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The mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascade is an evolutionarily conserved signal transduction module involved in transducing extracellular signals to the nucleus for appropriate cellular adjustment. This cascade essentially consists of three components: a MAPK kinase kinase (MAPKKK), a MAPK kinase, and a MAPK, connected to each other by the event of phosphorylation. Here, we report the characterization of a MAPKKK, ABA-INSSENSITIVE PROTEIN KINASE1 (AIK1), which regulates abscisic acid (ABA) responses in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana). T-DNA insertion mutants of AIK1 showed insensitivity to ABA in terms of both root growth and stomatal response. AIK1 functions in ABA responses via regulation of root cell division and elongation, as well as stomatal responses. The activity of AIK1 is induced by ABA in Arabidopsis and tobacco (Nicotiana benthamiana), and the Arabidopsis protein phosphatase type 2C, ABI1, a negative regulator of ABA signaling, restricts AIK1 activity by dephosphorylation. Bimolecular fluorescence complementation analysis showed that MKK3, MPK6, and AIK1 interact with MKK5. The single mutant seedlings of mpk6 and mkk5 have similar phenotypes to aik1, but mkk4 does not. AIK1 was localized in the cytoplasm and shown to activate MKK5 by protein phosphorylation, which was an ABA-activated process. Constitutively active M KK5 in aik1 mutant seedlings complements the ABA-insensitive root growth phenotype of aik1. The activity of MPK6 was increased by ABA in wild-type seedlings, but its activation by ABA was impaired in aik1 and aik1 mkk5 mutants. These findings clearly suggest that the AIK1-MKK5-MPK6 cascade functions in the ABA regulation of primary root growth and stomatal response.

The phytohormone abscisic acid (ABA) is involved in a plant’s response to environmental stresses and plays crucial roles in regulating stomatal movement, seed germination, vegetative growth, and development (Zhu, 2002; Cutler et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2010; Melcher et al., 2010; Duan et al., 2013). Previous studies have revealed the core ABA signaling components that enable plants to cope with decreased water availability. It has been determined that ABA is sensed by ABA receptors such as PYR/PYL/RCARs (pyrabactin resistance/pyrabactin resistance-like/regulatory component of ABA receptors; Ma et al., 2009; Park et al., 2009). The ABA-binding proteins PYR/PYL/RCARs interact with PP2Cs (type 2C protein phosphatases, such as ABI1 and ABI2). As a downstream regulatory event in ABA signaling, the PP2Cs function as negative regulators of the ABA pathways, whereas SnRK2s (SNF1-related protein kinases) act as positive regulators of ABA signaling (Ma et al., 2009; Park et al., 2009). ABA binding to PYR/PYL/RCARs inhibits the activity of PP2Cs, and this inactivation of PP2Cs leads to the accumulation of active SnRK2s (Umezawa et al., 2009; Vlad et al., 2009). SnRK2s activate the expression of downstream ABA-responsive genes via the regulation of ABA-responsive element-binding factors (AREB or ABF; e.g. AREB1/ABF2, AREB2/ABF4, and ABF3; Choi et al., 2000; Uno et al., 2000; Fujii et al., 2007; Umezawa et al., 2009; Vlad et al., 2009).

Increasing evidence suggests that protein kinases play an important role in ABA signaling. The Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) CDK (cyclin-dependent kinase) inhibitor KRP1 (KIP-related protein 1) is highly induced by ABA, leading to the inhibition of cell
The mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascade is an evolutionarily conserved signal transduction module involved in transducing extracellular signals to the nucleus for appropriate cellular adjustment. A MAPK cascade is minimally composed of MAP kinase kinase kinase (MAPKKKs/MEKKs/MKKKs), MAP kinase kinases (MAPKKs/MEKs/MKks), and MAP kinases (MAPKs/MPKks), which sequentially phosphorylate the corresponding downstream substrates. Several MAPK cascades are involved in several ABA responses, including antioxidant defenses, guard cell signaling, seed germination, and plant growth (Liu and Zhang, 2004; Xing et al., 2008; Jammes et al., 2009; Zong et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2014; Danquah et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2015; Matsuoka et al., 2015). AtMKK1 and AtMPK6 were reported to link each other and mediate ABA-induced H$_2$O$_2$ scavenger CAT1 (catalase 1) gene expression and H$_2$O$_2$ production (Xing et al., 2008). Two other MAPK members, AtMPK9 and AtMPK12, were shown to regulate guard cell signaling in response to ABA (Jammes et al., 2009), and they function upstream of S-type anion channel activation and downstream of reactive oxygen species production, cytosolic alkalization, and [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{cyt}$ elevation in guard cell methyl jasmonate signaling (Khoson et al., 2015). A phosphoproteomic approach revealed that SnRK2 promotes ABA-induced activation of the AtMPK1 and AtMPK2 (Umezawa et al., 2013). Even though it has been recently reported that MAPKKK17/18-MKK3-MPK1/2/7/14 is an ABA-activated MAPK cascade (Danquah et al., 2015) and that MAPKKK18 controls the plant growth by adjusting the timing of senescence via its protein kinase activity in ABA-dependent matters (Matsuoka et al., 2015), the role of MAPKKKs in regulating ABA responses, such as stomatal behavior and root growth inhibition, remains largely unknown.

In this study, we found that the Arabidopsis ABA-INSENSITIVE PROTEIN KINASE1 (AIK1) gene AT3G50310, encoding MAPKKK20, plays an important role in regulating cell division and cell elongation in the primary root meristematic and elongation areas and that AIK1 is a positive regulator of ABA-induced stomatal closure. Genetic and biochemical analyses indicate that the intracellular signals are relayed and amplified through sequential phosphorylations of the AIK1-MKK5-MPK6 cascade in response to ABA, regulating the root architecture and stomatal responses.

**RESULTS**

**AIK1 Encodes MKKK20 and Its Mutants Are Insensitive to ABA in Terms of Root Growth**

To identify new modulators of ABA signaling, some ABA-responsive genes were selected from microarray data by using the AtGenExpress Visualization Tool (http://www.weigelworld.org/resources; Kilian et al., 2007), and 50 protein kinase genes that were significantly induced (more than 5-fold) by ABA were randomly selected from the ABA-responsive genes (Bai et al., 2014). T-DNA insertion mutants of these kinase genes were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (Alonso et al., 2003) for genetic analysis in a root-bending assay under the application of ABA (Murphy and Taiz, 1995; Wu et al., 1996). One of the T-DNA insertion mutants, designated *aiik1-I*, was chosen for detailed characterization because of its
insensitivity to ABA in terms of the root growth of seedlings, while ABA greatly inhibited root growth in wild-type seedlings (Supplemental Fig. S1, A and B).

