Lipoate-Protein Ligase and Octanoyltransferase Are Essential for Protein Lipoylation in Mitochondria of Arabidopsis*1[W][OPEN]
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Prosthetic lipoic groups are required for the function of several essential multienzyme complexes, such as pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH), α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase (KGDH), and the glycine cleavage system (glycine decarboxylase [GDC]). How these proteins are lipoylated has been extensively studied in prokaryotes and yeast (Saccharomyces cerevisiae), but little is known for plants. We earlier reported that mitochondrial fatty acid synthesis by ketoacyl-acyl carrier protein synthase is not vital for protein lipoylation in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) and does not play a significant role in roots. Here, we identify Arabidopsis lipoate-protein ligase (AtLPLA) as an essential mitochondrial enzyme that uses octanoyl-nucleoside monophosphate and possibly other donor substrates for the octanoylation of mitochondrial PDH-E2 and GDC H-protein; it shows no reactivity with bacterial and possibly plant KGDH-E2. The octanoyt—activating enzyme is unknown, but we assume that it uses octanoyl moieties provided by mitochondrial β-oxidation. AtLPLA is essential for the octanoylation of PDH-E2, whereas GDC H-protein can optionally also be octanoylated by octanoyltransferase (LIP2) using octanoyl chains provided by mitochondrial ketoacyl-acyl carrier protein synthase to meet the high lipoate requirement of leaf mesophyll mitochondria. Similar to protein lipoylation in yeast, LIP2 likely also transfers octanoyl groups attached to the H-protein to KGDH-E2 but not to PDH-E2, which is exclusively octanoylated by LPLA. We suggest that LPLA and LIP2 together provide a basal protein lipoylation network to plants that is similar to that in other eukaryotes.

Lipoic acid (LA; 6,8-dithiooctanoic acid) prosthetic groups are essential for the catalytic activity of four important multienzyme complexes in plants and other organisms: pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH), α-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase (KGDH), and the Gly cleavage system (glycine decarboxylase [GDC]; Perham, 2000; Douce et al., 2001; Mooney et al., 2002). In all these multienzyme complexes, LA is covalently attached to the ε-amino group of a particular lysyl residue of the respective protein subunit. Lipoylated E2 subunits of PDH, KGDH, and BCDH are dihydrolipoyl acyltransferases that interact with E1 and E3 subunits to pass acyl intermediates to CoA (Mooney et al., 2002). By contrast, the lipoylated H-protein of GDC acts as a co-substrate of three other GDC proteins and has no enzymatic activity itself (Douce et al., 2001).
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(mtKAS) represent the solitary source for protein lipoylation in plant mitochondria (Yasuno et al., 2004). As we reported earlier, however, leaves of mtKAS-deficient knockout mutants show considerable lipoylation of mitochondrial PDH-E2 and KGDH-E2 subunits and some residual lipoylation of GDC-H-protein; roots are not at all impaired. Accordingly, the phenotype of such mutants can be fully cured in the low-photorespiratory condition of elevated CO2 (Ewald et al., 2007). These observations indicated that plant mitochondria, in addition to the mtKAS-LIP2-LIP1 route of protein lipoylation, can resort to an alternative pathway. This would not be uncommon. In *Escherichia coli*, for example, a salvage pathway utilizes free octanoate or LA in an ATP-dependent two-step reaction catalyzed by the bifunctional enzyme lipoate-protein ligase A (LPLA; Morris et al., 1995). Archaea (Christensen and Cronan, 2009; Posner et al., 2009) and vertebrates (Tsunoda and Yasunobu, 1967) require two separate enzymes to first activate octanoate or LA to lipoyl-nucleoside monophosphate (NMP) and then, in a second step, to convey the activated lipoyl group to the respective target proteins. The lipoate-activating enzyme (LAE) of mammals was identified as a refunctionalized medium-chain acyl-CoA synthetase that utilizes GTP to produce lipoyl-GMP (Fujiiwara et al., 2001). LIP3 from yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) can use octanoyl-CoA to octanoylate apoE2 proteins (Hermes and Cronan, 2013), whereas octanoyl groups from fatty acid biosynthesis are first attached to H-protein and then passed on to apoE2 proteins (Schonauer et al., 2009).

The physiological significance of lipoate-protein ligases in plants is not exactly known. Such enzymes do not operate in plastids (Ewald et al., 2014) but could be present in mitochondria. A single-gene-encoded LPLA with predicted mitochondrial localization has been identified in rice (*Oryza sativa*; Kang et al., 2007). Complementation studies with the lipoylation-deficient *E. coli* mutant TM137 (Morris et al., 1995) suggested that OsLPLA belongs to the bifunctional type of LPLAs. We report the identification of the homologous enzyme in Arabidopsis (*Arabidopsis thaliana*), provide evidence for its mitochondrial location, and show that Arabidopsis LPLA requires a separate enzyme for octanoate/lipoate activation. We also examine the interplay between LPLA, LIP2, and the mtKAS route of protein lipoylation and suggest a model for protein lipoylation in plant mitochondria.

RESULTS

**AtLPLA Is Uniformly Expressed, AtLIP2 Particularly in Photosynthesizing Organs**

We used the only reported plant LPLA amino acid sequence (Kang et al., 2007) to search public databases for the *Arabidopsis* homolog. Protein At3g29010 (henceforth AtLPLA), which is annotated as a member of the biotin/lipoate A/B protein ligase family, showed 74% sequence identity with OsLPLA and a similar size (for alignment, see Supplemental Fig. S1). The protein harbors known conserved domains for the binding of lipoyl-AMP and target proteins (Fujiiwara et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2005) but lacks the C-terminal extension present in bifunctional LPLAs.

