Chlorophyllase (CLH) is a common plant enzyme that catalyzes the hydrolysis of chlorophyll to form chlorophyllide, a more hydrophilic derivative. For more than a century, the biological role of CLH has been controversial, although this enzyme has been often considered to catalyze chlorophyll catabolism during stress-induced chlorophyll breakdown. In this study, we found that the absence of CLH does not affect chlorophyll breakdown in intact leaf tissue in the absence or the presence of methyljasmonate, which is known to enhance stress-induced chlorophyll breakdown. Fractionation of cellular membranes shows that Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) CLH is located in the endoplasmic reticulum and the tonoplast of intact plant cells. These results indicate that CLH is not involved in endogenous chlorophyll catabolism. Instead, we found that CLH promotes chlorophyllide formation upon disruption of leaf cells, or when it is artificially mistargeted to the chloroplast. These results indicate that CLH is responsible for chlorophyllide formation after the collapse of cells, which led us to hypothesize that chlorophyllide formation might be a process of defense against chewing herbivores. We found that Arabidopsis leaves with genetically enhanced CLH activity exhibit toxicity when fed to Spodoptera litura larvae, an insect herbivore. In addition, purified chlorophyllide partially suppresses the growth of the larvae. Taken together, these results support the presence of a unique binary defense system against insect herbivores involving chlorophyllide and CLH. Potential mechanisms of chlorophyllide action for defense are discussed.

Plants have evolved both constitutive and inducible defense mechanisms against herbivores. Constitutive mechanisms include structural defenses (e.g. spines and trichomes) and specific chemical compounds. Constitutive defense mechanisms provide immediate protection against herbivore attacks, although they represent an energy investment by the plant regardless of whether herbivory occurs or not (Mauricio, 1998; Bekaert et al., 2012). By contrast, inducible defense mechanisms do not require an up-front energy cost, although such mechanisms may not be as immediate as constitutive ones when herbivore feeding occurs (Windram et al., 2012). Accordingly, plants exhibit both constitutive and inducible defense mechanisms against herbivory to balance the speed and cost of response. In this regard, it is plausible that the recruitment of abundant primary metabolites for defensive purposes might represent a substantial benefit to plants, providing both a swift and economical defense function.

Toxic chemical compounds form an essential part in both constitutive and inducible defense mechanisms. However, these compounds are potentially a double-edged sword for plants, in a sense that they might pose toxic effects for both plants and herbivores. Plants have evolved an intricate binary system that prevents auto-intoxication by their own chemical compounds. Specifically, a toxic substance is stored in its inactive form and is spatially isolated from specific activating enzymes. These enzymes activate the substance when cells are disrupted by chewing herbivores (Saunders and Conn, 1978; Thayer and Conn, 1981; Morant et al., 2008). One of the most extensively studied binary defense systems is the glucosinolate/myrosinase system, in which the glucosinolate substrate and their hydrolyzing enzyme, a thioglucosidase myrosinase, are compartmentalized. Upon tissue damage, both the substrate and the enzyme
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come into contact to produce unstable aglycones, and various toxic compounds are then spontaneously produced (Bones and Rossiter, 1996). Another well-known example of the binary system is comprised of cyanogenic glucosides and $\beta$-glucosidase (Vetter, 2000; Mithöfer and Boland, 2012). In this system, nontoxic cyanogenic glycoside compounds are stored in the vacuole, whereas, the related glucosidase is localized in the cytoplasm. Upon cell destruction by chewing herbivores, the cyanogenic glucosides are hydrolyzed by glucosidase to yield unstable cyanohydrin that is either spontaneously or enzymatically converted into toxic hydrogen cyanide and a ketone or an aldehyde. Because the binary defense system is efficient and effective, a use of ubiquitous compounds for such systems would provide further benefits for plants.

Tetrapyrrole compounds, in particular heme and chlorophyll, are abundant in plant cells. Despite their significant roles in various biological processes including photosynthesis and respiration, many tetrapyrroles are highly toxic to plant and animal cells, if present in excess amounts (Kruse et al., 1995; Meskauskiene et al., 2001). Their photodynamic properties can cause the generation of reactive oxygen species upon illumination, resulting in cell injury or direct cell death. For example, Tapper et al. (1975) showed that a tetrapyrrole compound (pheophorbide $a$), which is readily converted from dietary chlorophyll through the loss of magnesium and phytol, reduces the growth and survival rates of young albino rats through its photodynamic property. More recently, Jonker et al. (2002) demonstrated that dietary-derived pheophorbide $a$ causes severe damages

Figure 1. Early steps of proposed chlorophyll breakdown pathways. MCS, Magnesium-dechelating substance.

