OsBRI1 Activates BR Signaling by Preventing Binding between the TPR and Kinase Domains of OsBSK3 via Phosphorylation
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Many plant receptor kinases transduce signals through receptor-like cytoplasmic kinases (RLCKs); however, the molecular mechanisms that create an effective on-off switch are unknown. The receptor kinase BR INSENSITIVE1 (BRI1) transduces brassinosteroid (BR) signal by phosphorylating members of the BR-signaling kinase (BSK) family of RLCKs, which contain a kinase domain and a C-terminal tetra-tricopeptide repeat (TPR) domain. Here, we show that the BR signaling function of BSKs is conserved in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) and rice (Oryza sativa) and that the TPR domain of BSKs functions as a “phospho-switchable” autoregulatory domain to control BSKs’ activity. Genetic studies revealed that OsBSK3 is a positive regulator of BR signaling in rice, while in vivo and in vitro assays demonstrated that OsBRI1 interacts directly with and phosphorylates OsBSK3. The TPR domain of OsBSK3, which interacts directly with the protein’s kinase domain, serves as an autoinhibitory domain to prevent OsBSK3 from interacting with br1-suppressor1 (BSU1). Phosphorylation of OsBSK3 by OsBRI1 disrupts the interaction between its TPR and kinase domains, thereby increasing the binding between OsBSK3’s kinase domain and BSU1. Our results not only demonstrate that OsBSK3 plays a conserved role in regulating BR signaling in rice, but also provide insight into the molecular mechanism by which BSK family proteins are inhibited under basal conditions but switched on by the upstream receptor kinase BRI1.

Brassinosteroids (BRs) are a group of natural poly-hydroxy steroids that regulate diverse physiological processes in plants, including growth promotion, skotomorphogenesis, organ boundary formation, stomata development, sex determination, vascular differentiation, male fertility, seed germination, flowering, senescence, and resistance to various abiotic and biotic stresses (Gomes, 2011; Hartwig et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2012; Gendron et al., 2012; Kutschera and Wang, 2012). Over the past decade, using Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) as a model plant, genetic, biochemical, molecular, and proteomic studies have revealed details about the BR signal transduction mechanisms, from perception of the signal by the receptor kinase BR INSENSITIVE1 (BRI1) to downstream transcriptional regulation. The BR signaling pathway in Arabidopsis represents one of the best-characterized receptor kinase pathways in plants and therefore serves as a model for understanding receptor kinase signaling in general and for understanding BR signaling in crops.

BRs are recognized by a membrane-localized Leu-rich repeat receptor-like kinase, BRI1, and its coreceptor BRI1-ASSOCIATED RECEPTOR KINASE1 (BAK1) (Li and Chory, 1997; Santiago et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2013). BR binding promotes the association of BRI1 with BAK1 and enables transphosphorylation between the cytoplasmic kinase domains of the two receptors (Li et al., 2002; Nam and Li, 2002; Wang et al., 2005, 2008). BRI1 then phosphorylates two membrane-localized receptor-like
cytoplasmic kinases (RLCKs), BR SIGNALING KINASES (BSKs) and CONSTITUTIVE DIFFERENTIAL GROWTH1 (CDG1), leading to activation of the protein phosphatase bri1-SUPPRESSOR1 (BSU1) (Tang et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2009, 2011). BSU1 dephosphorylates and inhibits the GSK3/Shaggy-like kinase BR INSENSITIVE2 (BIN2) (Kim et al., 2009). In the absence of BRs, BIN2 phosphorylates BRASSINAZOLE RESISTANT1 (BZR1) family transcription factors, preventing them from regulating the transcription of downstream targets (He et al., 2002, 2005; Vert and Chory, 2006). BR signaling inhibits BIN2 and allows BZR1 to be dephosphorylated by PROTEIN PHOSPHATASE 2A (Tang et al., 2011). Together with their binding partners, dephosphorylated BZR1 family transcription factors bind to BR response element or E-box cis-element and regulate the expression of many BR-responsive genes (He et al., 2005; Yin et al., 2005; Sun et al., 2010).

The interaction with a membrane-localized receptor-like kinase is not unique for BSKs and CDG1; it has also been observed for a number of other RLCKs, including M-LOCUS PROTEIN KINASE (Kakita et al., 2007), BOTRYTIS-INDUCED KINASE1 (Kim et al., 2010), CAST AWAY (CST) (Burr et al., 2011), OsRLCK185 (Yamaguchi et al., 2013), and AVRPPHB SUSCEPTIBLE-LIKE1 (Zhang et al., 2010). Therefore, interacting with a receptor-like kinase to regulate cellular signaling pathways might represent a general function of RLCKs. While many RLCKs regulate cellular responses by phosphorylating one or more substrates directly, there are many RLCKs encoded in the Arabidopsis genome (e.g. BSKs) that contain a kinase domain that lacks conserved amino acids believed to be essential for ATP binding or phosphoryl transfer (Castells and Casacuberta, 2007; Roux et al., 2014). The molecular mechanisms by which these atypical kinases switch signaling pathways on and off to regulate cellular functions remain to be explored.

In this study, we demonstrate that OsBSK3 plays a conserved role in transducing BR signal from OsBRI1 to downstream components in rice (Oryza sativa). Similar to Arabidopsis BSKs (AtBSKs), OsBSK3 contains a kinase domain and a C-terminal tetratricopeptide repeat (TPR) domain. Our data show that the TPR domain of OsBSK3 interacts directly with the protein’s kinase domain and that it serves as an autoinhibitory domain to prevent OsBSK3 from interacting with BSU1. Phosphorylation of OsBSK3 by OsBRI1 is critical for the regulation of BR signaling because it prevents binding between the TPR and kinase domains of OsBSK3, thus enabling binding between the kinase domain of OsBSK3 and BSU1.

RESULTS

Rice BSK3 Positively Regulates BR Signaling in Arabidopsis

Previously, it was shown that AtBSKs mediate BR signal transduction from the membrane receptor AtBRI1 to the downstream phosphatase AtBSU1 (Kim et al., 2009). To investigate whether a similar mechanism exists in rice, a BLAST search against the rice genome was conducted using twelve AtBSK protein sequences. Four AtBSK orthologs were identified in rice. Based on their sequence homology to AtBSK3, the orthologs were named OsBSK1 (Os03g04050), OsBSK2 (Os10g42110), OsBSK3 (Os04g58750), and OsBSK4 (Os03g61010). Similar to AtBSKs, these OsBSKs were found to contain an N-terminal kinase domain and a C-terminal TPR domain. In addition, several distinctive features of the kinase domain in AtBSKs were found to be conserved in the OsBSKs, including the lack of a classical GXGXXG motif (Gly-rich loop), the presence of an Ala gatekeeper, and amino acid substitutions within the conserved HRD and DFG motifs (Supplemental Fig. S1).

