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The DEK1 calpain derives its name from the maize (*Zea mays*) mutant *dek1*, which lacks aleurone cells in the endosperm (Lid et al., 2002). The DEK1 protease core domain, CysPc, and the additional C-terminal C2L domain are connected to DEK1 MEM, a 23-transmembrane (TM) regulatory domain interrupted by a Loop segment via a Linker (previously referred to as Arm). Phylogenetic analysis concluded that four ancestral calpain architectures existed approximately 1.5 billion years ago (Zhao et al., 2012). Of these, TML-calpains, to which DEK1 belongs, consisted of a

---

\(^1\) This work was supported by the Norwegian Research Council (grant no. FRIMEDBIO 240343 to O.-A.O. and support to A.E.A.), the Norwegian University for Life Sciences (to P.-F.P. and V.D.), and the Hedmark University of Applied Sciences (to W.J. and A.E.A.).

\(^2\) These authors contributed equally to the article.

* Address correspondence to wenche.johansen@hihm.no and odd-ame.olsen@nmbu.no.

The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (www.plantphysiol.org) is: Odd-Arne Olsen (odd-ame.olsen@nmbu.no).

W.J. and O.-A.O. conceived the research plans; W.J., V.D., and P.-F.P. supervised the experiments; A.E.A. and W.J. performed most of the experiments; P.-F.P., A.K.M., and S.A.R contributed to plant transformation and generation of the mutants; V.D. contributed to phenotypic characterization of the mutants; W.J. and A.E.A. designed the experiments and analyzed the data; W.J. and O.-A.O. wrote the article.

\([\text{OPEN}]\) Articles can be viewed without a subscription.

www.plantphysiol.org/cgi/doi/10.1104/pp.16.00925
TM domain with at least 15 TM segments linked to the CysPc-C2L domains. The common ancestor of the Chlorophyceae and Charophyceae algae is inferred to have contained both cytosolic and TML-calpains (Demko et al., 2014). The Chlorophyceae group appears to have lost TML-calpains, whereas the Charophyceae algae, the closest ancestors to land plants, retained both cytosolic and TML-calpain variants. In the transition to land plants, cytosolic calpains were lost, leaving DEK1 as the single calpain of land plants (Demko et al., 2014). We have hypothesized that DEK1 evolved a novel function in the development of the 3D architecture of land plants during the transition from charophyte land plant ancestors to land plants (Demko et al., 2014). Our working hypothesis is that the calpain activity of DEK1 is regulated by the MEM segment via an unknown ligand or stimulus or the lack of such (release of inhibition) and that the Linker transmits the signaling from MEM, thereby activating the catalytic calpain domain. The substrate(s) of the DEK1 calpain is unknown.

We are pursuing systematic genetic studies of the function and evolutionary conservation of DEK1 and its component domains (Fig. 1). In the first set of experiments, we focused on CysPc-C2L by exploiting the observation that the Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) dek1-3 mutant phenotype is complemented by Arabidopsis CysPc-C2L under the control of the RIBOSOMAL PROTEIN5A promoter (Johnson et al., 2008). Our results support the conserved nature of DEK1 function in these experiments; the Physcomitrella patens DEK1 CysPc-C2L domains fully complement the Arabidopsis dek1-3 mutant phenotype (Liang et al., 2013). The CysPc domain of conventional mammalian calpains (CAPN1 and CAPN2, also called μCL/calpain-1 and mCL/calpain-2, respectively) consists of two subdomains, PC1 and PC2, which are connected via a hinge region; PC1 carries the active-site residue Cys, whereas PC2 carries the two remaining active-site residues His and Asn (Strobl et al., 2000). The two subdomains also each harbor a conserved Ca$^{2+}$-binding site (Moldoveanu et al., 2002). Regulation of the CysPc domain of CAPN1 occurs via an electrostatic switch mechanism operated via Ca$^{2+}$ binding, causing the two CysPc subdomains to swing into position around the hinge, thus aligning the three active-site amino acid residues into an active configuration (Moldoveanu et al., 2002). Additional levels of regulation of conventional calpains also exist that depend on structures that are absent from DEK1. One example is calpastatin, a multihanded inhibitor that binds to the catalytic CysPc domain, blocking access to the active site (Wendt et al., 2004). Three conserved features between DEK1 and animal CysPc suggest a similar activation mechanism in plants and animals. First, the three active-site residues of the CysPc domain, as well as their configuration with Cys located on PC1 and His and Asn located on PC2, are conserved in DEK1 (Liang et al., 2013). Substituting the active-site residue Cys with the amino acid Ser inactivates the DEK1 calpain in vivo (Johnson et al., 2008) and in vitro (Wang et al., 2003). Second, residues corresponding to the hinge also are conserved in the DEK1 CysPc domain (Wang et al., 2003; Liang et al., 2013), suggesting that a similar swinging motion may be involved in the activation of DEK1 calpain. Third, the PC1 and PC2 amino acids involved in Ca$^{2+}$ binding in conventional mammalian CysPc domains (Moldoveanu et al., 2002) are structurally and functional conserved in DEK1 (Liang et al., 2013). DEK1 CysPc harboring substitution of these amino acids to Ala fails to complement the Arabidopsis dek1-3 mutant phenotype (Liang et al., 2013), suggesting that DEK1 calpain activity may be Ca$^{2+}$ dependent. This conclusion is potentially in conflict with the observation that the recombinant protein of maize CysPc-C2L displays a low, but significant, in vitro proteolytic activity in the presence of EDTA (Wang et al., 2003). Although sharing structural features, chimeric calpains (the CysPc domain of animals fused to the C2L domain of DEK1) fail to complement the Arabidopsis dek1-3 mutant, and coevolution analysis also identified differences in the interactions between the CysPc-C2L residues of DEK1 and classical calpains (Liang et al., 2013).

In the next series of studies of DEK1 function and evolution, we used the moss P. patens. Moss represents one of the, if not the, earliest extant relatives of the first land plants, permitting studies of ancestral DEK1 function. Second, mosses form a branched system of multicellular filaments called protonemata, a gametophyte stage in which DEK1 is nonessential (Perroud et al., 2014), allowing tissues to be cultivated and transformed, utilizing the potential of homologous recombination for site-directed mutagenesis and gene replacement. This is in contrast to other land plants, in which dek1 null mutations are lethal (Becraft et al., 2002; Lid et al., 2005), severely restricting the ability to study DEK1 function. Third, DEK1 studies in mosses are relevant for higher plants, since its role in cell wall orientation is conserved in land plants (Olsen et al., 2015). When P. patens spores germinate, the protonema, consisting of cells extending by tip growth and dividing in one plane, represent a 2D growth form reminiscent of the charophyte algae predecessors of land plants. Shortly thereafter, buds are initiated from the protonema, which develop into gametophores with phyllids (leaf-like structures) and rhizoids (rooting structures), representing 3D organ forms typical of land plants. At maturity, the gametophore produces archegonia and antheridia in a cluster at the apex of the stem, which, upon fertilization, develop into diploid sporophytes containing the spores (Prigge and Bezanilla, 2010). During its life cycle, P. patens develops several (eight) meristematic cells that promote growth in the gametophyte and sporophyte generations (Kofuji and Hasebe, 2014).

In our first approach using P. patens to study DEK1 function, we deleted the entire DEK1 gene (Perroud et al., 2014). In the resulting ddek1 mutant, protonema growth appears normal, but the mutant displays an over-budding phenotype, producing four times more buds than the wild type. Of the four AP2-type
transcription factors (APB1–APB4, for AINTEGUMENTA, PLETHORA, and BABY BOOM) shown to be indispensable for the formation of the bud initial cell in *P. patens* (Aoyama et al., 2012), APB2 and APB3 were found to be up-regulated in the *dek1* mutant (Demko et al., 2014). In the *Δdek1* mutant, abnormal cell divisions in the emerging bud result in developmental arrest and the absence of gametophores.