Figure 2. MeJA promotes chlorophyll degradation in the clh mutants as well as in the wild type (WT). Chlorophyll degradation in leaves of Arabidopsis wild-type, clh1-1, clh1-1/clh2-2, and pph-3 plants after 4 d of dark-induced leaf senescence in the absence (B and E) or presence (C and F) of 50 $\mu$M MeJA, compared with chlorophyll levels in leaves prior to the induction of leaf senescence (A and D). Leaf images are shown at top (A–C), and chlorophyll levels of the corresponding leaves are shown at bottom (D–F). Leaf numbers are counted from the bottom (oldest) to top (youngest) of the plant. For chlorophyll measurement, the oldest leaves (nos. 11 and 12) and the youngest leaves (nos. 1 and 2) were pooled prior to chlorophyll extraction. Data represent the mean ± so of three biological replicates.
on the skin of mutant mice that lack a transporter to excrete pheophorbide a from cells. These studies indicate that incorporation of an excessive amount of tetrapyrrole compounds can induce photosensitization in animals. Previous studies also showed that tetrapyrroles have illumination-independent deleterious effects on insects. For example, pheophorbide a affected the assimilation of the plant sterols to synthesize developmental hormones of insects by inhibiting the activity of a key enzyme, cholesterol acyltransferase (Song et al., 2002). Moreover, some tetrapyrroles, including pheophorbide a, have been suggested to induce illumination-independent cell death in plants as well by an unknown mechanism (Hirashima et al., 2009). It is proposed that organisms use the toxicity of tetrapyrroles for their defense systems. The larvae of tortoise beetle (Chelymorpha alternans) even utilize pheophorbide a as a powerful deterrent in the fecal shield to protect themselves from their predators (Vencl et al., 2009). Kariola et al. (2005) suggested that a chlorophyll derivative, chlorophyllide, is involved in the defense against fungi, based on their observations that down-regulation of a chlorophyll-hydrolyzing enzyme, chlorophyllase (CLH), results in increased susceptibility of Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) plants to the necrotrophic fungus Alternaria brassicicola.

Figure 3. Subcellular localization of Arabidopsis CLH1. A, CLH1-yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) fusion protein (green) was detected outside of chloroplasts (red) in leaf protoplasts prepared from plants constitutively expressing CLH1-YFP (left). For comparison, protoplasts were prepared from transgenic plants expressing GFP-AT1G05320 (ER marker, middle) or GFP-δ-tonoplast intrinsic protein (TIP; tonoplast marker, right). B, Leaf tissue was fractionated into membrane, soluble, intact chloroplast, and vacuole fractions for the analysis of CLH1 localization as described in “Materials and Methods.” Total leaf, chloroplast, and vacuole fractions were prepared from both the wild type (WT) and the clh1 mutant, and the membrane and soluble fractions were prepared from the wild type only. From membrane/soluble, leaf, chloroplast, and vacuolar samples, 2, 12, 12, and 4 μg of protein, respectively, were loaded on an SDS-PAGE gel. The blotted membrane was then immunologically detected with anti-CLH1, anti-V-PPase, anti-lumenal-binding protein2 (BiP2), and anti-LHCII type I chlorophyll a/b-binding protein (Lhcb1) antisera. C, Cellular membranes were separated into 20 fractions by Suc density gradient centrifugation of microsomal fractions in the presence (+Mg2+) or absence of Mg2+ (−Mg2+). Odd-numbered fractions were analyzed by immunoblotting with anti-CLH1, anti-H+-ATPase, anti-BiP2, and anti-V-PPase antibodies. Thylakoid membrane concentrations are represented by relative chlorophyll contents in each fraction. PM, Plasma membrane. Bars = 10 μm.
In this study, we examined the possibility that plants use tetrapyrroles for defense against herbivores by analyzing CLH, a well-known hydrolase common in plants. Chlorophyll consists of a tetrapyrrolic macrocycle and a hydrophobic phytol side chain (Fig. 1). Phytol hydrolysis results in the formation of chlorophyllide (Fig. 1), a less hydrophobic chlorophyll derivative, which has photochemical properties similar to chlorophyll. Two different plant enzymes are known to catalyze the cleavage of phytol, pheophytinase (PPH) and CLH. PPH is a chloroplast-located enzyme that specifically catalyzes the removal of phytol from Mg-free chlorophyll catabolites (Schelbert et al., 2009). This enzyme was only recently discovered and has been shown to be responsible for chlorophyll degradation during leaf senescence. By contrast, CLH has a broader substrate specificity and removes the side chain from chlorophyll or other chlorophyll derivatives (McFeeters et al., 1971). CLH activity was first reported in leaf extracts in 1913 (Willstätter and Stoll, 1913), but despite a century of research, in vivo function and intracellular localization of this enzyme remained controversial. Some reports have indicated CLH to localize to chloroplasts (Azoulay Shemer et al., 2008; Azoulay-Shemer et al., 2011), while Schenk et al. (2007), by examining the intracellular localization of transiently expressed CLH-GFP fusions, proposed Arabidopsis CLH to localize outside the chloroplast. Schenk et al. (2007) also reported that the lack of CLH does not affect chlorophyll degradation during leaf senescence. However, it remains possible that CLH is specifically involved in chlorophyll degradation in response to stresses that activate jasmonate signaling, such as wounding or pathogen attack. This hypothesis is based on the observation that the expression of a CLH gene was highest when methyl-jasmonate (MeJA; a derivative of jasmonic acid) was applied to Arabidopsis plants (Tsuchiya et al., 1999).

