiments and highlight the essential roles of two residues, Tyr-244 and Tyr-249, in the binding of purine ribosides in PpNRH1. Notably, even though ZmNRH3 contains a Trp (Trp-226) at a position equivalent to Tyr-244 in PpNRH1, both PpNRH1 and ZmNRH3 are kinetically very similar. This suggests that the conserved Tyr-249 (Tyr-231) may be very important in determining their substrate specificity. PpNRH2, ZmNRH2a, and ZmNRH2b, which are all highly active toward uridine and xanthosine, carry an Asp residue at position 249 (Fig. 2), while all the other active-site residues remain identical (Fig. 5, B, C, and E). The Tyr replacement by an Asp is accompanied by three to five times higher $K_m$ values for inosine and about one-half lower $K_m$ values for uridine (Table II). This may imply that the uncharacterized PpNRH3, which also possesses an Asp, will preferentially catalyze the hydrolysis of uridine. ZmNRH1a and ZmNRH1b should behave similarly to ZmNRH3 and PpNRH1. Interestingly, AtNRH1, which exhibits higher activity toward uridine (Jung et al., 2009), also has an Asp residue at this position. We believe that the other Arabidopsis enzyme, AtNRH2, so far not kinetically characterized, should behave as ZmNRH3 and PpNRH1.

Phenotypes and Growth of P. patens NRH Knockout Mutants in Medium with Nucleosides as the Sole Nitrogen Source

To obtain in planta information on the function of PpNRH1, PpNRH2, and PpNRH3, we performed gene targeting and achieved a functional gene knockout by inserting a resistance cassette into each of the corresponding loci (Schaefer and Zryd, 1997). Three single knockout lines were selected for phenotypic analysis: d|PpNRH1#29, d|PpNRH2#56, and d|PpNRH3#7. Each of these lines satisfies all of the targeted gene knockout criteria, including the absence of detectable transcripts. When grown on full medium, all of the knockout lines had the wild-type phenotype in terms of protonema cell size and growth. When protonema suspensions were used to inoculate agar dishes, it became apparent during the first 4 weeks that all three mutant lines are delayed in bud formation (Fig. 6A). The strongest reduction in early budding is observed for d|PpNRH1#29, which exhibits only 4% of the number of buds compared with the wild type. The other mutants were less severely affected. Supplemental Figure S7 shows the significant reduction in early budding for two individual mutants for each of the PpNRH genes. The stronger phenotype of the d|PpNRH1#29 line suggests that PpNRH1 plays a more important role in cytokinin-mediated budding control in planta than do the other two PpNRHs.