Examination of leaf, root, and mitochondrial matrix proteins (Fig. 1A) by immunoblotting using an immunopurified antibody raised against recombinant AtLPLA and antibodies reacting with control proteins revealed the mitochondrial location of AtLPLA. The purified mitochondria did not show signals with an antibody directed against the Rubisco large subunit, which excludes that the signals obtained by the LPLA antibody could be caused by plastidial proteins. Moreover, the expression pattern of an N-terminal

---

Figure 1. AtLPLA and AtLIP2 are mitochondrial enzymes expressed in leaves and roots. A, Protein-stained SDS gel (top) with 10 μg of protein per lane of leaf (le), root (ro), and mitochondrial matrix proteins (mi), and immunoblots (bottom) treated with antibodies raised against recombinant AtLPLA, AtLIP2, H-protein (mitochondrial control), and the large subunit of Rubisco (as a plastidial control). Lane M shows size-marker proteins. B, RT-PCR using total RNA from roots (ro), rosette leaves (le), cauline leaves (cl), stems (st), siliques (si), and flowers (fl). Transcripts of the constitutively expressed 40S ribosomal protein S16 were used for calibration. Oligonucleotide primers are listed in Supplemental Table S1. Stars indicate alternative splicing.
fusión de AtLPLA con GFP también sugirió un localizado mitocondrial del LPLA (Suplementario Fig. S2). Aunque, ambos experimentos consistieron confirmado la in silico predicción para la ubicación de OsLPLA en arroz (Kang et al., 2007). Relativo a la cantidad total de proteína, el protein está presente a niveles similares en hojas (somewhat less) y raíces (somewhat more) de Arabidopsis, correspondiendo a la aproximadamente el uniforme expresión del AtLPLA gen en la mRNA nivel en diferentes órganos de plantas maduras (Fig. 1B). La expresión del perfil de AtLPLA en Arabidopsis difiere ligeramente de que en arroz, donde la expresión fue mayor en hojas y raíces, ligeramente en hojas y raíces (Kang et al., 2007). Además, una fracción notoria de LPLA transcritos se encontraron completamente desligado en Arabidopsis y aún incluyó el menos o incluso las dos intrones se presentan en el pre-mRNA (data not shown).

La parte no desenrollada de la secuencia de ADN que indica que AtLPLA es expresado durante brotación y embrion de desarrollo del embrión (Suplementario Fig. S3). Por contraste, la AtLIP2 gen es mucho más expresado en hojas que en otros órganos (Fig. 1) y corregulado con genes respiratorios (Suplementario Fig. S3), como se muestra que expresión similar en combinación con el valor r (Toufighi et al., 2005) a 0.814) y 0.822 (AtGLDH1 = 0.822).

Arabidopsis LPLA Requires a Separate, Unknown Enzyme for Substrate Activation

Putative LPLAs from higher plants and green algae form a sister group to one of the two mitochondrial lipoate ligases of the erythrocytic parasite Plasmodium falciparum, PfLipL2 (Suplementario Fig. S4). Interestingly, this particular enzyme was able to complement the lipoylation-deficient strain E. coli TM136 only in the presence of lipoate, while complementation with the paralogous PfLipL1 was possible without external lipoate (Allary et al., 2007). Using a similar strategy, we tested whether the expression of AtLPLA would complement the lipoylation-deficient E. coli mutant TM137 (Morris et al., 1995). This particular strain, similar to TM136, lacks lipoate-protein ligase and octanoyltransferase and cannot grow on minimal medium with Glc as the only carbon source, even in the presence of external LA. However, TM137 can grow if non-lipoylated PDH and KGDH are bypassed by complementation with acetate and succinate. This strain was transformed with a pBAD-HisA-based overexpression construct harboring AtLPLA, and the experiment was designed to match the conditions described by Kang et al. (2007) as closely as possible; however, the observed complementation effect was, at most, marginal (Suplementario Fig. S5A).

To find out why AtLPLA was unable to restore the growth of TM137, we first confirmed that the transgene is expressed by testing for the presence of the fused His tag with an anti-His tag antibody (Fig. 2A).

Usage of an antibody directed against lipoylated epitopes then demonstrated that the lipoylation of apoPDH-E2, but not apoKGDH, was restored in comparison with the E. coli K12 wild-type control and the lipoylation-deficient TM137 control after 12 h of growth in liquid minimal medium (Fig. 2B). Lipoylation of apoKGDH-E2 was observed only in the 24-h sample and is likely due to an uncharacterized enzyme activity in E. coli, as discussed for a related experiment with Thermoplasma acidophilum LPLA (Christensen and Cronan, 2009). Alternatively, AtLPLA could very slowly transfer octanoyl chains bound...
to octanoyl-acyl carrier protein (ACP), similar to a reported side reactivity of EcLplA (Jordan and Cronan, 2003). This explanation is supported by our observation that the addition of succinate led to higher growth stimulation than the addition of acetate, which also suggests that it is KGDH deficiency that becomes growth limiting after the expression of AtLPLA (Supplemental Fig. S5B).

To confirm the absence of reactivity with KGDH-E2, we tested the target protein specificity of AtLPLA in vitro, which excludes ill-characterized side effects that can occur in vivo. This was done by using [14C]octanoic acid in combination with recombinant plant H-protein and PDH-E2 protein and soluble TM137 proteins (Fig. 2C). E. coli EcLplA, which was used as a positive control for a bifunctional LPLA, efficiently octanoylated the two plant proteins and also PDH-E2 and KGDH-E2 present in the TM137 protein mix. AtLPLA alone did not show any activity in this assay. However, AtLPLA octanoylated the two plant proteins and the bacterial apopDH-E2, but not bacterial apoKGDH-E2, in the presence of recombinant Bos taurus lipoate-activating enzyme and GTP or ATP. The signal observed with ATP was distinctly weaker in comparison with GTP but not as much (1,000-fold) as reported for bovine LAE (Fujiwara et al., 2001). LAE produced a very small degree of unspcific background, which cannot be due to contamination by bacterial LplA or LipB. This possibility can be excluded because, except for EcLplA, all proteins used in this experiment, including LAE, were produced in E. coli. TM137. Hence, these results clearly show that AtLPLA is an octanoate-protein ligase that, unlike EcLplA and other bifunctional LPLAs, requires octanoate/lipoate activation by a separate enzyme. In comparison with EcLplA, AtLPLA is also more selective in its choice of target proteins and does not octanoylate the KGDH-E2 protein.

