Effect of Oxygen on Photosynthesis, Photorespiration and Respiration in Detached Leaves. 1. Soybean
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Summary. The effect of O₂ on the CO₂ exchange of detached soybean leaves was measured with a Clark oxygen electrode and infrared carbon dioxide analysers in both open and closed systems.

The rate of apparent photosynthesis was inhibited by O₂ while the steady rate of respiration after a few minutes in the dark was not affected. Part of the inhibition of apparent photosynthesis was shown to be a result of increased photorespiration. This stimulation of photorespiration by O₂ was manifested by an increase in the CO₂ compensation point.

The differential effects of O₂ on dark respiration (no effect) and photorespiration (stimulation) indicated that these were 2 different processes.

Moreover the extrapolation of the CO₂ compensation point to zero at zero O₂ indicated that dark respiration was suppressed in the light at least at zero O₂ concentration.

The rate of apparent photosynthesis has been shown to be inhibited by O₂ in a wide range of plant species (13). Until recently, however, no satisfactory explanation of this phenomenon has been proposed. The possibility that part of this inhibition might be due to a stimulation of respiration was not considered because A) the respiratory process which operates in the dark was thought to continue during photosynthesis (3, 13), and B) O₂ has no effect on the dark respiration of green leaves (7, 9, 13).

Recent evidence suggests that dark respiration is inhibited in the light in green leaves and algae and is replaced by a different respiratory process, photorespiration (4, 6, 12).

The question now to be answered is whether O₂ has an effect on photorespiration i.e. on the evolution of CO₂ in light.

In a previous communication from this laboratory, Tregunna et al. (12) showed that photorespiration was stimulated by O₂. This stimulation was manifested by an increased CO₂ compensation point, a decreased rate of apparent photosynthesis, and an increase in the magnitude of the dark CO₂ burst, which had been suggested to represent the last remnant of photorespiration (9, 10, 11).

From these results it was concluded that there were 2 different effects of O₂ on apparent photosynthesis: A) a stimulation of photorespiration; B) an inhibition of photosynthesis.

Because in the above experiments a closed system was used to measure the CO₂ exchange, difficulties resulting from continuously changing CO₂ concentrations did not allow a direct comparison of the rates of apparent photosynthesis at different O₂ concentrations. In the experiments reported below these effects of O₂ were investigated more fully, using a different plant species, a wider range of O₂ and CO₂ concentrations and steady state conditions.

Materials and Methods

Soybean plants, Glycine max Merr. var. Comet, were grown in pots of vermiculite in a growth chamber. The light intensity was 1500 ft-c, and the day length 16 hours. The temperature was 22.5° during the day and 19° at night. The plants were watered twice daily with tap water and once every 2 weeks with a solution of Plant Prod 20:20:20 (manufactured by the Plant Products Corporation, Blew Point, New York). Fully expanded trifoliate leaves were used 3 weeks from the date of planting.

Two methods were used to measure CO₂ exchange. A closed system apparatus was used to determine CO₂ compensation points during illumination and the CO₂ burst immediately after the light was turned off. An open system was used to determine the steady rates of CO₂ absorption in the light and production in the dark.

Closed System. The closed system apparatus incorporating a Beckman Infrared CO₂ analyser (IRCA) was that described by Lister et al. (5). To this was added a Clark oxygen electrode, as described
by Tregunna et al. (12). The plexiglass plant chamber was of a design similar to that described by Tregunna et al. (10) but of smaller dimensions. The volume of this chamber was 250 ± 2 ml.

The O₂ content of the system was so large that its O₂ concentration was not appreciably changed during the experiments. Thus, the oxygen electrode was used only to measure the prevailing O₂ concentration in the system. Whenever the O₂ concentration was to be changed, the system was opened and a mixture of gases from tanks of N₂, O₂, and 5% CO₂ in air was flushed through it for 2 or 3 minutes and then the system was closed again.

