Tissue-Specific Apocarotenoid Glycosylation Contributes to Carotenoid Homeostasis in Arabidopsis Leaves
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Attaining defined steady-state carotenoid levels requires balancing of the rates governing their synthesis and metabolism. Phytoene formation mediated by phytoene synthase (PSY) is rate limiting in the biosynthesis of carotenoids, whereas carotenoid catabolism involves a multitude of nonenzymatic and enzymatic processes. We investigated carotenoid and apocarotenoid formation in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) in response to enhanced pathway flux upon PSY overexpression. This resulted in a dramatic accumulation of mainly β-carotene in roots and nongreen calli, whereas carotenoids remained unchanged in leaves. We show that, in chloroplasts, surplus PSY was partially soluble, localized in the stroma and, therefore, inactive, whereas the membrane-bound portion mediated a doubling of phytoene synthesis rates. Increased pathway flux was not compensated by enhanced generation of long-chain apocarotenals but resulted in higher levels of C13 apocarotenoid glycosides (AGs). Using mutant lines deficient in carotenoid cleavage dioxygenases (CCDs), we identified CCD4 as being mainly responsible for the majority of AGs formed. Moreover, changed AG patterns in the carotene hydroxylase mutants lut1 deficient1 (lut1) and lut5 exhibiting altered leaf carotenoids allowed us to define specific xanthophyll species as precursors for the apocarotenoid aglycons detected. In contrast to leaves, carotenoid hyperaccumulating roots contained higher levels of β-carotene-derived apocarotenals, whereas AGs were absent. These contrasting responses are associated with tissue-specific capacities to synthesize xanthophylls, which thus determine the modes of carotenoid accumulation and apocarotenoid formation.

In plants, the synthesis of carotenoids is plastid localized, with the plastid type determining their function (Ruiz-Sola and Rodríguez-Concepción, 2012; Nisar et al., 2015). In nonphotosynthetic chromoplasts, carotenoids and their volatile derivatives attract pollinating insects or zoochoric animals. Here, carotenoids are sequestered in diverse suborganellar structures, which can be tubulous, globulous, membranous, or crystalline (Sitte et al., 1980; Egea et al., 2010). In chloroplasts, carotenoids are present in light-harvesting complex proteins and photosynthetic reaction centers. They extend the light spectrum used for photosynthetic energy transformation and act photoprotectively because of their ability to quench excitation energy from singlet- or triplet-state chlorophylls, thereby decreasing the risk that singlet oxygen forms (Niyogi, 1999; Demmig-Adams and Adams, 2002). Furthermore, the regulated epoxidation and deepoxidation of zeaxanthin in the xanthophyll cycle contribute to the nonphotochemical quenching of energy (Niyogi, 1999; Ballottari et al., 2014). In contrast to these processes, which maintain carotenoid integrity, carotenoids are also capable of chemically quenching singlet oxygen by their own oxidation, which is accompanied by the release of various carotenoid degradation products (Ramel et al., 2012a, 2013).

The various functions of carotenoids require their dynamic qualitative and quantitative tuning in response to environmental conditions to attain and maintain adequate steady-state concentrations. These include both the regulation of their synthesis and the formation, release, or disposal of their breakdown products. The synthesis of carotenoids is initiated by the condensation of two molecules of geranylgeranyl diphosphate to form phytoene catalyzed by the enzyme phytoene synthase (PSY), which is considered as the rate-limiting enzyme (von Lintig et al., 1997; Li et al., 2008; Rodríguez-Villalón et al.,...
2009; Welsch et al., 2010; Zhou et al., 2015). In plants, two desaturases, phytoene desaturase and \(\xi\)-carotene desaturase, and two carotene cis-trans-isomerases convert the colorless phytoene into the red-colored all-trans-lycopene (Isaacson et al., 2002; Park et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2011). Two lycopene cyclases introduce either \(\beta\)- or \(\epsilon\)-ionone rings, yielding \(\alpha\)-(\(\epsilon\),\(\beta\))-carotene and \(\beta\)-(\(\epsilon\),\(\beta\))-carotene. In Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana), four enzymes hydroxylate carotenones with partially overlapping substrate specificity (Kim et al., 2009). Two nonheme iron-dependent \(\beta\)-carotene hydroxylases (BCH1, BCH2, convert \(\beta\)-carotene into zeaxanthin. The second set of hydroxylases, cytochrome P450 (CYP97A3 and CYP97C1, prefers \(\alpha\)-carotene and produces zeinoxanthin and lutein, respectively. Absence of each cytochrome P450 hydroxylase constitutes a distinct phenotype, named lutein deficient5 (lut5) for CYP97A3 deficiency and lut1 for CYP97C1 deficiency, characterized by altered pigment compositions and the accumulation of monohydroxylated intermediates, whereas deficiency in BCH1 and BCH2 does not affect the pigment composition.

In green tissues, photooxidative destruction seemingly predominates and consumes carotenoids (Simkin et al., 2003). Moreover, \(^{14}\)CO\(_2\) pulse-chase experiments with Arabidopsis leaves identified \(\alpha\)- and \(\beta\)-carotene as the main targets for photooxidation, whereas xanthophylls were less affected (Beisel et al., 2010). Oxidation assays with \(\beta\)-carotene showed epoxy- and peroxyster derivatives as the main primary products, which however, undergo additional reactions, yielding more stable degradation products that are, in part, the same apocarotenones/ones as those being produced enzymatically (Ramel et al., 2012a, 2013).

