Systemic Induction of Photosynthesis via Illumination of the Shoot Apex Is Mediated Sequentially by Phytochrome B, Auxin and Hydrogen Peroxide in Tomato

Zhixin Guo, Feng Wang, Xun Xiang, Golam Jalal Ahammed, Mengmeng Wang, Eugen Onac, Jie Zhou, Xiaojian Xia, Kai Shi, Xuexin Yin, Kunsong Chen, Jingquan Yu, Christine H. Foyer, and Yanhong Zhou


ORCID IDs: 0000-0001-5351-1531 (F.W.); 0000-0001-9943-9977 (Xu.X.); 0000-0001-7292-9802 (E.O.); 0000-0001-5989-6989 (C.H.F.).

Systemic signaling of upper leaves promotes the induction of photosynthesis in lower leaves, allowing more efficient use of light flecks. However, the nature of the systemic signals has remained elusive. Here, we show that preillumination of the tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) shoot apex alone can accelerate photosynthetic induction in distal leaves and that this process is light quality dependent, where red light promotes and far-red light delays photosynthetic induction. Granting the wild-type rootstock with a phytochrome B (phyB) mutant scion compromised light-induced photosynthetic induction as well as auxin biosynthesis in the shoot apex, auxin signaling, and RESPIRATORY BURST OXIDASE HOMOLOG1 (RBOH1)-dependent hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) production in the systemic leaves. Light-induced systemic H2O2 production in the leaves of the rootstock also was absent in plants grafted with an auxin-resistant diageotropa (dgt) mutant scion. Cyclic electron flow around photosystem I and associated ATP production were increased in the systemic leaves by exposure of the apex to red light. This enhancement was compromised in the systemic leaves of the wild-type rootstock with phyB and dgt mutant scions and also in RBOH1-RNA interference leaves with the wild type as scion. Silencing of ORANGE RIPENING, which encodes NAD(P)H dehydrogenase, compromised the systemic induction of photosynthesis. Taken together, these results demonstrate that exposure to red light triggers phyB-mediated auxin synthesis in the apex, leading to H2O2 generation in systemic leaves. Enhanced H2O2 levels in turn activate cyclic electron flow and ATP production, leading to a faster induction of photosynthetic CO2 assimilation in the systemic leaves, allowing plants better adaptation to the changing light environment.

As a consequence of their sessile lifestyle, plants have evolved a high capacity for the regulation of physiology, growth, and development that facilitates survival in a constantly changing environment. Environmental stimuli perceived within an organ not only influence morphogenetic and physiological changes within that organ but also generate systemic effects in other organs that are remote from the site of signal perception. This crucial phenomenon is called systemic signaling or systemic regulation. Systemic signaling prepares other tissues of a plant for future challenges that may initially only be sensed by a few local tissues or cells. Several types of systemic responses are known. These include systemic acquired resistance, which is typically activated by pathogens such as viruses, bacteria, and fungi (Fu and Dong, 2013), induced systemic resistance, which is triggered by beneficial soil microorganisms or others (Pieterse and Dicke, 2007), and systemic acquired acclimation, which is initiated by abiotic stresses such as high light, UV radiation, heat, cold, and salinity (Mittler and Blumwald, 2015).

The light utilization efficiency of photosynthesis is important for the survival of understory plants and plants growing in canopies. In particular, the efficient use of the energy contained in light (sun) flecks is important because light flecks contribute up to 60% to 80% of photosynthetically active radiation received by understory plants (Peary and Seemann, 1990; Leakey et al., 2003, 2005). Earlier studies have shown the existence of systemic regulation of stomatal development...
and of photosynthesis in developing leaves in response to environmental signals perceived by mature leaves, such as changing irradiance and atmospheric CO₂ conditions (Lake et al., 2002; Coupe et al., 2006; Araya et al., 2008). Phytochrome B (phyB) is important in the transmission of the systemic signals that modulate stomatal development in young leaves of Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana; Casson and Hetherington, 2014). In tomato (Solanum lycopersicum), there are two forms of phyB, phyB1 and phyB2, that work together to mediate red (R) light-induced responses, such as hypocotyl elongation and greening in seedlings (Hauser et al., 1995; Wellers et al., 2000).

Photosynthesis is completely switched off in the dark, specifically to prevent futile cycling of metabolites through the reductive and oxidative pentose phosphate pathways. Hence, leaves need time to reactivate the enzymes of carbon assimilation after a period of darkness. The time taken to reach maximum net rates of photosynthesis upon illumination is called photosynthetic induction (Walker, 1973). Systemic signaling also has been observed for the regulation of photosynthesis in relation to leaf ontogeny in understory plants (Montgomery and Givnish, 2008). The uppermost leaves, which are generally the first to receive sunlight, display faster photosynthetic induction times than understory leaves (Bai et al., 2008). Photosynthetic induction in understory leaves is enhanced by the preillumination of upper leaves but not lower leaves, suggesting a directional signal transfer (Hou et al., 2015). While this process allows plants to use the light energy in sun flecks more efficiently, the nature of the systemic signals and their transmission pathways remain largely unresolved. Although systemic signaling between different leaf ranks has been suggested to occur through the xylem (Thorpe et al., 2007) and also via electrical signals (Zimmermann et al., 2009), it is likely that systemic signals also pass through the phloem (Turgon and Wolf, 2009; Hou et al., 2015). In addition, the phytohormone auxin is produced in the shoot apex and redistributed throughout the shoot by rapid nonpolar phloem transport (Ljung et al., 2001). Changes in the light environment can dramatically alter auxin homeostasis, which is regulated in a light quality- and photoreceptor-dependent manner (Halliday et al., 2009).

