Idenfiication of Regions in the Receiver Domain of the ETHYLENE RESPONSE1 Ethylene Receptor of Arabidopsis Important for Functional Divergence
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Ethylene influences the growth and development of Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) via five receptor isoforms. However, the ETHYLENE RESPONSE1 (ETR1) ethylene receptor has unique, and sometimes contrasting, roles from the other receptor isoforms. Prior research indicates that the receiver domain of ETR1 is important for some of these noncanonical roles. We determined that the ETR1 receiver domain is not needed for ETR1’s predominant role in mediating responses to the ethylene antagonist, silver. To understand the structure-function relationship underlying the unique roles of the ETR1 receiver domain in the control of specific traits, we performed alanine-scanning mutagenesis. We chose amino acids that are poorly conserved and are in regions predicted to have altered tertiary structure compared with the receiver domains of the other two receptors that contain a receiver domain, ETR2 and ETHYLENE INSENSITIVE4. The effects of these mutants on various phenotypes were examined in transgenic, receptor-deficient Arabidopsis plants. Some traits, such as growth in air and growth recovery after the removal of ethylene, were unaffected by these mutations. By contrast, three mutations on one surface of the receiver domain rendered the transgene unable to rescue ethylene-stimulated mutations. Additionally, several mutations on another surface altered germination on salt. Some of these mutations conferred hyperfunctionality to ETR1 in the context of seed germination on salt, but not for other traits, that correlated with increased responsiveness to abscisic acid. Thus, the ETR1 receiver domain has multiple functions where different surfaces are involved in the control of different traits. Models are discussed for these observations.

Ethylene is a phytohormone that affects the growth and development of plants and mediates plant stress responses (Mattoo and Suttle, 1991; Abeles et al., 1992). Mutational, molecular, and biochemical analyses have identified components in the ethylene signal transduction pathway. The current model speculates that ethylene binding to the receptors reduces the activity of the receptors, leading to reduced activity of the CONSTITUTIVE TRIPLE RESPONSE1 (CTR1) protein kinase (Kieber et al., 1993). Lower CTR1 activity results in reduced phosphorylation of ETHYLENE INSENSITIVE2 (EIN2) protein (Chen et al., 2011; Ju et al., 2012; Qiao et al., 2012). The reduction in EIN2 phosphorylation leads to a decrease in the ubiquitination of EIN2, resulting in a rise in EIN2 protein levels and proteolytic release of the C-terminal portion of the protein (Qiao et al., 2009, 2012; Ju et al., 2012; Wen et al., 2012). The C-terminal portion of EIN2, through mechanisms not completely understood, modulates levels of the EIN3 and EIN3-LIKE1 transcription factors and leads to most ethylene responses (Chao et al., 1997; Solano et al., 1998; Alonso et al., 1999; Guo and Ecker, 2003; Yanagisawa et al., 2003; Binder et al., 2004a; Gagne et al., 2004; Qiao et al., 2012). As predicted by this model, loss of multiple ethylene receptors results in a constitutive ethylene response (Hua and Meyerowitz, 1998; Hall and Bleecker, 2003; Wang et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2003; Qu et al., 2007). Even though this signaling pathway was mostly worked out in the model plant Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana), similar genes have been described in other plants such as rice (Oryza sativa), strawberry (Fragaria vesca), and tomato (Solanum lycopersicum), as well as in plants from ancient divergent lineages such as Physcomitrella patens and Selaginella moellendorfii and the charaphyte Spirogyra pratensis (Rensing et al., 2008; Rzewuski and Sauter, 2008; Ma et al., 2010; Banks et al., 2011; Klee and Giovannoni, 2011; Shulaev et al., 2011; Ju et al., 2015).
This suggests that a similar signaling pathway is found in all land plants and likely evolved prior to colonization of land.

Responses to ethylene are mediated by a receptor family that is predominantly located in the membrane of the endoplasmic reticulum (Chen et al., 2002; Ma et al., 2006; Grefen et al., 2008; Bisson et al., 2009). In Arabidopsis, there are five receptor isoforms called ETHYLENE RESPONSE1 (ETR1), ETR2, ETHYLENE RESPONSE SENSOR1 (ERS1), ERS2, and EIN4 (Chang et al., 1993; Hua and Meyerowitz, 1998; Hua et al., 1998; Sakai et al., 1998; Gao et al., 2003). The plant receptors form homodimers, with each monomer predicted to have three membrane-spanning α helices at the amino terminus containing the ethylene-binding site (Schaller and Bleecker, 1995; Schaller et al., 1995; Rodríguez et al., 1999). This is followed by the cytosolic portion of the receptor consisting of a GAF (for cGMP-specific phosphodiesterases, adenylyl cyclases, and FhlA) domain, kinase domain, and in a subset of the receptors (ETR1, ETR2, and EIN4), a receiver domain (Fig. 1A). We know that all five ethylene receptor isoforms in Arabidopsis are involved with ethylene signaling because all five members bind ethylene with high affinity (Schaller and Bleecker, 1995; Hall et al., 2000; O’Malley et al., 2005; McDaniel and Binder, 2012) and specific missense mutations in the ethylene-binding domain of any single isoform leads to dominant ethylene insensitivity that affects responses throughout the plant (Bleecker et al., 1988; Hua et al., 1995; Hua and Meyerowitz, 1998; Wang et al., 2006). The nature of signal transmission through the ethylene receptors is unknown. They have homology to bacterial two-component receptors (Chang et al., 1993; Hua and Meyerowitz, 1998; Hua et al., 1998; Sakai et al., 1998), which transduce signal via the autophosphorylation of a His residue in the kinase domain, followed by the transfer of phosphate to a conserved Asp residue in the receiver domain of a response regulator protein (West and Stock, 2001). Biochemical studies show that some of the receptor isoforms possess functional His kinases (Gamble et al., 1998; Moussatche and Klee, 2004) and ethylene binding might modulate His-kinase activity of ETR1 (Voet-van Vormizele and Groth, 2008). However, genetic studies suggest that His-kinase activity is not required for responses to ethylene (Wang et al., 2003; Binder et al., 2004b; Qu and Schaller, 2004; Xie et al., 2006; Cho and Yoo, 2007; Hall et al., 2012). Rather, this activity modulates growth in air, sensitivity to ethylene, and growth recovery after ethylene removal (Gamble et al., 1998; Binder et al., 2004b; Cho and Yoo, 2007; Hall et al., 2012). Thus, the plant ethylene receptors have diverged in functional output from other two-component receptors.