In Arabidopsis, genes coding for carotenoid cleaving enzymes (carotenoid cleavage dioxygenases [CCDs]) form a small gene family comprising nine members, five of which are attributed to the synthesis of abscisic acid (ABA; nine-cis-epoxyxcarotenoid cleavage dioxygenases [NCEDs]; AtNCED2, AtNCED3, AtNCED5, AtNCED6, and AtNCED9; Iuchi et al., 2001; Tan et al., 2003), whereas two are committed to strigolactone biosynthesis (CCD7/MORE AXILLARY GROWTH3 [MAX3] and CCD8/MAX4; Alder et al., 2012; Bruno et al., 2014). Orthologs of CCD1 are involved in the generation of volatile apocarotenoids contributing to flower scents and aroma production (e.g. saffron [Crocus sativus; Rubio et al., 2008; Frusciane et al., 2014] and tomato [Solanum lycopersicum; Simkin et al., 2004]), whereas CCD4 enzymes are involved in citrus peel and chrysanthemum (Chrysanthemum morifolium) petal coloration (Ohmiya et al., 2006; Rodrigo et al., 2013). Recent analysis of Arabidopsis mutants revealed a major function of CCD4 in regulating seed carotenoid content with only a minor contribution of CCD1 (Gonzalez-Jorge et al., 2013). Moreover, CCD4 activity was required for the synthesis of an apocarotenoid-derived signaling molecule involved in leaf development and retrograde gene expression (Avendaño-Vázquez et al., 2014).

Elevated carotenoid pathway flux caused by PSY overexpression increases carotenoid accumulation in various nongreen tissues, such as tomato fruits, canola (Brassica napus) seeds, cassava (Manihot esculenta) roots, and rice (Oryza sativa) endosperm (Shewmaker et al., 1999; Ye et al., 2000; Fraser et al., 2002; Welsch et al., 2010). Similarly, the constitutive overexpression of PSY in Arabidopsis results in dramatically increased carotenoid amounts accumulating as crystals in nongreen tissues, such as roots and callus, yielding \(\beta\)-carotene as the main product (Maass et al., 2009). However, leaves of the very same plants do not show altered pigment composition, and phytoene or other pathway intermediates are not detected. Similarly, increased levels of active PSY protein achieved though overexpression of the ORANGE protein exclusively affect carotenoid amounts in roots but not in leaves (Zhou et al., 2015). Leaves from constitutively PSY-overexpressing tomato and tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) plants are also reported to show only slightly increased carotenoid levels compared with the wild-type control (Fray et al., 1995; Busch et al., 2002). These contrasting responses of leaves versus nongreen tissues to elevated pathway flux suggest fundamental differences in the modes of carotenoid formation and/or degradation.

In this work, we identified xanthophyll-derived apocarotenoid glycosides (AGs) in Arabidopsis leaves that increase upon higher pathway flux. This suggests that apocarotenoid glycosylation functions as a valve regulating carotenoid steady-state levels in leaves. The analysis of Arabidopsis mutants enabled us to conclude on potential precursor carotenoids and assess the contribution of carotenoid cleavage enzymes on their formation. Moreover, apocarotenoids but not the identified glycosides were increased in carotenoid-hyperaccumulating roots, indicating tissue-specific different modes of carotenoid turnover regulation.

RESULTS

Carotenoid Pathway Flux and PSY Localization upon Increased PSY Protein Levels

In Arabidopsis roots, the constitutive AtPSY overexpression results in an up to 100-fold increased carotenoid accumulation. In contrast, leaves of these lines do not respond and contain carotenoid amounts like the wild type, although PSY protein levels are strongly elevated (Maass et al., 2009). Increased pathway flux might be compensated by higher turnover of leaf carotenoids. Alternatively, overexpressed PSY protein might be enzymatically inactive. To discriminate, we determined the phytoene synthesis rates in detached leaves using the phytoene desaturase inhibitor norflurazon (NFZ) assuming that phytoene exhibits an increased resistance toward degradation processes (Simkin et al., 2003). We followed an established protocol consisting of a 2-h preincubation in the dark followed by a 4-h illumination on NFZ solution (Beisel et al., 2011). Wild-type leaves accumulated about 20 mmol of phytoene per
1 mol chlorophyll (chl) a + b (or 0.1 μg of phytoene per 1 mg of dry weight) within 4 h of exposure to control light (Fig. 1), whereas high light intensity further increased phytoene levels by 5-fold, reaching 100 mmol of phytoene per 1 mol chl a + b.

NFZ-treated leaves of AtPSY-overexpressing lines illuminated with high light accumulated the same high phytoene levels of 100 mmol of phytoene per 1 mol chl a + b. However, the illumination with control light yielded about 50 mmol of phytoene per 1 mol chl a + b, thus 2.5-fold higher levels compared with wild-type leaves. This indicates that higher PSY protein amounts in the transgenics do increase the pathway flux, although leaf steady-state carotenoid levels remain unaffected. At high light intensities, phytoene synthesis is apparently limited by other factors, such as an exhausted precursor pool.

The 2-fold increased phytoene synthesis capacity in AtPSY-overexpressing lines contrasts with the much larger up to 20-fold higher PSY protein levels in leaves (Maass et al., 2009) and chloroplast extracts (Fig. 1D). Chloroplast subfractionation revealed that PSY was, in fact, elevated by only about 2-fold in the membranes; the remainder is apparently soluble in the stroma and therefore, inactive. In vitro measurements of PSY activity confirmed an almost 2-fold higher PSY activity in the membrane fractions (0.157 ± 0.062 nmol of [14C]phytoene min⁻¹ in At22 versus 0.082 ± 0.02 nmol of [14C]phytoene min⁻¹ in the wild type; a thin-layer chromatography scan is supplied in Supplemental Fig. S1), whereas phytoene synthesis was not detectable in stroma fractions (Fig. 1C).