AIK1 encodes a member of the MAPK family (AT3G50310, MKK20). N-terminal GFP-fused AIK1 protein was shown to be localized in the cytoplasm of the protoplasts (Supplemental Figure S2A). We used the β-glucuronidase (GUS) reporter gene to determine the expression patterns of AIK1 in detail. An AIK1 promoter-GUS fusion construct was transformed into wild-type Arabidopsis (Columbia). Histochemical staining revealed that GUS was expressed more or less in every plant part, including leaf, stem, root, flower, and silique (Supplemental Fig. S2B). This result is consistent with real-time quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR (qRT-PCR) detection results with the AIK1 expression level being highest in the roots (Supplemental Fig. S2C). AIK1 is expressed in the root meristematic and elongation zones. Indeed, the transcript of AIK1 was found to be induced by ABA (Supplemental Fig. S2D). These results indicate that AIK1 may participate in regulating ABA response in root development.

Apart from aik1-1 (Salk_049616), an independent T-DNA insertion line (Salk_124398, named aik1-2) was also obtained (Supplemental Fig. S3A). aik1-1 and aik1-2 were inserted upstream of the ATG initiation codon by T-DNA into the 340- and 235-bp locus, respectively (Supplemental Fig. S3B). RT-PCR analysis showed that AIK1 was knocked down in the homozygous aik1 mutants (Supplemental Fig. S3E).

Both aik1-1 and aik1-2 were insensitive to ABA in terms of the root growth with a dosage-dependent manner (Fig. 1, A and B; Supplemental Fig. S4, A and B). This insensitivity of aik1 to ABA was quantified by measuring the root elongation of seedlings by transferring them to Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium agar plates with or without ABA. After treatment for 3 d with different concentrations of ABA, the elongated root length was measured. At 50 μM ABA, elongated aik1-1 (3.52 ± 0.66 mm) roots and elongated aik1-2 (3.27 ± 0.48 mm) roots were longer than elongated wild-type roots (1.54 ± 0.50 mm; Fig. 1B; Student’s t test, P < 0.01). At 25 μM ABA, the aik1 roots were also longer than the wild-type roots (Fig. 1B). These results suggest that AIK1 functions in primary root growth.

To confirm this conclusion, transgenic seedlings of overexpression AIK1 in wild-type and complementation AIK1 driven by native AIK1 promoter in aik1 mutants were also tested in root-bending assay under ABA treatment. T3 progeny of hygromycin-resistant transformants were examined for AIK1 expression level (Supplemental Fig. S5A) and subjected to ABA treatment; overexpression of AIK1 lines and the complementation lines showed a similar phenotype to the wild type in terms of root growth (Fig. 1, A and B). However, when 5-d-old seedlings were transferred to MS medium containing ABA and incubated for a longer time, seedlings overexpressing AIK1 gradually exhibited yellow and eventually bleached leaves (~25 d) compared to the wild-type seedlings (Supplemental Fig. S5B). This is consistent with the fact that deficiency in AIK1 resulted in a decreased sensitivity to ABA during Arabidopsis root growth.

To determine whether the longer root elongation morphology of aik1 under ABA treatment could be the result of a disruption in cell division, cell elongation, or both, we measured the number and size of root tip cells in wild-type and aik1-1 seedlings loaded with the FM4-64 probe after ABA treatment under a laser scanning confocal microscope (LSCM). The wild-type plants showed a shorter distance from the root tips to the maturation zone than the aik1 mutants (Supplemental Fig. S6, A–C). The data indicated that ABA inhibited the growth of the elongation zone and decreased the total number of root cells from the tip to the elongation zone in both wild-type and aik1 seedlings (Fig. 1, C and D), but aik1 roots had much longer and more pronounced elongation zones than the wild type. The wild-type plants showed shorter elongation zones (Supplemental Fig. S6C; Student’s t test, P < 0.05) and crowded root hairs at the primary root tips (Supplemental Fig. S6A, green arrowheads). In addition, the total number of cells from root tip to elongation zone was greater in aik1 than in wild-type seedlings (Fig. 1C). The epidermal cells in the elongation zone of mutant roots were significantly longer than those in the wild-type roots; cell length reached an average of 27.20 ± 2.62 μm for aik1-1 and 24.78 ± 1.80 μm for aik1-2 plants compared with 22.46 ± 2.32 μm for wild-type seedlings (Fig. 1D; Student’s t test, P < 0.05). These results suggest that the decreased sensitivity to ABA during root tip growth in the absence of AIK1 manifests as increased cell length in the elongation area and an increased number of cells from the root tip to the elongation zone.

Deficiency of AIK1 Impairs Stomatal Responses under Dehydration and Application of ABA

To test whether mutation of AIK1 disturbs stomatal behavior, we also compared the rates of water loss in aik1 mutants and wild-type plants under dehydrated conditions. The data indicate that the rate of water loss in aik1 mutants was about 10% greater than that in wild-type plants (Fig. 2A). When both wild-type and aik1-1 mutants alternated under light and dark conditions, significant changes were observed in the stomatal conductance (gₛ). Specifically, when transitioning from dark to light, after just 30 min of light exposure, both plants showed increased gₛ with aik1 mutants showing a much steeper increase (222.33 ± 17.75 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹) compared to the wild type (188.36 ± 13.37 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹; Supplemental Fig. S7; Student’s t test, P < 0.05).

Measurements of the stomatal aperture showed that the degree of opening of the aik1 mutants’ stomata without ABA treatment was consistent with that seen in the wild-type plants (Fig. 2B). However, the stomatal
closing in \textit{aik1} plants was less sensitive to ABA than that in wild-type plants at 10, 20, and 50 \(\mu M\) ABA (Fig. 2B; Student’s \(t\) test, \(P < 0.01\)). The average sizes of the stomatal aperture of the wild type were 3.35 \(\pm\) 0.60 \(\mu m\), 2.00 \(\pm\) 0.42 \(\mu m\), and 1.48 \(\pm\) 0.34 \(\mu m\), or 49.5, 29.6, and 21.9% of the control value, at 2 h after treatment with 10, 20, and 50 \(\mu M\) ABA, respectively; those of \textit{aik1-1} were 4.70 \(\pm\) 0.63 \(\mu m\), 3.90 \(\pm\) 0.23 \(\mu m\), and 3.47 \(\pm\) 0.56 \(\mu m\), or 72.3, 60.0, and 53.4% of the control value, at the same time point.

In addition, the \textit{aik1} mutants exhibited slightly greater stomatal density (Fig. 2C; Student’s \(t\) test, \(P < 0.01\)) and significantly reduced pavement cell density (Fig. 2C; Student’s \(t\) test, \(P < 0.01\)) compared to wild-type plants. Therefore, the stomatal index was significantly increased, by 10.8 and 11.0\%, in \textit{aik1-1} and \textit{aik1-2} (Student’s \(t\) test, \(P < 0.01\)), respectively. The total epidermis cell numbers (including guard cells and pavement cells) are the same between wild-type and \textit{aik1} mutants (Supplemental Fig. S8). Consistent with a role in stomatal signal transduction, high levels of GUS expression were detected in the guard cells of \textit{AIK1} promoter-GUS transgenic plants (Fig. 2D). Together, these data suggest that the AIK1 protein is involved in the modulation of ABA-mediated stomatal behavior, the level of leaf stomatal density, and the size of stomatal aperture and, as a consequence, the extent of transpirational water loss.