We investigated the roles of each PpNRH gene product in the recycling of nucleoside-bound nitrogen by performing growth assays in which inosine, xanthosine, and adenosine were the sole nitrogen sources for nitrogen-starved tissues. The observed growth rates were compared with those achieved on a medium containing either KNO₃ or urea (Fig. 6A). In all of the knockout lines perform similarly when fed with inosine and grow slightly better than on a nitrogen-free medium. However, they take on a brown color, which is indicative of nitrogen starvation in P. patens. Inosine has growth-inhibiting effects, which prevents the formation of gametophores. Because there are no apparent differences between the wild type and the knockout lines, we can deduce that at least two of the PpNRHs are capable of hydrolyzing inosine. The recombinant PpNRH1 and PpNRH2 proteins both hydrolyze inosine to hypoxanthine, which can be further converted to xanthine and thus act as a nitrogen source via purine degradation (Fig. 1B). Plants grown on medium containing xanthosine as the sole nitrogen source have green-colored filaments and are able to form gametophores. Again, the knockout lines have very similar phenotypes to the wild type, meaning that at least two of the three studied enzymes can convert xanthosine to xanthine and thereby enable nitrogen recycling. Both recombinant PpNRH1 and PpNRH2 hydrolyze...
Figure 6. Phenotypic analysis of wild-type *P. patens* and three NRH knockout strains. A, Wild-type *P. patens* (WT) and the knockout strains d|PpNRH1#29, d|PpNRH2#56, and d|PpNRH3#7 were cultivated on agar medium under different conditions. The first column shows the extent of delayed budding in the mutants after 4 weeks of cultivation following aerial inoculation with a suspension of protonema cells. The second and third columns (controls 1 and 2) show cultures grown on medium containing either 10 mM KNO₃ as the sole nitrogen source or under nitrogen starvation. The remaining columns show cultures grown on medium containing 2.5 mM inosine, 2.5 mM xanthosine, or 2.5 mM adenosine as the sole nitrogen sources and an additional positive control with urea as the sole nitrogen source. Unlike the wild type, the knockout strain d|PpNRH1#29 is unable to recycle adenosine-bound nitrogen. Photographs were taken after 8 weeks. B, Levels of selected purine and pyrimidine metabolites in 21-d-old liquid-cultured protonema. The values shown represent mean values for four individual cultures per genotype; UPLC-mass spectrometry measurements were conducted in triplicate for each culture. C, Levels of selected...
xanthosine (Table I). A remarkable result was obtained with medium containing adenosine as the sole nitrogen source: while the d|PpNRH2#56 and d|PpNRH3#7 knockout lines exhibited similar levels of growth to the wild type, no growth was observed for the d|PpNRH1#29 knockout line. Although recombinant PpNRH2 is weakly active toward adenosine (Table I), this finding strongly suggests that PpNRH1 is the only *P. patens* NRH that is capable of effectively recycling nitrogen from adenosine in vivo. This result clearly demonstrates that, together with xanthine dehydrogenase, NRHs play a central role in purine degradation and the recycling of nucleoside-bound nitrogen.

**Changes in the Levels of Purine, Pyrimidine, and Cytokinin Metabolites in d|PpNRH Knockout Mutants**

As expected from the kinetic data (Tables I and II), we observe accumulations of inosine (20-fold), uridine (1.4-fold), and xanthosine (1.5-fold) in the d|PpNRH1#29 mutant line relative to the wild type (Fig. 6B). The levels of uracil and cytidine in this mutant line are below the limit of detection. Similar accumulation is observed for uridine (2.5-fold) and xanthosine (1.6-fold) in d|PpNRH2#56 plants. Surprisingly, the level of hypoxanthine (which is produced by the hydrolysis of inosine) in the d|PpNRH1 plants is twice that in the wild type, indicating that purine metabolism is modified in this knockout. Because inosine has been found to cause growth inhibition in *P. patens* (Fig. 6A), we may assume that a harmful excess of inosine in the d|PpNRH1 mutant is eliminated by (unspecified) alternative pathways. It should be noted that Riegler et al. (2011) did not observe an accumulation of inosine over the detection limit in any of their *nrh1* and *nrh2* single and double mutants in Arabidopsis. In contrast, the AtNRH1 knockout mutant accumulates high levels of uridine in roots, which is consistent with the preferential activity of this NRH toward this substrate.

Although the xanthosine levels in the d|PpNRH1 and d|PpNRH2 plants are only slightly higher than those in the wild type, the difference is statistically significant (*P* < 0.001) and is consistent with the observation that both of the corresponding recombinant enzymes can hydrolyze this riboside. Overall, these data indicate that *P. patens* produces at least two NRHs hydrolyzing xanthosine. The levels of xanthine (a product of xanthosine hydrolysis) are increased significantly in all three knockout lines, which again suggests that they have abnormal purine metabolism due to deficiencies in NRH activity.