Here, we report that CLH is not involved in endogenous chlorophyll breakdown even when leaf senescence was promoted by jasmonate signaling. CLH is shown to localize to the chlorophyll-free endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and the tonoplast of intact plant cells. We found that CLH promotes the conversion of chlorophyll into chlorophyllide when leaf cells are disrupted or when CLH is genetically mislocalized to chloroplasts. To examine the possibility that plants use chlorophyll and CLH to form a binary defense system against herbivores, a generalist herbivore, Spodoptera litura larvae, was employed to investigate the toxicity of Arabidopsis leaves with genetically enhanced CLH activity and purified chlorophyllide. The results support our hypothesis, indicating plants to deploy an abundant photosynthetic pigment for defense against herbivores, which would be economic and provide adaptation benefits to plants. A potential mechanism of chlorophyllide action as part of the plant defense system is discussed based on the examination of chlorophyllide binding to the insect gut.

RESULTS

CLH Is Not Involved in MeJA-Promoted Chlorophyll Degradation

To better understand the biological role of CLH, we first determined whether CLH is required for chlorophyll breakdown that occurs under MeJA-induced stress conditions. Although the genome of Arabidopsis

Figure 4. Mistargeting of CLH1 to chloroplasts. A, The PPH<sub>TP</sub>-CLH1 construct is composed of an estradiol-inducible promoter, the 5′ end of PPH encoding the chloroplast transit peptide (PPH<sub>TP</sub>), the full-length cDNA of CLH1 without its start codon, and a human influenza hemagglutinin (HA)-tag B to D. Leaves of Arabidopsis lines harboring either the activator unit only as control (XVE, which denotes the DNA-binding domain of the bacterial repressor LexA [X], the acidic transactivating domain of VP16 [V], and the carboxyl region of the human estrogen receptor [E]) or both the activator and the responder unit (PPH<sub>TP</sub>-CLH1) were treated with estradiol for the indicated times in the dark. B, Immunoblot analysis of CLH1 protein in the XVE- and PPH<sub>TP</sub>-CLH1-expressing leaves. Note that the top signal also seen in XVE is unspecific. C, Accumulation of chlorophyllide a after induction of chloroplast-localizing CLH1. Data are mean ± SD of three biological replicates. D, Ion leakage as a measure for cell death after reexposure to light of estradiol-treated leaves for 2 h. Data are mean ± SD of six biological replicates.
encodes two isoforms of CLH, CLH1 (AT1G19670.1) and CLH2 (AT5G43860.1), CLH1 represents the majority of detectable CLH activity in Arabidopsis (Supplemental Fig. S1A; Schenk et al., 2007). Wild-type and mutant Arabidopsis plants that lack CLH1 or both isoforms of CLH were treated with MeJA in the dark. The CLH1 protein was present before plants were transferred to darkness, and CLH1 levels increased within the first 4 d in the dark and later decreased again (Supplemental Fig. S1B). MeJA treatment enhanced the CLH1 protein level at the second and fourth day in the dark (Supplemental Fig. S1B). However, despite the increased CLH levels in MeJA-treated plants, chlorophyll breakdown was indistinguishable between wild-type, clh1, and clh1/clh2 mutant plants (Fig. 2). By contrast, chlorophyll degradation was significantly delayed in an Arabidopsis mutant that lacks PPH, known to be associated with chlorophyll catabolism (Schelbert et al., 2009). These data indicate that PPH, not CLH1, is responsible for the majority of MeJA-enhanced chlorophyll breakdown, even though CLH1 is highly induced by MeJA.

CLH1 Is Localized to the Tonoplast and the ER

The intracellular localization of CLH1 was analyzed in transgenic Arabidopsis plants constitutively expressing a fusion of CLH1 with YFP. Our observations indicate that the YFP signal was localized outside of chloroplasts that emitted red chlorophyll autofluorescence but was located in vesicular structures that resemble the ER or the tonoplast (Fig. 3A). The membrane and tonoplast localization of native CLH1 was subsequently confirmed by subcellular fractionation in wild-type Arabidopsis plants using an anti-CLH1 antiserum (Fig. 3B). Cellular membrane fractions were further fractionated by Suc density gradient centrifugation and analyzed for the localization of CLH1 (Fig. 3C). During Suc density gradient centrifugation, rough ER membranes aggregate in the presence of Mg^{2+}, causing migration to heavier density portions in the gradient (Oka et al., 2010; Wulfetange et al., 2011). CLH1 distribution shifted from lighter portions (fractions 5–13) in the absence of Mg^{2+} to heavier portions (fractions 11–17) of the Suc gradient in the presence of Mg^{2+}. The distribution of the ER marker (BiP2 protein) showed two peaks (fractions 5 and 13; Fig. 3C) in the absence of Mg^{2+}, but the lighter peak appeared to shift to heavier portions of the Suc gradient in the presence of Mg^{2+}. This shift appears to correlate with the localization of CLH1 (Fig. 3C). Additionally, CLH1 distribution partly overlapped with that of a tonoplast marker, pyrophosphate-energized vacuolar membrane proton pump1 (V-PPase; Fig. 3C). By contrast, the distribution of chlorophyll or a plasma membrane marker (plasma membrane proton-translocating adenosine triphosphatase [H^+-ATPase]) was distinct from CLH1 (Fig. 3C). MeJA treatment of leaves did not change CLH1 distribution in the Suc gradient fractions (Supplemental Fig. S2). Taken together, these data indicate that CLH1 is localized at the ER and the tonoplast.

