A Formate Dehydrogenase Confers Tolerance to Aluminum and Low pH\(^1\)[OPEN]
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Formate dehydrogenase (FDH) is involved in various higher plant abiotic stress responses. Here, we investigated the role of rice bean (Vigna umbellata) VuFDH in Al and low pH (H\(^+\)) tolerance. Screening of various potential substrates for the VuFDH protein demonstrated that it functions as a formate dehydrogenase. Quantitative reverse transcription-PCR and histochemical analysis showed that the expression of VuFDH is induced in rice bean root tips by Al or H\(^+\) stresses. Fluorescence microscopic observation of VuFDH-GFP in transgenic Arabidopsis plants indicated that VuFDH is localized in the mitochondria. Accumulation of formate is induced by Al and H\(^+\) stress in rice bean root tips, and exogenous application of formate increases internal formate content that results in the inhibition of root elongation and induction of VuFDH expression, suggesting that formate accumulation is involved in both H\(^+\)- and Al-induced root growth inhibition. Over-expression of VuFDH in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) results in decreased sensitivity to Al and H\(^+\) stress due to less production of formate in the transgenic tobacco lines under Al and H\(^+\) stresses. Moreover, NIMATE and NIALS3 expression showed no changes versus wild type in these over-expression lines, suggesting that herein known Al-resistant mechanisms are not involved. Thus, the increased Al tolerance of VuFDH over-expression lines is likely attributable to their decreased Al-induced formate production. Taken together, our findings advance understanding of higher plant Al toxicity mechanisms, and suggest a possible new route toward the improvement of plant performance in acidic soils, where Al toxicity and H\(^+\) stress coexist.

Aluminum (Al) is the most abundant metal in the earth’s crust, and occurs primarily in the form of aluminosilicates or oxides that are nontoxic to plants. However, when the soil pH drops below 5.5, soluble forms of ionic Al, mainly Al\(^{3+}\), are released into soil solution, inhibiting root growth and function, which in turn causes crop yield loss by impaired root absorption of soil water and mineral nutrients. Thus, Al toxicity is one of the major constraints limiting plant growth and productivity in acid soils, which comprise about 50% of potential arable lands worldwide (Kochian, 1995; Kochian et al., 2004).

Although it has long been recognized that the primary visible symptom of Al toxicity syndrome is inhibition of root elongation, the underlying mechanisms of Al root toxicity have remained ambiguous (Kochian, 1995). Because Al is such a reactive element, it may simultaneously target multiple sites in root cells, including cell wall, plasma membrane, and cytoplasm (Zheng and Yang, 2005). On the other hand, many plant species have evolved sophisticated mechanisms to deal with the toxic effects of specific aspects of Al toxicity. Two main types of Al tolerance mechanism have been proposed (Ma et al., 2001). One is an exclusion mechanism that prevents Al from entering the root apex (both symplasm and apoplasm) and the other is internal tolerance relying on the detoxification and sequestration of Al within cells. Thus far, the most well-documented Al tolerance mechanism is an exclusion strategy based on exudation of Al-chelating organic acids (mainly citrate, malate, and oxalate) from root apices into the rhizosphere (Ryan et al., 2001; Kochian et al., 2004).

Because the importance of mitochondrial respiration in regulation of organic acid metabolism and maintenance of redox homeostasis, metabolic engineering for transgenic breeding of Al-tolerant plants has previously been exploited. For example, genes coding for citrate synthase have been introduced into tobacco
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Involvement of VuFDH in Al and Low pH Tolerance

Screening of Arabidopsis Al-hypersensitive mutant als7-1 has led to the identification of SLOW WALKER2, a putative nucleolar localized ribosomal biogenesis factor, which is involved in the indirect regulation of s-adenosyl-Met recycling and endogenous spermine biosynthesis (Nezames et al., 2013). Al-dependent accumulation of putrescine has also been reported to be involved in Al tolerance in both wheat and red kidney bean (Phaseolus vulgaris) with tolerance mechanisms being different (Wang et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2015). Thus, our understanding on how metabolism contributes to Al tolerance is still in its infancy.

Previously, a rice bean gene (VuFDH) encoding formate dehydrogenase (VuFDH) was shown to be up-regulated in rice bean root apices in response to both low (5 μM) or high (25 μM) concentrations of Al, suggesting that VuFDH may play a pivotal role in response to Al stress (Fan et al., 2014). FDHs catalyze the oxidation of formate into CO₂, reducing NAD⁺ to NADH in the process. In higher plants, FDHs are mainly localized in the mitochondrial matrix (Halliwell, 1974; Oliver, 1981; Colas des Francs-Small et al., 1993). These observations raised questions as to whether VuFDH is involved in formate catabolism in rice bean roots, and whether the induction of VuFDH by Al is an Al stress response whose function is to reduce Al toxicity.

