Stomata control gaseous fluxes between the internal leaf air spaces and the external atmosphere and, therefore, play a pivotal role in regulating CO₂ uptake for photosynthesis as well as water loss through transpiration. Guard cells, which flank the stomata, undergo adjustments in volume, resulting in changes in pore aperture. Stomatal opening is mediated by the complex regulation of ion transport and solute biosynthesis. Ion transport is exceptionally well understood, whereas our knowledge of guard cell metabolism remains limited, despite several decades of research. In this review, we evaluate the current literature on metabolism in guard cells, particularly the roles of starch, sucrose, and malate. We explore the possible origins of sucrose, including guard cell photosynthesis, and discuss new evidence that points to multiple processes and plasticity in guard cell metabolism that enable these cells to function effectively to maintain optimal stomatal aperture. We also discuss the new tools, techniques, and approaches available for further exploring and potentially manipulating guard cell metabolism to improve plant water use and productivity.

Despite the anatomical simplicity of the stomatal valve, the surrounding guard cells are highly specialized. Guard cells are morphologically distinct from general epidermal cells and possess complex signal transduction networks, elevated membrane ion transport capacity, and modified metabolic pathways. These features allow rapid modulations in guard cell turgor in response to endogenous and environmental signals, promoting the opening and closure of the stomatal pore in time scales of seconds to hours (Assmann and Wang, 2001). A variety of osmotically active solutes contribute to the buildup of stomatal turgor. Potassium (K⁺) and chloride (Cl⁻) act as the main inorganic ions, and
malate$^{2-}$ and sucrose (Suc) function as the main organic solutes. Whereas K$^+$ and Cl$^{-}$ are taken up from the apoplast, Suc and malate$^{2-}$ can be imported or synthesized internally using carbon skeletons deriving from starch degradation and/or CO$_2$ fixation in the guard cell chloroplast (Roelfsema and Hedrich, 2005; Vavasseur and Raghavendra, 2005; Lawson, 2009; Kollist et al., 2014). The accumulation of these osmotica lowers the water potential, promoting the inflow of water, the swelling of guard cells, and the opening of the stomatal pore. Most of the ions taken up, or synthesized by guard cells, are sequestered into the vacuole (Barbier-Brygoo et al., 2011). As a result, the guard cell vacuoles undergo dynamic changes in volume and structure, which are crucial for achieving the full amplitude of stomatal movements (Gao et al., 2005; Tanaka et al., 2007; Andrés et al., 2014). During stomatal closure, guard cells reduce their volume through the release of ions into the cell wall and the consequent efflux of water.

The transport of osmotolytes across the plasma and tonoplast guard cell membranes is energized by H$^+$-ATPase activity, which generates a proton motive force by translocating H$^+$ ions against their concentration gradient (Blatt, 1987a, 1987b; Thiel et al., 1992; Roelfsema and Hedrich, 2005; Gaxiola et al., 2007). After the pioneering work of Fischer demonstrated the importance of K$^+$ uptake in stomatal opening (Fischer, 1968; Fischer and Hsiao, 1968), K$^+$ transport became of central interest and has long been considered the essence of stomatal movement regulation. The development of the voltage clamp technique, along with the relative easy acquisition of knockout mutants and transgenics in the model plant Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana), helped to uncover the precise mechanism and function of K$^+$ fluxes in guard cells. It is well established that changes in membrane potential in response to several stimuli (e.g. light/darkness, CO$_2$, and abscisic acid [ABA]) alter the direction of K$^+$ transport (Thiel et al., 1992; Blatt, 2000; Roelfsema et al., 2001, 2002, 2004). During stomatal opening, the activation of the proton pump generates a sufficiently negative electric potential to cause the uptake of K$^+$ through the inward-rectifying K$^+$ channels (K$^+$$_{\text{in}}$; Fig. 1). During stomatal closure, K$^+$ outflow from outward-rectifying K$^+$ channels (K$^+$$_{\text{out}}$) results from membrane depolarization (Fig. 2; Blatt, 1988; Schroeder, 1988; Anderson et al., 1992; Sentenac et al., 1992). Besides being gated by opposing changes in voltage, the activation of (K$^+$$_{\text{out}}$) channels is dependent on the extracellular K$^+$ concentration, while that of K$^+$$_{\text{in}}$ is not (Blatt, 1988, 1992; Roelfsema and Prins, 1997; Dreyer and Blatt, 2009). There is also strong evidence for H$^+$-coupled K$^+$ symport in guard cells, which could account for up to 50% of total K$^+$ uptake during stomatal opening (Blatt and Clint, 1989; Clint and Blatt, 1989; Hills et al., 2012). At least for K$^+$$_{\text{in}}$, the loss of a single-channel gene in Arabidopsis has little or no impact on stomatal movement (Szyroki et al., 2001), showing the redundancy among the different K$^+$$_{\text{in}}$ isoforms and of K$^+$ transport in general.

Despite the undisputed importance of K$^+$ uptake in stomatal opening, the accumulation of K$^+$ ions alone cannot account for the increase in osmotic pressure necessary to explain stomatal aperture. Studies from the 1980s by MacRobbie and Fischer demonstrated that Vicia faba guard cells take up approximately 2 pmol of K$^+$ during stomatal opening. Assuming that K$^+$ uptake is balanced by the accumulation of similar amounts of counter ions (Cl$^{-}$ and/or malate$^{2-}$), the expected increase in stomatal turgor to approximately 3 MPa is less than the 4.5 MPa expected for fully open stomata (Fischer, 1972; MacRobbie and Lettau, 1980a, 1980b; Chen et al., 2012). The realization that other solutes must accumulate in addition to K$^+$ salts was one of the major paradigm shifts in stomatal physiology research in the last decades, equal to the discovery of ion channels. Suc was put forward as the most likely candidate for the additional osmoticum to support stomatal opening (MacRobbie, 1987; Tallman and Zeiger, 1988; Talbott and Zeiger, 1993, 1998). Nonetheless, this research area subsequently failed to attract notice commensurate with its importance.

In the last few years, the metabolism of starch, sugars and, organic acids in guard cells has seen a rebirth, making this the perfect time to review the developments in this field. In this review, we focus on photosynthetic carbon assimilation and respiratory metabolism in guard cells and provide a historical overview of the subject that highlights the most up-to-date and novel discoveries in guard cell research. We describe the various metabolic pathways separately, but as metabolism is an integrated network, we also discuss their reciprocal and beneficial interactions. Finally, we highlight their connection with the metabolism in the subjacent mesophyll cells and how they integrate with guard cell signal transduction networks and membrane ion transport to regulate stomatal movements. The enzymes and transporters discussed in this review are listed in Table I.

**CARBOXYLATE METABOLISM AND FUNCTION IN GUARD CELLS**

Carboxylates are organic compounds that contain carboxylate groups (-COO$^-$). Because of their chemical nature and their logarithmic acid dissociation constant (pK$_a$), carboxylates are negatively charged at neutral pH and, to a lesser extent, at acidic pH. Therefore, these compounds often are transported across cellular membranes in the charged form, a process that affects cellular osmolyte concentration and pH homeostasis. Plants produce a variety of monocarboxylates, dicarboxylates, and tricarboxylates, such as citrate, malate, fumarate, and pyruvate, which are key intermediates for numerous biosynthetic pathways. Carboxylates also are major substrates for the mitochondrial reactions of the tricarboxylic acid cycle (CAC), producing energy and reducing power in the form of ATP and NADH to fuel metabolic activities. In guard cells, carboxylates, mainly malate, are important for osmoregulation and as counter ions for K$^+$, while their function as respiratory substrates remains vague.

The importance of malate in guard cell physiology was recognized only after it was noted that Cl$^{-}$ influx during
stomatal opening is not absolutely necessary to balance the positive charge of K⁺ (Raschke and Humble, 1973) and that there is a good correlation between stomatal opening and the accumulation of malate (Allaway, 1973; Pallas and Wright, 1973; Pearson, 1973; Outlaw and Lowry, 1977). It seems, however, that the contribution of malate as a counter ion for K⁺ depends on the availability of Cl⁻ and can range between 50% and 90% of total cellular osmolytes (Raschke and Schnabl, 1978). In the extreme case of onion (Allium cepa), which lacks starch in guard cells, malate accumulation is not observed and Cl⁻ is used as the exclusive counter ion (Schnabl and Raschke, 1980; Amodeo et al., 1996).

Malate Transport

Malate is found in several cellular compartments (apoplast, cytosol, chloroplast, mitochondria, and vacuole), and its transport from one compartment to another is fundamental in controlling its subcellular concentrations and defining its function in stomatal movements (Chen et al., 2012; Hills et al., 2012). Malate ions can be translocated across biological membranes passively, through anion channels, or actively, using the energy released from ATP hydrolysis.

In Arabidopsis guard cells, the uptake of apoplastic malate is mediated by the plasma membrane ATP-binding cassette transporter family member AtABCB14 (Fig. 1; Lee et al., 2008). In the leaf apoplast, malate is typically found at concentrations of 0.5 to 2 mM, but these levels rise by 50% to 100% during prolonged illumination or in response to high CO₂ concentrations, leading in both cases to stomatal closure (Hedrich et al., 1994; Lohaus et al., 2001). In the absence of AtABCB14, stomatal opening is delayed and high CO₂- or malate-induced stomatal closure is more efficient (Lee et al., 2008). Thus, AtABCB14 plays a critical role in modulating stomatal movements in response
to light and CO₂ by controlling the levels of the apoplastic malate pool. Arabidopsis contains more than 120 ABC-type proteins (Geisler and Murphy, 2006), raising the question of whether other family members related to AtABCB14 (e.g. AtABCB13) also can transport malate.