Interestingly, the far less pronounced accumulation of xanthosine in d|PpNRH1#29 and d|PpNRH2#56 compared with that seen in the *nrh1* (*urh1*) Arabidopsis mutant (Riegler et al., 2011) suggests that bryophytes and seed plants differ in terms of xanthosine homeostasis. A comparable result (i.e. a relatively low but statistically significant increase in d|PpNRH1#29 and d|PpNRH2#56) is also found for the other purine nucleosides adenosine and guanosine. Conversely, the levels of these metabolites in the d|PpNRH3#7 plants are slightly lower than in the wild type. The finding that the levels of both the bases and the ribosides are altered indicates that their endogenous levels are regulated by both the NRHs and by enzymes that are active in other metabolic pathways, which will presumably respond to changes in the abundance of the various purine derivatives. The absence of an accumulation of uridine, inosine, and xanthosine in the d|PpNRH3 plants indicates that PpNRH3 probably plays a minor role in vivo hydrolysis, either due to low expression of the functional *PpNRH3* or because *PpNRH3* expression is specific to some developmental stage other than those examined in this work.

The first report on the functionality of AtNRH1 (Jung et al., 2009) demonstrated that recombinant enzyme is capable of hydrolyzing iP. To date, no in planta experiments have been published showing the relevance of NRHs in cytokinin activation. The major pathway for cytokinin activation is that involving phosphoribohydrolase (LONELY GUY; Kurakawa et al., 2007), which releases cytokinin bases from the corresponding nucleosides. In mosses, 5’-(2-isopentenyl)adenosine (iP) is an important cytokinin base that has significant effects on development because it induces bud formation in protonema (von Schwartzenberg et al., 2007). Our enzymatic study reveals that PpNRH1 and PpNRH2 are capable of releasing iP from the corresponding iPR, albeit at low rates (Table I). The kinetic data for ZmNRH2b and ZmNRH3 indicate that their *Km* values for iP and iZT are similar to those for other purine substrates, but their turnover rates for these substrates are much lower.

Cytokinin analyses demonstrate that the levels of endogenous iP and cis-zeatin in all three of the d|PpNRH mutant lines are slightly lower than in the wild type (the trans-zeatin levels are below the limit of detection). This is consistent with the observed hydrolysis of these cytokinin ribosides in vitro by the various PpNRH enzymes. The lower iP levels seen in our mutant lines are also consistent with their reduced levels of early bud formation. The ribosides iPR and cis-zeatin riboside as well as the ribonucleotides...
isopentenyadenosine 5'-monophosphate and cis-zeatin riboside 5'-monophosphate accumulate in all three mutant genotypes (Fig. 6C), whereas the accumulation of trans-zeatin derivatives is less pronounced. A significant accumulation of trans-zeatin riboside-O-glucoside is observed in d1PpNRH1#29 and d1PpNRH3#7 knockout plants. However, the levels of the cis-zeatin riboside-O-glucoside are only slightly elevated in d1PpNRH1#29. These observations indicate that an excess of zeatin-type ribosides is glucosylated by zeatin-O-glucosyltransferases. Cytokinin O-glucosides are generally assumed to be storage products that can be activated through hydrolysis by β-glucosidases. In summary, we can state that all three PpNRHs have an impact on cytokinin homeostasis, although we cannot rule out that some of the effects might be due to indirect or unspecified responses of the whole cytokinin homeostatic system involving other pathways in addition to NRHs.

Taken together, changes in the metabolite profiles are generally compatible with the substrate preferences determined for the recombinant PpNRHs. Unexpectedly, the increased levels of hypoxanthine, xanthine, or adenine can be possibly attributed to the activity of near pathways, including up-regulation of cytokinin oxidase/dehydrogenase, adenosine deaminase, or xanthine oxidase, which might compensate for the levels of these metabolites. Moreover, Jung et al. (2011) reported that the accumulation of nucleosides in the nhh1 Arabidopsis mutant was strongly increased under conditions of prolonged darkness, when salvage pathways are more important than the biosynthetic routes. The metabolite profiling for the P. patens single knockout mutants was undertaken under standard conditions. In the case of ADK, another purine/cytokinin-interconverting enzyme, the expected decrease of AMP was not observed in ADK-silenced Arabidopsis plants, which was also explained by the activity of related metabolic pathways leading to AMP production (Schoor et al., 2011). NRH, ADK, and adenine phosphoribosyltransferase are similar in that the cytokinins are not their major substrates in vitro (Moffatt et al., 2000; Allen et al., 2002). Nevertheless, it was shown for the Arabidopsis ADK knockdown plants (Schoor et al., 2011) as well as for adenine phosphoribosyltransferase1-1 mutants (Zhang et al., 2013) that the levels of endogenous cytokinins are significantly changed, revealing an impact of these enzymes on the cytokinin interconversion.
Phylogeny of Plant IU-NRHs

Phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 7) shows clustering of plant IU-NRHs (Supplemental Fig. S8) into two clades. The outgroup comprising NRHs from L. major, C. fasciculata, T. vivax, Schizosaccharomyces pombe, and E. coli are, as expected, distant to the plant IU-NRHs. PpNRHs and ZmNRHs share 49% to 75% sequence identity with other plant NRHs (Supplemental Table S2). PpNRH1 is in the same branch (clade I) as ZmNRH1a and ZmNRH1b, while ZmNRH3 is in the same branch as ZmNRH2a, ZmNRH2b, PpNRH2, and PpNRH3 (clade II). It seems that early divergent land plants such as P. patens and Selaginella moellendorfii already had two NRH isoforms, which in most cases were preserved during the evolution of the seed plant line leading to two NRH isoforms, with one in each branch, in higher plants. The apparent duplication in P. patens resulted in PpNRH2 and PpNRH3 (clade II), probably due to a whole-genome duplication with one in each branch, in higher plants. The apparent participation of the seed plant line leading to two NRH isoforms, which in most cases were preserved during the evolution of the seed plant line leading to two NRH isoforms, with one in each branch, in higher plants.

There is a clear correlation between the clustering of the NRH genes and their enzymatic properties. Clade I comprises subclass Ia NRHs carrying Tyr-249 and Tyr-244 (using the PpNRH1 numbering), indicative of inosine and xanthosine preference. Clade II comprises mainly subclass II NRHs carrying an Asp at the same position (together with Trp-244), indicative of uridine/xanthosine preference. Interestingly, enzymes in clade II from the Poaceae family are also functionally diversified (Fig. 7). Although ZmNRH3 and ZmNRH2a/ZmNRH2b share 74.2% and 75.8% sequence identity (Supplemental Table S2), ZmNRH3 functionally belongs to subclass Ib (with Tyr-249 and Trp-244) and prefers the substrates inosine and xanthosine.

In the current classification, plant NRHs analyzed in this work (excluding two-domain NRHs) belong to nonspecific IU-NRHs. Our data show that assigning names of the plant IU-NRHs based on their in vitro substrate preferences can be misleading. For example, PpNRH1, which has a xanthosine/inosine preference, shows uridine as a weak substrate in vitro. However, in planta, the dPpNRH1 line accumulates significant quantities of uridine, demonstrating that PpNRH1 is important for uridine conversion. This was the case for AtNRH1, which was initially named as uridine ribo-hydrolase (AtURH1; Jung et al., 2009). Plant IU-NRHs are obviously able to act on a wide range of ribosides, including cytokinin ribosides, and cannot be classified as having an exclusive preference for either purines or pyrimidines.

CONCLUSION

This work provides a comprehensive analysis of IU-NRHs from two plant species, maize and P. patens. It reveals the presence of several NRH genes per plant species, leading to the existence of at least two enzyme groups differing in substrate specificity, either preferring xanthosine/inosine (subclass I) or uridine/xanthosine (subclass II). Structural analysis combined with site-directed mutagenesis identified several residues responsible for nucleoside binding and catalysis. The single knockout mutants in P. patens show changes in the levels of purine, pyrimidine, and cytokinin metabolites and point out the importance of NRHs for nucleoside and cytokinin metabolism. Here, we prove the participation of plant IU-NRHs from both subclasses in cytokinin activation in vivo.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material and Culture Conditions

The wild-type Physcomitrella patens (Funariaceae) strain used in this work was derived from the ‘Graneden 2004’ strain. Photoautotrophic growth was induced by keeping cultures under axenic conditions in growth chambers (RUMED 1600) at 25°C, illuminated with white light under a 16/8-h light/dark regime, with a flux of 50 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹. For metabolite content analysis, tissue was cultivated in liquid medium (Wang et al., 1980) containing 0.359 mM Ca(NO₃)₂, 0.035 mM FeSO₄, 1.01 mM MgSO₄, 1.84 mM KH₂PO₄, and 10 mM KNO₃, to which 1 mL of Hoagland trace element solution was added (Ashton and Cove, 1977).

