Chilling stress is a major factor limiting plant development and crop productivity. Because the plant response to chilling is so complex, we are far from understanding the genes important in the response to chilling. To identify new genes important in chilling tolerance, we conducted a novel mutant screen, combining a confirmed SALK T-DNA insertion collection with traditional forward genetics. We screened a pool of more than 3700 confirmed homozygous SALK T-DNA insertion lines for visible defects under prolonged growth at 5°C. Of the chilling-sensitive mutants we observed, mutations at one locus were characterized in detail. This gene, At1g45231, encodes an Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) trimethylguanosine synthase (TGS1), previously uncharacterized in the plant kingdom. We confirmed that Arabidopsis TCS1 is a functional ortholog of other trimethylguanosine synthases based both on its in vitro methyltransferase activity and on its ability to rescue the cold-growth inhibition of a Sarcochilus hartmannii tgs1.4 mutant in vivo. While tgs1 mutant plants grew normally at 22°C, their vegetative and reproductive growth was severely compromised under chilling conditions. When we transgenically expressed TGS1 in the mutant plants, the chilling-sensitive phenotype was relieved, demonstrating that TGS1 is required for chilling tolerance.

Low temperature is a critical environmental stress for many plants. Cold reduces seed germination, inhibits vegetative growth, lowers reproductive success, slows seed and fruit development, and even threatens survival; it also limits the geographical distribution of plants (Levitt, 1980; Wang, 1990; Zinn et al., 2010; Barrero-Gil et al., 2016). Plant species originating in temperate regions accommodate to cold and survive even when exposed to prolonged freezing temperature (Thomashow, 1999). In contrast to such chilling-tolerant species, more than half of plant species on earth have origins in tropical regions, and many of these chilling-sensitive plants may be seriously damaged or killed when exposed to low, nonfreezing temperatures. The chilling damage that occurs between 0°C and 15°C is sometimes subtle, and distinct from freezing damage that occurs when temperatures fall below 0°C. Chilling-sensitive plants undergo a range of physiological changes when exposed to low temperatures, including increased electrolyte leakage through the plasma membrane and decreased photosynthetic capacity (Lyons, 1973; Allen and Ort, 2001). The diverse external symptoms of plant chilling injury include cessation of growth, wilting, chlorosis, necrosis, and eventual death.

The economic importance of chilling injury to world agriculture has been recognized for more than 100 years because chilling has a large impact on crops. Many significant crops have their origins in tropical regions and are chilling sensitive, including rice (Oryza sativa), maize (Zea mays), cucumber (Cucumis sativus), cotton (Gossypium hirsutum), and soybean (Glycine max; Lyons, 1973; Wang, 1990; Ma et al., 2015; Lv et al., 2016). Understanding the mechanisms of chilling sensitivity and improving chilling tolerance of these crops would greatly benefit world food supplies, both by directly reducing crop damage and by expanding arable acreage into colder areas (Wang, 1990; Foyer et al., 2002).

Many studies of chilling sensitivity have focused on the physiological and biological processes contributing to chilling injury, with a view to developing technological methods to ameliorate chilling damage (Lyons, 1973; Wang, 1990; Guan et al., 2009; Lin and Block, 2010; Lukatkin et al., 2012). These investigations are hampered by poor understanding of the complex changes produced under chilling stress, making determination of which specific changes are critical to chilling stress difficult. In fact, plant responses to chilling treatments alter almost all aspects of growth and metabolism (Usadel et al., 2008). Efforts to improve chilling tolerance have included genetic crossing of chilling-sensitive plants with related but chilling-tolerant species, as in tomato (Solanum lycopersicum) and orchid (Sarcochilus hartmannii) (Vallejos and Pearcy, 1987; Patterson and Reid, 1990). Unfortunately,
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the chilling tolerance of such interbred crops proved unstable in subsequent generations. A new approach based on mutant analysis could overcome the limitations of previous studies by detecting individual determinants of chilling tolerance and sensitivity, with a view to creation of crops with greater resistance to chilling damage.

While chilling-sensitive plants evolved in warm regions without selection pressure to favor low-temperature growth, plants evolving in cooler climates have necessarily developed chilling tolerance mechanisms to thrive in temperate regions. Mutation of such chilling-resistant plants, followed by screening for phenotype defects under chilling conditions, should pinpoint loci that enable chilling tolerance, providing valuable genetic information and identifying the mechanisms of chilling damage. The model plant Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) is a chilling-resistant plant whose use has drastically accelerated discovery in all fields of plant biology. Arabidopsis is amenable to mutation and screening for phenotypes, and mutant loci are readily identified (Provart et al., 2016). This model plant can therefore be used to identify chilling tolerance mechanisms that may be broadly applicable to the plant kingdom (Porat and Guy, 2007), with the potential of incorporating the findings into horticulturally important chilling-sensitive plants (Tokuhisa, 1999).

Arabidopsis plants mutagenized either by chemical means or by T-DNA insertion have previously been screened for chilling phenotypes and key gene loci revealed. For example, _pfc1_ encodes an 185 rRNA demethylase required for chloroplast development at low temperature (Schneider et al., 1995; Tokuhisa et al., 1997, 1998). In addition, mutants in the _fatty acid biosynthesis1_ gene suffer collapse of photosynthesis and degradation of chloroplasts when exposed to low temperature for long periods, due to changed membrane lipids (Wu et al., 1997; Gao et al., 2015). The importance of two Arabidopsis chloroplast RNA binding proteins to chilling tolerance through their role in chloroplast RNA processing was revealed by mutant analysis (Kupsch et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2016). While the gene loci so far identified affect either membrane lipid synthesis or chloroplast RNA processing, the complexity of changes induced by chilling suggests that many more loci important to chilling tolerance are yet to be identified.