Even though the receptors have largely overlapping roles for many phenotypes, there are traits where the receptors are not redundant (Binder et al., 2004b, 2006; Plett et al., 2009a, 2009b; Liu et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2011; McDaniel and Binder, 2012; Wilson et al., 2014a, 2014b). For instance, ETR1, ETR2, and EIN4 have a role in normal growth recovery after ethylene removal, whereas ERS1 and ERS2 do not (Binder et al., 2004b). Another example of nonredundancy is that ETR1 has the predominant role in mediating the inhibitory effects of silver ions (McDaniel and Binder, 2012). Silver ions inhibit ethylene perception in plants (Beyer, 1976), but etr1 loss-of-function mutants have little response to silver ions (McDaniel and Binder, 2012). Surprisingly, there are also some traits where ethylene receptor isoforms have contrasting roles. For example, ethylene stimulates nutational bending of the hypocotyls (Binder et al., 2006). Mutant seedlings lacking ETR1 fail to nutate when treated with ethylene, whereas mutants lacking the other four isoforms have constitutive nutations in air (Binder et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2011). Additionally, we recently reported that ETR1 and ETR2 have contrasting roles in the control of Arabidopsis seed germination in darkness or during salt stress in the light where ETR1 inhibits germination and ETR2 stimulates germination (Wilson et al., 2014a, 2014b). Correlating with these seed germination results are the observations that etr1 loss-of-function mutants have reduced sensitivity to abscisic acid (ABA), whereas etr2 loss-of-function mutants have increased sensitivity to ABA (Wilson et al., 2014b). These observations are not explained by current models of ethylene signaling and point to unique roles for the ETR1 receptor. It has been suggested that the receptors may have ethylene

Figure 1. The ETR1 receiver domain is not required for response to silver ions. A, Domain structure of full-length and truncated ETR1 receptor lacking the receiver domain used in this study. B, Growth kinetic profiles in the presence of 100 μM AgNO3, are shown for etr1-6;etr2-3; ein4-4 triple mutants transformed with cDNA encoding for either a full-length ETR1 (cETR1) or a truncated transgene lacking the receiver domain (cetr1-ΔΔ). For comparison, responses of wild-type and triple mutant seedlings are shown. Seedlings were allowed to grow for 1 h in air, followed by the addition of 1 μL L−1 ethylene (down arrow). Growth rate was normalized to the growth rate in the air pretreatment and represents the average ± SEM. Lines are a moving average calculated with a period of 2 using Microsoft Excel.
Functional Regions of the ETR1 Receiver Domain

Identification of Amino Acid Residues in the ETR1 Receiver Domain for Mutagenesis

To better define unique regions within the ETR1 receiver domain that may be important for ETR1 subfunctionalization for other traits, we carried out site-directed mutagenesis on the receiver domain of full-length ETR1 genomic DNA. To define which amino acid residues to target, we first looked for amino acids not conserved in the ETR1 receiver domain compared with the receiver domains of ETR2 and EIN4 as well as regions where the structure of the ETR1 receiver domain is predicted to diverge from the other two receptors. As shown in Figure 2, a comparison of the predicted amino acid sequences of the receiver domains shows that there are over 60 residues not conserved between ETR1 and the other two receptors that could potentially underlie the unique functions of ETR1 (Fig. 2A). One region that shows high divergence in amino acid sequence is the γ loop, which consists of six amino acids just beyond the conserved Asp required for phosphorelay. The γ loop of ETR1 is in a different orientation from other structurally characterized γ loops and may underlie functional differences (Müller-Dieckmann et al., 1999). We therefore mutagenized several amino acids in this loop. We also targeted amino acids in the C-terminal tail, which has been identified as a region that might be important in ETR1–protein interactions (Müller-Dieckmann et al., 1999) and is another region where there is high amino acid divergence. Finally, autodephosphorylation activity has been observed in receiver domains, and amino acids corresponding to Asn-618, Cys-661, and Asn-694 in ETR1 have been implicated as critical for this activity in other receiver domains (Pazy et al., 2009). We therefore mutated these residues to Ala in ETR2 and EIN4 and compared these models to the crystal structure of the ETR1 receiver domain (Fig. 2B). This modeling

The receiver domain of ETR1 is required for both ethylene-stimulated mutations and the inhibitory role of ETR1 on seed germination during salt stress (Kim et al., 2011; Wilson et al., 2014). Interestingly, this domain is not required for the inhibitory role of ETR1 on seed germination in the dark (Wilson et al., 2014a). Additionally, a common feature of the three receptors that have a role in growth recovery is that they contain a receiver domain, and genetic evidence indicates that ETR1 His autophosphorylation followed by phosphotransfer through these receiver domains is important for normal growth recovery (Binder et al., 2004b; Kim et al., 2011). To understand the structure-function relationship leading to the unique role of the ETR1 receiver domain in the control of various traits, we performed Ala-scanning mutagenesis. For this, we chose amino acids that are poorly conserved and are in regions predicted to have altered tertiary structure when compared to the receiver domains of ETR2 and EIN4. The effects of these mutants on various phenotypes were examined in transgenic, receptor-deficient Arabidopsis plants. From these experiments, we identified regions of the receiver domain important for the control of specific phenotypes.