Identification of AGs in Arabidopsis Leaves

An increased pathway flux not leading to higher carotenoid levels suggests increased carotenoid turnover. This is thought to occur predominantly at the β-carotene level as revealed by [14C]CO₂ pulse-chase labeling and the abundance of oxidation products derived from β-carotene (Beisel et al., 2010; Ramel et al., 2012a). In leaves of the wild type and AtPSY-overexpressing lines, approximately constant β-carotene steady-state levels were confirmed by measuring [14C]CO₂ incorporation into β-carotene (Supplemental Fig. S2A). However, levels of long-chain β-carotene degradation products remained

Figure 1. Increased carotenoid pathway flux in leaves of AtPSY-overexpressing Arabidopsis lines. Despite similar levels of visible carotenoids (A), phytoene accumulates upon NFZ inhibition in leaves of AtPSY-overexpressing lines (At22 and At23) to about 2-fold higher levels compared with the wild type (wt) after 4 h of exposure to control light (CL; B). High light (HL) results in similar phytoene levels in both the wild type and At23. Increased AtPSY amounts in At22 are partially inactivated by stromal localization as shown by immunoblot analysis with whole plastids, membrane and stromal fractions (D), and in vitro [14C]phytoene analysis (C). Bands from a Coomassie-stained SDS gel representing Rubisco large subunit (plastids and stroma) and an abundant light harvesting complex II protein (membranes) are shown as control for equal loading of proteins. Data are mean ± se of three (A and B) and eight (C) biological replicates. neox, Neoxanthin; viola, violaxanthin; a, significant difference to control samples (Student’s t test, P < 0.002).
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similarly largely unchanged as revealed by the liquid chromatography (LC)-mass spectrometry (MS) analyses of β-apo-14'-carotenal, β-apo-12'-carotenal, β-apo-10'-carotenal, β-apo-8'-carotenal, β-apo-13-carotenone, and retinal (Supplemental Fig. S2B). Moreover, carotenoid-derived short-chain volatiles are not found in Arabidopsis leaves (Aharoni et al., 2003), with the exceptions of β-cyclocitral and β-ionone, which are reported to be generated upon photooxidative stress (Ramel et al., 2012b; Havaux, 2014). We also did not detect these volatiles by gas chromatography (GC)-MS analysis on headspace sampling or by the use of various extraction protocols. We, therefore, resorted to analyzing AGs, which are known from a variety of plants, especially grapevine (Vitis vinifera), where they contribute to the aroma (Winterhalter and Schreier, 1995).

An extraction protocol for grapevine was adapted, which included the enzymatic liberation of the aglycons from aqueous extracts followed by GC-MS analysis (Mathieu et al., 2005). This led to the identification of the following four aglycons in Arabidopsis wild-type leaves (the relative peak area percentage is given in parentheses): 3-oxo-α-ionol (apo1; 4%), 3-oxo-α-ionone (apo2; 3%), 3-hydroxy-5,6-epoxy-β-ionone (apo3; 80%), and 6-hydroxy-3-oxo-α-ionone (apo4; 13%). Three of these AGs are also identified in grapevine leaf extracts (variety Müller-Thurgau). The GC-MS traces representing undigested and glycosidase-treated leaf extracts are shown in Figure 2 (details on the identification of these compounds are shown in Supplemental Figs. S3–S6, and their characteristics are summarized in Supplemental Table S1).

Xanthophylls appear as the likely precursors for the aglycons identified; possible precursor-product relationships are shown in Figure 3. The nonhydroxylated apocarotenoid apo2 is probably formed from apo1 upon oxidation of the hydroxyl group after deglycosylation. Direct precursor apo1 may be α-ring hydroxylated xanthophylls, like lutein and the monohydroxylated intermediate α-cryptoxanthin. Alternatively, β-ring hydroxylated xanthophylls, like zeaxanthin, antheraxanthin, and the intermediates β-cryptoxanthin and zeinoxanthin might also serve as precursors, requiring β- to e-ring conversion upon enzymatic liberation (this being supported by observations

![Figure 2. AGs in Arabidopsis leaves. A, GC-MS total ion current chromatograms are shown before (top) and after (middle and bottom) glycosidase digestion of hydrophilic Arabidopsis (top and middle) and V. vinifera (bottom) leaf extracts. Four major AGs were determined: apo1 (3-oxo-α-ionole), apo2 (3-oxo-α-ionone), apo3 (3-hydroxy-5,6-epoxy-β-ionone), and apo4 (6-hydroxy-3-oxo-α-ionone). B, Distribution of single AGs in wild-type leaves (normalized peak areas). C, AGs in leaves of the wild type (wt) and AtPSY-overexpressing line At22 grown under control light (CL) and high light (HL). Normalized apocarotenoid levels were expressed relative to wild-type leaves grown under CL. Data are mean ± se of three biological replicates. No significant difference was detected for the wild type and At22 grown under different light qualities (P > 0.3). a, Values that are significantly different from the wild type (Student’s t test, P < 0.05).]
in grapevine; Mathieu et al., 2009). apo4 probably represents a derivative of apo3 formed upon reduction of the epoxy to a hydroxyl group (Enzell, 1985); apo3 can be formed from epoxyxanthophylls (thus, antheraxanthin, violaxanthin, and neoxanthin).

Interestingly, the relative quantification of AGs revealed higher levels in leaves of AtPSY-overexpressing lines than in wild-type leaves, which correlates with the increased pathway flux determined above (Fig. 2; GC-MS chromatograms are shown in Supplemental Fig. S7). Hydroxyxanthophyll-derived apocarotenoids (apo1 and apo2) increased about 5-fold, and those derived from epoxyxanthophylls (apo3 and apo4) increased about 3-fold. Considering the reported light stress-induced formation of β-cyclocitrin and other carotenoid breakdown products (Ramel et al., 2012b), we further examined a possibly increased apocarotenoid glycosylation under high light stress. However, both wild-type leaves and as well as those from the AtPSY-overexpressing line showed no significant changes in their apocarotenoid aglycon levels after 4 h of illumination with 1,500 μmol photons m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$.

