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Protein synthesis and degradation represent substantial costs during plant growth. To obtain a quantitative measure of the rate of protein synthesis and degradation, we supplied $^{13}$CO$_2$ to intact Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) Columbia-0 plants and analyzed enrichment in free amino acids and in amino acid residues in protein during a 24-h pulse and 4-d chase. While many free amino acids labeled slowly and incompletely, alanine showed a rapid rise in enrichment in the pulse and a decrease in the chase. Enrichment in free alanine was used to correct enrichment in alanine residues in protein and calculate the rate of protein synthesis. The latter was compared with the relative growth rate to estimate the rate of protein degradation. The relative growth rate was estimated from sequential determination of fresh weight, sequential images of rosette area, and labeling of glucose in the cell wall. In an 8-h photoperiod, protein synthesis and cell wall synthesis were 3-fold faster in the day than at night, protein degradation was slow (3%–4% d$^{-1}$), and flux to growth and degradation resulted in a protein half-life of 3.5 d. In the starchless phosphoglucomutase mutant at night, protein synthesis was further decreased and protein degradation increased, while cell wall synthesis was totally inhibited, quantitatively accounting for the inhibition of growth in this mutant. We also investigated the rates of protein synthesis and degradation during leaf development, during growth at high temperature, and compared synthesis rates of Rubisco large and small subunits of in the light and dark.

Protein synthesis accounts for a significant part of the energy required for plant growth (Penning de Vries et al., 1974; Penning de Vries, 1975; Amthor, 2000). The rate of protein synthesis can be qualitatively assessed by investigating the proportion of ribosomes loaded into polysomes (Bailey-Serres, 1999; Beilharz and Preiss, 2004). Polysome loading decreases in various stress treatments, including water deficit (Hsiao, 1970; Kawaguchi et al., 2003, 2004) and hypoxia (Branco-Price et al., 2005, 2008). In unstressed plants in a light/dark cycle, polysome loading is high in the light and decreases in the dark (Piques et al., 2009; Pal et al., 2013). Polysome loading is increased by sugar addition to cell cultures (Nicolai et al., 2006) and is closely correlated with Suc levels during diurnal cycles in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) rosettes (Pal et al., 2013). Information about polysome loading and ribosome abundance has been used to model the rate of protein synthesis in Arabidopsis rosettes (Piques et al., 2009; Pal et al., 2013). This approach indicated that the rate of protein synthesis is higher in the daytime than at night, when it is constrained by the rate of starch degradation and the resulting availability of carbon (C) and energy. It also indicated that the global rate of protein synthesis is only slightly higher than that needed for growth, indicating that there is only a low rate of protein degradation.

Protein degradation is required to repair damaged proteins, to adjust the protein complement to environmental conditions, and to remobilize and recycle amino acids (Huffaker and Peterson, 1974; Nelson et al., 2014b). Protein degradation is thought to make a major impact on growth efficiency (Amthor, 2000). It has been estimated that protein degradation accounts for 20% to 30% of the ATP produced by root respiration (Scheurwater et al., 2000). Protein degradation is accelerated in low nitrogen (Lattanzi et al., 2005; Lehmeier et al., 2013) and by shading (Pons et al., 1993; Scheurwater et al., 2000); this may promote nitrogen remobilization to young leaves in more favorable light conditions. Protein degradation is accelerated under C starvation to provide an alternative source of C for respiration (Brouquisse et al., 1991; Araújo et al., 2011; Izumi et al., 2013; Pilkington et al., 2015). Protein degradation may also increase...
under other stresses, including high temperature in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) roots (Ferguson et al., 1990) and osmotic stress in barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) leaves (Dungey and Davies, 1982). It may also change during leaf development, with various studies indicating that degradation is higher in expanding than in fully grown leaves (Schafer et al., 1981; Barneix et al., 1988) and also increases in old leaves (Dungey and Davies, 1982; Bouma et al., 1994).

Protein half-life depends on the rate of degradation and on the rate at which preexisting protein is diluted by growth. Global protein half-life was estimated to be 4 to 8 d in grass roots (Scheurwater et al., 2000). A similar protein half-life was predicted by modeling in Arabidopsis rosettes (Piques et al., 2009) and by experimental studies of 508 individual proteins in barley leaves (Nelson et al., 2014a).

The rate of protein synthesis is usually measured by supplying a pulse of a labeled precursor, often followed by a chase in the absence of label, and monitoring the temporal kinetics of label incorporation and depletion (Huffaker and Peterson, 1974; Nelson et al., 2014b). While radioisotopes were used in the past, stable isotopes are easier to detect and quantify in specific compounds. Labeling experiments should meet several criteria. First, the labeled precursor must be supplied without surgical or other disturbance of the plant, as this may alter the rates of protein synthesis and degradation. Second, the supplied labeled precursor should not alter internal metabolite pools or fluxes. Third, movement of label per se does not provide information about flux. This requires information about the extent to which the incoming label is diluted by internal pools. Fourth, accurate measurements of the rate of growth are required to assess how much of the protein synthesis represents flux to growth and how much represents protein turnover (viz., the replacement of degraded proteins). Many studies in the past did not meet all these criteria; in particular, they rarely measured enrichment in the free amino acid precursor pools.

Labeled amino acids have been widely used in microbes to study protein synthesis. To decrease dilution by internal pools, an amino acid is used that the microbe cannot synthesize. Similar approaches have been used in human cell cultures (Ong et al., 2002; Ong and Mann, 2006). While labeled amino acids have also been used in algae and plants, the resulting data are usually qualitative. In contrast to microbes and animals, plants are able to synthesize all proteinaceous amino acids. As a result, the supplied labeled amino acid is diluted by endogenously synthesized amino acid and may also be catabolized and converted to other amino acids that are incorporated into protein. Differential uptake and internal fluxes of amino acids can lead to complex temporal labeling kinetics and inhomogenous labeling of proteins, depending on which amino acid is supplied (He et al., 1991). In a comparison of [2H]Leu, [13C]Arg, and [2H]Lys labeling, Grühler et al. (2005) concluded that all three substrates showed label dilution, and to varying extents. While stable isotopes of Lys are incorporated into the proteome with an enrichment of 83% to 91% in the dark, this falls to 58% in the light (Schrütz et al., 2011). Another problem in plants is that labeled amino acids need to be added at high levels to generate a large increase in isotope abundance, which can alter metabolite pools and fluxes. Problems can also arise due to complex patterns of feedback regulation in amino acid biosynthesis pathways (Galili, 2002).

In mammals, individual amino acids like Leu regulate and modify the rate of protein turnover (Buse and Reid, 1975; Pannemans et al., 1997). An additional complication in plants is that some amino acids are involved in further metabolic pathways. Examples include the use of Gln and Ser in photorespiration, Trp for auxin synthesis (Rapparini et al., 1999), and the aromatic amino acids Phe, Tyr, and Trp as precursors for the synthesis of phenylpropanoids and flavonoids (Fraser and Chapple, 2011).

Protein synthesis can also be measured using stable isotopes of water. Movement of label from H$_2^{18}$O into amino acids is rather slow (Zhou et al., 2012). Label moves rapidly from H$_2^{18}$O into amino acids and proteins (Mitra et al., 1976; Yang et al., 2010), but discrimination against H$_2^{18}$O can lead to changes in metabolite pools and fluxes, to changes in gene expression indicative of stress, and to inhibition of growth (Thomson et al., 1963; Sacchi and Coccoi, 1992; Kushner et al., 1999; Yang et al., 2010). Yang et al. (2010) showed that inhibitory effects on growth can be decreased, although not totally prevented, by decreasing enrichment to 30% and that the stress-related changes in transcript levels were less marked when a protocol was used in which seedlings were pulsed with H$_2^{18}$O and the labeling kinetics were analyzed in a chase with water. However, while H$_2^{18}$O can be readily supplied to seedlings, movement through a larger plant is likely to be slow and rather heterogenous.

Another approach is to supply $^{15}$NO$_3$ or $^{15}$NH$_4$ to the medium of algae (Martin et al., 2012; Mastrobuoni et al., 2012) or the rooting medium of higher plants (Masciaux-Daubresse and Chardon, 2011; Nelson et al., 2014a, 2014b). Labeling with $^{15}$N is especially useful in studies that use liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry to analyze the labeling kinetics of signature peptides of individual proteins (Li et al., 2012; Nelson et al., 2014a, 2014b). However, there are some disadvantages in higher plants, including the rather slow labeling kinetics of amino acids (Yang et al., 2010). This may be due to the time that elapses until the label is transported through the plant and assimilated as well as to dilution by large internal pools (Lattanzi et al., 2005; Lehmeier et al., 2013). This also makes it difficult to rapidly and completely remove $^{15}$NO$_3$ or $^{15}$NH$_4$ in a chase.

Label can also be introduced as $^{13}$CO$_2$ in the atmosphere. This has three potential advantages. First, $^{13}$CO$_2$ is immediately incorporated into metabolism via photosynthesis, allowing the introduction of isotope into intact plants without any perturbation of metabolism and growth. Second, $^{13}$CO$_2$ and unlabeled CO$_2$ can be rapidly interchanged, allowing rapid commencement of
a pulse and rapid and complete removal of external label at the start of a chase. Third, at least in principle, 13CO2 should label all amino acids. 13CO2 labeling has been used to investigate fluxes in photosynthetic metabolism in algae (Hüege et al., 2007; Young et al., 2011) and higher plants (Szecowka et al., 2013; Ma et al., 2014). It has also been used to investigate the synthesis and degradation of a small set of abundant proteins (Chen et al., 2011). However, quantitative measurements are complicated by slow and incomplete changes in enrichment in amino acids. In a recent study, we showed that the rate and extent of labeling of amino acids by 13CO2 is highly variable; after a 60-min pulse, some amino acids like Ala were quite highly (more than 70%) labeled, others like Phe, Gly, and Ser were only moderately (20%–40%) labeled, and many, including Glu, Thr, Lys, Leu, Ile, Pro, and Asn, were very slowly and incompletely (less than 10%) labeled (Szecowka et al., 2013).