RESULTS

Responses to Silver Ions Do Not Require the ETR1 Receiver Domain

ETR1 has been shown to have the major role in mediating the effects of the ethylene antagonist AgNO₃, and etr1-6;etr2-3;ein4-4 triple mutants have no response to silver ions (McDaniel and Binder, 2012). We previously showed silver responses were rescued when the triple mutants were transformed with a full-length genomic ETR1 transgene, a transgene deficient in His kinase activity, or a transgene lacking the conserved Asp-659 required for phosphorelay (McDaniel and Binder, 2012). However, it is unknown whether the ETR1 receiver domain is required for this trait. To address this, we examined the growth response kinetics to the application of 1 μL L⁻¹ ethylene in the presence of 100 μM AgNO₃. Our prior studies determined that there are two phases of ethylene-induced growth inhibition that lasts for as long as ethylene is present (Binder et al., 2004a, 2004b). The application of 100 μM AgNO₃ severely attenuates or eliminates the first phase and entirely blocks the second phase of growth inhibition (McDaniel and Binder, 2012). Consistent with our prior study (McDaniel and Binder, 2012), in the presence of AgNO₃, etr1-6;etr2-3;ein4-4 triple mutants showed two phases of growth inhibition when ethylene was added and wild-type seedlings showed no response to ethylene (Fig. 1B). Transformation of the etr1-6;etr2-3;ein4-4–AgNO₃ triple mutants with a complementary DNA (cDNA) encoding for either a full-length ETR1 transgene (cETR1) or a truncated transgene lacking the receiver domain (cetr1-ΔR) resulted in seedlings that had a small, transient growth inhibition response when ethylene was applied (Fig. 1B). Neither transformant had a long-term response to ethylene in the presence of AgNO₃, indicating that the receiver domain of ETR1 has little or no role in mediating ETR1 output important for responses to silver ions.
revealed that there are several loops where the tertiary structures may be diverged (marked with asterisks in Fig. 2B). Several amino acids in these regions were targeted for mutagenesis. Based on these criteria, 11 amino acids were changed to Ala (marked with white circles in Fig. 2A). These mutant transgenes and wild-type genomic ETR1 (gETR1) were transformed into etr1-6;etr2-3;ein4-4 triple loss-of-function mutants. Two to three transgenic lines were created for each mutant, and transcript levels were measured with reverse transcription (RT)-PCR (Fig. 2C). For each transgene construct, we chose the line with the highest expression level for physiological analyses.

**Figure 2.** Receiver domain amino acids targeted for mutagenesis. A, An amino acid sequence alignment of the receiver domains from ETR1, ETR2, and EIN4 was performed using ClustalW. Conserved residues are shaded gray. Amino acids targeted for mutagenesis to Ala in this study are marked with white circles. Amino acid residues for each protein are numbered at the right. The black circle marks the conserved Asp (D659 in ETR1) involved in phosphotransfer that has previously been mutated (Binder et al., 2004b). B, Homology models of the ETR2 and EIN4 receiver domains (black) were generated as described in “Materials and Methods” and compared to the crystal structure of ETR1 (white). Regions where the backbone carbons of the models diverge from the ETR1 structure are marked with asterisks. C, Transcript levels of receptor transgenes. The location of each point mutation is labeled. All constructs were genomic DNA constructs and were transformed into the etr1-6;etr2-3;ein4-4 triple mutant background as described in “Materials and Methods” and compared to the crystal structure of ETR1 (white). Regions where the backbone carbons of the models diverge from the ETR1 structure are marked with asterisks. C, Transcript levels of receptor transgenes. The location of each point mutation is labeled. All constructs were genomic DNA constructs and were transformed into the etr1-6;etr2-3;ein4-4 triple mutant background as described in “Materials and Methods.” RNA expression level for each transgene was analyzed using RT-PCR. The transgene transcripts (marked with arrow) ran as a smaller product than the gETR1 product as we have previously described (Kim et al., 2011). Transcript levels for ß-tubulin in each plant line are shown as a control.

**Effect of ETR1 Receiver Domain Point Mutations on ETR1 Signaling**

To delineate amino acids in the ETR1 receiver domain important for subfunctionalization, we studied the ability of these 11 point mutants to rescue several traits when transformed into the etr1-6;etr2-3;ein4-4 triple mutants. This triple mutant was chosen because it has reduced growth in air (Hua and Meyerowitz, 1998; Binder et al., 2004b; Qu and Schaller, 2004; Liu et al., 2010), has very slow growth recovery after ethylene removal (Binder et al., 2004b), fails to nutate when ethylene is applied (Binder et al., 2006), and has an accelerated germination time course under salt stress (Wilson et al., 2014b). Thus, we could compare the rescue of these various traits by the mutant transgenes to determine residues important for one or more functions.

Using high-resolution, time-lapse imaging of growing seedlings in the dark, we analyzed growth rate in air, ethylene-stimulated nutations, and growth response kinetics when ethylene was applied and removed (Fig. 3). Transformation of the etr1-6;etr2-3; ein4-4 triple null mutant with a wild-type gETR1 transgene reversed the effects of the triple mutant, resulting in faster growth in air (Fig. 3A), larger ethylene-stimulated nutational amplitude (Fig. 3B), and accelerated growth recovery after removal of ethylene (Fig. 3C). Different patterns of rescue were obtained with the point mutants, but all were functional for at least one trait (summarized in Table I). All were at least partially functional in the rescue of growth in air and growth recovery after ethylene removal. For this later trait, several transgenes rescued slightly better than wild-type gETR1 (Fig. 3C), some equally well (Fig. 3D), and some slightly worse than gETR1 (Fig. 3E). In addition, all of the transformants had growth inhibition kinetics similar to wild-type seedlings. In general, the mutant transgenes were less effective at rescuing nutations than gETR1 (Fig. 3B; Table I). This is consistent with our previous observations that indicate this trait is easily disrupted (Kim et al., 2011). Nonetheless, eight mutant transgenes partially rescued ethylene-stimulated nutations. Three of the mutant transgenes failed to rescue ethylene-stimulated nutations; this was confirmed by examining multiple transgenic lines for each mutant (Fig. 3B). One of the mutations (Q684A) is in a loop region, and two (E730A and L734A) are in the C-terminal tail of ETR1.

