Effect of Oxygen on Photosynthesis, Photorespiration and Respiration in Detached Leaves. I. Soybean
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Summary. The effect of O₂ on the CO₂ exchange of detached soybean leaves was measured with a Clark oxygen electrode and infrared carbon dioxide analysers in both open and closed systems.

The rate of apparent photosynthesis was inhibited by O₂ while the steady rate of respiration after a few minutes in the dark was not affected. Part of the inhibition of apparent photosynthesis was shown to be a result of increased photorespiration. This stimulation of photorespiration by O₂ was manifested by an increase in the CO₂ compensation point.

The differential effects of O₂ on dark respiration (no effect) and photorespiration (stimulation) indicated that these were 2 different processes.

Moreover the extrapolation of the CO₂ compensation point to zero at zero O₂ indicated that dark respiration was suppressed in the light at least at zero O₂ concentration.

The rate of apparent photosynthesis has been shown to be inhibited by O₂ in a wide range of plant species (13). Until recently, however, no satisfactory explanation of this phenomenon has been proposed. The possibility that part of this inhibition might be due to a stimulation of respiration was not considered because A) the respiratory process which operates in the dark was thought to continue during photosynthesis (3, 13), and B) O₂ has no effect on the dark respiration of green leaves (7, 9, 13).

Recent evidence suggests that dark respiration is inhibited in the light in green leaves and algae and is replaced by a different respiratory process, photorespiration (4, 6, 12).

The question now to be answered is whether O₂ has an effect on photorespiration i.e. on the evolution of CO₂ in light.

In a previous communication from this laboratory, Tregunna et al. (12) showed that photorespiration was stimulated by O₂. This stimulation was manifested by an increased CO₂ compensation point, a decreased rate of apparent photosynthesis, and an increase in the magnitude of the dark CO₂ burst, which had been suggested to represent the last remnant of photorespiration (9, 10, 11).

From these results it was concluded that there were 2 different effects of O₂ on apparent photosynthesis: A) a stimulation of photorespiration; B) an inhibition of photosynthesis.

Because in the above experiments a closed system was used to measure the CO₂ exchange, difficulties resulting from continuously changing CO₂ concentrations did not allow a direct comparison of the rates of apparent photosynthesis at different O₂ concentrations. In the experiments reported below these effects of O₂ were investigated more fully, using a different plant species, a wider range of O₂ and CO₂ concentrations and steady state conditions.

Materials and Methods

Soybean plants, *Glycine max* Merr. var. Comet, were grown in pots of vermiculite in a growth chamber. The light intensity was 1500 ft-c. and the day length 16 hours. The temperature was 22.5° during the day and 19° at night. The plants were watered twice daily with tap water and once every 2 weeks with a solution of Plant Prod 20:20:20 (manufactured by the Plant Products Corporation, Blew Point, New York). Fully expanded trifoliolate leaves were used 3 weeks from the date of planting.

Two methods were used to measure CO₂ exchange. A closed system apparatus was used to determine CO₂ compensation points during illumination and the CO₂ burst immediately after the light was turned off. An open system was used to determine the steady rates of CO₂ absorption in the light and production in the dark.

Closed System. The closed system apparatus incorporating a Beckman Infrared CO₂ analyser (IRCA) was that described by Lister et al. (5). To this was added a Clark oxygen electrode, as described
by Tregunna et al. (12). The plexiglass plant chamber was of a design similar to that described by Tregunna et al. (10) but of smaller dimensions. The volume of this chamber was 250 ± 2 ml.

The $O_2$ content of the system was so large that its $O_2$ concentration was not appreciably changed during the experiments. Thus, the oxygen electrode was used only to measure the prevailing $O_2$ concentration in the system. Whenever the $O_2$ concentration was to be changed, the system was opened and a mixture of gases from tanks of $N_2$, $O_2$, and 5% $CO_2$ in air was flushed through it for 2 or 3 minutes and then the system was closed again.

