

Communication

# Whole Plant CO<sub>2</sub> Exchange Measurements for Nondestructive Estimation of Growth<sup>1</sup>

Received for publication July 11 and in revised form October 20

RON G. DUTTON, JIRONG JIAO<sup>2</sup>, M. JAMES TSUJITA, AND BERNARD GRODZINSKI\*  
*Department of Horticultural Science, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada*

## ABSTRACT

A computer controlled semiclosed net CO<sub>2</sub> exchange measurement system, employing an infrared gas analyzer and mass flow controllers to inject pure CO<sub>2</sub> at preset rates, has been developed for measuring whole plant net CO<sub>2</sub> exchange and net C gain in a controlled environment (*i.e.* CO<sub>2</sub>, light, and temperature). Data for tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* cv Campbell 19 VF) and rose (*Rosa hybrida* cv Samantha) plants grown for 4 and 17 day periods, respectively, clearly show that net C gain measured and computed using nondestructive CO<sub>2</sub> analysis equaled the increase in C content determined by chemical analysis following destruction of the test plants. The analysis of C gain based on CO<sub>2</sub> exchange allows estimation of biomass production and growth of a single population of plants under varying light and CO<sub>2</sub> conditions without physically handling the test plants.

Many gas-exchange systems based on infrared gas analysis of CO<sub>2</sub> have been designed to measure photosynthesis, photorespiration, and dark respiration of single plant leaves (2, 9, 15). However, measurement of leaf photosynthesis does not necessarily predict plant growth and crop productivity (3), since individual leaves are not representative of the photosynthetic behavior of the entire canopy. Furthermore, analysis of the metabolism of a single leaf does not take into account dark respiration of the entire plant and ignores both the problem of partitioning of photoassimilates and the evaluation of crop quality (5, 14). A positive correlation between leaf photosynthetic rate and crop productivity requires considerable sampling (3, 12) and is most easily obtained when studying a crop for which the vegetative portion of the plant (*e.g.* leaves or roots) is harvested for market (8, 14, 17). In spite of the problems in correlating photosynthesis with yield it is well known that over 95% of the dry matter of a plant is derived from photosynthesis and further that carbon (C) obtained from photosynthesis comprises approximately 40% of the plant dry weight under most growth conditions (1, 7). Even so, CO<sub>2</sub> analysis itself is rarely used as a means of measuring growth rate.

Growth rate is frequently defined as an increase in the physical size of the plants expressed simply as an absolute increase in dry weight with time (*e.g.* g gained · week<sup>-1</sup>) or as a relative increase

in size (*e.g.* g gained · week<sup>-1</sup> g initial weight of the plant). Unfortunately, the most accurate method of obtaining the actual dry weight is to sacrifice individual test plants, a protocol which makes it impossible to obtain the IDW<sup>3</sup> of individual test plants. Furthermore, many parallel samples must be grown so that they can be sacrificed at specific times during the experiment. The variation between plants is often minimized by selecting uniform plant material from a large population and estimating the IDW by subsampling this population (4). Analysis of net C gain (ΔC) achieved by monitoring net CO<sub>2</sub> exchange rate nondestructively seems to be an alternative procedure to destructive dry matter analysis particularly in studies of absolute growth rate. To obtain relative growth rate as well as absolute growth rate an estimate of initial size of the test plant or population is clearly required. In this regard a correlation coefficient between dry weight and some other measure of plant size (*e.g.* fresh weight) can be established and used to estimate IDW nondestructively.

Bate and Canvin (1) described a gas exchange system for studying the relationship between whole plant net photosynthesis and the productivity (biomass gain) of a small population of sunflower and aspen plants maintained in controlled environmental chambers. Although they did not control CO<sub>2</sub> levels in their chambers they showed that a CO<sub>2</sub> analysis system can be used to estimate the net C gain of a small population of plants with over 85% accuracy, provided sufficient care is taken to avoid errors in both the gas monitoring system and the determination of dry weight. Because of a long standing interest in CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment in horticultural science (14), many other workers have used controlled environment chambers connected to gas analysers to regulate CO<sub>2</sub> levels and intermittently measure whole plant gas exchange (6, 11). However, these studies do not include any attempt to correlate C gain measured by infrared gas analysis with plant growth.

The computer controlled system we outline here employs M as well as an IRGA to monitor and control chamber CO<sub>2</sub> levels. The data from the two instruments are used to calculate NCER. These values provide an accurate nondestructive method of estimating net C gain and therefore the growth rate of plants being maintained in a specified environment.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Plant Materials.** The reliability and usefulness of whole plant CO<sub>2</sub> gas analysis for estimating plant growth nondestructively is shown by the data obtained in three experiments outlined below. In the first two experiments a sufficient number of rose cuttings

<sup>3</sup> Abbreviations: IDW, initial dry weight; ΔDW, net dry weight gain; ΔC, net C gain; M, massflow controller; IRGA, infrared gas analyzer; NCER, net carbon exchange rate; ΔCO<sub>2</sub>, cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> uptake; IFW, initial fresh weight; FDW, final dry weight.