In preliminary semiquantitative RT-PCR analyses, we found that the expression of OsBSK3 in rice root and leaf tissues was up-regulated by BR treatment (Supplemental Fig. S2); therefore, we selected OsBSK3 for further analysis. When overexpressed in Arabidopsis, OsBSK3 rescued the dwarf phenotypes of the BR synthesis mutant det2, the weak BR signaling mutant bri1-5, and the strong BR signaling mutant bri1-116 (Fig. 1, A–D). Compared with bri1-5 control plants, expression of the BR synthesis gene CPD was greatly reduced in the transgenic plants overexpressing OsBSK3 (Fig. 1E), indicating that overexpression of OsBSK3 activates BR signaling in Arabidopsis.

Membrane Localization Is Required for the Regulation of BR Signaling by OsBSK3

An analysis by confocal microscopy of transgenic plants overexpressing OsBSK3 with a C-terminal yellow fluorescent protein (OsBSK3-YFP) or GFP tag
OsBSK3 was localized to the plasma membrane in both Arabidopsis and rice seedlings (Figs. 2A; Supplemental Fig. 3). Sequence analysis revealed that OsBSK3 does not possess a transmembrane domain; however, it contains a potential myristoylation site at its N terminus, which serves as a membrane localization signal (Thompson and Okuyama, 2000). To examine whether this myristoylation site is critical for the membrane localization and biological function of OsBSK3, we produced transgenic Arabidopsis plants expressing a mutant version of OsBSK3 with a disrupted myristoylation site (the second and third Gly residues were substituted with Ala; G2A) and YFP fused to the C terminus. As predicted, at an expression level below that of OsBSK3-YFP, G2A-YFP was detected throughout the transgenic cells by confocal microscopy (Fig. 2A). Consistent with these observations, OsBSK3-YFP was detected only in the plasma membrane, whereas tobacco cells coexpressing OsBSK3-cCFP and nYFP showed very weak fluorescence (Fig. 3A). The in vivo interaction of OsBRI1 with OsBSK3 was further confirmed using a split luciferase complementation assay. A strong luciferase signal was detected in tobacco leaf epidermal cells coexpressing OsBSK3 fused with the C-terminal half of luciferase (OsBSK3-cLuc) and full-length OsBRI1 fused with the N-terminal half of luciferase (OsBRI1-nLuc), but not in cells coexpressing OsBSK3-cLuc and the nLuc empty vector or OsBRI1-nLuc and the cLuc empty vector (Fig. 3, B and C).

An in vitro kinase assay showed that OsBSK3 could be phosphorylated by OsBRI1 (Fig. 3D). To elucidate the effect of phosphorylation by OsBRI1 on the biological function of OsBSK3, lambda phosphatase-treated recombinant OsBSK3 (see the “Materials and Methods” section) was phosphorylated in vitro by OsBRI1, digested with trypsin, and analyzed by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC/MS/MS). Mass complementation (BiFC) assay was performed. As shown in Figure 3A, tobacco (Nicotiana benthamiana) epidermal cells coexpressing OsBSK3 fused to the C-terminal half of cyan fluorescent protein (OsBSK3-cCFP) and full-length OsBRI1 fused to the N-terminal half of YFP (OsBRI1-nYFP) showed strong fluorescence at the plasma membrane, whereas tobacco cells coexpressing OsBSK3-cCFP and nYFP showed very weak fluorescence (Fig. 3A). The in vivo interaction of OsBRI1 with OsBSK3 was further confirmed using a split luciferase complementation assay. A strong luciferase signal was detected in tobacco leaf epidermal cells coexpressing OsBSK3 fused with the C-terminal half of luciferase (OsBSK3-cLuc) and full-length OsBRI1 fused with the N-terminal half of luciferase (OsBRI1-nLuc), but not in cells coexpressing OsBSK3-cLuc and the nLuc empty vector or OsBRI1-nLuc and the cLuc empty vector (Fig. 3, B and C).

OsBSK3 Is a Direct Substrate of OsBRI1

To test whether OsBSK3 interacts directly with the rice BR receptor OsBRI1, a bimolecular fluorescence

Figure 2. Membrane localization is crucial for the regulation of BR signaling in Arabidopsis by OsBSK3. A, The upper panel shows the G2A mutation. Red letters show the mutated amino acid. The middle and lower panels show the YFP signal detected by confocal microscopy in 1-week-old light-grown OsBSK3-YFP- and G2A-YFP-overexpressing brl1-5 leaves. B, 100 µg of soluble (S) or microsomal (M) proteins from OsBSK3-YFP- and G2A-YFP-overexpressing brl1-5 mutant plants were separated by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted using anti-YFP antibodies. Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) staining of the Rubisco large subunit was used as an equal loading control. C, Seven-week-old brl1-5 mutant plants overexpressing OsBSK3-YFP or G2A-YFP. G2A-1 and -8 are two independent transgenic lines. D, OsBSK3-YFP and G2A-YFP expression in the 3-week-old transgenic plants shown in C. Ponceau S staining of the Rubisco large subunit was used as an equal loading control.