Open System. The open system apparatus is shown diagrammatically in figure 1. Gases from tanks of $N_2$ and $O_2$ were bubbled through distilled water in the wash towers (A) and (B). $CO_2$ from a tank of 5% $CO_2$ in air was introduced into the gas stream through a fine capillary tube (D). The wash tower (C) served as a safety valve in case of the build up of excess pressures in the system. The gas stream was then passed through a flowmeter (E), the first IRCA (F), the oxygen electrode (H), the plant chamber (I), the second IRCA (X), and finally through a second flowmeter (P) into the laboratory air. The readings from the IRCAs were recorded on the Texas Instrument Dual Recorders (G) and (Q), while $O_2$ concentrations from the oxygen electrode (H), and temperature readings from the thermocouple (K), were recorded on the Bristol Recorder (J). Light was supplied by four 375-w Sylvania photoflood lamps (M) and filtered through 10 cm of water in the tanks (L).

Each IRCA was carefully calibrated with standard $CO_2$ gas mixtures, and $N_2$, bubbled through distilled water, was used to zero the instruments. The 2 flowmeters, before the first and after the second IRCA, insured accurate flow rate measurements. Any difference in concentrations between the 2 IRCAs multiplied by the flow rate was a measure of the rate of $CO_2$ exchange. The temperature was always between 20 and 25°C and did not vary more than 1°C during any experiment. For measurements of $CO_2$ production in the dark, the lights were turned off and the plant chamber was covered with a black cloth. The maximal observed error of each rate determination was ±6.4%.

Experimental and Results

The object of these experiments was to study the $CO_2$ exchange of detached soybean leaves at various $O_2$ and $CO_2$ concentrations and at various light intensities in both open and closed systems. Soybean was chosen since it was previously shown to behave like tobacco with respect to the effect of light intensity on the $CO_2$ burst (11).

Three samples, each consisting of 4 leaves (total fr wt of each sample approximately 2 g), were studied separately. Leaves were detached at 8:45 AM, their petioles re-cut under water, and placed in the plant chamber in the open system. The leaf samples were then subjected to a number of consecutive light-dark cycles, each of which was at a different $O_2$ concentration. Each cycle consisted of 15 minutes in light at 600 ft-c, 5 minutes at 1000 ft-c, and 5 minutes in the dark. These were the periods of time required to obtain steady rates of $CO_2$ exchange at various light intensities. The $O_2$ concentration was always changed at the beginning of the 600 ft-c light period. Thus, a series of $O_2$ concentrations ranging between 1 and 100% was formed with the first and the last concentration always being 21% $O_2$. In this manner the reversibility of $O_2$ effect on $CO_2$ gas exchange could be observed. For samples 1 and 2, the average $CO_2$ concentration of the gas stream entering the plant chamber was 275 ppm, and for sample 3, it was 73 ppm.

At the end of these open system measurements, the $CO_2$ compensation point for each leaf sample was determined in the closed system at a number of different $O_2$ concentrations.

Finally, for sample 3 only, the magnitude of the dark $CO_2$ burst was measured (also in the closed system) after 10-minute light periods of 1000 ft-c at 1, 21 and 100% $O_2$.

In the open system the concentration of $O_2$ and $CO_2$ in the gas stream entering the plant chamber was maintained constant. As shown in figure 2, the steady rate of apparent photosynthesis at both 275 and 73 ppm $CO_2$ decreased as the $O_2$ concentration increased. The 2 curves are described by the general equation: $y = ae^{0.67x} + K$. At 275 ppm the equation for the curve was $y = 56.5e^{-0.57x}$; at 73 ppm the equation was $y = 24.5e^{-0.67x} - 10$. 

![Fig. 1. Diagram of the open system apparatus. A and B, wash towers; C, wash tower as safety valve; D, capillary tube to introduce $CO_2$; E, first flow meter; F, first infrared $CO_2$ analyzer; G, first recorder; H, oxygen electrode; I, plant chamber; J, second recorder; K, thermocouple; L, water screen; M, photoflood lamps; N, second infrared $CO_2$ analyzer; O, third recorder; P, second flowmeter.](www.plantphysiol.org)
The effect of $O_2$ was reversible since approximately the same rates were obtained at 21 % $O_2$ after either high or low $O_2$ treatments. Since there was little effect of light intensity, $CO_2$ and not light was limiting the rate at both $CO_2$ concentrations.