<sup>1</sup> Supported by grants from the Natural Science and Engineering Research Council of Canada, and Agriculture Canada and the Cecil Delworth Foundation to M. J. T. and B. G.

<sup>2</sup> Permanent address; Department of Landscape Gardening, Beijing Forestry University, Beijing, China.

(*Rosa hybrida* cv Samantha) and tomato transplants (*Lycopersicon esculentum* cv Campbell 19 VF) were grown to measure the initial and final C content and dry weight of the test plants by conventional destructive analysis (4). The estimates of growth derived from these data were compared with estimates of carbon measured solely by nondestructive CO<sub>2</sub> analysis (NCER). In the third experiment reported below rose cuttings were maintained under two different environments (*i.e.* ambient and enriched CO<sub>2</sub> levels). Growth (C gain) was estimated solely by nondestructive CO<sub>2</sub> analysis (Fig. 2d).

In the case of the herbaceous crop, the tomato transplant population was very uniform. The IDW was estimated by sacrificing 20 plantlets and assuming an average plant weight of 0.86 g (Table I). A further 15 transplants, each growing in PRO-MIX BX (Premier Brands Inc.) in a 13.5 cm pot were placed in the three experimental chambers (5 plants per chamber) described below (Fig. 1). The transplants were maintained in a constant environment (25 ± 0.2°C temperature, 300 μmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> light (PAR 400–700 nm), and 800 ± 20 μl L<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub>) for 4 d during which time C gain was computed from CO<sub>2</sub> exchange data provided by the IRGA (model SS300, Analytical Development Company Ltd., Hoddesdon, England) and the massflow controller (model FC260, Tylan Corp., Carson, CA) as outlined below. At the end of the experiment all 15 test plants were harvested for determination of FDW and C content by chemical means (Table I).

In a second similar experiment, 15 rooted rose cuttings (3–5 cm long shoots) were grown in the analysis chambers for 17 d in a constant environment (22 ± 0.2°C temperature, 200 μmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> light (PAR 400–700 nm) and 600 ± 20 μl L<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub>). Rooted rose cuttings, although similar in initial size to each other, by no means represent as uniform a population of test plants as do the tomato seedlings. To estimate the IDW of the rose cuttings actually used in the growth experiments, the correlation between IDW and various parameters which could be determined in a nondestructive manner including leaf area, stem diameter, stem length, and IFW were compared. Of these parameters, IFW was best correlated to IDW with a correlation coefficient of 0.93. Thus, IFW was used to estimate IDW of the 15 rose plants actually used in the experiment (Table I).

**Maintenance and Measurement of CO<sub>2</sub> in Controlled Environmental Chambers.** A whole plant net CO<sub>2</sub> exchange system em-

ploying three specially constructed clear acrylic plant chambers was assembled in a conventional greenhouse. Each plant chamber (Fig. 1) measured 82 cm in height, 52 cm in length, and 45 cm in width. When in the open mode (*i.e.* to the outside atmosphere) fresh air was drawn into the plant chamber through V<sub>1</sub> at a flow rate of 30 L min<sup>-1</sup> and exited the chamber via V<sub>2</sub>. A circulating fan (Fig. 1) facilitated air movement over the plants while air temperature in the chamber was measured and controlled at a preset level (±0.2°C) with a YSI 44202 linear thermistor, T (Yellow Springs Instrument Co.) A preset CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (±30 μl L<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub>) between 300 and 4000 μl L<sup>-1</sup> can be maintained in each chamber by adding pure CO<sub>2</sub> to the air intake line before V<sub>1</sub> via a massflow controller, M<sub>1</sub>. While the system was in the open mode (air entering and exiting the chamber via V<sub>1</sub> and V<sub>2</sub>) the CO<sub>2</sub> level in the chamber was checked by drawing chamber air through V<sub>3</sub> to the instruments before returning it to the plant chamber via V<sub>4</sub> at a flow rate of 4 L min<sup>-1</sup>. Air in the instrument sampling line was split between V<sub>3</sub> and V<sub>4</sub> so that flow through the humidity transmitter, HT (Dew-track model 22, EG & G Environmental Equipment) and the IRGA were 3.5 and 0.5 L min<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. When the CO<sub>2</sub> level of the chamber air was not at the preset level (*e.g.* 350 μl L<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub>) the computer readjusted the flow of pure CO<sub>2</sub> through M<sub>1</sub>. However, continuous daily recording (data not shown) indicated that in the open mode changes in the CO<sub>2</sub> level in the system due to plant metabolism (photosynthesis and/or respiration) were not frequently observed, presumably, because there was a relatively large volume of fresh air passing through the open system. The plant growth chamber remained in the open mode for over 90% of the experimental period.