Chlorophyllide Is Formed Only When CLH and Chlorophyll Are Forced to Contact Each Other

Based on these results, we hypothesized that CLH1 is involved in defense responses and that it catalyzes chlorophyllide formation upon cell disruption, a mechanism that might be similar to binary defense systems in which an enzyme (such as β-glucosidase) locating in a certain cellular compartment activates a chemical defense compound (such as cyanogenic glycosides) stored in another compartment upon cell disintegration by

Figure 5. Chlorophyllide formation after mechanical disintegration of leaves. The leaves of the Arabidopsis wild type (WT), clh1-1, and three independent transgenic lines overexpressing CLH1-YFP (nos. 5, 13, and 15) were disrupted as it was described in “Materials and Methods.” A, Chlorophyll a (Chl a) and chlorophyllide a (Chlide a) contents in leaf homogenates. B, Values are given as the ratio of Chlide a to Chl a + Chlide a. Data points represent the mean ± so of five biological replicates. FW, Fresh weight.
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herbivores (Vetter, 2000; Mithöfer and Boland, 2012). To verify the hypothesis that spatial contact between CLH1 and thylakoid-embedded chlorophyll in the same organelle is sufficient to induce chlorophyllide formation, transgenic wild-type plants were produced that mistarget CLH1 to chloroplasts after estradiol induction. Induction of chloroplast-localizing CLH1 rapidly caused formation of chlorophyllide and resulted in the death of the induced tissue upon illumination (Fig. 4; Supplemental Fig. S3).

Subsequently, chlorophyllide formation was analyzed after mechanical disruption of cellular integrity of leaves to determine if CLH actively produces chlorophyllide under conditions that mimic cell disruption by herbivores. Sixty minutes after wild-type leaf disruption, a substantial portion of chlorophyll (10% of total chlorophyll) was converted to chlorophyllide, while chlorophyllide formation was further increased to nearly 25% of the total chlorophyll level in leaves of three independent CLH1-YFP-overexpressing lines but was almost absent in clh1-1 (Fig. 5). These results imply that large amounts of chlorophyllide could be produced by CLH1 when cells are disrupted by leaf-chewing herbivores.

**Leaves with Genetically Enhanced CLH Activity Exhibit Toxicity to *S. litura* Larvae**

To examine the possible contribution of CLH1 to herbivory defense mechanisms, leaves from wild-type, clh1-1, and CLH1-YFP-overexpressing plants were fed to *S. litura* larvae, a generalist insect that feeds on a wide range of plants. Five freshly hatched larvae were fed on single plants of each line for 11 d. Severely eaten plants were replaced by fresh ones every 2 or 3 d (Supplemental Fig. S4). Approximately 10% of larvae that were fed leaves from the overexpressing plants were dead after 11 d of feeding, while nearly all larvae fed on wild-type or clh1-1 leaves survived (Fig. 6A). These results indicate that the increased CLH1 activity in transgenic plants (Fig. 5) caused a toxic effect on larvae. Apparently, CLH1 activity in the wild type was not high enough to kill larvae.

**Purified Chlorophyllide Shows Toxicity to *S. litura* Larvae**

To assess whether the effect of CLH1 overexpression on larval survival was due to chlorophyllide formation, purified chlorophyll, chlorophyllide, or pheophorbide, a Mg-free derivative of chlorophyllide known to be toxic to animals (Tapper et al., 1975; Jonker et al., 2002) was administered to a total of about 200 *S. litura* larvae for 11 d by mixing the compounds with a commercially available artificial diet (Fig. 6B; Supplemental Table S1; Supplemental Fig. S5). The larvae were divided into five groups, and each group of larvae was administered with artificial diet containing only solvent (0.1% [w/w] dimethylformamide), 400 nmol g⁻¹ chlorophyll, 200 nmol g⁻¹ chlorophyllide, 400 nmol g⁻¹ chlorophyllide, or 400 nmol g⁻¹ pheophorbide, respectively. Wild-type Arabidopsis leaves contain approximately 2 μmol chlorophyll g⁻¹ fresh weight, and thus the administrated pigment concentrations corresponded to 10% to 20% of leaf chlorophyll content. Administration of the photosynthetic pigments significantly affected the survival and growth of larvae, as the differences between treatments were detected with the Kruskal-Wallis test (*P < 0.01*). Administration of chlorophyll did not impact the survival rates of larvae, while both chlorophyllide and pheophorbide slightly reduced the survival rate of larvae. Analysis with a Fisher’s exact test confirmed a marginally significant difference in the survival rates between treatments (*P = 0.085*). Administration of chlorophyll-containing diet allowed 80% of the larvae to develop to the fourth instar (Fig. 6B). By contrast, larval development was significantly reduced by administration of 400 nmol g⁻¹ chlorophyllide a (Fig. 6B; Supplemental
Table S2). Specifically, only 60% of the larvae reached the fourth instar, while approximately 20% of the larvae were killed and an increased population remained in the first or second instar with this treatment. These results indicate that both chlorophyllide and pheophorbide exert toxic effects on larvae, although the toxicity of pheophorbide was only marginally significant in our experiments.