Both AtLPLA and AtLIP2 Are Essential for Embryo Development

LplA and LipB (LPLA and LIP2 in Arabidopsis) participate in redundant lipoylation pathways in E. coli (Morris et al., 1995), but the simultaneous presence of both enzymes is necessary for protein lipoylation in yeast (Schonauer et al., 2009) and an LPLA-related enzyme is required for E2 protein lipoylation in human (Soreze et al., 2013). To better understand the role of LPLA and LIP2 in plants, we attempted to isolate homozygous transfer DNA (T-DNA) insertion lines (Supplemental Fig. S6). After selfing of more than 60 individual heterozygous plants for each of the two examined T-DNA insertion lines, however, homozygous progeny could not be detected. This was also the case if the plants were grown in air containing 1% CO2 to prevent negative effects from the accumulation of photorespiratory intermediates, which would occur if a significant fraction of leaf H-protein remains unlipoylated. Siliques from heterozygous individuals from both lines displayed atypically large numbers of aborted seeds (Fig. 3A), which were homozygous, as confirmed by PCR with genomic DNA from young aberrant mutant seeds and wild-type seeds as a control (data not shown).

Table I shows significant 3:1 segregation ratios. Wild-type plants outcrossed from lplA and lip2 heterozygotes showed very few aborted seeds (less than 1%), corresponding to typical numbers for the Columbia-0 (Col-0) wild type. More potential knock-out lines for these two genes were not available from seed stocks, and none for the lipoal synthase gene AtLIP1. LPLA and LIP2 apparently do not participate in redundant pathways but are essential for mitochondrial protein lipoylation already during the seed development of Arabidopsis. This conclusion implies that the protein lipoylation network in Arabidopsis mitochondria is more similar to that in eukaryotes than in E. coli.

LPLA Is Necessary for the Lipoylation of PDH-E2 Proteins in Leaves and Roots

Since AtLPLA and AtLIP2 null mutants were not viable, we generated RNA interference (RNAi) lines to examine knockdown effects. Individual plants were examined by immunoblotting using specific antibodies directed against the two impaired enzymes, LA epipe-topes and apopDH-E2 protein. Lines with reduced LPLA and LIP2 levels were selected under high-CO2 conditions (1%) to avoid potential negative effects caused by H-protein dysfunction in the photorespiratory pathway (Fig. 3B). The LPLA RNAi lines showed growth retardation of rosettes and roots. Within 1 week after the start of bolting, these plants displayed a strong blue-red discoloration, particularly of older leaves, indicating that LPLA deficiency caused severe metabolic stress. This can also be seen in the considerable increases of leaf Pro contents in all lines: for example, a significant 35-fold increase in the RNAi line LPLA-11 (35.4 ± 11.1-fold) or an 80-fold increase in the RNAi line LPLA-3 (82.3 ± 5.4-fold). In leaves, there was a very distinct reduction of PDH-E2 lipoylation, whereas the levels of apopDH-E2, lipoylated KGDH-E2, and H-protein were barely affected (Fig. 4). Due to the different flux modes of the tricarboxylic acid cycle, as discussed by Sweetlove et al. (2010), corresponding changes in the in vivo activities of these enzymes are difficult to quantify. As a suitable proxy, we examined leaf metabolite levels of intermediates of the photorespiratory and tricarboxylic acid cycles (Table II). The changes observable in Gly, Ser, and glycerate indicated that the perturbation of photorespiratory metabolism was relatively small. Similarly, there were also no clear changes in the levels of pyruvate, citrate, and α-ketoglutarate. Notably, however, the levels of malate, succinate, and fumarate were significantly increased in several lines. The levels of γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA), which is the key intermediate of
the GABA shunt, which can bypass the reactions catalyzed by KGDH and succinyl-CoA ligase (Studart-Guimarães et al., 2007), were also significantly increased (5- to 8-fold) in several lines. Additional metabolite data are shown in Supplemental Table S2. In roots, PDH-E2 lipoylation levels were heavily reduced in most lines. Moreover, there was also a distinct reduction in KGDH-E2 lipoylation, whereas H-protein again was essentially unaltered.

By contrast, the phenotypes of LIP2 RNAi plants were not distinctly different from those of wild-type plants despite their very low LIP2 contents. Leaves of these lines showed somewhat reduced KGDH-E2 lipoylation, particularly in lines F and J, with possibly some minor changes in PDH-E2 lipoylation, but no alterations were visible for H-protein. Again, apoPDH-E2 levels were unchanged and similar to those in the wild type. For roots, the RNAi effects were more distinct, with a strong reduction in KGDH-E2, PDH-E2, and H-protein lipoylation in lines F, J, and K. Notably, roots of LIP2 RNAi plants were the only case where not only PDH-E2 and/or KGDH-E2 lipoylation was reduced but also an impaired H-protein lipoylation could be observed.

Arabidopsis Leaf GLDH Protein Is Fully Lipoylated in Vivo But Not PDH-E2 and KGDH-E2

Finally, we were interested to find out whether the E2 proteins and the H-protein are fully lipoylated in organello. We examined whether the addition of *E. coli* LPLA, LA, and ATP would enhance the levels of lipoylation of matrix proteins prepared from purified leaf mitochondria (Fig. 5). In this experiment, we used an excess of recombinant EcLplA to exclude discrimination between the individual target proteins. An
antibody against the GDC T-protein, which is an unlipoylated protein, provided an internal control for equal loading. We observed that a considerable fraction of both PDH-E2 and KGDH-E2 is present in the unlipoylated form in organello but can be fully lipoylated by EcLplA in vitro. Notably, this treatment did not enhance the lipoylation of the H-protein, indicating that this target protein is fully lipoylated in wild-type Arabidopsis leaf mitochondria. While this result does not provide conclusive evidence that the H-protein could act as an intermediate octanoyl acceptor in plants, it is in line with the sequence of events discussed for protein lipoylation in yeast (Hermes and Cronan, 2013).

**DISCUSSION**

There are two known sites of protein lipoylation in plant cells, plastids and mitochondria. Plastids vitally depend on a partially redundant de novo lipoylation pathway (Wada et al., 2001b; Yasuno and Wada, 2002; Ewald et al., 2014). By contrast, the inactivation of mitochondrial fatty acid synthesis, which triggers the de novo synthesis of lipoyl groups, can be well tolerated, particularly under nonphotorepiratory conditions (Ewald et al., 2007). This indicates that at least two routes exist in plants for the lipoylation of mitochondrial proteins. One of these pathways is well known and starts with the synthesis of octanoyl-ACP (Wada et al., 1997, 2001a; Yasuno and Wada, 1998). The other one is not exactly known but likely relies on the usage of compounds such as free lipoate or octanoate by LPLAs similar to that in other organisms.