Here, we present a relatively simple approach that uses 13CO2 pulse-chase analysis to measure the global rate of protein synthesis and degradation in intact soil-grown Arabidopsis plants. After labeling, gas chromatography-time-of-flight mass spectrometry (GC-TOF-MS) is used to analyze enrichment in a large number of free amino acids and in their residues in protein. We identify which free amino acids show the clearest labeling kinetics and use enrichment in these pools and the corresponding amino acid residues in protein to estimate the rates of protein synthesis and degradation. We also present a related method to quantify flux to cell wall polysaccharides.

RESULTS

Experimental Design

Szecowka et al. (2013) applied a pulse of 13CO2 for various times up to 60 min, starting in the middle of the photoperiod after metabolic steady state had been achieved, to allow the computationally demanding modeling of fluxes in central metabolism. Based on the slow and incomplete labeling kinetics of amino acids by Szecowka et al. (2013) and estimates of the rate of protein synthesis based on ribosome abundance and usage (Piques et al., 2009), we reasoned that a longer pulse would be required to measure flux into protein. We decided to pulse for an entire day/night cycle and to chase for 4 d, taking samples at various times during this treatment. We also decided to commence labeling at dawn to minimize isotope dilution by internal pools, which are usually small at dawn (Gibon et al., 2006, 2009; Sulpice et al., 2014). In particular, starch is very low at dawn, accumulates in the light, and is remobilized to provide C for respiration and growth at night (Smith and Stitt, 2007; Stitt and Zeeman, 2012). By labeling from dawn onward, we hoped to achieve a high enrichment in starch that would allow high enrichment to be maintained in metabolites at night. To prevent dilution by dark fixation during the night, the 13CO2 pulse was continued through until dawn.

Enrichment Kinetics of Free Amino Acids

We were able to quantify enrichment in 16 free amino acids (Fig. 1; Supplemental Table S1A). The temporal kinetics of enrichment varies between amino acids and was often slow and incomplete. During the pulse, enrichment of individual amino acids ranged between 83% and 11% at the end of day and between 71% and 15% at the end of night. During the chase, enrichment decreased rapidly for some amino acids and slowly for others. This underlines the importance of information about enrichment in free amino acid pools for accurate estimation of the rate of protein synthesis.

We were searching for amino acids that showed a rapid increase to high enrichment in the light, retained high enrichment during the pulse in the night, and underwent a rapid and nearly complete decrease in enrichment in the chase. Ala showed the fastest and most complete increase of enrichment in the pulse (79%, 81%, and 65% after 4 and 8 h of illumination and at the end of night, respectively) and a rapid decrease in enrichment in the chase. Asp showed slightly lower enrichment. Ala and Asp are synthesized via reversible aminotransferase reactions from the central metabolites pyruvate and...
oxaloacetate, respectively. Ser and Gly also showed a rapid increase in enrichment in the light, but their enrichment decreased during the night (from 83% and 76% at dusk to 53% and 15% at dawn). Their rapid labeling in the light reflects their formation during photorespiration; reasons for the decline during the night will be discussed later. Glu and Gln showed relatively slow labeling in the light (22% and 22% after 4 h of illumination and 57% and 53% after 8 h of illumination, respectively) and a further rise during the night (65% and 71% at dawn, respectively). The slow labeling in the light was unexpected, because these amino acids are derived via aminotransferase reactions from the central organic acid α-oxoglutarate and are also intermediates in the GOGAT pathway, which is very active in the light (see “Discussion”). Most other amino acids are synthesized via long biosynthetic pathways. Enrichment in the aromatic amino acids (Phe and Tyr) rose quite quickly in the light and decreased rapidly in the chase, but they were labeled more slowly than Ala and also showed a marked decrease at night during the pulse. Ile, Leu, Lys, Met, and Val showed a gradual increase in enrichment during the light period, incomplete enrichment at dusk (44%–58%), a decrease in enrichment at night during the pulse, and a slow decrease in enrichment during the chase. The slowest labeling kinetic was found for Pro.
Slow and incomplete labeling kinetics can result for several reasons, including dilution of label by internal pools, synthesis of amino acids from unlabeled precursors, or the presence of compartmented pools with different labeling kinetics. We inspected our data to provide insights into the contribution of these different factors.

Our GC-TOF-MS analysis provided information about enrichment in sugars and organic acids (Supplemental Fig. S1; Supplemental Table S1A). Labeling of malate was rapid and high in the pulse (73%, 79%, and 79% after 4 and 8 h of illumination and at the following dawn, respectively) and decreased rapidly in the chase. Enrichment was slightly lower in fumarate and succinate. Enrichment of pyruvate was high in the light (71% and 77% after 4 and 8 h, respectively), declined at night (35%), and declined rapidly in the chase. Enrichment in citrate was very low in the light (less than 1%), rose strongly in the night (52%), remained at this level for the first 4 h of the chase in the light, and decreased by the following dawn. The slow labeling of Glu and Gln in the light may be a consequence of the slow labeling of citrate (see “Discussion”). Labeling of Suc and reducing sugars was slow and incomplete in the pulse and declined slowly in the chase. They may provide a source of unlabeled C in the night.

We inspected the detailed labeling pattern of each amino acid to learn whether there are strongly compartmented pools of any of the amino acids. If an amino acid occurs as a single pool or as multiple pools that are in relatively rapid exchange, during the pulse the unlabeled C0 isotopomer (i.e. all C atoms are unlabeled) will decrease to a low value, intermediate isotopomers with a low number of $^{13}$C atoms will rise transiently and then decrease to a low value, and heavily labeled isotopomers that consist predominantly or entirely of labeled atoms will rise to a high value. This pattern is seen in the light for Ala (Fig. 2A), Gly, Ser, and Asp (Supplemental Fig. S2) and, somewhat more slowly, for Met. If incomplete labeling of an amino acid is due to slow labeling of one pool, the same pattern will be followed, but more slowly. This pattern is seen for Glu (Fig. 2B) and Gln (Supplemental Fig. S2). If incomplete labeling is due to the presence of two or more pools, of which one or more is not labeled or is only very slowly labeled, the C0 isotopomer will decrease to an intermediate value, and the remainder of the pool will be present as heavily labeled isotopomers. This pattern was seen at dusk for Lys (Fig. 2C), Pro, Tyr, Phe, Val, Leu, and Ile (Supplemental Fig. S2).

We also investigated whether some amino acids were present at a much higher level at dusk than at dawn. Such diurnal changes are due probably to synthesis of the amino acid from newly fixed C in the light and use of this pool at night. This will lead to any compartmented unlabeled pools making only a small contribution to the total pool at dusk but a large contribution at dawn. This analysis was only possible for the amino acids for which standards were included in the GC-TOF-MS analysis to allow quantification. There was an especially large increase for the photorespiratory intermediate Gly (37-fold) and a smaller increase for Ser (3.7-fold; Supplemental Table S2). Based on other studies, we can also expect a large diurnal change for Gln (Foyer et al., 2003; Fritz et al., 2006; Tschoep et al., 2009).

**Enrichment Kinetics of Amino Acids in Protein**

GC-TOF-MS analysis of the protein hydrolysate provided enrichment data for 12 amino acids (Fig. 1; Supplemental Table S1B; Gln, Asn, Met, and Tyr could not be reliably detected in the hydrolysate). In total, 12 amino acids were detected in both the free and protein pools.

Enrichment of amino acids in protein increased during the pulse in the light and rose further in the night,
Table I. Estimated rates of protein synthesis and degradation for Col-0 grown in an 8-h photoperiod

Col-0 was grown for 21 d in an 8-h photoperiod and then pulsed with 13CO2 for 24 h, followed by a 4-d chase. The experimental design is described in the legend of Figure 1. The data are provided in Supplemental Data Set S1 and calculated enrichment values in Supplemental Table S1. The rate of protein synthesis was calculated by correcting enrichment in Ala in protein by the enrichment in free Ala at the end of the day. The calculations of protein degradation used an RGR of 0.221 mg fresh weight mg−1 fresh weight d−1, which was the average of three biological replicate experiments in these growth conditions (Supplemental Fig. S5). Results are estimated from the average of four biological replicates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RGR</th>
<th>Protein Synthesis</th>
<th>Protein Degradation</th>
<th>Half-Life</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Average 24-h Cycle</td>
<td>Light (per Hour)</td>
<td>Dark (per Hour)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>25.62</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

although more slowly than in the light. This provides qualitative evidence that protein synthesis continues at night. Enrichment at the end of the 24-h pulse varied more than 2-fold, depending on the amino acid. Like free amino acids, the highest enrichment was found for Ala, Gly, and Ser (20.7%, 20.1%, and 20.2%, respectively), with somewhat lower enrichment in Asp (15.4%). The lowest enrichment was for Pro (8.3%).