The photosynthetic electron transport chain exhibits enormous flexibility in the relative rates of NADPH and ATP production in order to accommodate the varying requirements of metabolism (Foyer et al., 2012). Noncyclic, pseudocyclic, and cyclic electron flow (CEF) pathways operate in the photosynthetic electron transport chain to drive the proton gradient across the thylakoid membrane (Allen, 2003). Photosynthetic induction is not only associated with the activation of the light- and thiol-dependent activation of carbon assimilation enzymes but also dependent on a high rate of CEF to drive ATP synthesis (Foyer et al., 1992). Considerable overreduction of the electron transport acceptors occurs during the photosynthetic induction period, and this continues until carbon assimilation can be activated. CEF around PSI, an essential component of photosynthesis, drives the proton gradient in a situation when NADP reduction has reached its highest capacity and this essential electron acceptor is no longer available (Yamori et al., 2015; Yamori and Shikanai, 2016). CEF is particularly sensitive to the reduction-oxidation (redox) status of the chloroplast, which in turn is responsive to cellular redox homeostasis. Oxidants such as hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), which are produced by pseudocyclic electron flow in the chloroplasts, play a crucial role in the activation of CEF through modulation of the activity of the NADPH-plastoquinone reductase complex (Strand et al., 2015). Hormone-mediated generation of H₂O₂ also can stimulate CO₂ assimilation (jiang et al., 2012).

Auxins such as indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) generate H₂O₂ (Ivanenko et al., 2013; Peer et al., 2013) and can regulate CO₂ assimilation (Bidwell and Turner, 1966; Hayat et al., 2009; Peng et al., 2013). Therefore, we used tomato plants to test the hypothesis that the systemic signaling that regulates photosynthetic induction in understory leaves arises from light-induced changes in auxin and H₂O₂ homeostasis involving the modulation of CEF in systemic leaves. We present evidence showing that R light perceived in the shoot apex by a phyB-dependent pathway alters IAA signaling in a systemic manner. IAA signals from the apex, perceived in distal leaves, trigger systemic H₂O₂ production that accelerates photosynthetic induction by increasing CEF-dependent ATP production in the systemic leaves. These findings provide new insights into the elaborate plant regulatory network that allows light adaptation in different organs.

**RESULTS**

**Systemic Induction of Photosynthesis in the Distal Leaves of Tomato Plants Is Dependent on PhyB in the Shoot Apex**

To examine the role of light perception by the remote organs in the induction of photosynthesis in distal leaves, either the uppermost fully expanded leaves (fifth and sixth leaves), the first to third leaves on the stem, or the shoot apex were exposed to white light (WL) at an intensity of 300 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ for 30 min, while other leaves were left in the dark (Supplemental Fig. S1). The induction of CO₂ assimilation upon exposure to high light (1,500 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ photosynthetic photon flux density [PPFD]) was then followed in the fourth leaves on the stem for 30 min. A preillumination of either the upper expanded leaves or the lower leaves did not significantly change the times required to reach 50% (T50) and 90% (T90) of the maximal CO₂ assimilation rates in the fourth leaves (systemic leaf; Fig. 1B). In contrast, exposure of the apex to WL for 30 min resulted in a faster induction of CO₂ assimilation in the fourth leaves as compared with the dark controls. The T50 and T90 were decreased from 8.17 to 4.30 min and from 19.7 to 14.7 min, respectively (Fig. 1, A and B). However, such an induction of photosynthesis was observed only when the PPFD was higher than the light
compensation point (approximately 50 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$; Supplemental Fig. S2). These findings demonstrate that only the light perceived by the shoot apex was able to transmit systemic signals to the systemic leaves in order to facilitate a more rapid induction of CO$_2$ assimilation.

To determine the nature of the signals that facilitate a more rapid induction of CO$_2$ assimilation in the systemic leaves, we applied red (R; 660 nm) and far-red (FR; 735 nm) light at an intensity of 300 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ to the shoot apex for 30 min prior to the measurement of the induction of CO$_2$ assimilation in the distal (fourth) leaves (Supplemental Fig. S1). R light enhanced photosynthetic induction, while FR light delayed the induction of CO$_2$ assimilation in the fourth leaves (Fig. 1C). Interestingly, R light-induced photosynthesis was abolished when R light was supplemented with FR light at red/far-red (R/FR) light ratios of 1:1 and 1:2, but the stimulation of photosynthetic induction was still observed at an R/FR light ratio of 2:1 (Fig. 1D). Moreover, reciprocal R/FR light exposures at 5-min intervals for up to six cycles failed to enhance the rate of photosynthetic induction (Fig. 1E). We then grafted the young shoots of wild-type tomatoes and tomato mutants deficient in phyA (phyA), phytochrome B1 and B2 (phyB1B2), or cryptochrome 1 (cry1) with two developing leaves onto stems of wild-type plants with four leaves. This resulted in four grafting combinations: WT/WT, phyA/WT, phyB1B2/WT, and cry1/WT. As had been observed in the wild-type plants, a preillumination with WL for 30 min resulted in a faster induction of CO$_2$ assimilation in the rootstock leaves (fourth leaf) of the WT/WT, phyA/WT, and cry1/WT plants compared with the leaves of the wild-type plants (Fig. 1F; Supplemental Figs. S3 and S4). However, chlorophyll content in the developing leaves of phyB1B2 was not significantly different from that in wild-type plants (data not shown). This finding demonstrates that phyB signaling in the apex plays a critical role in the enhancement of photosynthetic induction in distal systemic leaves.