In the guard cell vacuole, malate concentrations fluctuate over the diurnal cycle, reaching levels of up to 300 mM when the stomata are fully open (Gerhardt et al., 1987; Winter et al., 1993, 1994; Martinoia and Rentsch, 1994). Vacuoles contain two different malate translocation systems, a malate transporter and a malate channel (Fig. 1). The dicarboxylate transporter AttDT from Arabidopsis is encoded by a single gene and shares homology with the renal human sodium/dicarboxylate cotransporter HsNaDC-1 (Emmerlich et al., 2003). However, unlike animal HsNaDC-1, AttDT transport activity is not sodium dependent. The driving force for this transport is thought to be the difference in electrical membrane potential between the cytosol and vacuole. AttDT has been implicated in the control of malate and fumarate levels in the vacuoles of leaf tissues and in the regulation of transpiration rates and cytosolic pH (Emmerlich et al., 2003; Hafke et al., 2003). Despite these important functions in carboxylate metabolism, Arabidopsis mutants of AttDT have no visible phenotype (Emmerlich et al., 2003). This can be explained by the finding that vacuoles from the mutants exhibit similar malate channel activities to wild-type vacuoles, which may compensate, at least in part, for the absence of AttDT (Hurth et al., 2005). Whether AttDT is present in guard cells is unclear and remains an interesting question to be studied further.

The presence of malate channels in the vacuolar membrane was first revealed by electrophysiological studies using mesophyll vacuoles isolated from C₃ and Crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM) plants (Martinoia et al., 1985; Pantoja et al., 1992; Ratajczak et al., 1994; Cerana et al., 1995; Hafke et al., 2003). These studies showed that malate transport is energized by the vacuolar proton pumps and driven by the electrochemical potential gradient across the tonoplast membrane. Malate currents generally are strongly inward-rectifying, thus favoring the direction of malate fluxes from the cytosol into the vacuole, with slow activation kinetics (Pantoja and Smith, 2002; Hafke et al., 2003). However, the molecular nature of the channel underlying these currents was unraveled only several years later, when Kovermann et al. (2007) hypothesized that members of the aluminum-activated malate transporter (ALMT) family could be targeted to the tonoplast and function as malate channels (Fig. 1). In Arabidopsis, the AtALMT protein family is subdivided into three clades (Delhaize et al., 2007). Members from clade II, such as AtALMT6 and AtALMT9, are aluminum insensitive and function as vacuolar membrane-localized anion channels (Kovermann et al., 2007; Meyer et al., 2011; De Angeli et al., 2013). AtALMT9 was the first vacuolar ALMT channel shown to mediate malate and fumarate inward-rectifying currents in Arabidopsis mesophyll cells (Kovermann et al., 2007). In recent years, the biophysical and physiological characteristics of AtALMT9 have been widely investigated. A reevaluation of AtALMT9-mediated currents revealed that AtALMT9 also can transport chloride and that cytosolic malate serves as an allosteric activator (Fig. 1; De Angeli et al., 2013). The same authors also reported that free cytosolic ATP at physiological concentrations acts as a voltage-dependent open channel blocker (Zhang et al., 2014). The mechanism is based on the physical obstruction of the permeation pathway of the ion channel by a charged molecule able to enter the pore but too large to permeate it (De Angeli et al., 2016). The finding that the $K_m$ of...
AtALMT9 for malate transport is 27 mM, a value far from cytosolic malate concentrations (De Angeli et al., 2013), suggests that, in vivo, AtALMT9 likely acts as a malate-activated vacuolar chloride channel whose activity is strictly coupled to the energetic and metabolic status of the cell through ATP/AMP balances. The situation is different in CAM plants, where early experiments revealed a $K_m$ for malate transport of 2 to 2.5 mM.

### Table 1. Enzymes and transporters discussed in this review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arabidopsis Genome Initiative Code</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Protein Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Malate transport</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT1G28010</td>
<td>ABCB14</td>
<td>ATP-binding cassette transporter B14 Import of apoplastic malate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT5G47560</td>
<td>DDT</td>
<td>Dicarboxylate transporter Transport of carboxylates into the vacuole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT3G18440</td>
<td>ALMT9</td>
<td>Aluminum-activated malate transporter 9 Transport of Cl(^{-}/\text{malate}^{2-}) into the vacuole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G17470</td>
<td>ALMT6</td>
<td>Aluminum-activated malate transporter 6 Transport of malate(^{2-}) into the vacuole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT4G17970</td>
<td>ALMT12/QUAC1</td>
<td>Aluminum-activated malate transporter 12 Export of cytosolic Cl(^{-}/\text{malate}^{2-}) to the apoplast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Malate metabolism</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>PEPC</td>
<td>Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase $\beta$-Carboxylation of PEP to OAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>NAD-MDH</td>
<td>NAD$^+$-dependent malate dehydrogenase Reduction of OAA to malate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>ME</td>
<td>Malic enzyme Oxidative decarboxylation of malate to pyruvate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT4G37870</td>
<td>PEPCK1</td>
<td>PEP carboxykinase 1 Conversion of OAA to PEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>PPDK</td>
<td>Pyruvate, orthophosphate dikinase Conversion of pyruvate to PEP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other carboxylates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>TPT</td>
<td>Triose phosphate/phosphate translocator Export of triose phosphate from the chloroplast to the cytosol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT4G05590</td>
<td>NRGA1</td>
<td>Negative regulator of guard cell ABA signaling Putative mitochondrial pyruvate carrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G47510</td>
<td>FUM1</td>
<td>Fumarase 1 Hydration of fumarate to malate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>IPGAM</td>
<td>Phosphoglycerate mutase Interconversion of 3-PGA to 2-PGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>PPI-PFK</td>
<td>PPI-dependent Fru-6-P phosphotransferase Phosphorylation of Fru-6-P to Fru-1,6-bisphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>ATP-PFK</td>
<td>ATP-dependent phosphofructokinase Phosphorylation of Fru-6-P to Fru-1,6-bisphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Calvin cycle</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>Rubisco</td>
<td>Rubisco Carboxylation of ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT3G55800</td>
<td>SBRase</td>
<td>Sedoheptulose-bisphosphatase D Dephosphorylation of sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphate to sedoheptulose-7-phosphate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sugar metabolism</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT4G29130</td>
<td>HK1</td>
<td>Hexokinase 1 Phosphorylation of Glc to Glc-6-P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT4G02280</td>
<td>SuSy</td>
<td>Suc synthase 3 Interconversion of Suc to Frd and UDP-Glc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>cINV</td>
<td>Cytosolic invertase Hydrolysis of Suc to Fru and Glc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>cwINV</td>
<td>Cell wall invertase Hydrolysis of Suc to Fru and Glc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sugar transport</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT1G11260</td>
<td>STP1</td>
<td>Monosaccharide/H$^+$ co transporter 1 Import of apoplastic hexose sugars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT3G19930</td>
<td>STP4</td>
<td>Monosaccharide/H$^+$ co transporter 4 Import of apoplastic hexose sugars</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT1G71880</td>
<td>SUCE</td>
<td>Suc/H$^+$ co transporter 1 Import of apoplastic Suc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT2G02860</td>
<td>SUCE</td>
<td>Suc/H$^+$ co transporter 3 Import of apoplastic Suc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Starch degradation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT3G23920</td>
<td>BAMY</td>
<td>$\beta$-Amylase 1 Hydrolysis of a-1,4 external glucoside linkages in starch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT1G69830</td>
<td>AMY3</td>
<td>$\alpha$-Amylase 3 Hydrolysis of a-1,4 internal glucoside linkages in starch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Starch synthesis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>GPT</td>
<td>Glc-6-P/Pi translocator Uptake of cytosolic Glc-6-P into the chloroplast</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT4G24620</td>
<td>PGI</td>
<td>Phosphoglucone isomerase Conversion of Fru-6-P to Glc-6-P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT5G51820</td>
<td>PGM1</td>
<td>Phosphoglucomutase 1 Conversion of Glc-6-P to Glc-1-P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT5G48300</td>
<td>APS1</td>
<td>ADPGlc pyrophosphorylase small subunit Conversion of Glc-1-P to ADPGlc, catalytic subunit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>APL</td>
<td>ADPGlc pyrophosphorylase large subunit Conversion of Glc-1-P to ADPGlc, regulatory subunit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Various</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT3G45780</td>
<td>PHOT1</td>
<td>Phototropin 1 Blue light photoreceptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT5G51400</td>
<td>PHOT2</td>
<td>Phototropin 2 Blue light photoreceptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT4G14480</td>
<td>BLUS1</td>
<td>Blue light signaling 1 Protein kinase, regulator of blue light-induced stomatal opening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT3G01500</td>
<td>PP1</td>
<td>Protein phosphatase 1 Regulator of blue light-induced stomatal opening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT1G70410</td>
<td>CA1</td>
<td>Carbonic anhydrase 1 Interconversion of CO$_2$ and water into H$_2$CO$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT1G70410</td>
<td>CA4</td>
<td>Carbonic anhydrase 4 Interconversion of CO$_2$ and water into H$_2$CO$_3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AT1G62400</td>
<td>HT1</td>
<td>High leaf temperature 1 Protein kinase, regulator of CO$_2$-induced stomatal closure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(Hafke et al., 2003). This finding suggests the presence of channels permeable to malate, or simply that CAM plants might have a higher affinity toward malate due to their special photosynthetic carbon metabolism. Loss of AtALMT9 results in plants with reduced stomatal aperture and slower opening kinetics, leading to reduced transpiration and greater drought tolerance (De Angeli et al., 2013). AtALMT6 is far less characterized. This protein also is expressed in guard cell vacuoles and mediates malate inward-rectifying currents, but there is no indication of Cl− permeability. Unlike AtALMT9, AtALMT6 is activated by micromolar concentrations of cytosolic calcium in a pH-dependent manner (Meyer et al., 2011). In CAM plants, endogenous malate currents are independent from cytosolic calcium concentrations (Pantoja and Smith, 2002), suggesting that different species have different regulatory mechanisms. Loss of AtALMT6 leads to no obvious phenotype, perhaps because of the complex regulation of this ALMT (Meyer et al., 2011). Whether other members of the same clade as AtALMT9 and AtALMT6 also are targeted to the vacuole and participate in the control of stomatal movements remains to be investigated.

