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The cell walls of leaf base tissues of the Canary Island date palm (*Phoenix canariensis*) contain lignins with the most complex compositions described to date. The lignin composition varies by tissue region and is derived from traditional monolignols (ML) along with an unprecedented range of ML conjugates: ML-acetate, ML-benzoate, ML-p-hydroxybenzoate, ML-vanillate, ML-p-coumarate, and ML-ferulate. The specific functions of such complex lignin compositions are unknown. However, the distribution of the ML conjugates varies depending on the tissue region, indicating that they may play specific roles in the cell walls of these tissues and/or in the plant’s defense system.

Lignin is a major component of secondary cell walls of vascular plants and plays a critical role. This difficult-to-break down biopolymer contributes to much of the recalcitrance in the conversion of biomass to liquid fuels and coproducts, prevents pathogens from accessing polysaccharides within cell walls, and, in the walls of xylem tracheary elements, provides a structural framework necessary for transpiration. Lignin polymerizes in the cell wall by stepwise radical coupling of 4-hydroxyphenylpropaneoids to a growing copolymer chain. The chemical composition of lignin is dominated by units derived from the monolignols (ML) 4-hydroxycinnamyl (H), coniferyl (G), and sinapyl (S) alcohols but is compatible with a diversity of nontraditional monomers, as reviewed by Vanholme et al. (2012). Examples of nontraditional monomers are caffeil alcohol, which forms catechyl-lignin that is found in some plant seed coats (Chen et al., 2012), and ferulate (FA), which, in grasses (family Poaceae), chemically links the heteroxylans (glucuronoarabinoxylans) and lignin (Lam et al., 1992; Ralph, 2010).

The chemical composition of lignin often varies with taxon, cell type, and tissue (Harris, 2005). In gymnosperms, most conifers (softwoods) have lignins dominated by G units with small amounts of H units, but under stress generated by tilting the stems, compression wood is formed with lignin that has a much higher proportion of H units (Timmel, 1986; Brennan et al., 2012). Furthermore, in the gnetophyte lineage of gymnosperms, the genera *Gnetum* and *Ephedra* are distinguished in having S/G lignins (Towers and Gibbs, 1953; Melvin and Stewart, 1969). In angiosperms, which include hardwoods (in the eudicotyledons) and grasses (family Poaceae, in the monocotyledons), the lignins have predominately S/G lignins with low levels of H units. Within the angiosperms, there is evidence for differences among cell types. For example, in Arabidopsis (*Arabidopsis thaliana*), the lignins in the walls of xylem tracheary elements are enriched in G units, whereas those in the walls of sclerenchyma fibers are enriched in S units (Schuetz et al., 2013). Even so, this overly simplified view of lignin needed to be modified following the evidence that γ-acylated monolignol conjugates (ML-conj) were building blocks in lignification (Lu and Ralph, 2002, 2008; Lu et al., 2004) and not from post-polymerization modifications.

The addition of ML-conj to the lignin monomer pool added complexity and structural diversity to the portfolio of native lignins. The earliest evidence for acylated lignins was the release of p-hydroxycinnamic acids (e.g. p-coumarate) from sugarcane (*Saccharum* spp.) lignins...
by mild alkaline hydrolysis (Smith, 1955a). Smith (1955b) also showed that \( p \)-hydroxybenzoate (pBA) was linked through ester bonds to the lignin of aspen (\textit{Populus tremula}). Although the lignin-ester linkage was identified, it was not until years later that the pathway for their formation was linked to the formation of ML-conj. The first evidence was for monolignol \( p \)-coumarates (ML-\( p \)-CA), coniferyl and sinapyl \( p \)-coumarate in bamboo (\textit{Phyllostachys pubescens}) (Nakamura and Higuchi, 1976, 1978), followed by the acetates (Ac), coniferyl acetate and sinapyl acetate in kenaf (\textit{Hibiscus cannabinus}) and poplar (\textit{Populus spp.}; Ralph and Lu, 1998). The ML-Ac conjugates were subsequently shown in kenaf to be formed prior to lignification (Lu and Ralph, 2002, 2008). Much later, the monolignol \( p \)-hydroxybenzoates (ML-pBA) were authenticated as members of the lignin monomer pool (Lu et al., 2015). Most recently, some of the acyltransferase enzymes that form ML-conj were identified and their functions confirmed in planta, particularly for ML-PCA (Withers et al., 2012; Bartley et al., 2013; Marita et al., 2014; Petrik et al., 2014; Sibout et al., 2016) and the recently discovered monolignol ferulates (ML-FA; Wilkerson et al., 2014; Karlen et al., 2016).