To better characterize this second pathway in plants, we used the as yet only reported plant lipoate-protein ligase as a query sequence (Kang et al., 2007) and identified Arabidopsis protein At3g29010 as the homologous enzyme. We also confirmed the in silico prediction of Kang et al. (2007) that plant LPLAs are located in the mitochondrion. The *AtLPLA* gene is expressed to similar levels in all examined plant organs. By contrast, the *AtLIP2* gene is preferentially expressed in leaves and other photosynthesizing organs and shows little expression in roots and flowers. Given that about 95% of leaf H-protein is lipoylated by the mtKAS-dependent de novo lipoylation pathway (Ewald et al., 2007), our study underlines the major contribution of de novo lipoylation in the supply of photorespiring leaf mitochondria with the needed massive amounts of lipoylated H-protein for high GDC activity (Fig. 4, leaf side). This also means that some basal protein lipoylation, including about 5% of H-protein lipoylation, occurs in an mtKAS-independent manner in the mitochondria of all plant organs. It is

**Table 1. Segregation of normal-looking and aborted seeds of LPLA and LIP2 T-DNA insertion lines (seven plants each) compared with Col-0 wild-type plants (3:1; P < 0.05, x^2 = 3.84)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Total Seeds</th>
<th>Normal Seeds</th>
<th>Aborted Seeds</th>
<th>Percentage of Aborted Seeds</th>
<th>x^2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>lplA/LPLA</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>646</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lip2/LIP2</td>
<td>748</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPLA/LPLA</td>
<td>996</td>
<td>991</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIP2/LIP2</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col-0</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 4.** Immunoblots of RNAi lines with reduced contents of LPLA and LIP2. Ten micrograms of leaf and 5 μg of root proteins were separated on SDS-polyacrylamide gels and blotted onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes. Antibodies used were directed against Arabidopsis LPLA (anti-LPLA), Arabidopsis mitochondrial octanoyltransferase (anti-LIP2), LA epitopes of lipoylated E2 proteins and H-protein (anti-LA), and a fragment of Arabidopsis mitochondrial apoPDH-E2a (At3g52200; anti-PDH-E2a). PDH-E2 variants were named as described by Taylor et al. (2002). Numbers and letters represent individual RNAi lines. WT, Wild type.
reasonable to suppose that this process likely requires lipoate-protein ligase. As mentioned, a candidate protein had already been identified (Kang et al., 2007), but functional data were not available.

To find out whether AtLPLA shows lipoate-protein ligase activity, we transformed an overexpression construct into the LplA ligase activity, we transformed an overexpression construct into the LplA-lipoylprotein ligase. As mentioned, a candidate protein had already been identified (Kang et al., 2007), but functional data were not available.

This hypothesis fits well with our observation that apoKGDH-E2 is lipoylated with an extremely low efficiency in AtLPLA-expressing TM137, requiring distinctly more than 12 h for a detectable signal. On the other hand, the expression of AtLPLA resulted in wildtype-like levels of PDH-E2 lipoylation already after 12 h. While these data suggest different routes for the lipoylation of the two E2 proteins in TM137 cells expressing AtLPLA, they do not provide clear evidence in favor of or against our hypothesis that plant LPLAs depend

Table II. Leaf metabolite profiling of wild-type and LPLA RNAi plants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolite</th>
<th>Wild Type</th>
<th>LPLA-1</th>
<th>LPLA-3</th>
<th>LPLA-11</th>
<th>LPLA-13</th>
<th>LPLA-21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gly</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.12 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ser</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.42</td>
<td>1.90 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.22</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.17</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerate</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyruvate</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.18 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrate</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Ketoglutarate</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Succinate</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fumarate</td>
<td>8.03 ± 1.68</td>
<td>20.34 ± 4.67</td>
<td>13.89 ± 0.92</td>
<td>13.63 ± 1.01</td>
<td>16.72 ± 1.15</td>
<td>12.78 ± 3.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malate</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.34</td>
<td>7.81 ± 1.71</td>
<td>13.90 ± 0.68</td>
<td>6.19 ± 0.65</td>
<td>9.74 ± 2.31</td>
<td>4.56 ± 1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABA</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This hypothesis fits well with our observation that apoKGDH-E2 is lipoylated with an extremely low efficiency in AtLPLA-expressing TM137, requiring distinctly more than 12 h for a detectable signal. On the other hand, the expression of AtLPLA resulted in wildtype-like levels of PDH-E2 lipoylation already after 12 h. While these data suggest different routes for the lipoylation of the two E2 proteins in TM137 cells expressing AtLPLA, they do not provide clear evidence in favor of or against our hypothesis that plant LPLAs depend
on substrate activation by a separate enzyme. The donor substrate for apoPDH2 lipoylation by AtLPLA in TM137 is also somewhat elusive, because it cannot be provided by the inactivated EcLPLA in this strain. Similar to our results, a small complementation effect was observed when *T. acidophillum* LPLA, without the lipoate-activating partner enzyme LPLB, was expressed in the LipA”LplA’ *E. coli* strain TM131 (Christensen and Cronan, 2009). These authors discussed that *E. coli* in addition to EcLPLA possibly harbors another, yet unknown enzyme for lipoate/octanate activation. Alternatively, the substrate specificity of AtLPLA could be relaxed and allow the usage of other donor substrates than octanoyl-NMP or lipoyl-NMP.