At 73 ppm $CO_2$ and 43 % $O_2$, the rate of apparent photosynthesis was zero; that is, the $CO_2$ compensation point was 73 ppm at 43 % $O_2$. Above 43 % $CO_2$ there was a negative rate; that is, below the $CO_2$ compensation point there was a net $CO_2$ evolution.

Dark Respiration. The steady rates of dark respiration at various $O_2$ concentrations are plotted in figure 3. The scale is the same as that in figure 2. $O_2$ had little effect on the rate of dark respiration.

$CO_2$ Compensation Point. As seen from figure 4, the $CO_2$ compensation point increased linearly from 1 to 100 % $O_2$. The linear regression for the points is $y = 1.63x + 2.8$, where $y = CO_2$ compensation point in ppm, and $x = O_2$. The 2.8 was not significantly different from zero. As noted above in figure 2, the $CO_2$ compensation point was about 70 ppm at 40 % $O_2$.

$CO_2$ Burst. As seen from figure 5 the $CO_2$ burst also increased with increasing $O_2$ concentration. In leaves kept at 1 % $O_2$ the burst was completely lacking, while it was much higher at 100 % $O_2$ than at 21 %. The rates of $CO_2$ production after 300 seconds in the dark were about the same for all 3 $O_2$ concentrations, as already observed in figure 3.

Inhibition of Apparent Photosynthesis by $O_2$. These effects of $O_2$ on the $CO_2$ exchange of detached soybean leaves are essentially in agreement with those described by Tregunna et al. (12) for tobacco.
The total inhibition of apparent photosynthesis ($I_T$) can now be calculated directly from the data of figure 2, and expressed as a percentage of the noninhibited value:

$$I_T = \frac{(APS_{\text{max}} - APS)}{APS_{\text{max}}} \times 100$$

where $APS_{\text{max}}$ is the rate of apparent photosynthesis extrapolated to zero $O_2$ concentration (noninhibited).

$APS$ is the observed rate of apparent photosynthesis at various $O_2$ concentrations.

The results of such a calculation are plotted in figure 6. The lower curve represents the data obtained directly from the 275 ppm graph of figure 2 and the upper curve from the 73 ppm graph. The percent inhibition was always higher at lower $O_2$ concentration.

Photospiration. At the $CO_2$ compensation point the rate of $CO_2$ evolution is equal to the rate of its absorption. Treguuna et al. (9, 12) showed that the rate of $CO_2$ production during photosynthesis at the $CO_2$ compensation point could be expressed as follows:

$$PR\ (\text{photospiration}) = CE \ [CO_2\ \text{comp.}]/[O_2\text{efficiency}]$$

where $CE$ (carboxylation efficiency) is $[CO_2]$ at the $CO_2$ compensation point.

Figure 7 will serve to clarify the rationale behind the derivation of equation II. In this figure the rate of apparent photosynthesis ($APS$) is plotted against $CO_2$ concentration in air, with different percentage of $O_2$ in it. The slope of each graph represents the rate at which $CO_2$ is absorbed at the same percent of $O_2$ but at different $CO_2$ concentrations. This slope has been designated as a carboxylation efficiency ($CE$) (9, 12). The $x$-intercepts of various graphs with the abscissa represent the $CO_2$ compensation points (i.e. $[CO_2\ \text{comp.}]$) at various $O_2$ concentrations.

From the examination of figure 7 it is clear that at each concentration of $O_2$ apparent photosynthesis ($APS$) is expressed by the slope of the graph (called Carboxylation Efficiency or $CE$) multiplied by the concentration of $CO_2$ above the compensation point. The slope of the graph is decreasing and the compensation point is increasing with increasing percentage of $O_2$ in the air.