**Figure 3.** Xanthophyll precursors for AGs in Arabidopsis leaves. AGs identified in Arabidopsis leaves and their possible precursors are shown. Apocarotenoids in brackets were not detected and represent possible intermediates. Only one-half of a xanthophyll molecule is shown; the other one-half is denoted with an R. Carotene hydroxylases with preferences for β-carotene (BCH1 and BCH2) and α-carotene (CYP97A3 and CYP97C1) and derived xanthophylls are indicated.

Involvement of Enzymatic Carotenoid Breakdown in Apocarotenoid Aglycon Formation

The AGs identified in this work and most of those identified by others in *V. vinifera*, *Boronia megastigma*, and various fruits exhibit a length of $^{13}$C atoms (Winterhalter and Skouroumounis, 1997; Mendes-Pinto, 2009; Cooper et al., 2011). AGs with various chain lengths would be expected in case of nonenzymatic carotenoid cleavage. To determine the contribution of enzymatic cleavage, we took advantage of Arabidopsis lines deficient in the carotenoid cleavage enzymes CCD1 and CCD4 (Gonzalez-Jorge et al., 2013), which exhibit a broad substrate specificity (Auldridge et al., 2006; Vogel et al., 2008; Bruno et al., 2015). The carotenoid levels in leaves from 4-week-old plants of *ccd4-1* and a *ccd1-1 ccd4-1* double mutant were similar to the wild type (Table I). However, leaves from both the *ccd4-1* and the *ccd1-1 ccd4-1* mutant showed strongly reduced levels of all AGs, with major reductions in apo3, apo4, and apo1 (Fig. 4; Supplemental Fig. S8 shows GC-MS traces). With apo4 as an exception, there was no significant difference in AG levels comparing the single- and double-mutant lines ($P > 0.25$). The residual presence of apocarotenoid aglycons in *ccd1-1 ccd4-1* leaves thus suggests additional mechanisms yielding the same cleavage products. These results indicate a predominantly enzymatic origin of AGs with a major contribution of CCD4, whereas CCD1 plays a minor role.

Given this role of CCD4 and the constant carotenoid levels in leaves of AtPSY-overexpressing lines, we...
Table I. Carotenoid amounts in Arabidopsis mutants

Carotenoid amounts were determined by HPLC and are given in millimoles moles chl a + b⁻¹. Percentages of carotenoids on total amounts are given in parentheses. Data are means ± se of three biological replicates. α-caro, α-Carotene; α-crypto, α-cryptoxanthin; anthera, antheraxanthin; β-carotene; β-xanthophylls, sum of violaxanthin, antheraxanthin, zeaxanthin, and neoxanthin; moles epoxy, moles of epoxyxanthophyll moieties; n.d., not detectable; viola + neox, sum of violaxanthin and neoxanthin; zeino, zeinoxanthin.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carotenoid</th>
<th>Columbia-0</th>
<th>ccd4-1</th>
<th>ccd1-1 ccd4-1</th>
<th>lut1</th>
<th>lut5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Violaxanthin</td>
<td>143.3 ± 9.4 (37)</td>
<td>134.4 ± 9.3 (38)</td>
<td>139.0 ± 17.3 (35)</td>
<td>236.5 ± 33.5 (62)</td>
<td>92.6 ± 4.7 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antheraxanthin</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.3 (0.6)</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.4 (0.7)</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.3 (0.7)</td>
<td>23.3 ± 3.6 (6.1)</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.7 (1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zeinoxanthin</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>45.0 ± 4.2 (12)</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other xanthophylls</td>
<td>0.2 (13)</td>
<td>11.3 ± 2.0 (2.9)</td>
<td>12.2 ± 1.2 (3.4)</td>
<td>13.0 ± 1.6 (3.3)</td>
<td>14.9 ± 2.9 (3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutein</td>
<td>172.4 ± 13.2 (44)</td>
<td>154.0 ± 16.3 (43)</td>
<td>185.8 ± 7.8 (47)</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>167.9 ± 16.2 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-carotene</td>
<td>3.4 ± 1.1 (0.9)</td>
<td>4.0 ± 1.8 (1.1)</td>
<td>3.2 ± 1.4 (0.8)</td>
<td>4.6 ± 0.9 (1.2)</td>
<td>56.1 ± 3.0 (15.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total carotene</td>
<td>388.0 ± 23.6</td>
<td>357.8 ± 28.0</td>
<td>398.2 ± 24.3</td>
<td>384.4 ± 52.5</td>
<td>352.0 ± 16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xanthophylls</td>
<td>157.1 ± 7.3</td>
<td>149.1 ± 10.1</td>
<td>154.8 ± 15.6</td>
<td>274.7 ± 40.0</td>
<td>104.9 ± 5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moles epoxy</td>
<td>289.1 ± 17.8</td>
<td>271.3 ± 18.7</td>
<td>280.9 ± 34.5</td>
<td>496.3 ± 70.6</td>
<td>188.6 ± 9.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

αCarotenoids showing significant changes compared with the wild type (Student’s t test, P < 0.05).

challenged flux compensation by crossing AtPSYoverexpressing lines with the ccd4-1 and ccd1-1 ccd4-1 mutant lines. Although seedlings heterozygous for the ccd4-1 mutation grew indistinguishable from wild-type plants, individuals homozygous for ccd4-1 developed light-green cotyledons, which quickly bleached and died. In 1-week-old homozygous seedlings, carotenoid and chlorophyll contents were reduced by about 40% compared with the wild type, and pathway intermediates were not detected (Supplemental Fig. S9). Apparently, formation of xanthophyll breakdown products not formed by cleavage through CCD4 can be tolerated only at physiological pathway fluxes. However, a further increase through higher PSY abundance is lethal, presumably because of the excessive presence of apocarotenoids generated by other mechanisms.