Figure 3. OsBSK3 is a direct substrate of OsBRI1. A and B, BiFC (A) and firefly luciferase complementation assays (B) revealed the direct interaction of OsBSK3 with full-length OsBRI1 in 4-week-old tobacco leaf epidermal cells. The leaves were coinfiltivated with Agrobacterium tumefaciens cells containing the indicated vector pairs. Images were collected at 36 to 48 h after infiltration. DIC, differential interference contrast microscopy. C, Quantitation of the complemented luciferase activity in tobacco leaves cotransformed with the indicated vector pairs. The experiment was repeated at least three times with consistent results; representative results are shown. A one-way ANOVA was performed; statistically significant differences are indicated by different lowercase letters (P < 0.05). D, An in vitro assay for the phosphorylation of full-length OsBSK3 by OsBRI1.
specification did not identify any phosphopeptides in the lambda phosphatase-treated OsBSK3 protein; however, Ser-213 and Ser-215 were found to be phosphorylated in OsBSK3 that had been coincubated with OsBRI1, suggesting that these residues are specific OsBRI1 phosphorylation sites (Table I; Supplemental Fig. S4). To investigate whether these two sites are phosphorylated in vivo, OsBSK3 was immunoprecipitated with anti-myc antibodies from 2-week-old rice seedlings overexpressing OsBSK3 with a C-terminal myc tag, digested with trypsin, and analyzed by LC/MS/MS. Our results indicate that OsBSK3 phosphorylated forms of OsBSK3 were identified in vivo (Table I; Supplemental Fig. S5B). Mean-while, S212A-substituted AtBSK3 lost its ability to rescue the mutant phenotype of brl1-5 plants compared with wild-type AtBSK3 under overexpression conditions (Supplemental Fig. S7). Taken together, these data suggest that the mechanism in which the phosphorylation of BSK3 regulates BR signaling is conserved between rice and Arabidopsis.

When grown in the dark, the etiolated hypocotyls of wild-type and S215E-substituted OsBSK3-expressing plants were significantly longer than those of brl1-5 plants, whereas the hypocotyls of S215A-substituted OsBSK3-expressing plants were similar to those of nontransgenic mutant control plants (Fig. 4, C and D). When grown in the presence of the BR biosynthesis inhibitor propiconazole (PCZ; 0.25 μM), the growth-promoting effect of wild-type OsBSK3 became less distinguishable, whereas the etiolated hypocotyls of the S215E-overexpressing brl1-5 mutant plants were wavy, twisted, and nearly insensitive to PCZ treatment (Fig. 4, C and D). Similar hypocotyl phenotypes were observed in bzi1-1D, a mutant that exhibits constitutively active BR signaling, suggesting that the S215E substitution produced constitutively active BR signaling. Consistent with these growth phenotypes, quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) showed that the expression levels of CPD were decreased in brl1-5 plants overexpressing wild-type or S215E-substituted OsBSK3, while they were unchanged in brl1-5 plants overexpressing S215A-substituted OsBSK3 (Fig. 4E).

Table 1. The phosphorylated peptides identified from OsBSK3 and AtBSK3 by LC/MS/MS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peptide</th>
<th>Measured [M+H]+</th>
<th>Calculated [M+H]+</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Identified Sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identified in vitro phosphopeptides from OsBSK3 by CID</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGKpYSTNL1AFTPPEYM*R</td>
<td>2172.9446</td>
<td>2172.9308</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>6.7E-06</td>
<td>S213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGKpYSTNL1AFTPPEYM*R</td>
<td>2172.9389</td>
<td>2172.9308</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>2.1E-09</td>
<td>S215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identified in vivo phosphopeptides from OsBSK3 by HCD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYSTNL1AFTPPEYM*</td>
<td>1856.7945</td>
<td>1856.7925</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2.0E-11</td>
<td>S213 or S215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identified in vivo phosphopeptides from AtBSK3 by CID</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pYSTNL1AFTPPEYLR</td>
<td>1838.8317</td>
<td>1838.8361</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>6.6E-06</td>
<td>S210 or S212</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Met sulfoxide is denoted as M*; phosphoseryl residues are denoted as pS.

Ser-215 Phosphorylation Is Critical for the Biological Function of OsBSK3

To determine the physiological significance of the OsBRI1 phosphorylation sites in OsBSK3, we generated multiple versions of OsBSK3 by site-directed mutagenesis (S213A and S215A) to eliminate phosphorylation at these residues. We also substituted Ser-213 or Ser-215 with Glu (generating S213E- and S215E-substituted OsBSK3, respectively) to mimic constitutive OsBRI1 phosphorylated forms of OsBSK3. These mutant forms of OsBSK3 were overexpressed in brl1-5 plants and examined for their ability to rescue the dwarf phenotype of the mutant. Surprisingly, replacement of Ser-213 with either Ala or Glu had little effect on the ability of OsBSK3 to rescue the dwarf phenotype of the brl1-5 mutant plants (Fig. 4A), suggesting that the phosphorylation of Ser-213 does not contribute to the regulatory role of OsBSK3 in BR signaling. In comparison, when the S215A-substituted version of OsBSK3 was expressed at a level similar to that of wild-type OsBSK3, no rescue of the brl1-5 phenotype was observed. Furthermore, S215A had a dominant-negative effect: Plants overexpressing the S215A version of OsBSK3 were smaller than the nontransgenic controls when grown in the light under the same conditions, and the severity of dwarfism was closely related to the level of expression of the mutant protein (Fig. 4B). When overexpressed, S215E-substituted OsBSK3 significantly rescued the dwarf phenotype of the brl1-5 mutant (Fig. 4B).
It was previously reported that the phosphorylation of AtBSK1 by AtBRI1 increases the binding affinity of AtBSK1 for the downstream signaling component AtBSU1 (Kim et al., 2009). Although a sequence homology search showed that the rice genome contains several AtBSU1 orthologs, evidence showing that the BSU1 orthologs in rice regulate BR signaling in a manner similar to AtBSU1 is lacking. Therefore, we used AtBSU1 to investigate whether the identified OsBRI1 phosphorylation sites in OsBSK3 contribute to BSU1 binding. Wild-type and several mutated versions of OsBSK3 (S213A, S213E, Y214F, Y214E, S215A, and S215E) were purified from Escherichia coli using a GST tag, separated by SDS-PAGE, blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes, and incubated with purified MBP-tagged AtBSU1 (MBP-BSU1); the overlay signal was detected using horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-MBP antibodies. As shown in Figure 4F, S215E-substituted OsBSK3 exhibited strong affinity for AtBSU1, whereas no interaction was detected between AtBSU1 and the other forms of OsBSK3. The stronger interaction of S215E-substituted OsBSK3 with AtBSU1 was also confirmed using an in vivo BiFC assay (Supplemental Fig. S8).