Open System. The open system apparatus is shown diagrammatically in figure 1. Gases from tanks of N₂ and O₂ were bubbled through distilled water in the wash towers (A) and (B). CO₂ from a tank of 5% CO₂ in air was introduced into the gas stream through a fine capillary tube (D). The wash tower (C) served as a safety valve in case of the build up of excess pressures in the system. The gas stream was then passed through a flowmeter (E), the first IRCA (F), the oxygen electrode (H), the plant chamber (I), the second IRCA (N), and finally through a second flowmeter (P) into the laboratory air. The readings from the IRCAs were recorded on the Texas Instrument Dual Recorders (G) and (O), while O₂ concentrations from the oxygen electrode (H), and temperature readings from the thermocouple (K), were recorded on the Bristol Recorder (J). Light was supplied by four 375-w Sylvania photoflood lamps (M) and filtered through 10 cm of water in the tanks (L).

Each IRCA was carefully calibrated with standard CO₂ gas mixtures, and N₂, bubbled through distilled water, was used to zero the instruments. The 2 flowmeters, before the first and after the second IRCA, insured accurate flow rate measurements. Any difference in concentrations between the 2 IRCAs multiplied by the flow rate was a measure of the rate of CO₂ exchange. The temperature was always between 20 and 25° and did not vary more than 1° during any experiment. For measurements of CO₂ production in the dark, the lights were turned off and the plant chamber was covered with a black cloth. The maximal observed error of each rate determination was ± 6.4%.

**Experimental and Results**

The object of these experiments was to study the CO₂ exchange of detached soybean leaves at various O₂ and CO₂ concentrations and at various light intensities in both open and closed systems. Soybean was chosen since it was previously shown to behave like tobacco with respect to the effect of light intensity on the CO₂ burst (11).

Three samples, each consisting of 4 leaves (total fr wt of each sample approximately 2 g), were studied separately. Leaves were detached at 8:45 AM, their petioles re-cut under water, and placed in the plant chamber in the open system. The leaf samples were then subjected to a number of consecutive light-dark cycles, each of which was at a different O₂ concentration. Each cycle consisted of 15 minutes in light at 600 ft-c, 5 minutes at 1000 ft-c, and 5 minutes in the dark. These were the periods of time required to obtain steady rates of CO₂ exchange at various light intensities. The O₂ concentration was always changed at the beginning of the 600 ft-c light period. Thus, a series of O₂ concentrations ranging between 1 and 100% was formed with the first and the last concentration always being 21% O₂. In this manner the reversibility of O₂ effect on CO₂ gas exchange could be observed. For samples 1 and 2, the average CO₂ concentration of the gas stream entering the plant chamber was 275 ppm, and for sample 3, it was 73 ppm.

At the end of these open system measurements, the CO₂ compensation point for each leaf sample was determined in the closed system at a number of different O₂ concentrations.

Finally, for sample 3 only, the magnitude of the dark CO₂ burst was measured (also in the closed system) after 10-minute light periods of 1000 ft-c at 1, 21 and 100% O₂.

In the open system the concentration of O₂ and CO₂ in the gas stream entering the plant chamber was maintained constant. As shown in figure 2, the steady rate of apparent photosynthesis at both 275 and 73 ppm CO₂ decreased as the O₂ concentration increased. The 2 curves are described by the general equation: \[ y = ae^{-bx} + K. \] At 275 ppm the equation for the curve was \[ y = 56.5e^{-0.02x}; \] at 73 ppm the equation was \[ y = 24.3e^{0.02x} - 10.\]
The effect of O₂ was reversible since approximately the same rates were obtained at 21% O₂ after either high or low O₂ treatments. Since there was little effect of light intensity, CO₂ and not light was limiting the rate at both CO₂ concentrations.

At 73 ppm CO₂ and 43% O₂, the rate of apparent photosynthesis was zero; that is, the CO₂ compensation point was 73 ppm at 43% O₂. Above 43% O₂ there was a negative rate; that is, below the CO₂ compensation point there was a net CO₂ evolution.