We investigated whether these differences in enrichment in protein could be explained by the differences in average enrichment of the free amino acids. To do this, we plotted the increase in enrichment of the amino acid in protein during the light period against the enrichment in the free amino acid pool at dusk (Supplemental Fig. S3A). A similar plot was made comparing the increase in enrichment of the amino acid in protein during the night with the enrichment in the free amino acid pool at dawn (Supplemental Fig. S3B). A small group of amino acids showed high enrichment in the free pool and a high rate of incorporation into protein. This group included Ala in the light and dark, Ser in the light and dark, Gly in the light, and Glu in the dark. Another group, including Asp in the light and dark and Phe and Glu in the light, showed slower label incorporation into protein than expected from the enrichment in the free pool. This may reflect slow labeling kinetics, which would result in the average enrichment of the free pool at dawn or dusk being higher than the average during the preceding time interval. Another group, including Lys and Pro in the light and Gly, Pro, Leu, Ile, and Lys in the dark, showed higher label incorporation into protein than expected from enrichment in the free amino acid. This might be explained by the presence of a weakly labeled or unlabeled pool, with the result that the actual precursor pool has a higher enrichment than the average value. Another group, including Thr and Val in the light and dark, Leu and Lys in the light, and Phe in the dark, showed a similar relationship to Ala and Ser, but with lower enrichment and lower incorporation rates into protein. While this might indicate that there is one slowly labeled pool of these amino acids, inspection of the labeling kinetics of their isotopomers (see above; Supplemental Fig. S2) makes it more likely that the situation is actually more complex, with a combination of slow labeling kinetics for one pool and the presence of a weakly or unlabeled pool. Overall, this analysis and that of Figures 1 and 2 and Supplemental Figures S2 and S3 suggest that multiple factors contribute to the complex labeling kinetics of free amino acids.

The washout kinetics in protein during the chase were analyzed with semilog plots (Supplemental Fig. S4). Ala, Ser, and Gly had the fastest washout (slopes of −0.23, −0.24, and −0.21), with smaller slopes for other amino acids (−0.18 to −0.11 and even slower for Pro). This shows that, for many amino acids, incomplete loss of label in free pools complicates protein labeling kinetics during the chase.

Determination of the Relative Growth Rate

Estimation of the rate of protein degradation requires information about the rate of growth. Growth rates are usually given as the relative growth rate (RGR), which is the gain in biomass per unit of existing biomass per day (Poorter and Nagel, 2000). We measured RGR by fitting a regression to a semilog plot of the fresh weight of the plants harvested during the pulse and chase. Such measurements are subject to experimental error because different plants are harvested at each time point and weight varies between individual plants. This could affect the accuracy of our calculation of protein degradation. We took two approaches to validate our estimates of RGR. First, we took sequential images of sets of plants grown in parallel with those that were used for destructive analyses. Destructive harvesting and time-lapse image analysis were in good agreement; in this experiment, they provided estimates for RGR of 0.224 and 0.215 mm2 mm−2 d−1, respectively (Supplemental Fig. S5). Second, we determined RGR by destructive harvesting in three separate large experiments performed on plants growing in the same conditions during the time when we were carrying out our labeling studies. The values were very similar (average [μ] ± SD = 0.221 ± 0.002; Supplemental Fig. S5). For routine estimates of protein degradation, we used the average estimate of RGR from all these replicated experiments.

Estimation of the Rate of Protein Synthesis and Degradation

The rate of protein synthesis, Kp, was estimated from label incorporation into Ala in protein, with a correction
for enrichment in free Ala (see "Discussion"; Pocnjic et al., 1983) using the equation:

\[ K_s = \frac{\langle S_B (t_2) \rangle - \langle S_B (t_1) \rangle}{\langle S_A (t - t_1) \rangle} \times \frac{100}{t_2 - t_1} \text{ (per h)} \]

where \( \langle S_B (t_1) \rangle \) and \( \langle S_B (t_2) \rangle \) represent average label incorporation into Ala in protein at the start and end of the analyzed time interval, \( \langle S_A (t - t_1) \rangle \) is the average enrichment of free Ala at the end of the light period (see "Discussion"), and \( (t_2 - t_1) \) is the duration of the time interval in hours. The rate of synthesis is given as the percentage of the initial protein synthesized in the time interval. To calculate the rate of protein synthesis in the light period, \( t_2 \) was the end of the light period (8 h), and \( t_1 \) was the start of the pulse (0 h). To calculate protein synthesis rate in the dark, \( t_2 \) was the end of the 24-h pulse, and \( t_1 \) was the end of the light period (8 h).

The estimated rate of protein synthesis in the light period (1.95% h\(^{-1}\)) was about 3-fold higher than that at night (0.63% h\(^{-1}\)). However, as the plants were in an 8-h photoperiod, the amount of protein synthesized at night was 61% of that synthesized in the light period. The protein synthesized during a 24-h cycle was equivalent to about 26% of the protein in the rosette.

The rate of protein degradation, \( K_d \), was estimated in two ways. The first approach is based on the difference between the estimated rate of protein synthesis in the pulse, \( K_s \) and the rate of protein synthesis needed to sustain the observed rate of growth. It is calculated as:

\[ K_d = (K_s - \text{RGR} \times \text{Pp}) \times 100 \text{ (per d)} \]

where \( \text{Pp} \) is the fractional change in rosette protein content per day during the pulse. The second approach calculates the rate of protein degradation as the difference between the measured decrease in enrichment in Ala in protein during the chase and the decrease that would be expected due to dilution by growth (Yee et al., 2010) as:

\[ K_d = (-k_{\text{loss}} - \text{RGR} \times \text{Pc}) \times 100 \text{ (per d)} \]

where \( k_{\text{loss}} \) is the rate constant for the loss of label in Ala in protein per day and \( \text{Pc} \) is the fractional change in rosette protein content per day during the chase. \( k_{\text{loss}} \) was calculated for Ala by applying linear regression of the natural log-transformed enrichment value versus time over at least three time points. The very low enrichment in free Ala during the chase allowed us to ignore recycling of label into protein. The rosette protein concentration did not change significantly between days 19 and 26 after germination (Supplemental Data Set S1). This allowed us to set the protein concentration terms (\( \text{Pp} \) and \( \text{Pc} \)) at unity for the calculation of protein degradation in rosettes.

The rate of protein degradation (i.e. protein synthesis in excess of that required for growth) per 24-h cycle was estimated at 3.5% d\(^{-1}\) from the pulse data and 3.1% d\(^{-1}\) from the chase data. Thus, the majority of the protein synthesis (26% d\(^{-1}\)) represents flux to growth, rather than degradation. Combining the dilution of protein by growth with the rate of protein degradation yielded a global protein half-life of 3.1 to 3.5 d.

Different Leaf Growth Stages

We next applied this method to investigate the rate of protein synthesis and degradation at different stages of leaf development. Ribosome abundance (Dean and Leech, 1982) and, by implication, the rate of protein synthesis are high in young growing leaves and low in mature leaves. Leaves at six stages of development (Fig. 3A) were harvested at the beginning and end of a 24-h pulse and processed to provide information about the enrichment of free amino acids and of amino acids in protein (Supplemental Data Set S2). As large numbers of plants were required to provide enough material for the young leaves, we did not attempt to separate fluxes in the light period and night. We did not apply a chase over 4 d because in this time the leaves will change their developmental stage. Leaf relative growth rate (LRGR) was estimated from images of individual leaves on sequential days spanning the pulse (Fig. 3B). It should be noted that the oldest leaves are still growing slowly. The rate of protein synthesis was calculated from the average enrichment of free Ala (81%) in a subsample of whole rosettes harvested at the end of the light period. This was validated by checking that Ala enrichment at dawn at the end of the pulse was similar in all six leaf stages at dawn at the end of the pulse (Fig. 3C) but lower than at dusk, as was seen previously. The estimated rate of protein synthesis was fastest (42.8% d\(^{-1}\)) in the youngest rapidly growing leaf (LRGR = 0.48) and lowest (15.6%–16% d\(^{-1}\)) in the oldest leaves (LRGR = 0.12–0.13; Fig. 3D; Supplemental Table S3).

To calculate protein degradation, we compared the rate of protein synthesis with the amount of protein required for growth. In this case, it was necessary to include information about the decrease in protein concentration during leaf development. The daily decrease in protein concentration at each leaf stage was estimated in a separate experiment, in which the rate of leaf initiation was scored and leaves 1 to 6 were harvested from 21-d-old plants to determine fresh weight and protein concentration at each leaf stage (Supplemental Data Set S2). The decrease in leaf protein was estimated as 12%, 15%, 1%, and 16% d\(^{-1}\) for leaves 6, 5, 4, and 3, respectively. The estimated requirement for protein for growth decreased from about 42% d\(^{-1}\) in the youngest leaves to 12% d\(^{-1}\) in the oldest leaves (Fig. 3D). At all leaf stages, most of the protein synthesis represented flux to growth (Fig. 3D). The estimated rate of protein degradation was negligible in the young leaves and about 8%, 3%, and 4% d\(^{-1}\) in leaves 3, 2, and 1, respectively. The estimates
in young leaves are subject to error because they represent the difference between two large values, both of which are susceptible to experimental noise. The values found in the mature leaves resemble those seen in a mature rosette, as expected because mature leaves contribute most of the rosette biomass.