The TPR Domain of OsBSK3 Negatively Regulates Its Function

Using transgenic plants, we discovered that overexpressed OsBSK3 carrying a C-terminal YFP tag rescued
the bri1-5 mutant phenotype better than tag-free OsBSK3 (Supplemental Fig. S9). Given that the C terminus of OsBSK3 contains a TPR domain, which is believed to be involved in protein-protein interactions (Allan and Ratajczak, 2011), we tested the function of the TPR domain by overexpressing the kinase domain (amino acids 1–390) of OsBSK3 (N390) in wild-type plants and in various BR biosynthesis and signaling mutants. As shown in Supplemental Figure S10, overexpressing N390 partially rescued the semi-dwarf phenotype of the bri1-301 mutant, while wild-type seedlings overexpressing N390 and OsBSK3 showed a bzl1-1D mutant-like axillary branch kink phenotype (Supplemental Fig. S11) caused by BR-regulated organ fusion (Gendron et al., 2012). In addition, both sets of transgenic plants showed hypersensitivity to 24-epibrassinolide (eBL)-stimulated hypocotyl elongation and reduced sensitivity to brassinazole (BRZ; a BR biosynthesis inhibitor)-inhibited hypocotyl elongation. In comparison, the observed axillary branch kink phenotype and hypocotyl responses to exogenously applied eBL and BRZ were dramatically enhanced in N390-overexpressing plants, suggesting that BR signaling is hyperactivated in Arabidopsis plants overexpressing full-length OsBSK3 in plants expressing the proteins at similar levels (Fig. 5, A and B). qRT-PCR revealed that CPD expression was greatly reduced in the N390- and OsBSK3-overexpressing bri1-5 mutant plants (Fig. 5C), suggesting that the rescue of the mutant phenotype was due to the activation of BR signaling. We also overexpressed the TPR domain of OsBSK3 in wild-type Arabidopsis plants and analyzed their response to exogenous eBL. As shown, overexpression of the TPR domain reduced the sensitivity of the transgenic plants to eBL-stimulated hypocotyl elongation in the light (Fig. 5D).

The strong ability of N390 to rescue the phenotypes of the BR signaling mutants and the reduced responses to eBL in plants overexpressing the TPR domain suggests that the TPR domain of OsBSK3 serves as an inhibitory domain. Thus, we next assessed whether the TPR and kinase domains of OsBSK3 (N390) could interact with each other directly by a yeast two-hybrid assay. In agreement with previous findings (Sreeramulu et al., 2013), OsBSK3 was able to interact with itself, but the interaction was relatively weak (Fig. 6A). When OsBSK3 was fragmented, the N390 or TPR domain (amino acids 391–502) interacted strongly with OsBSK3. Furthermore, although neither the N390 nor the TPR domain was able to bind to itself, they interacted strongly with each other (Fig. 6A; Supplemental Fig. S12A).

Besides BRI1 and BSU1, BSK family proteins are able to bind directly with BIN2 (Sreeramulu et al., 2013). To further investigate the effect of the TPR domain of OsBSK3 or AtBSK3 on the protein’s physiological function, the ability of AtBSU1, AtBIN2, full-length OsBSK3, N390, full-length AtBSK3, and the kinase domain of AtBSK3 (N334) to interact was examined. AtBSU1 did not interact with full-length OsBSK3 or AtBSK3 in a yeast two-hybrid assay, whereas a strong interaction between AtBSU1 and N390 or N334 was detected (Fig. 6B). In comparison, AtBIN2 interacted with both the full length and the kinase domain of OsBSK3 or AtBSK3. These data suggest that the TPR domain prevented both OsBSK3 and AtBSK3 from binding with AtBSU1, but not AtBIN2. Our yeast two-hybrid data were further validated using an in vitro overlay assay, in which the kinase domain or full-length version of OsBSK3 (N390 and OsBSK3, respectively) or

Figure 5. The TPR domain of OsBSK3 negatively regulates OsBSK3 function. A and B, Seven- to eight-week-old bri1-5 (A) and bri1-116 mutant (B) plants overexpressing full-length OsBSK3 or the kinase domain of OsBSK3 (N390). The upper panels in A and B show the expression levels of OsBSK3 and N390 in the transgenic plants. C, An analysis of the CPD expression level in the 2-week-old seedlings shown in A by qRT-PCR. D, Overexpression of the TPR domain of OsBSK3 reduced the BR sensitivity of the transgenic Arabidopsis plants. Plants were grown in half-strength Murashige and Skoog medium with or without the indicated concentration of eBL at 22˚C under LD conditions for 1 week. Representative results from three independent experiments are shown. A one-way ANOVA was performed in C and D. Statistically significant differences are indicated by different lowercase letters (P < 0.05).
negative regulation of OsBSK3 via its TPR domain

OsBSK3 by OsBRII1 prevent its TPR and kinase domains from binding? To test this hypothesis, a GST-TPR fusion protein was used to pull down N390-6His, which was preincubated with the OsBRII1 kinase domain in the presence or absence of ATP. Our findings indicate that unphosphorylated N390 bound the TPR domain much more strongly than phosphorylated N390 (Fig. 6D). Furthermore, quantitative yeast two-hybrid and split luciferase assays showed that the binding of the kinase and TPR domains of OsBSK3 was reduced when the S215E-substituted version of the protein was used and increased when the S215A-substituted version of the protein was used (Fig. 6E; Supplemental Fig. S12C).

OsBSK3 Regulates BR Signaling in Rice

To test whether OsBSK3 regulates BR signaling in rice, we overexpressed both full-length and the kinase domain of OsBSK3 in rice and measured the lamina joint angle and root length, which are typically regulated by BR signaling, in the transgenic plants. Seedlings overexpressing both full-length and the kinase domain of OsBSK3 showed a significantly increased leaf bending angle (Fig. 7, A and B). However, at a similar expression level, increased leaf bending and root growth inhibition were observed in BL-treated seedlings overexpressing N390, but not from seedlings overexpressing full-length OsBSK3 (Fig. 7, C and D). We also analyzed the expression levels of the BR biosynthesis genes DWARF and D2, which were previously shown to be feedback regulated by BR signaling in rice, in plants overexpressing N390 or OsBSK3. As shown in Figure 7E, the expression of DWARF and D2 was reduced in both types of transgenic plants; however, it was lower in the N390-overexpressing seedlings than in the OsBSK3-overexpressing seedlings. Taken together, these results suggest that BR signaling was activated in the OsBSK3- and N390-overexpressing rice seedlings. Similar to our finding in Arabidopsis, the kinase domain of OsBSK3 was more efficient at activating BR signaling than full-length OsBSK3.