Identification of Xanthophyll Precursors for AGs

We took advantage of the altered leaf xanthophyll patterns found in two Arabidopsis cytochrome P450-type carotene hydroxylase mutants. CYP97C1 catalyzes the hydroxylation of the α-ionone ring present in α-carotene. The respective lut1 mutant is, therefore, characterized by the absence of lutein and the accumulation of the β-ring monohydroxylated α-carotene-derivative zeinoxanthin (Kim et al., 2009; Table I). The mutant leaves showed about 3-fold increased levels of apo1, whereas other AGs remained unchanged, and no additional AGs were detected (Fig. 4B; Supplemental Fig. S10 shows GC-MS traces). This excludes lutein as a precursor for the AGs identified. In contrast, the increased levels of β-ring hydroxylated xanthophylls in lut1 leaves suggest zeaxanthin, antheraxanthin, and zeinoxanthin as precursors explaining enhanced levels of apo1.

The second cytochrome P450-type hydroxylase, CYP97A3, catalyzes the hydroxylation of β-ring xanthophylls and prefers α-carotene as substrate but also shows some β-carotene hydroxylation activity. Its absence defines the lut5 phenotype, which accumulates increased levels of α-carotene accompanied by an almost equivalent reduction of β-carotene. In addition, epoxyxanthophylls, like violaxanthin and neoxanthin, are reduced (Kim and DellaPenna, 2006). In agreement with the suggested origin of apo3 and apo4.

Figure 4. AGs levels in Arabidopsis mutants. AGs in leaves of 4-week-old plants from Arabidopsis wild type (wt; ecotype Columbia-0), ccd4-1 mutant, and ccd1-1 ccd4-1 double-mutant lines (A) as well as mutants deficient in carotene hydroxylase (CYP97C1 [lut1]) and CYP97A3 [lut5]; B). Normalized apocarotenoid levels were expressed relative to those in wild-type leaves in each set. Data are mean ± se of three biological replicates. a, Values that are significantly different from the wild type (Student’s t test, P < 0.05).
apo4 from epoxynathophylls (Fig. 3), leaves of lut5 had strongly reduced levels of these two AGs, whereas those with a suggested origin from hydroxylated xanthophylls decreased only slightly. Furthermore, both epoxynathophyll-derived apocarotenoids decreased proportionally, which is in favor of the secondary formation of apo4 from apo3.

Analysis of Apocarotenoids in Roots

AGs were also analyzed in roots, which accumulate high amounts of β-carotene in response to AtPSY overexpression reflecting low activity of carotene hydroxylases (Maass et al., 2009). Although the aglycons of several well-known glycoconjugated precursors were released, such as coniferyl alcohol (from coniferin; Lewis and Yamamoto, 1990) and indol-3-acetonitril (from brassicin; Normanly and Bartel, 1999; Supplemental Fig. S11), we could not detect any AGs in either wild-type or AtPSY-overexpressing roots. Given the xanthophyll origin of the AGs identified, this may be attributed to the much lower xanthophyll abundance in roots than in leaves. This is contrasted by the presence of long-chain free β-apocarotenals in roots, which were up to 50-fold higher in AtPSY-overexpressing lines compared with the wild type (Fig. 5). As already mentioned above, these were not increased in leaves in response to increased pathway fluxes, indicating different mechanisms operating in both tissues.

DISCUSSION

Compensatory Responses toward Increased Carotenoid Flux in Arabidopsis Leaves

The carotenoid pathway capacity in Arabidopsis leaves is increased in response to the overexpression of the rate-limiting enzyme PSY. This is not as evident from carotenoid levels but can be shown in derived nongreen cells, like calli and roots (Maass et al., 2009). Alternatively, phytoene accumulation upon NFZ inhibition can be used as a readout in leaves, because most carotenoid turnover apparently and conceivably happens downstream of phytoene. This revealed a doubling of phytoene amounts in AtPSY-overexpressing lines compared with wild-type leaves within 4 h of illumination with control light intensities (Fig. 1) and is further confirmed by in vitro measurements of PSY enzyme activity with isolated plastid membranes. Using these data, it can be estimated that wild-type leaf carotenoid homeostasis entails the de novo synthesis of about 14% of the carotenoids present (or 0.4 μg of dry weight⁻¹) during a 16-h light period, which is enhanced to 28% in AtPSY-overexpressing lines. The wild-type values match well with the carotenoid biosynthesis rate of 1.53 μg mg⁻¹ within 48 h calculated for NFZ-treated pepper (Capsicum annuum) leaves (Simkin et al., 2003).

Only a portion of the overexpressed protein is membrane bound and contributes to flux increase, whereas the remainder resides in the stroma and cannot be active. Similar observations are known from daffodil chromoplasts, where an inactive stromal and an active membrane-bound population of PSY were found (Schledz et al., 1996; Bonk et al., 1997). Inactive stromal PSY was also observed in etiolated mustard (Sinapis alba) seedlings functioning as a sleeping enzyme pool that can rapidly be activated upon illumination by association to the developing membrane system (Welsch et al., 2000).

AGs are known aroma precursors identified in grapevine (Wirth et al., 2001) and various other fruits (Osorio et al., 1999, 2006; Winterhalter and Roussef, 2001; Aubert et al., 2003), but they were not known from Arabidopsis hitherto. The major apocarotenoid aglycons identified here are apo1, apo2, apo3, and apo4. Interestingly, β-ionone supplemented to a V. vinifera cell culture is transformed into the same oxygenated AGs (Mathieu et al., 2009). Therefore, β-carotene represents a possible precursor, and in fact, 14C pulse-chase labeling experiments conducted with Arabidopsis pointed to α- and β-carotene as intermediates undergoing turnover; however, this study could not investigate xanthophyll turnover lacking sufficient label incorporation (Beisel et al., 2010).

