Evidence That Isoprene Emission Is Not Limited by Cytosolic Metabolites. Exogenous Malate Does Not Invert the Reverse Sensitivity of Isoprene Emission to High [CO₂]
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Isoprene is synthesized via the chloroplastic 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate/1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate pathway (MEP/DOXP), and its synthesis is directly related to photosynthesis, except under high CO₂ concentration, when the rate of photosynthesis increases but isoprene emission decreases. Suppression of MEP/DOXP pathway activity by high CO₂ has been explained either by limited supply of the cytosolic substrate precursor, phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP), into chloroplast as the result of enhanced activity of cytosolic PEP carboxylase or by limited supply of energetic and reductive equivalents. We tested the PEP-limitation hypotheses by feeding leaves with the PEP carboxylase competitive inhibitors malate and diethyl oxalacetate (DOA) in the strong isoprene emitter hybrid aspen (Populus tremula × Populus tremuloides). Malate feeding resulted in the inhibition of net assimilation, photosynthetic electron transport, and isoprene emission rates, but DOA feeding did not affect any of these processes except at very high application concentrations. Both malate and DOA did not alter the sensitivity of isoprene emission to high CO₂ concentration. Malate inhibition of isoprene emission was associated with enhanced chloroplastic reductive status that suppressed light reactions of photosynthesis, ultimately leading to reduced isoprene substrate dimethylallyl diphosphate pool size. Additional experiments with altered oxygen concentrations in conditions of feedback-limited and non-feedback-limited photosynthesis further indicated that changes in isoprene emission rate in control and malate-inhibited leaves were associated with changes in the share of ATP and reductive equivalent supply for isoprene synthesis. The results of this study collectively indicate that malate importantly controls the chloroplast reductive status and, thereby, affects isoprene emission, but they do not support the hypothesis that cytosolic metabolite availability alters the response of isoprene emission to changes in atmospheric composition.

Isoprene is one of the main reactive volatiles released by plants that dominates atmospheric oxidative processes over a large part of the globe (Guenther et al., 2012; Guenther, 2013). Thus, understanding the controls of isoprene emission is the key for constructing predictive models capable of reliable estimation of isoprene source strength in current and future climates (Monson et al., 2012; Grote et al., 2013). Furthermore, monitoring isoprene emissions can serve as a simple noninvasive means to gain insight into the relationships between photosynthetic processes and plant isoprenoid synthesis, especially into regulation of the chloroplastic 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol 4-phosphate/1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate (MEP/DOXP) pathway that is responsible for the synthesis of isoprene, monoterpenes, diterpenes such as gibberllic acids and phytol residue in chlorophylls, and tetraterpenes such as carotenoids (Trowbridge et al., 2012; Ghirardo et al., 2014).

Plant isoprene emission is strongly correlated with foliage net assimilation rate through different light levels, but the responses of isoprene emission and net assimilation to changes in ambient CO₂ concentration differ, with net assimilation increasing hyperbolically and isoprene emission decreasing with rising CO₂ concentration (Loreto and Sharkey, 1990; Wilkinson et al., 2009; Monson et al., 2012; Li and Sharkey, 2013b). There is still no consensus regarding the mechanisms leading to the contrasting responses of photosynthesis and isoprene emission to CO₂. The first reaction in the MEP/DOXP pathway is the condensation of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP) and...
pyruvate, leading to the formation of 1-deoxy-D-xylulose 5-phosphate. GAP comes directly from the Calvin cycle, but the origin of chloroplastic pyruvate has remained enigmatic. In particular, \(^{13}\)CO\(_2\)-labeling studies indicate that isoprene is not fully labeled even after prolonged labeling (up to approximately 90%; Delwiche and Sharkey, 1993) and that the carbon fragments coming from pyruvate show slower labeling (Trowbridge et al., 2012). This evidence has been interpreted as indicative of a cytosolic source for pyruvate (Rosenstiel et al., 2003; Trowbridge et al., 2012). In particular, it has been suggested that the main source of chloroplastic pyruvate is the cytosolic phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) that is transported through a specific carrier to chloroplasts and converted to pyruvate there (Rosenstiel et al., 2003; Wiberg et al., 2005; Banerjee and Sharkey, 2014; Potosnak, 2014). As GAP is readily available at high CO\(_2\) concentration, the PEP-limitation hypothesis of isoprene emission suggests that it is the transport of PEP into chloroplasts that constrains isoprene emission at high CO\(_2\) concentration (Fig. 1; Rosenstiel et al., 2003; Potosnak, 2014). Specifically, it has been suggested that, under high CO\(_2\) concentration, PEP carboxylase (PEPC) activity increases due to increases in cytosolic bicarbonate concentration and that this draws down the cytosolic PEP level and reduces its import into chloroplast (Fig. 1; Rosenstiel et al., 2003). Because PEP is transported into the chloroplast by an anion transporter in exchange for inorganic phosphate (Flügge et al., 2011), PEP limitation of isoprene emission has also been associated with conditions of feedback-limited photosynthesis when low chloroplastic phosphate levels curb the rate of photosynthesis, typically under high CO\(_2\) and low oxygen concentrations (Li and Sharkey, 2013b; Banerjee and Sharkey, 2014).

The synthesis of isoprene as a highly reduced molecule requires more ATP and reductive equivalents than the synthesis of the first products of photosynthesis; thus, it has been suggested that isoprene emission is limited by the rate of photosynthetic electron transport (Niinemets et al., 1999b; Rasulov et al., 2009b). This suggestion has been supported by the evidence that short-term changes in isoprene emission were correlated with leaf ATP concentration (Loreto and Sharkey, 1993); by data showing strong covariation in primary isoprene substrate, DMADP, and photosynthetic electron transport rate through light and CO\(_2\) and oxygen concentrations (Rasulov et al., 2009b); and by the demonstration of simultaneous oscillatory dynamics in isoprene emission, photosynthetic electron transport, and photosynthetic rate induced in conditions when photosynthesis is feedback limited (Rasulov et al., 2016). According to the mechanism of limitation of isoprene emission by the availability of energetic and/or reductive equivalents, the MEP/DOXP pathway has a high effective Michaelis-Menten constant for energetic and/or reductive cofactors, implying that the synthesis of DMADP is very sensitive to reductions in the pool sizes of ATP and/or NADPH. Under conditions of high CO\(_2\), the rate of photosynthesis is increased, but its potential rate is curbed by limited regeneration of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP; Farquhar et al., 1980). Thus, under these conditions, ATP, NADPH, and RuBP pool sizes are reduced (although their turnover is high; Badger et al., 1984; Dietz et al., 1984; Heber et al., 1986; von Caemmerer, 2000), leading to a reduced rate of DMADP synthesis and reduced isoprene emission (Rasulov et al., 2009b, 2016).