Higher Growth Temperature

High temperature results in an increase in respiration, especially maintenance respiration (Penning de Vries et al., 1979; Amthor, 2000; Pyl et al., 2012). While the main maintenance costs are thought to include protein turnover and the maintenance of electrical, pH, and ion gradients across membranes (Penning de Vries, 1975; Amthor, 2000), they are difficult to quantify from molecular information (Amthor, 2000; Cheung et al., 2013; Sweetlove et al., 2014). We applied our method to investigate whether higher temperature leads to a major increase in the rate of protein degradation.

We grew Arabidopsis in an 8-h photoperiod at 20°C or 28°C for 21 d, applied a 13CO2 pulse for 24 h, harvested plants at dawn at the end of the pulse and after a 1-, 2-, 3-, or 4-d chase, determined the enrichment of free Ala for each leaf stage at the end of the pulse from a sample of 20 pooled leaves. D, Comparison of the estimated rate of protein synthesis and the rate of protein synthesis that was required for growth. The complete bar (a + b) represents the rate of protein synthesis, estimated from enrichment in Ala in protein corrected for enrichment with free Ala. The gray bar (a) represents the rate of protein synthesis required for growth. This was calculated from LRGR corrected for the decrease in protein per day in a leaf at that stage. The rate of protein degradation (b) was calculated as the difference between the rate of protein synthesis and the amount of protein required for growth. The data, including estimated changes in leaf protein content with time, are provided in Supplemental Data Set S2. Calculated values for protein synthesis and degradation are provided in Supplemental Table S3.

Figure 3. Leaf growth rates, protein synthesis rates, and protein degradation at different stages of leaf development. Col-0 was grown for 21 d in an 8-h photoperiod and then pulsed with 13CO2 for 24 h. Plants were harvested at dawn before the start of the pulse and at dawn at the end of the pulse and separated into leaf stages for further analysis. Each sample consisted of 20 leaves from 20 plants. A, Images of a typical plant at 21, 22, and 23 d after sowing (DAS). The numbers on the leaves correspond to leaf numbers on the x axes in B to D. B, LRGR at each leaf stage during the chase, estimated from sequential images of the rosette from three plants. C, Enrichment values of free Ala for each leaf stage at the end of the pulse from a sample of 20 pooled leaves. D, Comparison of the estimated rate of protein synthesis and the rate of protein synthesis that was required for growth. The complete bar (a + b) represents the rate of protein synthesis, estimated from enrichment in Ala in protein corrected for enrichment with free Ala. The gray bar (a) represents the rate of protein synthesis required for growth. This was calculated from LRGR corrected for the decrease in protein per day in a leaf at that stage. The rate of protein degradation (b) was calculated as the difference between the rate of protein synthesis and the amount of protein required for growth. The data, including estimated changes in leaf protein content with time, are provided in Supplemental Data Set S2. Calculated values for protein synthesis and degradation are provided in Supplemental Table S3.
The Starchless phosphoglucomutase Mutant

In a last application, we investigated protein synthesis and degradation in the starchless phosphoglucomutase (pgm) mutant. Analysis of transcript and metabolite profiles has revealed that pgm starves at night in short photoperiods (Gibon et al., 2004b, 2006; Usadel et al., 2008). We posed two questions. First, how strongly is protein synthesis inhibited in pgm at night? Analysis of polysome loading using density gradient centrifugation (Pal et al., 2013) showed that some polysomes are still present at night in pgm, although fewer than in Col-0. We wanted to distinguish between two explanations for this observation: that despite extreme C starvation, there is still a low rate of protein synthesis or that the ribosomal RNA retrieved in the polysome fraction in pgm at night is not in active polysomes. Second, based on an increase in the levels of minor amino acids in the night in pgm, it has been proposed that protein catabolism is activated (Gibon et al., 2004b, 2006; Izumi et al., 2013). This should be detectable as a higher rate of protein degradation. We would also expect a faster decay of the enrichment in free amino acids in the night, due to increased cycling of predominantly unlabeled amino acids from protein.

The pgm mutant grows very poorly in short-day conditions. For this reason, we grew the mutant initially in a 12-h photoperiod before transferring it to an 8-h photoperiod, also increasing the duration of the growth period to obtain a rosette biomass similar to that in 21-d-old wild-type Col-0. Wild-type Col-0 and pgm were then exposed to a 24-h 13CO2 pulse and a 4-d chase, harvesting at dawn before the start of the pulse, at dusk, 4 h into the night, at dawn, and after a 4-d chase (for original data, see Supplemental Data Set S5; for enrichment data, see Supplemental Table S4). The time point at 4 h into the night was included because it has been proposed that protein catabolism is activated (Gibon et al., 2004b, 2006; Izumi et al., 2013). This should be detectable as a higher rate of protein degradation. We would also expect a faster decay of the enrichment in free amino acids in the night, due to increased cycling of predominantly unlabeled amino acids from protein.
pgm accumulates high levels of sugars in the light and depletes them during the first 3 to 4 h of the night (Gibon et al., 2004b, 2006). C starvation is unlikely to develop until after this time; indeed, polysome loading decreases gradually in parallel with the depletion of sugars during the first hours of the night (Pal et al., 2013).

Enrichment in free amino acids increased in a similar manner in Col-0 and pgm in the light but decayed about 2-fold more rapidly in pgm from 4 h onward in the night, pointing to rapid protein degradation in the night (for Ala, see Fig. 4; for other amino acids, see Supplemental Fig. S6). The only exception was Asn, whose enrichment increased at night in pgm (see “Discussion”).

The estimated rates of protein synthesis and degradation are summarized in Table IV. For Col-0, the absolute rates and the changes between the light period and the night resemble those in the experiment of Table I. For pgm, the rate of protein synthesis in the light was slightly but not significantly lower than that of Col-0 (1.8% and 2.1% h\(^-1\), respectively). The rate of protein synthesis decreased in the first 4 h of the night but was similar to that in Col-0 (0.54% and 0.68% h\(^-1\), respectively). In the remainder of the night, the rate decreased further in pgm (0.29% h\(^-1\)) but was maintained in Col-0 (0.7% h\(^-1\)). The rate of protein synthesis over the entire 24-h cycle was 29% lower in pgm (18% d\(^-1\)) than in Col-0 (25.3% d\(^-1\)). Comparison of the average protein synthesis rate with RGR revealed a higher average rate of protein degradation in pgm than in Col-0 (4.3% compared with 3.2% d\(^-1\), respectively). Analysis of the chase yielded slightly higher degradation rates for both genotypes but confirmed that degradation was faster in pgm than in wild-type Col-0 (6.1% and 4.8% d\(^-1\), respectively).

In summary, pgm has a 29% lower rate of protein synthesis per 24 h than Col-0, due to a lower rate of protein synthesis in the second part of the night. The rate of protein degradation over a 24-h cycle is higher, especially when related to the rate of protein synthesis; daily average protein degradation is equivalent to 24% to 34% of daily average protein synthesis in pgm, compared with 13% to 19% in Col-0. The lower rate of synthesis and higher rate of degradation result in an almost 2-fold decrease in the net gain of protein per day (11.9%–13.7% d\(^-1\) in pgm compared with 20.5%–22.1% d\(^-1\) in Col-0). These estimated net rates of protein synthesis are very similar to RGR estimated from changes in plant size (0.221 and 0.137 mg mg\(^{-1}\) d\(^-1\), respectively, in Col-0 and pgm).

**Estimation of Growth by Measuring Enrichment in Glc in the Cell Wall**

In a last set of experiments, we investigated whether \(^{13}\)CO\(_2\) labeling can be used to estimate the rate of cell wall synthesis. We did this for two reasons: first, to compare the rates of cell wall synthesis with those of protein synthesis; and second, as an alternative way of
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Table IV. Comparison of the rates of protein synthesis and degradation in Col-0 and the starchless pgm mutant

Col-0 was grown for 21 d in an 8-h photoperiod. pgm was sown out 7 d earlier than Col-0 and initially grown in a 12-h photoperiod to allow the acquisition of biomass, before transfer to an 8-h photoperiod 3 d before the start of the pulse. Both genotypes were pulsed with $^{13}$CO$_2$ for 24 h, followed by a 4-d chase. Plants were harvested at dawn before the start of the pulse, at dusk, 4 h into the night, at dawn at the end of the pulse, and at dawn after a 4-d chase. The experiment was repeated three times with separately grown batches of plants; in each experiment, one sample of five plants was harvested at each time point. Rates of synthesis were estimated for two time intervals in the night: between dusk and 4 h of darkness (T8–T12) and between 4 h of darkness and the end of the night (T12–T24). The data are provided in Supplemental Data Set S5, calculated enrichments in Supplemental Table S4, and plots of changes in the enrichment of selected amino acids in Figure 4. The rate of protein synthesis was calculated by correcting enrichment in Ala in protein by the enrichment in free Ala at dusk. Results are estimated from the average of three biological replicates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>RGR</th>
<th>Protein Synthesis</th>
<th>Protein Degradation</th>
<th>Half-Life</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mg mg$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Average per 24-h Cycle</td>
<td>Light (T0–T8) per Hour</td>
<td>Dark (T8–T12) per Hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Col-0</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>25.29</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pgm</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>18.02</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio pgm:Col-0</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

estimating RGR. Up to 55% of Arabidopsis rosette dry weight is cell wall (Williams et al., 2010), of which about 20% to 30% is cellulose, with further contributions from hemicellulose, pectin, and proteins (Somerville et al., 2004; Lodish et al., 2011; Somerville et al., 2004). Cellulose and the backbone of hemicellulose consist of β(1-4)-linked D-Glc. Assuming that there is little or no degradation of these polysaccharides, enrichment in Glc in the cell wall should be a reasonable proxy for the rate of growth.