Dark Respiration. The steady rates of dark respiration at various O₂ concentrations are plotted in figure 3. The scale is the same as that in figure 2. O₂ had little effect on the rate of dark respiration.

CO₂ Compensation Point. As seen from figure 4, the CO₂ compensation point increased linearly from 1 to 100% O₂. The linear regression for the points is \( y = 1.63x + 2.8 \), where \( y = \) CO₂ compensation point in ppm, and \( x = \) O₂. The 2.8 was not significantly different from zero. As noted above in figure 2, the CO₂ compensation point was about 70 ppm at 40% O₂.

CO₂ Burst. As seen from figure 5 the CO₂ burst also increased with increasing O₂ concentration. In leaves kept at 1% O₂ the burst was completely lacking, while it was much higher at 100% O₂ than at 21%. The rates of CO₂ production after 300 seconds in the dark were about the same for all 3 O₂ concentrations, as already observed in figure 3.

Inhibition of Apparent Photosynthesis by O₂. These effects of O₂ on the CO₂ exchange of detached soybean leaves are essentially in agreement with those described by Tregunna et al. (12) for tobacco.
The total inhibition of apparent photosynthesis \( (I_T) \) can now be calculated directly from the data of figure 2, and expressed as a percentage of the noninhibited value:

\[
I_T = \frac{(APS_{\text{max}} - APS)}{APS_{\text{max}}} \times 100
\]

where \( APS_{\text{max}} \) is the rate of apparent photosynthesis extrapolated to zero \( O_2 \) concentration (noninhibited).

\( APS \) is the observed rate of apparent photosynthesis at various \( O_2 \) concentrations.

The results of such a calculation are plotted in figure 6. The lower curve represents the data obtained directly from the 275 ppm graph of figure 2 and the upper curve from the 73 ppm graph. The percent inhibition was always higher at lower \( CO_2 \) concentration.

Photosynthesis. At the \( CO_2 \) compensation point the rate of \( CO_2 \) evolution is equal to the rate of its absorption. Tregunna et al. (9, 12) showed that the rate of \( CO_2 \) production during photosynthesis at the \( CO_2 \) compensation point could be expressed as follows:

\[
PR \ (\text{photorespiration}) = CE \ [CO_2 \ \text{comp.}]
\]

where \( CE \) (carboxylation efficiency) = \( \frac{APS}{[CO_2] - [CO_2 \ \text{comp.}]} \)

and \( [CO_2 \ \text{comp.}] = CO_2 \) concentration at the \( CO_2 \) compensation point.

Figure 7 will serve to clarify the rationale behind the derivation of equation II. In this figure the rate of apparent photosynthesis \( (APS) \) is plotted against \( CO_2 \) concentration in air, with different percentage of \( O_2 \) in it. The slope of each graph represents the rate at which \( CO_2 \) is absorbed at the same percent of \( O_2 \) but at different \( CO_2 \) concentrations. This slope has been designated as a carboxylation efficiency \( (CE) \) (9, 12). The y-intercepts of various graphs with the abscissa represent the \( CO_2 \) compensation points (i.e. \( [CO_2 \ \text{comp.}] \)) at various \( O_2 \) concentrations.

From the examination of figure 7 it is clear that at each concentration of \( O_2 \) apparent photosynthesis \( (APS) \) is expressed by the slope of the graph (called Carboxylation Efficiency or \( CE \)) multiplied by the concentration of \( CO_2 \) above the compensation point. The slope of the graph is decreasing and the compensation point is increasing with increasing percentage of \( O_2 \) in the air.