Chlorophyllide Is Preferentially Bound to the Midgut of Silkworm

The mechanism(s) of how chlorophyllide exerts toxicity to *S. litura* larvae is not clear at this stage. A possibility is that chlorophyllide interacts with certain proteins to inhibit their functions in the larval gut. To explore this possibility, we examined pigment compositions in larval midguts. For this purpose, silkworm (*Bombyx mori*) larvae were used instead of *S. litura*, because the size of *S. litura* larvae is too small to technologically allow the analysis of pigments in their midguts. Accordingly, we fed leaves of mulberry (*Morus alba*) instead of Arabidopsis, because silkworms only feed on mulberry leaves. To examine the effect of CLH activity on pigment binding to the insect gut, we reared silkworm larvae for 26 d by feeding fresh mulberry leaves. After 26 d of feeding, larvae (on the fourth day of their fifth instar) were sacrificed to determine pigment composition in the midgut contents (partially digested food remained in the midgut), midgut tissue, and frass (Fig. 7). HPLC profiles showed that the chlorophyllide *a* (peak 2) contents were significantly lower than those of chlorophyll *a* (peak 7) in the midgut contents and frass. By contrast, chlorophyllide *a* contents in the midgut tissue were predominantly higher than those of chlorophyll *a* in the same tissue. The chlorophyllide *a* to chlorophyll *a* ratio in midgut tissue was approximately 8, while it was less than 0.05 in both midgut contents and frass (Fig. 7B). These results indicate that the midgut preferentially binds chlorophyllide.

**DISCUSSION**

We have demonstrated here that the major isoform of CLH, CLH1, is localized in the tonoplast and the ER (Fig. 3). When cellular membrane structures are disintegrated, CLH comes into contact with chlorophyll and converts it to chlorophyllide (Fig. 5). Arabidopsis leaves with genetically enhanced CLH activity as well as purified chlorophyllide shows toxicity toward the generalist herbivore *S. litura* (Fig. 6), implying that CLH and chlorophyll form a binary defense system against herbivores.

Tonoplast and ER localization of CLH1 in intact cells spatially segregates CLH1 from its substrate, chlorophyll. Other plant defense compounds, such as phenolic compounds (War et al., 2012) or glucosinolates (Saunders and Conn, 1978; Bones and Rossiter, 1996; Morant et al., 2008; War et al., 2012), are also compartmentalized distinct from the enzymes that activate
them. With regard to herbivory, such a binary defense system appears to be a common strategy in plants that prevents uncontrolled activation of toxic compounds, but enables their instant activation upon attack of chewing insect herbivores. Furthermore, utilizing a ubiquitous photosynthetic pigment for defense is cost efficient and may confer a selective advantage.

This and previous studies (Hu et al., 2013) show that disruption of plant cells renders chlorophyll accessible to CLH, which immediately begins to hydrolyze the pigment into chlorophyllide. Because chlorophyll hydrolysis is known to occur commonly in the gut of insect herbivores (Park et al., 2003; Badgaa et al., 2014), it is plausible that CLH continues to produce chlorophyllide as the ingested leaf tissue passes through the insect guts.

At this time, the precise mechanism regarding how chlorophyllide exerts toxicity for larvae remains unclear. Accordingly, the reason why chlorophyllide is more toxic than chlorophyll is still unknown. If a well-known photodynamic property of tetrapyrrole compounds is taken into consideration, a possible explanation is that chlorophyllide is more efficiently taken up into insect blood than chlorophyll, and chlorophyllide exerts photodynamic effects on larval cells. Alternatively, we speculate that chlorophyllide might bind certain larval gut proteins and/or might inhibit assimilation. This hypothesis is consistent with our observation that the midgut preferentially binds chlorophyllide over chlorophyll. Tetrapyrrole molecules are known to bind a variety of proteins and to inhibit their functions (Miller and Shaklai, 1999; Golovina et al., 2013; Kraatz et al., 2014). For example, pheophoribde a is an inhibitor of the enzyme, cholesterol acyltransferase (Song et al., 2002). Thus, it would be reasonable to assume that a high level of chlorophyllide inhibits certain functions of midgut proteins. However, silkworms were shown to contain red fluorescent proteins, which bind chlorophyllide in the digestive juice and/or midgut tracts (Hayashiya, 1978; Mauchamp et al., 2006; Pandian et al., 2008). It was proposed that these proteins utilize bound chlorophyllide for antibacterial and antiviral activities of the larva (Pandian et al., 2008). Interestingly, tortoise beetle larvae have evolved to utilize pheophoribde a as a deterrent in a fecal shield to protect themselves from their predators (Vencl et al., 2009). A defensive compound could have contrasting effects against different insect species. For example, condensed tannins have antiherbivore activity for some insects, but they could function as nutritive substrates for other insect species (Bernays and Woodhead, 1982).