Although the PEP-limitation hypothesis is plausible, there is currently primarily indirect evidence for its support (Rosenstiel et al., 2003; Trowbridge et al., 2012; Potosnak, 2014). One key piece of evidence in support of the partial cytosolic origin of isoprene intermediates, incomplete \(^{13}\)C labeling of isoprene in \(^{13}\)CO\(_2\)-labeling experiments, was recently suggested to be a general feature of Calvin cycle products due to an operative

---

**Figure 1.** Isoprene emission rate decreases with increasing ambient CO\(_2\) concentration (C\(_a\)), but photosynthesis increases with increasing C\(_a\). Illustration of the hypothesis of how high ambient CO\(_2\) might inhibit plant isoprene emission. The immediate isoprene precursor dimethylallyl diphosphate (DMADP) is synthesized in chloroplasts via the MEP/DOXP pathway that starts with the condensation of pyruvate and GAP. GAP for DMADP synthesis comes directly from photosynthesis, but it is currently still unclear what is the chloroplastic source of pyruvate. It has been suggested that pyruvate can be synthesized directly in chloroplasts (Sharkey et al., 2008; Rasulov et al., 2011), while others have postulated the necessary import of the pyruvate precursor PEP via a specific carrier into the chloroplasts (Rosenstiel et al., 2003; Wilkinson et al., 2009; Monson et al., 2012). It has further been suggested that the rate of PEP transport into chloroplasts is limited by cytosolic PEP concentration that is under the control of PEPC (Rosenstiel et al., 2003; Wilkinson et al., 2009; Monson et al., 2012). Under low C\(_a\) (A), a smaller fraction of cytosolic PEP is converted to oxalacetate (OAA), while the fraction increases under higher C\(_a\) (B), supposedly leading to a lower rate of PEP transport into the chloroplasts, curbing the rate of isoprene synthesis. If so, feeding the leaves with malate that is in equilibrium with OAA, but that also serves as an inhibitor of PEPC, or feeding with the specific PEPC inhibitor diethyl oxalacetate (DOA) should result in a greater PEP pool and, thus, abolishing the effect of high CO\(_2\) concentration on isoprene emission.
RESULTS

Effects of Malate Feeding on Foliage Photosynthetic Characteristics

The initial rapid response to malate feeding was a moderate increase of stomatal openness, for approximately 50 to 200 s after the start of malate feeding, followed by a continuous decline until stabilization at approximately 1,500 s after the start of feeding (Figs. 2 and 3; Table I). The quantum yield of PSII and net assimilation rate initially declined at a slow rate between approximately 150 and 300 s after the start of feeding, followed by a fast rate of reduction between approximately 300 and 400 s, succeeded again by a slower rate of decrease (Fig. 2). The PSII yield stabilized at approximately 60% to 65%, and the net assimilation rate at approximately 40% to 50% of the corresponding initial values, by approximately 1,500 s after the start of feeding (Fig. 2; Table I). At this time, the intercellular CO2 concentration (Ci) had only decreased by approximately 15% (Table I), indicating significant nonstomatal limitations of photosynthesis. In fact, the apparent maximum carboxylase activity of Rubisco (Vcmax) also declined by approximately 35% to 40%, similar to changes in the quantum yield of PSII (Table I). Different from all other photosynthetic characteristics, the dark respiration rate had increased by approximately 2-fold and the postillumination CO2 burst (an estimate of photorespiration rate) by 1.7-fold by the end of the treatment (Table I).

Changes in Leaf Isoprene Emission Characteristics in Malate-Inhibited Leaves

The isoprene emission rate started to decrease approximately 250 s after the start of malate feeding and decreased continuously to approximately 60% of the initial value by 1,500 s after the start of feeding (Fig. 2; Table II). The reduction in isoprene emission was paralleled by even stronger decreases in DMADP pool size, stabilizing at a level of 40% of the initial value (Table II). In contrast, the dark pool size (the pool of MEP/DOXP pathway intermediates prior to DMADP, consisting primarily of 2-C-methyl-D-erythritol 2,4-cyclodiphosphate [MEcDP]), the initial slope of inhibitor application is shown by the black arrow. Fm denotes the maximum chlorophyll fluorescence yield and Feq the minimum fluorescence yield in a partly relaxed state achieved by illuminating the leaves with far-red light (150 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹). The red arrow indicates the postulated transition to the reverse mode of the malate shuttle.
inhibited leaves, the isoprene emission rate and DMADP pool size at the ambient CO₂ concentration of 400 μmol mol⁻¹ were strongly correlated with the effective PSII quantum yield (r² = 0.98 for isoprene emission and r² = 0.78 for DMADP pool size; P < 0.01 for both).

Modification of Kinetic Responses of Net Assimilation Rate and Isoprene Emission to CO₂ and Oxygen Concentrations by Malate Inhibition

In control leaves at the ambient CO₂ concentration of 400 μmol mol⁻¹, the reduction of oxygen concentration from 21% to 2% (v/v) resulted in rapid increases in net assimilation rate followed by a transient minor to relatively large reduction until reaching again the higher steady-state level (Fig. 3A; Table III). Different from photosynthesis, the initial decline in isoprene emission upon the decrease of oxygen concentration was generally greater, approximately 10% to 35% in different experiments, but the emission rate recovered under low oxygen, and in the steady state exceeded the rate at 21% oxygen by approximately 20% (Figs. 3A and 4; Table III; Supplemental Fig. S1). In malate-inhibited leaves, the responses to low oxygen under ambient CO₂ were similar, except that the initial reduction in isoprene emission was absent (Fig. 3B; Table III). In addition, the relative enhancement of both net assimilation rate and isoprene emission by low oxygen was greater in malate-inhibited leaves (approximately 30%–35% for both rates; compare Figs. 3 and 4; Table III).