Next, we investigated the function of the DEK1 Loop, an approximately 300-amino acid segment interrupting DEK1 MEM, by creating the Loop deletion mutant *dek1Δloop*. The *dek1Δloop* mutant forms twice as many buds as the wild type (Demko et al., 2014). The division plane in the *dek1Δloop* bud apical cell is perpendicular, similar to that in the wild type, although with a slightly bent cell wall. In contrast to the *Δdek1* mutant, the *dek1Δloop* bud continues to divide, producing a stem-like structure without emerging phyllids. Gametangia do not form. The liverwort DEK1 Loop segment, sharing approximately 43% amino acid identity with the *P. patens* DEK1 Loop, is fully functional in the moss. However, the DEK1 Loop sequences from Arabidopsis and maize only partly complement the *dek1Δloop* phenotype; the numbers of buds, and the positioning of the first division of the bud apical cell, occur as in the wild type. However, gametophores develop narrow phyllids and sporophyte is not formed (Demko et al., 2014).

In this study, we focus on the DEK1 Linker, which we propose functions to transmit the activation of DEK1 MEM by positional signaling into calpain activation. Here, we first show that the DEK1 Linker is highly conserved among land plants but divergent from orthologous charophyte algae sequences. Bioinformatic analyses identify functional elements, including a Laminin_G3 (LG3) domain and a potential autolysis site. To assess the importance of the Linker segment, the
LG3 domain, and the putative autolysis site, we create partial *P. patens* DEK1 deletion mutants. We demonstrate the conserved nature of the LG3 domain between mosses and liverworts by genetic complementation assay. Finally, we show that mutating one of the three calpain active-site amino acid residues generates the \( \Delta dek1 \) phenotype. We use these data to discuss possible models for the regulation of DEK1 calpain activation.

**RESULTS**

The Land Plant DEK1 Linker Is Highly Conserved and Contains a Predicted \( \beta \)-Sandwich Fold and a Putative Autolytic Cleavage Site

The DEK1 Linker is approximately 600 amino acids long with a predicted molecular mass of 69 kD (Fig. 1A). Conserved domain database searches with DEK1 Linker as query identify a single domain, LG3, of approximately 165 amino acids, in the Linker segment at positions 1,443 to 1,610 in the *P. patens* DEK1 sequence. Based on the identification of this domain, we divide the Linker into the three subsegments N, LG3, and C (Fig. 1A). Sequence alignment of 73 land plant DEK1 Linker proteins and four orthologous sequences from charophyte algae (Supplemental Table S1; Supplemental Data S1) show that land plant DEK1 Linker sequences are highly conserved (Fig. 1B), with sequence identities ranging from 59% to 98% (Supplemental Table S2; Supplemental Data S2). In contrast, the identity to the orthologous charophyte sequences is moderate to low (less than 36%), and these also are highly divergent from each other (Supplemental Table S2). Overall, sequence conservation between, for example, Arabidopsis and *P. patens* is highest in the N segment (60% identity and 73% similarity), followed by the LG3 domain (55% identity and 68% similarity), and lowest in the C segment (34% identity and 60% similarity; Fig. 1B). In the Linker N segment, for which no conserved domain has been identified, several long stretches (up to 16 amino acids) of 100% identity between the moss *P. patens* and the angiosperm Arabidopsis sequences are present. For segment C, we identify one highly conserved stretch of 18 amino acids that are not present in motif databases. The position of this sequence coincides with a proposed autolytic cleavage site for DEK1 (Johnson et al., 2008).

In BLAST searches, the land plant DEK1 LG3 domains align, although with low sequence similarities (less than 25%) and insignificant E values, to metazoan Sushi, von Willebrand factor type A, EGF, and pentraxin (PTX) domain-containing protein 1 and to prokaryotic Laminin G (LG) domain-containing proteins, domains belonging to the concanavalin A-like lectin/glucanases superfamily (SCOPe version 2.06; http://scop.berkeley.edu/). Protein domains of this superfamily adopt a sandwich structure of 12 to 14 \( \beta \)-strands arranged in two sheets with a complex topology, referred to as the legume lectin fold or \( \beta \)-sandwich fold. To investigate whether the LG3 domain of DEK1 has the potential to adopt a similar fold, we predicted the structure of the *P. patens* DEK1 LG3 domain using three different threading servers: I-TASSER, RaptorX, and Phyre2. All gave highly similar structures, all of which adopted a typical \( \beta \)-sandwich fold composed of eight antiparallel \( \beta \)-strands arranged in two sheets with loops connecting the \( \beta \)-strands on both edges (Fig. 1C, I). All three servers modeled the fold with high confidence levels; for example, an I-TASSER C score of \(-0.1\) was obtained, indicating that the model was predicted with correct global topology. In addition, an average normalized \( Z \) score of greater than 2 shows good threading alignment between the template and the query sequence. Structure search of the model against the Research Collaboratory for Structural Bioinformatics Protein Data Bank (http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/home/home.do) using PDBeFold (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/msd-srv/ssm/) also gave similar top hits, belonging to the PTX family and the \( \beta \)-sandwich structure fold (Fig. 1C, II).

Deleting *P. patens* DEK1 Linker Yields a Phenotype Similar to \( \Delta dek1 \)

To assess the importance of the Linker for DEK1 function, we created a *P. patens* dek1G1 linker mutant, in which a genomic sequence corresponding to 564 amino acids (amino acid residues 1,121–1,684; XP_001774206.1) of the DEK1 Linker was removed (Fig. 2A). First, we transformed wild-type protoplasts with the pBHRF-\( \Delta \) linkerG1 vector (Supplemental Fig. S1A). This vector, containing 5′ and 3′ targeting sequences (TGSs) flanking the genomic region encoding the DEK1 Linker, was designed to insert the *Hygromycin phosphotransferase* resistance cassette (HRC) flanked by loxP sites to intron 17. One of the resulting hygromycin-resistant lines, dek1\( \Delta \) linkerG1#20, gave PCR signals for targeted integration, loss of DEK1 Linker, and a single insertion event at the locus, as demonstrated by Southern-blot analysis (Supplemental Fig. S1B). The dek1\( \Delta \) linkerG1#20 mutant displayed the \( \Delta dek1 \) phenotype lacking gametophytes (Perrou et al., 2014). Since insertion of the HRC into an intron potentially can interfere with posttranscriptional processing of the DEK1 transcript, the HRC was removed by Cre/lox-mediated excision, resulting in the mutant dek1\( \Delta \) linkerG1/cre. PCR genotyping and sequencing of the DEK1 locus from intron 12 to intron 26 confirmed removal of the HRC, leaving the loxP site in the fused intron between exons 17 and 24 of the DEK1\( \Delta \) linkerG1/cre locus. However, RT-PCR and subsequent sequencing of the cDNA product revealed that the DEK1 transcript was not properly spliced, leaving the fused intron in the processed mRNA, creating a premature stop codon 5′ to the CysFc coding sequence (Supplemental Fig. S1C). In order to remove the fused intron from the mutant, dek1\( \Delta \) linkerG1/cre protoplasts were transformed with the pArrow-\( \Delta \) linkerG3 construct (Supplemental Fig. S2A), containing the full exon 17 sequence fused in-frame with the 3′ end of exon 24, designed to insert
the Neomycin phosphotransferase resistance cassette after the DEK1 stop codon. One of the resulting mutants, dek1ΔlinkerG3#2, was subjected to Cre/lox-mediated excision to obtain the dek1Δlinker/cre#123 mutant line (hereafter referred to as the dek1Δlinker mutant). The dek1Δlinker mutant was first characterized by PCR genotyping and Southern-blot analysis (Supplemental Fig. S2B), confirming elimination of the DEK1 Linker and showing no evidence of off-locus integrations. Second, RT-PCR using forward (Ex7-F) and reverse (Ex30-R) primers annealing to DEK1 exons 7 and 30, respectively (Fig. 2A), showed amplification of a single PCR product of the expected sizes of 4.6 and 2.9 kb for the wild type and dek1Δlinker, respectively (Fig. 2B). Subsequent sequencing of the 2.9-kb RT-PCR product confirmed proper splicing of the truncated DEK1ΔLinker cDNA with exon 17 fused in-frame to the 3′ end of exon 24 (Supplemental Fig. S2C). Quantitative RT-PCR was performed, revealing no significant changes in the steady-state DEK1 transcript level in 6- and 14-d-old dek1Δlinker mutant tissue compared with wild-type plants of the same age (Fig. 2C), supporting the conclusion that deletion of the Linker did not affect the stability of the truncated DEK1 mRNA.