Noteworthy, the Arabidopsis defense system composed of CLH1 and chlorophyllide does not seem to be effective enough to completely suppress the growth of S. littura larvae (Fig. 6A), supporting the idea that insect herbivores may have counter-developed tolerance against the toxicity of phytol-free chlorophyllide derivatives during evolution. We speculate that many insects have evolved to overcome toxicity of ubiquitous defense mechanisms of plants, such as tannins, so that ubiquitous compounds often do not show strong toxicity to insect herbivores (Ayers et al., 1997). Otherwise, insects could not feed on such a variety of plants.

CLH-encoding genes are ubiquitously distributed in the genomes of land plants; thus, it is reasonable to assume that CLH confers a certain selective advantage. It was shown that jasmonate-insensitive Arabidopsis mutants become susceptible to herbivores or detritivores, such as Porcellio scaber and Armadillidium vulgare, which usually do not feed on Arabidopsis (Farmer and Dubugnon, 2009; Abe et al., 2013). We speculate that the efficiency of the CLH/chlorophyll defense system may vary between insect species and among different plant species. It will be necessary in the future to investigate the effects of CLH on a wider range of plant species and potential herbivores. Our study implies that plants use CLH and chlorophyllide as a unique binary defense system. This will help researchers to exploit potential plant-herbivore interaction through this mechanism in the future.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and Senescence Induction

The Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) transfer DNA insertion lines SALK_124978 (designated clh1-1; ecotype Columbia [Col-0]), SAIL_646_E90 (designated clh2-2; Col-0), and chb1-1/chb2-2 were described by Schenk et al. (2007). The transfer DNA insertion line GK-453A08 (designated pph3-3; Col-0), which lacks PPH (Schelbert et al., 2009), was obtained from the European Arabidopsis Stock Center. The GFP::complementary DNA (cDNA) transgenic lines Q4 [GFP-ATI1G53320] and Q5 [GFP-8-TIP], which were described by Cutler et al. (2000), were obtained from The Arabidopsis Information Resource. Plants were grown in soil under either long-day (16 h of light/8 h of dark) or short-day (10 h of light/14 h of dark) growth conditions under fluorescent light (70–90 μmol photons m−2 s−1 at 23°C). To analyze chlorophyll degradation during leaf senescence, Arabidopsis plants were grown under long-day conditions, and all rosette leaves were subsequently detached from plants, placed on wet filter paper moistened with a buffer containing 3 mM MES (pH 5.8) with or without 50 μM MeJA, and incubated in darkness for 4 d.

Chlorophyll Analysis and Pigment Preparation

Leaves were imaged with a digital camera after removal from their parent plant, and leaf area was calculated using ImageJ software (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/). Chlorophyll was extracted from leaf tissue by homogenization with acetone, which was precooled to −30°C (Hu et al., 2013). Extracts were subsequently centrifuged for 5 min at 20,000g at 4°C, and the supernatant was analyzed by HPLC using a symmetry C8 column (150-mm length and 4.6-mm i.d.; Waters) according to the method of Zapata et al. (2000). Pigment concentrations were estimated from the absorption monitored at 410 nm. Standard pigments (chlorophyll a and b) were purchased from Junico Co., Ltd., and pheophoribde a was purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries, Ltd.

For pigment feeding experiments (Fig. 6B), chlorophyll and chlorophyllide were prepared from garland chrysanthemum (Glebionis coronaria) purchased from a supermarket in Sapporo, Japan, according to the method of Müller et al. (2001) with slight modifications as follows. Leaves were ground in liquid nitrogen using mortar and pestle, and 5 to 10 g of fine powder was suspended in 20 mL of acetone and 20 mL of 0.2 M Tricine-NaOH (pH 8.0). The mixture was agitated for 2 h at room temperature in the dark, and then leaf debris was removed by centrifugation. The resulting green supernatant was repeatedly extracted with 40 mL of hexane until the hexane phase was colorless. The chlorophyll-containing hexane phases were pooled and dried under N2. Chlorophyllide was extracted from the acetone phase with diethyl ether, and the diethyl ether was dried under N2. Partially purified chlorophyll and chlorophyllide was dissolved in small volumes of pure acetone prior to HPLC purification. Chlorophyllide a was isolated on a preparative column (250-mm length and 20-mm i.d.; Shim-pack Prep-ODS, Shimadzu) using the binary
Cloning and Arabidopsis Transformation

A cDNA covering the coding region of CLH1 (AT1G19670) was cloned from a wild-type Arabidopsis cDNA pool. The YFP DNA sequence was added to the 3′ end of CLH1, and then the 5′ untranslated region (65 bp) and 3′ untranslated region (199 bp) of the CLH1 gene were fused, respectively, to the 5′ and 3′ end of the fusion sequence. The fused sequences were cloned into pEarleyGate100 (Earley et al., 2006). The construct was introduced into Agrobacterium tumefaciens (strain GV2260) and used to infect Arabidopsis with the floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998). Two-week-old seedlings of transformants were selected by spraying with BASTA. Three independent lines (nos. 5, 13, and 15) were used for further analysis. Third generation homozygous plants were used for the feeding experiments as described below.