To exclude bias by unknown *E. coli* enzymes, we tested in vitro how efficiently AtLPLA can attach 14C-labeled octanoic acid to purified recombinant plant target proteins (apoH-protein and apoPDH-E2) and to extracted bacterial target proteins, all produced in the lipoylation-deficient strain TM137 to ensure that they are unmodified apoproteins. Because it was not possible to identify CTD, LPLB, or LAE homologs in plant protein databases, we produced recombinant bovine LAE (Fujiwara et al., 2001; Hasse et al., 2009) in TM137 to avoid contamination by *E. coli* LpLa and LipB for use as the octanoyl/lipoate-activating helper enzyme, which has highest activity with GTP and lower activity with ATP. This experiment clearly demonstrated that AtLPLA is an octanoyl-protein ligase, but its activity depends on the supply of octanoyl-GMP or octanoyl-AMP (ATP signals were visible but much weaker). The control with the bifunctional *E. coli* LpLa produced signals for both bacterial apoE2 proteins, whereas LAE alone produced only some likely unspecific minor signals. It is also clear that AtLPLA cannot octanoylate bacterial apoKGDH-E2, at least with octanoyl-NMP, which is in line with our observation that succinate supplementation results in a higher growth enhancement than the addition of acetate to AtLPLA-expressing TM137. In the context of target protein specificity, it is interesting that the smaller of two lipoate ligases of apicomplexan parasites, such as the malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum*, PfLipL2, is more similar to plant LPLAs than the somewhat larger PfLip1 and also shows a distinct if not exclusive preference for *E. coli* PDH-E2 (Allary et al., 2007).

Collectively, these data demonstrate that LPLA from Arabidopsis and other plants are octanoyl/lipoate protein ligases that require a separate enzyme for substrate activation and have a more restricted target protein spectrum in comparison with EcLPLA. We tested whether a chimERIC protein comprising the entire AtLPLA sequence fused to the C-terminal domain of *E. coli* LPLA (the last 85 amino acids) would show lipoylation or octanoylation activity without LAE, but these experiments were unsuccessful so far (data not shown). Bovine LAE has similarities to members of the superfamily of plant acyl-activating enzymes (Shockey et al., 2003; Souza et al., 2008), and it remains to be seen whether plant LAE can be identified in this group.

The role of LPLA in plants has not been examined before. Plants can get along very well without the mtKAS pathway of mitochondrial fatty acid biosynthesis (Ewald et al., 2007), at least in non-photosynthetic conditions. Hence, it was sensible to assume that, in the presence of LPLA, mitochondrial octanoyltransferase LIP2 would also be dispensable; however, this was not the case. We first attempted to select T-DNA insertion knockout lines for LPLA and LIP2. Only a few such lines are available in public seed stocks for LPLA and LIP2 and, to our knowledge, none for LIP1. In repeated trials, we failed to produce homozygous knockout lines for both enzymes but observed aberrant seeds in a 1:3 segregation ratio in siliques of selfed heterozygous individuals. These seeds were homozygous, which demonstrates that plants cannot tolerate the deletion of either enzyme, at least during seed development. Similarly, RNAi plants with lower levels of LPLA were heavily impaired in normal air (data not shown), and their performance was not improved in high-CO2 conditions. Slow leaf and root growth and purple leaves indicated heavy metabolic damage and severe stress. The considerably elevated leaf contents of Pro, which is a stress response indicator (Kavi Kishor and Sreenivasulu, 2014), also point in that direction. Lower LIP2 activity in a corresponding set of LIP2 RNAi lines was distinctly better tolerated, even with LIP2 contents that were below the detection limit by immunoblotting.

Concerning the lipoylation of target proteins in plants, less LPLA caused progressively reduced levels of PDH-E2 lipoylation in leaves and roots of Arabidopsis, whereas KGKDHE2 lipoylation was barely affected in leaves but clearly reduced in roots. H-protein lipoylation was essentially unaltered in leaves and roots of LPLA RNAi plants. We also examined the levels of total PDH-E2; the observed alterations (slightly more in leaves and less in roots) were uncorrelated to the changes in the lipoylation profiles. As is seen in the changes of leaf metabolite levels shown in Table II, altered lipoylation profiles translate into altered metabolism, with minor adjustments of the photorespiratory cycle and more distinct perturbations in the tricarboxylic acid cycle. Given the possible operation of different flux modes of the tricarboxylic acid cycle, it is quite difficult to discriminate which of the observed changes are due to an effect on PDH and which on an effect on KGKDHE. That said, it seems likely that the changes in α-ketoglutarate and GABA levels are consequences of the partial KGKDHE knockdown, while the changes in fumarate, malate, and succinate could well be due to reduced activity of the PDH and the operation of the tricarboxylic acid cycle in the reverse direction (Rocha et al., 2010; Sweetlove et al., 2010).

The lower total PDH-E2 content in roots indicates higher protein degradation in these highly stressed plants, which to some extent could bias our immunoblotting assessment of protein lipoylation in this particular case. In summary, the changes in protein lipoylation in LPLA RNAi plants show that LPLA is...
crucial not only for seed but also general plant development. Additionally, they confirm our view that LPLA activity is essential for apoPDH-E2 lipoylation and cannot be replaced by the mtKAS-LIP2 route. By contrast, apoKGDH-E2 lipoylation is not directly dependent on LPLA in leaf mitochondria but is clearly related to LPLA activity in roots. In combination, the high effects of the AtLPLA knockdown on apoPDH-E2 lipoylation in leaves and roots in comparison with minor (leaves) and distinct (roots) effects on apoKGDH lipoylation suggest two conclusions (Fig. 6). First, apoPDH-E2 is exclusively lipoylated by AtLPLA in leaves and roots. Second, apoKGDH-E2 is exclusively lipoylated by AtLPLA in roots but can become lipoylated via the mtKAS-LIP2 pathway in leaves. Additionally, the large knockdown effects on the E2 proteins in roots of LPLA RNAi plants are not accompanied by corresponding changes in H-protein lipoylation. This observation suggests that H-protein may be lipoylated via mtKAS-LIP2-LIP1 also in roots, with some degree of LIP2-mediated octanoyl transfer to the E2 proteins.