To discover novel genes important to chilling stress, we chose to exploit a large Arabidopsis genetic resource, the confirmed SALK T-DNA insertion mutant pools. These pools are intended to blanket the entire Arabidopsis genome with one insertion in each gene (Provart et al., 2016). When this mutant resource is combined with traditional forward genetics, time-consuming gene mapping is avoided since the insertion locus for each line has been identified. In addition, because the pools are intended to contain only one insertion for each gene, no locus will be repeatedly identified. We screened more than 3700 confirmed homozygous SALK T-DNA insertion lines under chilling conditions and selected one chilling-sensitive mutant for detailed analysis. The mutant gene (At1g45231) encodes an Arabidopsis trimethylguanosine synthase (TGS1) previously uncharacterized in the plant kingdom. We confirmed that the Arabidopsis TGS1 is a functional ortholog of other trimethylguanosine synthases based both on its in vitro methyltransferase activity and on its ability in vivo to rescue the cold growth inhibition phenotype of a _Saccharomyces cerevisiae_ tgs1Δ mutant. While three independent lines with insertions in this locus grew normally at 22°C, both vegetative and reproductive growth of mutants were severely compromised under the chilling conditions. When we expressed _TGS1_ as a transgene in these plants, their chilling-sensitive phenotype was relieved, demonstrating that the mutations in _tgs1_ caused chilling sensitivity.

RESULTS

Isolation of a Chilling-Sensitive Mutant

A collection of independent, confirmed SALK lines were obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (ABRC); each T-DNA line contains a single insertion whose location has been determined by genomic sequencing (Alonso et al., 2003). All 3739 lines were screened under chilling conditions at 5°C for a period of 8 to 20 weeks; 41 T-DNA lines that exhibited a visible phenotype under these conditions were identified (Supplemental Table S1). When we grew these selected lines at 22°C, 12 of them had visible phenotypes at the warmer temperature that were similar to their cold phenotypes and were not further investigated, since their defect was not specific to the cold. Eight of the remaining mutants were in loci that had already been examined by others. We sought second alleles of the remaining uncharacterized cold-sensitive mutants to confirm that the phenotype was replicated with another lesion in the same locus, but for 13 lines, no second allele was available. For only one of the remaining eight lines did the second allele have a chilling-sensitive phenotype like the allele detected in the primary screen (Supplemental Table S1), so we chose to examine in detail the lines mutant at this locus.

The plant line detected in the screen carried the _SALK_084665 insertion and exhibited a very strong visible phenotype when grown at 5°C but grew normally at 22°C. The insertion in _SALK_084665 was characterized as interrupting the last of 12 exons in At1g45231 (Fig. 1). The second allele we obtained, _SALK_071651, was annotated as interrupting the same open reading frame in exon eight. When tested, _SALK_071651 exhibited the same chilling phenotype as _SALK_084665 and also grew normally at 22°C. We later identified and characterized a third allele, _SALK_020980, an insertion in the fifth exon of the same gene (Fig. 1), whose phenotype was indistinguishable from the first two alleles. We examined expression of At1g45231 in the insertion lines using primers designed to amplify the full-length open reading frame, using cDNA reverse-transcribed from RNA isolated from each mutant line and from wild type control plants. Amplification from the wild
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When we analyzed the protein sequence of AtTGS1 using the cNLS Mapper algorithm (http://nls-mapper.iab.keio.ac.jp), a putative nuclear localization signal was identified between residues 507 and 537 (Fig. 2A). Submission of the protein sequence to the Plant Membrane Protein Database (http://aramemnon.uni-koeln.de) also indicated that TGS1 was likely targeted to the nucleus based on combined analysis of several independent prediction algorithms (Schwacke et al., 2007). To experimentally determine the location of AtTGS1 protein within plant cells, we created a GFP fusion to the C terminus of full-length AtTGS1 then cloned this AtTGS1-GFP fusion construct under control of the Cauliflower Mosaic Virus 35S constitutive promoter. When we transiently expressed the fusion in protoplasts of tobacco BY-2 cell lines and collected images by confocal microscopy, the GFP signal appeared highly concentrated in the nucleus and was also visible in the cytoplasm around the cell periphery (Fig. 3). When we treated the living protoplasts with Hoechst stain to identify nuclei and photographed them under UV illumination, the GFP and Hoechst fluorescence signals overlapped (Fig. 3), confirming that fusion of TGS1 to GFP caused it to be concentrated in the nucleus, although some AtTGS1-GFP remained in the cytoplasm.

AtTGS1 Is an Active Methyltransferase

Both yeast and human TGS1 proteins catalyze methyl transfer from 5-adenosyl-Met to 7-methylguanosine 5’-diphosphate (m7GDP) to form hyper-methylated m2,7GDP in vitro (Hausmann and Shuman, 2005; Hausmann et al., 2008). To test whether Arabidopsis TGS1 was a functional ortholog of these proteins, we repeated attempts to purify the protein from Escherichia coli engineered to express the full-length TGS1 protein failed; only insoluble inclusion bodies were produced. Instead, we fused the nucleotide sequence coding for DsRed protein or boiled AtTGS1 produced no methyl

Localization of AtTGS1

Figure 1. TGS1 mutations confirmed. A, TGS1 gene with T-DNA insertion sites indicated. Black boxes are exons, the white box is the 3’UTR; At1g45231 has no identified 5’UTR. B, Left, genotyping of tgs1-1 and tgs1-2 by T-DNA and gene-specific primers; right, shows RT-PCR analysis of TGS1 transcript levels in wild type (WT), tgs1-1, and tgs1-2 mutants. C, Similar analysis of chromosomal DNA and RT-PCR for tgs1-3.
transfer to m7GDP (Fig. 4B), but there was trimethylguanosine synthase1 activity in the reaction containing the DsRed-AtTGS1(201–538) fusion protein. The results show that the AtTGS1 protein methylated m7GDP. When we repeated the assay with different controls, the AtTGS1 fusion protein methylated m7GDP in parallel reactions with the human TGS1 protein (Supplemental Fig. S1).