We also examined the effect of the point mutants on seed germination in the presence of 150 mM NaCl. Previously, we generated and characterized an Asp-659Ala mutant (D659A) transformed into the etr1-6;etr2-3; ein4-4 triple mutant background to assess the role of phosphotransfer in the control of growth in air, growth recovery after ethylene removal, and ethylene-stimulated nutations (Binder et al., 2004b; Kim et al., 2011). In addition to the 11 point mutants described above, we used this mutant in these seed germination experiments to determine the role of phosphotransfer in this phenotype. In the absence of NaCl, most seed lines germinated with a time course indistinguishable from wild-type seeds (Fig. 4). However, several transformants (C661A, V665A, E666A, and Q681A) germinated slightly slower than wild-type seeds, with the E666A mutant receptor giving the slowest germination time course with a time for 50% seed germination of...
Consistent with our previous results (Wilson et al., 2014b), 150 mM NaCl delayed germination of all seed lines (Fig. 4; Supplemental Fig. S1). The triple mutant seeds were the least affected by 150 mM NaCl, having a slight increase in the time for 50% seed germination from approximately 1.3 d in the absence of salt to approximately 1.8 d in the presence of salt (Supplemental Fig. S1). The wild type and triple mutants transformed with gETR1 reached approximately 78% germination after 7 d, whereas the triple mutants reached 100% germination by 3.5 d. There were three patterns of rescue for germination on 150 mM NaCl (Fig. 4; Table I; Supplemental Fig. S1). Some transgenes (V665A, E666A, and Q681A) caused germination to be slower than germination of triple mutants transformed with gETR1 and resulted in a lower percentage of seeds that germinated (Fig. 4B). These results were confirmed in multiple transgenic lines for each mutant (data not shown). This indicates that these mutant receptors are more functional than the wild-type receptor for this phenotype. Interestingly, these mutants are not more functional for the other traits examined (Table I). The two mutations causing the slowest germination time course (V665A and E666A) are both in the g loop, and these seed lines failed to reach 50% germination within the time span of these experiments (Supplemental Fig. S1). Three mutant transgenes (N617A, Q618A, and R682A) rescued germination on salt to the same extent as gETR1 (Fig. 4C), and six mutant transgenes were less functional, resulting in a faster germination time course and better overall germination compared with gETR1 (Fig. 4D). Four of these less-functional transgenes (V667A, Q684A, E730A, and L734A) were only slightly less functional than gETR1 (Fig. 4D; Table I). By contrast, two mutant transgenes (D659A and C661A) resulted in a faster germination time course on salt,
with a time for 50% seed germination over 30% faster than the gETR1 transformants (Table I; Supplemental Fig. S1). The D659A transgene affects the Asp that is believed to be involved in phosphotransfer based on homology to other receiver domains, and C661A affects a Cys that hydrogen bonds with Asp-659 (Müller-Dieckmann et al., 1999). Both amino acid residues are located just before the γ loop. Thus, the γ loop and phosphotransfer through the receiver domain have important roles in mediating germination on salt.

### Effect of the EIN4 Receiver Domain on Seed Germination on Salt

We have previously generated cDNAs encoding chimeric ETR1-EIN4 receptors to examine the role of each domain in the regulation of various traits (Kim et al., 2011). These chimeric receptor transgenes were transformed into etr1-6;etr2-3;ein4-4 triple mutants, and the rescue of various traits was compared to rescue obtained with a cDNA encoding a full-length ETR1 (cETR1). From this, we found that transformation with a chimeric ETR1-EIN4 receptor transgene containing the receiver domain of EIN4 (1114) can rescue growth in air and growth recovery after ethylene removal but not ethylene-stimulated nutations (Kim et al., 2011). This suggests that the ETR1 receiver domain is unique and needed for the control of some, but not all, phenotypes. Therefore, we were curious to know whether the EIN4 receiver domain can substitute for the ETR1 receiver domain in the control of seed germination on salt. To determine this, we examined the germination time course of triple mutants transformed with the 1114 transgene. The germination time course of this transformant was compared to the wild type, etr1-6;etr2-3;ein4-4 triple mutants, and triple mutants transformed with either cETR1 or cetr1-ΔR. All seed lines had similar germination time courses in the absence of salt (Fig. 5; Supplemental Fig. S2). Consistent with our prior results (Wilson et al., 2014b), on salt, the etr1-6;etr2-3; ein4-4 triple mutants transformed with cETR1 had slow germination comparable to wild-type seeds, whereas the cetr1-ΔR transgene was nonfunctional for this trait, resulting in fast germination similar to the germination time course of the triple mutant seeds (Fig. 5; Supplemental Fig. S2). Similarly, the 1114 transgene did not have an effect on seed germination on salt, indicating that the EIN4 receiver domain cannot substitute for the ETR1 receiver domain for this trait. Both cetr1-ΔR and 1114 are expressed and functional for other traits (Kim et al., 2011), providing evidence that the ETR1 receiver domain is specifically required to mediate germination on salt.