During stomatal closure, the malate that accumulated previously in the vacuole can be dissipated metabolically (see following section) or released from the guard cells to the surrounding apoplastic space to favor the decrease in turgor and the efflux of water (Fig. 2). Evidence for malate efflux during stomatal closure dates back to the 1970s, when Van Kirk and Raschke (1978) demonstrated that isolated epidermal peels of V. faba and Commelina communis released malate into their bathing medium when the stomata closed, with the greatest release in the presence of ABA. It is now well established that malate efflux at the guard cell plasma membrane is mediated by the rapid-type anion channel. Upon depolarization, this channel type, initially named guard cell anion channel 1, activates within milliseconds, whereas it is deactivated by hyperpolarization (Keller et al., 1989; Hedrich et al., 1990; Kolb et al., 1995). Genes encoding rapid-type anion channels belong to clade III of the ALMT protein family (Dreyer et al., 2012). One such protein is AtALMT12, which is highly expressed in guard cells and targeted to the plasma membrane (Meyer et al., 2010; Sasaki et al., 2010). Loss of AtALMT12 impairs stomatal closure in response to high levels of CO2, darkness, and ABA (Meyer et al., 2010). Similar to AtALMT9, quickly activating anion channel 1 (QUAC1, rapid-type) AtALMT12 is insensitive to aluminum, activated by malate, and blocked by cytosolic nucleotides at negative resting membrane potentials (Thomine et al., 1997; Colcombet et al., 2001; Meyer et al., 2010; Sasaki et al., 2010). This type of channel regulation, where cytosolic nucleotides act as voltage-dependent open channel blockers, may represent an effective way to couple plasma and vacuolar membrane ion fluxes when large changes in cellular ion concentrations are required (Barbier-Brygoo et al., 2011). Therefore, intracellular free ATP might play a critical role in defining the energetic status of the guard cell, integrating metabolic components with membrane ion transport activities during stomatal movements. In this scenario, open-pore anion channel blockage provides an original mechanism for intracellular ATP sensing.

Synthesis of Malate and Its Catabolism in Guard Cells

Since malate is at the branching point of a wide number of metabolic pathways affecting cellular pH homeostasis and osmotic balance, its cytosolic concentrations must be tightly controlled. Malate levels are kept constantly low, ranging from 1 to 3 mM in the dark and 2 to 5 mM in the light (Gerhardt et al., 1987). 13C-NMR studies demonstrated that, as soon as the threshold of cytosolic malate concentration is attained (i.e. when its rate of formation is matched by its rate of utilization), the bulk of this organic acid is transported into the vacuole. Conversely, when malate is no longer synthesized, its concentration declines in the cytosol, leading to a slow efflux of malate from the vacuole (Gout et al., 1993). Although this pattern of malate flux is generally true, it appears that both efflux and influx of malate ions occur simultaneously across the tonoplast and are driven by changes in membrane potential as well as malate concentrations at both sides of the membrane (Gout et al., 1993; Chen et al., 2012).

Malate synthesis and degradation in guard cells are closely linked to phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) deriving from the glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate 3-phosphate, triose phosphate, and pyruvate-utilizing pathways (Figs. 1 and 2). During stomatal opening, cytosolic light-stimulated PEP carboxylase (PEPC) catalyzes the irreversible β-carboxylation of PEP in the presence of bicarbonate to yield oxaloacetate (OAA) and inorganic phosphate (Pi; Willmer et al., 1973; Willmer and Ditttrich, 1974; Outlaw and Kennedy, 1978; Rao and Anderson, 1983; Chollet et al., 1996). OAA is reduced subsequently to malate through the action of NAD+ dependent malate dehydrogenase (NAD-MDH; Fig. 1; Scheibe et al., 1990). OAA is a strong inhibitor of malate efflux (K_i = 100 μM), meaning that PEP carboxylation gives an intermediate in malate synthesis that promotes malate transfer to the vacuole (Wang and Blatt, 2011).

PEPC is a highly regulated enzyme, as PEP carboxylation is the pivotal step leading to malate accumulation. Changes in the cytosolic levels of positive (e.g. Glc-6-P and triose phosphate) and negative (e.g. malate) metabolite effectors contribute to the overall regulation of guard cell PEPC activity in vivo (Outlaw et al., 1979; Outlaw, 1990; Tarczynski and Outlaw, 1990, 1993). However, the diurnal reversible phosphorylation of this enzyme is probably the most important form of regulation and has received a great deal of attention in the past three decades. Phosphorylation of a Ser residue located at a highly conserved region at the N terminus of the protein increases maximal enzyme activity and considerably lessens malate inhibition (Jiao and Chollet, 1991; Cotelle et al., 1999). This correlates with the observations that PEPC is phosphorylated when...
stomata are stimulated to open (Du et al., 1997; Outlaw et al., 2002) and that the enzyme from open stomata is less sensitive to malate than that from closed stomata (Zhang et al., 1994). Therefore, this phosphorylation of PEPC seems to help protect it against inhibition by malate during guard cell swelling and stomatal opening, thereby synchronizing the appropriate catalytic properties with the required in situ flux through this metabolic branch point. In line with this hypothesis, transgenic potato (Solanum tuberosum) plants with decreased or elevated PEPC activity showed delayed and accelerated stomatal opening compared with the wild type, respectively (Gehlen et al., 1996). Although PEPC has been studied extensively over the years, the signal transduction network that impinges upon this highly regulated enzyme has not been fully resolved. There is clear evidence that PEPC activation during stomatal opening is linked to H+-ATPase activity at the plasma membrane, as both white light and the phytotoxin fuscoccin reduce the sensitivity of PEPC to malate and increase its phosphorylation state (Du et al., 1997; Meinhard and Schnabl, 2001; Outlaw et al., 2002). While the light-induced activation of PEPC is dependent on K+ and photosynthesis, fuscoccin-induced activation is independent of K+. However, PEPC phosphorylation is strongly reduced or abolished if stomatal opening is driven by Suc rather than K+ or if K+ uptake is associated with Cl− uptake rather than malate (Du et al., 1997; Meinhard and Schnabl, 2001). Likewise, the guard cell kinase and phosphatase involved in this process have yet to be identified.

When stomata close, the accumulated malate can be dissipated metabolically via decarboxylation, either through the action of malic enzyme (NADP-ME) and the tricarboxylic acid cycle or through gluconeogenesis via PEP carboxykinase (PEPCK; Fig. 2). Evidence for the occurrence of either pathway in guard cells exists, but it is scarce or contradictory. Early experiments with radiolabeled malate showed that gluconeogenesis can proceed in guard cells and that starch formation from malic acid occurs, especially when stomata close slowly (Dittrich and Raschke, 1977a). When stomatal closure was accelerated by ABA in isolated C. communis epidermis, the guard cells lost most of the labeled malate to the medium (Dittrich and Raschke, 1977a), suggesting that gluconeogenesis may not be fast enough to remove all malate when the loss of turgor occurs quickly. By contrast, Schnabl (1981) and Outlaw et al. (1981a) failed to detect PEPC activity in guard cells, questioning the decarboxylation of malate via this carboxykinase. The authors instead found high levels of NAD-ME and NADP-ME activity, suggesting that malate is metabolized directly to pyruvate by this enzyme and subsequently to PEP, potentially by pyruvate, orthophosphate dikinase (Outlaw et al., 1981a; Schnabl, 1981). NADP-ME also is expressed in Arabidopsis guard cells (Wheeler et al., 2005) and was implicated in the mechanism of stomatal closure when NADP-ME was overexpressed in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) plants (Laporte et al., 2002). Thus, a role for PEPPCK was nearly forgotten until Penfield et al. (2012) revisited the importance of this metabolic step by taking advantage of an Arabidopsis mutant lacking PEPPCK1, a highly expressed guard cell isoform in this plant. The pck1 mutants have increased stomatal conductance and wider stomatal aperture than wild-type plants, pointing toward a function for PCK1 in full stomatal closure in the dark (Penfield et al., 2012). Furthermore, pck1 mutants close their stomata normally in response to ABA or high [CO2], supporting previous observations that malate export may be more important than malate metabolism when stomata lose turgor within minutes.

Another seemingly important event during stomatal closure is the inhibition of PEPC, which would prevent unnecessary malate synthesis. This process is mediated by ABA, which suppresses malate accumulation and reduces the phosphorylation of PEPC (Schnabl et al., 1982; Du et al., 1997). PEPC also appears to be a target of ubiquitination, which would promote enzyme proteolysis during stomatal closure. Like phosphorylation, this posttranslational modification also is linked to ABA in a process mediated by the second messenger inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate, which accumulates during ABA-induced stomatal closure (Lee et al., 1996; Klockenbring et al., 1998). Interestingly, inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate also has been implicated in the regulation of K+ channels and Ca2+ signaling (Blatt et al., 1990; Gilroy et al., 1990), highlighting the key role of ABA in the coordinated activation of membrane ion transport and the associated metabolic activities required for stomatal closure. With our current knowledge, it is difficult to think about malate metabolism in a conclusive way, as genetic evidence often is based on overexpression using constitutive promoters or on knockout mutants. Of course, the observed phenotypes might result from changes in apoplastic metabolite concentrations or CO2 production by mesophyll cells, rather than from direct alterations in the guard cell intracellular malate pool. Additional experiments using guard cell-specific promoters are necessary to define their relative importance for the resulting phenotypes (see Box 1).