Figure 5. β-Apocarotenoids in Arabidopsis roots. β-Carotene-derived apocarotenoids were determined in roots from hydroponically grown plants from Arabidopsis wild-type (WT; ecotype Wassilewskija) and two AtPSY-overexpressing (At22 and At23) lines by LC-MS analyses. Apocarotenoid amounts were quantified according to external standard curves and expressed in picograms per milligram of dry weight (DW). Data are mean ± se of three biological replicates. a, Statistical significance (Student’s t test, P < 0.05); b, statistical significance (Student’s t test, P < 0.1).
Our data do not provide an indication for α- and β-carotenes contributing markedly to flux compensation by AG formation. In addition, although free β-carotene long-chain (nonglycosylated) cleavage products were detected, these did not increase upon flux acceleration (Supplemental Fig. S2). However, it cannot be excluded at this point that these compounds, antioxidants in their own right, are further degraded non-enzymatically or through catalysis by CCDs, some of which exhibit broad substrate and regional specificity of cleavage (Vogel et al., 2008; Ilg et al., 2009, 2014; Bruno et al., 2015). Hence, the free β-carotene-derived apocarotenoids detected may as well represent steady-state levels, like β-carotene itself. The fact that high light stress initiates the formation of β-carotene-derived cleavage products with signaling function (β-cyclocitrinal; Ramel et al., 2012b) witnesses that dynamic turnover occurs at the β-carotene level.

Xanthophylls Are Substrates for AGs

The AGs detected derive from a different path. As suggested by their structure containing in-ring hydroxyls and epoxy groups, they derive from xanthophylls (Fig. 3). The change in AG patterns responding according to the different proportions of xanthophylls present in carotenoid hydroxylase mutants (Fig. 4B) further supports their xanthophyll origin rather than an indirect route through secondary oxygenation of β-ionone (Mathieu et al., 2009). For instance, deficiency of the cytochrome P450 carotenoid hydroxylase CYP97A3 in lut5 results in reduced levels of epoxyxanthophylls, like violaxanthin and neoxanthin. This correlated with lower levels of violaxanthin-derived apocarotenoid aglycons apo3 and apo4. The lower availability of these xanthophyll precursors thus entails their reduced degradation into AGs in lut5. Similarly, the absence of lut5 caused by the deficiency of the only e-ring-specific carotene hydroxylase CYP97C1 in the lut1 mutant (Pogson et al., 1996; Kim et al., 2009) led to increased apo1 levels correlating with higher levels of zeinoxanthin and antheraxanthin, whereas other apocarotenoid aglycons remained unchanged. In addition, lut5 can be excluded as precursor for any of the apocarotenoid aglycons, and its breakdown is expected to feed into different pathways.

AG accumulation also responds to increased pathway fluxes mediated by ATPSY overexpression. This can be concluded from increases of AGs and the biosynthetic capacity determined from phytene amounts in the presence of NFZ with comparable rates (4-fold for AG5 [Fig. 2C] and 3-fold for pathway flux [Fig. 1B]). Whether AG levels represent final sequestration forms or alternatively, are subjected to additional metabolic reactions remains to be investigated. Light is another factor capable in increasing pathway flux (Fig. 1B) and carotenoid degradation/turnover (Ramel et al., 2012a). However, the amounts of AGs did not change upon high light treatment of Arabidopsis leaves. In an interpretation, this is because of the fact that high light conditions activate violaxanthin deepoxidase as part of the xanthophyll cycle, which reduces the amounts of epoxidated xanthophylls considered as the primary AG precursors. Probably, the fast down-regulation determined for CCD4 upon high light stress (Ramel et al., 2013) counteracts further reductions of epoxycarotenoids and facilitates a fast increase in photo-protective zeaxanthin.

The formation of the AGs identified is not restricted to Arabidopsis; these compounds are known from fruits and grapevine (Wirth et al., 2001), suggesting broader relevance. None of the apocarotenoids identified could be traced back to the allenic moiety of neoxanthin. We did not detect its direct breakdown products (e.g. grasshopper ketone) or derivatives (e.g. β-damascenone), which are frequently observed in grapes and wines (Mendes-Pinto, 2009). Therefore, we suggest that the epoxidized β-xanthophylls antheraxanthin and violaxanthin are the prime source for AGs in Arabidopsis leaves. The much higher diversity of AGs found in fruits and flowers (Winterhalter and Rouseff, 2001; Brandi et al., 2011; Cooper et al., 2011) indicates changes in xanthophyll precursor utilization and secondary modifications, which might be related to enhanced xanthophyll precursor utilization and secondary modifications accompanying fruit ripening or senescence.

CCD4 Is Mainly Responsible for AG Formation

The homogenous C13 backbone found in all AGs identified pleads for enzymatic cleavage of the xanthophyll precursor. CCD1 and CCD4 are the candidate cleavage oxygenases not contributing to abscisic acid and strigolactone biosynthesis and preferentially cleaving at C9 to C10 and C9’ to C10’ (Huang et al., 2009; Bruno et al., 2015), liberating a C13 backbone. In fact, the ccd4-1 mutants showed low levels of all apocarotenoid aglycons, which were almost similar in the ccd1-1 ccd4-1 double mutant. The homogeneous reduction of all apocarotenoids confirms the wide substrate specificity of CCD4 capable of cleaving all of the xanthophyll precursors suggested above and provides direct evidence of the enzymatic formation of the major proportion of AGs.