Palms (family Arecaceae) have long been known to have \( p \)-hydroxybenzoate, \( p \)-coumarate, and many other phenolic acids in their leaf-base tissues (Pearl et al., 1959). Despite being known for over 50 years, the function of these wall-bound phenolic acids in the plants remain unknown. However, as some of the phenolic acids are well known preservatives (e.g., sodium benzoate and parabens [which are a family of \( p \)-hydroxybenzoate esters]), it is believed that the wall-bound phenolic acids play a role in the plant’s defense system. Although no evidence was found for any lignin-associated pCA in African oil palm (\textit{Elaeis guineensis}) empty fruit bunches (Lu et al., 2015), it was reported to be in leaf-base fiber tissues (Sun et al., 2001). This provided early evidence in palms suggesting organ- and/or tissue-dependent distribution of lignins derived, in part, from ML-conj.

Here, we show that Canary Island date palm (\textit{Phoenix canariensis}) lignification uses an unprecedented range of monolignol conjugates, the distribution of which varies depending on the tissue region, indicating that they may play specific roles in cell walls in these tissues and/or in the plant’s defense system.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Thick, transverse segments cut from leaf bases were dissected into inner and outer tissue regions (Fig. 1A). The anatomies of the regions show obvious differences when examined using bright-field light microscopy.

**Figure 1.** Inner and outer tissue regions of the palm leaf base were sectioned and stained to show the presence of lignified walls. A, A thick, transverse segment of the leaf base used in this study showing the locations of the inner tissue and outer tissue regions. B, Image of a transverse section of the outer tissue region stained with phloroglucinol-HCl showing the red-stained, lignified walls of fibers present in fiber bundles (FB). C, Transverse section of the outer tissue region stained with Toluidine Blue O showing the thick, lignified, blue-stained walls of fibers present in fiber bundles. D, A vascular bundle in the inner tissue region stained with phloroglucinol-HCl. The bundle is surrounded by fibers, and there is a prominent fiber bundle cap over the phloem (Phl); the xylem tissue (Xyl) includes tracheary elements with lignified (red-stained) walls. The walls of the fibers surrounding the vascular bundle, including the cap, show only weak staining with phloroglucinol-HCl. The phloem cell walls were not stained and, therefore, are nonlignified. E, A transverse section of a vascular bundle similar to that in D showing blue staining of the fiber walls and blue-green staining of the tracheary element cell walls with Toluidine Blue O. Bars = 1 cm (A) and 15 \( \mu \)m (B–E).
The outer tissue region consists of an epidermis covered by a waxy cuticle and 20 to 30 layers of parenchyma cells (Fig. 1, B–D). Scattered bundles of fibers are found approximately five parenchyma cell layers below the epidermis. These fibers are defined by their thick secondary cell walls that stain red for lignin with phloroglucinol-HCl (Fig. 1B) and blue with Toluidine Blue O (Fig. 1C), which is consistent with their being lignified. These bundles of fibers provide structural support to the leaf base and to the entire leaf. In the inner tissue region of the leaf base, the scattered fiber bundles are replaced by vascular bundles surrounded by fibers with thick lignified walls, particularly over the adaxially oriented phloem, where they form a cap (Fig. 1, D and E). These fibers also provide mechanical support to the leaf base. The phloem tissue has thin primary cell walls that do not stain with phloroglucinol-HCl (Fig. 1D) but stain very dark purple-blue with Toluidine Blue O (Fig. 1C), which is consistent with their being lignified. These bundles of fibers have structural support to the leaf base and to the entire leaf. In the inner tissue region of the leaf base, the scattered bundles of fibers provide structural support to the leaf base and to the entire leaf. In the inner tissue region of the leaf base, the scattered bundles of fibers provide structural support to the leaf base and to the entire leaf.

Other minor components also were released; however, these were not identified or quantified.

Pearl et al. (1959) showed, in an extensive survey of 26 palm species that included representatives of three of the five subfamilies now recognized (Asmussen et al., 2006), that alkaline hydrolysis of all of palm petioles released a variety of phenolic acids, including pBA, vanillic acid (VA), and syringic acid. Although the extraction and quantification techniques differed slightly, our mild alkaline hydrolysis data and the reported data of Pearl et al. (1959) indicated similar amounts of base-labile pBA and FA.