In this study, we isolated a full-length VuFDH cDNA, and found that the expression of VuFDH in rice bean root tips is enhanced greatly not only by Al (as previously shown; Fan et al., 2014) but also by low pH stress. We also showed that Al induces the rapid accumulation of formate in rice bean root apices, an accumulation that may contribute to Al-induced root growth inhibition. In accord with this latter possibility, over-expression of VuFDH in tobacco resulted in increased tolerance of Al and low pH. Our results therefore suggest that reduction in stress-induced formate levels may provide a (to our knowledge) novel and previously unappreciated route toward improved plant tolerance of soil acidity and Al toxicity.

RESULTS

Cloning and Sequence Analysis of VuFDH from V. umbellata

On the basis of a previously identified EST sequence (Fan et al., 2014), a full-length VuFDH cDNA was isolated from rice bean via PCR-based methods (including 5’-and 3’-RACE; GenBank: KR494281; Supplemental Fig. S1). The VuFDH coding region is 1146 bp in length, and encodes a protein of 381 amino acids. As predicted by PROSITE (http://prosite.expasy.org/scanprosite), VuFDH contains three D-isomer-specific 2-hydroxyacid dehydrogenase signatures (Supplemental Fig. S2). Sequence analysis by SignalP server 3.0 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/) indicates that VuFDH contains a cleavable signal peptide of 24 amino acids (Supplemental Fig. S2). Although they are not extremely
conserved between proteins, mitochondrial signal peptides share common features, being abundant in hydroxylated (Ser), basic (Arg), and hydrophobic (Ala, Leu) residues (Supplemental Fig. S2; Ambard-Bretteville et al., 2003). There is a mitochondrial targeting sequence (SRNLHA) that is highly conserved within the same family, but seems less conservable between different families (Supplemental Fig. S2). Phylogenetic relationship analysis indicated that VuFDH was most closely clustered with PvFDH from red kidney bean and GmFDH from soybean. However, VuFDH was loosely related to Arabidopsis AtFDH, and they were clustered into different clades (Supplemental Fig. S3).

VuFDH Functions as a Formate Dehydrogenase

To examine if formate is indeed the substrate for VuFDH, we assayed the activity of a recombinant VuFDH protein with a range of potential substrates. Upon blue-native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and sodium dodecyl-sulfate PAGE, respectively, the appearance of a single band for both His-tagged full-length VuFDH (VuFDHf) and His-tagged VuFDH without signal peptide (VuFDHa) indicated that the recombinant protein was sufficiently pure to permit further analyses (Fig. 1A). A preparation of the recombinant protein was therefore tested against 10 different sodium carboxylic salts and the negative control sodium chloride (at pH 7.0 and using 50 mM substrate). Substrate oxidation reaction catalyzed by FDH results in the concomitant production of NADH that couples the reduction reaction of NBT to form methyl hydrazine with blue color. As shown in Figure 1B, His-VuFDH displayed high catalytic activity (as reported by the density of the blue reporter color) only with formate. Activities against other related compounds (such as oxalate, malonate, succinate, malate, glycolate, acetate, lactate, maleate, citrate, and chloride), were much lower (Fig. 1B). Thus, VuFDH is a highly specific formate dehydrogenase.

VuFDH Is a Mitochondrial Protein

Most FDHs in higher plants are localized to mitochondria (Halliwell, 1974; Oliver, 1981; Colas des Francs-Small et al., 1993). In order to determine the subcellular localization of VuFDH, we used 35S::VuFDH::GFP fusion constructs to be transiently expressed in N. benthamiana leaves and to examine whether the GFP signal is colocalized with the mitochondria-staining fluorescence dye TMRM (tetramethyl rhodamine methyl ester). The result showed that VuFDH::GFP colocalized with TMRM in cells of N. benthamiana leaves (Fig. 2). We also constructed transgenic Arabidopsis plants over-expressing a VuFDH-GFP fusion protein under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter. The results showed that GFP fluorescence was detected as numerous scattered small spots in cells of both leaves and roots, a pattern characteristic of mitochondrial localization (Nelson et al., 2007), and were colocalized with the staining of TMRM mitochondria dye (Supplemental Fig. S4). Taken together, these results suggest that VuFDH is a mitochondrial protein.

Root VuFDH Expression Levels Are Increased by Al and Low pH Stress

We have previously found that the expression of VuFDH was up-regulated by Al stress (Fan et al., 2014). In order to characterize comprehensively the expression of VuFDH in response to Al stress, we here used quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) to investigate the expression pattern of VuFDH. In a time-course experiment, as shown in Figure 3A, the expression of VuFDH was found to have increased within 2 h of exposure to 25 μM Al, and to be dramatically increased as the treatment was prolonged, although this increase had fallen after 12 h of exposure. In addition, in a dose-response experiment, the expression of VuFDH increased with increasing Al concentrations after 12 h of exposure (Fig. 3B).
To examine whether this up-regulation of *VuFDH* expression is AL-stress-specific, we next compared the effects of AL with that of other metals (e.g., Cd, La, and Cu) and low pH. The expression of *VuFDH* was similar between pH 5.5 and pH 4.5. The expression level due to AL stress was much higher than that due to the stressful effects of the other metals, but Cu stress also notably increased *VuFDH* expression (Fig. 3C).