While screening the transgenic rice seedlings, we identified several OsBSK3 cosuppression (CS) lines. qRT-PCR revealed that the expression of endogenous OsBSK3 in the CS plants was almost undetectable. Furthermore, the transcript levels of OsBSK1, OsBSK2, and OsBSK4 were all significantly reduced (Fig. 7F). The CS seedlings were all smaller in size and had a reduced leaf bending angle (Fig. 7F). Similar to a weak OsBRII1 loss-of-function mutant, when the plants were full grown, the elongation of the second internode was greatly reduced in the CS plants (Fig. 7G). Consistent with these phenotypes, the expression level of the BR biosynthesis gene OsDWARF was increased in the CS lines (Fig. 7H). Moreover, when grown in the field, the seeds of the N390-overexpressing plants were longer (not wider or thicker) and heavier, while the seeds from the CS plants were smaller and lighter, than seeds

Figure 6. The TPR domain of BSK3 prevents it from interacting with AtBSU1. A, Yeast two-hybrid assays of the interaction between full-length OsBSK3, the kinase domain of OsBSK3 (N390), and the TPR domain of OsBSK3 (TPR). B, Yeast two-hybrid assays of the interaction between full-length AtBSK3, full-length OsBSK3, the kinase domain of AtBSK3 (N334), and N390 with AtBSU1, AtBIN2, and the TPR domain from OsBSK3. C, AtBSU1 showed increased binding with the kinase domains of OsBSK3 and AtBSK3. The recombinant 6His-tagged kinase domain and full-length versions of OsBSK3 (N390 and OsBSK3) or AtBSK3 (N334 and AtBSK3) were dot blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes and then incubated with MBP-AtBSU1 and horseradish peroxidase-labeled anti-MBP antibodies. Total protein was visualized by Ponceau S staining. D, OsBRII1 phosphorylation prevents the TPR and kinase domains of OsBSK3 from binding. GST-TPR on Glutathione Sepharose 4B beads was used to pull down 6His-tagged N390, which had been incubated with MBP-tagged OsBRII kinase domain in the presence (pN390) or absence (N390) of ATP. The proteins were blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes and detected using anti-His or -GST antibodies. E, Ser-215 phosphorylation reduced the binding of the kinase and TPR domains of OsBSK3. Shown are quantitative β-glycosidase activity assays of the interaction between the TPR domain and wild type or Ser-215-substituted mutant form of N390. A one-way ANOVA was performed. Statistically significant differences are indicated by different lowercase letters (P < 0.05).

AtBSK3 (N334 and AtBSK3, respectively) carrying a 6His-tag were dot blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane at a 1:1 molar ratio and incubated with MBP-AtBSU1. As shown in Figure 6C, MBP-AtBSU1 bound more strongly with the kinase domains of AtBSK3 and OsBSK3 than with the full-length versions of the proteins. This result was confirmed by a split luciferase assay (Supplemental Fig. S12B).

If OsBSK3’s TPR domain interacts with its kinase domain to prevent the protein from binding to the downstream target BSU1, could the phosphorylation of
harvested from wild-type plants, which were grown alongside the transgenic plants (Fig. 7, I and J). These data strongly suggest that OsBSK3 expression is critical for the activation of BR signaling in rice.

We also overexpressed wild-type or S215E-substituted N390 (N390-S215E) in the weak OsBRI1 mutant d61-2. The overexpression of N390 in d61-2 did not alter the mutant phenotype of the plants. However, overexpression of N390-S215E significantly increased the leaf bending angle in young d61-2 mutant plants, and the leaves of the full-grown transgenic plants were less erect (Fig. 8, A and B). The seeds of the N390-S215E-overexpressing plants were much larger than those of the d61-2 control plants (Fig. 8C). qRT-PCR revealed that the expression of DWARF and D2 was significantly reduced in the N390-S215E-overexpressing d61-2 mutant plants, suggesting that BR signaling was activated (Fig. 8D). Taken together, our results suggest that, similar to our observation in Arabidopsis, the ability of the various forms of OsBSK3 to activate BR signaling in rice was as follows: N390-S215E > N390 > full-length OsBSK3.

Figure 7. OsBSK3 regulates the BR response in rice. A, The lamina joint angle of the second leaves from 2-week-old rice seedlings overexpressing OsBSK3-myc. The 33 and 38 are two independent transgenic lines. B, Overexpression of the kinase domain of OsBSK3 (N390) enhanced the bending of the lamina joint in the transgenic rice seedlings. The upper panel shows the lamina joints of the second leaves from 2-week-old seedlings overexpressing C-terminal myc tag-fused OsBSK3 (BSK3) or kinase domain of OsBSK3 (N390). The lower panel shows the expression levels of OsBSK3-myc and N390-myc in the rice seedlings shown above using anti-myc antibodies. C, Quantitative analysis of BR-stimulated leaf lamina joint bending in OsBSK3- and N390-overexpressing rice seedlings. A total of 10 μL of the indicated concentration of eBL was applied to the lamina joint region of 10-d-old light-grown rice seedlings. The leaf bending angle was measured 3 d after eBL application. D, Quantitative analysis of BR-inhibited root elongation in OsBSK3- and N390-overexpressing rice seedlings. Seedlings were grown in Hoagland medium with or without 1 μM eBL for 1 week. Relative growth was calculated by dividing the root length in eBL by the root length under control conditions. E, Quantitative real-time RT-PCR analysis of DWARF and D2 expression in 2-week-old rice seedlings overexpressing OsBSK3 or N390. F, Left: qRT-PCR analysis of the expression of OsBSKs in 2-week-old wild-type and OsBSK3 CS transgenic rice seedlings. Right: Phenotypes of the seedlings used in the RT-PCR analysis. G, Internode length of fully grown wild-type and CS plants. H, Quantitative real-time RT-PCR analysis of DWARF expression in 2-week-old CS seedlings. I, Seeds from N390-overexpressing and CS transgenic rice plants. J, Weights of the seeds shown in I. A one-way ANOVA was performed in all experiments. Statistically significant differences are indicated by different lowercase letters (P < 0.05).
DISCUSSION