These differences in lignin decoration between the two tissue regions also were quite dramatically revealed by 2D heteronuclear single-quantum coherence (HSQC) NMR spectroscopy (Lu and Ralph, 2003; Wagner et al., 2007; Kim and Ralph, 2010; Mansfield et al., 2012), performed in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)-d6/pyridine-d5 on lignins that had been treated with crude cellulases to remove the polysaccharides (Chang et al., 1975; Wagner et al., 2007). The HSQC spectra of the outer tissue region showed the presence of pBA and pCA (Fig. 2A), whereas the inner tissue region had signals corresponding to pBA and a new component that corresponds to BA (Fig. 2B). To our knowledge, this is the first lignin sample that we are aware of with strong HSQC correlation signals for BA. This correlation signal has not been observed for plants from other families within the commelinid monocotyledons, such as the grass family Poaceae (e.g. Zea mays, Oryza sativa, and Brachypodium distachyon), for which many wild-type and transgenic lines have been characterized by 2D HSQC NMR (Kim and Ralph, 2010; del Rio et al., 2012; Petrik et al., 2014). Nor has the BA been associated with the noncommelinid monocotyledon family Asparagaceae (e.g. Agave sisalana); therefore, the presence of wall-bound benzoates (BA, pBA, and VA) seems to be a trait that developed within the palms (Areaceae).

The lignin composition of the cell walls in the two tissue regions was determined by derivatization followed by reductive cleavage (DFRC; Lu and Ralph, 1997). In this assay, the β-ether bonds in the lignin structure are cleaved while leaving the γ-ester-linked subunits intact, generating diagnostic products for

### Table 1. Analytical data on cell walls from the two tissue regions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Inner Tissue Region</th>
<th>Outer Tissue Region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Klason lignin (wt% WCW)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total lignin</td>
<td>20.98 ± 0.25</td>
<td>24.20 ± 0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insoluble lignin</td>
<td>16.76 ± 0.17</td>
<td>20.41 ± 0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soluble lignin</td>
<td>4.23 ± 0.18</td>
<td>3.79 ± 0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saponification (wt% WCW)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzoic acid</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Hydroxybenzoic acid</td>
<td>12.08 ± 0.53</td>
<td>8.17 ± 0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Coumaric acid</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.68 ± 0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferulic acid</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.35 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enzyme lignin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mw (kD)</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn (kD)</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mp (kD)</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mw/Mn</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dn/dc (mL g⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.0669 ± 0.0028</td>
<td>0.0637 ± 0.0043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ε</td>
<td>8.818 ± 0.005</td>
<td>11.536 ± 0.038</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ML conjugates. Distributions of the normal monolignols (with a $\gamma$-OH, ML-OH), versus conjugates ML-Ac and the others, can be estimated using a combination of DFRC and a modification of this method, DFRC-Pr, in which the acetic acid, acetyl bromide, and acetic anhydride are replaced with their propionate analogs (Ralph and Lu, 1998). Using the standard DFRC assay, in which the acetylation of hydroxyls occurs, the ML-Ac conjugates become quantified as part of the normal ML-OH units (ML-OH) to yield a compositional ratio of ML (in this case, ML-OH + ML-Ac) to the other ML-conj. The DFRC-Pr reaction conditions allow the ratio of natural ML-OH to ML-Ac to be quantified. Combined, the two methods provide a complete breakdown of the various ML-OH-to-ML-conj ratios (Fig. 3).

The DFRC assay confirmed that, in the leaf-base samples, the lignins were decorated with at least six different classes of conjugates: ML-Ac, ML-BA, ML-$p$BA, ML-VA, ML-$p$CA, and ML-FA (Fig. 3; Table II), differing in their relative ratios depending on the region. The inner tissue region lignins had significantly higher levels of BA as well as $p$BA, whereas the outer tissues had much higher levels of $p$CA, in agreement with the NMR data. The DFRC-Pr results showed that the lignins from both tissue regions contained natural ML-Ac (Fig. 3; Table II). The inner tissue region released monolignols that were found to have $\gamma$-acetates on 18% of the G units, 63% of the S units, and a negligible proportion of the H units (Table II). The outer tissue region released monolignols that were found to have $\gamma$-acetates on 22% of the G units, 63% of the S units, and a negligible proportion of the H units.