**AIK1 Possesses Protein Kinase Activity Regulated by Protein Phosphatase 2C**

The AIK1 protein sequence was analyzed using a protein sequence analysis and classification tool (InterPro, http://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/). The N terminus of AIK1 was shown to be a kinase domain, including an ATP binding site and an active site, and the C terminus of AIK1 may be a regulatory domain (Supplemental Fig. S9). Therefore, HIS-tagged AIK1 and two truncated segments of AIK1, M313 (1–313 amino acids) and M270 (1–270 amino acids), were prepared and verified using anti-HIS antibodies (Supplemental Fig. S9; Fig. 3A). We analyzed the kinase activity of AIK1 with myelin-basic protein (MBP) as a substrate for the assay. As shown in Figure 3A, AIK1 kinase activity was at very high levels in terms of autophosphorylation and MBP phosphorylation. The fragments of AIK1, M313 and M270, also showed similar patterns of phosphorylation but low levels of autophosphorylation, which indicate that the C-terminal region of AIK1 is associated with autophosphorylation activity. To further test AIK1
kinase activity, an inactive form of AIK1 (AIK1K36M) was generated by site-specific mutation in a kinase-dead mutant (Supplemental Fig. S9). As shown in Figure 3B, AIK1K36M shows no protein kinase activity. ABI1, a type 2C protein phosphatase, which contains a putative MAPK interaction motif, is a negative regulator of ABA signaling (Gosti et al., 1999; Schweighofer et al., 2007). To further analyze the role of AIK1 in ABA signaling, we performed an in vitro kinase assay using HIS-AIK1 and HIS-ABI1. AIK1 activity was monitored using MBP as a substrate. Remarkably, AIK1 exhibited reduced kinase activity, with the observed MBP phosphorylation ratios of ABI1/AIK1 increasing from 1:16 to 1:1 (Fig. 3C). Deactivation of AIK1 (AIK1*; AIK1-1, AIK1-2, and AIK1-3, dephosphorylated form of AIK1) by ABI1 resulted in a faster mobilization band on the Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining gel. We speculate that this variance in mobilization observed for AIK1 and AIK1* may be due to the dephosphorylation of AIK1 by ABI1. Thus, the liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) data from tryptic digests of AIK1 and three AIK1* bands indicated that nearly all phosho-Tyr, phosho-Thr, and phosho-Ser were detected, and phosphorylated amino sites were gradually decreased with the increase of ABI level in the reaction mixture (Supplemental Table S2). An equal amount of SnRK2.6 was used as a positive control. As shown in Figure 3C (left panel), ABI1 can completely to deactivate SnRK2.6 at a ratio of 1:2. The dephosphorylated form of SnRK2.6 had a faster mobilization band in the Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining gel. These data suggest that there may be many phosphorylation sites in AIK1, and the deactivation of AIK1 by ABI1 is the downstream event of ABA signaling.

To analyze the effects of ABA treatment on AIK1 activity, we performed in vivo phosphorylation assay experiments using 35S:AIK1-FLAG transgenic Arabidopsis and tobacco (Nicotiana benthamiana) plants transiently transformed with Agrobacterium tumefaciens carrying the 35S:AIK1-FLAG vector. Two-week-old 35S: AIK1-FLAG Arabidopsis seedlings were treated with ABA, FLAG-AIK1 was purified from protein extract with anti-FLAG agarose beads, and equal amounts of AIK1-FLAG were incubated in the kinase reaction...
mixture containing MBP as a substrate. Phosphorylation of MBP by FLAG-AIK1 was activated at 10 min after the treatment with ABA (Fig. 3D). The same result was obtained from 6-week-old tobacco plants transiently transformed with agrobacteria carrying the 35S:AIK1-FLAG vector. Compared to FLAG-AIK1 from leaves without ABA treatment, the phosphorylation of MBP by AIK1 was significantly activated after 10 min of ABA treatment, peaked at 30 min, and then decreased (Fig. 3E). However, no obvious autophosphorylation activity of AIK1 was found in the assays, for little amount of AIK1 was used in these experiments (Fig. 3D).
AIK1 and ABA Responses in Arabidopsis

D and E). The inconsistent time course for ABA-activated AIK1 in Arabidopsis and tobacco suggests different ABA-regulated patterns in these two species of plants. These results clearly indicate that the kinase activity of AIK1 is triggered by ABA treatment in planta.

AIK1 Interacts with MKK5 and Is Required for MKK5 Activation in ABA Signaling in Terms of Root Growth

On the basis of sequence homology of the kinase domains to mammalian MAPKs, there are at least 10 putative Arabidopsis MAPKks. To identify the possible downstream targets that can be activated by and interact with AIK1 in the MAPK cascade in ABA-regulated root growth response, several biochemical and genetic screen approaches were employed.

In the first set of experiments, we searched for an AIK1 interaction partner from among 10 MKKs using a bimolecular fluorescence complementation approach (Walter et al., 2004). AIK1 was fused to the N-terminal fragment of YFP (AIK1-YFP\textsuperscript{N}), while MKK1 to MKK10 were fused to the C-terminal fragment of YFP (MKK1-YFP\textsuperscript{C} to MKK10-YFP\textsuperscript{C}). As Figure 4A shows, YFP fluorescence was only observed in the cytoplasm of Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts cotransformed with AIK1-YFP\textsuperscript{N} and MKK4-YFP\textsuperscript{C} or AIK1-YFP\textsuperscript{N} and MKK5-YFP\textsuperscript{C}. No interaction was found between AIK1 and other members of MKKs (e.g., MKK6-YFP\textsuperscript{C}, which was used as a negative control; Fig. 4A). Protein interaction between AIK1 and MKK5 was confirmed using microscale thermophoresis (MST; Wienken et al., 2010). The data indicate that AIK1 bound to MKK5 with a dissociation constant (K\textsubscript{d}) of 109.95 ± 36.56 nm (Fig. 4B), which demonstrated a high affinity of AIK1 for MKK5. In contrast, MKK2 as a negative control did not show similar interaction with AIK1. We further examined the possible interaction in vivo by using coimmunoprecipitation assay in tobacco leaves expressing MKK5-GFP and AIK1-FLAG. The data show that MKK5 was pulled down by AIK1 (Fig. 4C). Together, these results indicate that MKK5 of the MKK family might be the substrate of AIK1.