In V. vinifera, CCD1 was suggested to drive AG formation based on a correlation of CCD1 expression with the formation of apocarotenoids, which were partially glycosylated during berry development (Mathieu et al., 2005). However, the transcripts from two grapevine CCD4 genes were recently found to be similarly ripening induced (Lashbrooke et al., 2013). As stated above and despite similar substrate and product preferences of CCD1 and CCD4 in vitro (Vogel et al., 2008; Bruno et al., 2015), results from ccd4-1 and ccd1-1 ccd4-1 mutants are in favor of CCD4 being responsible for AG formation in planta. In agreement with this, CCD4 correlates with the formation of xanthophyll-derived apocarotenoids in two
yellow- and white-fleshed peach (Prunus persica) varieties (Brandi et al., 2011). White-fleshed fruits with high CCD4 expression led to higher levels of, for example, apoc3 compared with yellow-fleshed fruits. Furthermore, the suppression of CCD4 results in higher xanthophyll amounts in Ipomoea nil and C. morifolium petals and potato (Solanum tuberosum) tubers (Ohmiya et al., 2006; Campbell et al., 2010; Yamamizo et al., 2010), and Arabidopsis ccd4-1 knockout lines strongly increase their seed carotenoid contents (Gonzalez-Jorge et al., 2013).

The addition of sugar moieties is mediated by UDP glycosyltransferases, which exhibit wide substrate specificity. Their main function is to increase the solubility of toxic metabolites to allow their cellular transport for vacuolar sequestration (Ross et al., 2001). In fact, carotenoid breakdown products are often characterized by an electrophilic α,β-unsaturated moiety that can react further (Farmer and Mueller, 2013) and reported to cause various detrimental effects (concluded from animal model systems and enzyme inhibition assays; Ramel et al., 2012b). Recently, it was shown that apocarotenals are able to form adducts with proteins in vitro and therefore, have the potential to impair their functionality (Kalariya et al., 2011). Concluded from phytotoxicity studies, externally applied C13 apocarotenoids, including those identified in this work, inhibited seed germination and impaired root and shoot growth even at concentrations of 1 μM (D’Abrosca et al., 2004; DellaGreca et al., 2004). Apocarotenoid glycosylation may be one way to reduce this deleterious bioactivity of apocarotenoids generated during carotenoid steady-state regulation. This scenario may, however, be more complex. The crosses of AtPSY-overexpressing lines with ccd4-1 and ccd1-1 ccd4-1 were expected to produce fewer cleavage products, fewer AGs, and hence, increased leaf carotenoid levels. The lethal seedling obtaining, however, points to deleterious effects at high fluxes when the detoxification pathway into AGs is dysfunctional. Possibly, nonenzymatic degradation takes over, leading to an uncoordinated accumulation of free cleavage products, which by yet unknown mechanisms, do not find their way toward glycosylation. Possibly, as mentioned above, detoxification of carotenoid breakdown products by glycosylation might require the coordinated supply of glycosidases. The recent detection of CCD4 localized in carotenoid-bearing plastoglobules might point toward an involvement of these plastid structures in carotenoid breakdown and their subsequent metabolism (Ytterberg et al., 2006; Rubio et al., 2008).

Tissue-Specific Differences in Carotenoid Degradation

There are tissue-dependent differences in carotenoid accumulation upon PSY overexpression. Although leaves maintain steady-state levels, nongreen cells, like roots and calli, accumulate β-carotene to an extent that crystals form (Maass et al., 2009). Apparently, carotene hydroxylation becomes rate limiting upon enhanced pathway flux and determines the amounts of β-carotene accumulating. Thus, it is the low amount of xanthophylls present in Arabidopsis roots that causes the absence of AGs; this again corroborates their xanthophyll origin. Interestingly, coexpression of a hydroxylase gene in PSY-overexpressing citrus callus dramatically reduces its β-carotene content but does not equivalently increase total xanthophyll amounts (Cao et al., 2012). It remains to be determined whether this is because of increased xanthophyll cleavage or an accumulation of AGs.

While AGs are absent, Arabidopsis roots accumulate nonglycosylated long-chain β-carotene-derived apocarotenoids (Fig. 5) and respond positively to AtPSY overexpression, which is in contrast to leaves. However, all β-apocarotenoids identified make up only about 0.03% of the β-carotene amount accumulating in the transgenic roots. This may be because of the crystalline form of β-carotene, a mode of deposition also met in carrots (Daucus carota), tomato, and papaya (Carica papaya; Kim et al., 2010; Schweiggert et al., 2011). These molecules are not in monodisperse solution and are assumed to be in a more stable form, being protected from both enzymatic degradation and possible radical attack. A similar stabilizing effect was suggested also for crystalline lycopene in tomato chromoplasts (Nogueira et al., 2013).

Therefore, additional reduction of carotene hydroxylation seems to be the most promising approach to improve β-carotene (provitamin A) accumulation in crops that accumulate xanthophylls in addition to carotenes. In fact, this is observed in association with a widespread CYP97A3 deficiency in orange carrot cultivars (Arango et al., 2014) and favorable BCH alleles in maize (Zea mays; Yan et al., 2010) as well as in biotechnological approaches (e.g. in potato tubers; Diretto et al., 2007). Approaches combining both a reduced β-carotene metabolism by inhibiting carotene hydroxylation and an increased pathway flux by higher levels of PSY are, therefore, expected to further boost the development of crops with provitamin A-enriched tissues.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In Vitro PSY Activity

Plastid isolation from leaves of 4-week-old Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) plants was performed according to the work by Welch et al. (2000). Pellets were resuspended in 2 mL of lysis buffer (20 mM Tris, pH 8.0 and 4 mM MgCl2), incubated on ice for 10 min, and separated into stroma and membrane fractions by centrifugation at 21,000g for 10 min. Membranes were resuspended in 300 μL of lysis buffer, and protein concentration was determined using the Bio-Rad Protein Assay (Bio-Rad). For in vitro phytoene synthase, 200 μg of protein was incubated with 10 μM [14C]isopenyl diphosphate (50 μCi mmol−1; ARC), 20 μM [14C]isopentenyl diphosphate, 40 μM dimethylallyl diphosphate (isoprenoids), 4 mM ATP, and 10 μM of recombinant purified geranylgeranyl diphosphate synthase (Kloer et al., 2006) for 30 min. Incubation conditions and product analysis and quantification were performed by scintillation counting and thin-layer chromatography scanning as described (Welch et al., 2000).