We have yet no explanation for why leaves of LPLA RNAi plants displayed a very characteristic discoloration from green to purple within 1 week after bolting. However, this striking phenotypic difference between the two sets of RNAi plants supports our view that AtLPLA and AtLIP2 contribute to different routes of mitochondrial protein lipoylation. Corresponding to the better health of LIP2 RNAi plants, the total PDH-E2 control showed no significant alteration relative to the wild type in leaves or roots. Also, alterations in protein lipoylation in plants were less pronounced in leaves, in which apoPDH-E2 and H-protein lipoylation were at wild-type levels despite a very considerable reduction in LIP2. The effects of low LIP2 contents on the lipoylation of root proteins were much stronger than in leaves and, notably, included H-protein. This result was somewhat unexpected, because H-protein is known to be lipoylated primarily via the de novo pathway, at least in leaf mitochondria, with their very high H-protein requirement of the photorespiratory pathway (Wada et al., 1997; Ewald et al., 2007). It appears that very low activities of LPLA or LIP2 mainly impair the lipoylation of leaf PDH-E2 or KGDH-E2, respectively, but are not limiting for H-protein lipoylation in leaves. This preferential lipoylation of H-protein in plant leaves is similar to protein lipoylation in yeast, where LIP2 preferentially octanoylates the H-protein, which then acts as an intermediate octanoyl donor to E2 proteins (Schonauer et al., 2009). A recent addition to this concept for yeast

Figure 6. Model of protein lipoylation in plant mitochondria. Given that protein lipoylation in roots is fully independent on fatty acid biosynthesis (Ewald et al., 2007), it is likely that H-protein acts as an octanoyl-relay protein, as in other organisms (Schonauer et al., 2009). The basal protein lipoylation network is supplemented by the mtKAS route in photorespiring organs (drawn in green). It will require in vitro studies to decide whether LIP2 can octanoylate KGDH-E2 with octanoyl-ACP as a donor. As yet, there is no evidence that the gray route starting from octanoyl-CoA operates in plants as it does in yeast (Hermes and Cronan, 2013).
is that octanoyl-ACP accumulates once all H-protein is octanoylated, triggering octanoyl-CoA synthesis by acyl-ACP:CoA transferase with subsequent octanoyl-CoA-dependent octanoylation of E2 proteins by LIP3 (Hermes and Cronan, 2013). Recent data on the orthologous human lipoyltransferase LIPT1 point in the same direction by the demonstration that LIPT1 mutations cause fatal PDH and KGDH deficiencies (Soreze et al., 2013). At present, some features of mtKAS knockout plants (Ewald et al., 2007) and the fact that AtLPLA requires octanoyl-NMP (this study) suggest that the lipoylation network at least of leaf mitochondria, with their very high H-protein content, may operate in a similar but still somewhat different manner. The major difference seems to be that mitochondrial fatty acid synthesis, although it also occurs in other organisms (Witkowski et al., 2007), has a very high capacity in photosynthesizing plant cells that can be used for KGDH-E2 lipoylation. It remains to be shown whether this occurs directly from octanoyl-ACP or indirectly via H-protein. The unimpaired lipoylation of root proteins in mtKAS knockout plants (Ewald et al., 2007), however, shows that the de novo pathway is not crucial but an adaptation to the specific lipoate requirements of the high-flux photorespiratory pathway in leaves, as suggested by Wada et al. (1997). LPLA together with LIP2 would then provide a more basal essential protein lipoylation network that operates independently in all plant cells.

As in other organisms, the substrate specificities of LIP2, LPLA, and obviously the elusive lipoate/octanoate-activating enzyme in plants are not exactly known. In accordance with the models proposed for yeast (Schonauer et al., 2009; Hermes and Cronan, 2013), several of our observations suggest that the significance of H-protein in plants could also go beyond its function during Gly decarboxylation and include functioning as an intermediate octanoyl donor to apoE2 proteins, particularly apoKGDH-E2. This hypothesis receives some support from our demonstration that only H-protein is fully lipoylated in wild-type leaf mitochondria but not in the E2 proteins (Fig. 5), but this provides no conclusive evidence. Roots of LIP2 RNAi plants were the only observed case were the levels of not only the examined holo-E2 proteins but also the lipoylated H-protein decreased progressively, which could be cautiously interpreted as an H-protein:apoKGDH-E2 donor:acceptor relationship. It is difficult to test models of yeast protein lipoylation in plants, because they are unable to survive without functional GDC (Engel et al., 2007). However, the perception of H-protein as an octanoyl/lipoyl donor protein in many organisms is quite sensible also in light of the fact that this protein is present even in organisms that have lost other GDC components (Spalding and Prigge, 2010). It is also interesting that a recently discovered novel kind of amidotransferase (LIPL) transfers octanoyl moieties from octanoyl-H-protein to E2 apoproteins in Bacillus subtilis (Christensen et al., 2011; Martin et al., 2011). Again, LIPL homologs are difficult to identify in plant protein databases, but this does not exclude that such enzymes could be present in plants. In light of these studies with other organisms and our own observation of fully lipoylated H-protein in combination with incomplete lipoylated E2 proteins in Arabidopsis RNAi plants, it is well possible but still needs to be proven by in vitro studies that H-protein could act as an intermediate donor of octanoyl or lipooyl groups in plants, too. This would require future evidence that AtLPLA cannot directly react with plant KGDH-E2, which would be different from LIP3 in yeast (Hermes and Cronan, 2013) and possibly LIPT1 in mammals (Soreze et al., 2013).

In conclusion, we have identified Arabidopsis LPLA as an essential mitochondrial enzyme that can use octanoyl-NMPs as the donor substrate to octanoylate apoPDH-E2 and apoH-proteins but shows no reactivity with bacterial and likely plant KGDH-E2. While octanoyl-GMP and octanoyl-AMP are substrates for LPLA in vitro, the octanoate-activating enzyme of plants is not known, and usage of other substrates is possible. In planta, LPLA is crucial for the lipoylation of apoPDH-E2, and this function cannot be replaced by the mtKAS route. H-protein and KGDH-E2 are mostly lipoylated via the mtKAS-LIP2-LIP1 route in leaves. Given that protein lipoylation is mtKAS independent in roots (Ewald et al., 2007), these two proteins are also lipoylated via the LPLA-LIP2-LIP1 sequence of reactions, in which H-protein likely acts as an octanoyl donor to apoKGDH-E2. We assume that the required octanoyl chains are provided by the β-oxidation of imported of acyl-CoA entities (Masterton and Wood, 2000, 2009). The likely events on a basal lipoylation route in plants would then be octanoylation of PDH-E2 and H-protein by LPLA followed by octanoyl transfer from H-protein to KGDH-E2, as illustrated in Figure 6.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plants and Growth Conditions

Wild-type Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) ecotype Col-0 and T-DNA insertion lines (Sessions et al., 2002; Alonso et al., 2003) for AtLPLA (SALK_003427) and AtLIP2 (SAIL_662_H11 and SAIL_1229_F08) were obtained from the Nottingham Arabidopsis Stock Centre (http://nasc.nott.ac.uk). Plants were grown under a 10-h-light/14-h-dark (22°C/18°C) cycle at 100 to 150 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ in controlled environment chambers.