**Estimation of Net Carbon Exchange Rate and C Gain.** In order to measure the NCER of the plants while chamber air passes through V<sub>3</sub> and V<sub>4</sub>, V<sub>1</sub> and V<sub>2</sub> were closed effectively sealing the plants and the instruments (IRGA and M<sub>2</sub>) from the outside (greenhouse) atmosphere. With the system closed, CO<sub>2</sub> level changed more dramatically due to plant metabolic activity.

When V<sub>1</sub> and V<sub>2</sub> were closed and the plants were illuminated, the CO<sub>2</sub> level dropped due to photosynthesis. In order to maintain a preset CO<sub>2</sub> level in the closed chamber, a second mass flow controller (M<sub>2</sub>) was used to inject pure CO<sub>2</sub>. The rate of CO<sub>2</sub> injection depended on the rate of depletion of CO<sub>2</sub> in the chamber. The amount of CO<sub>2</sub> injected to maintain the CO<sub>2</sub> level

Table I. Estimation of Growth of Tomato and Rose Plants using Destructive and Nondestructive Analysis

Tomato and rose plants were grown in controlled environment chambers for 4 and 17 d, respectively, as outlined in "Materials and Methods." The IDW of the five tomato transplants in each chamber was estimated from the average dry weight (0.86 g/plant) of 20 similar seedling samples. The IDW of the rose cuttings in each chamber was estimated from their IFW. The growth expressed as ΔDW was calculated as the difference between IDW and FDW. ΔC' was estimated from ΔDW and the average carbon content of the harvested plants. Chemical analysis showed that the carbon content of tomato and roses were 35.4 and 39.7%, respectively. Growth was also determined nondestructively. The ΔCO<sub>2</sub> for each chamber was calculated as in "Materials and Methods" (Eq. 2). ΔC was calculated from ΔCO<sub>2</sub> (*i.e.* ΔCO<sub>2</sub> × 12/44). An indication of the accuracy of the nondestructive estimation of growth is given by the ratio of net carbon gain calculated by the two procedures (*i.e.* ΔC/ΔC').

	Chamber	Destructive Growth Analysis				Nondestructive CO <sub>2</sub> Analysis		$\frac{\Delta C}{\Delta C'}$
		IDW	FDW	ΔDW	ΔC'	ΔCO <sub>2</sub>	ΔC	
		<i>g</i>						
Tomato	1	4.30	15.11	10.81	3.83	13.02	3.55	0.93
	2	4.30	15.14	10.84	3.84	13.95	3.80	0.99
	3	4.30	14.77	10.47	3.71	14.08	3.84	1.04
Rose	1	9.23	22.30	13.07	5.19	17.45	4.76	0.92
	2	6.92	14.71	7.79	3.09	12.09	3.30	1.07
	3	7.16	16.56	9.40	3.73	14.34	3.91	1.04




FIG. 1. Diagram of computer controlled growth chamber for measuring NCER and nondestructive estimating plant growth. M, massflow controller; IRGA, infrared gas analyzer; T, linear thermistor; V, electric solenoid valve; HT, humidity transmitter; CG, calibration gas; P, air pump; R, cooling radiator; H, resistive heater.




FIG. 2. Nondestructive CO<sub>2</sub> analysis for estimation of growth of rose plants grown at ambient and CO<sub>2</sub> enriched environments. Two growth chambers with three plants per chamber were used for this study. Each chamber temperature was maintained at 20°C (a). The CO<sub>2</sub> level in one chamber was maintained at about 350 μL L<sup>-1</sup> (solid line in (b)) throughout the 12 h photoperiod (450 μmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> PAR, 400–700 nm). In the other chamber, the CO<sub>2</sub> level was raised from 350 μL L<sup>-1</sup> during dark (D) period to about 600 μL L<sup>-1</sup> in the light (L) period (dashed line in (b)). NCER (c) based on CO<sub>2</sub> gas analysis was calculated using Eq. 1. Plant growth (d) expressed as carbon gain per leaf area (g C m<sup>-2</sup>) over the 48 h period was calculated from NCER using Eq. 2 as outlined in "Materials and Methods."

in the closed system was recorded along with IRGA readings. Readings from the IRGA for min 1 were not used in the calculation of NCER since a brief period was required for equilibration of air sampling lines and the chamber. Root respiration was included in the net CO<sub>2</sub> exchange measurement. Since plants were grown in soilless medium, microorganism respiration was

considered insignificant so that CO<sub>2</sub> loss in the dark was used to determine whole plant dark respiration (Fig. 2c). The NCER of the plants was calculated from both IRGA and M<sub>2</sub> measurements as shown in the following equation:

$$\text{NCER} = \frac{\text{Vol} \times (C_2 - C_1)}{[0.0821 \times T \times (t_2 - t_1)]} + \frac{\text{Total CO}_2 \text{ injected}}{t_2 - t_1} \quad (1)$$

where Vol = chamber volume (L); C<sub>1</sub> = CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (μL L<sup>-1</sup>) at t<sub>1</sub>; C<sub>2</sub> = CO<sub>2</sub> concentration at t<sub>2</sub>; 0.0821 = gas constant (1 K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>); T = absolute temperature (K°); t<sub>1</sub> = time 1 (s); t<sub>2</sub> = time 2.