### Responses to ABA Are Altered to Affect Seed Germination

The hormone ABA is an inhibitor of germination that accumulates in plants in response to salt stress (Garcia-Rubio et al., 1997; Jakab et al., 2005). In a previous study, we demonstrated that the faster germination of etr1-6 loss-of-function mutants on salt correlated with a decrease in responsiveness to ABA, rather than changes in levels or responses to ethylene, GA₃, or cytokinin (Wilson et al., 2014b). We therefore wished to determine whether ABA was involved in the alterations we observe in seed germination on salt in this study. We first compared the germination time courses of the wild type to etr1-6;etr2-3;ein4-4 triple mutant seeds (Fig. 6). In the

---

**Table I. Summary of rescue of traits by ETR1 transgenes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenotype Rescued</th>
<th>etr1-6;etr2-3;ein4-4 Transformed with:</th>
<th>Growth in Air</th>
<th>Growth Recovery after Ethylene Removal</th>
<th>Ethylene-Stimulated Nutations</th>
<th>Germination Rate during Salt Stress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gETR1</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E617A</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N618A</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D659A</td>
<td>++*</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C661A</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V665A</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E666A</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N667A</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q681A</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R682A</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q684A</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E730A</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L734A</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>+++</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Rescue for each mutant transgene was scored relative to the rescue obtained with the gETR1 transgene. Results of growth in air and nutations (Fig. 3) were scored as follows: more than 70% rescue, +++; 50% to 70% rescue, ++; 30% to 50% rescue, +; and no rescue, –. Results for growth recovery (Fig. 3) were scored as follows: recovery time was faster, ++++; recovery time was comparable, +++; recovery time was slightly slower, ++; and recovery time was very slow and only slightly faster than the etr1-6;etr2-3;ein4-4 mutants, +. Results for the time for 50% germination on salt (Supplemental Fig. S1) were scored as follows: more than 65% slower, +++++; 20% to 65% slower, +++; comparable, +++; more than 35% faster, +++; and more than 70% faster, ++++.*
is differentially reversed by time courses of seed germination experiments were performed in triplicate. The average percentage of seeds that germinated was determined every 12 h. All type genomic etr2-3;ein4-4 triple mutants, and triple mutants transformed with a wild-

gETR1 transformant, which germinates better than D659A mutants on salt involved ABA. For this, we chose CRUICIFERIN1 (CRA1), which encodes for a major seed storage protein, and RESPONSIVE TO ABA18 (RABI18), which encodes for a dehydrin (Lång and Palva, 1992; Gliwicka et al., 2012). As shown in Figure 7, treatment with 1 μM ABA for 2 d increased the transcript abundance of both genes in wild-type seeds (P < 0.05). Interestingly, the transcript levels of both genes were lower in the triple mutant than the wild-type seeds after treatment with ABA (P < 0.05). ABA had no effect on CRA1 transcript levels in the etr1-6; etr2-3;ein4-4 triple mutants and had a smaller effect on RAB18 transcript levels than seen with wild-type seeds. These data support the idea that the triple mutant seeds are less responsive to ABA. Similar changes in CRA1 and RAB18 transcript abundance are seen in response to 150 mM NaCl (Supplemental Fig. S4A). We also examined the changes in CRA1 and RAB18 transcript levels in triple mutants transformed with gETR1, D659A, or E666A. The patterns of changes in these transcripts correlated with germination, with the gETR1 transformants having responses similar to wild-type seeds, the D659A transformants having little or no response to ABA, and the E666A transformants having larger responses to ABA (Fig. 7).

To further examine the involvement of ABA, we treated seedlings with the ABA biosynthesis inhibitor norflurazon in the presence of 150 mM NaCl. Solvent control seedlings had germination time courses in response to 150 mM NaCl (Fig. 8) that were similar to what we observe in the absence of solvent (Fig. 4), with the E666A transgene causing a slight increase in the germination time course (Fig. 6; Supplemental Fig. S3). Transformation of the triple mutant with gETR1 caused the seeds to have a larger response to ABA and have slower germination that was comparable to wild-type seeds. Correlating with our germination results on salt, the D659A transformants germinated faster on ABA than gETR1 and had a germination time course that was very similar to the triple mutants (Fig. 6; Supplemental Fig. S3). By contrast, the V665A and E666A transgenes caused slower seed germination in response to ABA than what was observed with gETR1 seeds (Fig. 6). These seed lines never reached 50% germination within the time frame of these experiments (Supplemental Fig. S3). These data demonstrate that application of ABA phenocopies the effects of NaCl on the seed lines tested, suggesting that the difference in germination caused by these mutant transgenes is due to differences in responsiveness to ABA.

To further explore the link between ABA and germination, we used quantitative real-time reverse transcriptase (qRT)-PCR to examine the transcript abundance of two ABA-responsive genes in response to ABA treatment. For this, we chose CRUICIFERIN1 (CRA1), which encodes for a major seed storage protein, and RESPONSIVE TO ABA18 (RABI18), which encodes for a dehydrin (Lång and Palva, 1992; Gliwicka et al., 2012). As shown in Figure 7, treatment with 1 μM ABA for 2 d increased the transcript abundance of both genes in wild-type seeds (P < 0.05). Interestingly, the transcript levels of both genes were lower in the triple mutant than the wild-type seeds after treatment with ABA (P < 0.05). ABA had no effect on CRA1 transcript levels in the etr1-6; etr2-3;ein4-4 triple mutants and had a smaller effect on RAB18 transcript levels than seen with wild-type seeds. These data support the idea that the triple mutant seeds are less responsive to ABA. Similar changes in CRA1 and RAB18 transcript abundance are seen in response to 150 mM NaCl (Supplemental Fig. S4A). We also examined the changes in CRA1 and RAB18 transcript levels in triple mutants transformed with gETR1, D659A, or E666A. The patterns of changes in these transcripts correlated with germination, with the gETR1 transformants having responses similar to wild-type seeds, the D659A transformants having little or no response to ABA, and the E666A transformants having larger responses to ABA (Fig. 7).

To further examine the involvement of ABA, we treated seedlings with the ABA biosynthesis inhibitor norflurazon in the presence of 150 mM NaCl. Solvent control seedlings had germination time courses in response to 150 mM NaCl (Fig. 8) that were similar to what we observe in the absence of solvent (Fig. 4). Application of 10 μM norflurazon caused germination time courses to be faster (Fig. 8) and significantly (P < 0.05) reduced the time for 50% seed germination for all lines tested (Supplemental Fig. S5). Application of 100 μM norflurazon almost entirely eliminated the germination differences between the seed lines tested (Fig. 8).
Supplemental Fig. S5). Application of 100 μM norflurazon also almost entirely reversed the effects of 150 mM NaCl on these germinating seeds, suggesting that the effects of the mutant transgenes on seed germination during salt stress are largely mediated by ABA. Correlating with these changes, norflurazon reversed the effects that salt treatment had on the transcript levels of CRA1 and RAB18 (Supplemental Fig. S4B).