Reverse Transcription-PCR Studies

Complementary DNA (cDNA) was obtained from total RNA purified from different Arabidopsis organs harvested in the middle of the light period (Nucleospin RNA Plant Kit [Macherey-Nagel] and RevertAid H minus cDNA synthesis kit [MBI Fermentas]). AtLPLA transcripts were amplified by PCR using primers AtLPLA-S2 (R1062) and AtLPLA-A (R1068). All primer sequences used in this work are shown in Supplemental Table S1. For AtLIP2 transcripts, primers AtLIP2-S (R062) and AtLIP2-A (R063) were used. Transcripts from the constitutively expressed gene At2g09990 encoding the 40S ribosomal protein S16 (primers R176 and R177) were used for calibration.

Genotyping of T-DNA Mutants for AtLPLA and AtLIP2

Genomic DNA from leaves of the T-DNA lines SALK_003427 (lipa-1) and SAIL_662_H11 (lip2-1) was subjected to standard PCR (Master Mix; Qiagen).
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with primers specific for the left border (R741 for SALK T-DNA and R1044 for SAIL T-DNA) and gene-specific primers for AtLPLA (P189) and AtLIP2 (R892). The obtained fragments were directly sequenced to verify the insertion sites. Zygosity of the mutations was tested with gene-specific primer pairs (AtLPLA, P189; AtLIP2, R892/R893) encompassing the respective T-DNA insertion. Genotyping of SAIL_1229_F8 revealed the absence of a T-DNA insertion in the AtLIP2 gene. Genomic DNA from seeds was isolated by grinding three young aborted or wild-type seeds in 10 μL of 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8) followed by incubation at 95°C for 10 min. One microliter of the supernatant obtained after centrifugation was used as a template for PCR as above.

**RNAi Lines**

PCR amplificates of the AtLIP2 cDNA comprising nucleotides 533 to 844 flanked by BamHI and SalI sites (sense orientation; primers P129 and P310) or XhoI and BglII sites (antisense orientation; primers P132 and P131), respectively, were ligated into corresponding cloning sites downstream (sense fragment) and upstream (antisense fragment) of the GA 20-oxidase intron in vector pUC-RNAi (Chen et al., 2003). The same procedure was used to prepare the AtLPLA RNAi construct by using primers R1062 and P223 (sense) and primers P222 and P224 (antisense). The entire RNAi cassettes were excised and inserted into vector pGREEN0029 via the pSil site just to add flanking XhoI and HindIII sites for subsequent ligation into the pGREEN cauliflower mosaic virus vector. The new cassettes, now including left and right borders, were PCR amplified with primers R1069 and R1070 and inserted into the Nol site of pGREEN0179 (Supplemental Fig. S7). This vector was used to transform Arabidopsis Col-0 (Clough and Bent, 1998) by using Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain TM137 (Ewald et al., 2014) via XhoI HisA (Invitrogen) via BglII I-S2, P463; AIPDHDea-Sal1-S2, P464; introducing terminal Sacl and SalI restriction sites). The coding sequence for the mature Arabidopsis H-protein (At2g33570; GLDH1) was amplified by RT-PCR (primers AGLDH1- BglII-SacI; P226/P215; AGLDH1-SalI-SacI; P214/P215; introducing terminal Sacl and SalI restriction sites). The amplificates were ligated into pASK-IBA7 plus. The three additional expression vectors and the pBAD-HisA/LPLA vector above were transformed into TM137. Transformed TM137 cells were grown in Luria-Bertani medium supplemented with 5 μg each of sodium acetate and sodium succinate and antibiotics as above (ampicillin, kanamycin, and tetracycline). Expression was induced by the addition of 200 μg L−1 anhydrotetracycline. After 12 h of shaking at 20°C, cells were collected (10 min, 6000g, and 4°C), resuspended in 20 mL sodium phosphate (pH 7.8 and 4°C), and disrupted by sonication (Braun Labsonic U, 50 W; four 15-s bursts). The extracts were cleared by centrifugation (20,000g, 30 min, and 4°C), and proteins were identified by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting using antibodies against the LA epitopes or the His tag as described below.

**Production of AtLPLA, LAE, apoPDH-E2, and apoH-Protein for Enzymatic Studies**

Bonev liver cDNA (obtained from Dr. Thorsten Viergut) was used to amplify the mature LAE open reading frame by PCR (primers P474 and P475, including BamHI and HindIII restriction sites). A 220-amino acid fragment of the PDH-E2a subunit (At5g22020) was amplified by RT-PCR (primers AtPDHDea-SacI-S2, P463; AIPDHDea-Sal1-S2, P464; introducing terminal Sacl and SalI restriction sites). The coding sequence for the mature Arabidopsis H-protein (At2g33570; GLDH1) was amplified by RT-PCR (primers AGLDH1- BglII-SacI; P226/P215; AGLDH1-SalI-SacI; P214/P215; introducing terminal Sacl and SalI restriction sites). The amplificates were ligated into pASK-IBA7 plus. These three additional expression vectors and the pBAD-HisA/LPLA vector above were transformed into TM137. Transformed TM137 cells were grown in Luria-Bertani medium supplemented with 5 μg each of sodium acetate and sodium succinate and antibiotics as above (ampicillin, kanamycin, and tetracycline). Expression was induced by the addition of 200 μg L−1 anhydrotetracycline. After 12 h of shaking at 20°C, cells were collected (10 min, 6000g, and 4°C), resuspended in 20 mL sodium phosphate (pH 7.8 and 4°C), and disrupted by sonication (Braun Labsonic U, 50 W; four 15-s bursts). The extracts were cleared by centrifugation (20,000g, 30 min, and 4°C), and proteins were identified by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting using antibodies against the LA epitopes or the His tag as described below.