We also investigated the spatial patterning of *VuFDH* expression in either the presence or absence of AL. In the absence of AL, *VuFDH* is expressed in both leaves and roots and the expression level is slightly higher in leaves and root tip than that in basal root (Fig. 3D). In the presence of AL, root tip expression of *VuFDH* was up-regulated by more than 25-fold, while that of both basal root and leaves was not significantly affected by AL stress (Fig. 3D).

To further investigate the tissue-specific localization of *VuFDH*, a 0.95-kb DNA sequence upstream of the start codon was isolated. This promoter fragment was fused to a β-glucuronidase (*GUS*) reporter gene and transformed into Arabidopsis wild-type plants. Under normal growth conditions with pH 5.5, GUS activity was mainly expressed in leaf and the stele of mature roots, but not in the root apex (Supplemental Fig. S5). However, when the pH of the culture solution was dropped to 5.0, GUS activity was induced in the entire root elongation zone. In contrast, GUS activity levels were not affected by this decrease in solution pH in other parts of the plant (Supplemental Fig. S5). In the combined presence of AL and pH 5.0, the root GUS staining intensity was greater than in pH 5.0 alone, and this staining was also found to be further extended into the root apex (Supplemental Fig. S5).

The differential behavior of *VuFDH* expression with respect to low pH stress between rice bean and Arabidopsis led us to hypothesize that a pH value of 4.5 is not sufficiently low to affect root growth of rice bean, but pH value of 5.0 is able to affect Arabidopsis root growth (Yang et al., 2014; Fan et al., 2015). In order to examine whether the expression of *VuFDH* is actually sensitive to low pH stress, we compared the effects of low pH stress on root elongation and *VuFDH* expression. As expected, root elongation was not affected at pH 4.5 in comparison with pH 5.5 (Fig. 4A). When pH was further dropped to 4.0, at which root elongation was inhibited by 40% (Fig. 4A), the expression of *VuFDH* increased significantly (Fig. 4B). Decreasing pH to 3.5 resulted in a further inhibition of root elongation, in concert with the further increase of *VuFDH* expression (Fig. 4).

**Formate Accumulation Contributes to AL- and Low pH-Induced Root Growth Inhibition**

*VuFDH* has formate dehydrogenase activity, and can catalyze the oxidation of formate into CO₂, with the accompanying reduction of NAD⁺ to NADH. Both low pH- and AL-dependent expression of *VuFDH* suggests a possible role for *VuFDH* in the oxidation of stress-induced formate production. To test this hypothesis, we measured via ion chromatography the internal formate content change in response to AL and low pH stress (Supplemental Fig. S6). Our results showed that the internal formate content of root apices is maintained at a relatively constant level in the absence of AL. However, AL stress causes a significant increase in the formate content of rice bean root tips within 8 h of the onset of exposure, and that this increase actually begins relatively rapidly (within 2 h; Fig. 5A). Formate content also increases significantly when pH is lower than 4.5 (Fig. 5B), which is in concert with low pH-dependent root growth inhibition (Fig. 4A). In a parallel experiment, our results showed that formate secretion rate from excised root apex decreased dramatically over time, irrespective of being treated with or without AL (Supplemental Fig. S7). Although the secretion rate during the first 2 h of treatment in AL-stressed root apex was greater than that in AL-free root apex, there was no statistical difference (Supplemental Fig. S7). Thus, the secretion of formate from rice bean root apex could be the consequence of leakage from cut damage rather than an active AL-dependent process. These findings suggest that rice bean root-tip formate accumulation is significantly influenced by AL and low pH stress, and that AL-induced formate accumulation is a relatively early AL stress response in the rice bean root tip.

In order to further test the relationship between formate levels and root growth, we next tested the effect of
exogenous formate application on rice been root elongation, internal formate content, and VuFDH expression. In normal growth conditions (pH 4.5), exogenous formate application resulted in a dose-dependent inhibition of root elongation, although formate concentration of 0.25 mM caused no significant root growth inhibition (Fig. 6A). On the contrary, internal formate content increased with increase of exogenous formate, which was in concert with root growth inhibition (Fig. 6A). We further found that internal formate content is positively correlated with VuFDH expression (Fig. 6B), suggesting that formate accumulation causes induction of VuFDH expression.