To explore which MKK is involved in response to ABA in terms of root growth, we performed quantitative ABA response screens of MKK loss-of-function mutants collected from various T-DNA insertion resources (Alonso et al., 2003; Supplemental Fig. S10A). The T-DNA insertion sites are shown in Supplemental Figure S10B; both mkk4 and mkk5 were gene down-regulated mutants (Supplemental Fig. S10, C and D). The wild-type and various mutant plants were grown under the same conditions for 5 d and then transferred to MS medium containing ABA for 3 d. Similar to aik1 mutants, the mkk5 mutant plants showed ABA-insensitive phenotypes in terms of primary root growth (Fig. 4, D and E). By contrast, mkk4 mutant seedlings showed an ABA-sensitive phenotype in terms of primary root growth, similar to the wild type (Supplemental Fig. S10, E and F). In addition, the rate of water loss of mkk5 mutants is higher than that of the wild type (Fig. 4F), and the expression level of MKK5 was induced by ABA in both the wild type and aik1 (Supplemental Fig. S10 G). These results were consistent with aik1 mutants, suggesting that MKK5 and AIK1 might have similar functions in ABA responses.

The direct physical interaction between AIK1 and MKK5 and the genetic evidence on AIK1 and MKK5 prompted us to test whether MKK5 is a substrate for AIK1. To test whether AIK1 can phosphorylate MKK5, an inactive form of FLAG-MKK5 was generated by site-specific mutation, replacing the conserved Lys residue in the kinase ATP-binding loop with an Arg: MKK5\textsuperscript{K99R} (K99R; Ren et al., 2002). HIS-tagged AIK1 and FLAG-tagged MKK5\textsuperscript{K99R} were expressed in Escherichia coli BL21 (DE3) cells, and the purified proteins were verified using anti-HIS and anti-FLAG antibodies. In vitro kinase assays were subsequently performed using the AIK1 and MKK5\textsuperscript{K99R} proteins. As Figure 4G shows, MKK5\textsuperscript{K99R} was phosphorylated by AIK1, suggesting that MKK5 is indeed a substrate of AIK1. These findings suggest that AIK1 is the protein kinase responsible for the phosphorylation of MKK5 in regulating ABA responses.

Functional Complementation of the ABA-Insensitive Root Growth in aik1 by Constitutively Active MKK5 in aik1 Mutant Plants

In Arabidopsis, MKK5\textsuperscript{DD} is the DEX (dexamethasone)-induced constitutively active mutant (Ren et al., 2002). It has been also suggested that MKK5\textsuperscript{DD} transgenic lines may induce gene silencing (Liu and Zhang, 2004). In fact, the expression level of MKK5 showed decreased expression in the MKK5\textsuperscript{DD} (without DEX) seedlings compared with the wild-type plants, which is similar to a knockdown allele of MKK5. However, no difference was found in the MKK9 expression level between the MKK5\textsuperscript{DD} and wild-type seedlings (Supplemental Fig. S11, A and B). Thus, MKK5\textsuperscript{DD} lines showed insensitivity of primary root growth up to 50 μM of ABA in a root bending assay on MS medium without DEX (Supplemental Fig. S11, C and D). Upon ABA treatment, the elongated length of MKK5\textsuperscript{DD} roots was nearly 3 times greater than that of wild-type seedlings (Supplemental Fig. S11D); the distance from the root tip to the elongation zone of MKK5\textsuperscript{DD} was longer than in wild-type seedlings after exposure to ABA (Supplemental Fig. S11, E-G), and the difference of the root length between MKK5\textsuperscript{DD} and the wild type was mainly because of the different elongation zone lengths (Supplemental Fig. S11G). We also compared the rates of water loss in MKK5\textsuperscript{DD} with wild-type plants under dehydrated conditions; this rate in MKK5\textsuperscript{DD} was greater than that in wild-type plants, similar to the findings in aik1 and mkk5 mutants (Figs. 2A and 4F; Supplemental Fig. S11H). These results indicate that the reduced expression of MKK5 in MKK5\textsuperscript{DD} induced the ABA-insensitive root growth and a higher water transpiration rate.

Initially, constitutively active MKK5 in MKK5\textsuperscript{DD} by exposure to 0.2 μM DEX for 2 d showed an
ABA-inhibited root growth phenotype, similar to that in the wild type (Supplemental Fig. S11, I and J). However, when the plants grew on DEX-containing MS medium for 12 d, the M KK5DD plants were smaller than the wild-type seedlings. The long-lasting activation of M KK5 even led to yellowish leaves of the seedling and finally to the death of plants when they grew on the ABA- and DEX-containing MS medium (Supplemental Figure 4).

**Figure 4.** A I K1 interacts with and phosphorylates M KK5 and participates in the process involved in the ABA regulation of root growth and stomatal closure. A, A I K1 interactions with M KK4 and M KK5. Epifluorescence, transmission, chloroplast autofluorescence, and merged images of Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts cotransfected with constructs expressing different fusion proteins. Vectors expressing A I K1-YFP and M KK6-YFP were used as negative controls. B, Dissociation curve for the interaction of A I K1 with M KK5. Quantification of binding affinity between A I K1 and M KK5 was obtained by MST analysis. M KK2 was used as a negative control. Error bars represent ± SD of three independent measurements. C, Interaction of A I K1 and M KK5 proteins in vivo. The total protein extracts from tobacco leaves cotransfected with 35 S:A I K1-FLAG/35 S:GFP or 35 S:A I K1-FLAG/35 S: M KK5-GFP were immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG Sepharose beads. The proteins from crude lysate (left, input) and immunoprecipitated proteins (right) were detected with anti-FLAG and anti-GFP antibodies. D and E, Effects of ABA on root growth of the wild type, a i k1, and m kk5 mutants. Five-day-old seedlings were transferred to MS medium supplemented with or without 50 μM ABA. Root length was measured 3 d after the seedlings had been transferred to ABA-containing medium. Values are the means of ± SD of three independent experiments. Asterisks indicate significant differences from control at **P < 0.01 by Student’s t test. F, Analysis of transpirational water loss. Approximately 4-week-old rosette leaves were detached and allowed to dry at room temperature for the indicated periods. Water loss is presented as a percentage of initial fresh weight (n = 5 per sample), and three independent experiments were repeated. G, A I K1 can phosphorylate M KK5KR in vitro. A I K1 and M KK5KR were detected by immunoblotting using HIS and FLAG antibodies.
AIK1-MKK5 Functions Upstream of MPK6

On the basis of several in vitro and in vivo studies, the downstream targets of MKK5 are believed to be MPK3 and MPK6 (Wang et al., 2007, 2010; Liu et al., 2008) and is involved in regulating stomatal development and patterning, ethylene signaling during the cell death process, and nitric oxide production and signal transduction in response to hydrogen peroxide during Arabidopsis root development. However, whether MPK6 and MPK3 are downstream of AIK1-MKK5 in the response to ABA was unclear. We analyzed their interactions using a bimolecular complementation approach. MKK5 was fused to the C-terminal fragment of YFP (MKK5-YFP\(^{\text{C}}\)), whereas MPK3 and MPK6 were fused to the N-terminal fragment of YFP (MPK3-YFP\(^{\text{N}}\) and MPK6-YFP\(^{\text{N}}\)). YFP fluorescence was observed in the cytoplasm of Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts cotransformed with MKK5-YFP\(^{\text{C}}\) and MPK3-YFP\(^{\text{N}}\) or MKK5-YFP\(^{\text{N}}\) and MPK6-YFP\(^{\text{N}}\). MKK5-YFP\(^{\text{C}}\) and YFP\(^{\text{N}}\) did not show any fluorescence signal as negative controls (Supplemental Fig. S12A). This result suggests that MKK5 associates with MPK3 and MPK6 in vivo. The expression level of MPK3 and MPK6 is also induced by ABA in wild-type and aik1-1 seedlings (Supplemental Fig. S12, B and C), similar to the expression pattern of MKK5 (Supplemental Fig. S10G).