In control leaves, a rapid increase in CO₂ concentration from 400 to 1,200 μmol mol⁻¹ under ambient oxygen of 21% enhanced net assimilation rate by 40% to 60% and reduced isoprene emission rate by 50% to 60% (Figs. 3 and 4; Table III; Supplemental Fig. S1). The responses were analogous in malate-inhibited leaves, whereas, for the net assimilation rate, relative changes were
Table I. Effects of leaf feeding with malate on foliage photosynthetic characteristics in hybrid aspen (clone H200)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Malate Treated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_v$ (μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>16.30 ± 0.43 a</td>
<td>7.70 ± 0.44 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{max}$ (μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>68.0 ± 1.6 a</td>
<td>43.0 ± 2.8 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_{s}$ (mmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>280 ± 10 a</td>
<td>102 ± 7 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_i$ (μmol mol$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>303.8 ± 3.0 a</td>
<td>266 ± 7 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_g$ (μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.07 c</td>
<td>3.43 ± 0.42 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{d}$ (μmol m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>3.06 ± 0.12 b</td>
<td>4.93 ± 0.28 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QY (mol mol$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.641 ± 0.013 a</td>
<td>0.428 ± 0.014 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The standard conditions during the measurements were as follows: leaf temperature of 30°C, light intensity of 700 μmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, and leaf chamber CO$_2$ concentration of 400 μmol mol$^{-1}$. After steady-state conditions were established under these conditions, foliage photosynthetic characteristics were measured (control), the leaves were further fed for 40 min with malate solution (20 mM), and foliage photosynthetic characteristics were measured again (for kinetic changes in leaf photosynthetic characteristics during inhibitor application, see Fig. 2). $A_v$ was measured immediately after leaf darkening and $R_g$ when the gas-exchange rate in the dark had reached a steady state. The data are means ± SE of four to five replicate experiments with different leaves. SE was calculated as the sample size divided by the square root of n, where n is the number of replicate experiments. Values followed by the same letter are not significantly different (P > 0.05) according to paired-samples Student’s t test. $A_v$ Net CO$_2$ assimilation rate; $V_{max}$ apparent ($C_i$-based) maximum carboxylase activity of Rubisco; $g_s$ stomatal conductance for water vapor; $C_i$ intercellular CO$_2$ concentration; $R_g$ dark respiration rate; $R_{d}$ rate of CO$_2$ release at postillumination CO$_2$ burst (an estimate of the rate of photosynthesis with a residual component of dark respiration remaining in light and a certain consumption of CO$_2$ due to the existing RuBP pool; QY light-adaptive (effective) quantum yield of PSII.

Effects of the PEPC Inhibitor DOA on Foliage Photosynthesis and Isoprene and Acetaldehyde Emissions

Application of the PEPC inhibitor diethyl oxalacetate (DOA) at a concentration of 150 μM typically used in plant experiments (Magnin et al., 1997; Rosenstiel et al., 2003) and application of even a higher concentration of 500 μM did not influence net assimilation and isoprene emission rates, stomatal conductance, and effective quantum yield of PSII of hybrid aspen (Populus tremula × Populus tremuloides) leaves (Fig. 5, A and C). Furthermore, 150 and 500 μM DOA did not affect the inhibition of isoprene emission by high CO$_2$ concentration (Fig. 5A). However, both these concentrations resulted in enhanced emissions of acetaldehyde (Fig. 5B, for a sample kinetics of 150 μM DOA). Some inhibition of photosynthetic characteristics and isoprene emission was observed only at 20 mM DOA (i.e., at a concentration 100–200 times greater than shown previously to be effective in plants). The effect of these high DOA concentrations likely was nonspecific, as, surprisingly, DOA also resulted in enhanced stomatal conductance (Fig. 5).

Table II. Modification of isoprene emission characteristics upon leaf feeding with malate in hybrid aspen (clone H200)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Malate Treated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$I$ (mmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>30.4 ± 1.6 a</td>
<td>18.5 ± 0.7 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMADP pool (mmol m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>1,180 ± 60 a</td>
<td>470 ± 36 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark pool (mmol m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>2,170 ± 190 a</td>
<td>2,700 ± 190 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate constant (s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.0372 ± 0.0010 a</td>
<td>0.0358 ± 0.0016 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{max}$ (mmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>69.5 ± 1.1 a</td>
<td>73 ± 6 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{d}$ (mmol m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>2,038 ± 47 a</td>
<td>1,600 ± 90 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Experimental treatments, measurement conditions, number of replicates, and statistical analyses were as in Table I. $I$, isoprene emission rate; $V_{max}$ apparent capacity for isoprene synthase reaction in vivo; $K_{d}$ apparent Michaelis-Menten constant for isoprene synthase in vivo. The pool size of DMADP and the dark pool size (the pool of MEP/DOXP pathway intermediates prior to the 4-hydroxy-3-methylbut-2-ethyl diphosphate synthase [HDS] and 4-hydroxy-3-methylbut-2-ethyl diphosphate reductase [HDR] reactions) were estimated from the dark decay kinetics of isoprene emission (Rasulov et al., 2009a, 2011; Li et al., 2011). After switching off the light, integration of the rapid decay of isoprene emission, up to approximately 200 to 250 s after the darkening, corresponds to the DMADP pool size responsible for isoprene emission in the light. A second, dark-activated burst of isoprene emission occurs between approximately 250 and 600 s, and the integral of the second emission peak provides the size of the dark pool. Pairwise values of the DMADP pool size and the corresponding isoprene emission rates through the dark decay kinetics were used to determine the isoprene synthase rate constant (the initial slope of isoprene emission rate versus DMADP pool size) and apparent $K_{d}$ and $V_{max}$ values (Rasulov et al., 2014, 2015a).
DISCUSSION

Influence of Malate on Foliage Photosynthetic Activity

Malate feeding of hybrid aspen leaves resulted in reduced net assimilation rate and reduced stomatal conductance (Figs. 2 and 3; Table I; Supplemental Fig. S1). As the C_i was also reduced (Table I), the reduction in net assimilation rate was due to both stomatal and nonstomatal processes, as confirmed by simultaneous reductions in the apparent V_{max} and in the effective quantum yield of PSII (Figs. 2 and 3; Table I; Supplemental Fig. S1). A similar reduction of PSII activity and photosynthesis rate upon malate feeding has been observed in malate-fed rice (Oryza sativa) leaves, and this was associated with a reduction in the number of open PSI reaction centers (Cui et al., 2015).