Over-Expression of VuFDH in Transgenic Tobacco Confers Al and Low pH Tolerance

To further characterize the role of VuFDH in Al and low pH response, a 35S::VuFDH construct was introduced into tobacco plants. We selected two independent homozygous T2 transgenic lines (lines 1 and 2) for phenotypic and physiological analysis. Semi-qRT-PCR analyses showed that VuFDH was highly expressed in both transgenic lines (Fig. 7A).

In a test of Al tolerance, both wild-type and transgenic plants were grown hydroponically either in the presence or absence of Al (at different concentrations). While 6 d of exposure to 4 μM Al inhibited the root growth of transgenic line2 by about 5% (and while transgenic line1 was not detectably affected), the root growth of wild-type control plants was inhibited by approximately 30% (Fig. 7D). Increase of the Al concentration to 6 μM resulted in inhibition of the root growth of wild-type control plants by approximately 65%, while root growth of both line1 and line2 was inhibited by approximately 45% (Fig. 7B and D). These observations suggest that over-expression of VuFDH in tobacco confers increased Al tolerance.

As VuFDH expression is greatly induced by low pH (Fig. 4B), we next investigated the low pH tolerance of transgenic tobacco plants over-expressing VuFDH. We found no significant difference among the root growths of any of wild-type, transgenic line1, or line2 plants grown at pH 5.5 for 6 d (Fig. 7C). However, when the culture solution pH was decreased to 4.5 for 6 d, the root growth of transgenic plants was inhibited by approximately 15% (in both transgenic lines 1 and 2), while that of wild-type plants was inhibited by approximately 55% (Fig. 7, C and E). These results suggest
that VuFDH is involved in H⁺ stress tolerance as well as in Al stress tolerance.

VuFDH Increases Al and Low pH Tolerance by Decreasing Formate Accumulation

To determine if the increased Al and low pH tolerance of transgenic tobacco plants over-expressing VuFDH is associated with a decrease in formate accumulation, we compared the Al- and low pH-induced formate production of transgenic plants to that of wild-type plants. In the absence of Al stress (Fig. 8A) or in the conditions of pH 5.5 (Fig. 8B), there are no detectable differences between the formate contents of wild type and both of the transgenic lines. However, while both Al stress and low pH stress significantly increased the accumulation of formate in wild-type roots, it had no detectable effect on formate accumulation in the roots of both transgenic lines.

In tobacco, the decreased Al tolerance of Sensitive to Proton Rhizotoxicity1 RNAi transgenic plants is related to the conferred down-regulation of Multidrug and Toxic Compound Extrusion (NtMATE) and Aluminum Sensitive3 (NtALS3). Ohyama et al., 2013). To determine if the increased Al tolerance of VuFDH over-expressing lines is associated with changes in NtMATE or NtALS3 expression, we next compared the expression of these genes in VuFDH-over-expressing line1 with that in wild-type plants. However, there was no detectable difference between the expression of either of these genes in wild-type versus transgenic line1, either in the presence or absence of Al, although the expression of both was induced by Al (Fig. 9, A and B). Taken together, these observations indicate that VuFDH affects Al resistance mainly via decreases in formate content, and not via effects on the expression of NtMATE or NtALS3.

DISCUSSION

In plants, FDHs have been reported to be involved in stress responses, because the expression of FDHs was responsive to a wide range of abiotic and biotic stresses (Houtron-Cabassa et al., 1998; David et al., 2010). Recently, Choi et al. (2014) reported that FDHs play important role in hypersensitive response-like cell death and defense responses to bacterial pathogens in both peppers (Capsicum annuum) and Arabidopsis. However, the role of FDHs in stress tolerance remains largely unknown. In this study, we demonstrated that rice bean VuFDH is involved in both Al and low pH stress tolerance as evidenced by the improvement of Al and H⁺ stress tolerance in transgenic tobacco over-expressing VuFDH (Fig. 7). While it remains to be investigated whether our transgenic tobacco plants are also resistant to the attack of bacterial pathogens, the expression of Arabidopsis for Aluminum-activated Malate Transporter1 has been documented to be involved in both Al tolerance and pathogen resistance (Rudrappa et al., 2008; Lakshmanan et al., 2012; Kobayashi et al., 2013). In our previous study, a pathogenesis-related gene was found to be up-regulated by Al stress in rice bean (Fan et al., 2014). Thus, Al may act as an elicitor of a pathogenesis-related transduction pathway. In addition to Aluminum-activated Malate Transporter1, VuFDH could be another good target for studies aimed at

Figure 5. The effect of Al stress and H⁺ stress on rice bean root tip formate content. A, Al-induced formate accumulation. Seedlings were exposed to nutrient solution containing 0 or 25 μM AlCl₃ for different times. B, H⁺ stress-induced formate accumulation. Seedlings were exposed to nutrient solution with different pH values for 12 h. After treatment, the root tips were homogenized thoroughly in deionized water for formate content analysis. Data are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 3). Asterisks in (A) and different letters in (B) indicate significant differences between treatments at P < 0.05.