To test whether MPK3 and MPK6 have functions similar to those of AIK1 and MKK5 in ABA-regulated root growth and development, T-DNA insertion knockout mutants of MPK3 and MPK6 were used for genetic phenotype analysis. The 5-d-old wild-type and various mutant seedlings were transferred to MS medium containing ABA for 3 d. Both mpk3 and mpk6 were insensitive to ABA in terms of primary root growth, in that the elongated root length was clearly greater than in the wild type in the ABA-containing medium (Fig. 6, A and B; Supplemental Fig. S12, D and E). aik1 was used as a control. Under ABA treatment, the relative root length of mpk6 mutants was shown to be significantly insensitive to ABA compared with the wild type (Supplemental Fig. S12F).

To test whether AIK1-MKK5 is also required for the activation of MPK6 and MPK3 in response to ABA, we used an in-gel kinase assay with MBP as the embedded kinase substrate to measure the activity of these two kinases in the wild-type and mutant plants. As shown in Figure 6C, the application of 100 \( \mu \) M ABA resulted in the strongest activation of MPK6 in wild-type seedlings, but no clear MPK3 activity was observed. The NaCl-treated mpk3 and mpk6 seedlings were used as controls to confirm the position of the MPK6 in the \( ^{32}\)P autoradiogram film (Supplemental Fig. S12G). Compared with wild-type plants, the activity of MPK6
had no significant difference in the *mkk4* single mutant at different time points, but was clearly reduced in *aik1* and *mkk5* mutants when the seedlings were exposed to ABA for 5 and 30 min (Fig. 6D). The transient activation of MPK6 as shown in Figure 6D may be due to that MAPK signaling strength and/or duration could be tightly controlled. Consistent with the wild type, the primary root growth of *mkk4* mutant seedlings was inhibited by ABA; however, the root length of *nik1* and *mkk5* plants was insensitive to ABA compared to the wild type (Figs. 1A and 4D; Supplemental Fig. S10E), suggesting that M KK5 and AIK1 are required for the ABA activation of MPK6, but M KK4 is not. These findings indicate that the AIK1-MKK5-MPK6 cascade is activated by ABA and regulates primary root growth and development.

**AIK1 Genetically and Enzymatically Interacts with MKK5 and MPK6 in the ABA Regulation of Root Growth**

Since M KK5-MPK6 was found to be molecularly connected to AIK1 in terms of the ABA response, we wanted to determine the physiological significance of such an interaction. We generated two sets of double mutants, namely, *aik1 mpk6* and *aik1 mkk5*, through genetic crosses and examined the morphology of the mutant seedlings in response to ABA. After transfer to ABA-containing medium for 3 d, the root growth of *aik1 mkk5* was more insensitive to ABA than that of the *aik1* single mutant. Although *aik1 mpk6* mutant plants displayed similar root length to the *aik1* single mutant, the seedling growth of *aik1 mpk6* mutants exhibit a more severe insensitivity to ABA compared to the single mutants (Supplemental Fig. S13, A and B). Thus, these data suggest that MPK6 functions downstream of AIK1 and M KK5 in the regulation of ABA-mediated root growth (Fig. 7, A and B; Supplemental Fig. S13).

To further determine whether AIK1 and M KK5 were involved in the activation of MPK6 by ABA, endogenous kinase activity of MPK6 in the wild type, AIK1 overexpression transgenic seedlings, and *aik1* knockdown mutants was determined after ABA treatment using in-gel kinase assay. Before ABA treatment, the MPK6 activity was hardly detected both in wild-type and AIK1-OE1 seedlings. In response to ABA, a transient (for 5 and 15 min) increase in MPK6 activity was observed in the wild-type and AIK1-OE1 seedlings (Fig. 7C). By contrast, in *aik1, mkk5*, and *aik1 mkk5* mutants, there are
more significant decreases in MPK6 activity compared with that in the wild type upon exposure to ABA (Fig. 7, D and E). Since the activation of MPK6 in aik1-1 and aik1-1 mkk5 was still observed, there might be AIK1 knock-down effects and/or MAPKKK redundant function involved in the response to ABA.

Meanwhile, under ABA treatment, the AIK1-dependent MPK activity was also tested in tobacco plants because salicylic acid-induced protein kinase and wound-induced protein kinase are the orthologs of AtMPK6 and AtMPK3, respectively. As shown in Figure 7F, overexpressing AIK1 in tobacco increased the activity of salicylic acid-induced protein kinase and wound-induced protein kinase under ABA treatment. However, the inactive form of AIK1 (K36M), used as a control, shows a very weak activation. Taking all our findings together, we present a model describing the ABA-activated MAPK cascade and how it regulates root growth and stomatal responses (Fig. 7G).

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we revealed that AIK1 plays an important role in the primary root growth and guard cell signaling in response to ABA. We have shown that the...
mutation of AIK1 leads to insensitivity to ABA in terms of guard cell signaling, primary root growth, and development in Arabidopsis. Further experiments have indicated that, upon exposure to ABA, the stomatal closing in aik1 plants was less sensitive to ABA than that in wild-type plants, the cell numbers from root tip to the elongation zone were greater in aik1 than in wild-type seedlings, and the cell length in the elongation zone of aik1 was longer than in wild-type seedlings. We have also shown that the intracellular signals were relayed and amplified through sequential phosphorylations of the AIK1 (M KK20)-M KK5-M PK6 cascade, in response to ABA for regulating primary root growth (Fig. 7G).

AIK1 (M KK20) Is an Important Modulator of ABA Signaling

It has been documented that several components of distinct MAPK signaling cascades involved in transcriptional regulation, protein accumulation and stability, as well as kinase activity are induced by ABA, indicating that MAPK pathways play an important role in ABA signaling in Arabidopsis (Xing et al., 2008; Jammes et al., 2009, 2011; Salam et al., 2013; Danquah et al., 2014, 2015; Han et al., 2014; López-Bucio et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2015; Matsuoka et al., 2015). However, there are few reports concerning the involvement of MAPKKK in the modulation of ABA signaling. Here, we reported that the transcriptional level of AIK1 was up-regulated by ABA, and down-regulation of AIK1 in aik1 mutants resulted in primary root growth that was insensitive to ABA (Fig. 1A). AIK1 mutations have been shown to cause ABA-insensitive stomatal closing and rapid water loss resulting from transpiration (Fig. 2, A and B). This finding was supported by the data showing that AIK1 expression occurred preferentially in guard cells (Fig. 2D). More importantly, the phosphorylation activity of AIK1 is activated by ABA in planta (Fig. 3, D and E). We conclude that AIK1 mediates a primary ABA-dependent response in Arabidopsis.