Cloning, Expression, and Gene Models of NRHs from P. patens and Maize

Total RNA for reverse transcription was isolated from P. patens (at the protonema stage) and from 5-d-old maize (Zea mays var saccharata) seedlings using the Plant RNA Isolation Aid solutions from Ambion. The RNA was treated twice with the TURBO DNase-free kit (Ambion). The cDNA was then synthesized using the SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) and the RevertAid H Minus reverse transcriptase (Fermentas). Sequences coding for the PpNRH1 (999 bp), PpNRH2 (1,026 bp), and PpNRH3 (1,017 bp) genes were amplified using gene-specific primers and the Accuprime Pf polymerase (Invitrogen; Supplemental Table S3) and then cloned into a pCDFDuet His-tag vector (Novagen). In the case of PpNRH3, four splicing variants were obtained. In addition, five NRH coding sequences from maize were cloned (the primers used are shown in Supplemental Table S3) and submitted to Genbank. These sequences were as follows: ZmNRH1a (991 bp), ZmNRH1b (987 bp), ZmNRH2a (978 bp), ZmNRH2b (978 bp), ZmNRH3 open reading frame (ORF: 948 bp). The plasmids were transformed into T7 express cells (New England Biolabs). Protein expression was induced with 0.5 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside, after which the cultures were incubated at 20°C overnight.

Except for splicing variants of PpNRH3_v3 and PpNRH3_v4, all of the analyzed NRH sequences consist of nine exons (Supplemental Fig. S1), with exons 2, 3, 6, and 7 all having the same length. Four variants of PpNRH3 were identified due to alternative splicing at the 3’ ends of the fifth and eighth exons, none of which matches the current PpNRH3 model. The eighth exon leads to a protein either with a FIAT C-terminal sequence (variants 3 and 4) or an SRLK C terminus (variants 1 and 2), in case the exon is spliced into two, meaning nine exons in total like all other NRH genes. The fifth exon, longer by 36 bp, introduces the VSLKRQKSHSRN peptide into the protein (variants 1 and 2). Based on an alignment of plant NRH sequences, variant 1 most likely corresponds to the active form of PpNRH3. All five of the genes identified in maize also contain nine exons (Supplemental Fig. S1).

Site-Directed Mutagenesis of PpNRH1

Site-directed mutagenesis was performed on PpNRH1 ORF in a pCDFDuet vector. The mutant H245A was prepared using two complementary primers containing the desired mutation (Supplemental Table S3). All of the other mutants were cloned using tail-to-tail-oriented phosphorylated primers, with the mutation being located at the 5’ end of one of the primers. PCR was performed using Accuprime Pf polymerase (Invitrogen) in 30 cycles. The products were treated with DpnI, gel purified, and ligated using the T4 DNA ligase.

Site-Directed Mutagenesis

Site-directed mutagenesis was performed on PpNRH1 ORF in a pCDFDuet vector.

Site-Directed Mutagenesis

Site-directed mutagenesis was performed on PpNRH1 ORF in a pCDFDuet vector.
Circular Dichroism Spectroscopy

The far-UV circular dichroism spectra of WT-PpNRH1 and its mutant variants were recorded on a J-815 spectropolarimeter (JASCO) at a concentration of 0.5 mg mL\(^{-1}\) in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.0) using a 0.1-cm quartz cell.