Using material from the experiment of Table IV, cell walls were isolated, thoroughly digested to remove starch, chemically hydrolyzed, and analyzed by GC-TOF-MS to determine the kinetics of enrichment in Glc in the cell wall (Tables V and VI). In this case, we did not apply a correction for incomplete labeling of precursor. Hexose phosphates and UDP-Glc show quite complex labeling kinetics due to the presence of compartmented pools (Szecowka et al., 2013). Suc-6-P, which lies downstream of these metabolites, is rapidly labeled to more than 70% enrichment, giving a minimum value for enrichment in the hexose phosphate and UDP-Glc precursor pools (Szecowka et al., 2013).

In the light period, the rate of label incorporation into Glc in the cell wall is about 50% faster in Col-0 than in pgm (1.52% and 1.01% h$^{-1}$, respectively). During the first 4 h of darkness, growth decreased in Col-0 but remained high in pgm (0.45% and 1.3% h$^{-1}$, respectively). It should be noted that there were relatively small changes in enrichment in this short time interval, so the estimated rates are less reliable than for other time intervals. In the remainder of the night, Glc incorporation continued at the same rate in Col-0 but stopped in pgm (0.04% and 0.02% h$^{-1}$, respectively). In wild-type Col-0, the decrease in the rate of cell wall synthesis in the night compared with the light period (about 3-fold) is similar to the decrease in the rate of protein synthesis. In contrast, in pgm, cell wall synthesis is almost completely inhibited in the last part of the night, whereas (see above) protein synthesis continues at a low rate.

We used the labeling kinetics of Glc in the cell wall to estimate the relative rate of accumulation of structural dry matter (RGR$^{STR}$), assuming that there is no degradation of Glc in cell wall polysaccharides (Tables V and VI; Supplemental Data Set S5). Summing the rates of Glc incorporation estimated from the pulse data gave estimates of RGR$^{STR}$ of 0.192 and 0.135 in Col-0 and pgm, respectively (Tables V and VI). The labeling kinetics in the chase allowed an independent estimate of RGR$^{STR}$ as:

$$RGR^{STR} = \sqrt{\frac{x}{y}} - 1$$

where $x$ and $y$ are enrichment in Glc in cell wall at the end of the pulse and the end of the chase, respectively, and $t$ is the duration of the chase in days. This approach gave estimates of RGR$^{STR}$ of 0.205 in Col-0 and 0.127 in pgm (Tables V and VI). Both approaches yield estimates of RGR$^{STR}$ that are slightly lower than RGR obtained by an analysis of plant size (0.221 and 0.137 mg mg$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$ in Col-0 and pgm, respectively). The slightly lower RGR$^{STR}$ obtained by an analysis of enrichment in cell wall Glc compared with RGR estimated from changes in plant size might be due to enrichment in metabolites in central metabolism saturating at about 90% (Szecowka et al., 2013). Despite this slight discrepancy, RGR$^{STR}$ and RGR showed similar decreases (both about 38%) in pgm compared with Col-0.

**DISCUSSION**

**A Simple Protocol to Measure the Global Rate of Protein Synthesis and Degradation in Whole Plants Growing in a Light/Dark Cycle**

We have established a simple method to quantify the global rate of protein synthesis and protein degradation. By providing $^{13}$CO$_2$ in the atmosphere in the
light, we rapidly introduce label in the pulse and remove it during a chase without perturbing metabolism and growth. Labeled C that accumulates in the light period in transitory C reserves like starch provides an internal source of label during the night.

A key feature is our use of parallel information about enrichment in free amino acids and enrichment in amino acid residues in protein to calculate the absolute rate of protein synthesis. In principle, data for each amino acid could be used separately to provide many parallel estimates of the rate of protein synthesis. However, most amino acids show complex labeling kinetics, with a slow and incomplete increase in enrichment in the light, a partial decrease in enrichment at night during the pulse, and a slow decline in enrichment during the chase (Fig. 1). While the reasons probably vary from case to case, some general features can be distinguished.

The most rapidly and completely labeled amino acids were Ala, Asp, Gly, and Ser. Ala and Asp are formed via reversible reactions from metabolites in central metabolism, and Gly and Ser are intermediates in the photorespiration pathway.

Most other amino acids are synthesized via long dedicated pathways, which may contribute to their slow labeling kinetics. The aromatic amino acids showed quite rapid labeling kinetics. This may be explained by two factors: first, the immediate precursors for the shikimate pathway are the Calvin-Benson cycle intermediate erythrose 4-phosphate and pyruvate, and both show rapid increases in enrichment during a pulse (Szecowka et al., 2013); and, second, flux through the shikimate pathway will be higher than in other amino acid biosynthesis pathways, because the shikimate pathway also provides precursors for phenylpropanoid metabolism. Their labeling was nevertheless slower than for Ala, Asp, Gly, and Ser.

Labeling kinetics are also complicated by the presence of multiple pools with different labeling kinetics. Many amino acids are located in both the cytoplasm and the vacuole (Riens et al., 1991; Weiner and Heldt, 1992; Winter et al., 1992; Krueger et al., 2011; Arrivault et al., 2014), and it is likely that the cytoplasmic pool is more rapidly labeled than the vacuolar pool (Szecowka et al., 2013). There may also be pools in nonphotosynthetic cells that are not rapidly labeled with $^{13}$CO$_2$. As a consequence, for many amino acids, the average enrichment may underestimate the enrichment in the precursor pool for protein synthesis.

Compartmentation may also contribute to the general decrease in average enrichment of free amino acids at night. Amino acid levels increase in the light and decrease at night, when they are used for protein synthesis (Gibon et al., 2009; Piques et al., 2009; Pal et al., 2013). The pools that are formed from newly fixed $^{13}$CO$_2$ in light are probably preferentially utilized at night, resulting in an increase in the contribution of unlabeled or weakly labeled pools at dawn. This is probably the reason for the large decrease in the enrichment of Gly at dawn. Gly undergoes especially large diurnal changes, due to its accumulation during photorespiration. The pool that is involved in photorespiration will be highly enriched (Szecowka et al., 2013). The large decrease in enrichment at dawn would be explained if there is another small and weakly labeled pool of Gly, which is compartmented from the pool that is involved in photorespiration. This weakly labeled pool would make little contribution to total Gly at dusk but a large contribution at dawn.

Incomplete labeling of free amino acid pools could also result from protein degradation, which will recycle unlabeled amino acids. However, turnover is generally quite low, so this is probably only a major factor in special cases, such as the pgm mutant at night.

In some cases, the slow labeling kinetic reflects specific features of metabolic pathways. One example is the unexpectedly slow labeling of Glu and Gln in the light (Fig. 1). This resembles an earlier observation that enrichment in Glu remains high during the first light

### Table V. Rate of cell wall synthesis in Col-0 and the starchless pgm mutant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Increase in Enrichment per Interval</th>
<th>Increase in Enrichment per Hour</th>
<th>Ratio Col-0:pgm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Col-0</td>
<td>pgm</td>
<td>Col-0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0 to T8 (light)</td>
<td>12.15</td>
<td>8.06</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8 to T12 (start of night)</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T12 to T24 (later in night)</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T0 to T24</td>
<td>19.23</td>
<td>13.47</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table VI. RGR and RGR$^{STR}$ in Col-0 and the starchless pgm mutant

Comparison of RGR$^{STR}$ calculated from $^{13}$C enrichment in the chase and RGR calculated from fresh weight and rosette area is shown. The data are for the same experiment as that of Table IV. The original data are provided in Supplemental Data Set S5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plant</th>
<th>RGR$^{STR}$</th>
<th>RGR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Col-0</td>
<td>0.205 0.221</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pgm</td>
<td>0.127 0.137</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
period of a chase when Arabidopsis plants are labeled for several days with $^{13}$CO$_2$ and then chased with CO$_2$ (Huege et al., 2007). It has been seen for some time that citrate levels fall in the light and recover at night (Scheible et al., 2000; Urbanchzyk-Wochniak et al., 2005). It was recently reported that 2-oxoglutarate is labeled only slowly in the light (Szecowka et al., 2013) and that most of the Glu formed in the light derives from a preexisting and thus unlabeled pool of citrate (Tcherkez et al., 2012a, 2012b). Our data confirm that there is strong restriction on the flux of newly fixed $^{13}$C to citrate, Glu, and Gln in the light and show that this restriction is relieved at night (Supplemental Fig. S1). This is presumably due either to inhibition in the light of pyruvate dehydrogenase activity (Tovar-Méndez et al., 2003) or of succinate dehydrogenase and fumarase by the mitochondrial thioredoxin system (Daloso et al., 2015).