AtTGS1 Complements S. cerevisiae Mutant tgs1Δ

TGS1 is vital to synthesis of methylated guanosine cap structures in yeast, and deletion of the single tgs1 locus produces yeast that grow poorly at low temperatures (Monecke et al., 2009; Mouaikel et al., 2002). To test the functionality of AtTGS1 in vivo, we cloned the open reading frame representing the protein into the yeast constitutive expression vector pMK195 (Overvoorde et al., 1996) and transformed it into a yeast strain deleted in tgs1 (tgs1Δ; Hausmann et al., 2008). Growth of tgs1Δ is similar to that of wild type at 28°C, but under cold conditions growth is inhibited, and at 18°C tgs1Δ yeast growth is severely retarded (Mouaikel et al., 2002). When we compared the growth of the tgs1Δ mutant yeast to the same strain except transformed with the AtTGS1 expression construct, AtTGS1 expression significantly recovered the ability of the yeast to grow at 18°C (Fig. 5). To confirm that complementation of the yeast cold growth inhibition was caused by methyltransferase activity of AtTGS1, we introduced mutations at conserved residues (Hausmann et al., 2008) in the methyltransferase domain, D397A and W444A (Fig. 2). Neither of these variant proteins complemented the inhibited growth of yeast tgs1Δ at 18°C (Fig. 5); AtTGS1 not only complements yeast tgs1Δ phenotype but does so dependent on amino acids required for methyltransferase activity.

Homology of the 538 amino acid protein sequence of AtTGS1 to the yeast and human TGS1 proteins is strong in the C-terminal portion of the protein, including the methyltransferase domain (pfam09445) of AtTGS1 is underscored by double lines, and the predicted nuclear localization signal is indicated by the plus signs. For AtTGS1, residues 276 to 538 are shown, and for HsTGS1 gene, the final 278 amino acids are shown. For ScTGS1, the final 43 residues of the sequence are not shown. B, The AtTGS1 sequence contains a WW domain, pfam00397. The introduced mutation W192A discussed in the text is indicated by "(A)," and the ΔWW (169–192) deletion is marked by the double arrow.

Figure 2. Sequence comparison of TGS1 proteins. A, Aligned portions of AtTGS1 with TGS1 from human (Hs) and yeast (Sc). The amino acid variants D397A and W444A are indicated by "(A)." The methyltransferase domain (pfam09445) of AtTGS1 is underscored by double lines, and the predicted nuclear localization signal is indicated by the plus signs. For AtTGS1, residues 276 to 538 are shown, and for HsTGS1 gene, the final 278 amino acids are shown. For ScTGS1, the final 43 residues of the sequence are not shown. B, The AtTGS1 sequence contains a WW domain, pfam00397. The introduced mutation W192A discussed in the text is indicated by "(A)," and the ΔWW (169–192) deletion is marked by the double arrow.
sequence. Human TGS1 also has an N-terminal sequence without homology to the yeast sequence, in this case 575 amino acids long, although there is no detectable homology between the human and Arabidopsis N-terminal regions (20% identity). Within the Arabidopsis N-terminal region, amino acids 169 to 192 encode a WW domain, recognized by amino acid homology to other proteins (Bedford et al., 2000). When we created a specific AtTGS1 variant that removed the WW domain (amino acids 169–192; AtTGS1ΔWW), expression of the variant protein still complemented the tgs1D mutation and relieved the inhibition of yeast growth at 18°C (Fig. 5), demonstrating that the activity of the WW domain was inessential to AtTGS1 cold growth restoration in yeast.

Phenotypic Analysis of tgs1 Mutants

To examine the details of the tgs1 growth defect throughout the Arabidopsis growth cycle, we cultivated wild-type and tgs1 plants under both normal and chilling conditions. None of the tgs1 mutants had any visible vegetative phenotype when grown at 22°C. The tgs1 mutants grew normally with no leaf distortion or lesions. Seed germination, establishment on soil, plant size, time of flowering, the development of tgs1 buds and flowers, and seed set were the same as in wild type (Fig. 6), and they senesced at the same time as wild-type plants. We used fluorescence analysis (Wu et al., 1997) to measure the potential quantum yield of PSII (Fv/Fm) for rosette leaves of plants growing at 22°C. The results indicated no significant difference between wild type (Fv/Fm = 0.82 ± 0.03) and tgs1-1 (Fv/Fm = 0.81 ± 0.01, both se; n = 5). We measured the growth rate of the plants by collecting the above-soil portions of three to five plants at intervals over their growth to maturity, weighed the tissue, and constructed a growth curve based on the average fresh weight of the aerial portions. The results showed that growth of the mutant and wild type were equal at 22°C (ω = 0.21, ±0.03; Fig. 6E). We measured seed yield for the wild type and two tgs1 mutants and found that at 22°C the mutants produced seed at levels comparable to the wild type (wild type 0.27 mg/plant, tgs1-1 0.23 ± 0.02, tgs1-2 0.27 ± 0.02 se; n = 28 plants).

To characterize the chilling sensitivity of the tgs1-1 mutants, we first used the same chilling regimen as for our original screen: Wild-type and mutant seedlings were grown at 22°C for 10 d then transferred to 5°C. After 20 d at the lower temperature, mild defects in both
tgs1-1 and tgs1-2 were evident; mutant leaves were clearly smaller than those of wild type (Fig. 7A). The plants continued to grow at 5°C, and after a total of 35 d in the cold, the tgs1 mutants were significantly smaller than wild-type plants, and their leaves were not only much smaller but sickly in appearance; young leaves were more curled than in wild type, and old leaves were bleached and brown compared to wild-type leaves (Fig. 7, B and C). Leaf senescence in mutant lines also appeared much earlier than in wild-type plants (Fig. 7; Supplemental Fig. S3). However, both wild-type and tgs1 mutant plants survived the prolonged cold treatment. Measurements of fresh weight of the above-ground portions of the plants under chilling conditions showed a clear difference in growth rate between the mutant and the wild type when the plants were cultured at 5°C, with \( \mu^2 \) of wild type 0.070 ± 0.06, and of tgs1-1 0.044 ± 0.004 (Fig. 7D). When the tgs1 plants had been grown in the cold for a total of 150 d, the wild-type plants were much larger and produced normal buds, flowers, and seeds. The tgs1 mutant plants were very small and sickly, and the buds and flowers were defective; no seeds were produced from the mutant plants after 150 d at 5°C (Fig. 8A).