Figure 6. The effect of exogenous formate on rice bean root growth, internal formate accumulation, and VuFDH expression. A, The effect of formate on rice bean root elongation. Seedlings were exposed to nutrient solution (pH 4.5) containing different concentrations of exogenous formate for 12 h. Root elongation was measured with a ruler before and after treatment (n = 12). After treatment, root tips (0–1 cm) were excised for internal formate quantification (n = 3). A parallel experiment, root tips (0–1 cm) were excised for RNA extraction and qRT-PCR analysis of VuFDH expression (n = 3). B, Correlation between internal formate content and VuFDH expression. Different letters indicate significant differences between treatments at P < 0.05.
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elucidating the complex nature between abiotic (i.e. Al tolerance) and biotic (i.e. defense response) stress tolerance in plants.

We found that the expression of VuFDH is induced by Al and H⁺ stress in the root apex of rice bean (Figs. 3 and 4). In barley roots, the expression of FDH is induced by iron deficiency, an induction that appears to be a secondary effect of the oxygen deficiency resulting from iron deficiency (Suzuki et al., 1998). It has also been proposed that glycolysis may play an important role in the FDH expression in response to abiotic stresses (Hourton-Cabassa et al., 1998). Similarly, we have previously shown that genes involved in glycolysis are repressed, but that genes related to anaerobic respiration are promoted by Al stress in rice bean root apices (Fan et al., 2014), implying that hypoxia and glycolysis may be responsible for rice bean VuFDH expression in response to Al stress. However, the exact mechanisms regulating FDH expression during stress conditions are not known. Here we suggested that formate is the mediator that induces VuFDH expression in response to Al and H⁺ stress. This conclusion is supported by the following lines of evidence. First, both Al and H⁺ stress resulted in the accumulation of formate (Fig. 5), and the rapid accumulation of formate in root apices under Al stress raises the possibility that formate itself could be a direct activator of VuFDH expression induction. Second, the specificity of the VuFDH enzyme with respect to formate as a substrate (versus its relative inactivity with succinate, malate, glycolate, acetate, lactate, etc.) further strengthens this hypothesis (Fig. 1). Finally, exogenous formate application experiment provided evidence that VuFDH expression is positively correlated with internal formate accumulation (Fig. 6). In line with our hypothesis, it has also been reported that the spraying of formate onto leaves can effectively induce the expression of FDH (Hourton-Cabassa et al., 1998).

Al toxicity and H⁺ stress are two coexisting factors limiting plant growth in acid soils. It has previously been suggested that H⁺ and Al rhizotoxicities induce root damage by different mechanisms (Koyama et al., 1995; Kinraide, 2003), although H⁺ toxicity also causes a severe inhibition of root growth resembling that due to Al toxicity. However, dysfunction of an Arabidopsis gene encoding C₂H₂-type zinc finger transcription factor (Koyama et al., 1995; Kinraide, 2003), although H⁺ toxicity also causes a severe inhibition of root growth resembling that due to Al toxicity. However, dysfunction of an Arabidopsis gene encoding C₂H₂-type zinc finger transcription factor (Hourton-Cabassa et al., 1998).

Figure 7. Over-expression of VuFDH enhances Al and H⁺ tolerance. A, Detection of expression of VuFDH in the wild-type and VuFDH over-expression lines. RT-PCR analysis was performed to detect the mRNA expression of VuFDH (32 cycles) and the internal control NtACTIN (29 cycles). B, Representative seedlings showing difference in Al sensitivity between the wild-type and the over-expression lines. Seedlings were grown in the 1:30 strength Hoagland nutrient solution containing 0, 4 or 6 μM AlCl₃ at pH 5.0 for 6 d. C, Representative seedlings showing difference in H⁺ sensitivity between the wild-type and the over-expression lines. Seedlings were grown in the 1:30 strength Hoagland nutrient solution at pH 5.5 or 4.5 for 6 d. D, Relative root elongation between wild-type and the transgenic lines grown as described in (B). Data are means ± so (n = 15). E, Relative root elongation between wild-type and the transgenic lines grown as described in (C). Data are means ± so (n = 15). Dashed white lines in (B) and (C) indicate the root tip position at the beginning of treatment. Different letters indicate significant differences between treatments at P < 0.05. Bar: 1 cm in (B) and (C).