In the absence of an activating signal, the constituents of the AIK1 cascade return to an inactive dephosphorylated state, suggesting a role for phosphatases in the regulation of the MAPK pathway. Supporting this conclusion is the observation that PP2C ABI1 inactivates AIK1 kinase activity for MBP, at least in vitro (Fig. 3C). Similarly, ABI1 interacts with MAPKKK18 and inhibits its activity by promoting proteasomal degradation of MAPKKK18 (Mitula et al., 2015). These observations raise the possibility that the broad substrate specificity of PP2C (ABI1, ABI2, or HAB1) for MAPKKKs contributes to the generalized maintenance of MAPKKKs in an inactive state when lacking activation signal (e.g. ABA).

Upon treatment with ABA, the cell number from the root tip to the elongation zone was shown to be greater in aik1 than in wild-type seedlings (Fig. 1C), and the cell length in the elongation zone of aik1 was longer than that in wild-type seedlings (Fig. 1D). It has been reported that an Arabidopsis receptor kinase, PERK4, mediates cell elongation not cell division in ABA-inhibited root growth (Bai et al., 2009). It has also been shown that one of the CDK inhibitors, ICK1/KRP1, functions to reduce cell division rate through decrease of endoreduplication (Wang et al., 2000; Schnittger et al., 2003). Therefore, it is important to analyze the relationship between AIK1 and PERK4 or KRP1, which will further reveal regulatory mechanisms controlling AIK1 activity in response to ABA signal. This may improve understanding of the roles of the MAPK cascade in ABA-modulated root growth.

Consistent with increased cell number in roots, the number of stomata in aik1 was also increased compared with that in the wild type (Fig. 2C). Loss-of-function mutants of YODA (YDA), a MAPKKK, have clustered stomata, whereas gain-of-function mutation of YDA results in no stomatal differentiation (Bergmann et al., 2004). Both gain- and loss-of-function data also show the involvement of YDA in the regulation of localized cell proliferation and inflorescence architecture, which further shapes the morphology of plant organs (Meng et al., 2012). Therefore, AIK1 is another MAPKKK involved in the regulation of plant development. Alternatively, there may be some overlap of developmental regulation between AIK1 and YDA in stomatal development. However, this overlapping function in ABA responses needs to be further tested.

M KK5-M PK6 Are the Downstream Targets of AIK1 in ABA Signaling

An analysis of protein interactions showed that only MKK4 and MKK5 could interact with AIK1 in vivo (Fig. 4, A and C). In addition, AIK1 can phosphorylate MKK5 in vitro (Fig. 4G). AIK1 and MKK5 were shown to be localized in the cytoplasm (Fig. 4A; Supplemental Fig. S2A), and their expression levels were up-regulated by ABA (Supplemental Figs. S2D and S10G), which indicated that MKK5 may be the substrate of AIK1 in response to ABA. The aik1 and the mkk5 T-DNA insertion mutants exhibited similar morphology in terms of their stomatal response and root growth (Figs. 1A, 2A, 4D, and 4F). Constitutively active MKK5 in the aik1 mutant plants complemented the ABA-insensitive primary root growth phenotype in aik1 (Fig. 5, A and B). MKK4 and MKK5 share high sequence similarity, with 78% identity at the protein sequence level. MKK4 and MKK5 together with MPK3 and MPK6 were also previously shown to be involved downstream of several MAPKKKs and to function in plant responses to environmental stimuli (Tena et al., 2001; Zhang and Klessig, 2001; Nakagami et al., 2005; Pedley and Martin, 2005). MKK4 and MKK5 are functionally redundant in stress-responsive signaling pathways; they are regulators of stomatal development and patterning (Yang et al., 2001; Ren et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2007). However, it is likely that MKK4 and MKK5 have different functions in regulating primary root growth and development.
upon the disruption of these genes, only mkk5 exhibited a similar phenotype to aik1 (Supplemental Fig. S10E).

The observation that ABA-induced increase in MPK6 kinase activity was clearly decreased in aik1 and mkk5 mutants and increased in AIK1 overexpression lines suggests that AIK1 and MKK5 are required for ABA-triggered activation of MPK6 (Figs. 6, C and D, and 7, D and E). The activity of MPK6 was increased in the wild type and mkk4 upon treatment with ABA, but the activity of MPK6 was not consistent with those in aik1 and mkk5 when treated with ABA (Fig. 6, C and D); this result indicates that MKK4 may not be involved in the ABA activation of MPK6 and AIK1-dependent MAPK cascade pathway. Interestingly, the expression levels of MKK5 and MPK6 were up-regulated in wild-type seedlings when exposed to ABA, and the disruption of AIK1 increased their transcriptional levels (Supplemental Figs. S10G and S12C); this indicates that AIK1 may affect MKK5 and MPK6 activities at the transcriptional level or by posttranscriptional protein regulation. The similar morphologies of the aik1, mkk5, and mpk6 mutants and the phosphorylation analysis suggest that the AIK1-MKK5-MPK6 cascade pathway regulates ABA-responsive primary root growth and development.

The direct activation of components of MAPK cascade by ABA has been identified in several stress responses (Xing et al., 2008; Jammes et al., 2009). Recently, a complete ABA-activated MAPK cascade of MAPK has been established, in which MAPKKK17/18-MKK3, MPK3, MPK9, and MPK6 also did not interact with MKK5 (Fig. 4A), because AIK1 does not interact with MKK3 (Fig. 4A), and MAPKKK18 in ABA-regulated senescence. However, they apparently do not have completely overlapping functions in the ABA-triggered MAPK cascade because AIK1 does not interact with MKK3 (Fig. 4A), and MAPKKK18 also did not interact with MKK5 (Matsuoka et al., 2015). The diversity of MAPK pathway components might help plant to adapt to different environmental conditions.