To test whether these tgs1-1 bud and flower defects simply resulted from the small and sickly vegetative phenotype, we grew both the mutant and wild-type plants at 22°C for 45 d, rather than just 10 d, before subjecting them to chilling stress at 5°C. Under this regimen, tgs1-1 plants still produced smaller rosettes with smaller individual leaves than wild type, but the vegetative parts of the plants appeared healthy throughout their growth. After 51 d of growth at 5°C, all plants produced buds and flowers. However, the buds and flowers of the mutant appeared to be much smaller than those of wild type and defective in form (Fig. 8B; Supplemental Fig. S3A), demonstrating that AtTGS1 is specifically important to bud and flower development under chilling conditions. To determine whether the tgs1 mutant phenotype could be seen at temperatures above 5°C, the wild type and tgs1 mutant were grown at 10°C for 90 d after a 12 d preparatory growth at 22°C. The tgs1-1 mutant plants were slightly smaller, and the plants had shorter stems than wild type. However, the tgs1-1 plants finished their life cycle normally, with flowers exhibiting minor defects compared to wild type (Fig. 8C; Supplemental Fig. S3B).

**Expression of TGS1 Relieves tgs1-1 Chilling Sensitivity**

We constructed a plant transformation vector to express the AtTGS1 open reading frame under control of its native promoter. After we transformed tgs1-1 plants with this construct, we confirmed the presence of the TGS1 expression cassette by PCR. Three independent transgenic lines were analyzed by RT-PCR to confirm expression of the TGS1 construct. When these lines were subjected to chilling at 5°C, they displayed normal wild-type plant size, larger than the tgs1-1 mutant plants, and remained as healthy as the wild-type plants (Fig. 9), demonstrating that expression of AtTGS1 overcomes the chilling sensitivity produced by the T-DNA insertion in At1g45231. We similarly expressed a variant of TGS1...
into which we had introduced a mutation, W192A, disrupting the WW domain found in the TGS1 N-terminal sequence (Fig. 2), and a second variant, TGS1ΔWW, which completely removed the conserved WW domain (Fig. 2). Expression of either the W192A variant or the AtTGS1ΔWW construct equally overcame the chilling sensitivity of tgs1Δ (Supplemental Fig. S4), demonstrating that the WW domain is not essential for TGS1 complementation of the tgs1Δ chilling-sensitive phenotype and providing further evidence that the chilling-sensitive phenotype is caused by the mutation at tgs1.

DISCUSSION

Chilling is not always detrimental to plants; the physiology of temperate plants is not only able to adapt to cold, leading to increased freezing tolerance (Knight and Knight, 2012; Miura and Furumoto, 2013), but many plants even rely on cold weather both to correctly time bud dormancy and to achieve proper germination and reproduction (Holdsworth et al., 2008; Penfield and Springthorpe, 2012). However, important crops have been introduced into temperate climes from tropical or subtropical habitats and are subject to reduced growth and tissue damage from chilling temperatures (Lyons, 1973; Wang, 1990; Lukatkin et al., 2012; Gao et al., 2015; Barrero-Gil et al., 2016). This chilling sensitivity has major effects on world food supplies (Vinocur and Altman, 2005; Thakur et al., 2010), affecting tomato, cucumber, maize, rice, cotton, and a host of other crops (Paull, 1990). Determining which genes are important to chilling sensitivity may lead to amelioration of chilling damage and could be a key step in converting sensitive crops to chilling-tolerant ones, either by molecular breeding or by molecular-genetic modification.

However, chilling produces a response in hundreds of genes (Thomashow, 2010; Zinn et al., 2010), and we are still far from understanding the totality of loci important to chilling sensitivity. The molecular and genetic tools available for the chilling-tolerant plant Arabidopsis make it a powerful resource for identifying chilling sensitivity determinants (Provart et al., 2016). Mutation followed by phenotypic screening for cold-sensitive Arabidopsis can identify determinants that are different between cold-tolerant and cold-sensitive plants. Indeed, several key loci important to chilling sensitivity have been previously identified through Arabidopsis screens (Schneider et al., 1995; Tokuhisa et al., 1997, 1998; Truernit et al., 2008).

Here, we report an initial screen of 3739 individual Arabidopsis lines with indexed T-DNA insertions

---

**Figure 5.** Arabidopsis TGS1 expressed in tgs1Δ yeast. Wild-type (WT) yeast, top, grows well at either 28°C (left) or 18°C (right). Yeast lacking TGS1 (tgs1Δ) grow like wild type at 28°C but fail to grow at 18°C. Expression of the AtTGS1 protein restores tgs1Δ to substantially wild type growth at 18°C. When expressed proteins have mutations in the methyltransferase domain, AtTGS1(D397A) and (W444A) yeast fail to grow at 18°C. Expression of AtTGS1 deleted in the WW domain, AtTGS1ΔWW, still confers ability of the yeast mutant to grow in the cold. Both wild-type and tgs1Δ yeast were transformed with empty pMK195 vector for this experiment. The AtTGS1ΔWW clone was analyzed simultaneously with the others but on a separate media plate. For each strain, 20 μL of an overnight culture adjusted to OD = 1.0 was spotted on the plate, along with 10-fold dilutions of each culture as indicated.
(Alonso et al., 2003) for plants that are phenotypically wild type at 22°C but suffer damage or reduced growth at 5°C. To date, our screen has identified 29 putative mutants with these characteristics (Supplemental Table S1). We focused on one line, SALK_084865, which contains a T-DNA insertion in At1G45231. When two additional T-DNA insertional alleles in the gene exhibited the same chilling-sensitive phenotype, we decided to characterize the role of the encoded enzyme, TGS1, in low-temperature growth of the plant.