True photosynthesis at each \( O_2 \) concentration, being apparent photosynthesis corrected for the amounts of \( CO_2 \) respired, would be represented by a line drawn parallel to each of these graphs and intercepting abscissa at zero \( CO_2 \) concentration. The magnitude of true photosynthesis \( (TPS) \) at compensation point is equal to \( CE \times CO_2 \) concentration at compensation point. Since at compensation point true photosynthesis is equal to \( CO_2 \) evolution or photorespiration, we arrive at the following expression of photorespiration: Photorespiration \( (PR) = CE \times CO_2 \) at compensation point. or stated briefly: Photorespiration \( (PR) = CE \times [CO_2 \ \text{comp.}] \). A detailed de-
Discussion

The results presented in this paper have confirmed and extended the studies of Tregunna et al. (9, 12) on the effects of O$_2$ on photosynthesis, photorespiration, and dark respiration. The most important conclusion from these results is that dark respiration is inhibited during photosynthesis and is replaced by a different process: photorespiration. Hoch et al. (4), measuring the oxygen-18 exchange of green and blue-green algae, and Oshum et al. (6) measuring the oxygen-18 and carbon-13 dioxide exchange of excised leaves of Phaseolus vulgaris, reached similar conclusions.

Tregunna et al. (9, 10, 11) initially assumed that the CO$_2$ burst represented the overshoot of increased respiration in the light. They observed that in tobacco leaves the CO$_2$ burst increased with increasing light intensity (10) and O$_2$ concentration (12), whereas the steady rate of CO$_2$ production, measured after a few minutes in the dark, was not affected. These differential effects of O$_2$ and light intensity on the initial CO$_2$ burst and the final steady rates of dark respiration indicated that these 2 processes are different. These workers also concluded that dark respiration was inhibited in the light (11, 12). This was based on the observations that in tobacco CO$_2$ production at zero O$_2$ was zero (i.e., the CO$_2$ compensation point extrapolated to zero at zero O$_2$).

These earlier observations on tobacco leaves were confirmed in the present investigation of soybean. Thus, both the CO$_2$ burst (fig 5) and the CO$_2$ compensation point (fig 4) increased with increasing O$_2$ from 1 to 100%. The line extrapolated to zero at zero O$_2$ (fig 4) and there was no effect of O$_2$ on dark respiration over the same range of concentrations (fig 3). Moreover, the linear relationship between the CO$_2$ compensation point and O$_2$ concentration persisted from 0 to 100%, whereas in the experiments using tobacco leaves (10, 12) observations were carried out only up to 50% O$_2$.

The effect of O$_2$ on photorespiration and dark respiration were compared in figure 8. It is obvious that the value for the photorespiration will be affected by the O$_2$ concentration and, also, by the light intensity (9, 12). The conflicting results reported for the measurements of the rate of respiration during photosynthesis can probably be explained on the basis of the differing experimental conditions used in these various investigations (1, 2, 3).

The percent inhibition of apparent photosynthesis was higher, the lower was the CO$_2$ concentration (fig 6). This was also observed previously by Taniya and Huzisige (8) and Turner and Brittain (13). The reason for this is evident from table I. As is seen from this table the rate of photorespiration was not affected by the CO$_2$ concentration, while the rate of photosynthesis was. As a consequence, photorespiration accounted for a greater percentage of the inhibition at the lower CO$_2$ concentration when the rate of photosynthesis was low, than at the
higher concentration when the rate of photosynthesis was high. On the other hand, photosynthesis corrected for photorepiration (true photosynthesis) was inhibited by about the same extent at both CO₂ concentrations. It is suggested that this inhibition of photosynthesis per se may be due to A) a decreased rate of regeneration of the CO₂ acceptor because of the diversion of part of the absorbed carbon into photorepiration, or B) to a direct effect of O₂ on some component of the photosynthetic carbon cycle, or C) to a direct effect of O₂ on the formation of the primary photosynthetic products. At present there is no evidence which will allow us to distinguish between these alternatives. It might be noted here that these studies were carried out at CO₂ concentrations which limited photosynthesis and, therefore, the conclusions may not hold for saturating CO₂ concentrations.

Further information about the O₂ effects on photosynthesis could be obtained from a similar study of the gas exchange of young corn shoots which lack photorepiration (11). The results of such an investigation are reported in the following paper.
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