Applying the ML-OH-to-ML-Ac ratio determined by DFRC-Pr to the ML products quantified by DFRC teases apart how much of the total quantified DFRC products was initially $\gamma$-esters (ML-conj) and what fraction was actually free $\gamma$-hydroxyl (ML-OH). The outer tissue region was composed of 37% ML-OH and 63% ML-conj, of which 58% was ML-Ac, whereas the inner tissue region was 33% ML-OH and 67% ML-conj, of which 51% was ML-Ac. In both cases, the majority of the ML conjugates was sinapyl acetate (S-Ac) and sinapyl $p$-hydroxybenzoate ($p$-BA). There were only trace amounts of ML-VA and ML-FA released from all the tissues, but, as we noted previously (Wilkerson et al., 2014), the ferulates and, analogously, the vanillates have low DFRC release efficiency.

The relative $M_r$ values of the enzyme lignins isolated from the inner and outer leaf-base tissue regions were determined by size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) using a conventional calibration curve created with polystyrene standards and synthetic lignin model compounds with similar hydrodynamic volumes to dimers, trimers, and tetramers (Fig. 4). In order to be consistent, the $M_r$ determinations were made from 36.5 to 57 min, the point at which the signal returned to the baseline. The samples, analyzed in dimethylformamide (DMF) with 0.1 M lithium bromide, were found to have weight-average $M_r$ values (Mw) of 8.2 kDa for the outer tissue region and 15 kDa for the inner tissue region. The Mw of the lignin from the outer tissue region was similar to the 7.9 kDa reported for coconut (Cocos nucifera) coir fiber (Rencoret et al., 2013) and much lower.
Figure 3. DFRC and DFRC-Pr of the leaf base tissue regions reveal the compositional complexity and tissue region specificity of the lignin composition. The DFRC-Pr multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) chromatograms of the outer (A) and inner (B) tissue regions elucidated the ratio of $\gamma$-hydroxy (ML-Pr) to $\gamma$-acetate (ML-Ac) on the monolignols (C). The DFRC MRM-chromatograms (D and E) show the compositional complexity of the leaf base lignins (F). The signal intensities in these composite chromatograms have been scaled to be representative of abundances, with low-level components scaled to $1 \times 10^6$, $1 \times 10^7$, $1 \times 10^8$, and $1 \times 10^9$ counts. The pie charts (G and H) provide a visual of the distribution of the ML and ML-conjugates released by DFRC/DFRC-Pr. The detected products from DFRC-Pr (C), DFRC (F), and the internal standards (I) are shown with their respective abbreviations.
Table II. Lignin components released from cell walls from the two tissue regions and quantified by DFRC and DFRC-Pr.