In this section, we describe phenotypic characteristics of the dek1Δlinker mutant compared with wild type plants, a mutant lacking the entire DEK1 gene (Δdek1; Perroud et al., 2014), and a partial dek1 deletion mutant harboring a deletion within the DEK1 MEM segment (dek1loop; Demko et al., 2014). The phenotypes of wild-type, Δdek1, and dek1loop plants are shown in Figure 3, A to C, respectively. In the wild type, one bud is typically initiated per filament of 15 cells (Fig. 3A1). The bud initial cell divides by oblique division (Fig. 3A2, arrowheads), giving rise to the basal cell and the apical cell. The next division plane in the apical cell is perpendicular to the first oblique division (Fig. 3A2, arrow). This division gives rise to the bud apical initial
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Figure 2. DEK1 cDNA structure and expression analyses in wild-type, dek1Δlinker, dek1Δlg3, dek1Δc-linker, and dek1˚ lines. A, Schematic representation of the DEK1 coding sequence architecture in wild-type and dek1 mutant plants. The truncated DEK1 variants are missing either the Linker or the Linker subsegments LG3 and C, while DEK1° harbors two point mutations leading to a single amino acid replacement from Cys to Ser in the CysPc coding sequence of the active site. Numbering identifies deleted nucleotides in the individual truncated DEK1 variants. The codon TGC starting at the wild-type sequence in nucleotide position 5,341 (black star) is mutated to TCT (white star) in DEK1°. The positions of the PCR primers (Ex7-F and Ex30-R) used in B are indicated by arrows, and expected reverse transcription (RT)-PCR product sizes using this primer set are given. For comparison, wild-type DEK1 also is shown. B, RT-PCR analysis of DEK1 transcripts in wild-type (WT) and dek1 mutant plants using primers Ex7-F and Ex30-R showing PCR amplicons of the expected sizes. M, Marker; NC, negative control. C, Real-time quantitative RT-PCR analysis of relative DEK1 transcript levels in dek1 mutant plants at two time points (6 and 14 d). The graph shows mean fold change values in the dek1 mutants relative to the wild type, which was set to 1, normalized to the average transcript level of RIBOSOMAL PROTEIN S9 (RPS; Pp3c18_1350V3.1) used as an internal reference. Error bars indicate SE of two biological replicates.
### Figure 3

Wild-type and *dek1* mutant phenotypes. A, Wild-type (WT) plants. B to G, *dek1* mutants. The phenotypes at different developmental stages are shown in columns 1 to 8. Column 1 shows the number of buds per 15-cell filament (*n* = 100). Column 2 shows buds at the four-cell stage. Arrowheads indicate the first oblique division of the bud initial. Arrows indicate the plane of the first division in the bud apical cell. Blue dots mark the bud apical initial. Yellow dots mark apical lateral cells. Brown dots mark basal lateral cells. Column 3 shows bud development at the six-cell or more stage. Arrowheads indicate the position of the initial oblique division. Blue dots mark bud apical cells. Yellow dots mark apical lateral cells (forming a hair in A and E). Green dots in E mark a phyllid initial cell. Brown dots mark basal lateral cells. Column 4 details young gametophores with primordial phyllids in A and E. Green dots mark phyllid initials. Yellow dots mark a hair. Note that the latter structures are not formed in B and G. Column 5 shows leafy gametophores in A and E and reduced stems lacking phyllids in C and F. These structures are not formed in B, D, and G. Column 6 displays the morphology of a phyllid isolated from the middle part of a gametophore. Column 7 represents the morphology of archegonia at

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1 Bud number</th>
<th>2 First apical cell division</th>
<th>3 Bud development</th>
<th>4 Young gametophore</th>
<th>5 Gametophore</th>
<th>6 Phyllid</th>
<th>7 Archegonium</th>
<th>8 Sporophyte</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>WT</td>
<td>1.1±0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Δdek1</td>
<td>3.5±1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Perroud et al., 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>dek1Δloop</td>
<td>1.9±0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Demko et al., 2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>dek1Δlinker</td>
<td>2.0±0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>dek1Δlg3</td>
<td>1.2±0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>dek1Δc-linker</td>
<td>1.9±0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Δdek1</td>
<td>3.3±1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(Fig. 3A2, blue dot) and the first apical lateral cell (Fig. 3A2, yellow dot). Successive oriented divisions then lead to the formation of the gametophore apical cell (tetrahedral stem cell) and primordial lateral domains (Fig. 3A3). A wild-type young gametophore with primordial phyllids is shown in Figure 3A4. Primordial phyllids are shaped by mitotic activity of the phyllid apical cell (Fig. 3A4, green dot) that divides by asymmetric oblique divisions. Further mitotic activity that takes place within the sectors of developing phyllid contributes to phyllid lateral and longitudinal expansion. Wild-type gametophores (Fig. 3A5) form phyllids with different morphologies depending on their position along the apical-basal axis of the gametophore, a phenomenon known as heteroblasty. A typical wild-type phyllid from the middle part of the gametophore is shown in Figure 3A6. It has expanded lamina with pointed apex, serrated margins, and central midrib. Archegonia, the female reproductive organs, are formed on gametophore apices. During the final stages of their development, the neck apical cells become vacuolated and swollen, and the apex opens (Fig. 3A7). After fertilization of the egg cell enclosed in the archegonium, a sporophyte is formed (Fig. 3A8).

In contrast to the wild type, the ∆dek1 mutant produces four buds per 15-cell filament (Fig. 3B1) while the dek1Δloop mutant produces two (Fig. 3C1). The first oblique division of the bud initial cell appears normal in both ∆dek1 (Fig. 3B2) and dek1Δloop (Fig. 3C2). In ∆dek1, the division of the bud apical cell does not orient as in the wild type (Fig. 3B2), and the cell divides in variable random planes (Perroud et al., 2014). Moreover, the division of the resulting apical cell also is misoriented, while the apical lateral cell often does not continue to divide. Consequently, bud development is arrested early after a few divisions (Fig. 3B3). In dek1Δloop, the division plane in the bud apical cell orients perpendicularly to the first oblique division; however, the cell wall tends to bend (Fig. 3C2). As the bud continues to grow, the pattern of cell divisions becomes irregular (Fig. 3C3). Phyllid initiation is abortive in dek1Δloop (Fig. 3C4). In the dek1Δloop mutant, expanded phyllids are never formed; instead, filamentous protrusions emerge from a stem (Fig. 3C5).

The dek1Δlinker mutant produces two buds per 15-cell filament compared with one bud in the wild type and four buds in the ∆dek1 mutant (Figs. 3, A1–D1, and 4). Similar to the ∆dek1 mutant, the first oblique division of the bud initial cell appears normal. However, the cell wall of the divided apical cell points toward the lower ventral side of the bud, and its intersection relative to the first oblique division also varies compared with the wild type (Fig. 3D2). Resulting daughter cells then do not expand as in the wild type, and their successive divisions are misoriented, which leads to disorganized buds with abortive growth (Fig. 3D3). In contrast to the ∆dek1 mutant, where buds are arrested early after a few divisions, a proportion of dek1Δlinker buds continue to divide, giving rise to larger structures of variable size (Fig. 3D4). No phyllid initiation was observed. From these results, we infer that removal of the Linker segment leaves a minimal calpain activity sufficient to support cell proliferation but lacking an organized division pattern.

Finally, in a control experiment, we re targeted the DEK1 Linker sequence to the dek1Δlinker locus as described in Supplemental Fig. S3A. PCR genotyping (Supplemental Fig. S3B) and sequencing of the DEK1 cDNA (data not shown) confirmed correct insertion of the Linker sequence. Two independent lines were obtained, both forming normal gametophores with fully expanded phyllids, as shown for one of these lines (Supplemental Fig. S3C).