**Lipoylation Activity of LPLA**

Lipoylation activity was tested in 100-μL assays containing 10/10 mM Tris/MOPS (pH 7.5), 5 mM MgSO₄, 5 mM GTP (the preferred substrate of LAE; Forman et al., 2003) or 5 mM ATP, 0.4 mM dithiothreitol, and 0.39 mM (14C) octanoic acid (0.1 μCi mL⁻¹; Sigma-Aldrich). Target apo- proteins were 10 μg of Arabidopsis H-protein (GLDH1), 5 μg of Arabidopsis PDH-E2a polypeptide, or 50 μg of E. coli TM137 soluble protein. A total of 2.5 μg of AtLPLA plus or minus 0.5 μg of LAE or 2.5 μg of E. coli LplA (Hasse et al., 2009) was added as indicated, and the reaction mixture was incubated for 12 h at 28°C. After stopping the reaction after 10 min at 95°C, 20-μL aliquots were spotted on 12% (w/v) denaturing polyacrylamide gels. Gels were dried onto Whatman filter paper, and 14C-labeled proteins were detected by autoradiography using Hyperfilm ECL (GE Healthcare, Amersham).

For in vitro lipoylation of Arabidopsis mitochondrial proteins, 20 μg of matrix protein (Keech et al., 2005) was incubated with 5 μg of recombinant E. coli LPLA in 100 μL of buffer containing 100 mM MOPS and 100 μM Tris (pH 7.5), 100 mM MgSO₄, 5 mM ATP, and 0.4 mM dithiothreitol, saturating for E. coli LPLA for 16 h (Hasse et al., 2009). As the control, 20 μg of mitochondrial matrix proteins was incubated without LA and E. coli LPLA. Assays were stopped by heating for 10 min at 95°C. Twenty-microliter aliquots of untreated and E. coli LPLA-treated samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by immunoblotting as described below.

**Protein Extraction and Immunoblotting**

Arabidopsis leaf and root extracts were prepared by grinding 100 mg of tissue in 200 μL of ice-cold 75 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) containing 1.5 mM EDTA, 1 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride, 0.2 mM Pefabloc (Roht), and 2 mM NaH₂SO₄. Homogenates were cleared by centrifugation (20,000g, 10 min, and 4°C). Mitochondria were isolated from wild-type Arabidopsis rosette leaves

---

**Reference:** Ewald et al. (2014). Copyright © 2014 American Society of Plant Biologists. All rights reserved.

---


---

988

---

Downloaded from on October 15, 2017 - Published by www.plantphysiol.org

---

CopyRight © 2014 American Society of Plant Biologists. All rights reserved.
according to Keech et al. (2005) and resuspended in a buffer containing 0.3 M Suc, 10 mM TES-KOH (pH 7.5), 10 mM potassium phosphate, and 2 mM EDTA. Matrix extracts were prepared by exposure of purified mitochondria to four freeze-thaw cycles, followed by centrifugation at 44,000g for 30 min.

Ten micromolars of total protein per lane (Bradford, 1976) was separated on 12% (w/v) denaturing polyacrylamide gels (Laemmli, 1970; Wittig et al., 2006) and electrotransferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membrane. For immunodetection, we used affinity-purified antibodies against LA (monoclonal anti-lipoate IgG at 1:4,000 dilution; Calbiochem), Arabidopsis LIP2 (1:500), Arabidopsis LPLA (1:500), the T-protein subunit of potato GDC (Solanum tuberosum) GDC (1:2,000), the H-protein subunit of Flaviera trinervia potato (GDC-H; 1:2,000), and the two subunits of tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) Rubisco (1:5,000 dilution) in combination with a horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-rabbit immunoglobulin (Bio-Rad). An anti-His horseradish peroxidase conjugate (Milenyi Biotec) was used to immunodetect His-tagged proteins.

Transient Expression in Tobacco Protoplasts

The 5′ end of AtLPLA cDNA encoding the first 102 amino acids was PCR amplified by using the primer pair P496/P505. The fragment was ligated into the pGFP-2 vector (Wendt et al., 2000) via the introduced Xhol and XhoI restriction sites to generate plasmid pGFP2-LPLA for transient transformation of tobacco protoplasts. Isolation of tobacco protoplasts was done according to Wendt et al. (2000). Plasmid DNA was introduced and fluorescence was detected as described recently (Ewald et al., 2014).

Metabolite Analysis

For metabolite analysis by gas chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry, LPLA RNAi plants were grown as described above. Samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C as recommended by Fernie et al. (2006). A total of 50 mg was used for metabolite extraction, derivatization, and analysis as described by Lisec et al. (2006).

Supplemental Data

The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

Supplemental Figure S1. Expression and transcriptional coregulation by electronic northern data.

Supplemental Figure S2. Phylogenetic relations between enzymes involved in protein lipoylation.

Supplemental Figure S3. Alignment of LPLAs including bifunctional LPLAs with C-terminal extensions.

Supplemental Figure S4. Phylogenetic relations between enzymes involved in protein lipoylation.

Supplemental Figure S5. Lack of complementation of TMI37 cells transformed with pBHD-HisA-AtLPLA on M9 minimal medium.

Supplemental Figure S6. Arabidopsis T-DNA insertion knockout lines for LIP2 and LPLA.

Supplemental Figure S7. Strategy for setting up the RNAi constructs.

Supplemental Table S1. Primers used in this work (introduced restriction sites are underlined).

Supplemental Table S2. Leaf metabolite profiling of wild-type and LPLA RNAi plants (fold change).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Ursula Bawe (University of Rostock) for technical assistance, Dr. Dirk Hasse (Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences) for discussions, Dr. Thorsten Viergutz (Leibniz Institute for Farm Animal Biology) for bovine liver cDNA, and Ellriede K. Pistorius (University Bielefeld) for anti-RBCL antibody.

Received February 21, 2014; accepted May 28, 2014; published May 28, 2014.

LITERATURE CITED


Copyright © 2014 American Society of Plant Biologists. All rights reserved.