All three homozygous mutant tgs1 insertion lines grew normally at 22°C (Fig. 6). They showed leaf development, color, and shape were indistinguishable from that of the wild type. When we measured the potential quantum yield of PSII, it was also essentially the same as wild type, and the leaves aged as did those of the wild type, without yellowing or formation of lesions. A growth curve based on measurements of above-soil fresh weight demonstrated that mutant growth was equivalent to wild type at 22°C (Fig. 6). As the plants reached maturity, they were the same size and bolted at the same time as wild type. The formation of flower clusters, maturation of individual flowers, and set of seed were all the same as seen in wild-type plants at normal 22°C temperature (Fig. 6).

The tgs1 mutants were dramatically impaired during the chilling-sensitive screening protocol, when they were transferred to 5°C for 150 d after preliminary growth at 22°C (Figs. 7 and 8). The leaves were both very small and deformed in shape and the rosettes tiny. The leaves of the mutant turned yellow long before those of the wild type, and necrosis developed at the leaf margins (Fig. 7; Supplemental Fig. S2). Even when we delayed the chilling stress until the plants were 45 d old, the individual leaves of the mutant were clearly smaller as they continued to grow at 5°C, producing smaller rosettes (Supplemental Fig. S3). An additional growth experiment that transferred plants to 10°C after 12 d at 22°C produced plants that were smaller than the wild type but healthy (Supplemental Fig. S3).

When we created transgenic plants expressing the TGS1 coding region under control of its native promoter, the chilling defects of the tgs1 mutation were relieved (Fig. 9), establishing that in Arabidopsis TGS1 expression is pivotal to chilling tolerance. The tgs1 phenotype was equally relieved by expression of the alternate forms of tgs1 either mutated (W192A) or deleted (Δ169–192) in the WW domain sequence (Fig. 2), indicating that this sequence is not required for chilling resistance (Supplemental Fig. S4).

Disruption of flower development was especially evident in tgs1 mutants grown at 5°C. Under the conditions of the original screen, the flowers were extremely small and obviously deformed in structure, and neither petals, stamens, nor pistils formed normally (Fig. 8A); tgs1 mutant
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**Figure 6.** Growth and reproduction of tgs1 mutants at 22°C. A, Plants were grown at 22°C for 12 d; B, 22°C for 21 d total. C, Wild type (WT) and tgs1-1 after 22°C for 32 d. D, Flowers of plants in C. Clusters of flower buds, a single flower bud, and mature flowers. E, Mutant tgs1-1 and wild-type plants have the same growth rate, as measured by fresh weight; the growth rate (m⁻¹) for each plant line is ± 0.03. Bars = 1 cm in A and B, 4 cm in C, and 1 mm in D.
plants produced no seed under long-term chilling treatment. When we examined growth after 45 d of 22°C growth followed by a shift to 5°C, leaf damage was reduced but \textit{tgs1} flower development was still aberrant (Fig. 8B). Growth and reproduction largely recovered when plants were cultivated at 10°C, although the flowers of these small plants had minor defects (Fig. 8C).

Correct intracellular targeting is important to TGS1 function; analysis of mutant forms of yeast and human TGS1 proteins that are incorrectly targeted show reduced trimethylation activity (Boon et al., 2015). Sequence analysis of the predicted AtTGS1 protein indicated that it might be targeted to the nucleus, and when we expressed a TGS1-GFP fusion protein in tobacco cells, nuclear targeting was evident, although some protein also appeared outside the nucleus (Fig. 3). In yeast, TGS1 is primarily located in the nucleolus (Mouaikel et al., 2002), but the targeting of Arabidopsis TGS1 is more like that seen in metazoans, where TGS1 has been detected both in the nucleus and the cytoplasm (Zhu et al., 2001; Erün̈lü et al., 2003; Komonyi et al., 2005).

The AtTGS1 protein is a sequence ortholog of the other TGS1 proteins in the highly conserved RNA methyltransferase domain common to both yeast and human TGS1 proteins (Mouaikel et al., 2002, 2003). The domain was easily recognized within the C-terminal
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Figure 8. Development and reproduction of tgs1-1 under chilling conditions. A. Wild type and tgs1 mutant plants grown at 22°C for 12 d, followed by 150 d at 5°C. Left, whole plants; right, full flower clusters, developing flower buds, and mature flowers. B. Plants grown at 22°C for 45 d, followed by 51 d at 5°C. C. Plants grown 12 d at 22°C followed by 90 d at 10°C. Full flower cluster, developing flower buds, and mature flowers. Photos of the whole plants for B and C are in Supplemental Figure S3.
A hypermodified 2,2,7-trimethylguanosine (TMG) cap structure terminates many noncoding RNAs in eukaryotic species, including those of plants (Ghosh and Lima, 2010). TMG cap formation depends on TGS proteins and plays a key role in biogenesis of both small nuclear RNA (snRNA) and a subset of small nucleolar RNA (snoRNA), molecules that have important roles in a wide range of cellular functions (Burroughs et al., 2014). Although plant homologs to yeast and animal TGS proteins have been noted (Mouaiel et al., 2002) and expression analysis of one homolog conducted (Siena et al., 2014), there has previously been no characterization of TGS1 in plants.