PhyB-Mediated Auxin Signaling Is Required for the Systemic Enhancement of Photosynthetic Induction

Photoreceptors modify plant growth, development, and stress responses via alterations in phytohormone homeostasis (Jiao et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2016). Like polar auxin transport (PAT), light-induced signaling is
basipetal in direction. Therefore, we examined how auxin accumulation was modified in the WT/WT, phyA/WT, phyB1B2/WT, and cry1/WT lines before and after the apex of the plants was exposed to WL. A preillumination with WL induced transcript levels of FLAVIN MONOOXYGENASE (FZY) in the apex and the accumulation of IAA in the apex and the fourth leaves (Fig. 2, A–C). FZY encodes FZY, a critical enzyme involved in a rate-limiting step of IAA biosynthesis (Tivendale et al., 2010). Similar increases also were found in the apex and the fourth leaves of the phyA/WT and cry1/WT plants. In contrast, WL failed to increase FZY transcripts or IAA accumulation in either the apex or the fourth leaves of the phyB1B2/WT plants. Similar to increased IAA accumulation, WL induced an accumulation of IAA15 transcripts, a marker of IAA signaling (Deng et al., 2012), and PIN1 transcripts, a marker for PAT (Geldner et al., 2001; Ivanchenko et al., 2015), by 3- to 5-fold in the systemic leaves of the WT/WT, phyA/WT, and cry1/WT plants but not in the rootstock leaves of the phyB1B2/WT plants (Fig. 2D; Supplemental Fig. S5). Taken together, these results indicate that phyB was responsible not only for the observed increases in IAA biosynthesis in

Figure 2. Effects of preillumination of the apex on FZY and IAA15 transcript levels and on the accumulation of IAA in grafted plants. A, FZY transcript levels in the apex. B, IAA accumulation in the apex. C and D, IAA accumulation (C) and IAA15 transcript levels (D) in the fourth leaves. Samples were harvested at 30 min after the preillumination. Plants without preillumination (dark [D]) were used as controls. L, WL. During the illumination treatments, the other parts of the plant were kept in darkness. Values are means of four plants ± so. Different letters indicate significant differences at \( P < 0.05 \) according to Tukey’s test. FW, Fresh weight.

Figure 3. Rate of net CO2 assimilation (A and C) during the induction phase of photosynthesis in fourth leaves and T50 or T90 (B and D). A and B, Effects of IAA (10 \( \mu M \)) and NPA (10 \( \mu M \)) on the induction phase of CO2 assimilation in the fourth leaves and the time required to reach T50 or T90. C and D, Induction of CO2 assimilation in the fourth leaves and T50 or T90 of the grafted plants with dgt as scion or rootstock. D, Dark control; L, WL (300 \( \mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1} \)) applied to the apex for 30 min before the measurement of CO2 assimilation and the harvest of samples. During the illumination treatments, the other parts of the plant were kept in darkness. Net photosynthesis rates are expressed as percentages of the maximum rate of net CO2 assimilation. Values are means of four plants ± so. Different letters indicate significant differences at \( P < 0.05 \) according to Tukey's test.
the apex but also for auxin signaling at the levels of the systemic leaves.

To explore the role of increased IAA accumulation on the systemic enhancement of photosynthetic induction, we applied IAA and N-1-naphthylphthalamic acid (NPA; an inhibitor for PAT) to the shoot apex either in the dark conditions or just prior to the preillumination treatment. Similar to the preillumination treatment, the application of IAA accelerated the induction of CO₂ assimilation in the systemic leaves. However, the application of NPA abolished the WL-induced enhancement of photosynthetic induction in the systemic leaves (Fig. 3, A and B; Supplemental Fig. S6). Therefore, we concluded that IAA synthesized in the apex may function as a systemic signal to influence the rate of induction of CO₂ assimilation in the distal leaves. To confirm this hypothesis, we grafted shoots with two leaves of wild-type and cyclophilin A diageotropica (dgt) mutant plants, which are auxin resistant, onto the stem at the fourth leaf position of either wild-type or dgt rootstock, respectively. In this way, we were able to examine how the induction of CO₂ assimilation in the fourth leaf was altered by changes in the auxin signal arising in the apex. As predicted, WL preillumination-induced enhancement of the induction of CO₂ assimilation was abolished in the wild-type leaves of the dgt WT plants and in the dgt leaves of WT/dgt plants. Moreover, the T50 and T90 values were not changed by the preillumination (Fig. 3, C and D; Supplemental Fig. S7A). Taken together, these results indicate that auxin signaling is essential for the preillumination-induced enhancement of the induction of CO₂ assimilation in systemic leaves.