As major growth-promoting hormones, BRs play important roles in regulating yield-related traits in rice plants, including leaf angle, tillering, plant height, and grain filling (Hong et al., 2004; Vriet et al., 2012). Compared with the elaborate BR signaling mechanism discovered in Arabidopsis, BR signaling in rice is not well understood. However, the severe growth-inhibiting phenotypes caused by the mutation of BRI1 orthologs in rice (Nakamura et al., 2006), tomato (Solanum lycopersicum; Koka et al., 2000), pea (Pisum sativum; Nomura et al., 2003), and barley (Hordeum vulgare; Gruszka et al., 2011) suggest that the BRI1-mediated BR signaling pathway is conserved across the plant kingdom. In addition to OsBRI1, orthologs of other Arabidopsis BR signaling components, such as OsBAK1 (Li et al., 2009), OsGSK2 (Tong et al., 2012), and OsBZR1 (Bai et al., 2007), have been found to regulate BR signaling in rice; however, the molecular mechanisms leading from the activation of OsBRI1 by BRs to the regulation of gene expression are mostly unknown. In this study, we identified four BSK orthologs in the rice genome by a sequence homology search. Overexpression of the kinase domain of OsBSK3 in rice plants reduced the expression of the BR biosynthesis genes DWFAR and D2 and increased the sensitivity of the transgenic seedlings to eBL-induced leaf bending and eBL-inhibited root elongation. Consistent with our overexpression data, simultaneous knockdown of the four OsBSKs reduced the leaf bending angle and increased DWFAR expression in rice. Taken together, our results suggest that OsBSK3 positively regulates BR signaling in rice.

Despite the fact that OsBSK3 does not contain a transmembrane domain, subcellular localization studies showed that it is localized to the plasma membrane by an N-terminal myristoylation site. This localization pattern is critical for the activation of BR signaling by OsBSK3; the mutation of this myristoylation site not only changed the localization of OsBSK3 from the plasma membrane to the cytoplasm, it also impaired the ability of OsBSK3 to rescue the mutant phenotype of bri1-5. Similar observations have been reported for other RLCKs, including AtBSK1 (Shi et al., 2013), AtLIP1, AtLIP2 (Liu et al., 2013), CST (Burr et al., 2011), and TPK1b (Abuqamar et al., 2008), indicating that lipidation-mediated membrane localization is a general feature of those membrane-associated RLCKs. Correlated with its plasma membrane localization pattern, BiFC and split luciferase assays showed that OsBSK3 interacted directly with, and was phosphorylated by, the membrane-localized BR receptor OsBRI1. Together, these results suggest that the role of OsBSK3 in regulating BR signaling is similar to that of AtBSKs, which transduce BR signals from BRI1 to downstream signaling component BSU1. This hypothesis is further supported by the observation that S215E-substituted OsBSK3, which mimicked the constitutively phosphorylated form of OsBSK3, bound BSU1 more strongly than wild-type OsBSK3.

Even though phosphorylation by AtBRI1 increases AtBSK1 binding to the downstream phosphatase BSU1 (Kim et al., 2009), direct evidence showing that the phosphorylation of BSks by BRI1 activates BR signaling in vivo is lacking. By mass spectrometry, we identified Ser-213 and Ser-215 of OsBSK3 as OsBRI1 phosphorylation sites. Site-directed mutagenesis revealed that inhibiting the phosphorylation of OsBSK3 at Ser-215 by OsBRI1 prevented OsBSK3 from rescuing the mutant phenotype of bri1-5 plants, while substituting Ser-215 with Glu not only restored the bri1-5 mutant phenotype, it also made the transgenic plants insensitive to 0.25 μM PCZ-inhibited hypocotyl elongation. Similarly, the phosphorylation of AtBSK3 at Ser-212 (equivalent to Ser-215 of OsBSK3) activated BR signaling in Arabidopsis. This conservative Ser residue was previously shown to be a major AtBRI1 phosphorylation site in AtBSK1 (Ser-230) and AtCDG1 (Ser-234); it is also important for the activation of AtBSU1 by AtBRI1 and AtCDG1 (Kim et al., 2009, 2011). Together, these results suggest that the mechanism by which BRI1 regulates BR signaling through the phosphorylation of BSks is conserved in both Arabidopsis and rice. Although this idea was mostly tested using transgenic Arabidopsis plants, the partial rescue of the d61-2 mutant phenotype by overexpression of the S215E-substituted form of the OsBSK3 kinase domain (but not...
the wild-type form) suggests that a similar mechanism functions in rice plants.

With 72% homology to AtBSK3, OsBSK3 contains all of the key features of AtBSKs. A protein structure analysis of AtBSK8 suggested that AtBSKs are constitutively inactive protein kinases (Grütter et al., 2013). However, it was reported that AtBSK1 might contain weak Mn$^{2+}$-dependent kinase activity (Shi et al., 2013). We also detected a weak OsBSK3 autophosphorylation signal during our in vitro kinase assay. The autoradiographic signal was stronger in the presence of Mn$^{2+}$, and it was increased by OsBRI1 phosphorylation (Supplemental Fig. S13). However, the signal was so weak that we had to expose the dried gel under a storage phosphor screen for 1 week in order to obtain a clear image. Therefore, we cannot confidently claim that OsBSK3 is an active kinase based on this result. To further investigate whether the kinase activity of OsBSK3 is an essential part of its BR signaling function, we mutated two invariable amino acids that are considered to be critical for the kinase activity of OsBSK3 to create two mutant forms (K89E and K89E D183A) of the kinase by site-directed mutagenesis (Grütter et al., 2013). Surprisingly, when overexpressed, these “kinase-dead” forms of OsBSK3 were unable to rescue the semi-dwarf phenotype of brl-1-5 mutant plants (Supplemental Fig. S14), suggesting that the kinase activity of OsBSK3 is required for its ability to transduce BR signals. As a binding partner-dependent activation mechanism has been shown to regulate AtRRK1 family RLCKs (Dorjgotov et al., 2009), whether a similar mechanism exists for BSK family proteins should be examined in a future study.

Besides BSKs, AtCDG1 family RLCKs positively regulate BR signaling (Kim et al., 2011). Similar to AtBSKs, AtCDG1 can interact directly with AtBRI1 and activate the downstream component AtBSU1 upon BRII phosphorylation. However, the overexpression of AtCDG1 in an AtBSK3 T-DNA insertion mutant could not rescue its BR-insensitive phenotype, suggesting that AtCDG1 regulates BR signaling differently from AtBSK3 (Kim et al., 2011). Here, we found that plants overexpressing S215E-substituted OsBSK3 had cdl1-D-like curled and twisted stems, leaves, and silique (Muto et al., 2004). As cdl1-D is a gain-of-function mutant in which CDG1 is overexpressed due to the insertion of four 35S enhancers into its promoter sequence, the similar phenotypes of the CDG1- and S215E-overexpressing plants suggest that OsBSK3 and CDG1 regulate plant development via similar mechanisms.