Emerging Roles for Other Carboxylates

While malate is unequivocally recognized as the predominant anion in most plants during stomatal opening and closure, increasing evidence also supports a role for other carboxylates. Similar to malate, the three-carbon molecule pyruvate serves as a key intersection point in several metabolic pathways. Pyruvate can be produced through glycolysis in the cytosol, converted back to carbohydrates via gluconeogenesis, or transported into mitochondria, where it can either be carboxylated to OAA or serve as a precursor of acetyl-CoA in the tricarboxylic acid cycle (Divakaruni and Murphy, 2012; Figs. 1 and 2). Furthermore, pyruvate-derived isopentenyl diphosphate can be used as a precursor of ABA biosynthesis in the chloroplast through the methyl erythritol phosphate pathway (Milborrow, 2001). Pyruvate has been implicated in the
regulation of stomatal function. An early study showed that ABA-induced inhibition of stomatal opening in the light is reversed by ATP and pyruvate, suggesting that pyruvate may function as a negative regulator of ABA signaling in guard cells (Raghavendra et al., 1976). More recently, negative regulator of guard cell ABA signaling1 (NRGA1) was identified as a putative mitochondrial pyruvate carrier that negatively regulates ABA-induced guard cell signaling (Li et al., 2014). Disruption of NRGA1 in Arabidopsis results in increased ABA sensitivity of stomatal movements through increased ABA inhibition of K⁺ᵢₑ and ABA activation of slow-type anion currents in guard cells (Li et al., 2014). In the absence of NRGA1, the excess pyruvate may be converted to ABA through the methyl erythritol phosphate pathway (Milborrow, 2001), leading to elevated ABA levels in guard cells. Although this observation offers a putative molecular mechanism linking pyruvate to ABA signaling, a defect in pyruvate transport also might alter downstream metabolic pathways and, thus, only indirectly affect the ABA sensitivity of plasma membrane ion channels. For example, loss of NRGA1 could result in reduced levels of tricarboxylic acid cycle products (e.g. malate and fumarate), ATP, and NADH, thereby affecting guard cell physiology through effects on cellular energetics or osmoregulation. Alternatively, cytosolic pyruvate could be converted into sugar, which also has been implicated in guard cell ABA signaling (Kelly et al., 2013). In any case, the extent to which the alterations in organic acid metabolism in the neighboring mesophyll cells determine the observed nrga1 mutant phenotypes remains a matter of debate. Again, experiments using guard cell-specific promoters are needed to define the relative importance of mesophyll and guard cells for the resulting mutant phenotypes (see Box 1).

In the cytosol, the oxidation of hexoses to pyruvate through glycolysis also might contribute to stomatal movements by providing energy and reducing equivalents as well as metabolites (Fig. 1). Compared with mesophyll cells, guard cells have a high rate of glycolysis (Outlaw et al., 1979, 1985), and all of the enzymatic steps are represented by one or more proteins in the Arabidopsis guard cell proteome (Zhao et al., 2008). Glycolysis generates ATP and NADH and provides the mitochondria with substrates for the tricarboxylic acid cycle and oxidative phosphorylation for further ATP production and reducing power (Plaxton, 1996). Pharmacological studies using the respiratory poison potassium cyanide suggested that stomatal opening induced by a low-intensity blue light-specific response (Kinoshita et al., 2001; Shimazaki et al., 2007) or low CO₂ concentrations under darkness (Sharkey and Raschke, 1981; Schwartz and Zeiger, 1984) mainly relies on oxidative phosphorylation as a source of ATP. A study by Zhao and Assmann (2011) supports this hypothesis and directly connects glycolysis to the tricarboxylic acid cycle in the regulation of stomatal movements. The authors showed that Arabidopsis double mutants lacking two highly similar phosphoglycerate mutases (iPGAMs), which catalyze the interconversion of 3-phosphoglycerate to 2-phosphoglycerate (3-PGA and 2-PGA, respectively), have defects in blue light-, low CO₂-, and ABA-regulated stomatal movements. The simplest explanation is that glycolysis provides ATP, reducing equivalents, and malate under blue light. However, a more sophisticated, yet intriguing, explanation takes into account that disruption of the glycolytic pathway in the ipgam double mutants might affect the levels of other metabolites that have a signaling or regulatory effect. For example, an important signaling metabolite that can originate from the glycolytic intermediate 3-PGA is the amino acid Ser. Ser might function as a positive regulator of ABA signaling in guard cells (Muñoz-Bertomeu et al., 2011). Thus, the high concentrations of 3-PGA in the ipgam double mutants also may explain its impaired ABA response.

Another key regulatory metabolite of carbohydrate/carboxylate metabolism in the cytosol is Fru₂,6P₂, as it determines the direction of metabolic flux (i.e. glycolysis versus gluconeogenesis). Early biochemical studies showed that Fru₂,6P₂ is significantly more abundant in guard cells than in mesophyll cells and that its levels rise in the light by 3- to 10-fold within only 15 min (Hedrich et al., 1985). High levels of Fru₂,6P₂ during light-induced stomatal opening, in turn, would activate Glic breakdown in glycolysis through allosteric modulation of the enzymes involved in the phosphorylation of Fru-6-P to Fru₁,6P₂ (Hedrich et al., 1985; Hite et al., 1992). These enzymes are recognized as the inorganic pyrophosphate (PPI)-dependent Fru-6-P phosphotransferase (PPI-PFK).

**BOX I: TECHNICAL CHALLENGES FOR STUDYING GUARD CELL METABOLISM**

- Future efforts in stomatal research will need to include the use of guard cell promoters that allow cell-specific manipulation of molecular targets within specific pathways. Such an approach will enable the silencing or overexpression of specific genes to improve our understanding of the processes that contribute to stomate regulation, signaling, and sensing in guard cells and their impact on stomatal function.
- Challenges are evident in obtaining sufficient quantities of uncontaminated guard cell material for biochemical assays at known time points or under specific environmental conditions.
- Cell-specific and real-time guard cell metabolomics are essential if we are to understand the interaction between function and metabolism as well as circadian and environmental influences on stomatal behavior.
- Further challenges include the ability to take physiological measure of guard cell responses and stomatal behavior at both the cellular and leaf levels. Novel imaging and physiological measurements are required for phenotypic screening of large numbers of mutants and transgenic plants at different scales, along with the appropriate environmental controls.
and the ATP-dependent phosphofructokinase1 (ATP-PPK1), both of which are more abundant in guard cells than in palisade cells (Hite et al., 1992; Fig. 1). The elevated activities of both enzymes and the phenotype of the ipsgam mutants indicate that glycolysis in the cytosol is indeed a crucial event for stomatal opening, as it responds to the increased demand for energy and carbon skeleton accompanying this process. These findings establish a priority for future research directions.

In higher plants, glycolysis also can occur in the chloroplast through the hydrolysis of starch to dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP) and 3-PGA, which then can be exported to the cytosol via the phosphoglycerate/DHAP shuttle (Heber, 1974). This highly selective transport system in the inner plastid envelope allows the carbohydrate/carboxylate metabolism between different subcellular compartments to be integrated, preventing the simultaneous occurrence of potentially incompatible metabolic processes. The parallel plastidic and cytosolic glycolytic reactions are catalyzed by isozymes encoded by distinct nuclear genes (Plaxton, 1996). However, the contribution of the plastidic isoforms to stomatal movements is currently unknown.

**Carboxylates Connect the Mesophyll with Guard Cells**

Evidence is accumulating that carboxylate metabolism in the adjacent mesophyll cells also plays a critical role in controlling stomatal behavior. Within the tricarboxylic acid cycle, two critical sequential steps are the oxidation of succinate to fumarate by succinate dehydrogenase (SDH) and the hydration of fumarate to malate by fumarase (FUM). These enzymatic reactions are required for both the decarboxylating energy-producing reactions of the tricarboxylic acid cycle and the carbon-conserving state during which carbon is shunted through the glyoxylate cycle (Sweetlove et al., 2010). Two recent studies from the Fernie group showed that transgenic tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) plants exhibiting antisense inhibition of FUM or the iron-sulfur subunit of SDH had impaired mitochondrial metabolism in the mesophyll cells, which affected stomatal function by regulating organic acid levels in the apoplast and the energetic status of the cell (Nunes-Nesi et al., 2007; Araújo et al., 2011). In both transgenic plants, the flux through the tricarboxylic acid cycle was reduced, with very little alteration in other aspects of leaf metabolism. However, the two antisense lines displayed somewhat opposite phenotypes. Whereas deficiency in FUM impaired CO₂ assimilation and restricted growth due to reduced stomatal conductance (Nunes-Nesi et al., 2007), the SDH antisense line had an enhanced transpiration rate and stomatal conductance, resulting in elevated CO₂ assimilation and aerial growth (Araújo et al., 2011). These differences correlated with the apoplastic levels of malate and fumarate, which were elevated in the FUM antisense lines and reduced in the SDH lines (Araújo et al., 2011), indicating a negative correlation between the concentrations of these metabolites and gas exchange through the stomata. According to this model, increased CO₂ concentrations would inhibit the decarboxylation reactions of the tricarboxylic acid cycle, leading to pyruvate and malate accumulation in the apoplast and consequently reducing stomatal aperture. By contrast, low CO₂ concentrations would favor the decarboxylation reactions and promote an increase in flux through the tricarboxylic acid cycle, and as such, a decrease in pyruvate and malate concentrations would lead to increased stomatal opening (Araújo et al., 2011). In addition to their role as osmolytes, altered levels of malate and fumarate may compromise mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation, impinging on the production of ATP and NADH required for stomatal opening.

Further insights into the importance of carboxylates in the connection between stomatal behavior and leaf primary metabolism come from two recent studies where higher stomatal conductance was observed in plants with increased accumulation of malate (Gago et al., 2016; Medeiros et al., 2016). Plants lacking a functional AtALMT12 malate channel not only displayed slower stomatal closure, as mentioned above (Meyer et al., 2010), but also were characterized by changes in organic acid accumulation and increased stomatal and mesophyll conductance (Medeiros et al., 2016). These responses were accompanied by increased photosynthetic capacity and respiration rates, resulting in slightly elevated growth (Medeiros et al., 2016). Furthermore, a multispecies meta-analysis of 14 species grown in different experiments revealed a strong and positive correlation between net photosynthesis, stomatal conductance (gₛ), and mesophyll conductance (gₚ) with organic acid levels in the leaf (Gago et al., 2016). Altogether, these studies clearly support a role for the mesophyll in regulating guard cell aperture, whereby the import of organic acids from the mesophyll not only provides osmotic control but also plays a critical role in meeting the energetic demands of guard cells. Thus, genetic manipulation of carboxylate metabolism in mesophyll cells could lead to changes in stomatal behavior and could potentially improve photosynthesis and water use efficiency in plants.