Preparation of Membrane and Soluble Fractions

Membrane and soluble fractions were prepared from the leaves of 7-week-old transgenic plants by the method of Robert et al. (2007). The localization of the YFP- or GFP-tagged protein was performed using confocal fluorescence microscopy (Nikon D-Eclipse Clsi confocal microscope) with the excitation wavelength of 488 nm, and emission was collected at 496 to 706 nm. Confocal microscopy

Intact protoplasts were isolated from mature leaves of 4-week-old transgenic plants by the method of Robert et al. (2007). The localization of the YFP- or GFP-tagged fusion protein in transgenic plants was observed using confocal fluorescence microscopy. (Nikon D-Eclipse Clsi confocal microscope) with the excitation wavelength of 488 nm, and emission was collected at 496 to 706 nm.

Isolation of Intact Chloroplasts and Vacuoles

Rosette leaves were harvested from 7-week-old plants grown under short-day conditions. Intact chloroplasts were prepared according to the method of Salvi et al. (2008). Intact vacuoles were prepared according to the protocol of Robert et al. (2007). Samples were stored at −80°C until use.

Membrane Fractionation

Mature leaves from Arabidopsis plants grown in soil for 7 weeks under short-day conditions were used for membrane fractionation in a Suc density gradient. The fractionation method was modified from that described by Oka et al. (2010) and Wulfetange et al. (2011). Leaves were homogenized in a blender with 10 volumes (v/w) of homogenization buffer containing 50 mM Tris (pH 7.6 at 22°C), 20% (v/v) glycerol, and 150 mM NaCl with either 5 mM MgCl2 and 2 mM EDTA (for subsequent Suc gradient separation in the presence of Mg2+) or with only 2 mM EDTA (for subsequent Suc gradient separation in the absence of Mg2+). The homogenates were filtered through two layers of Miracloth (Merck) and then centrifuged at 4°C for 10 min at 10,000g. The supernatants were subsequently ultracentrifuged at 4°C for 30 min at 100,000g. The resulting pellets containing the microsomal fraction were resuspended in 0.5 mM LiCl resuspension buffer containing 50 mM MOPS-NaOH (pH 7.0), 10% (v/v) Suc, EDTA-free complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma), and either 2 mM EDTA or 5 mM MgCl2. The suspensions were then centrifuged at 4°C for 3 min at 6,000g to remove any unsuspended material. Six hundred microliters of supernatants were loaded onto the top of the following discontinuous Suc gradients prepared in resuspension buffer containing the following Suc concentrations (v/v): from bottom to top, 200 µL of 55% Suc, followed by 450 µL of each 50%, 45%, 40%, 35%, 30%, 25%, and 20% Suc. Gradients were subsequently centrifuged in a bucket rotor at 4°C for 20 h at 100,000g. After centrifugation, gradients were collected in fractions of 200 µL.

Immunoblot Analysis

Total protein was extracted from leaves using 10 volumes (v/w) of protein extraction buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 12% (v/v) Suc, 2% (v/v) lithium lauryl sulfate, and 1% (w/v) dithiothreitol. The protein concentration of samples was determined using a Rc-Dc protein assay kit (BioRad) with bovine serum albumin (Sigma Chemical) as a protein standard. Before SDS-PAGE separation, all samples were mixed with an equal volume of 2× urea buffer containing 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 10% (v/v) Suc, 2% (v/v) SDS, 1 mM EDTA, 4 mM dithiothreitol, a small amount of bromphenol blue, and 10 µL urea and were electrophoresed on a 14% (w/v) polyacrylamide gel and electroblotted to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes. For immunoblot with leaf samples (Supplemental Fig. S1), samples were loaded based on the same weight of fresh leaves. For immunoblots with the subfractions of cells (Fig. 3B), the following amounts of proteins were loaded: membrane and soluble fractions, 2 µg of protein; leaf and chloroplast samples, 12 µg of protein; and vacuole samples, 4 µg of protein. For immunoblots with membrane fractions (Fig. 3C; Supplemental Fig. S2), the same volume of each fraction was loaded on a 14% polyacrylamide gel. CLH1 was detected using anti-CLH1 antisemur raised in rabbits against recombinant Arabidopsis CLH1 expressed in Escherichia coli. The following commercial polyclonal antibodies were used in addition: BiP2 (Agrisera) for ER, H+-ATPase (Agrisera) for plasma membrane, V-PPase (CosmoBio, Inc.) for tonoplast, and Lhcb1 (Agrisera) for chloroplasts. Thylakoid membrane abundance was estimated by chlorophyll measurement using HPLC (Zapata et al., 2000).

Analysis of Estradiol-Inducible PPH$_{\text{TP}}$-CLH1-Expressing Plants

For estradiol induction, 2 µM 17β-estradiol was applied as described (Brand et al., 2006) to leaves of plants grown for 7 weeks under short-day conditions. Leaf samples collected after 0, 6, and 9 h of treatment in the dark were used for immunoblot analysis (see above) with antibodies against the HA-tag and for quantification of chlorophyllide a by HPLC (Langmeier et al., 1993). For determination of ion leakage as a measure for cell death, treated leaves were exposed to light (150 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) for 2 h and ion conductivity was determined as described (Pruzinská et al., 2007).