Deletion of the DEK1 LG3 Domain Perturbs Leafy Gametophore Patterning and Archegonia Development

We next studied the effect of deleting the LG3 domain in the dek1Δlg3 mutant. This mutant was generated by transforming the pB-ΔLG3 construct (Supplemental Fig. S4A) into dek1ΔlinkerG1#20 protoplasts and harbors a deletion corresponding to 188 amino acids (amino acids 1,426–1,613; XP_001774206.1) that spans the LG3 domain (Fig. 2A). PCR genotyping and Southern-blot analysis confirmed deletion of the LG3 sequence and no evidence of off-focus integrations of the construct.
Supplemental Fig. S4B). To verify in-frame removal of the LG3 coding sequence in the mutant, a 4-kb RT-PCR product spanning from exon 7 to 30 was amplified (Fig. 2B) and subsequently sequenced, confirming proper splicing of the truncated DEK1 transcript with the 5′ end of exon 22 fused in-frame with the 3′ end of exon 23 (Supplemental Fig. S4C). No significant changes in the steady-state level of the DEK1 transcript were observed between 6- and 14-d-old wild-type and deklΔlg3 tissue (Fig. 2C).

Removal of the DEK1 LG3 domain causes developmental changes in the gametophyte that differ distinctly from Δdek1, dek1Δloop, and dek1Δlinker mutants (Fig. 3). The bud initiation rate is similar to that of the wild type (Figs. 3, A1 and E1, and 4). Early cell divisions in the deklΔlg3 buds follow the wild-type pattern. After the first oblique division of the bud initial, the division plane in the apical cell orients perpendicularly (Fig. 3E2), and the resultant daughter cells continue to divide correctly to form tetrahedral stem cell (Fig. 3E3, blue dot) and lateral segments of the young gametophore (Fig. 3E3). The effects of the LG3 deletion become apparent later during young gametophore development. In particular, the mitotic activity of the phyllid apical cell is reduced, affecting phyllid primordia development (Fig. 3E4). As a result, the deklΔlg3 mutant forms reduced gametophores with narrow phyllids lacking several features of the wild-type phyllids, including the midrib (Fig. 3, E5 and E6). Archegonium development also is affected in the mutant. The archegonium neck is deformed and does not open at the apex (Fig. 3E7). Consequently, fertilization is prevented and no sporophytes are formed in the mutant.

Altered phyllid and archegonium morphology in the deklΔlg3 mutant is shown in more detail in Figure 5. An example of heteroblastic phyllids typically seen in wild-type gametophores is shown in Figure 5A. Basal phyllids lack the midrib, and their marginal cells do not acquire the highly elongated pointed shape as the phyllids that develop later, also called upper phyllids. Mature upper phyllids form a midrib that passes through from the base to the tip in the most expanded phyllids. Proximal cells of the phyllid lamina are considerably larger than more distal cells. Phyllid edges are

Figure 5. Phyllid and reproductive organ morphology in the wild type (WT) and deklΔlg3. A, Wild-type phyllids isolated from the basal, middle, and apical parts of the gametophore. The positions of the isolated phyllids on gametophores are marked by arrowheads in the insets. B, deklΔlg3 phyllids isolated from the basal, middle, and apical parts of the gametophore. The positions of the isolated phyllids on gametophores are marked by arrowheads in the insets. C, Young wild-type archegonium with highlighted cell walls in the neck. D, Examples of wild-type archegonia at the later stages of development. Note the open apices and brown pigmentation marking the neck canal. E, Wild-type gametophore with sporophyte. Antheridium is shown in the inset. F, Young deklΔlg3 archegonium with highlighted cell walls in the neck. G, deklΔlg3 archegonia at the later stages of development. Note the closed and deformed necks and patchy distribution of the brown pigmentation that reflects defects in the neck canal differentiation. H, deklΔlg3 gametophores cultivated under the sporogenesis conditions. Sporophytes are not formed. Antheridium is shown in the inset. Bars = 500 μm (A and B), 50 μm (C, D, F, and G), and 1 mm (E and H).
formed of elongated pointed cells forming marginal serration. The juvenile apical phyllids also have serrated edges and possess a midrib. In contrast to mature fully expanded phyllids, the proximal cells in the apical phyllids are not yet elongated and continue to divide. In \textit{dek1\lg3}, basal phyllids consist of two or three files of cells with irregular shape (Fig. 5B). Mature upper phyllids lack several characteristics of the wild type, including a midrib, marginal serration, and acute tip. They do not expand as in the wild type, and their narrow lamina lack the typical proximal-distal distribution of cells of variable size. The same is true for the mutant young apical phyllids. In the wild type, phyllids continue to expand by longitudinal and transverse cell divisions that take place in sectors within the phyllid. From the phyllid morphologies in \textit{dek1\lg3}, we infer that both phyllid apical cell activity and sectorial cell divisions within the phyllid are impaired in the mutant.

Closer examination of the gametangia revealed that archegonium development was affected in the \textit{dek1\lg3} mutant. In the wild type, reproductive organ formation is highly organized, as described in detail previously (Landberg et al., 2013). In the first stages of development, the primordial archegonium develops through a series of mostly anticlinal cell divisions forming a layer of outer cells and inner cells (Fig. 5C). Upper inner cells elongate and give rise to the archegonium canal. Basal inner cells divide asymmetrically and give rise to the egg cell. Upper outer cells also divide anticlinally and elongate to shape the archegonium neck. Basal outer cells divide both anticlinally and periclinally to form the basal part of the archegonium with an egg cavity. During the final stages of archegonium development, inner canal cells lyse and degrade, and the resulting channel acquires yellow-brown pigmentation (Fig. 5D). The content of the neck outer cells also degrades. The neck apical cells become swollen, and the apex is fully opened (Fig. 5D). In the \textit{dek1\lg3} mutant, the development of the archegonium appears irregular from the early stages, with altered morphology and organization of cells forming the neck (Fig. 5F). Defects become more apparent in the later stages of mutant archegonium development. The canal fails to form properly, which is marked by dislocated patchy brown pigmentation (Fig. 5G). The neck apical cells do not swell, and the apex fails to open. The egg and the egg cavity appear to form in the mutant (Fig. 5G). These defects prevent fertilization, and the sporophyte is not formed in the mutant (Fig. 5H). No major morphological defects were detected in the mutant antheridia (Fig. 5H), although we do not exclude further anatomical/ultrastructural differences.

In our interpretation, the LG3 domain is needed for regulated activation of the calpain during certain stages of gametophore development, including gametophore apical cell activity and cell divisions in phyllids and archegonia. On the other hand, truncated DEK1 lacking the LG3 domain is fully efficient to promote normal early bud and antheridia development.

The \textit{Marchantia polymorpha} DEK1 LG3 Domain Complements the \textit{dek1\lg3} Mutant of \textit{P. patens}

To investigate whether DEK1 LG3 from angiosperms, liverwort, and bryophytes are functionally conserved, we introduced the \textit{M. polymorpha} and \textit{Arabidopsis} DEK1 LG3 sequences into the DEK1 locus of the \textit{P. patens} \textit{dek1\lg3} mutant. First, to verify the complementation assay, we retargeted the wild-type LG3 sequence into the \textit{dek1\lg3} locus by transforming \textit{dek1\lg3} protoplasts with the \textit{pCR-PpLG3} construct (Supplemental Fig. S5A). Three independent lines were obtained, all of which showed reversion to the wild-type phenotype, forming gametophores with fully expanded phyllids (Fig. 6). PCR genotyping confirmed insertion of the wild-type LG3 sequence (Supplemental Fig. S5B). Next, we targeted the \textit{M. polymorpha DEK1} LG3 sequence to the \textit{dek1\lg3} locus using the \textit{pCR-MpLG3} construct (Supplemental Fig. S5A). Regenerating plants were screened by visual inspection, resulting in the identification of three independent lines, all of which developed wild-type gametophores, as shown for the line named \textit{MpLG3} in Figure 6. PCR genotyping (Supplemental Fig. S5B) and sequencing of the heterologous DEK1 cDNA (data not shown) confirmed in-frame insertion of the \textit{M. polymorpha DEK1 LG3} sequence at the \textit{DEK1} locus. These results show that the heterologous DEK1 LG3 domain from a liverwort, sharing approximately 67% amino acid identity with the \textit{P. patens} DEK1 LG3 domain, is functional in moss gametophores, demonstrating the functional conservation.
of DEK1 LG3 within the groups of early-diverging land plants.