**DISCUSSION**

The ethylene receptors have become subfunctionalized and have both overlapping and nonoverlapping roles (for review, see Shakeel et al., 2013). Prior studies showed that ETR1 has a prominent role in the control of several phenotypes, including ethylene-stimulated nutations, growth recovery after removal of ethylene, inhibition of ethylene responses by silver ions, seed germination during salt stress in the light, and seed germination in darkness (Binder et al., 2004b, 2006; Kim et al., 2011; McDaniel and Binder, 2012; Wilson et al., 2014a, 2014b). Interestingly, the ETR1 receiver domain was previously shown to be important for normal growth recovery, ethylene-stimulated nutations, and germination during salt stress in the light (Binder et al., 2004b, 2006; Kim et al., 2011; Wilson et al., 2014b) but not for the control of seed germination in darkness (Wilson et al., 2014a). In this study, we demonstrated that the ETR1 receiver domain also has little or no role in mediating the inhibitory effects of silver ions. These results in combination with other studies demonstrate that the control of various traits have different ETR1 domain requirements. Three traits (growth recovery after ethylene removal, ethylene-stimulated nutations, and germination during salt stress in the light) require the full-length ETR1 receptor (Binder et al., 2004b, 2006; Kim et al., 2011; Wilson et al., 2014b). By contrast, growth in air, growth inhibition by ethylene, germination in darkness, and response to silver ions do not require the receiver domain (Gamble et al., 2002; Binder et al., 2004b; Hall et al., 2012; Wilson et al., 2014a). There is also evidence that ETR1 signaling occurs from the ethylene-binding and GAF domains (Gamble et al., 2002; Qiu et al., 2012). This signaling appears to occur via REVERSION TO ETHYLENE1, which modulates ETR1 function by interactions with the ethylene-binding and GAF domains, and may signal via a CTR1-independent pathway (Resnick et al., 2006; Dong et al., 2008, 2010; Qiu et al., 2012).

Within those traits that require the receiver domain, there are differences. Genetic studies indicate that phosphotransfer through the receiver domain is important for both normal growth recovery after the removal of ethylene (Binder et al., 2004b) and germination under salt stress. However, using ETR1–EIN4 chimeric receptors containing the receiver domain of EIN4 (1114), we show that the receiver domain of EIN4 can substitute for the receiver domain of ETR1 in the control of growth recovery (Kim et al., 2011) but not germination on salt. This suggests a model where there are two patterns of phosphotransfer from ETR1 His kinase, where phosphotransfer to ETR1, ETR2, and EIN4 controls growth recovery and phosphotransfer solely to...
ETR1 controls seed germination during salt stress (Fig. 9A). The phosphotransfer solely to ETR1 appears to inhibit germination under salt stress, but we cannot rule out that subtle changes in tertiary structure have occurred in the chimeric receptor, leading to these results. Because ETR2 has the opposite effect on seed germination from ETR1, it will be interesting to determine whether a chimeric ETR1-ETR2 receptor containing the receiver domain of ETR2 has the opposite effect on seed germination from the ETR1 receptor. Interestingly, the changes in seed germination on salt involve ABA because there are differences in ABA responsiveness. However, the mechanism for this is unknown. In contrast to these two traits, genetic studies show that ETR1 His-kinase activity and phosphotransfer are not required for ethylene-stimulated nutations, even though the ETR1 receiver domain is specifically needed for this trait (Kim et al., 2011). Thus, the ETR1 receiver domain has several functions that are not entirely redundant in the control of these three traits.

In this study, we found that there are regions in the receiver domain of ETR1 that are important for the control of ethylene-stimulated nutations and germination under salt stress. Using site-specific mutagenesis of amino acids in the receiver domain, we found that Q684, E730, and L734 are required for a functional ETR1 protein in the control of ethylene-stimulated nutations but not for the other traits studied. Q684 is in a loop region, whereas E730 and L734 are in the C-terminal tail of the protein. When placed in the crystal model, all three amino acid residues fall along a single face of the receiver domain (Fig. 9B). This surface of the receiver domain is modeled to face the kinase domain (Mayerhofer et al., 2015). It is also noteworthy that ethylene-stimulated nutations are reduced by most point mutations studied, suggesting that the entire domain may be involved in the regulation of this phenotype. We also identified two regions at or near the γ loop of the receiver domain that are important in the control of germination on salt. One region is defined by D659 and C661, which are located just before the γ loop and are needed to inhibit seed germination on salt. The other region is in the γ loop and is defined by V665 and E666, which are located at the other end of the γ loop from D659 and C661 (Fig. 9B). Mutations in V665 and E666 result in a receptor that inhibits germination more than the wild-type receptor. These mutations do not result in a hyperfunctional receptor for the other traits.

![Figure 7. ABA changes the transcript abundance of RAB18 and CRA1.](image)

The levels of transcript for CRA1 and RAB18 were measured using qRT-PCR. For this, seeds were germinated for 2 d in the presence or absence of 1 μM ABA and mRNA extracted from the wild type and etr1-6; etr2-2; ein4-4 triple mutants as well as triple mutants transformed with the indicated mutant transgene. Data were normalized to the levels of At3g12210 in each seed line to determine the relative transcript level for each gene. These were then normalized to levels of the transcript in untreated wild-type seeds. The average ± SEM for two biological replicates with three technical replicates each is shown. The letter a indicates that ABA caused a statistically significant increase in transcript levels (P < 0.05), and the letter b indicates a statistical difference from wild-type seeds in the same condition (P < 0.05). All P values were calculated by Student's t test.