Phylogenetic Analysis

Amino acid alignments were performed using MUSCLE version 3.8 (Edgar, 2004). A maximum likelihood phylogeny with bootstrap analysis was performed with PhyML version 3.0 (Guindon et al., 2010) using the LG amino acid replacement matrix. NRH sequences from the following species were obtained from the National Center for Biotechnology Information, Phytozome, The Gene Index Project (http://compbio.dfci.harvard.edu/tgi/plant.html; Tentaconsensus accessions), or The Institute for Genomic Research (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast). Gene Index Project (http://compbio.dfci.harvard.edu/tgi/plant.html; Tentaconsensus accessions), or The Institute for Genomic Research (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blast).

Activity Measurement

Purine and pyrimidine ribosides were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The NRH activity was assessed spectrophotometrically at 30°C according to a method described by Parkin (1996). The reaction in 200 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 7.5, 400 mM KCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), and a riboside substrate was initiated by adding an appropriate amount of the enzyme (up to 50 μg for WT-PpNRH1 and 100–500 μg for the mutants). Kinetic constants were determined using the GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad Software) by measuring the absorbance decrease at 260 nm, which was fitted to the initial rate equation. The Michaelis-Menten equation was fitted to the data, and kinetic constants were determined by a graphically fitted line. The kinetic constants were determined by a graphically fitted line. The kinetic constants were determined by a graphically fitted line.

Purification, Crystallization, and Structure Determination

All NRHs were purified on Co-Sepharose columns, and both PpNRH1 and ZmNRH3 fractions were concentrated to 30 mg mL\(^{-1}\) in 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0) and 150 mM NaCl. The purified PpNRH1 and ZmNRH3 fractions were concentrated to 30 mg mL\(^{-1}\). Crystallization conditions for both NRHs were screened using Qiagen kits (Valencia) with a Cartesian nanodrop robot (Genomic Solutions). Crystals were obtained in hanging drops by mixing equal volumes of protein solution and a precipitant solution containing 0.1 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 100 mM sodium acetate, 10% (w/v) polyethylene glycol (PEG) 4000, and 10% ethylene glycol (PEG) 400 for PpNRH1 containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 150 mM NaCl, and 20% (w/v) PEG 2000 monomethyl ether for ZmNRH3. Crystals were transferred to a cryoprotective solution (the mother liquor supplemented with 25% PEG 400) and flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. Diffraction data for the PpNRH1 and ZmNRH3 crystals were collected at 100 K on the Proxima 1 beamline at the SOLEIL synchrotron at resolutions of 3.35 and 2.49 Å, respectively. Intensities were integrated using the XDS program (Kabsch, 2010; Supplemental Table S1).

The crystal structures of ZmNRH3 and PpNRH1 were determined by performing molecular replacement with Phaser (Storoni et al., 2004), using the monomer of YbeK (PDB 1YOE) and the dimer of ZmNRH3 as search models, respectively. Both models were refined with strong non-crystallographic symmetry restraints using BUSTER (Bricogne et al., 2011). One translation/libration/screw-motion group was assigned for the dimer in the 2.49-Å structure and the four dimers in the lower resolution structure. Electron density maps were evaluated using COOT (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004). Refinement statistics are presented in Supplemental Table S1. No electron density was observed for residues 230 to 234 in subunit B of the ZmNRH3 structure. In subunit A, the electron density map was poorly defined for the side chains in the region comprising residues 228 to 237. Only two dimers (AB and CD) of the four were well defined in the electron density maps of the PpNRH1 structure. The dimer GH and mostly the molecule H present many disordered side chains and poor electron density maps in a few regions. Molecular graphics images were generated using PYMOL (www.pymol.org).

Substrate Docking into the Active Sites of PpNRH1 and ZmNRH3

The AutoDock suite 4.2.5.1 (Morris et al., 2009) was used for docking experiments. Both target active sites were kept rigid, while Tyr-241, Tyr-244, and Tyr-249 in PpNRH1 (Tyr-223, Trp-226, and Tyr-233 in ZmNRH3) were flexible. Hydrogen atoms were added and Gasteiger partial charges were computed. Calcium atom charges were added manually. Coordinates for inosine were taken from the structure of a YeK complex (PDB 3B9K), while xanthosine and uridine were built in Avogadro 1.0.0 (http://avogadro.openmolecules.net/). The Rib moiety was constrained to maintain the C4'endo puckered conformation, as commonly found in ribosides bound to NRHs. Docking calculations were performed using a Lamarckian genetic algorithm and a maximum of 100 conformers.