Despite extensive testing, we were unable to identify regenerating plants exhibiting gametophores with a different phenotype than that of dekΔlg3 after transforming mutant protoplasts with pCR-AtLG3 (Supplemental Fig. S5A). This strongly suggests that the Arabidopsis DEK1 LG3 domain does not complement the mutant phenotype or, alternatively, that no targeted lines were obtained in this experiment. To explore this further, we chose an alternative strategy using the construct pBHRF-AtLG3 designed to insert the Arabidopsis LG3 sequence together with HRC into the dek1Δlg3 locus, as described in Supplemental Figure S5C. Seventy-four hygromycin-resistant lines were obtained in this experiment, and PCR genotyping was performed, identifying one line with proper 5′ and 3′ targeting signals. After cre/lox-mediated removal of the HRC in this line, the resulting PCR genotyping-positive lines all displayed the Δdek1 phenotype. To investigate DEK1 transcript processing, DEK1 cDNA was amplified from one of the after-cre lines and subsequently sequenced, revealing that the Arabidopsis DEK1 intron 21 was not spliced in the P. patens DEK1 AtLG3 transcript, thereby creating a premature stop codon in the mRNA (data not shown). Therefore, more studies are needed to firmly conclude whether DEK1 LG3 function is conserved between mosses and angiosperms.

Deleting the Potential Autolysis Site Severely Disturbs Gametophore Development

We also created the dek1Δc-linker mutant, in which a genomic region corresponding to 71 amino acids (amino acids residues 1,614–1,684; XP_001774206.1) directly upstream of the DEK1 calpain domain was removed (Supplemental Fig. S6A). The rationale for making this mutant was a previous study proposing an autolytic cleavage site in close proximity to the start of the Arabidopsis DEK1 calpain domain. The dek1Δc-linker mutant (Fig. 3) was verified using PCR genotyping, Southern-blot analysis (Supplemental Fig. S6B), quantitative RT-PCR analysis (Fig. 2C), and sequencing of the 4-kb DEK1ΔC-Linker RT-PCR product (Fig. 2B; Supplemental Fig. S6C).

The resulting mutant initiates approximately two buds per 15-cell filament (Figs. 3F1 and 4). Early cell divisions of the dek1Δc-linker mutant bud showed a similar pattern to the dek1Δ linker mutant. The cell wall dividing the bud apical cell is leaning toward the lower ventral side of the bud (Fig. 3F2). The resulting cells then continue to divide in a disorganized manner, forming distorted buds of various sizes (Fig. 3F3). In contrast to Δdek1 and dek1Δ linker, dek1Δc-linker bud cells continue to proliferate and form severely deformed gametophore-like structures (Fig. 3F4). In a number of cases, such gametophores form stems with filamentous protrusions instead of phyllids similar to dek1Δloop (Fig. 3F5). The remaining DEK1 calpain activity is sufficient to enhance cell proliferation in the buds; however, the structures lack organized patterns, and such residual activity is insufficient to initiate normal phyllid formation.

P. patens DEK1 Function Is Dependent on the Cys Active-Site Residue in the CysPc Protease Core Domain

Finally, we created the P. patens dek1° single-codon replacement mutant in which Cys-1782 is replaced by a Ser (Supplemental Fig. S7A). Molecular analyses of the dek1° mutant using PCR genotyping, Southern-blot analysis (Supplemental Fig. S7B), RT-PCR (Fig. 2B), and subsequent sequencing of the DEK1° cDNA showed targeted integration, no evidence of off-locus integration, and the Cys-to-Ser substitution, respectively. Quantitative RT-PCR analysis confirmed that the steady-state level of the DEK1° transcript was comparable to the DEK1 transcript level in wild-type plants (Fig. 2C).

The dek1° mutant produces, on average, three buds per 15-cell filament, not significantly different from the average bud number in the Δdek1 mutant (Figs. 3G1 and 4). The mutant does not form leafy gametophores, and bud development is affected at its early stage (Fig. 3G2). After the first oblique division of the bud initial, the apical cell fails to expand and divide as in the wild type (Fig. 3G2). Bud growth stops after a few divisions without establishing the tetrahedral stem cell and lateral domains (Fig. 3G3). These results show, first, that an intact catalytic triad of the CysPc protease core domain is essential for DEK1 function and, second, that the Cys-to-Ser substitution gives the same effect as deleting the DEK1 gene. The P. patens dek1° mutant phenotype thus confirms previous mutant studies in Arabidopsis (Johnson et al., 2008; Liang et al., 2013) and in vitro studies of maize DEK1 (Wang et al., 2003) and animal calpains (Arthur et al., 1995) showing that calpain activity is dependent on the active-site residue Cys.

DISCUSSION

The DEK1 protein of land plants forms a separate phylogenetic clade in the streptophyte lineage, with the highest degree of conservation in the calpain-specific catalytic domain CysPc, showing more than 80% amino acid identity between the member species (Liang et al., 2013). As shown here, replacement of the CysPc active-site residue Cys with Ser in the P. patens dek1° mutant generates the Δdek1 mutant phenotype (Fig. 3), confirming that an intact catalytic triad is necessary for DEK1 activity in P. patens and underscoring the calpain-dependent activity of DEK1. A high degree of sequence conservation also exists for DEK1 MEM, with 54% overall amino acid identity between Arabidopsis and P. patens. Here, we show that the high degree of sequence conservation in the DEK1 land plant clade also extends to the approximately 600-amino acid residue
69-kD Linker, the identity between land plant members ranging from 59% to 98%. The identity between *P. patens* and Arabidopsis is 60%. Similar to DEK1 MEM and CysPc-C2L (Liang et al., 2013), DEK1 Linker sequence identity is reduced dramatically when comparing land plants with charophytes, the algal predecessors of land plants, dropping to below 35%. The charophyte sequences also are highly divergent from each other, suggesting a conserved functional role for the DEK1 Linker in land plants that may differ from the function in charophyte algae. This accords well with the proposal that a major change in DEK1 function occurred during the evolutionary transition from charophyte algae to land plants (Demko et al., 2014). The phenotype of the *dek1Δlinker* mutant confirms an essential role for the Linker in regulating DEK1 activity. Notably, the effect of deleting the Linker is slightly less severe than deleting the entire DEK1 gene, suggesting that a DEK1 protein missing the Linker retains some residual calpain activity. If so, this activity is sufficient to limit the increase in bud number to two buds per 15-cell filament, compared with four in *Δdek1*, as well as to support cell proliferation beyond that of the *Δdek1* mutant (Fig. 3).

Within the Linker, the highest overall identity between *P. patens* and Arabidopsis is in the 340-amino acid N segment, 60%, including several stretches of up to 16 amino acids with 100% identity between *P. patens* and Arabidopsis (Fig. 1B). In spite of the high amino acid sequence conservation, no known structural element that may hint at the function of this part of the Linker can be identified.

The identification of a conserved LG3 domain in DEK1 is supported by structural predictions, the domain adopting a typical β-sandwich fold similar to the protein domains belonging to the concanavalin A-like lectin/glucanase superfamily of which LG3 is a member (SCOPe v2.06). However, the number of predicted β-strands in DEK1 LG3 is lower, eight, compared with 12 to 14 β-strands in other family members. The β-sandwich fold, also referred to as the lectin fold, is a widely occurring fold present in 25 distinct protein families (SCOPe v2.06) of very diverse functions. The predominant function of these proteins appears to be carbohydrate binding (Chandra et al., 2001). However, despite the highly conserved structural fold, sequence similarity among the different members is very low, identity in some cases being only 2% (Chandra et al., 2001), excluding the prediction of structure-function relationships (Rudenko et al., 2001). The land plant DEK1 LG3 domains display more than 59% sequence identity and only insignificant sequence similarities to other protein domains in the National Center for Biotechnology Information nonredundant database, suggesting that DEK1 LG3 may represent a new family member of the concanavalin A-like lectin/glucanase superfamily. A number of the templates selected by the servers to build the models for DEK1 LG3 included Protein Data Bank structures for PTX, such as serum amyloid P component (SAP) from *L. polyphemus* and human C-reactive protein. Interestingly, these proteins are characterized by Ca²⁺-dependent binding to their ligands, including carbohydrates, and function as soluble pattern-recognition molecules (Du Clos, 2013). Whether the DEK1 LG3 domain binds carbohydrates in a Ca²⁺-dependent process has yet to be determined. However, it is notable that the DEK1 Cys protease has been identified as a potential candidate involved in the initial proteolysis and turnover of CELLULOSE SYNTHASE A (CesA) in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) rosettes (Jacob-Wilk et al., 2006) responsible for cellulose biosynthesis in primary and secondary cell walls (Festucci-Buselli et al., 2007). Both calcium signaling and CesA have been implicated in moss bud development (Saunders and Hepler, 1982; Goss et al., 2012). Cytokinin treatment induces bud formation, which coincides with elevated intracellular Ca²⁺. A similar effect can be achieved after treatment with an ionophore (Saunders and Hepler, 1982). We have shown previously that the *Δdek1* mutant responds to cytokinin-induced bud formation (Perroud et al., 2014), and DEK1 is involved in the spatial control of bud formation via the negative regulation of ABP transcription factors (Demko et al., 2014). Disorganized buds are formed instead of leafy gametophores in *P. patens cesa5* mutants (Goss et al., 2012). Whether DEK1 senses cell wall properties or is involved in the regulation of cell wall remodeling needs to be further investigated.