Auxin-Triggered H₂O₂ Accumulation Leads to Systemic Increases in the Induction of Photosynthesis by Activating CEF

Reactive oxygen species such as H₂O₂, which are produced in the apoplas, can function as secondary messengers in hormone signaling pathways that underpin plant development and stress responses (Xia et al., 2015). In these studies, preillumination of the apex triggered an accumulation of transcripts encoding...
RESPIRATORY BURST OXIDASE HOMOLOG1 (RBOH1), together with an accumulation of H$_2$O$_2$ in the systemic leaves of the WT/WT, phyA/WT, and cry1/WT plants but not in the rootstock leaves of the phyB1B2/WT plants (Fig. 4, A and B). Similarly, H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation was observed in the walls of the mesophyll cells of the fourth leaf of WT/WT plants, particularly those facing the intercellular spaces, as indicated by the increased deposits of CeCl$_3$ staining (Fig. 4E). However, the light-induced increases in RBOH1 transcript levels and apoplastic H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation were abolished in the systemic leaves of dgt/WT, WT/dgt, or dgt/dgt plants (Fig. 4, C–E). These results suggest that illumination of the apex resulted in apoplastic H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation in the systemic leaves and that this process was dependent on auxin signaling.

To determine the role of RBOH1 in the preillumination-dependent enhancement of photosynthetic induction in the systemic leaves, we generated RBOH1-RNA interference (RNAi) plants (rboh1) and grafted them to wild-type plants. The fourth leaves of the rboh1 plants with the wild type as scion had approximately 50% of the RBOH1 transcripts compared with that in WT/WT leaves (Supplemental Fig. S8). Significantly, the preillumination-induced enhancement of photosynthetic induction was compromised in the systemic rboh1 leaves of WT/rboh1 and rboh1/rboh1 plants (Fig. 5, A–C; Supplemental Fig. S9A). Taken together, these results indicate that auxin-induced H$_2$O$_2$ production in the systemic leaves plays a critical role in the preillumination-associated enhancement of the induction of CO$_2$ assimilation.

CEF around PSI is particularly important in the induction phase of photosynthesis because it generates ATP at a time when noncyclic electron flow is limited by the availability of NADP (Joët et al., 2002; Joliot and Joliot, 2002). We compared rates of CEF in the systemic leaves of the WT/WT, WT/rboh1, and rboh1/rboh1 plants. The preillumination treatment of the apex significantly increased rates of CEF in the leaves of WT/WT plants. This increase was not observed in the systemic leaves of WT/rboh1 or rboh1/rboh1 plants (Fig. 5, D–F; Supplemental Fig. S9, B and C). No enhancement of the rates of CEF was observed in the...
systemic leaves of \textit{phyB1B2}/WT plants, dgt/WT plants, WT/dgt plants, or dgt/dgt plants (Fig. 6; Supplemental Fig. S7B). However, increased CEF rates were observed in the systemic leaves of the \textit{phyA}/WT and \textit{cry1}/WT plants. These observations demonstrate that preillumination of the apex enhanced rates of CEF in an H$_2$O$_2$-dependent manner and that this was linked to the activity of the \textit{RBOH1} NADPH oxidase in the systemic leaves.

CEF-dependent ATP production is particularly important during the induction phase of photosynthesis because it drives electron transport and associated CO$_2$ assimilation when the electron acceptor NADP is in short supply (Foyer et al., 2012). An increase in the ATP content of 47.2% to 57.7% was observed in the systemic wild-type leaves of WT/WT, \textit{phyA}/WT, and \textit{cry1}/WT plants after the apex had been illuminated with WL for 30 min followed by exposure of the fourth leaves to WL for 8 min. The top lighting-induced increase in ATP production was not found in the wild-type leaves of the \textit{phyB1B2}/WT and dgt/WT plants (Fig. 7, A and B). Furthermore, ATP levels were not increased in the \textit{rboh1} leaves after the preillumination treatment in the WT/\textit{rboh1} plants (Fig. 7C).

**Figure 6.** Effects of \textit{phyA}, \textit{phyB1B2}, and \textit{cry1} as scions and dgt as scion or rootstock on the irradiance to the shoot apex-dependent changes in CEF. A, Typical traces chlorophyll \textit{a} fluorescence quenching after 4 min of actinic illumination (250 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)) for grafted plants with different photoreceptor mutants as scions. B, Typical traces of chlorophyll \textit{a} fluorescence quenching after 4 min of actinic illumination (250 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)) for plants with the dgt mutant as scion. C, Relative chlorophyll (Chl) fluorescence expressed as the rake ratio of the induction curve. a.u., Arbitrary unit. Irradiance to the shoot apex (top lighting) was performed with WL (L) at 300 \( \mu \text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \) for 30 min. Plants without preillumination (dark [D]) were used as controls. During the illumination treatments, the other parts of the plant were kept in darkness. Values are means of four plants \( \pm \) SD. Different letters indicate significant differences at \( P < 0.05 \) according to Tukey’s test.
The Systemic Effects on Photosynthetic Induction Are Dependent on CEF in the Systemic Leaves

We next analyzed whether the increase in CEF is essential for the light-induced effects on photosynthetic induction in distal leaves. The ORANGE RIPENING (ORR) gene, which encodes an NAD(P)H dehydrogenase, was shown previously to be involved in the regulation of CEF in tomato (Nashilevitz et al., 2010). Virus-induced silencing of ORR (pTRV-ORR) resulted in a decrease in ORR transcript level of 68.7% compared with the empty vector plants (pTRV). Under high light, the pTRV-ORR plants showed very low CEF rates (Supplemental Fig. S10). Moreover, the pTRV-ORR plants showed no response to apical preillumination in term of the effects on the induction of CO₂ assimilation and CEF (Fig. 8, A–D). While apical preillumination induced H₂O₂ accumulation in the systemic leaves of both pTRV and pTRV-ORR plants (Fig. 8E), there was no increase in ATP levels in the pTRV-ORR plants (Fig. 8F).