Generation of P. patens NRH Knockout Mutants

Functional gene knockouts of PpNRH1, PpNRH2, and PpNRH3 were prepared using three gene-replacement vectors. The vectors were all designed using a resistance cassette flanked by 800- to 1,000-bp-long genomic fragments from the 5' and 3' regions of the corresponding genomic NRH locus. Details of the construction of the replacement vectors are provided in Supplemental Methods S1, and primers sequences are given in Supplemental Table S2. The transformation of P. patens protoplasts was carried out according to Schauer et al. (1991) with minor modifications. Each transformation assay was performed using 25 μg of vector DNA. Between 15 and 20 stable moss lines were obtained after three rounds of selection using the appropriate antibiotic for each knockout construct. The haploid status of the transformants was verified, and eight to 10 transgenic lines for each mutant were arbitrarily chosen. These were then analyzed by PCR for recombination events at the corresponding loci and by reverse transcription-PCR for the absence of the transcript. Three knockout lines, d|PpNRH1#29, d|PpNRH2#56, and d|PpNRH3#7, were chosen for biochemical and phenotypic characterization.

Extraction and Determination of Purine, Pyrimidine, and Cytokin Metabolites

P. patens wild-type and mutant lines were collected, freeze dried, and powdered in triplicate (10 mg dry weight per sample). For quantification of the purine/pyrimidine bases and ribosides, the samples were hydrolyzed, extracted in cold water with 25% ammonia (ratio, 4:1), and purified by solid-phase extraction with the addition of the stable-labeled internal standards. All samples were further purified on mixed-mode anion-exchange sorbent Oasis MAX cartridges (Waters) and analyzed using an Acquity ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) system connected to a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Waters TQ MS Technologies). Further details are given in Supplemental Methods S1. Ultra-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry analysis was used to determine the cytokinin content of each sample (von Schwartzenberg et al., 2007) using a modified procedure of Novák et al. (2008).
Sequence data can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data libraries under accession numbers JQ649522 (PpNRH1), J0861385 (PpNRH2), J0861386 to J0861389 (the four splicing variants of PpNRH3), HQ285159 (ZmNRH1a), HQ285160 (ZmNRH1b), HQ285161 (ZmNRH2a), JQ994984 (ZmNRH2b), and HQ285162 (the ZmNRH3 ORF). The atomic coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the PDB under accession codes 4KPN (PpNRH1) and 4KPO (ZmNRH3).

Supplemental Data
The following materials are available in the online version of this article.
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Supplemental Figure S3. Confirmation of cytokinin riboside conversion by ZmNRH3, ZmNRH2b, and PpNRH1.

Supplemental Figure S4. Gel permeation chromatography of PpNRH1 and ZmNRH3.

Supplemental Figure S5. Structural comparison of plant NRH (ZmNRH3, this article) with NRH from *E. coli* (YeI, PDB 3B9X).

Supplemental Figure S6. Production of PpNRH1 protein variants.

Supplemental Figure S7. Delayed bud development within 4 weeks after aerial inoculation with protonema suspension.

Supplemental Figure S8. Alignment of plant NRH sequences.

Supplemental Table S1. Data collection and refinement statistics of plant NRHs.

Supplemental Table S2. Identities of ZmNRH3 and PpNRH1 with other NRHs from maize and *P. patens* and from other species.

Supplemental Table S3. Primer pairs used for the cloning of NRHs and for the site-directed mutagenesis of PpNRH1.

Supplemental Table S4. Primers used for generation of gene replacement vectors and genetic analysis of *P. patens* knockout mutants.

Supplemental Methods S1. Generation of *P. patens* knockout mutants and quantification of ribosides.
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