Comparison of the labeling kinetics after supplying $^{13}$CO$_2$ to soil-grown plants and after supplying $^2$H$_2$O to seedlings (Yang et al., 2010) reveals a qualitatively similar response for most amino acids. In both studies, Ala, Asp, Ser, and Gly labeled rapidly and reached high enrichment, while most minor amino acids labeled slowly and incompletely or showed biphasic kinetics, pointing to the presence of multiple pools. Ala and Asp labeled from $^2$H$_2$O with half-times on the order of 0.5 to 0.7 h. In our study, half-times cannot be estimated, because the first sampling time point was at 4 h. However, by this time, maximum enrichment had been reached, indicating that the rate of labeling is not much slower than with $^2$H$_2$O. This conclusion is supported by the rapid labeling of Ala reported by Szecowka et al. (2013). However, there were some differences between the labeling kinetics in our study and that of Yang et al. (2010). First, Ala and Asp labeled even more completely from $^2$H$_2$O in seedlings than from $^{13}$CO$_2$ in soil-grown plants. Second, relative to other amino acids, Gly and Ser labeled more slowly from $^2$H$_2$O in seedlings (compared with Ala and Asp, the half-times of Gly and Ser were about 5 and 3 times slower, respectively) than from $^{13}$CO$_2$ (labeling was not noticeably slower than for Ala and Asp; Szecowka et al., 2013). Third, Glu labeled more rapidly from $^2$H$_2$O in seedlings than from $^{13}$CO$_2$ in soil-grown plants. These differences may be due in part to different pool sizes and compartmentation in seedlings and older plants. They may also reflect a lower contribution of photosynthesis in the seedling system. A significant part of the seedling is nonphotosynthetic tissue, and their leaves were submerged, which is likely to reduce CO$_2$ access and the rate of photosynthesis. This might explain why, despite the $^2$H$_2$O labeling of seedlings being performed in the light, labeling of Gly and Ser was relatively slow and labeling of Glu was much faster than in our experiments. It is also noteworthy that Yang et al. (2010) showed that the labeling kinetics of Ala and Asp are more than 50-fold slower from $^{15}$NO$_3$ than from $^2$H$_2$O. Overall, the study of Yang et al. (2010) and our study show that most individual amino acids have complex and incomplete labeling patterns and underline the importance of taking this into account in any study of the rate of protein synthesis.

The reason for the complex labeling pattern of free amino acids will affect how this information should be used to calculate the rate of protein synthesis. For example, if low enrichment is due to the presence of multiple pools, use of the average value will result in overestimation of the rate of protein synthesis, because average enrichment will underestimate enrichment in the precursor pool. For this reason, we decided to use Ala, which showed the most rapid and complete labeling kinetics. The rate of protein synthesis will be slightly overestimated if the incomplete labeling of Ala is due to a separate unlabeled pool that is not involved in protein synthesis. However, analysis of the isotopomer labeling kinetics did not reveal any evidence for a small unlabeled pool of Ala. Any error introduced by the correction will be small anyway, because the overall enrichment in free Ala is high (typically, 80% in the light and 70% in the dark). Furthermore, our published analyses indicate that enrichment of Calvin-Benson cycle intermediates does not rise above 90%, presumably due to continual recycling of unlabeled C (Szecowka et al., 2013). In tissues or treatments where no amino acid shows a suitable enrichment pattern, it will be necessary to analyze the reasons for the incomplete enrichment in more detail to decide how to apply the correction.

Other small errors can arise in our simple protocol. One is that we did not measure the precise rate at which $^{13}$C enrichment increased in Ala at the start of the pulse. Data from Szecowka et al. (2013) indicate that this occurs within 1 h even when labeling commences in the middle of the light period in the presence of a large unlabeled pool of Ala. Therefore, it is possible that our protocol results in a small but systematic underestimation of the rate of protein synthesis in the light. Another potential source of error is that some protein synthesis may occur in cell types that are not being labeled in the time frame of the $^{13}$CO$_2$ pulse. The observation that Ala showed similar enrichment in very young leaves and in mature leaves (Fig. 2C) argues against this being a general problem, but we cannot exclude the possibility that some specific cell types are missing from our analysis. Our calculation will also underestimate the rate of protein synthesis in conditions where rapid protein degradation recycles substantial amounts of label out of protein; however, in our experiments, this error is negligible, because the protein pool was only labeled up to about 25% and the rate of protein degradation was low in almost all investigated conditions.

It was necessary to perform pulse and chase experiments for a fairly long time, due to the relatively low rate of protein synthesis. Long pulses are also needed to analyze protein synthesis throughout the light/dark cycle. During this time, metabolism is moving through a series of quasisteady states. Our approach, which
involves comparison of a single precursor pool and a single product, can be applied to nonsteady-state conditions. While there are more sophisticated computational methods that analyze dynamic labeling patterns in large metabolic networks, they can only be applied when the metabolism is in steady state (Antoniewicz et al., 2006; Yuan et al., 2006, 2008; Young et al., 2011) and, in higher plants, also require assumptions about the spatial compartmentation (Szecowka et al., 2013; Heise et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2014). Although recent theoretical developments allow the analysis of dynamic labeling in metabolic nonsteady state in microbes (Antoniewicz, 2013), it remains challenging to apply these methods in higher plants.

While small errors will not greatly affect estimates of protein synthesis rates, they will affect the estimates of protein degradation rates. The latter are estimated from the difference between the rate of protein synthesis and the rate of growth. The rate of protein synthesis is often only slightly higher than the rate of growth, making our estimates of the rate of protein degradation sensitive to experimental and computational error.

Measurement of Plant Growth Rate

Estimation of the rate of protein degradation requires information about the growth rate. RGR was estimated by destructive harvesting of batches of plants during the pulse and chase. These values were confirmed by repeating these measurements in biologically replicated experiments with separately grown batches of plants in the same growth conditions (Supplemental Fig. S5C) and by time-series imaging of the leaf area of individual plants during the labeling experiments.

However, changes in plant size may not always reflect changes in biomass, defined as structural dry mass. They will differ, for example, when vacuole expansion is leading to a change in plant size that is not tightly coupled to changes in structural biomass. Therefore, we explored whether the $^{13}$CO$_2$ labeling could be used to monitor the enrichment of Glc in cell wall material and, hence, the rate of cell wall synthesis (Tables V and VI; Supplemental Data S5). This approach gave estimates of growth rate that agreed well with those obtained by measurements of plant size. It should be noted that this conclusion holds for an entire 24-h cycle but does not exclude the possibility that there may be temporal uncoupling of cell wall synthesis and increases in plant size at some times during the 24-h cycle (see below). A related problem will arise if expansion growth or other factors lead to a change in protein content during the experiment. In such cases, it is important to measure protein content and integrate this information into the calculation of protein degradation, as illustrated by the inclusion of information about changes in protein content in our analysis of the flux to growth and the rate of protein degradation in young leaves (Fig. 3).

Rates of Protein Synthesis and Degradation during a Diurnal Cycle

Our analysis reveals, for Arabidopsis Col-0 growing in short photoperiods, that the rate of protein synthesis in the light is about 3-fold higher than in the dark. This is a much larger decrease than for polysome loading, which only decreases by 25% to 30% (Pal et al., 2013; Sulpice et al., 2014). This comparison emphasizes that polysome loading only provides a qualitative proxy for the rate of protein synthesis. Several factors may lead to polysome loading underestimating the actual changes in the rate of protein synthesis, including changes in the rate of progression of ribosomes, ribosome stalling, or the presence of RNA in other high-mass structures.

As the plants were growing in an 8-h photoperiod, the amount of protein synthesized at night was about 40% of the total protein synthesis in a 24-h cycle. Cell wall synthesis also continues in the night at about one-third of the rate in the light, which is equivalent to about 40% of the cell wall synthesis occurring at night. These results underline the importance of nocturnal metabolism for growth. In an 8-h photoperiod, Col-0 accumulates about 52% of the daily fixed C as starch and other metabolites to support respiration and growth at night (Sulpice et al., 2014), of which about 10% is required, leaving about 40% for the synthesis of structural biomass.

The rate of growth was about 22% d$^{-1}$ in Col-0 in our growth conditions. Most of the synthesized protein, therefore, represents flux to growth. The estimated rate of protein degradation was 3.1% to 3.5% d$^{-1}$, which is much lower than the flux to growth. A combination of dilution by growth and protein degradation results in a global protein half-life of 3.1 to 3.5 d. This is similar to that predicted for Arabidopsis rosettes using ribosome abundance and polysome loading to model the global rate of protein synthesis (Piques et al., 2009). Thus, our results validate this simple linear model, which used literature values for the rate of ribosome progression to link quantitative molecular data to whole-plant growth. Our estimates also lie in a similar range to those obtained in experimental studies of small sets of mitochondrial proteins in Arabidopsis cell cultures (Nelson et al., 2013) and Arabidopsis rosettes (Li et al., 2012) and a set of 508 proteins in barley leaves (Nelson et al., 2014b).

Inappropriate Regulation of C Allocation Has Major Consequences for Protein Synthesis and Degradation

Starch turnover buffers plants against the daily alternation of light and dark (Smith and Stitt, 2007; Stitt and Zeeman, 2012). We used the starchless pgm mutant to investigate the importance of starch for the rate and timing of protein synthesis and degradation. Protein synthesis in pgm occurred at a similar rate to wild-type plants in the light but was strongly inhibited in the dark. Nonetheless, even though pgm is severely C starved at night (Gibon et al., 2004b, 2006), some protein synthesis
continued; the rate of protein synthesis in pgm in the last 12 h of the night was 40% of that in Col-0 in the night and 14% of that in Col-0 and pgm in the light. C starvation leads to strong changes in transcription, including the induction of many genes (Price et al., 2004; Bläsing et al., 2005; Usadel et al., 2008). Some of the residual protein synthesis in the night in pgm might be related to the synthesis of proteins that are required for C starvation responses. In agreement, C starvation-related enzymes like Glu dehydrogenase show an increase in abundance in pgm (Gibon et al., 2004a). The composition of ribosomes changes in response to C starvation (Hummel et al., 2012), although it is not yet known if this occurs rapidly enough to contribute to translational responses during the night in the pgm mutant.