DISCUSSION

Rapid induction of photosynthesis in response to dark-to-light transitions and sharp increases in irradiance is critical to the survival of understory plants and gives a competitive advantage to plants within dense canopies. To date, studies on the induction of photosynthesis have been focused largely on responses in single leaves, with scant attention to the systemic integration of the leaf network within the plant. The results presented here demonstrate that phyB-mediated IAA synthesis in the shoot apex leads to systemic signaling and to H₂O₂ accumulation in distal leaves. The subsequent increase in oxidation in the distal leaves activates CEF and ATP production, leading to a more rapid induction of CO₂ assimilation (Fig. 9). This systemic response is likely linked to enhanced light use efficiency in a fluctuating light environment. Systemic signaling following the perception of light by the apex, which is the uppermost organ in the shoot, provides the distal leaves with a preemptive advantage in terms of the activation of photosynthesis and, hence, the ability to maximize carbon gain.

The Apex-Induced Effects on the Induction of CO₂ Assimilation Are Phytochrome Dependent

Light drives photosynthetic electron transport as well as light quality and quantity affecting photosynthesis in different ways to optimize growth. The results presented here demonstrate that preillumination of the apex with WL and with R light results in a more rapid induction of photosynthesis in distal leaves (Fig. 1, A–D). This effect on photosynthetic induction is directional and was observed only after exposure of the apex to WL or R light. Different letters indicate significant differences at P < 0.05 according to Tukey’s test. FW, Fresh weight.
light. This finding indicates that systemic signals originating from the young leaves at the apex, where cell division is rapid, are transduced to leaves in order to cause more rapid induction of photosynthesis. These observations are in agreement with an earlier observation that light-induced effects on photosynthesis are directional and that light perceived by mature leaves has little systemic effect on developing leaves in terms of the remodeling of photosynthesis (Murakami et al., 2014; Hou et al., 2015). The apex is likely to receive light signals earlier in the day than other parts of the plant. Thus, the transmission of light signals perceived at the apex to facilitate a more rapid photosynthetic induction in distal leaves probably evolved as a survival mechanism in understory plants in order to give a competitive advantage within dense canopies.

The rate of photosynthetic induction of distal leaves was modified by the quality of light perceived at the apex by photoreceptors present in the apex. R light and FR light had positive and negative effects, respectively, on the speed of photosynthetic induction (Fig. 1C). Interestingly, R light-induced photosynthetic induction could be abolished by FR light (Fig. 1E), suggesting that R and FR light-induced change in photosynthetic induction is a photochrome-dependent response. In agreement with this, grafting experiments using phyA and phyB mutants as the scion revealed that CO₂ assimilation was induced more rapidly upon light perception by the apex in phyA/WT plants but not in the phyB1B2/WT combination (Fig. 1F; Supplemental Fig. S4). These findings suggest that phyB plays a key role in the systemic effects on the induction of photosynthesis. In agreement with these observations, phyB mutants show decreased CO₂ assimilation rates (Boccalandro et al., 2009), and conversely, overexpression of PHYB increased CO₂ assimilation rates compared with wild-type plants (Schittenhelm et al., 2004). Taken together, these results demonstrate that R light received by phyB at the shoot apex is the initial trigger for systemic signaling to the distal leaves.

Auxin Synthesized in the Apex Functions as a Systemic Signal Leading to Effects on the Induction of CO₂ Assimilation

Phytochrome signaling mediates many systemic responses in plants, including flowering time, tuberization, and nodule development, processes that are regulated by light-induced changes in phytohormone homeostasis (de Wit et al., 2016). Like PAT, the light-induced signaling pathway that influences photosynthetic induction is basipetal. Here, we provide multiple lines of evidence showing that auxin is required for the systemic effects on the induction of photosynthesis.

**Figure 8.** Requirement for ORR in systemic light signaling effects on the induction phase of photosynthesis in distal tomato leaves, including the time course of increases in net photosynthetic rate during photosynthetic induction, T50 or T90, CEF, H₂O₂ accumulation, and ATP accumulation in the fourth leaves. A and B, Time course of increases in net photosynthetic rate during photosynthetic induction and T50 or T90 in fourth leaves. Net photosynthetic rates are expressed as percentages of the maximum net photosynthetic rate. C and D, Effect of ORR silencing on CEF in the fourth leaves during photosynthetic induction. a.u., Arbitrary unit. E, Effect of ORR on H₂O₂ accumulation in the fourth leaves after preillumination of the apex with WL (L) at 300 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ for 30 min. F, Effect of ORR on ATP accumulation in the fourth leaves during photosynthetic induction. Samples were harvested after the fourth leaves were exposed to L for 8 min with preillumination. D, Dark control. During the illumination treatments, the other parts of the plant were kept in darkness. Values are means of four plants ± s.d. Different letters indicate significant differences at P < 0.05 according to Tukey’s test. Chl, Chlorophyll; FW, fresh weight.
CO₂ assimilation rates were very low in the developing leaves below the apex in the phyB plants, relative to the wild-type plants (Supplemental Fig. S11).