A common feature of BSK family RLCKs is the presence of an N-terminal kinase domain and a C-terminal TPR domain; however, the role of the TPR domain in regulating the biological function of BSKs is unknown. Although it is generally accepted that the TPR domain acts mostly as a scaffold to promote the formation of multiprotein complexes (Allan and Ratajczak, 2011), our study shows that the TPR domain of both AtBSK3 and OsBSK3 acts as an autoinhibitory domain that binds to the kinase domain of BSK3 and prevents it from binding to and activating BSU1. In vitro pull-down and quantitative yeast two-hybrid assay results suggest that when OsBSK3 was phosphorylated by OsBRI1, the binding affinity of its TPR domain for its kinase domain was greatly reduced. A protein overlay assay showed that phosphorylation at Ser-215 greatly increased the binding of OsBSK3 to BSU1. Taken together, our results suggest that the binding of OsBSK3 with AtBSU1 is regulated by BRII-dependent phosphorylation.

Based on our results, we propose a working model for BSKs in which the TPR domain binds with the kinase domain to prevent BSKs from binding to and activating BSU1 when BR signaling is turned off. The phosphorylation of BSKs by BR-activated BRI1 frees the kinase domain of BSKs from the TPR domain and increases the binding affinity of BSKs for BSU1, promoting the dephosphorylation of BIN2 by BSU1 via a still unknown mechanism.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Materials and Growth**

The Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) bri1-5 mutant is of the Ws ecotype, while the det2 and bri1-116 mutants are of the Columbia ecotype. For the observation of growth phenotypes, Arabidopsis seedlings were grown in a greenhouse at 22°C under long-day conditions (16 h of light:8 h of dark) at a light intensity around 100 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. For the hypocotyl growth assays, Arabidopsis seedlings were grown on agar medium containing half-strength Murashige and Skog salts, 1% Suc, and the indicated concentration of eBL in the light or the BR biosynthesis inhibitor PCZ or BRZ in the dark at 22°C for 1 week before taking pictures for measurement using ImageJ software. The average ratio and SD were calculated based on values collected from at least three biological repeats, with at least 15 plants per experiment. The experiments included in this study were performed at least three times with similar results. Representative data from one repetition are shown in the figures.

*Oryza sativa* ssp japonica cultivar Dongjin was used for all transgenic experiments in rice. OsBRI1 mutant det1-2 is of the Taichung 65 background. For the BR-induced laminar joint bending assay, rice seeds were germinated in double-distilled water at 28°C in the dark for 4 d. The seedlings were then transferred to soil and grown for 10 additional days under long-day condition at 28°C before treatment with different concentrations of eBL at the leaf laminar joint to stimulate bending. The seedlings were allowed to grow three additional days before taking photos; the leaf bending angle for each seedling was calculated using ImageJ software. The average ratio and SD were calculated based on values collected from at least three biological repeats with 10 to 15 plants per experiment.

**Overexpression of OsBSK3 in Arabidopsis and Rice**

Full-length wild-type and mutated OsBSK3 or amino acids 1 to 390 of the wild-type OsBSK3 coding sequence (N390E, without the stop codon) were cloned into the binary vectors pEarlygate 101 (p101), pEarlygate 100 (p100), pMDC33, or pCAMBIA-1390 and then introduced into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 or EHA105. The constructs were transformed into Arabidopsis by the GV3101-mediated floral dip method. Multiple independent T3 homozygous transgenic lines were used for the phenotype analysis shown in this study; the reported results were consistent in these independent lines. Transgenic rice plants were generated by EHA105-mediated callus transformation (Yang et al., 2004). T2 homozygous transgenic rice seedlings were used for the phenotype analysis, unless indicated otherwise.

**Gene Expression Analysis by Quantitative Real-Time RT-PCR**

Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). First-strand cDNA was synthesized using M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase (Takara Bio) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A SYBR Premix Ex Taq kit (Tli
RNase H Plus; Takara Bio) was used for the real-time quantitative PCR analysis of gene expression with an ABI PRISM 7500 real-time system. The primer pairs used were as follows: 5’-TTGCTCAACTCAAGGAAAGGACG-3’ and 5’-TGTAGT-TAGCCACCTGCTGACG-3’ for CPD (At5g56090); 5’-CAATCCATCAACTCCCTGAA-AAAAAGGAAA-3’ and 5’-ATTCCTCCCTAGAAGCTCTAGCTG-3’ for UB30 (At5g56130); 5’-AGCTGCTCGGCACTTGCTCCTGACC-3’ and 5’-ATGTTGAGCTGGCTGCTGAGGACG-3’ for D2 (Os01g10040); 5’-CAACAGGACCCAGCTTTCTC-3’ and 5’-CAGCAGATGCCGATCTC-3’ for DWARF (Os03g40504); 5’-ACAACCTCCAGAATTGTTAGAGA-3’ and 5’-AAGAATCTGATCTGACCAGGACG-3’ for OsBSK3 (Os05g04050); 5’-CACCTTTTAACAGACTTCTC-3’ and 5’-TATAATCTT-CTCCATACCCGGG-3’ for OsBSK2 (Os10g2110); 5’-CCGCGATCCACCGGCA-ACCGTGCAGAGCTG-3’ and 5’-CACCATGGGCGCCGGCCTG-3’ for OsBSK4 (Os03g10101); 5’-AGCACTTGGATGATGATGAA-3’ and 5’-CAGGCGCAT-GTACGAAAGG-3’ for OsACTIN1 (Os03g50885). The expression level of CPD was normalized against that of UB30 in Arabidopsis, while the expression levels of DWARF and D2 were normalized against that of OsACTIN1 in rice. The relative gene expression level is presented as a ratio to the expression level in the control sample. The average ratio and SD were calculated based on values collected from at least three biological repeats.