The inhibition of cell wall synthesis at night in pgm was stronger than the inhibition of protein synthesis. This is consistent with the idea that the residual protein synthesis may be related to adjustment to low C, rather than growth. The partial inhibition of cell wall synthesis in the night in wild-type Col-0 and the complete inhibition in pgm underlines that the synthesis of cellulose, and probably other cell wall components, is tightly regulated by C.

Whereas amino acid levels decline during the night in wild-type Arabidopsis (Gibon et al., 2009; Pal et al., 2013; Sulpice et al., 2014), they increase in the pgm mutant (Gibon et al., 2006; Izumi et al., 2013), indicating that protein degradation is increased to provide amino acids as an alternative substrate for respiration. Our measurements provide direct evidence that protein degradation is increased at night in the pgm mutant. First, calculated rates of protein degradation are almost 2-fold higher in pgm than in wild-type plants. Second, there is a dramatic decrease in enrichment in almost all free amino acids at night in pgm, as expected if increased protein degradation is leading to rapid recycling of unlabeled amino acids. The only exception was Asn. C starvation leads to a strong increase in ASN1 transcript abundance (Lam et al., 1996, 1998; Bläsing et al., 2005; Usadel et al., 2008). The level of Asn typically increases strongly in C-starved plants, providing a store for amino acid residues that are recycled from respired amino acids (Brouquisse et al., 1991; Lam et al., 1996; Gibon et al., 2006). The increase in Asn enrichment during the night in pgm, at a time when enrichment in all other amino acids is falling, provides evidence for the synthesis of Asn from prelabeled C pools, which may include Asp and organic acids like malate and fumarate.

The pgm mutant has an approximately 40% smaller daily net increment in protein than wild-type Col-0 (11.9%–13.7% d⁻¹ in pgm compared with 20.5%–22.1% d⁻¹ in Col-0). This closely matches the observed decrease in growth (38%) estimated either from size measurements or from Glc incorporation into the cell wall. This decrease in net protein synthesis is the result of a 29% reduction in the amount of protein synthesized per 24-h cycle, due to the lower rate of protein synthesis in the night, and a 26% to 35% increase in the rate of protein degradation (Table III). The decrease in growth-related protein synthesis may be even larger, because some of the protein synthesis at night in pgm may serve to produce proteins that are involved in C starvation responses (see above). Furthermore, this daily alternation between net protein synthesis and degradation results in a time offset and wasteful futile cycle in pgm, in which energy is used to synthesize proteins in the light period that are degraded in the dark. The low rate of protein synthesis during the night and the daily cycle of protein synthesis and degradation will also result in less efficient use of the translational apparatus in pgm than in wild-type Col-0. Many explanations have been advanced for the poor growth of pgm in short photoperiods, ranging from loss of C due to rapid respiration as sugars decline from high to low levels at the start of the night (Caspar et al., 1985) to disturbances in root metabolism (Brauner et al., 2014). However, they do not provide a quantitative explanation for the growth inhibition; indeed, the increase in respiration accounts for only a small proportion of the assimilated C. Furthermore, some of these effects may be secondary; for example, the high rate of respiration in the first part of the night can be at least partly explained as a consequence of the continuation of protein and cell wall synthesis at this time in the pgm mutant, rather than as wasteful stimulation of respiration by high sugar.

Changes in Temperature Do Not Have a Major Impact on Protein Degradation

High temperature results in an increase in respiration, in particular maintenance respiration, (Penning de Vries et al., 1979; Amthor, 2000). In Arabidopsis, maintenance respiration doubles between growth temperatures of 16°C and 24°C (PY1 et al., 2012). The major maintenance costs are thought to be protein turnover and the maintenance of gradients across membranes (Penning de Vries, 1975; Amthor, 2000). Our results show that increasing the growth temperature from 20°C to 28°C leads to a parallel 30% increase in both the rate of protein synthesis and the rate of protein degradation. This indicates that, although an increase in protein turnover could contribute to the rise in maintenance respiration at high temperature, it is unlikely to be the only factor. Two other recent studies also indicate that protein turnover is not the main factor underlying the increase in maintenance respiration at high temperature. Pilkington et al. (2015) estimated that the ATP provided by maintenance respiration in Arabidopsis growing in a short photoperiod would support the synthesis and degradation of 4% of the total protein per h, which is 10-fold higher than the measured rate of protein degradation. Cheung et al. (2013) used metabolic flux balance analysis to estimate the rate of consumption of ATP and NADPH during maintenance in Arabidopsis cell
cultures and concluded that up to one-third of the output of maintenance respiration was NADPH, which is presumably used for processes other than protein synthesis.

Darkness Does Not Lead to a Preferential Inhibition of all Protein Synthesis in the Plastid

Although our protocol was designed to analyze global protein synthesis, we were interested to learn if it could be modified to investigate individual proteins. Using a procedure adapted from Allen et al. (2012), we found that synthesis of the plastid-encoded RBCL continues in the dark, being inhibited to approximately the same extent as the synthesis of the nucleus-encoded RBCS and the overall rate of protein synthesis. This was initially surprising, as it is thought that plastid translation is inhibited in the dark (Marín-Navarro et al., 2007). This idea is based on studies of protein synthesis in isolated chloroplasts and on studies of plastid-encoded proteins that are targeted to the thylakoids. However, isolated chloroplasts may not be a perfect model for studying plastid protein synthesis in whole leaves. It is also possible that the synthesis of a soluble protein like RBCL may be differently regulated from proteins that are assembled into protein complexes in the thylakoid membrane and whose targeting and assembly may be light dependent (Keegstra and Cline, 1999; Cline and Dabney-Smith, 2008). Furthermore, as Rubisco represents up to 40% of total leaf protein (Eckardt et al., 1997), restricting its synthesis to the light period would severely restrict the availability of ribosomes for the synthesis of other protein species.

The question arises of whether our approach can be adapted to analyze the synthesis and degradation of less abundant proteins. One possibility might be to combine it with the approach used by Yang et al. (2010), who overexpressed tagged variants of selected proteins to aid their purification. This approach will probably be limited by the sensitivity of the detection of amino acids in gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. Alternatively, 13C-labeled protein might be converted to peptides for analysis, as was done by Yang et al. (2010). In this case, the major challenges will be deconvolution of the complex envelope and correction of the enrichment for all amino acids in the peptide, including many with very incomplete enrichment in the precursor free amino acid pool. These challenges are shared by strategies using 2H2O labeling. While the peptide envelope is simpler when 15N is used as a label (Li et al., 2012; Nelson et al., 2014a, 2014b), the slow labeling kinetic of amino acids in higher plants (Yang et al., 2010) means that this approach is probably unsuited for rapidly turning over proteins. The decision between labeling with 13CO2 and 2H2O may depend on the tissue and condition under investigation, as this will affect which isotope can be introduced with the least disturbance, the extent to which inhibitory effects of 2H2O can be minimized, and the speed and completeness of the labeling kinetics of free amino acid pools.

In conclusion, we have developed a relatively simple method that introduces label via photosynthetic CO2 fixation and provides quantitative information on the global rate of protein synthesis and degradation. The key feature is parallel determination of isotope enrichment in the products and in the metabolite precursors from which they are synthesized. We have applied this approach to investigate the relationship between protein metabolism, the plant energy budget and growth, and the impact of development and environmental perturbations on protein synthesis and degradation. While it can also be used to study individual proteins, this application will probably be restricted to abundant proteins. We have shown that the approach can be extended to provide quantitative information about the rate of cell wall synthesis and expect that it will also be applicable to other classes of structural components as well as specialized metabolites. This would allow a comprehensive analysis of the rates of synthesis of all major structural components in the plant as well as major defense metabolites. An equally important challenge will be to adapt this approach to introduce 13CO2 via photosynthesis, allow label to move through the plant via endogenous transport routes, and analyze fluxes to growth in nonphotosynthetic organs such as roots and seeds.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Growth Conditions

Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) Col-0 seeds were germinated and grown in soil for 1 week with 16 h of light (20°C in the light and 6°C at night, 150 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ fluorescent light, and 60–70% relative humidity) before transfer to short days (8 h of light, 150 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹, 20°C/19°C in the light and dark, and 60–70% relative humidity). Fourteen days after sowing, five seedlings were pricked into 10-cm pots and grown under the same conditions in a controlled-environment chamber (model E-36L, Percival Scientific; Thimm et al., 2004). In some experiments, Col-0 plants were transferred to short days with 28°C/28°C in the light and dark (8 h of light, 150 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹, and 60–70% relative humidity) at 18 d after sowing and used for the labeling experiment at 21 d after sowing. The pgm mutant was germinated as above and grown in a 12-h photoperiod (20°C/19°C in the light and dark, 150 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ fluorescent light, and 60–70% relative humidity) until 25 d after sowing and then shifted to an 8-h photoperiod 3 d prior to the experiment at 28 d after sowing.