In photosynthesizing leaves, malate participates in shuttling reductive equivalents from chloroplasts to cytosol via a malate/OAA shuttle, maintaining the necessary balance between chloroplastic NADPH and ATP levels (Scheibe et al., 1986; Scheibe, 1987; Fig. 6). Chloroplastic malate accumulation is expected to result in an enhanced chloroplastic NADPH/NADP^+ ratio as the result of reversal of the malate dehydrogenase reaction toward OAA synthesis and shutting off the malate valve that transports excess reductive equivalents to cytosol (Scheibe et al., 1986; Scheibe, 1987; Backhausen et al., 1994, 2000; Fig. 6). In addition, in C_3 plants, including poplar (Populus spp.), a NADP^+-dependent malic enzyme is present in chloroplasts (Van Doorsselaere et al., 1991; Lai et al., 2002; Yu et al., 2013), and accordingly, increased malic enzyme activity could also contribute to enhanced NADPH/NADP^+ unless the extra NADPH were fully used to support other chloroplastic processes (e.g. fatty acid synthesis; Fig. 6). In malate-fed rice leaves, an enhanced NADPH/NADP^+ ratio was observed (Cui et al., 2015), suggesting that the capacity of NADPH-consuming processes is not sufficient to fully consume the excess reductive equivalents.

Increased reductive pressure on the ferredoxin NADP^+ oxidoreductase can further lead to photoinhibition of PSI (Sonoike, 2011), ultimately feedback inhibiting the linear electron transport rate as observed in our study (Table I) and, likely, also the cyclic electron flow around PSI (Munekage et al., 2004; Johnson, 2011; Rochaix, 2011). Thus, we suggest that, in malate-fed leaves, overreduction of chloroplast stroma due to increased NADPH/NADP^+ ratio and imbalance between NADPH and ATP levels was responsible for the reduced rate of photosynthetic electron transport, further suppressing the rates of RuBP carboxylation and net assimilation (Table I). Given that chloroplastic malate dehydrogenase is activated by reduced thioredoxin (Schepens et al., 2000) that, in turn, gets electrons from reduced ferredoxin (Nikkannen and Rintamäki, 2014), a decrease in thioredoxin reductive status upon the inhibition of PSI electron transport is expected to lead to a decrease in malate dehydrogenase activity, thereby easing the reductive pressure. This can ultimately avoid progressive overreduction of electron carriers and lead to the stabilization of leaf photosynthetic rate at a new lower steady-state level, as observed in our study (Fig. 2).

The initial rise of stomatal conductance upon malate feeding (Fig. 2) is in accordance with the evidence that increases in guard cell malate concentrations lead to

### Table III. Relative changes (average ± s) in net assimilation rate (R_\text{A}) and isoprene emission rate (R_\text{I}) in control and malate-inhibited hybrid aspen (clone H200) leaves upon transition from CO_2 concentrations of 400 to 1,200 μmol mol\(^{-1}\) and from oxygen concentrations of 21% to 2% at CO_2 concentrations of 400 and 1,200 μmol mol\(^{-1}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transition</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Malate Treated</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Malate Treated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>400→1,200 μmol mol(^{-1}) CO_2, 21% oxygen</td>
<td>0.638 ± 0.006 a</td>
<td>0.737 ± 0.017 b</td>
<td>−0.574 ± 0.034 a</td>
<td>−0.614 ± 0.017 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21%→2% oxygen, 400 μmol mol(^{-1}) CO_2</td>
<td>0.406 ± 0.015 a</td>
<td>0.555 ± 0.019 b</td>
<td>0.160 ± 0.008 a</td>
<td>0.257 ± 0.021 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21%→2% oxygen, 1,200 μmol mol(^{-1}) CO_2</td>
<td>−0.146 ± 0.017 a</td>
<td>−0.200 ± 0.010 b</td>
<td>−0.376 ± 0.015 a</td>
<td>−0.242 ± 0.021 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Experimental treatments, measurement conditions, number of replicates, and statistical analyses were as in Table I. R_\text{A} = ΔA/ΔI and R_\text{I} = ΔI/ΔA, where ΔA and ΔI are differences between steady-state net assimilation and isoprene emission rates estimated before and after the change in leaf chamber gas composition, and A and I are averages for the two steady states (Rasulov et al., 2016).

### Figure 4. Correlations among relative changes in net assimilation rate (R_\text{A} = ΔA/ΔI) and isoprene emission (R_\text{I} = ΔI/ΔA) due to changes in ambient CO_2 concentration from 400 to 1,200 μmol mol\(^{-1}\) under 21% oxygen and due to changes in ambient oxygen concentration from 21% to 2% under 400 μmol mol\(^{-1}\) in control and malate-inhibited leaves across different experiments (for sample experiments, see Fig. 3). Here, ΔA and ΔI are differences in steady-state net assimilation and isoprene emission rates before and after the change in gas composition, and A and I are average values for these steady states (Rasulov et al., 2016). To show the data in the same scale, we used here the absolute differences |ΔA| and |ΔI| (for average nontransformed data, see Table III).
stomatal opening (Dittrich and Raschke, 1977; Travis and Mansfield, 1977). On the other hand, extracellular malate together with abscisic acid also activates so-called slow anion channels (S-type channels), inducing long-term stomatal closure (Esser et al., 1997), as observed in our study after the initial rise of stomatal openness (Fig. 2). Nevertheless, given that the $C_i$ was reduced only moderately by malate feeding (Table I), we argue that the stomatal effects were complementary to biochemical down-regulation and not the primary cause of photosynthetic decline in malate-fed leaves. We note, however, that malate feeding could have resulted in a certain patchiness in stomatal openness, as is often observed in stressed leaves (Mott, 1995; Mott and Buckley, 1998). Such a patchiness could explain the greater changes in net assimilation rate to altered air composition in malate-fed leaves than could be expected on the basis of the moderate decrease of the $C_i$ calculated assuming uniform stomatal openness (see below).