Soluble carbohydrates can regulate auxin biosynthesis via PHYTOCHROME-INTERACTING FACTOR (PIF) proteins, since PIFs negatively regulate phyB (Leivar et al., 2008; Sairanen et al., 2012). It is possible, therefore, that phyB functions as an integrator for light and sugar signaling in relation to auxin biosynthesis. To date, studies on the light regulation of auxin synthesis and PAT have produced contradictory results. For example, several studies have shown that exposure to low R/FR light ratios results in increased IAA accumulation and PAT in the hypocotyl (Tao et al., 2008; Keuskamp et al., 2010). However, such results have largely been obtained on very young Arabidopsis seedlings grown under conditions of minimal transpiration and photosynthesis, as occurs when plants are grown in closed petri dishes or on liquid medium often containing Suc, etc. (Tao et al., 2008; Keuskamp et al., 2010). Other studies have shown that low fluxes of R light enhance IAA synthesis and polar transport. The phyB mutant has decreased IAA accumulation in the stem in intact tomato plants (Liu et al., 2011). However, while plants grown under constant environmental conditions showed increased IAA accumulation during the night, plants grown in the field had increased IAA accumulation in the day (Lopez-Carbonell et al., 1992). Surprisingly, no studies have investigated the direct effects of light on auxin synthesis at the apex, even though auxin is thought to be synthesized mainly at the apex. Light- and phyB-mediated effects on IAA synthesis vary between organs and environments (Ballaré, 2014; Reddy and Finlayson, 2014). Plants may have developed these responses in auxin signaling as adaptation strategies to steep fluctuations in the light environment.

**Auxin-Triggered H₂O₂ Production Acts as a Signal That Induces CEF and ATP Production in Systemic Leaves**

Chloroplasts are a hub of redox control, which exerts a strong influence over gene expression, carbon assimilation, and starch synthesis (Fey et al., 2005; Pfannschmidt et al., 2009). Phytohormones such as brassinosteroids enhance CO₂ assimilation rates in an RBOH-dependent manner in plants (Jiang et al., 2012). Moreover, H₂O₂ activates CEF around PSI in order to increase CO₂ assimilation (Strand et al., 2015). Auxin and brassinosteroids have overlapping functions in relation to the control of gene expression. Auxin also induces RBOH NADPH oxidase-dependent H₂O₂ production (Ivanchenko et al., 2013; Peer et al., 2013). In this study, increased IAA accumulation and auxin signaling arising in the apex were shown to result in increased levels of RBOH1 transcripts and in H₂O₂ accumulation in systemic leaves, leading to increased CEF-dependent ATP production (Figs. 4–7). Crucially, blocking the auxin signal with a dgt scion or mutation of RBOH1 genes in systemic leaves abolished the signal-induced CEF and ATP accumulation in the systemic leaves below the apex in the phyB plants, relative to the wild-type plants (Supplemental Fig. S11).
leaves (Figs. 5–7). Taken together, these results demonstrate that CEF can be regulated in distal leaves by the auxin-dependent H2O2 production.

Noncyclic electron transport and CEF around PSI are used to generate a proton gradient across the thylakoid membrane, a process that is coupled with ATP production (Shikanai, 2007; Foyer et al., 2012). CEF around PSI in higher plants consists of at least two partially redundant pathways known as the ferredoxinunione oxidoreductase- and NAD(P)H dehydrogenase (NDH)-dependent pathways (Miyake, 2010). NDH complex deficiency in mutant tomato plants was defective in CEF (Nashizevlt et al., 2010). Therefore, H2O2-induced CEF was not observed in mutants deficient in NDH. In our studies, suppression of NDH transcript using virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) compromised the induction of CEF by systemic signals. These results show that the systemic effects on the induction of photosynthesis are linked to the regulation of NDH-dependent CEF (Fig. 8).

Switching between cyclic and noncyclic pathways provides flexibility in the ratios of ATP and NAPDH produced by the electron transport chain. Therefore, the ratios of ATP to NAPDH production can be adjusted to meet the needs of varying rates of Benson-Calvin cycle activity, photorespiration, and other metabolic pathways (Norton and Foyer, 1999; Foyer et al., 2012). Thus, flexibility also allows rapid responses to fluctuations in the light environment (Foyer et al., 1992, 2012) in this regard, the systemic regulation of CEF may play a critical role in minimizing pseudocyclic electron flow and promoting the activation states of enzymes involved in CO2 fixation, such as Rubisco activase and Fru-1,6-bisphosphatase, which are modulated by the chloroplast redox status and ADP/ATP ratios, in the photosynthetic induction in response to irradiance.