Deletion of the LG3 domain in the *P. patens dek1Δlg3* mutant is much less severe than deletion of the Linker in *dek1Δlinker*, bud and leaf apical stem cell specification being unaffected (Fig. 3). The effect of deleting the LG3 domain, however, does become apparent during later developmental stages, as both phyllid and archegonia development are perturbed in the *dek1Δlg3* mutant (Fig. 3), indicating that distinct DEK1 activities are required throughout 3D development of the gametophyte. This observation suggests that the LG3 domain is involved in fine-tuning of calpain activity and that the *dek1Δlg3* mutant regulates calpain activity close to the wild-type level, sufficient to allow wild-type bud development but insufficient to maintain wild-type phyllid and archegonia patterning. Moreover, general reduction of *dek1Δlg3* gametophore growth indicates that the activity of the gametophore stem cell also is reduced in the mutant. Thus, the DEK1 LG3 domain may play its role depending on cellular context. Similar to what was demonstrated for the DEK1 Loop (Demko et al., 2014), the *M. polymorpha* DEK1 LG3 domain complements the *dek1Δlg3* mutant of *P. patens*. The DEK1 LG3 domain from liverwort shares 67% amino acid identity with the *P. patens* DEK1 LG3 domain, demonstrating functional conservation both in MEM and in the Linker. Due to missplicing of the Arabidopsis DEK1 transcript in the *P. patens dek1Atlg3* mutant, we were not able to conclude whether DEK1 LG3 function is conserved between angiosperms and mosses.

Compared with N and LG3, the 71-amino acid C segment is the least conserved part of the Linker, with an overall identity of 34% and a similarity of 60%
between land plants (Fig. 1B). Interestingly, a highly conserved stretch of 18 amino acid residues is located approximately 36 amino acids upstream of CysPc, corresponding approximately to the location of a proposed autolytic cleavage site of the DEK1 calpain (Johnson et al., 2008). The consensus sequence of this amino acid stretch is YDRDDVDWDQYSSGKR, the residues underlined being 100% conserved in land plant DEK1 proteins included in this study. The consensus sequence is not recognized as a conserved motif in current databases. The signal for animal calpain cleavage and the structural clues for substrate recognition are largely unknown (Sorimachi et al., 2012). However, in a recent study, both primary and higher order structures were identified as determinants for CAPN1 and CAPN2 substrate specificity (Shinkai-Ouchi et al., 2016). In an effort to determine the amino acid preference around the cleavage site, Tompa et al. (2004) analyzed 106 CAPN1 and CAPN2 cleavage sites in 49 substrates compiled from the literature. The preferred residues were identified as Leu, Val, and Thr in the P9 position and Tyr, Arg, and Lys in P9. Small hydrophilic residues, Ser and to a lesser extent Thr and Ala, were found to occur more often in P9. In addition, a high preference for Pro in positions P9 and P9′ to P9′′ was identified. This sequence pattern is not present in the consensus sequence of the conserved 18-amino acid residues C-segment site. Thus, if this sequence is indeed a DEK1 autolytic cleavage site, the DEK1 calpain has different cleavage site preferences than conventional animal CAPN1 and CAPN2 proteins.

The functional significance of the Linker C region is clearly demonstrated by the phenotype of the dek1Δc-linker mutant, resembling dek1Δlinker in severity during early stages of bud development (Fig. 3). In both mutants, the tetrahedral apical cell is not formed; however, mitotic activity is present, allowing cell proliferation beyond that of the Δdek1 mutant, resulting in buds of variable sizes. In contrast to the dek1Δlinker, dek1Δc-linker proliferates to form stem-like structures, similar to dek1Δloop (Demko et al., 2014; Fig. 3). These observations suggest that the dek1Δc-linker and dek1Δloop mutants regulate DEK1 calpain activity to similar levels. Further analyses are required to determine whether the conserved 18-amino acid residue site alone causes the dek1Δc-linker phenotype.

The multiple phenotypes obtained when deleting different regions of DEK1 point to different functions of the different parts of the Linker throughout P. patens development. A fuller understanding of DEK1 function has to await the 3D structure of DEK1. Meanwhile, our working hypothesis for DEK1 function is that the DEK1 MEM and Linker segments regulate the activity of CysPc-C2L. The observation that deletion of the Loop leads to a severe phenotype (Demko et al., 2014; Fig. 3) supports the role of DEK1 MEM in regulating DEK1 calpain activity. The exact role of the Loop in positional sensing, if any, needs further studies. Upon the activation of DEK1 MEM, our working model for DEK1 regulation proposes that a conformational change of DEK1 MEM activates the CysPc-C2L moiety in a process that involves the Linker. The activated protease then cleaves the Linker and is thereby released in its active form, ready to cleave its unknown substrate(s). Although the mode of activation is unknown, the structural similarities between DEK1 CysPc and CAPN2 CysPc make it tempting to speculate that DEK1 calpain activation occurs by a similar mechanism. If so, a change in the conformation of the activated MEM-Linker allows the CysPc subdomains PC1 and PC2 to swing close together, thereby forming an active site. In CAPN2, the inactive form represents the ground state of the protein, in which electrostatic forces in an acidic loop within C2L are partly responsible for the separation of PC1 and PC2 (Strobl et al., 2000). Activation by Ca++ occurs in two steps, first by releasing constraints imposed by the circular arrangement of the domains, then by cooperative binding of Ca++ to PC1 and PC2 (Khorchid and Ikura, 2002). We propose that the DEK1 MEM-Linker acts via a similar mechanism, although it remains to be determined whether the ground state of the DEK1 calpain is an active state or not. It is possible that both endogenous DEK1 and ectopically expressed CysPc-C2L that is capable of complementing the Arabidopsis dek1-3 mutant phenotype require the binding of Ca++ to be active. Indeed, the observation that disruption of the conserved amino acids coordinating Ca++-binding sites in conventional calpains makes the Arabidopsis CysPc-C2L incapable of complementing the dek1-3 mutant phenotype (Liang et al., 2013) supports this interpretation. The observation that deletion of the Linker does not lead to DEK1 activation suggests that the Linker alone does not function to inactivate CysPc but, rather, that MEM and Linker acts together. This conclusion also is supported by the observation that ectopically expressed Linker-CysPc-C2L is capable of complementing the Arabidopsis dek1-3 mutant phenotype (Johnson et al., 2008). The structure of DEK1 MEM-Linker is unknown. However, it is interesting that part of the MEM shows similarity to members of the major facilitator superfamily of proteins of bacterial origin (Demko et al., 2014). Members of this family have evolved from transporters, via dual-function molecules referred to as transceptors, to receptors (Yan, 2013). Given the long evolutionary history of TML-calpains and the DEK1 clade of TML-calpains, it is an interesting possibility that a similar functional change took place with DEK1.