**Malate Feeding in Relation to Respiratory Processes**

In our study, malate feeding resulted in the enhancement of both dark respiration and photorespiration rates (Table I) that can have resulted from increased activity of multiple processes (Fig. 6). As malate is a key metabolite in the mitochondrial Krebs cycle, increased respiratory substrate availability can provide an explanation for the enhanced dark respiration rate. In fact, $^{14}$C-labeling experiments have demonstrated that increases in malate concentration lead to increased citric acid concentration and the activation of mitochondrial processes.

---

**Figure 5.** Characteristic responses of isoprene emission and net assimilation rates and stomatal conductance to changes in ambient CO$_2$ concentration (A) and acetaldehyde emission (B) in a hybrid aspen leaf before (0–1,800 s) and after (1,800–4,000 s) application of 150 mM of the specific PEPC inhibitor diethyl oxalacetate (DOA), and DOA dose response at ambient CO$_2$ concentration of 400 μmol mol$^{-1}$ (C). Data presentation is as in Figure 3.
respiration both in the light and in the dark (Browse et al., 1980).

Given the reduction of net assimilation rate primarily due to nonstomatal processes in malate-fed leaves, the enhanced photorespiration rate is somewhat paradoxical, although C_i did drop somewhat, contributing to the greater photorespiration rate (Table I; see above for possible stomatal patchiness effects). However, as noted in “Materials and Methods,” the experimental estimates of photorespiration in this study also include the component of mitochondrial respiration remaining in light as well as other nonphotorespiratory CO_2-releasing processes in light. Regarding these other CO_2-releasing processes activated by malate, the enhanced activity of C_3 plant NADP^+-malic enzyme that decarboxylates malate to pyruvate, releasing CO_2 (Van Doorselaere et al., 1991; Lai et al., 2002; Yu et al., 2013), and the enhanced use of malate in fatty acid synthesis (Smith et al., 1992; Pleite et al., 2005) are possible candidates (Fig. 6). Overall, the respiration data further confirm that, in our study, malate did enter into primary metabolism and enhance the rate of processes other than photosynthesis.

**Inhibition of Isoprene Emission by Malate**

There is evidence that malate inhibits PEPC both in C_4 (Wedding and Black, 1986) and in C_3 (Asai et al., 2000; Feria et al., 2008) plants. As hypothesized (Fig. 1), such an inhibition is expected to enhance cytosolic PEP concentration, thereby leading to greater transport of PEP into chloroplasts and use for the synthesis of DMADP in the MEP/DOXP pathway. Furthermore,
given that cytosolic malate and OAA are in equilibriums, an enhancement of cytosolic PEP pool size via increases in OAA decarboxylation under conditions of elevated malate is also possible (Fig. 6), as confirmed experimentally in Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana; Rylott et al., 2003).

Contrary to the hypothesis (Fig. 1), isoprene emission actually decreased in malate-fed leaves (Table II, Figs. 2 and 3A), whereas the kinetic characteristics of isoprene synthase, the maximum activity of isoprene synthase, and isoprene synthase rate constant were not affected and the apparent $K_p$ of isoprene synthase was somewhat reduced by malate feeding (Table II). In fact, the reduction in isoprene emission in malate-fed leaves was associated primarily with reductions in DMADP pool size (Table II). On the other hand, the dark pool of MEP/DOXP pathway metabolites, consisting primarily of MEcDP (Li and Sharkey, 2013a, 2013b), was not affected significantly by malate feeding, but relative to DMADP pool size, it was much greater in malate-fed leaves (Table II). Thus, the overall MEP/DOXP pathway activity and isoprene synthase activity did not appear to be limiting, but it was mainly the conversion of MEcDP to DMADP that curbed the DMADP pool size and the rate of isoprene emission in malate-fed leaves. Given that reductions in isoprene emission rate and DMADP pool size were correlated strongly with changes in the effective quantum yield of PSI, this evidence suggests that it is the reduction in the activity of light reactions of photosynthesis that is responsible for decreases in isoprene emission, rather than the availability of carbon intermediates. As the conversion of MEcDP to DMADP requires two reductive steps, reductive limitation of DMADP pool size in malate-inhibited leaves seems to contradict the suggestion that malate enhanced the chloroplastic NADPH level (see above). However, in light, the two last reactions, catalyzed by HDS and HDR, directly consume electrons from reduced ferredoxin (Okada and Hase, 2005; Seemann et al., 2006; Rasulov et al., 2011; Banerjee and Sharkey, 2014), suggesting that malate-dependent changes in ferredoxin reductive status could have been primarily responsible for the observed inhibition of isoprene emission in malate-fed leaves. As discussed above, the reduction in whole-chain photosynthetic electron transport in malate-fed leaves (Table I) was likely initiated by suppression of the terminal steps of linear photosynthetic electron transport, in particular suppression of the activity of ferredoxin NADP$^+$ oxidoreductase, ultimately leading to the inhibition of PSI activity, including electron transfer to oxidized ferredoxin. Such a sequence of events is consistent with the limitation of isoprene emission in our study by the last two reductive steps.

Effects of Malate Inhibition on the Responses of Photosynthesis and Isoprene Emission to Increases in CO$_2$ under Normal Oxygen Concentration

We observed that increases in CO$_2$ concentration from 400 to 1,200 μmol mol$^{-1}$ enhanced the rate of net assimilation and reduced the rate of isoprene emission in both control and malate-inhibited leaves (Fig. 3; Table III). This is the classical response of isoprene emission observed across numerous studies (see Introduction). Importantly, we did not find a significant effect of malate feeding on the sensitivity of isoprene emission to increases in CO$_2$ concentration (Table III), especially when the sensitivity was compared at a given change in net assimilation rate (Fig. 4). The increase in the rate of net assimilation upon the rise of CO$_2$ concentration was somewhat greater in malate-inhibited leaves, likely because of their lower stomatal conductance and greater photorespiration rate (Tables I and III; Fig. 3; see above for discussion of the effects of possible patchiness in stomatal openness in malate-fed leaves). Given that malate feeding and the concomitant enhanced levels of PEP and pyruvate did not abolish the high-CO$_2$-dependent reduction in isoprene emission, this evidence suggests that PEP and pyruvate availability did not limit isoprene emission under high CO$_2$ concentration.