**PHOTOSYNTHETIC CARBON METABOLISM IN GUARD CELLS**

**Role of Guard Cell Chloroplast in Stomatal Movements**

Chloroplasts are a common feature of guard cells in most plants, except for species such as the fern Polypodium vulgare (Lawson et al., 2003). Guard cells usually contain many fewer chloroplasts than the adjacent mesophyll cells (Humble and Raschke, 1971), typically 10 to 15, depending on species, compared with 30 to 70 in palisade cells. However, there are some notable exceptions. Certain fern species can have up to 100 chloroplasts per cell (Stevens and Martin, 1978), whereas some Selaginella spp. typically have only two
chloroplasts per guard cell (Brown and Lemmon, 1985). Species of the orchid genus *Paphiopedilum* are another interesting exception, as their guard cells are completely devoid of chloroplasts (Nelson and Mayo, 1975; D’Amelio and Zeiger, 1988), indicating that these guard cells possess a particular metabolism where photosynthesis does not seem to play a role.

In general, guard cell chloroplasts tend to be smaller and have a different structure compared with mesophyll cells (Willmer and Fricker, 1983). Their thylakoid structure appears less well developed, and granal stacking is reduced (Willmer and Fricker, 1983), although functional photosystem I and II (PSI, PSII) have been reported (Outlaw et al., 1981b; Zeiger et al., 1981; Lawson et al., 2002, 2003), along with linear electron transport, oxygen evolution, and photophosphorylation (Hipkins et al., 1983; Willmer and Fricker, 1983; Shimazaki and Zeiger, 1985; Tsionsky et al., 1997). Guard cell electron transport can be moderated by \([\text{CO}_2]\) (Melis and Zeiger, 1982), suggesting that Calvin cycle activity acts as a major sink for electrons. Indeed, using high-resolution chlorophyll fluorescence imaging under controlled gas environments, Lawson et al. (2002, 2003) determined that Rubisco is a major sink for the end products of electron transport. These researchers also showed that quantum efficiency for PSII photochemistry in guard cells is 70% to 80% that of mesophyll cells across a wide range of light levels, pointing toward similar mechanisms operating in both cell types (Baker et al., 2001; Lawson et al., 2002). The argument for Rubisco as a significant sink for the products of electron transport was strengthened by the lack of a response of oxygen concentration in mesophyll cells of the \(C_4\) species *Amaranthus caudatus* (Lawson et al., 2003), which, because of the \(\text{CO}_2\)-concentrating mechanism, virtually eliminates photorespiration. However, the quantum efficiency of PSII photochemistry did respond to changes in oxygen concentration in guard cells, which is consistent with immunogold labeling studies revealing considerable amounts of Rubisco in *A. caudatus* guard cells but not in mesophyll cells (Ueno, 2001). These results demonstrate that the two cell types respond differently to changes in oxygen concentration, suggesting that different metabolic processes act as sinks for the end products of electron transport. Other researchers, including Zeiger and colleagues, also detected significant Calvin cycle activity and demonstrated that it was osmotically important (Tallman and Zeiger, 1988; Talbott and Zeiger, 1993; Zeiger et al., 2002). \(\text{CO}_2\) uptake into 3-PGA and ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate (Gotow et al., 1988), along with Suc production in response to red light in epidermal peels, was observed in the absence of the mesophyll as a source of Suc (Poffenroth et al., 1992) and without starch breakdown (Talbott and Zeiger, 1993). Stomatal opening occurred in tobacco guard cells without exogenous application of \(K^+\) or Suc, with no evidence of starch breakdown, also suggesting that guard cells fix carbon via photosynthesis (Daloso et al., 2015). By contrast, Outlaw (1989) proposed that, due to the low levels of Rubisco and chlorophyll in guard cells, the Calvin-Benson cycle could not contribute significantly to the overall carbon balance and, hence, stomatal function. This idea was supported by Reckmann et al. (1990), who suggested that the Calvin cycle contributes only 2% to guard cell osmotica.

A recent study by Azoulay-Shemer et al. (2015) has renewed interest in guard cell photosynthesis and guard cell chloroplasts in stomatal function. Transgenic Arabidopsis plants with degraded chlorophyll in their guard cells, and therefore impaired photosynthesis, exhibited a deflated, thin phenotype, suggesting that photosynthesis in guard cells is critical for guard cell turgor. Interestingly, these plants showed typical wild-type responses to \([\text{CO}_2]\) and ABA, indicating that guard cell photosynthesis is not involved in these responses (Azoulay-Shemer et al., 2015). Similarly, transgenic tobacco plants with reduced levels of Rubisco had substantially reduced photosynthetic capacity, while stomatal responses to light and changing \([\text{CO}_2]\) were similar to those of the wild type (von Caemmerer et al., 2004; Baroli et al., 2008). However, Wang et al. (2014) found that, in an Arabidopsis crumpled leaf mutant \((crl1)\), stomatal aperture in plants lacking guard cell chloroplasts \((crl1-no chl)\) was 30% to 40% smaller than that of plants with guard cell chloroplasts \((crl1-chl)\) and 40% to 50% smaller than that of the wild type, perhaps likely due to reduced ATP levels in their guard cells (and in the adjacent epidermal cells). These studies provide evidence that both guard cell chloroplasts and mesophyll contribute to ATP for \(H^+\) extrusion in guard cells and that guard cell chloroplasts play an essential role in light-induced stomatal opening (Wang et al., 2014).

Guard cell photosynthesis is a highly controversial topic, and although there is agreement that it takes place, the role it plays in guard cell function remains widely debated, mainly due to contradictory evidence from different experiments in different laboratories using different species. It is clear from recent studies that guard cell photosynthesis indeed contributes to stomatal behavior, through either the supply of energy for proton pumps or the production of osmoticum, but the extent of this contribution remains to be determined. Further studies using recent advances in molecular technology, such as cell-specific promoters and the ability to perform single-cell metabolomics, will allow us to elucidate the role of guard cell photosynthesis in stomatal function (see Box 1).

### Contribution of PEPC to \(\text{CO}_2\) Fixation in Guard Cells

As an alternative to the end products of electron transport in guard cells, in the absence of \(\text{CO}_2\) fixation by Rubisco, there is evidence for \(\text{CO}_2\) fixation by PEPC into malate (Willmer and Dittrich, 1974; Raschke and Dittrich, 1977; Outlaw, 1990). Light-stimulated increases in PEPC activity have been demonstrated with enhanced malate accumulation and increased NADP- or NAD-dependent MDH activity, which facilitates the
reduction of OAA to malate (Rao and Anderson, 1983; Scheibe et al., 1990). A recent study using stable isotope labeling to explore guard cell metabolism revealed that tobacco guard cells fix CO2 via both Rubisco and PEPC (Daloso et al., 2015), which agrees with earlier studies showing that guard cells have all the necessary machinery to operate the two CO2 fixation pathways (Outlaw and Manchester, 1979; Tarczynski et al., 1989; Parvathi and Raghavendra, 1997; Zeiger et al., 2002; Outlaw, 2003; Suetugu et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014). The importance of PEPC in stomatal behavior has been shown by Cousins et al. (2007) in PEPC-deficient mutants of the C4 plant *Amaranthus edulis*, which exhibited reduced stomatal opening and low final conductance compared with wild-type plants. The PEPC-deficient plants also showed a lowered assimilation rate, which could account for the lower *g* s. This finding is in contrast to the maintained *g* s values observed in C3 antisense Rubisco (von Caemmerer et al., 2004) and antisense sedoheptulose-bisphosphatase tobacco plants (Lawson et al., 2008), which also had significantly reduced photosynthetic rates. Although there has been considerable support for C3 metabolism in guard cells of C3 plants (Cockburn, 1979; Vavasseur and Raghavendra, 2005), a recent study by Aubry et al. (2016) comparing gene expression in guard cells of C3 and C4 species revealed low expression of C4 genes in C3 guard cells. However, guard cells of the C4 plants showed similar gene expression patterns to those of C4 mesophyll cells, suggesting a role for C4 genes in guard cell regulation in C3 plants. These findings also agree with a role for organic acids in stomatal regulation. There was no evidence for the up-regulation of core C4 genes in guard cells of C3 plants (Aubry et al., 2016). Having said this, overexpressing PEPC in C3 potato increased the rate of stomatal opening, whereas reducing PEPC expression reduced stomatal opening rates (Gehlen et al., 1996). Both PEPC and Rubisco play roles in guard cell metabolism that affect stomatal function. However, their roles appear to be dependent on the time of day or environmental factors.

**Interaction between Photosynthetic Carbon Assimilation and Mitochondrial Metabolism**

Guard cells also contain numerous mitochondria (Willmer and Fricker, 1983), and evidence exists for a high respiration rate (Antunes et al., 2012), suggesting that these organelles also may represent a significant source of ATP for plasma membrane proton pumps and ion transport in guard cells (Wu and Assmann, 1993; Tominaga et al., 2001). Indeed, energy demands required for proton pumping and ion exchange for stomatal opening and closing are most likely met by a combination of photosynthesis and ATP and NADPH production during solute accumulation (Tominaga et al., 2001; Zeiger et al., 2002). This finding is in line with a recent study by Daloso et al. (2015) that provides strong evidence for respiration and sugar catabolism as a source of energy during stomatal opening. It also supports earlier work from Goh et al. (1999), who used single-cell chlorophyll fluorescence of guard cell protoplasts to show a dependency of guard cell electron transport on oxygen concentration, suggesting the involvement of the Mehler ascorbate peroxidase cycle and metabolic coupling between photosynthetic electron transport and export of reducing equivalents via the phosphoglycerate/DHAP shuttle and oxidative phosphorylation in the mitochondria. These findings clearly show that there is tight integration between the metabolism in chloroplasts and mitochondria in guard cells, which provides the energy, reducing power, and carbon skeletons necessary to support stomatal functions.