CLH Assay

For CLH assays, a method described by Tsuchiya et al. (1997) was used with the following modifications. Leaves were homogenized on ice in 10 volumes (v/w) of assay buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl and 38 mM octyl glucoside. After extraction, the homogenate was centrifuged at 4°C for 10 min at 10,000g, and the supernatant was subsequently recovered. The pellet was reextracted identically, and the supernatants were pooled. For assays, 375 µL of the pooled supernatants was added to 125 µL of acetone containing chlorophyll a (500 µg µL$^{-1}$). Reaction mixtures were incubated for 10 min at 37°C. Reactions were stopped by adding 1.5 mL of hexane:acetone (2:1, v/v) and 50 µL of 2 mM Tris-HCl (pH 9.0) to completely ionize chlorophyllide. Mixtures were then shaken and centrifuged at 4°C for 5 min at 10,000g for phase.
For determining CLH activity in plant extracts to mimic mechanical woundind, 50 mg of mature leaves from 7-week-old plants grown under short-
day conditions was homogenized with 150 µL of Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) using
Shake Master with 5-mm beads. Then, leaf mixtures were kept at
room temperature (25°C) for 1 h, and pigments were analyzed by HPLC using
the method of Zapata et al. (2000).

Leaf-Feeding Experiments

The wild type, clt1-1, and the three CLH1-YFP overexpression lines (nos. 5,
13, and 15) were grown on soil under short-day conditions for 7 weeks. For
each independent experiment, 12 plants of each line with similar size were
kept separately in boxes (38 mm high and 70-mm diameter; Mineron Kasei
Co., Ltd.) at 25°C under light/dark cycles (Supplemental Fig. S4). Five freshly
hatched Spodoptera litura larvae were then reared on each plant, and the
growth and survival status of each larva was analyzed after 4, 7, and 11 d. The
χ² test was employed for each pair of the wild type and clt1-1 or a CLH1-
overexpressing line at each time point (Fig. 6A).

Newly hatched silkworm (Bombyx mori) larvae were reared on fresh mulberry (Morus alba) leaves at 25°C with a photoperiod of 16 h of light/8 h of
dark. After 26 d, for each condition in each independent experiment, three
larvae were sacrificed to analyze the pigment compositions in the midgut. The
midgut contents (food remains in the midgut) were isolated from the tissue by
supernatant separation. The lower aqueous layer containing chlorophyllide a was collected from 26-d-old larvae. The concentration of chlorophyllide a was quantified spectrophotometrically at 667 nm using an absorption coefficient of 76.79 µmol cm⁻¹ cm⁻¹ (Porra et al., 1989).

For determining CLH activity in plant extracts to mimic mechanical
wounding, 50 mg of mature leaves from 7-week-old plants grown under short-
day conditions was homogenized with 150 µL of Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) using
Shake Master with 5-mm beads. Then, leaf mixtures were kept at
room temperature (25°C) for 1 h, and pigments were analyzed by HPLC using
the method of Zapata et al. (2000).

Feeding of Pigments to S. litura Larvae

Pigments were dissolved in dimethylformamide and then mixed with an
artificial diet (Insecta LFS, Nossan Corporation). The final concentration of
dimethylformamide was adjusted to 0.1% (v/v) for all diets used in the ex-
periments. Pigment concentrations of each diet (described as per gram fresh
weight) were as follows: control diet (no pigments), chlorophyllide a (400 nmol g⁻¹),
chlorophyllide b (either 200 or 400 nmol g⁻¹), and pheophorbide a (400 nmol g⁻¹).
Freshly hatched S. litura larvae were reared in separate tubes in a growth
chamber set at 25°C under light/dark conditions. Each artificial diet, with and
without added pigment, was fed to a group of 35 to 40 larvae (Supplemental Fig.
S5). The developmental status and survival rates for larvae were evaluated after
11 d. To analyze the difference in the developmental stages of the larvae among
treatments, a Kruskal-Wallis test was performed with the numbers of dead
dead larvae and those in each developmental stage defined as ordinal variables (Fig.
6B). The death of the larvae was considered as the variable 0, while develop-
mental stages 1 to 4 were considered as the variables 1 to 4, respectively. Mul-
tiple comparison of each treatment was performed by the pairwise Wilcoxon
rank sum test with a Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate correction. All
statistical analyses were conducted using the statistical software package R
version 2.15.2 (http://r-project.org). The calculated P values are shown in
Supplemental Table S2. The survival of pigment-fed larvae was statistically
analyzed by the Fischer’s exact test.

Supplemental Data

The following supplemental materials are available.

Supplemental Figure S1. Total CLH activity and CLH1 protein abundance
increase upon MeJA treatment.

Supplemental Figure S2. Localization of CLH1 in fractionated membranes
from leaves after 3 d of dark-induced senescence in the presence of 50 µM
MeJA.

Supplemental Figure S3. PPHt–CLH1 lines display a severe cell death
phenotype.

Supplemental Figure S4. Plant-feeding experiments with freshly hatched
S. litura larvae.

Supplemental Figure S5. Images of S. litura larvae fed with purified pig-
ments for 11 d.

Supplemental Table S1. Effect of chlorophyll-derived pigments on the
development of S. litura larvae.

Supplemental Table S2. Statistical assessment of the effects of pigment
feeding to S. litura larvae.
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