One question that arises in this context is why MPK6 activity was only slightly decreased in aik1 and mkk5 mutants upon exposure to ABA. The main reason for this may be the multifunctional nature of MPK6, which plays essential roles in plant stress responses, growth, and development (Nakagami et al., 2005; Xing et al., 2008, 2009; Schikora et al., 2011; Dutilleul et al., 2012; Xu et al., 2015; Xu and Zhang, 2015). Actually, MPK6 is a common target among several MAPK cascades (Wang et al., 2007; Meng et al., 2012; Směkalová et al., 2014). Another possibility is the mutants of AIK1 and MKK5 used for phenotype analysis are the knockout mutants (the aik1 knockout mutant probably is lethal). Therefore, there may have been small amounts of AIK1 and MKK5 functioning in ABA-responsive root growth regulation in these mutants. In addition, MAPK signaling in plants involves the redundancy of signaling components, antagonism among distinct pathways, and both positive and negative regulatory mechanisms, so there may be other components participating in ABA regulation of plant growth, development, and environmental responses. For example, earlier reports demonstrated that MPK9 and MPK12 redundantly function as positive regulators downstream of reactive oxygen species production and [Ca^{2+}]_{i} oscillation in the ABA-induced stomatal closure (Jammes et al., 2009; Salam et al., 2013). Although AIK1 and MKK5 could modulate both root growth and stomatal response, MPK6 just has a significant effect on root growth. It would be possible that there may be other downstream MAPKs (e.g. MPK9 or 12) of AIK1-MKK5 to regulate ABA signaling in guard cells. Therefore, evidence for direct interaction of MPK9/12 with MKK5, which acts as a downstream component of AIK1-MKK5 to regulate ABA-induced stomatal closure, is crucial and of great interest.

Moreover, considering the hypersensitivity of ABA or the tolerance of ABA to the loss of function of one or several ABA signaling transduction constituents, it will be interesting to develop conditional rescue systems and partial loss-of-function materials using overexpression of dominant-negative and RNA interference lines containing aik1 and other components of MAPK cascade (e.g. MKK5 and/or MPK6). The combination of this genetic analysis with a biochemical analysis of the protein interaction network of MAPKK and MKK should further clarify whether they indeed represent a novel ABA signaling cascade and shed much needed light on the currently poorly understood plant MAPK composition in ABA signal transduction.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials, Mutant Screens, and Characterization and Generation of Double Mutants

Seedlings were grown vertically on 0.5× MS media (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) supplemented with 0.6% (w/v) agarose for 10 d in controlled environmental conditions of 21°C and a 16-h-light/8-h-dark photoperiod. The illumination intensity was 150 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Holman et al., 2010). Plants were transferred to soil for 12 to 15 d for other experiments in controlled environmental conditions of 21°C and a 12-h-light/12-h-dark photoperiod, with the illumination intensity is 150 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹.

The T-DNA insertion lines for ABA responses in Arabidopsis

The T-DNA insertion lines for AIK1 used in this study were aik1-1 (Salk_049616) and aik1-2 (Salk_124398). The T-DNA insertion lines for MPK6 used in this study were mpk6-1 (Salk_050387), mpk6-2 (Salk_047977), and mpk6-3 (Salk_050700). The T-DNA insertion lines for MPK3 and MPK6 were mpk3 (Salk_100651; Xu et al., 2008), mpk3-3 (Salk_127507), and mpk6 (Salk_073907; Wang et al., 2010). The double mutant of AIK1 and MKK5 used in this study was aik1-1 mkk5-1, and the double mutant of AIK1 and MPK6 used in this study was aik1-1 mpk6-3. The primers to check the T-DNA insertions were designed by the SIGnAL iSect tool (http://singal.salk.edu/tdnaprimers.2.html), and PCR was performed using genomic DNA from seedlings (Supplemental Table S1). To generate double mutants, the alleles aik1-1 and aik1-2 were both crossed with...
mkk5-1, creating aik-1 mkk5-1 and aik-1 mkk5-1. Because similar results were obtained with these double mutants, we present data only from the analysis of aik-1 mkk5-1.

To generate the overexpression and complementation transgenic lines, the coding sequence of wild-type AIK1 was placed under the control of the constitutive 35S promoter of cauliflower mosaic virus and introduced into Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana; Columbia) for overexpression, and the coding sequence of AIK1 under the control of the native AIK1 promoter (1,507 bp, upstream of the initiation codon ATG of AIK1) was introduced into aik1 mutant plants for complementation lines by Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation. T3 progeny of hygromycin-resistant transformants were used for experiments.

For gene expression analysis, RNA was extracted from 2-week-old seedlings grown on MS plates, and RNA was purified by RNA pure kit from Tiangen. Reverse transcription was performed using the M-MLV RTase cDNA synthesis kit from Promega. Real-time PCR was performed on the cDNA reverse transcribed from three independent RNA samples using Takara SYBR Premix Ex Taq II RR820A. The cDNA was diluted 10-fold and then used as a template for qRT-PCR amplification. qRT-PCR was performed with the Applied Biosystems 7500 Real Time PCR system using SYBR Green to monitor double-stranded DNA products. ACTIN2 was used as an internal control. All experiments on gene expression analysis were repeated at least three times. Primer sequences for the PCR are presented in Supplemental Table S1.

Measurement of Root Tip and Cell Lengths

For cell membrane dye analysis, 4- to 5-d-old seedlings were grown on MS plates with 12% (w/v) agarose and transferred to ABA-containing 1% MS plates for 3 to 4 and 1.2% MS plates without ABA as a control. The seedlings were stained with FM4-64 [N-(3-triethylammoniumpropyl)-4-(p-dimethylaminoethyl)-hexatrienyl]-pyridinium dibromide] (Invitrogen F34653) according to procedures previously described (Levesque et al., 2006; Brunoud et al., 2012).

A ruler was imaged alongside the samples and used to calibrate measurements. The root tip length for wild-type and aik1 seedlings, with or without ABA treatment, was measured under a confocal microscope (Zeiss 710). The root tip refers to the portion of the root from the root apex to the beginning of the primary root tip fibril. Root cells were stained with 1 μM FM4-64 for 1 to 5 min, and then the lengths of epidermal cells at the root tip were measured as described earlier (Lally et al., 2001; Bai et al., 2009) using LSCM. Epidermal cells in an array from the tip to the elongation region were counted.

Bioassays of Water Loss

For water loss measurement, rosette leaves of wild-type and mutant plants were detached, placed in weighing dishes, and incubated on the laboratory bench. The data were collected by water loss measurement system software (Sartorius SQP). Losses in fresh weight were monitored at the indicated times. Water loss is expressed as the percentage of initial fresh weight.

Estimation of Stomatal Conductance, Stomatal Aperture, and Density

Gas exchange measurement was performed on the aerial tissues of about 25-d-old seedlings using a portable gas exchange fluorometer system (GFS-3000; Heinz Walz) equipped with a 3010-A Arabidopsis chamber (Hashimoto-Sugimoto et al., 2004) and a cuvette for Arabidopsis conditions was set at a relative humidity cited at 488 nm and detected at 500 to 560 nm for GFP or excited at 514 nm and 570 nm for YFP. Absolute ethanol (99%, Wako Pure Chemical Industries) was added to the cuvette to bring the water loss to 530 to 570 nm for YFP, and detected at 650 to 750 nm. FM4-64 was excited at 514 nm and detected at 600 to 700 nm. Transmitted field was collected simultaneously for merging images.