Masses were converted to the native Mr values and not the acetylated/hydrogenated Mr values detected by gas chromatography (GC)-MRM-mass spectrometry (MS). All values are reported here as mg g⁻¹ EL (μmol g⁻¹ EL) ± se. N/D, Not detected; –, not applicable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>DFRC</th>
<th>Outer Tissue</th>
<th>Inner Tissue</th>
<th>DFRC-Pr</th>
<th>Outer Tissue</th>
<th>Inner Tissue</th>
<th>Applying ML-OH-to-ML-Ac Ratio to the DFRC Results</th>
<th>Outer Tissue</th>
<th>Inner Tissue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H-OH/Ac⁷</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.1³ (10.1 ± 0.5)</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1³ (8.1 ± 0.2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-OH/Ac⁷</td>
<td>39.6 ± 1.0³ (150 ± 4)</td>
<td>45.4 ± 4.5³ (172 ± 17)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-OH/Ac⁸</td>
<td>107 ± 3³ (365 ± 9)</td>
<td>143 ± 5³ (487 ± 16)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-OH⁶</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1 6 (6.8 ± 0.9)</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.0 6 (6.4 ± 0.2)</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.1 6 (10.1 ± 0.5)</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.0 6 (8.1 ± 0.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-OH⁶</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>14.3 ± 0.2 6 (79.6 ± 1.2)</td>
<td>12.9 ± 0.3 6 (71.8 ± 1.9)</td>
<td>21.1 ± 0.5 6 (117 ± 3)</td>
<td>25.4 ± 2.5 6 (141 ± 14)</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-OH⁷</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>18.2 ± 0.3 6 (86.6 ± 1.3)</td>
<td>17.0 ± 2.1 6 (80.9 ± 10)</td>
<td>28.4 ± 0.7 6 (135 ± 3)</td>
<td>37.9 ± 1.3 6 (180 ± 6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H-Ac⁷</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5.1 ± 1.5 6 (23.1 ± 6.6)</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.0 6 (15.6 ± 0.1)</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.2 6 (33 ± 1)</td>
<td>6.9 ± 0.7 6 (31 ± 3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-Ac⁷</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>38.9 ± 6.1 6 (154 ± 24)</td>
<td>34.1 ± 2.1 6 (135 ± 8)</td>
<td>58.0 ± 1.5 6 (230 ± 6)</td>
<td>77.3 ± 2.6 6 (307 ± 10)</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-Ba⁶</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.0 6 (0.8 ± 0.1)</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1 6 (3.6 ± 0.3)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.0 6 (0.8 ± 0.1)</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1 6 (3.6 ± 0.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-Ba⁶</td>
<td>10.2 ± 0.3 6 (33 ± 1)</td>
<td>30.7 ± 4.4 6 (98 ± 14)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>10.2 ± 0.3 6 (33 ± 1)</td>
<td>30.7 ± 4.4 6 (98 ± 14)</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-pBa⁶</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.2 6 (10.6 ± 0.8)</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.2 6 (12.5 ± 0.7)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.2 6 (10.6 ± 0.8)</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.2 6 (12.5 ± 0.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-pBa⁶</td>
<td>42.7 ± 3.4 6 (129 ± 10)</td>
<td>69.1 ± 1.2 6 (209 ± 3)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>42.7 ± 3.4 6 (129 ± 10)</td>
<td>69.1 ± 1.2 6 (209 ± 3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-VA⁶</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.00 6 (0.02 ± 0.00)</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.00 6 (0.03 ± 0.00)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.00 6 (0.02 ± 0.00)</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.00 6 (0.03 ± 0.00)</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-VA⁶</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.01 6 (0.40 ± 0.03)</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.01 6 (0.62 ± 0.04)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.01 6 (0.40 ± 0.03)</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.01 6 (0.62 ± 0.04)</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-pCA⁶</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.00 6 (0.12 ± 0.00)</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.00 6 (0.02 ± 0.00)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.00 6 (0.12 ± 0.00)</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.00 6 (0.02 ± 0.00)</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-pCA⁶</td>
<td>5.53 ± 0.01 6 (15.5 ± 0.0)</td>
<td>![](0.06 ± (2.4 ± 0.0)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>5.53 ± 0.01 6 (15.5 ± 0.0)</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.01 6 (2.4 ± 0.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-FA⁵</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>N/D</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-FA⁴</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.00 6 (0.02 ± 0.00)</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.00 6 (0.05 ± 0.01)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.00 6 (0.02 ± 0.00)</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.00 6 (0.05 ± 0.01)</td>
<td></td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁷Released by DFRC and reported here as the monolignol 4,9-diacetate. ⁸Released by DFRC-Pr as the monolignol 4,9-dipropionate; reported as the 4,9-dihydroxy-monolignol. ⁹Released by DFRC-Pr as the monolignol 4-propionate-9-acetate; reported as the 4-hydroxy-monolignol 9-acetate.
than that reported for hemicellulose-rich enzyme lignin (~23 kD) obtained from oil palm trunk (Sun et al., 1998). It should be noted, however, that \( M_r \) and lignin structure are known to be altered by lignin isolation methods, including by the mechanical forces experienced in ball milling (Chang et al., 1975). Thus, comparing the \( M_r \) of lignins from different tissues should be performed using samples prepared under the same conditions.

Although there is a large difference in overall \( M_w \) between the lignins from the inner and outer tissue regions, closer inspection of the \( M_r \) distributions shows that the lignin polymers are actually much closer in size than appears from \( M_w \). The number-average \( M_r \) (Mn) for the lignin from the inner tissue region is 2.1 kD, compared with 1.8 kD for that from the outer tissue region. The peak average \( M_r \) values of the lignin from the inner and outer tissue regions were also fairly similar: 8.7 kD for the inner tissue region compared with 7.6 kD for the outer tissue region. Moreover, comparison of the polydispersity of the two lignins shows that the lignin from the inner tissue region (\( M_w/M_n = 7.1 \)) has a lot more variability in \( M_r \) than that from the outer tissue region (\( M_w/M_n = 4.6 \)).

The observed higher \( M_r \) of the lignins in the inner tissue region could be due to larger polymer chains and/or a higher compositional percentage of large-chain polysaccharides. The lower extinction coefficient (\( \varepsilon; \lambda = 280 \text{ nm} \)) and higher specific refractive index (dn/dc; \( \lambda = \text{white light} \)) measured for the inner tissue region lignin suggest that it has fewer monolignols and \( p \)-hydroxycinnamates (which absorb 280-nm light) than the lignin isolated from the outer tissue region. This analysis is complicated by the differences in lignin composition and because \( p \)BA, BA, waxes (present on the outer tissues; Fig. 1), and polysaccharides all do not efficiently absorb 280-nm radiation. The inner and outer tissue regions do have different cell type distributions (e.g. tracheary elements versus fibers), and the outer tissue region experiences very different environmental conditions (i.e. exposure to sunlight, oxidation, and weathering). These biological and environmental factors are likely responsible for producing the observed larger lignin polymer chains in the inner tissue region rather than differences in lignin/polysaccharide compositions.