![Figure 8. Norflurazon reduces differences in germination between select point mutants.](image)

Germination time courses of etr1-6; etr2-2; ein4-4 triple mutants transformed with genomic DNA encoding a mutant etr1 transgene containing the indicated point mutation were determined. For comparison, data from the wild type, etr1-6; etr2-2; ein4-4 triple mutants, and triple mutants transformed with a wild-type genomic ETR1 (gETR1) transgene are included. Seeds were germinated on 150 mM NaCl in the absence or presence of the indicated concentrations of the ABA biosynthesis inhibitor norflurazon. All experiments were performed in triplicate. The average percentage of seed germination ± SD at each time is plotted for each seed line.
Figure 9. Models for ETR1 receiver domain output. A, The ETR1, ETR2, and EIN4 receptors form homodimers with each monomer containing an ethylene-binding, GAF, kinase, and receiver domain as labeled. The conserved His (H) and Asp (D) amino acid residues involved in phosphotransfer are shown. The receiver domain is predicted to have several roles in the control of several phenotypes. In this model, two outputs require ETR1 His-kinase activity where transphosphorylation from one monomer to a conserved His in the other monomer occurs. This phosphate then transfers to conserved Asp residues in the receiver domains of ETR1, ETR2, and EIN4. In this model, growth recovery after the removal of ethylene is stimulated by phosphotransfer to all three receptors. By contrast, germination on salt is only controlled by phosphotransfer through the receiver domain. Ethylene-stimulated nutations require the ETR1 receiver domain but are stimulated via a phosphotransfer-independent mechanism. B, Two views are shown of the tertiary structure of the backbone carbons of the ETR1 receiver domain based on the published crystal structure (Müller-Dieckmann et al., 1999). The positions of the amino acid residues determined to have the largest effects on ethylene-stimulated nutations (Q684, E730, and L734) and germination on salt (D659, C661, and E666) are shown as space-filled representations.

Our data also support a model where phosphotransfer through the receiver domain is important in the control of certain traits. Support for this is that the D659A mutant affects both growth recovery after ethylene removal (Binder et al., 2004b) and germination on salt. The downstream target for phosphotransfer from the ethylene receptors is unknown. Prior research has documented interactions between ETR1 and both His-containing phosphotransfer proteins and response regulator proteins (Urao et al., 2000; Hass et al., 2004; Scharein et al., 2008; Zhong et al., 2008; Bisson et al., 2009; Bisson and Groth, 2010).
phosphorylation can affect bacterial receiver domain structure, leading to changes in dimerization and interactions with other proteins (for review, see Gao and Stock, 2009; Bourtret, 2010; Galperin, 2010; Capra and Laub, 2012), it is possible that both receptor-protein interactions and phosphotransfer-induced changes in some of these interactions are involved in ETR1 subfunctionalization. Based on our data, such a phosphorylation-induced conformational change affects the face of the receiver domain containing the γ loop but not the face that includes the C-terminal tail.

The exact output of the ethylene receptors is not yet known. The results presented above show that ETR1 has multiple outputs via the receiver domain that modulate various traits. Future work will better delineate ETR1 functions in the control of plant growth and development and identify the underlying mechanisms for these functions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and Chemicals

All mutants are in the Columbia background of Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana), which was used as a wild-type control. The eTR1-eTR2-3GIA-AIA-eTR1-AIA-AIA-eTR2-3GIA mutant and the triple mutants transformed with gETR1, gETR1, D659A, cetr1-ΔR, and J114 have previously been described (Hall and Bleecker, 2003; Wang et al., 2013; Binder et al., 2004b, 2006; Kim et al., 2011). The D659A mutant was previously referred to as getr1-1D (Binder et al., 2004b; Kim et al., 2011). ABA was from ACROS Organics, and norflurazon was from Fluka.

Homology Modeling

Three-dimensional structural models of the ETR2 and EIN4 receiver domains were generated with Molecular Operating Environment (MOE) version 2012.10 using the ETR1 receiver domain as a template structure (Protein Data Bank 1DCP). This template was used because, of the possible templates identified by MOE, it had the highest Z value. The ETR1 receiver domain protein sequence and each of the other two receiver domain sequences were aligned, with structural alignment enabled. For the alignment between the ETR1 and ETR2 receiver domains, a bloom35 substitution matrix was used, and for the alignment between the ETR1 and EIN4 receiver domains, a bloom35 substitution matrix was used. The structures were prepared and errors were fixed both automatically with MOE and manually. The Protandove 3D function of MOE was used to assign protonation states, and homology models were then obtained using the CHARMm27 force field (Foloppe and MacKerell, 2000). An ensemble of 10 possible structures for each receiver domain was generated and the models were ranked using MOE to calculate the root-mean-square deviation values of atomic positions. These values ranged from 0.31 to 0.68 Å for EIN4 models and 0.36 to 0.90 Å for ETR2 models. The model for each receiver domain with the lowest value was then superposed on the crystal structure of the ETR1 receiver domain, and alterations in the positions of backbone carbons were evaluated.

Plasmid Construction, Generation of Transgenic Lines, and RT-PCR

The cloning of gTR1 into pBluescript and pZPP211 has been previously described (Wang et al., 2003). Several silent mutations were incorporated into pBluescript II SK–gTR1 and subsequently cloned into pZPP211-gTR1 with MfeⅠ-HF and AflⅡ (now called pZPP211-gTR1 silent), leading to a unique avrⅡ restriction site in gTR1. These mutations, along with the following ones, were made using LaTaq polymerase (TaKaRa Bio) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The following point mutations were made in pBluescript II SK–gTR1 and then cloned into pZPP211-gTR1 silent using AflⅡ and KpnⅠ: E617A, N618A, C661A, V665A, E666A, N676A, Q81A, R682A, Q684A, E730A, and L734A. All plasmid constructs and point mutations were confirmed by sequencing. Primers for the generation of these mutants are listed in Supplemental Table S1.