**Guard Cell Chloroplasts and Light Signaling**

Stomata have both a specific blue light response (for review, see Shimazaki et al., 2007) and a red light- or photosynthesis-dependent response. The blue light response saturates at low fluence rates (approximately 10 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}) and relies on the activation of a plasma membrane ATPase H^+ pump (Kinoshita and Shimazaki, 1999; Shimazaki et al., 2007), while the red light response saturates at rates similar to photosynthesis and is inhibited by 3-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)-1,1-dimethyleurea (DCMU; an inhibitor of PSII), indicating that it is photosynthesis dependent (Sharkey and Raschke, 1981; Tominaga et al., 2001; Olsen et al., 2002; Messinger et al., 2006). Chlorophyll is thought to be a receptor for the red light response (Assmann and Shimazaki, 1999), and both sugar and K^+ accumulation have been observed during red light-induced opening, with evidence that the sugar is derived from guard cell photosynthesis (Olsen et al., 2002). Experiments conducted with and without inhibitors of oxidative phosphorylation (oligomycin) and PSII (DCMU) demonstrated that chloroplasts supply ATP to the cytosol under red light for ATPase activity at the plasma membrane and for stomatal opening (Tominaga et al., 2001). Blue light opening is generally believed to be independent of guard cell photosynthetic electron transport and, therefore, carbon fixation metabolism, as opening is not inhibited by DCMU (Sharkey and Raschke, 1981; Schwartz and Zeiger, 1984; Roelfsema and Hedrich, 2005) but is reliant on energy from mitochondrial respiration (Shimazaki et al., 1982). Application of potassium cyanide, an inhibitor of mitochondrial respiration, inhibits blue light-induced stomatal opening (Schwartz and Zeiger, 1984). However, partial inhibition with DCMU was reported by Mawson (1983), which implies a role for ATP produced during guard cell photosynthetic electron transport. Parvathi and Raghavendra (1997) also observed increased Calvin cycle activity using 3,3-dichloro-2-dihydroxynaphosphinol-methyl-2-propenoate (an inhibitor of PEPC and, therefore, involved in malate accumulation), suggesting that multiple osmoregulation pathways can function in guard cells and that one pathway may become important when another
is restricted. Red light enhances blue light-induced stomatal opening. Suet sugu et al. (2014) explored the role of guard cell chloroplasts in this enhanced opening, showing that DCMU inhibited red light-enhanced, blue light-induced opening of Arabidopsis stomata. However, DCMU did not affect H+-ATPase in response to blue light, but it inhibited both red light- and blue light-dependent stomatal opening in intact leaves. These experiments illustrated that end products of electron transport (ATP and/or NADPH) in guard cells are essential for blue light responses.

Role of Guard Cell Chloroplasts in the Coordination between Mesophyll Photosynthesis and Stomatal Behavior

A close correlation between stomatal conductance and photosynthetic rates has been recorded over a range of CO2 concentrations and light intensities (Wong et al., 1979; Farquhar and Wong, 1984; Mansfield et al., 1990; Buckley et al., 2003). It is widely assumed that the concentration of CO2 inside the leaf (Ci) coordinates mesophyll photosynthesis with stomatal aperture. As the Ci is dependent on the consumption of CO2 through mesophyll photosynthesis and the flux of gas from the atmosphere to the inside of the leaf, which is determined by stomatal aperture and CO2 levels inside the leaf, maintaining a set Ci would provide an ideal mechanism for linking mesophyll photosynthesis with stomatal behavior. However, it is now believed that stomatal responses to changes in CO2 and Ci are too small to account for the relatively large changes observed in gs (Raschke, 1975; Farquhar et al., 1978; Farquhar and Sharkey, 1982; Morison and Jarvis, 1983). Also, several studies have demonstrated changes in gs in response to light, even when Ci was held constant (Messinger et al., 2006; Lawson et al., 2008; Wang and Song, 2008). Recently, Matrosova et al. (2015) used various Arabidopsis mutants to explore in detail the role of Ci in stomatal responses to red light. The authors showed that the protein kinase HIGH LEAF TEMPERATURE1 (HT1), whose mutation results in impaired low CO2-induced stomatal opening, is essential for red light (photosynthetic) stomatal opening, indicating that photosynthetically derived reductions in Ci contribute to stomatal opening in response to light. However, carbonic anhydrase mutants (ca1ca4), which typically exhibit slow stomatal responses to [CO2], respond more strongly to red light than to low [CO2], suggesting that other processes not reliant on low-Ci signaling are involved in red light-induced stomatal opening (Matrosova et al., 2015). The authors concluded that “red light responses can be mediated both independent and dependent of a reduction in [Ci].”

If guard cell photosynthesis responds to changing environmental cues in a similar manner to mesophyll cells, guard cell photosynthetic activity may provide the sensing mechanisms that coordinate stomatal behavior with mesophyll demands for CO2 (Jarvis et al., 1999; Lawson, 2009; Daloso et al., 2015). For example, environmental stimuli that increase or decrease mesophyll photosynthesis also will increase or decrease guard cell photosynthesis, and stomata will sense and respond to these changes by increasing or decreasing aperture. A recent study by Suet sugu et al. (2014) indicated that guard cell chloroplasts indirectly monitor photosynthetic CO2 fixation in the mesophyll by absorbing photosynthetically active radiation in the epidermis. Busch (2014) suggested that the stomatal red light response (mesophyll response) could be related to the balance between photosynthetic electron transport and carbon assimilation (Farquhar and Wong, 1984) and that the redox state of the plastoquinone pool in guard cells would be an appropriate mediator for such a signal.

Studies on stomata in isolated epidermal strips have demonstrated no (or reduced) effect of red light or [CO2] on stomatal aperture (Lee and Bowling, 1992, 1993; Roelfsema and Hedrich, 2002) compared with intact leaves (Mott et al., 2008), which indicates that a mesophyll signal must play a role in stomatal responses. Additionally, Lee and Bowling (1992, 1993) demonstrated that, when epidermis cells were incubated with mesophyll cells or chloroplasts, the stomata responded, but in their absence, no response was observed. These studies suggest that a diffusible mesophyll signal is needed for stomatal responses and that this signal could coordinate mesophyll photosynthesis with stomatal behavior (Wong et al., 1979; Lee and Bowling, 1992; Mott et al., 2008). However, the mechanism that links these is debated, with evidence for a mesophyll signal both in the vapor phase (Sibbersen and Mott, 2010) and the liquid phase (Fujita et al., 2013). Several metabolites of photosynthesis (including ATP and NADPH) might balance photosynthesis between Rubisco and electron transport limitation (Wong et al., 1979; Messinger et al., 2006). Clearly, further studies are needed to elucidate the mechanisms that coordinate photosynthetic CO2 demands with stomatal behavior.

THE SUC PARADOX

Role for Suc in Guard Cell Osmoregulation

In the early 20th century, studies supported the notion that Suc was the only osmolyte required for stomatal opening and was produced by starch breakdown in the guard cells. This starch-sugar hypothesis (Lloyd, 1908) was the accepted theory until the 1960s, when it was replaced by the K+-malate theory (Imamura, 1943; Fischer, 1968; Raschke, 1975) correlating stomatal opening with K+ uptake, along with the counter ions malate2− and/or Cl− (Allaway, 1973; Schnabl and Raschke, 1980; Outlaw, 1983). As outlined above, this became accepted as the main osmoregulatory pathway and often is still considered the exclusive mechanism for regulating stomatal aperture. Therefore, a role for Suc was forgotten until MacRobbie (1987) and Talbott and Zeiger (1993) showed that soluble sugars could function as additional osmoticum for stomatal opening. The
observation of a decline in K⁺ concentration throughout the day concomitant with an increase in Suc concentration led to the idea that K⁺ is important for stomatal opening early in the day, which is replaced by Suc later in the diel period (Amodeo et al., 1996; Talbott and Zeiger, 1998; Schroeder et al., 2001). These discoveries renewed interest in Suc metabolism in guard cells.

Several reports have suggested that soluble sugars are key osmotica for stomatal opening (Poffenroth et al., 1992; Lu et al., 1995; Talbott and Zeiger, 1998). Talbott and Zeiger (1993, 1996) observed an increase in Suc content in V. faba guard cells from 0.2 to 0.7 pmol, or even higher, during the light period. Stomatal opening in epidermal strips of V. faba was accompanied by a 300-fmol increase in hexose sugar levels and a 140-fmol increase in Suc levels (Outlaw and Manchester, 1979). Lu et al. (1995, 1997) also found that the symplastic guard cell Suc concentrations increased 3-fold upon the transition to light. Increasing Suc content in epidermal peels of V. faba in the afternoon also was reported by Pearson (1973); however, the correlation with stomatal aperture was weak. This research was not followed up in detail, most likely due to the lack of appropriate experimental methods and genetic resources, which sometimes led to conflicting results (see Box 1). Therefore, the role of Suc as a major osmoticum to maintain stomatal aperture in the afternoon remains to be fully elucidated, with the exact temporal changes in Suc concentrations over the day/night cycle one of the important factors to be determined in the future.