Recombinant Protein Expression in Escherichia coli

For in vitro protein expression, the DNA regions containing the coding region of AIK1 and MKK5 were amplified and inserted in frame into the plasmid pET-22a (Novagen). Mutations of MKK5 were introduced by the Fast Mutagenesis System (TransGen Biotech). pET-AIK1, pET-M313 (1–313 amino acids), pET-M270 (1–270 amino acids), and a construct that expressed FLAG-MKK5CR (K99R, Ren et al., 2002) were introduced into E. coli BL21 (DE3) cells. The recombinant HIS-tagged proteins were purified using Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Primer sequences for the PCR are presented in Supplemental Table S1.

Phosphorylation Assay

The in vitro kinase assay was performed as described previously (Liu and Zhang, 2004). Recombinant HIS-tagged AIK1, M313, and M270 (10 μg) were used to phosphorylate MBP or recombinant protein FLAG-MKK5CR purified

A. tumefaciens-mediated Transformation

A. tumefaciens-mediated transient transformation experiments in tobacco (Nicotiana benthamiana) were performed as previously described (Yang et al., 2001). Six-week-old tobacco plants grown at 25°C in a growth room programmed for a 14-h-light/10-h-dark cycle were used for experiments. The tobacco leaves were infiltrated with agrobacteria cells that carry pLS07-AIK1-cFLAG and pLS07-AIK1K36M-cFLAG constructs, and the transgenic tobacco leaves were sprayed with 100 μM ABA 4 d later.
from E. coli (1:10 = enzyme:substrate ratio) with 50 μM ATP and γ-32P-ATP (0.1 μCi per reaction) in 50 mL of reaction buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, and 10 mM MgCl2). The reactions were stopped by the addition of SDS loading buffer after incubation at 30°C for 30 min. The phosphorylated MKK5 was visualized by autoradiography after separation on a 10% SDS-polyacrylamide gel. After electrophoresis, the gel was exposed to a Kodak X-OMat film for 10 h. For dephosphorylation assay, proteins were incubated without the addition of γ-32P-ATP. The phosphorylation of the substrates was visualized and quantified with a Bioimaging Analyzer Tool (ImageJ, http://rsweb.nih.gov/ij/). The data were analyzed according to the tutorial in ImageJ: Using ImageJ to Quantify Gel Images.

For dephosphorylation assay of AIK1 and SnRK2.6 by ABI1, HIS-AIK1 (78.7 ng) and HIS-SnRK2.6 (78.7 ng) were preincubated with different ratios of HIS-ABI1 protein (119–434 amino acids) in 25 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.4, 12 mM MgCl2, and 0.1 mM EDTA for 30 min at room temperature. The unincorporated radioactivity was subsequently removed by washing the gel for 5 h at room temperature with five changes of the gel. The gel was dried on Whatman 3MM paper and subjected to autoradiography. Prestained size markers (NEB) were used to calculate the sizes of the kinases.

**LC-MS/MS Analysis**

Phosphorylation reaction mixtures were separated by SDS-PAGE (10% gel); bands corresponding to AIK1, AIK1-1, AIK1-2, and AIK1-3 proteins were excised from the Coomassie Brilliant Blue-stained gel and subjected to in-gel digestion using trypsin (Promega) to specifically digest the carboxyl end of the peptide bond in Arg and Lys overnight. The reaction was quenched by the addition of 0.1% formic acid (DIMA Technology) to consume the remaining trypsin. Ten microliters of the resulting peptide mixtures was subjected directly to LC-MS/MS analyses. LC-MS/MS analysis was performed using a hybrid mass spectrometer Q-Exactive (Thermo Scientific). The unincorporated radioactivity was subsequently removed by washing the gel for 5 h at room temperature with five changes of the gel. The gel was dried on Whatman 3MM paper and subjected to autoradiography. Prestained size markers (NEB) were used to calculate the sizes of the kinases.

**Microscale Thermophoresis Analysis of AIK1 and MKK5**

Specific interaction between AIK1 and MKK5 was measured by the MST method (Duhr and Braun, 2006; Seidel et al., 2013; Ribeiro et al., 2014). Unlabeled AIK1 was titrated into a fixed concentration of fluorescent bodipy MKK5 (nm; Monolith NT Protein Labeling Kit RED-NHS), and the mixture of labeled and unlabeled molecules was centrifuged at 10,000g for 5 min. The labeled MKK2 was used as a negative control. Binding reactions were carried out in buffer containing 25 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, and 150 mM NaCl. Samples were loaded in NT.115 standard treated capillaries (NanoTemper Technologies) immediately after blending well to avoid unspecific adsorption. Before MST measurement, the reaction was incubated at room temperature for 10 min mounted in the monolith NT.115 apparatus (NanoTemper Technologies). The data for MST analysis were recorded at 25°C using the red LED at 60% (green filter; excitation 515–525 nm and emission 560–585 nm) and infrared laser power at 50%. Data analyses were performed with NT Analysis software.

**Accession Numbers**

Sequence data from this article can be found in the Arabidopsis Genome Initiative or GenBank/EMBL databases under the following accession numbers: **AIK1/MKK20**, AT3G30310; **MKK2**, AT4G29810; **MKK4**, AT1G51660; **MKK5**, AT5G21220; **MK6**, AT5G35680; **MK9**, AT1G73500; **MPK6**, AT2G34790; **MPK3**, AT3G45640; **ABI1**, AT4G20880; and **ACTIN2**, AT3G18780.

**Supplemental Data**

The following supplemental materials are available.

**Supplemental Figure S1.** Screening for the aik1-1 mutant.

**Supplemental Figure S2.** The AIK1 protein localization in cells and gene expression in different tissues.

**Supplemental Figure S3.** Screening and identification of the AIK1 mutants.

**Supplemental Figure S4.** aik1 plants were insensitive to ABA in root growth with a dose-dependent manner.

**Supplemental Figure S5.** Overexpression of AIK1 showed sensitive to ABA in the inhibition of plant growth and development.

**Supplemental Figure S6.** AIK1 negatively regulates root cell division and cell elongation.

**Supplemental Figure S7.** Stomatal conductance in response to light and dark in wild-type and aik1 mutant plants.

**Supplemental Figure S8.** Total cell numbers of the leaf epidermis in wild-type and aik1 mutants.

**Supplemental Figure S9.** The sequence analysis of AIK1 protein by InterPro (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro/) and sketch map of the expressed protein sequence of AIK1.

**Supplemental Figure S10.** Decreased sensitivity of root growth to ABA in aik1 and mkk5 mutants, but not mkk6.

**Supplemental Figure S11.** Decreased sensitivity to ABA in root growth and water loss in MKK5 plants.

**Supplemental Figure S12.** Decreased sensitivity of root growth to ABA in mpk3 and mpk6 mutants.
Supplemental Figure S13. Comparative analysis of alk1-1, mkl5, and smp6 single and double mutants in response to ABA in terms of seedling growth.

Supplemental Table S1. List of the primers used in all experiments.

Supplemental Table S2. LC-MS/MS assay of the phosphorylation sites of ALK1.
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