**Fractionation of Membrane Proteins**

Microsomal protein was prepared according to Tang (2012), with slight modifications. In brief, Arabidopsis seedlings were grown in buffer H (25 mM HEPES, 10% glycerol, 0.33 μM Suc, 0.6% polyvinylpyrrolidone, 5 mM ascorbic acid, 5 mM EDTA, 25 mM NaF, 10% glycerol, 25 mM NaF, 1 mM activated sodium vanadate, 5 mM DTT, 1 μM E-64, 1 mM bestatin, 1 μM pepstatin, 2 μM leupeptin, and 1 μM PMSF, pH 7.5) at 4°C. The homogenate was filtered through two layers of Miracloth and centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 1 h. The supernatant was then separated by 60,000 rpm for 40 min to pellet microsomes. The supernatant (soluble proteins) and microsome pellet (membrane proteins) were boiled in 2× SDS extraction buffer (125 mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 2% β-mercaptoethanol, 4% SDS, 20% glycerol, and 0.25% bromophenol blue) for 5 min, separated by 10% SDS-PAGE, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane (EMD Millipore), and detected with anti-GFP antibodies.

**In Vivo Protein-Protein Interaction Assays**

Yeast two-hybrid and BiFC assays were performed according to Gampala et al. (2007). The full-length coding sequences of OsBSK3 and OsBR11 (Os01g52050) were cloned in-frame into the BIFC expression vectors pN-YFP and p-CFP. The vectors were then transformed into A. tumefaciens strain GV3101 and co-infiltrated into 4-week-old tobacco (Nicotiana benthamiana). The leaves were cut at 48 h after infiltration (15 cm; Thermo Fisher Scientific). For the split luciferase assay, the full-length coding sequence of OsBSK3 (without the stop codon) was cloned into pENTR/SD/D-TOPO (Invitrogen) and used as the template for all site-directed mutagenesis experiments. Site-directed mutagenesis was achieved using PCR, which was performed with 18 cycles in a 10 μL reaction mixture. The PCR product was digested overnight using DpnI (Takara Bio), and 1 μL of the digested product was used to transform Escherichia coli strain DHE5. Following the verification of each mutation by sequencing, the mutated forms of OsBSK3 were incorporated into a Gateway-compatible destination vector (pEarleytag 101, pGEX4T-1, or pG-CAMBA-1390) using LR Clonase (Invitrogen).

**Site-Directed Mutagenesis**

The full-length coding sequence of OsBSK3 (without the stop codon) was cloned into pENTR/SD/D-TOPO (Invitrogen) and used as the template for all site-directed mutagenesis experiments. Site-directed mutagenesis was achieved using PCR, which was performed with 18 cycles in a 10 μL reaction mixture. The PCR product was digested overnight using DpnI (Takara Bio), and 1 μL of the digested product was used to transform Escherichia coli strain DHE5. Following the verification of each mutation by sequencing, the mutated forms of OsBSK3 were incorporated into a Gateway-compatible destination vector (pEarleytag 101, pGEX4T-1, or pG-CAMBA-1390) using LR Clonase (Invitrogen).

**Protein Purification and in Vivo Protein-Protein Interaction Assays**

GST-, MBP-, and 6His-tagged recombinant proteins were expressed in E. coli and purified by standard protocols using glutathione Sepharose 4B beads (GE Healthcare), amylase agarose agrose beads (New England Biolabs), or Ni-NTA resin (Qiagen). The purified recombinant proteins were concentrated by ultrafiltration using Centricron centrifuge tubes (EMD Millipore) with a 10-kD cutoff. For the dot blot assays, around 1 μmol each of recombinant 6His-OsBSK3 and 6His-N390 was dot blotted onto a nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane was allowed to air dry for 15 min in a cold room and then incubated in PBS containing 5% nonfat milk. Following incubation with 1 μg/mL of MBP-AbSUS1 followed by peroxidase-labeled anti-MBP antibodies, the overlay signal was detected using SuperSignal West Dura Chemiluminescence Reagent (Pierce). For the in vitro pull down assays, 2 μg of 6His-N390 was incubated overnight with 1 μg of MBP-tagged kinase domain of OsBR11 in the presence or absence of 0.1 μM ATP. Glutathione Sepharose 4B beads bound GST-TPR were added to the reaction, and the mixture was rotated at 4°C for 2 h. The beads were then washed three times with PBS, and the proteins were eluted by boiling in 2× SDS sample buffer for 5 min. After SDS-PAGE, the proteins were transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane and the pull down signal was detected using anti-6His or -GST antibodies.

**In Vivo Kinase Assays and Identification of the Phosphorylation Sites by Mass Spectrometry**

In vitro phosphorylation assays were performed in a mixture containing 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 10 mM MgCl2 or 10 mM MnCl2, 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM CaCl2, 100 μM ATP, 3 to 10 μCi of [32P]-ATP, 0.1 to 1 μg of GST-OsBR11K and 2 to 5 μg of GST-OsBSK3. The mixtures were incubated at 30°C for 3 h with constant shaking. The reactions were stopped by the addition of 6× SDS sample buffer and incubation at 65°C for 10 min. Protein phosphorylation was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and autoradiography.

To identify the OsBR11 phosphorylation sites on OsBSK3, GST-OsBSK3 was attached to Glutathione Sepharose 4B beads that was incubated with maleate phosphatase for 6 h to generate hypophosphorylated OsBSK3 because it was reported that purified recombinant proteins can be phosphorylated by anion-specific bacterial kinases when expressed in E. coli cells or during the protein purification process (Wu et al., 2012). After incubation, the Sepharose beads were washed extensively to remove the lambda phosphatase and eluted with 10 μM glutathione. Next, 2.5 μg of lambda phosphatase-treated GST-OsBSK3 was incubated with 5 μg of GST-OsBR11K overnight and then separated by SDS-PAGE. The Coomassie Brilliant Blue-stained gel containing GST-OsBSK3 was cut into 1- to 2-mm pieces and in-gel digested. The extracted peptides were freeze-dried using a SpeedVac, resuspended in 0.1% formic acid (FA), and separated by reverse phase liquid chromatography with an Easy-Spray PepMap column (75 μm × 15 cm; Thermo Fisher Scientific) using a nanoACQUITY Ultra Performance liquid chromatography system (Waters). Liquid chromatography was performed using a binary HPLC system (Zion Scientific) with a 5 μm C18 column at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min. The elution was monitored at 430 nm at 5 min. The 300 nL/min was used for peptide sepa-
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