Figure 8. The effect of Al and H⁺ stress on formate content in wild-type and over-expression tobacco lines. A, Al-induced accumulation of formate. The plants of wild-type and two independent transgenic lines were exposed to 1:30 strength Hoagland nutrient solution with 0 or 4 μM Al for 24 h. B, H⁺ stress-induced accumulation of formate. The plants of wild-type and two independent transgenic lines were exposed to 1:30 strength Hoagland nutrient solution with pH adjusted to either 5.5 or 4.5 for 24 h. After treatment, root tips were homogenized thoroughly in deionized water for formate content analysis. Data are mean ± so (n = 3). Asterisk indicates significant differences between treatments at P < 0.05.
by both Al and H$^+$ stress. These results suggest that Al was used as an internal control. Data are means $\pm$ SD ($n = 3$). In Arabidopsis, implying that cellular acidification may be a common process in response to Al and H$^+$ stress. However, Bose et al. (2010) reported that H$^+$ stress caused intercellular acidification, while Al stress in combination with H$^+$ stress had opposite effects in Arabidopsis root apex. Using NMR technique, we found that H$^+$ stress caused cellular acidification of rice bean root apex, while Al stress could not (data not shown). Thus, it is formate catabolism, but not H$^+$ consumption, that contributes to the Al- and low-pH-stress tolerance mediated by VuFDH expression.

We found that VuFDH has a mitochondrial targeting sequence (Supplemental Figs. S1 and S2), and is localized to the mitochondria (Fig. 2; Supplemental Fig. S4). This result is in accord with previous reports concerning the localization of FDH proteins in various higher plant tissues (Halliwell, 1974; Oliver, 1981; Colas des Francs-Small et al., 1993). Question then arises concerning the nature of the pathways to formate formation in the mitochondrial matrix under Al and H$^+$ stress. In plants, various formate production pathways, including photorepiration, glycolysis, cell wall synthesis, or degradation have been proposed (Igamberdiev et al., 1999; Hanson et al., 2000), but direct experimental evidence is limited. Recently, a previously unknown oxalyl-CoA synthetase, ACYL-ACTIVATING ENZYME3 (AAE3), was identified to be involved in oxalate degradation to formate, which is further degraded by FDH in Arabidopsis (Foster et al., 2012). Furthermore, FDH is coexpressed with AAE3 and regulated by AAE3. Interestingly, a gene encoding PCAS (peroxisomal-coenzyme A synthetase) was found to be up-regulated significantly in root apices of rice bean, and PCAS has high amino-acid sequence homology with Arabidopsis AAE3 (Fan et al., 2014). Thus, it is likely that formate accumulation originates from degradation of oxalate mediated by PCAS. The role of PCAS in oxalate degradation and formate accumulation requires further investigation.

Transgenic approaches have been identified as potentially powerful methods to increase the Al tolerance of plants in acidic soils (Ryan et al., 2011; Kochian et al., 2015). Thus far, a number of genes involved in different biological processes (including organic acid metabolism, stress response, and organic acid transport) have been successfully introduced into plants, with the resultant transgenic plants showing enhanced Al tolerance (Ryan et al., 2011). In this study we have demonstrated that over-expression of VuFDH, a gene involved in formate metabolism, enhanced not only the Al tolerance but also H$^+$ stress tolerance of transgenic tobacco plants (Fig. 7). While the prevailing ideas on transgenic modification of plant Al tolerance have focused on increasing the efflux of organic acids from root cells (thus increasing chelation of external Al), little attention has been paid to the possible carbon-use efficiency penalties of such a strategy (Liu et al., 2012). In fact, root exudation is known to represent a significant carbon loss to the plant (Whipp, 1990). In this study, the expression of NmATE did not detectably differ
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Figure 9. The effect of Al stress on the expression of Al-tolerance gene expression in tobacco. A, NmATE expression. B, NtALS3 expression. The plants of wild-type and independent transgenic line1 were exposed to 1:30 strength Hoagland nutrient solution containing 4 $\mu$M Al for different times. The expression was determined by RT-PCR and NtACTIN was used as an internal control. Data are means $\pm$ SD ($n = 3$).
between wild-type and transgenic plants (Fig. 9A), suggesting that the enhanced Al tolerance of these transgenic plants is not associated with enhanced citrate efflux (an important Al resistance mechanism in tobacco; Delhaize et al., 2001). In addition, NtALS3 is a tobacco homolog of OsSTAR2 of rice. Although the detailed function of NtALS3 remains to be characterized, OsSTAR2 interacts with OsSTAR1 to form a complex that transports UDP-Glc to the apoplastic, thus protecting the cell wall from Al damage (Huang et al., 2009). Thus the apparently unchanged expression of NtALS3 in wild-type versus transgenic plants (Fig. 9B) makes it unlikely that the increased Al tolerance of our transgenic plants is due to the exudation of chelating substances out of cells, a process that in any case is potentially detrimental to plant carbon-use efficiency. Our findings therefore not only suggest that detoxification by VuFDH of the formate that accumulates during Al stress conditions plays an important role in the overall Al tolerance mechanism, but that such detoxification also provides an alternative route toward the increase of plant Al tolerance through genetic engineering, a route that does not incur increased carbon loss.