**CONCLUSION**

The lignins in the cell walls of the leaf-base tissue regions from the Canary Island date palm have some of the most complex compositions reported to date. They derive from the traditional H, G, and S monolignols (i.e. from \( p \)-coumaryl alcohol, coniferyl alcohol, and sinapyl alcohol, respectively) and an array of ML-conjugates (ML-Ac, ML-BA, ML-pBA, ML-pCA, ML-VA, and ML-FA). These ML conjugates are not evenly distributed throughout the tissue regions of the leaf base but show some tissue region specificity. Most notable are the higher levels of ML-pCA in lignins from the outer tissue region and ML-BA in lignins from the inner tissue region. Unfortunately, we were unable to isolate the individual cell types to further resolve which cell walls were predominantly expressing ML-pCA versus ML-BA.

To our knowledge, this is the first plant species that has been shown to contain ML-BA and ML-VA incorporated into its lignin. The presence of ML-BA and ML-VA suggests either some flexibility in monolignol acyltransferase selectivity or the presence of benzoyl-CoA monolignol transferases with different chemical specificity; the latter is more likely, as the ML-BA was located predominantly in the inner tissue region. The discovery of ML-BA and ML-VA incorporation into lignin further expands the growing list of carboxylic acids known to acylate monolignols via their \( \gamma \)-hydroxyl groups and that have been confirmed in planta to participate in cell wall lignification. The presence of so many different ML conjugates further demonstrates the plasticity of lignification, the diversity of lignin subunits, and the chemical complexity of the lignin polymer.

---

**Figure 4.** SEC analysis of leaf base lignins isolated from ball-milled tissue regions using cellulase digestion. SEC analysis is shown for outer (dark green) and inner (burgundy) tissue regions (as in Figure 1). \( M_r \) regions of lignin oligomers were determined using authentic standards. \( M_r \) values of the dimer, trimer, and tetramer shown are 320, 716, and 928 g mol\(^{-1}\).
MATERIALS AND METHODS

General

Commercial chemicals, including solvents, were of reagent grade or better and used without further purification. GC-MS-MS was performed on a Shimadzu GCMS-TQ8030 instrument, with the acquisition parameters described in Supplemental Tables S1 and S2.

Plant Materials

The bases of the leaf petioles were collected from two mature Canary Island date palms (Phoenix canariensis 'Chabaud') growing at two sites (Madden Street and Stokes Road in Auckland, New Zealand).

Microscopy

A small piece (~2 cm³) was cut from a thick (~15 × 6.5 × 5.5 cm) transverse segment from the base of a petiole. The small piece, containing both outer and inner tissue regions (Fig. 1A), was dissected into these regions and soaked in water for 24 h. Thin transverse sections of the outer and inner tissue regions were cut by hand with a double-edged razor blade and stained prior to imaging. Sections were stained with an aqueous solution of Toluidine Blue O (0.5%, w/v) for less than 1 min and mounted in water. Sections also were stained for 5 min with a chloroform-soluble-HCl solution (10% [w/v] chloroform in 95% [v/v], containing with five drops of 1 μM HCl). The sections were mounted in the staining solution and examined by bright-field light microscopy using an Olympus BX60 epifluorescence microscope with 10×, 20×, and 40× objective lenses. Images were obtained using a DP73 color camera and CellSens software (Olympus America).

Sample Preparation and Lignin Isolation

WCW samples were prepared by the following procedure. The thick (~15 × 6.5 × 5.5 cm) transverse segments of leaf bases, after air drying at 50°C, were divided into outer and inner tissue regions (as indicated in Fig. 1A) and shaker milled to a fine powder (Retsch MM400). This was then solvent extracted sequentially with water (3 × 45 mL), 80% ethanol (3 × 45 mL), and acetone (1 × 45 mL) by first suspending the sample in solvent, sonicating for 20 min, pelleting by centrifuging (8,800 g, 10 min, 3 min rest, 46 cycles, reverse on). The central DMSO solvent peak was used as the reference peak for 1H-13C correlation and 1H-1H correlation experiments (Bruker standard pulse sequence). CD3OD was used as a deuterium reference. The supernatant was decanted. The extract-free pellet was then dried under vacuum and submitted to chemical analysis.