The data presented here provide new insights into the regulation of photosynthesis. Evidence is presented showing that systemic regulation of the induction of photosynthesis in distal leaves is mediated by the perception of R light at the apex via a phyB-associated pathway that promotes IAA biosynthesis and PAT, as illustrated in Figure 9. As a result, RBOH1-dependent H2O2 production in the systemic leaves induces CEF in the chloroplasts and associated ATP production. These systemic and local signaling processes accelerate the rate of induction of CO2 assimilation in systemic leaves. This study provides a mechanism by which plants can increase carbon gain in lower leaves in changing light environments via systemic regulation. Such mechanisms are likely to be very important in increasing light utilization efficiency in canopies, for example at dawn, or in understory leaves, where the light available in sunflecks must be used to maximize an advantage in driving carbon gain.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material and Growth Conditions

Wild-type tomato (Solanum lycopersicum ‘Ailsa Craig’ and ‘Moneymaker’), a cyclophilin A dgt mutant in the cv Ailsa Craig background, and phyA, phyB1B2, and cry1 mutants in the cv Moneymaker background were used unless stated otherwise. Seedlings were grown in pots with a mixture of three parts peat to one part vermiculite, receiving Hoagland nutrient solution. The growth conditions were as follows: 12-h photoperiod, temperature of 25°C/20°C (day/night), and PPFD of 600 μmol m−2 s−1.

To generate the RBOH1 RNAi construct, a 318-bp specific DNA fragment of SrRBOH1 was PCR amplified with the specific primers SrRBOH1-F (5'-CGCCATGTTGAAGGCTGGTACGCTTTCAATTACC-3') and SrRBOH1-R (5'-TGGGCGGCTTCCGAAGATATGATGTCTG-3'), which had been tagged with BamHI/Xhol and Sacl/Aci restriction sites at the 5' end, respectively. Then, the amplified products were digested with BamHI/Xhol and Sacl/Aci and ligated into the pPGC5941 vector at the BamHI/Xhol restriction sites in the sense orientation and at the Sacl/Aci restriction sites in the antisense orientation. The resulting plasmid was transformed into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain EHA105 and into tomato cotyledons of cv Ailsa Craig as described by Fillatti et al. (1997). Transgenic plants were identified for resistance to Basta and then by quantitative transcription (qRT)-PCR analysis.

To determine the role of cyclic electron flux in preilluminated-induced CO2 assimilation, we used VIGS to suppress the transcript of ORR (Nashizevlt et al., 2010) with the tomato racket virus (TRV)-based vectors pTRV1/2 (Liu et al., 2002). The ORR cDNA fragment was PCR amplified using the forward primer 5'-CCggatatggggttcggcgcgt-3' and the reverse primer 5'-CCCTcctggtcctttgtaattgacatta-3'. The amplified ORR fragment was digested with EcoRI and Xhol and ligated into the corresponding sites of the pTRV2 vector. Empty pTRV2 vector was used as a control. All constructs were confirmed by sequencing and subsequently transformed into A. tumefaciens strain GV3101. VIGS was performed by infiltration into the fully expanded cotyledon leaves of 15-d-old tomato seedlings with A. tumefaciens harboring a mixture of pTRV1 and pTRV2 target genes in a 1:1 ratio. Plants were grown in 21°C in a growth chamber with a 12-h daylength for 30 d until control pTRV-PDS plants (silencing of the gene encoding phytoene desaturase) showed strong bleaching (Eckengren et al., 2003). qRT-PCR was performed to determine the gene silencing efficiency (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

To determine the role of photoreceptors in photosynthetic induction, shoots of wild type, phyA, phyB1B2, and cry1 plants with two developing leaves at 3 to 4 cm in length were grafted onto the fourth leaf stem of wild-type plants, resulting in four groups of seedlings designated as WT/WT, WT/phyA, phyA/WT, and cry1/WT, according to the scions. In the same way, we grafted shoots with two leaves of dgt mutant plants, which is auxin resistant, onto the stem of wild-type plants (dgt/WT), wild-type shoots onto the stem of dgt plants (WT/dgt) or onto that of RBOH1 RNAi plants (WT/rolh1), and shoots of RBOH1 RNAi plants onto RBOH1 RNAi plants (rolh1/rolh1). The grafted plants were transferred to growth chambers with the following environmental conditions: 12-h photoperiod, temperature of 25°C/20°C (day/night), and PPFD of 600 μmol m−2 s−1.

Experiments with treatment

Leaves on a plant were marked from number 1 to number 6 from cotyledons. Six independent experiments were carried out. In experiment 1, the first to third leaves, the fifth and sixth leaves, and the apex were illuminated with WL (Philips) at 50, 150, and 300 μmol m−2 s−1 for 30 min before the photosynthetic induction of the fourth leaf was measured (Supplemental Fig. S1). In experiment 2, the apex of wild-type plants at the six-leaf stage was illuminated with R light (660 nm) or FR light (735 nm) at 300 μmol m−2 s−1 for 30 min or light with an R/FR light ratio of 2:1, 1:1, and 1:2, in which R light intensity was kept at 300 μmol m−2 s−1. Meanwhile, a reciprocal R/FR light pulse at 5-min intervals, resulting in four groups of seedlings designated as WT/WT, phyA/WT, phyB1B2/WT, and cry1/WT, according to the scions. In the same way, we grafted shoots with two leaves of dgt mutant plants, which is auxin resistant, onto the stem of wild-type plants (dgt/WT), wild-type shoots onto the stem of dgt plants (WT/dgt) or onto that of RBOH1 RNAi plants (WT/rolh1), and shoots of RBOH1 RNAi plants onto RBOH1 RNAi plants (rolh1/rolh1). The grafted plants were transferred to growth chambers with the following environmental conditions: 12-h photoperiod, temperature of 25°C/20°C (day/night), and PPFD of 600 μmol m−2 s−1.