In summary, we have characterized rice bean VuFDH, and shown that it is a formate dehydrogenase that mediates oxidation of the formate that is produced in conditions of Al- and low pH-stresses. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of the production of formate in response to Al- and low pH-stress and of the significance of formate detoxification in enhancing plant Al- and low pH-tolerance. We have shown that tobacco plants over-expressing rice bean VuFDH display an increased ability to tolerate both Al stress and H⁺ stress. Our findings contribute to the further understanding of stress-induced formate toxicity and provide a potential new solution to the improvement of plant performance in acidic soils, where Al toxicity and H⁺ stresses coexist.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and Growth Conditions

Rice bean (Vigna unguiculata) was used in this study. Seeds were soaked in deionized water overnight, then wrapped within four layers of moist gauze and germinated at 26°C in the dark. The germinated seeds were cultured in 0.5 mM deionized water overnight, then wrapped within four layers of moist gauze and or 50 mM NH₄NO₃ (300 mM nutrient solution (pH 4.5) containing 25 mM CaCl₂ (pH 4.5) solution for 3 d. The solution was renewed daily. Seedlings of M. sativa experiment, seedlings were exposed to nutrient solution (pH 4.5) containing 0, 4, or 6 mM AlCl₃ for 0, 2, 4, 8, 12, or 24 h. For the Al concentration dependence experiment, seedlings were exposed to 0, 5, 10, 25, or 50 mM AlCl₃ for 12 h. For other treatments, the seedlings were exposed to nutrient solution (pH 4.5) containing 25 mM AlCl₃, 20 mM CaCl₂, 10 mM LaCl₃, or 0.5 mM CuCl₂ or in different pH conditions for 12 h. For the exogenous formate experiment, the seedlings were exposed to nutrient solution (pH 4.5) containing 0, 0.25, 0.5, or 1.0 mM sodium formate for 12 h. All experiments were performed in an environmentally controlled growth room with a 12 h/22°C night regime, a light intensity of 300-350 μmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹, and a relative humidity of 60%.

Cloning of VuFDH and Over-Expression of VuFDH in Tobacco

Total RNA isolated from 25 μg Aluminum (Al)-treated rice bean root apices (0–1 cm) was used for the synthesis of 5’-RACE-ready and 3’-RACE-ready cDNA. Gene-specific primers used for the 5’- and 3’-RACE amplification (Supplemental Table S1) were obtained from a differentially expressed cDNA library from rice bean root tips grown under Al stress (Fan et al., 2014). All steps were performed according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Clontech Laboratories, Madison, WI). The RACE products were purified, and sequenced. The VuFDH coding region was amplified by PCR using primer pairs (Supplemental Table S1) and ligated into a modified pCAMBIA1300 vector under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter, then transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens (strain GV3101). Tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) plants were transformed as described by Horsch et al. (1985). Transgenic lines carrying VuFDH were selected by PCR using the primers described above.

Gene Expression Analysis

Total RNA was isolated using the Column Plant RNApure kit (Tianzhu, Dalian, China). One microgram of total RNA was synthesized into first-strand cDNA using Primerscript reverse transcriptase (Clontech Laboratories/Takara Bio USA, Madison, WI). Gene expression levels were determined by quantitative reverse-transcription (RT)-PCR using the SYBR Premix Ex Taq kit (Clontech Laboratories/Takara Bio USA) on a LightCycler 480 machine (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN). Expression levels were normalized relative to the expression level of the 18S rRNA (as internal control in rice bean) or NtACTIN (as internal control in tobacco). The primers used were listed in Supplemental Table S1. The reaction conditions were 45 cycles at 95°C for 15 s, 56°C for 10 s, and 72°C for 15 s. For all experiments, quantitative RT-PCRs were performed as triplicates on three different RNA samples isolated independently from each tested condition.

Construction of the VuFDH-GFP Fusion and Subcellular Localization of VuFDH

To construct the VuFDH-GFP fusion-protein-expressing constructs, a VuFDH cDNA fragment containing KpnI and BamHI restriction sites, but not the stop codon, was amplified by PCR using the primers (Supplemental Table S1). The amplified cDNA fragment was then cloned in-frame in front of the GFP coding region in the modified pCAMBIA1300 vector, thus placing VuFDH-GFP under the control of the 35S promoter. Subcellular localization was investigated by over-expressing 35S::VuFDH-GFP transiently in tobacco (N. benthamiana) leaves or stably in Arabidopsis (transformation according to the floral dip method; Clough and Bent, 1998). GFP fluorescence was observed using confocal laser scanning microscopy (LSM710; Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany).