CONCLUSION

In contrast to higher plants, where loss-of-function alleles of DEK1 are lethal, the protonemata stage of mosses is not critically affected by the loss of DEK1. Interestingly, the unique moss protonemata stage represents an image of the transition from charophyte algae to land plants. Initially, it grows in a 2D mode typical of the algae predecessors of land plants. It then
switches to the 3D growth form of land plants, initially in the form of the gametophore bud. DEK1 plays a role in two major processes related to 3D growth: first, by affecting the number of buds via APB transcription factors; and second, by its essential function in positioning of the cell wall resulting from the first division of the apical bud cell. This study completes a series of investigations into the functional effect of deleting specific domains of DEK1, including the entire gene and the DEK1 Loop. Here, we first show that the Linker performs an essential function in the regulation of DEK1 calpain activity; loss of the Linker has nearly the same effect as loss of the entire DEK1 gene. In addition, our analysis also identifies an important function in the Linker C segment containing a highly conserved 18-amino acid sequence, the deletion of which also has a severe effect on moss development. One potential function of this sequence is to serve as a substrate for the DEK1 calpain protease, although the sequence does not represent a typical target sequence for conventional mammalian calpains. The second conserved Linker subsequence is LG3, the deletion of which leads to less dramatic perturbation of moss development. Earlier studies showed that the DEK1 Loop sequence of _M. polymorpha_ is functional in moss but sequences from angiosperm are not. Similarly, the LG3 sequence of _M. polymorpha_ functions in moss but not in angiosperms LG3 sequences. Earlier studies have shown the essential nature of the Cys residue of the active site of CysPc. Here, we confirm the essential nature of the _P. patens_ Cys-1782 residue to DEK1 function. Finally, we propose that DEK1 MEM-Linker in an inactive state inhibits calpain activity by forcing apart the CysPc PC1 and PC2 subdomains. In an active state, this inhibition is abolished and the two subunits swing closer, bringing the three active-site amino acid residues together into its active-site configuration.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Material and Growth Conditions**

The _Physcomitrella patens_ Gransden strain was used throughout this study. Tissue maintenance and production were performed on BCDA medium as described by Cove et al. (2009). _P. patens_ tissue and protoplasts were grown under long-day conditions (16 h of light [70–80 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹]/8 h of dark) at 25°C. Growth medium was supplemented with 20 μg L⁻¹ hygromycin B or 30 μg L⁻¹ G418 for selection of transformed cells. Tissue for real-time quantitative RT-PCR was grown in sterile conditions was collected, homogenized in sterile water, and inoculated on the medium overlaid with cellophane discs. The tissue was collected after 6 and 14 d of growth, snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C until further processing.

**In Silico Analyses**

The orthologous DEK1 sequences used in this study are listed in Supplemental Table S1. To identify and extract the amino acid sequences corresponding to the DEK1 Linker, defined as the segment between the TM-spanning domain (MEM) and the CysPc domain (Fig. 1A), full-length DEK1 sequences were submitted to the SMART server (http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de). The extracted DEK1 Linker sequences were further submitted to Pfam (pfam.xfam.org) and the Conserved Domain Database (ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi) to identify putative conserved domains. Pfam domain models were extracted using SMART. Multiple sequence alignment of DEK1 Linker sequences was performed with the L-INS-i algorithm using MAFFT version 7 (http://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/index.html). Katoh and Standley, (2013). Pairwise comparison of each pair of sequences in the multiple sequence alignment was calculated as the percentage of identical residues in alignment positions between the two sequences using the software CLC Genomic Workbench version 7. To predict the 3D structure of the _P. patens_ DEK1 LG3 domain, the amino acid sequence corresponding to LG3 (residues 1,426–1,613; XP_001774206.1) was submitted to three different fold recognition Web servers: RaptorX (http://raptors.urchicago.edu/), I-TASSER (http://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/I-TASSER/), and Phyre2 (http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/~phyre2/html/page.cgi?id=index). The SAVES metaserver (http://services.mbi.ucla.edu/SAVES/) was used for structural analysis and validation of the generated models to verify their stereochemical quality and overall structural geometry.

**Construction of Plasmids for Gene Targeting**

Oligonucleotides used for vector construction are listed in Supplemental Table S3. The schematics for the gene-deletion and knock-in complementation constructs are shown in Supplemental Figures S1 to S7. Plasmids were generated using the In-Fusion HD Cloning kit (Clontech Laboratories) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, unless stated otherwise. The PCR-generated fragments used in the In-Fusion reactions were amplified using Thermo Scientific Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase. To verify the constructed vectors, restriction digestion and DNA sequencing analyses were performed. Nucleotide numberings are relative to the ATG start site of the _P. patens_ DEK1 sequence (Pp3c17_17550; www.phytozome.net).

Two plasmid vectors, named pBHFR-LinkerG1 and pArrow-LinkerG3, were used to generate the _P. patens_ dek1 linked mutant. GenScript performed fragment synthesis and cloning of the pBHFR-LinkerG1 vector. In short, the 5’ TGS, _P. patens_ DEK1 nucleotides 7,249 to 8,346 flanked by 5’ HindIII and 3’ NsiI restriction enzyme sequences, and the 3’ TGS, _P. patens_ DEK1 nucleotides 12,546 to 12,546 flanked by 5’ SpeI and 3’ NsiI recognition sequences, were synthetized de novo. The 5’ and 3’ TGSs were cloned sequentially into pBHFR (Schaefer et al., 2010) using HindIII/NsiI and SpeI/NsiI restriction enzyme pairs, respectively, creating construct pBHFR-LinkerG1 (Supplemental Fig. S1A). Prior to _P. patens_ transformation, pBHFR-LinkerG1 was digested with BamHI and PciI. The pTDek1-3 flasmid (Perroud et al., 2014), which contains a 3’ TGS of 1,003 bp 3’ to the DEK1 termination code, was used to build the pArrow-LinkerG3 construct. The 5’ TGS of the latter construct, designed to contain a mutated _P. patens_ DEK1 fragment spanning from nucleotides 7,250 to 14,569 with a 3,398-bp deletion from nucleotides 8,321 to 11,718, was amplified using two PCR-generated fragments (fragments A and B) in an overlapping PCR reaction. Fragments A and B were first separately PCR amplified using primer pairs SP-A/ASP-A and SP-B/ASP-B, respectively, with genomic _P. patens_ DNA as template. The 5’ TGS was then amplified with primer set SP-A and ASP-B in a second round of PCR using fragments A and B as template. The SP-A and ASP-B primers were designed to add 15 bp 5’ and 3’ extensions to the resulting PCR product, facilitating insertion of the 5’ TGS into Smal-digested pTDek1-3’ using In-Fusion reaction. The resulting construct, pArrow-LinkerG3 (Supplemental Fig. S2A), was digested with BamHI prior to _P. patens_ protoplast transformation.

In order to generate the _P. patens_ dek1LG3 mutant, construct pB-ALG3 was used. This plasmid, containing a mutated _P. patens_ DEK1 fragment spanning from nucleotides 7,249 to 12,546 harboring a 673-bp deletion from nucleotides 10,567 to 11,239 spanning the DEK1 LG3 sequence, was made in several steps. First, we PCR amplified an In-Fusion fragment containing _P. patens_ DEK1 nucleotides 8,347 to 11,544 with primers SP_PpLinker and ASP_PpLinker and genomic _P. patens_ DNA as template. This insert was mixed with linearized vector pBHFR-LinkerG1, produced by PCR using primers SP_InF1 and AS_InF1 to exclude the sequence between the 5’ and 3’ TGSs in this vector (e.g. the HRC). The insert and linearized vector were ligated in an In-Fusion reaction, resulting in plasmid pB-Linker, containing a full _P. patens_ DEK1 fragment.
spanning from nucleotides 7,249 to 12,546. Finally, a second PCR amplification was performed to identify nucleotides 10,567 to 11,239, using pBHRF as template and primers SP_Inf2 and ASP_Inf2, resulting in the generation of the pBHRF-Dek1 construct (Supplemental Fig. S4A). The construct was digested with RsrII and PaelI prior to P. patens protoplast transformation.