NMR Analysis

The EL samples were prepared in NMR tubes (20 mg for each sample) and dissolved using DMSO-d6/pyridine-d5 100%/1% (v/v, 500 μL; Kim et al., 2008; Kim and Ralph, 2010). NMR spectra were acquired on a Bruker Biospin AVANCE-III 700-MHz spectrometer equipped with a 5-mm quadruple-resonance 1H/13C/2H QCI gradient probe with reverse geometry (proton coils closest to the sample). The central DMSO solvent peak was used as an internal reference (δH 3.95, δC 49.5 ppm). The 1H-13C correlation experiment was an adiabatic HSQC experiment (Bruker standard pulse sequence hsqcetgpsiep2; phase-sensitive gradient-edited 2D HSQC using adiabatic pulses for inversion and refocusing). HSQC experiments were carried out using the following parameters: acquired from 10 to 0 ppm in F2 (1H) with 2,800 data points (acquisition time, 200 ms), 200 to 0 ppm in F1 (13C) with 560 increments (F1 acquisition time, 8 ms) of 32 scans with a 1-s interscan delay; the δd delay was set to 0.86 ms (1/8J = 145 Hz). The total acquisition time for a sample was 6 h. Processing used typical matched Gaussian apodization (Gaussian broadening factor, GB = 0.001, exponential line-broadening factor, LB = 0.1) in F2 and squared cosine-bell and one level of linear prediction (32 coefficients) in F1. The volume integration of contours in HSQC plots used Bruker’s TopSpin 3.5 and 3.6 (Mac version) software. NMR analysis was performed on two ELs from two biological samples of leaf bases and two technical replicates.

Mild Alkaline Hydrolysis

The determination of ester-linked carboxylic acids was performed on extract-free WCW using mild alkaline hydrolysis (2% NaOH, 20 h at room temperature) following previously published procedures (Ralph et al., 1994).

Klosn Lignin Analysis

Acid-insoluble lignin was determined using Klosn lignin analysis as described previously (Coleman et al., 2008). The analysis was performed on 150 to 200 mg of extractive-free WCW. Acid-soluble lignin was determined by measuring the absorbance of the filtrate after the isolation of acid-insoluble lignin at 205 nm and calculated using an ε of 110 L·g⁻¹·cm⁻¹.

DFRC Procedure

Incorporation of ML-conjug into the lignin was determined using the ether-cleaving ester-retaining DFRC method established previously for ML-pCA, ML-FA, and ML-pBA conjugates (Lu and Ralph, 1999, 2014; Petrik et al., 2014; Wilkerson et al., 2014; Lu et al., 2015). The DFRC protocol used here was as follows.

EL samples (35–50 mg) were stirred in 2-dram vials fitted with polytetrafluoroethylene pressure-release caps in acetyl bromide:acetic acid (1:4, v/v, 4 mL). After heating for 3 h at 50°C, the solvents were removed on a SpeedVac (Thermo Scientific SPD131DDA; 50°C, 35 min, 1 torr, 35 min). Crude films were suspended in absolute ethanol (0.5 mL) dried on the SpeedVac (50°C, 15 min, 6 torr, 35 min), and then suspended in dioxane:acetic acid:water (5:4:1, v/v/v, 5 mL) with nano-powdered zinc (250 mg). The vials were then sealed, sonicated to ensure the suspension of solids, and stirred in the dark at room temperature for 16 to 20 h. The reaction mixtures were then quantitatively transferred with dichloromethane (DCM; 6 mL) into separatory funnels charged with saturated ammonium chloride (10 mL) and the isotopically labeled internal standards. Organics were extracted with DCM (4 × 10 mL), combined, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and filtered, and the solvents were removed via rotary evaporation (water bath at less than 50°C). Free hydroxyl groups on DFRC products were then acetylated for 16 h in the dark using a solution of pyridine and acetic anhydride (1:1, v/v, 5 mL), after which the solvents were removed on a rotary evaporator to yield crude oily films. To remove most of the polysaccharide-derived products, acetylated DFRC products were loaded onto SPE cartridges (3-mL Supelco Supelclean LC-Si SPE tube; P/N: 505048) with DCM (2 × 1 mL). After elution with hexane-acetone (1:1, v/v, 8 mL), the eluted organics were combined and the solvents were removed by rotary evaporation. The products were transferred in stages with GC-MS-grade DCM to GC-MS vials containing a 300-μL insert, with the final sample volume of 200 μL. Samples were analyzed on a triple-quadrupole GC/MS/MS device (Shimadzu GCMS-TQ8030) operating in MRM mode using synthetic standards (for the synthesis of the new standard compounds ML-BA and ML-VA, see Supplemental Fig. S1) and isotopically labeled internal standards (Fig. S1) for identification. The GC program and acquisition parameters are listed in Supplemental Table S1, and the results of the DFRC assay are listed in Table II and Figure 3.