True photosynthesis at each $O_2$ concentration, being apparent photosynthesis corrected for the amounts of $CO_2$ respired, would be represented by a line drawn parallel to each of these graphs and intercepting the abscissa at zero $CO_2$ concentration. The magnitude of true photosynthesis ($TPS$) at compensation point is equal to $CE \times CO_2$ concentration at compensation point. Since at compensation point true photosynthesis is equal to $CO_2$ evolution or photospiration, we arrive at the following expression of photospiration: Photospiration ($PR$) $= CE \times CO_2$ at compensation point. Or stated briefly: Photospiration ($PR$) $= CE \times [CO_2\ \text{comp.}]$. A detailed de-
The results presented in this paper have confirmed and extended the studies of Tregunna et al. (9, 12) on the effects of O₂ on photosynthesis, photorespiration, and dark respiration. The most important conclusion from these results is that dark respiration is inhibited during photosynthesis and is replaced by a different process: photorespiration. Hoch et al. (4), measuring the oxygen-18 exchange of green and blue-green algae, and Oshum et al. (6) measuring the oxygen-18 and carbon-13 dioxide exchange of excised leaves of Phaseolus vulgaris, reached similar conclusions.

Tregunna et al. (9, 10, 11) initially assumed that the CO₂ burst represented the overshoot of increased respiration in the light. They observed that in tobacco leaves the CO₂ burst increased with increasing light intensity (10) and O₂ concentration (12), whereas the steady rate of CO₂ production, measured after a few minutes in the dark, was not affected. These differential effects of O₂ and light intensity on the initial CO₂ burst and the final steady rates of dark respiration indicated that these 2 processes are different. These workers also concluded that dark respiration was inhibited in the light (11, 12). This was based on the observations that in tobacco CO₂ production at zero O₂ was zero (i.e., the CO₂ compensation point extrapolated to zero at zero O₂).

These earlier observations on tobacco leaves were confirmed in the present investigation of soybean. Thus, both the CO₂ burst (fig 5) and the CO₂ compensation point (fig 4) increased with increasing O₂ from 1 to 100% O₂, the line extrapolated to zero at zero O₂ (fig 4) and there was no effect of O₂ on dark respiration over the same range of concentrations (fig 3). Moreover, the linear relationship between the CO₂ compensation point and O₂ concentration persisted from 0 to 100% O₂, whereas in the experiments using tobacco leaves (10, 12) observations were carried out only up to 50% O₂.

The effect of O₂ on photorespiration and dark respiration were compared in figure 8. It is obvious that the value for the photorespiration will be affected by the O₂ concentration and, also, by the light intensity (9, 12). The conflicting results reported for the measurements of the rate of respiration during photosynthesis can probably be explained on the basis of the differing experimental conditions used in these various investigations (1, 2, 3).

The percent inhibition of apparent photosynthesis was higher, the lower was the CO₂ concentration (fig 6). This was also observed previously by Tanima and Huzisige (8) and Turner and Brittain (13). The reason for this is evident from table I. As is seen from this table the rate of photorespiration was not affected by the CO₂ concentration, while the rate of photosynthesis was. As a consequence, photorespiration accounted for a greater percentage of the inhibition at the lower CO₂ concentration when the rate of photosynthesis was low, than at the
higher concentration when the rate of photosynthesis was high. On the other hand, photosynthesis corrected for photorespiration (true photosynthesis) was inhibited by about the same extent at both CO₂ concentrations. It is suggested that this inhibition of photosynthesis per se may be due to A) a decreased rate of regeneration of the CO₂ acceptor because of the diversion of part of the absorbed carbon into photorespiration, or B) to a direct effect of O₂ on some component of the photosynthetic carbon cycle, or C) to a direct effect of O₂ on the formation of the primary photosynthetic products. At present there is no evidence which will allow us to distinguish between these alternatives. It might be noted here that these studies were carried out at CO₂ concentrations which limited photosynthesis and, therefore, the conclusions may not hold for saturating CO₂ concentrations.

Further information about the O₂ effects on photosynthesis could be obtained from a similar study of the gas exchange of young corn shoots which lack photosynthesis (11). The results of such an investigation are reported in the following paper.

Table I. Effect of CO₂ on the Inhibition of Photosynthesis in Detached Soybean Leaves by O₂.

The data are given as µg of CO₂ absorbed or produced per minute per leaf.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% O₂</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apparent photosynthesis</td>
<td><em>Low</em></td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>True photosynthesis</td>
<td><strong>High</strong></td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>37.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Inhibition of apparent photosynthesis</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>5.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Inhibition of true photosynthesis</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>5.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Inhibition of apparent photosynthesis due to photorespiration</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>19.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Low = 73 ppm CO₂.
** High = 275 ppm CO₂.
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