13CO2 Feeding Experiments

13CO2 feeding was carried in a Plexiglas box (internal dimensions, 60 × 31 × 17.4 cm, holding up to 17 10-cm pots, each containing five plants) in a Percival controlled-environment chamber. Three-week-old plants were transferred to the chamber 3 d before the experiment. The labeling chamber was supplied with a premixed air stream containing 450 μL L⁻¹ 13CO2 or 13CO2, 21% (v/v) oxygen, and 79% (v/v) nitrogen. At a flow rate of 5 L min⁻¹, the air in the chamber was completely replaced in 20 min. During 13CO2 feeding, the air exiting the box was passed through a gas-wash bottle filled with soda lime pellets with indicator (Mercer Millipore) to prevent the release of 13CO2 into the environment. Under specified, 13CO2 feeding was performed in growth conditions with photon flux density and temperature inside the box of approximately 150 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ and 20°C/18°C in light and dark, respectively. Labeling started 1 h before dawn and was continued through an entire light and dark period. Plants were harvested by opening the lid of the labeling chamber, removing the plants and submerging them in liquid N2, and
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reusing the lid within 10 s. Immediately following harvest, leaf tissues were frozen in liquid nitrogen. Samples were ground to a fine powder at −70°C using a cryogenic grinding robot (http://www.labman.co.uk/portfolio-type/cryogenic-plant-grinder-and-dispensing-systems; Labman Automation) and stored until analysis at −80°C.

Metabolite and Protein Analysis

Homogenized frozen plant material (30 mg) was extracted with methanol followed by phase separation using chloroform-water as described by Heise et al. (2014). Ice-cold 100% (v/v) methanol and ribitol (1.31 mM) solution as an internal quantitative standard were added to the homogenized plant material and incubated for 10 min at 70°C. After the incubation, the samples were centrifuged. The pellet was kept for protein and cell wall analysis. The supernatant was used for methoxysilylation of metabolites by following Equation 2:

\[
\text{enrichment} = \frac{\sum n_i (m_i \times m) - m_0}{m_0} \times 100
\]

where \( n \) represents the number of \(^{13}\)C atoms in the detected fragment, and \( m_0 \) is the corrected intensity of a mass fragment that contains \( n \) \(^{13}\)C atoms. In addition, relative isotope abundance (RIA) for each metabolite was calculated by following Equation 2:

\[
\text{RIA} = \frac{m_i}{\sum m_i} \times 100
\]

Signal intensity was high enough to quantify the \(^{13}\)C enrichment in Glu, Asp, Ala, Ser, Gly, Ile, Val, Lys, Phe, Tyr, and Pro, but other amino acids could not be analyzed due to low abundance.

Cell Wall Analysis

The pellet remaining after protein extraction was used for cell wall analysis as described by Saemann et al. (1945) with modifications. After removing the urea/thiourea solution from the pellet by washing five times with distilled water, the pellet was resuspended in 0.3 mL of 0.1 M acetic acid/NaOH (pH 4.9). Starch in the pellet was removed in two sequential 16-h digestions with 0.2 mL of starch degradation mix (16.8 units mL\(^{-1}\) amylglucosidase and 30 units mL\(^{-1}\) α-amylase) at 37°C. The remaining pellet was thoroughly washed three times by mixing it with 0.5 mL of distilled water. The sample was then incubated in 72% (v/v) sulfuric acid in 2-mL screw-cap microcentrifuge tubes at room temperature for 1 h, water was added to the sample to adjust sulfuric acid to 1 M, and incubation was continued for 3 h at 100°C to hydrolyze polysaccharides including cellulose. The hydrolysate was neutralized with 1 mL of 20% (v/v) Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\), and excess Na\(_2\)CO\(_3\) was then removed from the aqueous phase by washing three times with 1 mL of 100% (v/v) chloroform. The hydrolysate was dried and stored at −80°C until subsequent derivatization for GC-TOF-MS analysis to quantify \(^{13}\)C enrichment in Glc.

Determination of Enrichment in RBCL and RBCS

RBCL and RBCS were isolated from total protein and analyzed for \(^{13}\)C enrichment as described by Allen et al. (2012) with modifications. Protein was separated on 15% (v/v) SDS-PAGE Tris-HCl gels (PowerPac Universal Suppy; Bio-Rad). The gel was electroblotted to a Bio-Rad immunoblot polyvinylidene difluoride membrane and stained with Ponceau S solution (Sigma-Aldrich). Using M\(_2\) markers, RBCL and RBCS bands were located, excised from the membrane, and destained. Protein amino acids were hydrolyzed on the membrane overnight with 6 N hydrochloric acid at 110°C. The hydrolysate was dried and stored at −80°C until subsequent derivatization for GC-TOF-MS analysis.

RGR

The RGR of rosette biomass (mg mg\(^{-1}\) d\(^{-1}\)) was determined by estimating the slope of the natural logarithm-transformed plant weight measured for at least 3 d consecutively during the experiment (Hoffmann and Poorter, 2002). RGR from the rosette leaf area (mm\(^2\) mm\(^{-2}\) d\(^{-1}\)) was estimated from images acquired using a Canon PowerShot SX500 IS digital camera. Area was extracted from the images using Adobe Photoshop CS5.

Estimation of the Change in Protein Content per Day in Young Growing Leaves

Calculation of the rate of protein degradation in young growing leaves (Fig. 3) required information about the rate at which the protein content decreased due to the relatively large technical error in measuring protein and the limited material in young leaf samples; this could not be accurately determined in the samples used for \(^{13}\)C enrichment analysis. In parallel experiments, Arabidopsis Col-0 was grown in identical conditions, and after 21 d, sequential leaves were pooled from 60 plants, and leaf area and protein were determined from three biological replicates (Supplemental Table S3, worksheet leaf protein calculation). The rate of leaf initiation (0.41 leaves d\(^{-1}\)) was determined in the same material, allowing the data for leaf area and protein content for each leaf to be plotted on a time scale. These plots were inspected to determine the change in protein content per day: \(\Delta P_i = P_i - P_{i-1})/P_i\), where \(\Delta P_i\) is the decrease in protein per day in leaf \(i\) and \(P_i\) and \(P_{i-1}\) are the leaf protein content in leaf \(i\) and the protein content of leaf \(i\) the next day, respectively. The protein required per day for growth was calculated as \(LRGR_i \times (1 - \Delta P_i)\), where LRGR is the LRGR in leaf \(i\) obtained from sequential images of the rosettes from 10 plants.

Supplemental Data

The following supplemental materials are available.

Supplemental Figure S1. Enrichment kinetics of organic acids in Col-0 growing in an 8-h photoperiod.

Supplemental Figure S2. Labeling kinetics for all detected isotopomers of the free amino acid pools in a pulse and chase in Col-0 growing in an 8-h photoperiod.

Supplemental Figure S3. Comparison of enrichment in the free amino acid pool with the rate of incorporation of label into the corresponding amino acid residue in protein.

Supplemental Figure S4. Comparison of the \(^{13}\)C washout curve for different amino acid residues in protein during the chase.

Supplemental Figure S5. Determination of the RGR of Col-0 growing in an 8-h photoperiod.

Supplemental Figure S6. Kinetics of changes in enrichment in free amino acids during a 24-h pulse in wild-type Col-0 and the starchless pgm mutant growing in an 8-h photoperiod.

Supplemental Table S1. Enrichment in free amino acids, other metabolites, and amino acids in protein in Col-0 growing in an 8-h photoperiod.

Supplemental Table S2. Changes in the levels of amino acids and other metabolites between dawn and dusk in Col-0 growing in an 8-h photoperiod.

Supplemental Table S3. Protein synthesis and degradation rates estimated at different growth stages of leaf development.

Supplemental Table S4. Enrichment in free amino acids, other metabolites, and amino acids in protein in Col-0 and the starchless mutant pgm growing in an 8-h photoperiod.
Supplemental Data Set S1. Col-0 growing in an 8-h photoperiod at 20°C.
Supplemental Data Set S2. Six stages of leaf development in Col-0 growing in an 8-h photoperiod at 20°C.
Supplemental Data Set S3. Col-0 growing in an 8-h photoperiod at 20°C and 28°C.
Supplemental Data Set S4. Enrichment in RBCL and RBCS in the light and dark in Col-0 growing in an 8-h photoperiod at 20°C.
Supplemental Data Set S5. Col-0 and the starchless ppm mutant growing in an 8-h photoperiod at 20°C.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Christin Abel for help and Stéphanie Arrivault, John E. Lunn, Roosa A.E. Laitinen, and Carlos Figueroa for discussions.

Received February 11, 2015; accepted March 25, 2015; published March 25, 2015.

LITERATURE CITED

Gibon Y, Blässing OE, Palacios-Rojas N, Kamlage B, Höhne M, Stitt M (2004b) Adjustment of diurnal starch turn-over to short days: depletion of sugar during the night leads to a temporary inhibition of carbohydrate utilization, accumulation of sugars and post-translational activation of ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase in the following light period. Plant J 39: 847–862

Downloaded from on October 15, 2017 - Published by www.planphysiol.org
Copyright © 2015 American Society of Plant Biologists. All rights reserved.


In the “Discussion,” the sentence “However, they do not provide a quantitative explanation for the growth inhibition; indeed, the increase in respiration accounts for only a small proportion of the assimilated C.” should be corrected to “However, they do not provide a quantitative explanation for the growth inhibition in short photoperiods (but for long photoperiods, see Brauner et al., 2014).”

In short photoperiods, phosphoglucomutase become severely carbon starved at night, leading to large-scale changes in gene expression and an inhibition of growth in the night. The inhibition of growth is smaller in long photoperiods. Brauner et al. (2014) show that root respiration is increased in phosphoglucomutase in long photoperiods. By modeling the whole-plant carbon balance, they show that this increase in root respiration largely accounts for the inhibition of growth in long photoperiods.

We thank Katrin Brauner for drawing our attention to the role of root respiration in long photoperiods.