To generate the P. patens dek1− mutant, the construct pBHRF-ΔC-G2 was used. The 3′ TGS of this construct, spanning from nucleotides 8,402 to 12,893, contains a 47-bp deletion (nucleotides 11,240–1,171) corresponding to the Linker C segment (Fig. 1A). Construct pBHRF-ΔC-G2 was built from the pBHRF-Δ linkerG2 plasmid. The latter plasmid was generated by GenScript as a linearized vector and the mutagenic primer pair ASP_Inf2 and ASP_Inf2 was ligated into the pBHRF-Δ linkerG2 DNA, producing a PCR product amplified with primers SP_2G_F and ASP_2G_F, then ligation using an In-Fusion reaction was performed, resulting in plastid pBHRF-LinkerG2. Finally, a second PCR amplification was performed to delete nucleotides 11,240 to 12,893 from pBHRF-Δ linkerG2 and primers SP_GDC_Inf and ASP_GDC_Inf was followed by an In-Fusion reaction, resulting in the generation of the pBHRF-ΔC-G2 construct (Supplemental Fig. S6A). The construct was digested with BsmI and PaelI prior to P. patens protoplast transformation.

To generate the P. patens dek1− mutant, the construct pArrow-DEK1 was used. The 5′ TGS of this construct contains a mutated PpDEK1 fragment spanning from nucleotides 11,763 to 14,569 containing the two substitutions G to C and T to A at nucleotide positions 1,186 and 1,187, respectively. The wild-type PpDEK1 fragment (nucleotides 11,763–14,569) was amplified from genomic P. patens DNA using primers SP-PpCycL and ASP-PpCycL designed to insert 5′ Smal and 3′ BsiWI recognition sequences and then cloned into the Zero Blunt PCR cloning vector (Invitrogen), resulting in plastid pCR-PcCalp. To introduce the two single-point mutations, the PCR-PcCalp plasmid was digested with SmaI restriction fragment of the P. patens dek1° mutant, the construct pCR-PpCalp was digested with BsmI to generate the TGS in this construct contains a mutagenized genomic PpDEK1 DNA fragment used for transformation and a primer annealing 5′ to the 5′ or 3′ TGS, respectively. Finally, the third PCR, we genotyped for single-copy insertions at the locus using primers annealing 5′ to 3′ or 5′ to 3′ TGS, respectively. In the third PCR, we genotyped for proper 5′ and 3′ targeting at the locus using a primer annealing within the DNA fragment used for transformation and a primer annealing 5′ to the 5′ or 3′ TGS, respectively. In the third PCR, we genotyped for single-copy insertions at the locus using primers annealing 5′ to 3′ and 3′ to the 5′ and 3′ TGSs, respectively. For dek1− linkerG1 (Supplemental Fig. S1A), first genotyping was performed using primers linker_1F and linker_1R to assess the absence/presence of the Linker C by genomic-resistant lines. Lines without a detectable PCR product, presumed to have lost the Linker, were tested in the second round of PCR genotyping to check for on-locus targeting using each primer set: first genotyping primers linker_1F and linker_1R, second genotyping, SP_LOOP_in and SS_rev for 5′ targeting and Term_F and linker_2R for 3′ targeting. Targeted single-copy insertion was assessed in the third PCR genotyping using primers linker_2F and linker_2R. The same rationale was used to genotype dek1− linkerG3 constructs (Supplemental Fig. S2A) using the following primer sets: first genotyping primers linker_1F and linker_1R, second genotyping, SP_LOOP_in and SS_rev for 5′ targeting and Term_F and gDek1_rev for 3′ targeting; and third genotyping, SP_LOOP_in and gDek1_rev. In the case of dek1− linker (Supplemental Fig. S5A), first genotyping was performed using primers ArmAeq7 and ASP_PpCALP, showing a distinction between wild-type
Molecular Characterization of Transformsants

Genomic DNA for Southern-blot analysis was extracted using the Nucleon PhytoPure Genomic DNA Extraction Kit (GE Healthcare). Southern-blot analysis was performed as described by Perroud and Quatrano (2006) using 1 μg of DNA per digestion. Probes were digoxigenin labeled using the DIG Probe PCR synthesis kit (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Templates for PCR amplification of probes were the corresponding DNA constructs used for transformation. The sequences of the primers used to generate the probes are provided in Supplemental Table S3, and the hybridization sites of each probe are schematically illustrated in Supplemental Figures S1A to S7A.

RT-PCR and DNA sequencing were used to analyze the mutant PpDEK1 cDNA to verify proper deletion insertion and splicing of the transcript. Total RNA was isolated from protonema tissue using the Plant RNAeasy Kit (Qiagen). DNaseI-treated total RNA (500 ng) was reverse transcribed using 100-unit SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) primed with 200 ng of random hexamers at 55°C for 60 min. Phusion High-Fidelity DNA polymerase was used to amplify the DEK1 cDNA target using primers Ex7-F and Ex30-R, annealing in exons 7 and 30, respectively. After Exo SAP treatment to remove unused primers, the PCR product was sequenced with appropriate primers using BigDye version 3.1 chemistry (ABI) according to the Step method (Platt et al., 2007). Extension products were precipitated using sodium acetate/ethanol, dissolved in Hi-Di formamide (ABI), and finally sequenced by Capilary Electrophoresis using the 3130xL Genetic Analyzer. DNA sequences were ethanol, dissolved in Hi-Di formamide (ABI), and unused primers, the PCR product was sequenced with appropriate primers.

Real-Time Quantitative RT-PCR

Real-time quantitative RT-PCR was performed to determine the transcript level of DEK1 in the P. patens mutants relative to the wild-type control. RNA was extracted in two independent biological replicates using the Plant RNAeasy Kit (Qiagen), and cDNA was prepared as described previously. Transient transcript level analysis was performed in duplicates using 0.2 μM forward and reverse primers 1:4 diluted cDNA with the HOT FIREPol EvaGreen qPCR Mix Plus (ROX; Solis Biodyne) on the 7500 Fast Real-Time PCR Systems (ABI). Real-time quantitative RT-PCR cycling was performed as follows: 95°C for 15 min followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 s, 58°C for 20 s, and 72°C for 32 s for amplification of all targets. The real-time quantitative RT-PCR data were analyzed using the LinRegPCR software version 11.0 (Ruijter et al., 2009) to obtain the threshold cycle number and the mean efficiency values for each sample and target, respectively. Relative mRNA levels of the targets were normalized to the transcript level of RSB (Pp3c18_1350V3.1; Xiao et al., 2011). Fold expression in mutant samples was calculated relative to the wild type according to the method of Pfaffl (2001). The following gene-specific primer pairs were used for target amplification: for DEK1, TMs-F and TMs-R; and for RSB, RSBq-F and RSBq-R. Each primer pair generated a single, expected PCR product based on post-PCR dissociation analyses.

Accession Numbers

Supplemental Table S1 provides the accession numbers for all sequences used in this study.

Supplemental Data

The following supplemental materials are available.

Supplemental Figure S1. Vector construction, targeted DEK1 Linker deletion, and molecular characterization of the P. patens dek11 linkerG1/cre mutant line.

Supplemental Figure S2. Vector construction, targeted insertion, and molecular characterization of the P. patens dek11 linkerG3/cre#123 mutant line.

Supplemental Figure S3. Vector construction, targeted knock in of the P. patens DEK1 Linker, PCR genotyping, and gametophore morphology of the complemented line.

Supplemental Figure S4. Vector construction, targeted insertion of LinkerΔLG3, and molecular characterization of the P. patens dek11 Δg3 mutant line.

Supplemental Figure S5. Vector construction, targeted knock in of P. patens, M. polymorpha, and Arabidopsis DEK1 LG3, and PCR genotyping.

Supplemental Figure S6. Vector construction, targeted insertion, and molecular characterization of the P. patens dek11c-linker mutant line.

Supplemental Figure S7. Vector construction, targeted insertion, and molecular characterization of the P. patens dek11 mutant line.

Supplemental Table S1. Accession numbers for the orthologous DEK1 Linker probe sequences used in this study.

Supplemental Table S2. Amino acid sequence identity between each pair of DEK1 Linker sequences.

Supplemental Table S3. Oligonucleotide primers and their sequences used in this study.

Supplemental Data S1. Charophyte algae DEK1 linker protein sequences used in this study.

Supplemental Data S2. Alignment of DEK1 Linker protein sequences in fasta format.
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