Different from the PEP control hypothesis (Fig. 1), our data are consistent with the hypothesis that high-CO$_2$-dependent inhibition is due to a reduction in the share of photosynthetic electron flow going to isoprene synthesis (Rasulov et al., 2011, 2016). It is well known that, at high CO$_2$ concentration, the rate of net assimilation is limited by the regeneration of RuBP, either because of limited photosynthetic electron transport or the regeneration of inorganic phosphate (Sharkey, 1985, 1990; Dietz and Foyer, 1986; Sharkey and Vandermeer, 1989; Makino, 1994). Thus, under higher CO$_2$ concentration, the enhancement of net assimilation rate implies that a greater share of photosynthetic NADPH and ATP is used for photosynthesis, leading to both lower MEcDP and DMADP pools (Rasulov et al., 2011). Based on an analysis of the dynamics of isoprene emission under feedback-limited conditions, where oscillations in isoprene emission accompanying proportional oscillations in photosynthesis were associated with large MEcDP pools, it was concluded that it is ultimately the reductive equivalents that drive the observed competition between photosynthesis and isoprene emission (Rasulov et al., 2016). Given that malate feeding led to high MEcDP pools relative to DMADP pools, we conclude that the proposed mechanism of isoprene emission reduction by photosynthetic reductive equivalents (i.e. by the availability of reduced ferredoxin in the new inhibited steady state) is also operative in malate-fed leaves.

Differences in Oxygen Responses of Net Assimilation and Isoprene Emission Rates in Control and Malate-Fed Leaves

Under the ambient CO$_2$ concentration of 400 μmol mol$^{-1}$, the reduction of oxygen concentration from 21% to 2% increased the net assimilation rate and isoprene emission in both control and malate-fed leaves (Fig. 3; Table III). Again, the suppression of photorespiration...
altered net assimilation rate in malate-fed leaves more than in control leaves (Table III) due to a greater photorespiration rate in malate-fed leaves (Table I). Analogous increases in isoprene emission in low oxygen under the ambient CO$_2$ have been shown in several studies (Monson and Fall, 1989; Loreto and Sharkey, 1993; Rasulov et al., 2009b, 2011). This is consistent with the mechanism of control of isoprene emission by photosynthetic light reactions; as the photorespiratory sink for ATP and reductive equivalents is reduced strongly under low oxygen, a greater share of electron flow is expected to go into isoprene synthesis (Rasulov et al., 2009b). It has been shown that the conditions of ambient CO$_2$ and low oxygen are associated with increases in both DMADP and MeCDP pool sizes (Rasulov et al., 2011; Li and Sharkey, 2013a), suggesting that both greater ATP and reductive equivalent availability explain the increased isoprene emission rate. Despite the overall suppression of isoprene emission and photosynthetic electron transport activities, the greater sensitivity of isoprene emission to low oxygen in malate-fed leaves (Table III) can be explained by their greater photorespiration rate, at least for the time window of the transition in oxygen concentration, approximately 400 s, used in our study (Fig. 3B). In addition, a suddenly enhanced availability of reduced ferredoxin can lead to an enhanced conversion of existing MeCDP pool to DMADP (Table II) and support ferredoxin can lead to an enhanced conversion of existing MeCDP pool to DMADP (Table II) and support the greater relative increase in isoprene emission rate in malate-fed leaves.

In contrast to the low-oxygen effects under the ambient CO$_2$ concentration, the reduction of oxygen concentration under high CO$_2$ concentration of 1,200 μmol mol$^{-1}$ resulted in reductions in net assimilation rate and isoprene emission in both control and malate-fed leaves (Fig. 3). The negative oxygen response of photosynthesis suggests that, under high CO$_2$, photosynthesis became feedback limited (Sharkey, 1990). In particular, in control leaves, the transfer of leaves to low oxygen under high CO$_2$ was associated with oscillations in net assimilation rate and chlorophyll fluorescence (Fig. 3A; Supplemental Fig. S1), suggesting that photosynthesis was limited by the regeneration of triose phosphates due to low stromal phosphate levels that ultimately inhibited the rate of ATP formation and whole-chain linear electron transport (Sharkey, 1990; Pammenter et al., 1993). In control leaves, oscillations in net assimilation rate were also accompanied by oscillations in isoprene emission, although to a lower extent (Fig. 3A; Supplemental Fig. S1). As demonstrated experimentally by Rasulov et al. (2016), the lower amplitude of oscillations in isoprene emission than in net assimilation rate is due to the buffering of changes in isoprene synthesis rate by existing pools of MeCDP and DMADP. Nonetheless, the oscillations in isoprene emission do indicate that, in the control leaves, the reduction in electron transport rate did limit the isoprene emission of control leaves under low oxygen and high CO$_2$.

Different from control leaves, photosynthetic oscillations were absent or heavily suppressed in malate-fed leaves under low oxygen and high CO$_2$ (Fig. 3B), but the reduction in net assimilation rate was relatively greater and the reduction in isoprene emission rate was smaller in malate-fed leaves (Table III). The overall stronger inhibition of net assimilation rate suggests a stronger feedback limitation in malate-fed leaves, but the lack of oscillations is puzzling. However, given the strongly suppressed photosynthetic electron transport rate already prior to the change in oxygen concentration (Fig. 3B; Table I), the lack of oscillations might be indicative of lower levels and lower fluctuations in ATP and RuBP pool sizes in the background of a high NADPH level. On the other hand, given the greater MeCDP pool size in malate-fed leaves, ATP was less limiting for isoprene emission than for photosynthesis. Thus, due to the stronger photosynthetic suppression as the result of low ATP level, the last reductive steps in the MEP/DOX pathway likely had temporarily greater access to reduced ferredoxin, explaining the lower suppression of the isoprene emission rate.