All of the transgene constructs created above were transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 pMP90 and then transformed into etr1-6; etr2-3GIA-AIA-AIA (Arabidopsis plants using the floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998). Two to three homozygous lines were identified for each transgenic line. RNA was extracted from 10 or more seedlings using the RNA Plant Extraction Kit (Qiagen). DNA was cleaned using the Turbo DNAse Kit (Ambion), and PCR amplification was carried out using the One-Step RT-PCR Kit (Qiagen) and primers described previously (Kim et al., 2011). The resultant amplification products were run on a 1.5% (w/v) agarose gel and detected with UV illumination. Transcript levels of β-tubulin were analyzed as a control using primers described previously (Gao et al., 2008).

High-Resolution Time-Lapse Imaging and Analysis of Growth Rate and Nutation Angles

Arabidopsis seeds were surface sterilized with 70% (v/v) alcohol for 30 s, placed on sterile filter paper to dry, and then placed on agar plates containing 0.8% agar and one-half-strength Murashige and Skoog hormone mixture (Murashige and Skoog, 1962), pH 5.7, fortified with vitamins and with no added sugar. Seeds were treated for 2 to 8 d at 4°C, treated with light for 4 to 8 h under continuous fluorescent lights, and then allowed to grow in the dark for 2 d on vertically orientated plates for time-lapse imaging experiments. Time-lapse imaging of dark-grown Arabidopsis hypocotyls was carried out using methods previously described with Marlin CCD cameras (Allied Vision Technologies) and infrared lighting (Binder et al., 2004a, 2004b, 2006; Kim et al., 2011). For growth kinetics measurements, images were taken every 5 min. To measure growth response kinetics, seedlings were grown in air for 1 h followed by treatment for 2 h with 10 μL L−1 ethylene to examine growth inhibition kinetics. This was followed by a 5-h treatment with air to examine growth recovery kinetics. For treatment with silver, 100 μg AgNO3 was included in the agar. In these experiments, seedlings were grown for 1 h in air followed by treatment with 1 μL L−1 ethylene to examine growth inhibition kinetics as described by McDaniel and Binder (2012). The growth rate of each seedling was analyzed using custom software (Parks and Spalding, 1999; Folta and Spalding, 2001) and normalized to the growth rate in air prior to application of ethylene. Growth rate in air was quantified from the first hour of measurements before ethylene was added. To measure mutational bending, seedlings were treated with 10 μL L−1 ethylene for 24 h and images were acquired every 15 min. The angles of each hypocotyl were measured manually and rotation amplitude was determined as previously described (Binder et al., 2006). All experiments under all conditions were repeated in at least three separate experiments.

Seed Germination Experiments

Seed germination experiments were carried out according to the methods of Wilson et al. (2014a, 2014b). Briefly, to reduce biological variation, seeds were collected from plants grown together under uniform conditions (Hersel et al., 1993). Seeds were collected on the same day, stored in a desiccator for at least 3 weeks, and mechanically sorted using the methods of Elwell et al. (2011), and seeds between 250 and 300 μm in size were used. Seeds were surface sterilized with 70% (v/v) ethanol for 30 s, dried, and then placed on agar plates as described above that contained either no salt or 150 mM NaCl. In some experiments, ABA or the ABA biosynthesis inhibitor norflurazon was included at the indicated concentration. These were prepared as 1,000X stocks in ethanol and added after autoclaving. Solvent control plates contained 0.1% (v/v) ethanol. The plates were sealed with porous surgical tape (3M) to prevent accumulation of ethylene (Buer et al., 2003). Twenty seeds of a genotype were placed on a plate, and three plates were used per condition. Plates were kept vertically on a plate, and three plates were used per condition. Plates were kept vertically under a long-day (16-h-light/8-h-dark) photoperiod at 20°C to 21°C under 12 to 13 μmol m−2 s−1 white light. The number of seeds that germinated on each plate was determined every 12 h for 7 d. Germination was scored as the rupture of the testa (seed coat).

RNA Isolation and qRT-PCR

The transcript abundance of several Arabidopsis genes was examined using qRT-PCR. This included gene transcripts for CRA1 and RAB18, which are ABA responsive (Lång and Palva, 1992; Gilvicka et al., 2012). For this, total RNA was isolated from 25 mg (dry weight) of seeds that were sown in the presence or
absence of 1 µM ABA or 150 mM NaCl. RNA was isolated using the methods of Meng and Feldman (2010) as modified by Wilson et al. (2014a). Transcript data were normalized to At3g12210 (Dekkers et al., 2012) using the method of Livak and Schmittgen (2001) for each seed line for each condition to obtain the relative amounts of target gene transcripts between plant backgrounds for each treatment. These levels were then normalized to the levels observed in untreated wild-type seeds. The primers used for the analysis of these transcripts have been previously described (Fuji et al., 2007; Glivicka et al., 2012).

**Statistics**

Data were analyzed using Student’s t tests and considered statistically different at P < 0.05.

Arabidopsis Genome Initiative accession numbers for genes studied in this article are ETR1, At1g66340; EIN4, At3g04580; ETR2, At3g23150; CRA1, At5g44120; and RAB18.

**Supplemental Material**

The following supplemental materials are available.

**Supplemental Figure S1.** Time for 50% of point mutant seeds to germinate in response to NaCl.

**Supplemental Figure S2.** Time for 50% of chimeric receptor seeds to germinate in response to NaCl.

**Supplemental Figure S3.** Time for 50% of select point mutant seeds to germinate in response to ABA.

**Supplemental Figure S4.** Change in transcript abundance of RAB18 and CRA1 in response to NaCl and norflurazon.

**Supplemental Figure S5.** Time for 50% of select point mutant seeds to germinate on NaCl in response to norflurazon.

**Supplemental Table S1.** Primers used for site-directed mutagenesis.
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