Role for Suc Other Than Osmoregulation

A nonosmoregulatory role for Suc in guard cell function also was suggested recently (Kelly et al., 2013). Transgenic plants overexpressing hexokinase (HK, a sugar-phosphorylating enzyme involved in sugar sensing) specifically in guard cells exhibited accelerated stomatal closure induced by sugar. This observation supports the idea of photosynthesis feedback inhibition of stomatal conductance by Suc (Kelly et al., 2013) and agrees with the earlier suggestion by Outlaw and colleagues that Suc produced by mesophyll photosynthesis is loaded into the apoplast and carried to the vicinity of the guard cells, where an extracellular osmotic effect closes the stomata (Lu et al., 1995, 1997; Ewert et al., 2000; Outlaw and De Vlieghere-He, 2001; Kang et al., 2007). This might provide a mechanism for coordinating photosynthetic rates with transpiration. When the production of Suc in the mesophyll exceeds phloem loading, the excess Suc is carried to the guard cells and stimulates stomatal closure via hexokinase, thereby reducing water loss when photosynthesis is saturated (Kelly et al., 2013). Although this process may provide long-term coordination between transpiration and photosynthesis, such a mechanism could not account for short-term coordination between photosynthesis and stomatal behavior, as reduced stomatal conductance is not usually observed under conditions of high photosynthetic rates (Wong et al., 1979; Lawson et al., 2010, 2014). Kelly et al. (2013) speculated that the accumulation of Suc late in the day is not a reflection of diurnal changes in guard cell osmoregulation, as suggested by Zeiger et al. (2002), but instead reflects the uptake of apoplastic Suc by the guard cells, which eventually induces stomatal closure. Although the molecular mechanism by which Suc promotes stomatal closure is not fully understood, it appears to be mediated by ABA signaling (Kelly et al., 2013). A role for Suc in stomatal closure explains the findings of a recent multispecies meta-analysis revealing an opposite correlation for leaf sugar content with net photosynthesis and stomatal conductance (Gago et al., 2016), suggesting that the tradeoff between carbon assimilation and water loss through stomata is at least partially regulated by Suc level.

Suc also might function as a respiratory substrate for mitochondrial energy supply and the production of carbon skeletons (Dittrich and Raschke, 1977b). Potato plants overexpressing yeast acid invertase (INV, a Suc-cleaving enzyme) in guard cells had higher stomatal conductance than wild-type control plants (Antunes et al., 2012). Conversely, plants with reduced levels of Suc synthase (SuSy), which catalyzes the reversible conversion of Suc to Fru and UDP-Glc, had lower stomatal conductance (Antunes et al., 2012). The importance of Suc breakdown was further highlighted by Daloso et al. (2016), who reported that tobacco plants overexpressing SuSy under the control of the guard cell-specific promoter KST1 had increased stomatal conductance, greater photosynthetic rates, and higher biomass than wild-type plants. Following a dark-to-light transition, the Suc content was lower in transgenic plants than in wild-type plants, and metabolite analysis showed a reduction in the ratio of disaccharides to monosaccharides and a greater capacity to degrade Suc (Daloso et al., 2016). It seems, therefore, that during stomatal opening, SuSy functions in the Suc hydrolysis direction rather than in Suc biosynthesis. Suc breakdown may provide substrates for respiration (Fig. 1), providing further evidence for a link between Suc and the tricarboxylic acid cycle for stomatal opening and highlighting the importance of glycolysis and mitochondrial respiration in stomatal function.

In conclusion, our current knowledge suggests that both Suc breakdown and Suc accumulation play roles in stomatal function, indicating that the exact mechanism and role of Suc may be far more complex than originally thought. To disentangle this paradox of the role of Suc in stomatal function, highly targeted manipulation of guard cell metabolism will be required (see Box 1).

Sources of Suc in Guard Cells

An additional unresolved issue concerns the potential sources of Suc in guard cells. Several routes for Suc accumulation in guard cells exist: starch breakdown produces Suc; Suc produced by mesophyll photosynthesis is
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transported to the guard cells via the apoplast; and Suc is produced autonomously from Calvin cycle activity in the guard cells (Fig. 1). Evidence exists for all three of these pathways. However, it seems that starch degradation and guard cell photosynthesis can provide only limited amounts of Suc, implying that guard cell apoplastic Suc is the most important source (Tarczynski et al., 1989; Reckmann et al., 1990; Vavasseur and Raghavendra, 2005). Outlaw and colleagues (Lu et al., 1995; Ritte et al., 1999; Outlaw and De Vlieghere-He, 2001; Kang et al., 2007) suggested that Suc produced by mesophyll photosynthesis is transported to the guard cells via the apoplast and is taken up into the guard cell symplast. A few studies have documented sugar uptake into guard cells, apparently in symport with protons (Dittrich and Raschke, 1977b; Ritte et al., 1999). Suc in the apoplast is either degraded to Glc and Fru by cell wall invertase and taken up by monosaccharide transporters or is imported directly as Suc (Fig. 1). Guard cell transcriptomic analyses, along with localization studies using reporter genes, suggested that the major sugar transporters at the guard cell plasma membrane are the Suc/H+ cotransporters SUC1 and SUC3 and the monosaccharide/H+ cotransporters STP1 and STP4 (Stadler et al., 2003; Meyer et al., 2004; Bates et al., 2012; Bauer et al., 2013). STP1 and STP4 transcript levels are 8- to 16-fold higher than those of SUC1, suggesting that Suc in the guard cell apoplast is first converted to monosaccharides. Interestingly, STP1 is expressed mostly in the dark but shows a peak of expression at midday (Stadler et al., 2003), matching the time at which guard cells accumulate high amounts of sugars (Talbott and Zeiger, 1996). However, the functional analysis of these transporters is currently limited. Future studies using transgenic plants with guard cell-specific overexpression or silencing of these transporters will be invaluable for increasing our understanding of the role of Suc metabolism in stomatal function (see Box 1).

GUARD CELL STARCH METABOLISM

Starch Is a Versatile d-Glc Polymer

Starch is synthesized inside plastids of both photosynthetic and nonphotosynthetic cells, but its metabolism and function depend upon the cell type from which it is derived. In the leaves, starch typically accumulates gradually during the day using a fraction of the carbon assimilated through photosynthesis. This transitory starch is degraded at night in a nearly linear manner for continued Suc synthesis and energy production when photosynthesis does not occur, a process vital for plant growth (Smith and Stitt, 2007; Stitt and Zeeman, 2012). In most species, transitory starch also is present in guard cells. In this cell type, however, starch turnover differs markedly from that of the rest of the leaf, as starch is present at night and degraded in the light, helping to generate organic acids and sugars to promote stomatal opening (Vavasseur and Raghavendra, 2005; Lawson, 2009). Although botanists have known at least since the formulation of the starch-osmoticum hypothesis by Lloyd (1908) that starch granules in guard cells may disappear during stomatal opening and reappear during or after closure, the genetic and molecular bases of this process have remained obscure. Research in the past few years has progressed remarkably and has led to a steep increase in our understanding of this topic.

Carbon Sources for Guard Cell Starch

Theoretically, starch in the guard cell can be synthesized from CO2 fixed via the Calvin cycle or from sugars and/or organic acids that have accumulated early in the day (synthesized by the guard cell itself or imported from neighboring cells). There is some experimental evidence for all of these mechanisms, but their relative contributions to the pool of accumulated starch, as well as their timing, remain a matter of debate. As mentioned above, guard cells can produce malic acid by the carboxylation of PEP using CO2 as demonstrated by experiments with isolated epidermis exposed to 14CO2 (Willmer et al., 1973; Raschke and Dittrich, 1977; Daloso et al., 2015). Furthermore, Schnabl (1980) showed that 14C-starch was synthesized by isolated guard cell protoplasts supplied with 14CO2 or [U-14C]malate, whereas only a small amount of radioactive starch was synthesized from exogenously supplied [4-14C]malate, indicating that the labeled 4C of malic acid was lost as CO2 and not refixed. Lastly, the hexose phosphate needed for guard cell starch accumulation also can be derived from the metabolism of Suc stored in the vacuole or from sugars imported directly from the apoplast. Indeed, starch formation was observed when isolated epidermis with open or closed stomata was incubated with 15C-labeled Glc or Suc or simply floated on sugar solutions (Pallas, 1964; Dittrich and Raschke, 1977b). It is possible that Suc, or its degradation products, is metabolized to hexose phosphate, which may then move into the chloroplast and be converted to starch (Fig. 1). If so, hexose phosphate transport would reduce the phosphate concentration in the chloroplast and provide carbon for starch synthesis in the form of ADPglucose (ADPGlc). The energy required for starch synthesis may be derived from the oxidative phosphorylation of malate that accumulates from stomatal opening, as malate levels decrease during starch accumulation.

Function, Pathway, and Regulation of Guard Cell Starch Degradation

After the initial blush of research leading to the K+-malate hypothesis (Fischer and Hsiao, 1968; Allaway, 1973; Outlaw and Manchester, 1979; Donkin and Martin, 1980; Schnabl, 1980; Schnabl et al., 1982), attention shifted to other questions about stomatal physiology. The development of voltage clamp technology and molecular genetics led to the use of Arabidopsis as a preeminent model for studying guard cell membrane...
guard cell starch metabolism has suffered from the lack of suitable analytical methods. Because guard cells constitute only a minute fraction of the total leaf, it is impossible to study starch metabolism using conventional methods (see Box 1). In most cases, starch content in guard cells has been estimated on a relative value scale by comparing the intensity of iodine-stained guard cell chloroplasts (Tallman and Zeiger, 1988; Kang et al., 2007; Valerio et al., 2011; Prasch et al., 2015). In a few studies, starch content was determined quantitatively using the oil-well technique on freeze-dried leaflets (Outlaw and Manchester, 1979; Ding et al., 2014) or spectrophotometrically using guard cell-enriched epidermal fragments (Daloso et al., 2015), but, in these cases, no temporal dynamics were reported. Thus, our knowledge of starch metabolism in guard cells has remained fragmentary, mostly correlative in nature, and even contradictory. Until very recently, it was still a matter of debate whether starch is present in Arabidopsis guard cells at the end of the night or, indeed, whether it is required for stomatal opening (Lasceve et al., 1997; Stadler et al., 2003; Daloso et al., 2015), to the point that starch metabolism in guard cells was considered to differ between species (Lawson et al., 2014). Only early this year was starch metabolism in Arabidopsis guard cells unequivocally shown to be similar to that of other species. Horrer et al. (2016) developed a new method for quantifying starch in guard cells of intact leaves using the fluorophore propidium iodide and high-resolution confocal microscopy on a cell-by-cell basis, at last overcoming a technically challenging problem that deterred research in this area for many years. Using this newly developed method, Horrer et al. (2016) showed that starch in Arabidopsis guard cells is very rapidly degraded within the first 30 min of light, which is correlated with an increase in stomatal aperture (Fig. 3A). Molecular genetics analysis further demonstrated that starch in guard cells is mobilized by a specific set of enzymes that are not required for starch degradation in other leaf tissues (Fig. 3B). Blocking this pathway (i.e. by knocking out the β-amylase BAM1 and/or the α-amylase AMY3) resulted in elevated guard cell starch levels, while starch was metabolized normally in the rest of the leaf, severely affecting stomatal function, transpiration, and biomass production (Valerio et al., 2011; Prasch et al., 2015; Horrer et al., 2016). Impaired guard cell starch breakdown in bam1 mutant plants leads to improved drought tolerance, likely due to reduced water uptake and limited cell wall extension associated with the closed state of stomata of bam1 mutants compared with wild-type plants (Prasch et al., 2015).