DFRC-Pr Procedure

The DFRC-Pr procedure was developed to determine the ratio of ML-Ac to ML-OH (Ralph and Lu, 1998). The procedure is analogous to that of DFRC, using propionic acid, propionyl bromide, and propionic anhydride in place of the acetic acid, acetyl bromide, and acetic anhydride.

Lignin M, Profiles

The relative M, values of lignins isolated from inner and outer tissue regions were determined by SEC utilizing a Shimadzu LC20-AD LC pump equipped
with a Shimadzu SPD-M20A UV-Vis detector set at 280 nm and a series of TOSOH Biosciences GPC columns (TSKgel Guard Alpha-M, 6 mm i.d. × 4 cm, 13 μm; TSKgel Alpha-M, 7.8 mm i.d. × 30 cm, 13 μm; TSKgel Alpha-2500, 7.8 mm i.d. × 30 cm, 7 μm). The samples (SIL-20AC HT autoinjection) and column compartment (Shimadzu CTO-20A) were held at 40°C during analysis. The mobile phase for SEC was DMF with 0.1M lithium bromide to solubilize and effectively disperse the lignins, ideally with minimal to no aggregation of the polymer chains. This allows for the separation of the components by hydrodynamic volume. M₅ distributions were determined via a conventional calibration curve using a ReadyCal Kit from Sigma-Aldrich ([76552, M(p) 250-7000] and 7.8 mm i.d.)

Lignin Hydrodynamic Volume Model Compounds

Three lignin model compounds were synthesized to function as GPC standards for monolignol oligomers. The classic G-G β-O-4 model compound guaiacylglycerol-β-guaiacyl ether (Lundquist and Remmerth, 1975), lignin dimer in Figure 4, was selected to represent compounds of similar hydrodynamic volume as a compound formed from the radical coupling of two monolignols. The G-G model compound was prepared following the synthesis described previously (Lu et al., 2015). Larger hydrodynamic volume representatives, lignin tetramer, lignin trimer, and lignin dimer structures in Figure 4, were chosen based on their ease of synthesis and such that they most closely represent linear β-ether chains. The two compounds were synthesized by stepwise condensation of acetoxyaniline to form β-linked diketo ester (Supplemental Figs. S2 and S3) under reaction conditions similar to those reported by Kishimoto et al. (2008a, 2008b).

Lignin dn/dc Determination

The dndc was determined using a Shimadzu Lc20AD pump equipped with a 2-mL manual injection loop and a Shimadzu RID-10A detector, along with Wyatt ASTRA 7 software. The eluent was 0.1M LiBr in DMF. The samples were prepared via serial dilution at ~1 mg mL⁻¹; however, exact concentrations were determined via the differential weight of the filter membranes (in series, polytetrafluoroethylene 1 and 0.2μm, respectively) after washing the membrane with 80% ethanol/water and acetone (20 mL each) to remove residual LiBr and lignin. Lignin samples were injected at 0.0128, 0.0257, 0.0514, 0.1028, 0.2055, and 0.4110 mg mL⁻¹ from the outer tissue region and 0.0385, 0.0770, 0.1540, 0.3080, 0.6160, and 1.2320 mg mL⁻¹ from the inner tissue region. Error is reported as given by ASTRA 7 software.

Extinction coefficient (ε)

The ε at 280 nm was determined using a Shimadzu UV-1800 UV spectrophotometer operated with Shimadzu UVProbe 2.43 software. Samples were analyzed at concentrations of 0.0128, 0.0257, and 0.0514 mg mL⁻¹ for the outer tissue region and 0.0385 and 0.0770 mg mL⁻¹ for the inner tissue region. Errors are reported as ±

Supplemental Data

The following supplemental materials are available.

Supplemental Figure S1. Synthesis of G-B, S-B, G-V, and S-V reference compounds.

Supplemental Figure S2. Synthesis of monolignol trimer.

Supplemental Figure S3. Synthesis of monolignol tetramer.

Supplemental Table S1. Chromatography program for GC-MS/MS characterization of the DFRC product mix.

Supplemental Table S2. MRM parameters for GC-MS/MS characterization of the DFRC and DFRC-Py product mixes.
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