TGS1 mutants of other organisms have been analyzed. In yeast, deletion of *tgs1* eliminates the TMG caps on snRNAs important to splicing of premRNA, and on some snoRNAs that are normally hypermethylated for maturation of prerRNA (Mouaiel et al., 2002); yeast TGS1 protein is found concentrated in the nucleolus, and its location is important to its snoRNA methylation activity (Boon et al., 2015). Yeast TGS1 plays an additional role in methylation of telomere RNA (Franke et al., 2008; Tang et al., 2012). Notwithstanding its roles in all these functions, the yeast *tgs1Δ* phenotype is confined to inhibited growth in the cold (Mouaiel et al., 2002) and failure to sporulate (Qiu et al., 2011). Unlike yeast *tgs1Δ*, loss of TGS1 has dire consequences for multicellular animals. Mutation of TGS1 (*T08G11.4*) in *Caenorhabditis elegans* causes larval lethality (Zipperlen et al., 2001). *Drosophila* TGS1 is required for adult viability in both muscle and neurons (Komonyi et al., 2005; Provart et al., 2016), and loss of TGS1 function is lethal in the pupal stage. Disruption of *tgs1* in mice similarly caused early embryonic lethality (Jia et al., 2012), establishing that TGS1 proteins are essential for many higher organisms.

It is therefore somewhat surprising that neither growth nor reproduction of Arabidopsis *tgs1* mutants is altered at regular growth temperatures; these plants grow at the same rate as wild type and proceed normally through their vegetative and reproductive life cycle (Fig. 6). One explanation for survival of *tgs1* mutants may be that some functions of TGS1 in plants apparently differ from other organisms. Arabidopsis and other plants have abundant small nucleolar snoRNAs (Brown et al., 2003), but these have gamma-monomethyl phosphate caps, unlike the TMG caps of metazoans (Shimba et al., 1992); their activities are likely independent of TGS1 activity. This accords with proteomics data, which does not identify AtTGS1 in the nucleolus (Brown et al., 2005; Pendle et al., 2005), and with the results of our GFP fusion analysis, which showed no nucleolar concentration of TGS1 (Fig. 3). Telomere maintenance in Arabidopsis is also different from in animals, since telomerase is expressed only in meristem tissue, not in all tissues as for metazoans. Arabidopsis mutants without telomerase exhibit no dramatic phenotypic defects until the seventh generation, and viable seed are produced at least until the ninth generation (Akiyama et al., 2001), a much slower response to telomere loss than in mice (Amiard et al., 2014).

260 amino acids of AtTGS1 (Fig. 2). To determine if the Arabidopsis protein was also a functional TGS1 ortholog, we first demonstrated its activity using an in vitro assay. We were unsuccessful in purifying full-length AtTGS1 from an *E. coli* expression system, similar to the difficulty reported with expressing the full-length human protein (Hausmann et al., 2008). The difficulty purifying the human protein may be due to its long N-terminal extension, which mediates self-association (Boon et al., 2015); the AtTGS1 protein also has an N-terminal extension of 275 residues with no recognizable homology to human TGS1. When we expressed a fusion of the C-terminal 338 residues of AtTGS1 to DsRed in *E. coli* and purified the protein (Fig. 4A), it was an active trimethylguanosine synthase (Fig. 4B). The AtTGS1 fragment represents the region of the protein most conserved in TGS1 protein family (Fig. 2), with strong homology to the fragment of the human protein used to establish its activity in vitro (Supplemental Fig. S2).

We also tested TGS1 activity in vivo by expressing AtTGS1 in a *S. cerevisiae* strain deleted for *tgs1*. The *tgs1Δ* cold-growth inhibition (Hausmann et al., 2008) was relieved by expression of AtTGS1 (Fig. 5). Conservation of sequence in the methyltransferase domain allowed us to specifically mutagenize conserved residues D397A and W444A (Fig. 2), (Hausmann et al., 2008), and the resulting mutant proteins failed to relieve the yeast cold-growth inhibition. These results demonstrate that the rescue of yeast cold growth at 18°C was dependent on the conserved methyltransferase domain of AtTGS1 (Fig. 5). A recognizable protein interaction domain, termed a WW domain (Macias et al., 2002), was identified between residues 169 and 192 in AtTGS1 (Fig. 2B). When we expressed a form of AtTGS1 that was full-length except for specific deletion of the amino acids of this domain, AtTGS1ΔWW, expression of the deleted construct still permitted yeast growth at cold temperature, demonstrating that presence of the conserved WW motif was not required to complement the yeast cold-growth inhibition (Fig. 5).
In addition to the functional differences enumerated, a second explanation for survival and growth of \( tgs1 \) mutants at normal temperatures is the presence of a TGS1 homolog in Arabidopsis that may provide partial functional overlap with TGS1. There is a homologous protein sequence encoded At1g30550 in the Arabidopsis genome, and the presence of two TGS-like genes is widespread in the plant kingdom (Mouaik et al., 2003), including both chilling-resistant and chilling-sensitive plants. However, activity of this homolog clearly is insufficient under chilling conditions to support normal growth and reproduction of Arabidopsis \( tgs1 \) mutants.

One function of TGS1 shared with yeast and animals is the hypermethylation of snRNA required for correct splicing of premRNA to mRNA. Indeed, the cold-growth inhibition of \( S. \text{cerevisiae} \) \( tgs1 \Delta \) has been attributed to specific failures of premRNA splicing (Qi et al., 2012; Qiu et al., 2015). Arabidopsis RNA splicing mechanisms have been thoroughly described (Meyer et al., 2015), and alternative splicing of mRNA is an important regulator of plant responses to a host of environmental stresses, including high light, heat, cold, high salt, and dehydration (Filichkin et al., 2010; Capovilla et al., 2015). For cold stress in particular, alternative splicing events were abundant, and expression of splicing factor proteins that promote alternative splicing was induced by the cold (Iida et al., 2013), indicating that changes in splicing may be critical to the ability of plants to adjust to cold. The fact that the Arabidopsis \( tgs1 \) mutants produce defective flowers in chilling conditions (Fig. 8) may be due to the importance of alternative mRNA splicing in floral development (Jiao and Meyerowitz, 2010; Swaraz et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2014).