This evidence has important implications for the hypothesis of the limitation of isoprene emission by cytosolic PEP. PEP transport from the cytosol into chloroplasts by PEP/phosphate translocator is bound to the stoichiometric antiport of phosphate (Flügge et al., 2011). Thus, in feedback-inhibited conditions, when the chloroplastic phosphate level is low, PEP transport into the chloroplasts could be limited by chloroplastic phosphate availability (Li and Sharkey, 2013b; Banerjee and Sharkey, 2014). While malate feeding must have enhanced the PEP level, the feedback inhibition was actually more severe in malate-fed leaves, and the somewhat lower reduction in isoprene emission rate under these conditions (Table III) is not consistent with the PEP/phosphate antiport limitation hypothesis.

Limited Effect of DOA on Photosynthesis and Isoprene Emission

To further test the hypothesis of the role of cytosolic PEP in isoprene synthesis (Fig. 1), we used the specific PEPC inhibitor DOA (Walker and Edwards, 1990; Magnin et al., 1997). Initially, we used 150 μM DOA, similar to previous studies (Magnin et al., 1997; Rosenstiel et al., 2003). However, we did not observe any effect of DOA applied at this concentration on foliage photosynthesis and isoprene emission rates (Fig. 5A), and contrary to our expectations, isoprene emission rate actually even decreased at the highest DOA concentration applied (Fig. 5C). Lack of an effect on photosynthesis is consistent with the study of Walker and Edwards (1990), who did also not observe changes in foliage photosynthesis in DOA-treated C$_3$ plants. More importantly, we did not observe any change in the high-CO$_2$ response of isoprene emission in DOA-fed leaves (Fig. 5A). Our data are in contrast to an experiment with a poplar leaf by Rosenstiel et al. (2003), where an approximately 25% increase of isoprene emission in 10 min after the application of 100 μM DOA was observed, and this increase was also associated with an
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approximately 10\% reduction in net assimilation rate. We cannot explain this discrepancy with our experiments, but we note that the data of Rosenstiel et al. (2003) are of lower resolution (10-min resolution) and lack the essential supporting information, such as stomatal conductance and photosynthetic electron transport rate.

On the other hand, the application of 150 μM DOA resulted in an enhancement of acetaldehyde emission (Fig. 5B). This is consistent with the pyruvate-overflow mechanism (Karl et al., 2002) and indicates that cytosolic PEP level was indeed enhanced by DOA feeding in our study. Altogether, the DOA experiments in our study further support the evidence from malate-feeding experiments that the cytosolic PEP level does not limit leaf isoprene emissions under both low and high CO₂ concentrations.

CONCLUSION

The malate- and DOA-feeding experiments carried out in this study suggested that the availability of chloroplastic PEP level is not responsible for the reduction in isoprene emission under high CO₂ concentration. In particular, the inhibition of PEPC activity that has been assumed to lead to the suppression of isoprene emission under high CO₂ (Fig. 1) did not relieve the CO₂ limitation of isoprene emission (Table III). The primary effect of malate feeding was the alteration of leaf reductive status, with different modifications in net assimilation rate and isoprene emission as a result of the suppression of photosynthetic electron transport and the changed balance between ATP, NADPH, and reduced ferredoxin. Overall, the experimental data were consistent with the understanding that high CO₂ reduces isoprene emission due to a lower share of reductive and energetic equivalents available for isoprene emission, whereas the primary limiting steps in the MEP/DOXP pathway are the reductive reactions converting MECp to DMADP, in particular the last two reactions catalyzed by HDS and HDR that accept electrons directly from reduced ferredoxin in the photosynthetic electron transport chain.

Across different CO₂ and oxygen concentrations, the photosynthetic use of photosynthetic electron flow was prioritized, whereas the magnitude of changes in isoprene emission after the modification of air composition was dependent on the degree of limitation of photosynthesis by photosynthetic electron transport. We suggest that the RuBP pool is the key sink of photosynthetic electron flow that determines the concentrations of ATP and reductive equivalents available for isoprene emission. Increases in CO₂ concentration under normal oxygen that reduced RuBP pool size (Badger et al., 1984; Laisk et al., 2002; Rasulov et al., 2016) and increased net assimilation rate resulted in lower isoprene emission rate, while decreases of oxygen concentration under ambient CO₂ that increased RuBP pool size (Badger et al., 1984; Laisk et al., 2002; Rasulov et al., 2016) and enhanced photosynthesis increased isoprene emission rate. In the case of feedback-limited conditions, under high CO₂ and low oxygen and low RuBP pool (Sharkey, 1990; Laisk et al., 2002; Rasulov et al., 2016), both photosynthesis and isoprene emission were reduced. Although the absolute drain of photosynthetic electron flow to isoprene synthesis is small, such a regulation of isoprene emission is plausible, provided that the effective Michaelis-Menten constant of the MEP/DOXP pathway for ATP and reductive equivalents is high (i.e. isoprene emission does not compete directly with photosynthetic carbon assimilation for electron flow but tracks the leaf energetic and reductive status).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material and Growth Conditions

We used 2-year-old plants of hybrid aspen (Populus tremula × Populus tremuloides clone H200) as in our previous studies on environmental controls of isoprene emission (Rasulov et al., 2009a, 2011, 2015b). The saplings were planted in 4-L pots filled with a blend of commercial planting soil and sand (1:1) with slow-release balanced fertilizer and grown in a Fitoclima 5600 PLLH growth chamber (Aralab). The photosynthetically active quantum flux density at plant level was kept at 700 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ for a 14-h day, day/night temperatures were 25°C/22°C, relative air humidity at daytime was 60%, and ambient CO₂ concentration was 400 μmol mol⁻¹. For optimum water supply, the saplings were watered to soil field capacity every other day. The experiments were conducted with fully expanded 20- to 25-d-old leaves that had peak-level net assimilation and isoprene emission rates (for ontogenetic changes in leaf physiological capacities in aspen, see Rasulov et al., 2014).