Gas Exchange and Chlorophyll Fluorescence

Gas-exchange measurements were performed using the LI-6400 Portable Photosynthesis System (LI-COR). The CO2 concentration (400 μmol mol−1), air
humidity (60%), PPFD (1,500 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹), and leaf temperature (25°C) were controlled by an automatic control device of the instrument. The photosynthetic rate was recorded every 20 s. Four plants were used in each measurement.

Chlorophyll fluorescence was measured using a Dual-PAM 100 chlorophyll fluorescence analyzer (Heinz Walz) as described by Gototh et al. (2010). For the determination of chlorophyll fluorescence, plants were adapted in the dark for 30 min prior to measurement. After 4 min, the actinic light (250 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹) was turned off and fluorescence yield changes were recorded continuously (Yang et al., 2007). Four plants were used for each replicate. Relative chlorophyll fluorescence, expressed as the ratio of the induction curve, was calculated from the one-time regression equation \( y = a + bx \), where \( y \), \( a \), and \( x \) are the fluorescence yield, the ratio of the induction curve, and the duration time, respectively, during the fluorescence rise.

Measurement of IAA Levels

IAA extraction and quantification were performed using previously reported procedures with minor modifications (Durgabhansi et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2007; Boelaert et al., 2013). Briefly, 100 mg of frozen leaf material was homogenized in 1 mL of ethyl acetate that had been spiked with D⁵-IAA (C/D/N Isotopes) as an internal standard at a final concentration of 100 ng mL⁻¹. Tubes were centrifuged at 18,000g for 10 min at 4°C. The pellet was reextracted with 1 mL of ethyl acetate. Both supernatants were evaporated to dryness under \( N_2 \). The residue was resuspended in 0.5 mL of 70% (v/v) methanol and centrifuged, and the supernatants were then analyzed in a liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry system (Varian 320-MS LC/MS; Agilent Technologies). The parent ions, daughter ions, and collision energies used in these analyses are listed in Supplemental Table S1.

H₂O₂ Quantification, Histochemical Analysis, and Cytochemical Detection

\( H_2O_2 \) was extracted from leaf tissue and measured as described in our earlier study (Xia et al., 2009). \( H_2O_2 \) also was visualized at the subcellular level using CeCl₃ for localization, as described previously (Zhou et al., 2012). The sections were examined using a transmission electron microscope (H7650; Hitachi) at an acceleration voltage of 75 kV to detect the electron-dense CeCl₃ deposits that were formed in the presence of \( H_2O_2 \).

qRT-PCR Analysis

Total RNA was extracted from tomato leaves using the RNAprep Pure Plant Kit (Tiangen Biotech) according to the supplier’s recommendation. Residual DNA was removed with the RNase Mini Kit (Qiagen). One microgram of total RNA was reverse transcribed using the ReverTra Ace qPCR RT Kit (Toyobo) following the supplier’s recommendation. On the basis of EST sequences, the gene-specific primers are shown in Supplemental Table S2 and used for amplification. qRT-PCR was performed using the LightCycler 480 real-time PCR machine (Roche). The PCR was run for 95°C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles of 30 s at 95°C, 30 s at 58°C, and 1 min at 72°C. The tomato ACTIN gene was used as an internal control. Relative gene expression was calculated as described previously (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001).

Determination of ATP Content

To determine ATP content in leaves, 0.1-g leaf samples were immediately placed in tubes containing 2 mL of Tris-HCl (pH 7.8). The tubes with samples were then kept for 10 min at 100°C in a boiling water bath for ATP extraction. One hundred microliters of ATP extraction solution was used for analysis after sample cooling at room temperature. The procedure was performed following the instructions in the ATPlife 1step Assay System (Perkin-Elmer).

Supplemental Data

The following supplemental materials are available.

Supplemental Figure S1. Sketch map of the plant materials and experiment design.

Supplemental Figure S2. Effects of preillumination at different WL intensities on the induction of photosynthesis in the systemic leaves.

Supplemental Figure S3. Effects of preillumination on the induction of photosynthesis in plants with \( cry1 \) as soon.

Supplemental Figure S4. Influence of systemic light signaling on the time required to reach T50 or T90 in photosynthetic induction.

Supplemental Figure S5. Relative transcript of \( PIN1 \) in the fourth leaf as influenced by preillumination.

Supplemental Figure S6. Effects of the application of NPA on the induction of photosynthesis.

Supplemental Figure S7. Effects of preillumination on the induction of photosynthesis and CEF in the fourth leaf in grafting plants with \( dgt \) as rootstock.

Supplemental Figure S8. Relative transcript of \( RobH1 \) in the scion leaves and rootstock leaves in grafted plants used for the experiment (\( n = 12 \)).

Supplemental Figure S9. Time course of the net photosynthetic rate and CEF in the fourth leaf as influenced by the suppressed transcript of \( RobH1 \) in grafted plants.

Supplemental Figure S10. Cyclic electron flux and relative transcript of \( ORR \) in VIGS plants used for the experiment.

Supplemental Figure S11. CO₂ assimilation rate for wild-type and phyB plants.

Supplemental Table S1. Parameters used for the detection of IAA and related compounds by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry.

Supplemental Table S2. Primer sequences used for qRT-PCR analysis.
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