The genetic methods we employed here hold the promise of rapidly identifying other novel chilling stress genes by analysis of more T-DNA lines. Since TGS1 is found in all plants, including cold-sensitive ones like rice, further analysis of the locus has the potential to directly impact breeding programs or by transgenic alteration to convert chilling-sensitive crops to more chilling-resistant varieties.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Material and Growth**

Arabidopsis (\( Arabidopsis \) \( thaliana \)) ecotype Col-0 was used as wild-type control. Seeds were usually sown directly on soil or on Murashige and Skoog plates after sterilization. The sown seeds were incubated at 5°C for 48 h, then cultivated at 22°C with 16 or 24 h light at 100 to 150 \( \mu \text{E/m}^2/\text{min} \) unless otherwise detailed in the text. For chilling treatment, after the plants germinated and grew 12 d at 22°C, the plants were transferred to 5°C with continuous light for as long as 150 d, or other periods as indicated in the text. Details of the Salk T-DNA collection employed can be obtained from the ABRC (https://arbc.ou.edu/). stock CS27943, part of Joseph Ecker’s SALK confirmed T-DNA project (Alonso et al., 2003). The seed stock consists of 3739 independent confirmed SALK lines, one confirmed allele per line. Individual insertion lines SALK_048590 (\( tgs1 \)-1), SALK_076708 (\( tgs1 \)-2), and SALK_049230 (\( tgs1 \)-3) were obtained from ABRC.

**Screen for Chilling-Sensitive Plants**

For the primary screen, seeds from each independent seed stock were sown directly on soil. For each insertion line, 10 to 15 seeds were planted in three groups, and after germination, one healthy plant from each of the groups was chosen for analysis and the remainder culled. After 12 d at 22°C under 24 h light, plants were transferred to 5°C for an additional 8 to 12 weeks. All the plants exhibiting a visible phenotype in normal and chilling conditions were subjected to further analysis by repeating the original screening process for the selected lines. The Arabidopsis Information Resource (http://www.arabidopsis.org/) was queried for all candidate loci exhibiting phenotypes in this secondary screen, and those whose function was well characterized were not further examined (Supplemental Table S1). The remaining lines were evaluated as to whether the T-DNA insertion was located in the 5’ UTR of a gene or in an intron or exon (Supplemental Table S1). Additional alleles of candidate locus were ordered from ABRC when available. Phenotypic analysis of additional alleles was conducted as for the initial screen.

**Sequences and Analysis**

Sequence data were obtained from The Arabidopsis Information Resource under the following Arabidopsis Genome Initiative numbers: AFTGS1 (At1g56231) and its homolog described in the text (At1g30550). Additional sequences are from GenBank (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov); the accession number for AFTGS1 is AEE32102.1, the AFTGS1 homolog is AEE31243.2 and AEE31242.2. The human (\( Homo \) sapiens) TGS1 protein sequence is NP_079107.1, and the \( Saccharomyces \) \( cerevisiae \) TGS1 gene is identified by AJW12023.1. Sequence homology and figure preparation used Geneious R6.1.8 (Biomatters).

**DNA Extractions and RT-PCR**

DNA for PCR analysis was obtained by a method adapted from (Edwards et al., 1991). In brief, 10- to 20-mg pieces of leaf tissue were sampled from 3-week-old Arabidopsis plants and thoroughly ground in 180 \( \mu \text{L} \) extraction buffer (200 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.5, 250 mM NaCl, 25 mM EDTA, 0.5% SDS) using a plastic pestle. The DNA was precipitated by adding 400 \( \mu \text{L} \) 95% ethanol directly to the ground sample, followed by centrifugation at 18,000 \( \text{RCF} \) for 5 min. The pellet of leaf debris and DNA was air-dried for 10 min to remove the ethanol, then dissolved in 100 \( \mu \text{L} \) TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl and 1 mM disodium EDTA, pH 8.0). A second centrifugation at 18,000 \( \text{RCF} \) for 1 min pelleted the leaf debris, and 1 \( \mu \text{L} \) of the supernatant was used as template in PCR reactions that used GoTaq DNA Polymerase (Promega) and appropriate primers (Supplemental Table S2). Total RNA was likewise purified from 3-week-old Arabidopsis leaves using the RNeasy plant mini kit (Qiagen), including the on-column DNase digestion (RNase-free set; Qiagen) to eliminate DNA contamination as recommended by the manufacturer. First stand cDNA synthesis with SuperScript III (Invitrogen) was followed by PCR analysis with the primers for ACT2 as internal control.

**TGS1 Localization by Transient Protoplast Assay**

The primers used for in this research are listed in Supplemental Table S2. The thermostable polymerase used for amplification was KOD polymerase (Takara), except as otherwise noted. After PCR amplification of the TGS1 open reading frame, the amplified product was cloned into pENTR/D-TOPO vector (Invitrogen) and the sequence of a clone verified. This sequence was transferred to vector pLW01-DsRed-His (Karzim et al., 2002) via an LR-Clonase reaction (Invitrogen), creating an AFTGS1-GFP fusion open reading frame under control of the viral 35S promoter. AFTGS1-GFP was transiently expressed in protoplasts of tobacco BY-2 cell lines (Miao and Jiang, 2007), and images of the protein localization were photographed using a Leica SP-8 confocal microscope. Hoechst 33342 (1 \( \mu \text{L} \) of a 10 mg/mL solution) was added to each sample to stain nuclear regions of the transgenic living protoplasts.