Early histochemical studies demonstrated that illuminating isolated V. faba epidermal peels with blue light at a low fluence rate was accompanied by a marked disappearance of guard cell starch (Tallman and Zeiger, 1988). Furthermore, Arabidopsis mutants devoid of starch showed a reduced rate of stomatal opening specifically under blue light (Lasceve et al., 1997). Horrer et al. (2016) disentangled the molecular basis of this blue light-dependent response, showing that, in Arabidopsis, starch degradation in guard cells is triggered by blue light through the action of the blue light photoreceptors phototropin 1 and 2 (PHOT) and their downstream signaling components (Fig. 3B). These authors also provided evidence for a direct molecular link between proton pumping and starch degradation in guard cells (Horrer et al., 2016). The signal linking plasma membrane H+-ATPase activity to starch degradation in the chloroplast remains unknown, but it
is unlikely that the simple degradation of starch leading to malate accumulation provides the energy required for this transport process. Only two ATPs are synthesized for each glucosyl moiety catabolized. Thus, it is more likely that starch in guard cells is degraded to provide carbon skeletons for the synthesis of malate and/or Suc to increase guard cell turgor and to promote stomatal opening.

This discovery highlights the importance of starch metabolism in stomatal movement and shows that Arabidopsis is an ideal system for investigating starch metabolism in guard cells, laying the foundation for future studies integrating light signaling and membrane ion transport with guard cell metabolism.

Guard Cell Starch Biosynthesis

Starch biosynthesis in guard cells begins only after 1 to 3 h of light, when the stomata have fully opened, and proceeds slowly until the end of the day (Fig. 3A; Horrer et al., 2016). This unusual pattern of starch deposition in guard cells suggests that specific regulatory factors are involved that act independently of the transitions between light and dark. Light quality is one such factor. Horrer et al. (2016) demonstrated that red light promotes efficient starch synthesis in guard cells, whereas blue light promotes starch degradation. It is conceivable that red light-induced CO₂ fixation provides the precursors needed for starch synthesis.

It is tempting to speculate that guard cell starch synthesis also follows a distinct pathway. In mesophyll cells, the supply of ADP Glc, the activated glucosyl donor for starch biosynthesis, is linked directly to the Calvin cycle via three sequential enzymatic reactions. Fru-6-P, an intermediate of the Calvin cycle, is converted to Glc-6-P by plastidial phosphoglucose isomerase (PGI; Yu et al., 2000). Plastidial phosphoglucomutase (PGM1) converts Glc-6-P into Glc-1-P (Caspar et al., 1985). The last step is catalyzed by ADP-Glc pyrophosphorylase (AGPase), which converts Glc-1-P and ATP into ADPGlc and PPI (Lin et al., 1988). Arabidopsis plants lacking PGM are devoid of starch in both mesophyll and guard cells (Caspar et al., 1985; Lasceve et al., 1997; Horrer et al., 2016), showing that stromal Glc-6-P to Glc-1-P conversion is required for guard cell starch synthesis (Fig. 3B). By contrast, PGI seems not to be required; the Arabidopsis pgi mutant lacks starch in mesophyll cells but not in guard cells (Yu et al., 2000; Tsai et al., 2009). A likely explanation for this phenotype is that, in the absence of plastidial PGI, guard cell chloroplasts can presumably take up Glc-6-P from the cytosol into chloroplasts via Glc-6-P/Pi translocator (GPT) and use it for starch synthesis, bypassing the PGI reaction (Fig. 3B). In support of this hypothesis, an early study showed that envelope membranes of guard cell chloroplasts have GPT transport activity (Overlach et al., 1993). This appears to be a specific feature of guard cells, as mesophyll cells cannot import Glc-6-P efficiently for starch synthesis unless GPT translocators are overexpressed (Niewiadomski et al., 2005).

AGPase activity in guard cells is comparable to that of palisade and spongy cells (Outlaw and Tarczynski, 1984). AGPase is finely tuned through allosteric and redox control: it is activated by 3-PGA, an intermediate of the Calvin cycle (and an indicator of photosynthetic carbon assimilation) and inhibited by Pi (Iglesias et al., 1993). AGPase is a heterotetrameric enzyme composed of two small subunits (encoded by a single APS gene) and two large subunits (encoded by one of four genes, APL1-APL4; Morell et al., 1987). The small subunit is catalytic, whereas the large subunit is primarily regulatory. The combination of APS with different large subunits differs between autotrophic and heterotrophic tissues and confers distinct kinetic and regulatory properties to the enzyme, modulating its activity in response to allosteric effectors and its own substrates (Crevillén et al., 2003, 2005). APL1 would be the main isoform in mesophyll cell chloroplasts, whereas other APLs may participate in the establishment of the AGPase heterotetramer in different cell types, including guard cells (Crevillén et al., 2005). Another seemingly important enzyme for guard cell starch biosynthesis could be SuSy. Similar to what happens in potato tubers, UDPGlc originating from the hydrolysis of Suc could be channeled into glycolysis and converted into Glc-1-P. Glc-1-P could be taken up subsequently by the chloroplast and further processed by AGPase. Together, these observations suggest that an alternative starch biosynthetic pathway may well exist in guard cells, requiring the activities of specialized proteins such as GPT, SuSy; or specific combinations of different APL isoforms (Fig. 3B).

While we have begun to understand the function of guard cell starch degradation in stomatal movements, the role of starch synthesis remains mostly unexplored. A very recent study suggested that starch biosynthesis in guard cells, but not in mesophyll cells, is involved in high-CO₂-induced stomatal closure (Azoulay-Shemer et al., 2016). The authors compared stomatal responses to [CO₂] shifts in pgi and aps1 Arabidopsis mutants and demonstrated that aps1 but not pgi exhibited impaired CO₂-induced stomatal closure. It is plausible that, during stomatal closure, starch synthesis functions as a sink for sugars and malate, thereby promoting the necessary changes in guard cell turgor for water efflux.

CONCLUSION

Guard cell metabolism during stomatal movements is highly complex. Furthermore, there is strong evidence for tight coordination between guard cell metabolism and the adjacent mesophyll, as well as for its integration with membrane ion transport and light signaling.

The motor that drives stomatal movements is the strongly electrogenic H⁺-extruding ATPase of the guard cell plasma membrane. When this pump hyperpolarizes the membrane potential, voltage-sensitive K⁺ channels open, which results in stomatal opening as the internal K⁺ concentration increases nominally to
300 mM (Outlaw, 1983). During stomatal opening, a pair of guard cells extrudes approximately 3 pmol of $H^+$, which exceeds the expected pH-buffering capacity (Blatt et al., 1983; Chen et al., 2012). This leaves the guard cell with a significant electrical imbalance and cytosolic alkalization. $K^+$ uptake compensates directly for $H^+$ efflux, at least for the charge. Likewise, $H^+$-coupled $K^+$ and $Cl^-$ uptake compensates for the charge and, to a small extent, for the alkaline load on the cytosol (Raschke and Schnabl, 1978). However, metabolism is the major source of $H^+$ protons to compensate for the alkaline load. Most of the extruded protons are replaced by the protons that are released during the accumulation of carboxylates, the synthesis of which replaces protons (Robinson and Preiss, 1985; Outlaw et al., 2002). The accumulation of carboxylates, both through synthesis within the guard cells and import from the adjacent mesophyll, also compensates electrically for $K^+$ uptake and promotes changes in the water potential for stomatal turgor.

Proton pumping across the plasma membrane requires a significant amount of energy in the form of ATP. One of the roles of guard cell metabolism is to meet the energetic demands of stomatal movements. Mitochondrial oxidative phosphorylation, along with photophosphorylation and glycolysis, are important sources of ATP. The pathways within the mitochondria, chloroplasts, and cytosol are in a delicate metabolic balance; the rapid exchange of metabolites between these subcellular compartments is an important channel of communication, ultimately coordinating the energetic and metabolic status of the cell with membrane ion transport activity. The synthesis and degradation of metabolites such as malate and Suc, therefore, is a cardinal event in guard cells, not only for osmoregulation but also for ATP generation. To what extent energy demand is distinct from carbon skeleton demand is not clear (see “Outstanding Questions”). An integrated systemic approach based on the guard cell-specific manipulation of metabolism will allow us to disentangle this mystery.
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- How do fluctuations in guard cell Suc content control stomatal movements?
- What is the relationship between proton pumping and starch degradation in guard cells?
- How are Suc and starch metabolism integrated with membrane ion transport in guard cells?
- What is the function of guard cell starch biosynthesis during stomatal closure?
- What is the mechanism that links stomatal function with mesophyll demands for CO2?
- What is the relative contribution of PEPC and Rubisco to CO2 fixation in guard cells?
- What is the signal transduction network that impinges upon PEPC?
- To what extent do the alterations in organic acid metabolism in the neighboring mesophyll cells influence stomatal behavior?
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