14C-Labeling Experiments

14C labeling was performed essentially according to the work by Beisel et al. (2010). Basically, 14CO2 was liberated from [14C]carbonate solution by acid and heat and applied to detached rosette leaves placed in a leaf chamber.
After 30 min in growth light (pulse period; approximately 130 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹), remaining ¹⁴C₂O₂ was adsorbed, the chamber was opened, and the leaves were subsequently exposed to strong light for 30 min (chase period; approximately 1,000 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹). ¹⁴C incorporation into β-carotene (all isomers) was analyzed upon extraction with acetone by radio-HPLC as described (Beisel et al., 2010).

Carotenoid Analysis

Carotenoids were extracted using lyophilized leaves and analyzed by HPLC as described (Welsh et al., 2008).

LC-MS Analysis

In total, 500 mg (roots) or 10 mg (leaves) of lyophilized powder was extracted three times with 2 mL of acetone. Supernatants were combined and spiked with 60 μL of each standard (Table S2A). 20 μg mL⁻¹ of petroleum ether:diethyl ether (1:4, v/v) was added, filled up to 14 mL with water, and centrifuged for 5 min at 4,000g. A new aliquot was transferred into a new tube, and extraction was repeated one time. Combined petroleum ether and diethyl ether supernatants were dried in a vapor evaporator and redissolved in CHCl₃:MeOH (1:1, v/v; 60 μL for root extracts and 100 μL for leaf extracts), and 2 μL was injected into the LC-MS system consisting of an Orbitrap-based Thermo Q-Exactive coupled to a Thermona U3000 UPLC System (Thermo Scientific). Separation was performed with a C₁₈ UPLC Column (Hypersil Gold 150 x 2.1 mm, 1.9 μm; Thermo Scientific) using solvent systems A (water + 0.05% [v/v] acetic acid) and B (acetonitrile + 0.05% [v/v] acetic acid) at a flow rate of 0.5 mL min⁻¹ of isotropic for 1 min followed by a linear gradient from 70% B to 100% B within 5 min maintained for 10 min and then, to 70% B within 5 min, maintaining the final conditions for another 4 min. Identification of apocarotenoids was carried out using atmospheric pressure chemical ionization in positive mode. Nitrogen was used as sheath and auxiliary gas (set to 20 and 10 arbitrary units, respectively). Vaporizer temperature was set to 350°C, and capillary temperature was 320°C. Sprayer voltage was set to 5 kV, and normalized collision energy was set to 35 arbitrary units. Data analysis was performed using the TraceFinder 3.1 software (Thermo Scientific). Quantification of apocarotenoids was performed with external calibration curves using the following authentic apocarotenoid standards: β-apo-13-carotene (C₄₀H₂₈O; m/z = 284.21401), β-apo-14-carotene (C₄₀H₂₈O; m/z = 310.22966), β-apo-12-carotene (C₄₀H₂₈O; m/z = 350.26096), β-apo-10-carotene (C₄₀H₂₈O; m/z = 376.27661), and β-apo-8-carotene (C₄₀H₂₈O; m/z = 416.30791). The internal standard VIS682A detected at 862 nm was used to correct for injection errors and volume variations.

Analysis of AGs

Protocols for Arabidopsis were based on extraction procedure of AGs for grapevine (Vitis vinifera; Wirth et al., 2001; Mathieu et al., 2005). Leaves (5 g) from 4-week-old Arabidopsis plants were ground in liquid nitrogen and incubated in 50 mL of 40 mM gluconolactone in water to inhibit endogenous glycosidases. After 90 min of continuous shaking, samples were centrifuged (15 min at 6,000g), and the supernatant was filtered using Grade GF/C Glass Microfiber Filters (Whatman). One aliquot was incubated with 100 μM of ¹⁴C₅ glucose for 2 h in the dark. Leaves were transferred onto 10 mL of NFZ, and incubated for 2 h in the dark. Leaves were harvested immediately, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and lyophilized, and carotenoids were extracted and analyzed by HPLC. The transfer DNA knockout line for apo1 in glycosidase-digested Arabidopsis leaf extracts by GC-MS analysis. For high light treatment, plants were illuminated with 1,500 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ for 4 h at 21°C. Corresponding background Arabidopsis ecotype was used for experiments with APSY-overexpressing lines (Wassilewskija) and CCD and carotenoid hydroxylase mutants (Columbia-0).

Supplemental Data

The following supplemental materials are available.

Supplemental Figure S1. ¹⁴C]-Labeled compounds formed in an in vitro PSY activity assay.

Supplemental Figure S2. β-Apocarotenoids in Arabidopsis leaves.

Supplemental Figure S3. Identification of apo1 in glycosidase-digested Arabidopsis wild-type leaf extracts by GC-MS analysis.

Supplemental Figure S4. Identification of apo2 in glycosidase-digested Arabidopsis wild-type leaf extracts by GC-MS analysis.

Supplemental Figure S5. Identification of apo3 in glycosidase-digested Arabidopsis wild-type leaf extracts by GC-MS analysis.

Supplemental Figure S6. Identification of apo4 in glycosidase-digested Arabidopsis wild-type leaf extracts by GC-MS analysis.

Supplemental Figure S7. AGs in AIPSY-overexpressing Arabidopsis leaves.

Supplemental Figure S8. AGs in leaves from Arabidopsis CDD4 mutant.

Supplemental Figure S9. Crosses between AIPSY-overexpressing and cdd4-I mutant Arabidopsis lines.
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