**Purification of AFTGS1(201–538)**

Repeated attempts to express the full-length AFTGS1 protein in \( E\text{.coli} \) produced only insoluble inclusion bodies, so a construct representing \( AFTGS1(201–538) \) was assembled using PCR. The reduced sequence was cloned into vector pLW01-DsRed-His (Roston et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012) by restriction with Sacl and NolI, and the sequence of a clone verified. This construct,
fusing DsRed–AtTGS1 (201-538)–6× His, was transformed into E. coli strain Rosetta (DE3) (Tegel et al., 2010). After dilution of fresh overnight cultures, cells were grown at 37°C, and when OD600 of the culture reached 0.7, expression of the protein was induced by addition of 0.2 mM isopropylthio-β-D-galactoside followed by room temperature incubation overnight. The harvested cells were lysed in CellLytic B buffer (Sigma–Aldrich) and the protein purified by Ni-NTA agarose (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Purified protein samples were dialyzed against buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 200 mM NaCl, 2 mM dithiothreitol, 1 M EDTA, and 10% glycerol) three times for 8 h each at 4°C, then stored at −80°C.

Methyltransferase Assay

Methyltransferase activity of TGS1 was assayed as described (Hausmann et al., 2008) with minor revision. The enzyme reaction mixture (20 μL) contained 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 5 mM dithiothreitol, 12.5 μM C14HS–AdoMet, 5 mM 7-methylguanosine triphosphate sodium salt (m7GTP; Sigma–Aldrich), and the proteins as specified. After the mixtures were incubated at 37°C for 1 h, 4 μL aliquots were spotted on PEI-cellulose thin-layer chromatography plates (Sigma–Aldrich) and developed with 50 mM ammonium sulfate for 40 min. The radioactivity in the chromatography plates was detected by exposure to an imaging plate for 4 d, followed by analysis on a Typhoon FLA 7000 (GE Healthcare).

Yeast tgs1Δ Complementation

Wild-type and tgs1Δ yeast strains were obtained from Dr. Beate Schwer at Weill Cornell Medical College (Hausmann et al., 2008). The AtTGS1 coding sequence was amplified from reverse transcription of RNA purified from wild-type leaves, then cloned into pENTR/D-TOPO (Invitrogen) and the sequence confirmed. The coding sequences of AtTGS1 with mutations D397A and W444A were created by overlap extension PCR (Heckman and Pease, 2007). For deletion of the TGS1 WW domain (amino acids 169–192), a Gibson assembly reaction was used in which tgs1Δ was amplified using primers ΔWW-1 and ΔWW-2 (Supplemental Table S1), and the product was gel purified then assembled using Gibson Assembly Master Mix (New England Biolabs) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. These AtTGS1 coding sequence derivatives were cloned into pENTR/D-TOPO, and a sequence-verified clone was transferred to pMK195 (Overvoorde et al., 1996) by the LR-Clonase reaction (Invitrogen). The resulting yeast expression constructs were transformed into yeast tgs1Δ and selected on SC-Ura plates. The empty vector was likewise transformed into wild type and tgs1Δ to serve as controls. The transformed strains were grown in SC-Ura medium overnight, and the fresh cultures were diluted to OD = 1.0. Indicated dilutions were spotted onto SC-Ura plates and the 28°C plate was photographed after 2 d incubation, the 18°C after 8 d.

Phenotypic Analysis of tgs1 Mutants

The phenotypic examination for normal conditions took place at 22°C after the leaves were dark adapted at room temperature for 30 min. Chlorophyll fluorescence of leaves was measured as previously described (Wu et al., 1997), except the instrument was a Fluorescence Monitoring System FMSI (Hansatech Instruments). The phenotypic examination under chilling conditions in most instances began with plants geminated and grown at 22°C for 10 d, then half the plants were grown at 10°C for 90 d and photographed. For growth curve analysis, wild type and mutants were geminated and grown at 22°C for 12 d, then transferred to 5°C for 90 d growth, when they were photographed. To assess plant phenotypes at cool temperatures above 5°C, plants were grown at 22°C for 12 d, then transferred to 5°C for 91 d growth, when they were photographed. To assess plant phenotypes at cool temperatures in most experiments began with plants geminated and grown at 22°C for 12 d then transferred to 5°C for prolonged growth. In an experiment to specially detect instances began with plants geminated and grown at 22°C for 12 d then transferred to 10°C for 90 d and photographed. For growth curve analysis, wild type and tgs1-1 mutants were geminated and grown at 22°C for 10 d, then half the plants were transferred to 5°C growth. The above-soil tissue of three or four random plants was collected and weighed every 5 d from both 22°C and 5°C growth conditions. The raw values were averaged, multiplied by 100 to render the logarithmic graph in positive numbers, and the best fit line determined using Correct and Prism software. We report the relative growth rate, ω ^ 1, the slope of the natural logarithm of fresh weight over time.

Complementation of tgs1-1

To complement the tgs1-1 mutant, the viral promoter of transformation vector pBT7FWG2 (Karimi et al., 2002) was replaced with a 1,298-nucleotide fragment representing the chromosomal DNA just 5’ to the start codon of TGS1, representing the presumptive promoter. The nucleotide sequence comprising the AtTGS1 open reading frame, or the AtTGS1ΔWW derivative, was cloned under the control of this native promoter sequence by the LR-Clonase reaction. After transforming the constructs into Agrobacterium tumefaciens CV3101, plants were transformed by floral dip (Clough and Bent, 1998) and transgenic genes detected by Basta resistance. Three tgs1-1 homozygous plant lines transformed with each construct, which were confirmed as expressing the transgenic construct by RT-PCR analysis, were subjected to growth under chilling conditions and photographed after 35 d at 5°C.

Accession Numbers

Sequence data from this article can be found in the GenBank/EMBL data libraries under accession numbers AEE32102.1, At1g45231, TGS1; AEE31243.2; At1g30550, homolog of TGS1.
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The following supplemental materials are available.

Supplemental Figure S1. AtTGS1 methyltransferase activity in vitro.

Supplemental Figure S2. Leaf senescence of tgs1 mutants.

Supplemental Figure S3. Vegetative growth of tgs1 mutants under different chilling treatments.

Supplemental Figure S4. Expression of AtTGS1 WW domain variants.

Supplemental Table S1. T-DNA mutants with visible phenotypes under 5°C regimen.

Supplemental Table S2. Primers.
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