Leaf Malate-Feeding Treatments

Excised leaves were fed through the petiole with malate (Sigma-Aldrich) solution or with distilled water (control). A malate concentration of 20 mM was used in these experiments (the inhibitory constant of PEPC for malate is approximately 4–6 mM; Huber and Sugiyama, 1986; Wedding et al., 1990; Gupta et al., 1994; Parvathi et al., 2000). After leaf excision, the petiole was kept in a 2-mL vial filled with distilled water until leaf gas-exchange rates and isoprene emissions reached a maximum value at standard measurement conditions (see below), typically for 20 min after leaf excision. Malate treatment was started by rapidly withdrawing 1 mL of the distilled water by one syringe while simultaneously adding 1 mL of 40 mM malate solution with another syringe, such that the desired malate concentration was achieved almost immediately while avoiding exposure of the cut end of the petiole to ambient air. The control leaves were maintained in distilled water through the experiments.

Inhibition of the PEPC Reaction by diethyl oxalacetate (DOA)

To inhibit PEPC activity, the leaves were fed with DOA (Sigma-Aldrich) analogously as in malate treatments. We initially used the concentration of 150 μM, similar to that employed in previous studies (Magnin et al., 1997; Rosenstiel et al., 2003), in agreement with the reported low PEPC inhibitory constant for DOA of 4 to 9 μM (Walker et al., 1986; Walker and Edwards, 1990). In addition, higher concentrations of 500 μM and 20 mM were also used.

Measurements of Foliage Gas-Exchange Rates, Isoprene Emission, and Chlorophyll Fluorescence

We used an ultrafast-response (system half-time of approximately 0.15 s for a stepwise change in chamber gas concentration) two-channel, custom-made gas-exchange system specially designed for measurements of rapid transients in foliage gas-exchange rates after changes in ambient conditions (Rasulov et al., 2011, 2015b). For rapid changes in air CO₂ and oxygen concentrations in the leaf chamber, different air compositions can be prepared separately in the reference chamber, different air compositions can be prepared separately in the measurement channel can be changed almost instantaneously.

In conclusion, the data of Rosenstiel et al. (2003) are of lower resolution (10-min resolution) and lack the essential supporting information, such as stomatal conductance and photosynthetic electron transport rate. The application of 150 μM DOA resulted in an enhancement of acetaldehyde emission (Fig. 5B). This is consistent with the pyruvate-overflow mechanism (Karl et al., 2002) and indicates that cytosolic PEP level was indeed enhanced by DOA feeding in our study. Altogether, the DOA experiments in our study further support the evidence from malate-feeding experiments that the cytosolic PEP level does not limit leaf isoprene emissions under both low and high CO₂ concentrations.
To measure gas concentrations in the air going into and exiting the leaf chamber, an LI-6251 infrared gas analyzer (LI-COR) was used for CO₂, a custom-made psychrometer for water vapor, and an N-22M Ametek oxygen analyzer (Ametek) for oxygen concentration measurements (Rasulov et al., 2009a). In most experiments, isoprene concentration was measured by a proton-transfer reaction quadrupole mass-spectrometer (PTR-QMS; a high-sensitivity version with a response time of approximately 0.1 s; Ionicon Analytik). In experiments with DOA inhibition, a proton-transfer reaction time-of-flight mass-spectrometer (PTR-TOF-MS 8000; Ionicon Analytik) was used. The operation of PTR-QMS was as in our previous studies (Rasulov et al., 2014, 2015b, 2016), while the operation of the PTR-TOF-MS followed the protocol of Portillo-Estrada et al. (2015). Isoprene was detected as the protonated parent ion with mass-to-charge ratio of 69⁺ in PTR-TOF-MS measurements (Graus et al., 2004; Rasulov et al., 2014) and of 69.07+ in PTR-TOF-MS measurements (Brilli et al., 2011; Portillo-Estrada et al., 2015). In addition, acetaldehyde (mass-to-charge ratio of 44.054+) was analyzed in DOA inhibition experiments. A calibration gas containing representatives of key plant volatile classes, including isoprene and acetaldehyde (ionized), was employed to calibrate both PTR-QMS and PTR-TOF-MS instruments.

Steady-state (f) and maximum (fₓₐ) light-adapted fluorescence yields were measured with a pulse amplitude-modulated chlorophyll fluorimeter (PAM 103; Walz). The measurement light was modulated at 100 kHz, and the white light intensity during saturated pulses (1 s) of light for fₓₐ determinations was 10,000 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Rasulov et al., 2009b). In addition, an estimate of minimum fluorescence yield in a partly relaxed state in light (fᵦₒ) was made by illuminating the leaves with far-red light (150 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹).

Protocol for Physiological Measurements and Derivation of Key Gas-Exchange and Isoprene Emission Characteristics

After enclosure of the leaf in the gas-exchange cuvette, the leaf was stabilized under standard conditions (ambient CO₂ concentration of 400 μmol mol⁻¹, oxygen concentration of 21% (v/v), relative humidity of 60% (v/v), leaf temperature of 30°C, and saturating light intensity of 700 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹) until stomata were fully opened (Horton et al., 1993) and isotopically labeled CO₂ (85% CO₂) was added to the gas-exchange cuvette. Isoprene was detected as the protonated parent ion with mass-to-charge ratio of 69⁺ (Gentz et al., 1989).

For the experiments with changing CO₂ and oxygen concentrations, we calculated both relative changes in net assimilation rate (Rₐ) and isoprene emission rate (Rₑₐ) for different steady-state situations. For net assimilation, Rₐ = ΔA/Δt, where ΔA is the difference in net assimilation rate between two steady-state values and A is the average value obtained for the two steady-state conditions (Rasulov et al., 2016). The relative change for isoprene emission was calculated analogously.

All experiments were replicated four to five times with different leaves, and means ± se of all photosynthetic and isoprene emission characteristics were estimated in the steady state (Tables I and II).

Supplemental Data

The following supplemental materials are available.

Supplemental Figure S1. Changes in isoprene emission and net assimilation rates and stomatal conductance in response to modifications in atmospheric CO₂ and oxygen concentrations.
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