Evaluation of the Sensitivity of Transgenic Plants to Al and Low pH Stress

Seeds from transgenic and wild-type tobacco lines were first surface-sterilized with 15% (v/v) sodium hypochlorite for 5 min, and then washed four times with deionized water. Then, seeds were sown onto MS plates containing 3% (w/v) Suc and 0.8% (w/v) agar (pH 5.7). After incubation in a refrigerator at 4°C for 3 d, the seeds were then placed in a growth chamber in 12-h light/12-h dark conditions at 23°C. When the length of the primary root had reached approximately 1 cm, the seedlings were transferred to the 1:30 strength Hoagland nutrient solution without NH₄H₂PO₄ and with 1 mM CaCl₂. For Al sensitivity evaluations, the seedlings were grown in nutrient solution containing 0, 4, or 6 mM AlCl₃ at pH 5.0 for 6 d. For low pH tolerance evaluations, the seedlings were grown in nutrient solution at pH 4.5 or pH 5.5 for 6 d. The solution was renewed every 2 d. Al sensitivity was evaluated by relative root elongation expressed as (root elongation with Al treatment/root elongation without Al) × 100. Low pH tolerance was evaluated by relative root elongation expressed as (root elongation at pH 4.5 / root elongation at pH 5.5) × 100.
The VuFDH promoter was obtained by genome walking using the Genome Walker Universal Kit (Clontech Laboratories). In brief, four genome walker libraries were constructed by digesting separate aliquots of DNA with four different restriction enzymes (DraI, EcoRV, PvuII, and StuI), followed by ligation to a genome walker adaptor. The outer/inner adaptor primer provided by the kit and two VuFDH gene-specific primers (Supplemental Table S1) were used to perform the nested PCR. The amplified fragments were subsequently cloned into the pMD19-T vector (Clontech Laboratories/Takara Bio USA). Sequences extending upstream of the cDNA sequence were isolated as the 5’-upstream regions of the gene.

An approximately 0.95-kb VuFDH fragment was amplified from this genomic DNA using primers (Supplemental Table S1). For Gus analysis, the obtained VuFDH upstream sequence was subcloned into a pCAMBIA1301 vector as a fusion to the β-glucuronidase (GUS) gene and finally transformed into Arabidopsis wild-type (Col-0) plants by Agrobacterium-mediated transformation.

For histochemical staining of Gus activity, homozygous T3 plants were used. Gus staining was performed according to Jefferson et al. (1987), with or without exposure to 10 mM AlCl₃ at pH 5.0 or pH 5.5 for 24 h. Seedlings were observed and photographed with a model no. AZ100 microscope (Nikon, Melville, NY).

**Purification of His-Tagged FDH Proteins**

*Escherichia coli* strain BL21 (DE3)-competent cells (Tiangen Biotech, Beijing, China) were transformed with the N-terminal His-tagged pET-28a (+) vector (Novagen/Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) with a bacterial expression vector containing VuFDH and spread on Luria-Bertani medium plates with 100 mg/L kanamycin. Positive clones were PCR-tested. The primers for detection of full-length VuFDH and VuFDH without the signal peptide were listed in Supplemental Table S1. Positive clones were then incubated in Luria-Bertani medium supplemented with 100 mg/L kanamycin at 37°C until an OD₅₅₀ of 0.6 was reached. To induce expression, 1 mM IPTG was added, and the culture was grown for an additional 6 h at 28°C with shaking at 200 rpm. The cells were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in binding buffer, and the suspension was subsequently homogenized by 1 h of 200 W sonication (Vibra Cell VC 505 Sonicator; Sonics & Materials, Newtown, CT). Cell debris was subsequently removed with 10 min centrifugation at 12,000 rpm, in order to protect the columns. Protein purification was performed using HisTrap FF affinity columns (GE Healthcare, Washington, NY) following the manufacturer’s instructions. To obtain maximum purity, we used 40 mM and 500 mM imidazole for binding buffer and elution buffer, respectively; and no reducing agents, denaturing agents, detergents, or other additives were used during purification. The protein solutions were desalted by ultrafiltration with Amicon Ultra-15 ml, 30 KD Tubes (Millipore, Billerica, MA). The sizes of the native protein and of the monomer were assessed by blue-native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (Fiala et al., 2011) and sodium dodecyl-sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, respectively.

**Determination of FDH Enzyme Activity**

FDH activity was visualized on non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels following the method of Uotila and Koivusalo (1979). The size and purity of the protein were assessed by running a sample on an sodium dodecyl-sulfate-polyacrylamide gel, and the purified protein was then incubated in darkness for 30 min at room temperature in the following solution: 100 mM sodium P-buffer, pH 7.0, 50 mM substrate, 0.8 mM NAD⁺, 0.03 mg/ml 1-phenazine methosulfate, and 0.4 mg/ml NBT. Substrates tested for FDH activity were oxalate, malonate, succinate, malate, glycolate, acetate, lactate, malate, citrate, chloride, and formate.
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