After sufficient data were collected from the IRGA and M<sub>2</sub> by the computer the chamber was returned to the open mode by simultaneously turning off M<sub>2</sub> and opening V<sub>1</sub> and V<sub>2</sub>. In the open mode the CO<sub>2</sub> levels in the chamber were maintained by injection of pure CO<sub>2</sub> through M<sub>1</sub> only. This operation usually required about 2 min. After a final check of the CO<sub>2</sub> level in the open chamber as described above, V<sub>3</sub> and V<sub>4</sub> were closed freeing the IRGA and M<sub>2</sub> for analysis of CO<sub>2</sub> drawn from the other plant chambers. Our experience is that in total one IRGA, and one mass flow controller. M<sub>2</sub>, feeding data to one computer can be used to measure and maintain CO<sub>2</sub> levels in four growth chambers of the size described above each equipped with its own mass flowmeter (M<sub>1</sub>). The purpose of a M<sub>1</sub>, associated with each chamber is to inject CO<sub>2</sub> when readings are not actually being recorded for CO<sub>2</sub> uptake analysis. Valves corresponding to V<sub>3</sub> and V<sub>4</sub> would be required for each additional chamber (Fig. 1, V<sub>x</sub> and V<sub>y</sub>). In order to study individual plants or larger populations more chambers employing another IRGA could be used.

Net carbon gain (Table I; Fig. 2d) was estimated nondestructively from the ΔCO<sub>2</sub> given by Eq. 2. These estimates of growth were compared to estimates obtained from the plants harvested and killed for chemical determination of C and dry weight (Table I).

$$\Delta\text{CO}_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ \frac{\text{NCER}_i + \text{NCER}_{i+1}}{2} \times (t_{i+1} - t_i) \right] \quad (2)$$

where *i* is any NCER measurement from 1 to *n*; *n* is the total number of NCER measurements during the experimental period; t<sub>*i*</sub> is the time of NCER estimation; t<sub>*i*+1</sub> - t<sub>*i*</sub> is the time interval between two consecutive NCER measurements (about 15–20 min) which corresponds to the period required for measuring CO<sub>2</sub> exchange among all the chambers using the IRGA and mass flow controller system as outlined above.

**Chemical Analysis of Tissue C.** Total plant C was determined chemically using a modified dichromate wet digestion method outlined by Sims and Haby (16). Fifty mg of ground dry tissue was placed in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flask in 10 ml distilled water. Ten ml of 1 N K<sub>2</sub>Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> and 20 ml of H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (96%, reagent grade) were added to each flask which was then capped with aluminum foil and heated for 2 h at 120°C. After cooling, the absorbance of the digested samples was measured at 600 nm with a DU-8 spectrophotometer (Beckman). Absorbance was linearly related to carbon content in the range of 0 to 60 mg sucrose (0–25.2 mg C equivalents).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results in Table I clearly show that net C gain determined by conventional chemical analysis for both roses and tomatoes was in close agreement with estimates of C gain measured using gas analysis. Net C gain accounts for 39.7 and 35.4% of the dry weight increase for rose and tomato plants, respectively. Ho (7) studied the variation in the C/dry weight (w/w) ratio in tomato and concluded that the C/dry weight ratio varies according to species, organ, and developmental stage as well as growing con-

ditions. Our results (data not shown) indicated that C content ranged from 36 to 43% for roses and 32 to 38% for tomato plants, depending on the tissue. In both crops, leaf tissue had higher C content than that of stem and root tissues. Although analysis of partitioning of C in the plant requires destruction of the test plant, the data obtained from nondestructive whole plant net CO<sub>2</sub> exchange measurements using our system can be used to accurately monitor plant growth (Table I; Fig. 2, c and d).

The results outlined in Figure 2 clearly show the value of a nondestructive method of assessing growth rates of a small number of plants under two commonly varied experimental conditions (*i.e.* light versus darkness and ambient versus CO<sub>2</sub> enriched conditions). Three rose plants with unequal initial dry weights (Table I) were placed in each of the two controlled-environmental chambers. All plants were subjected to 12 h light and darkness and maintained at the same temperature (20 ± 0.2°C, Fig. 2a). One set of plants was treated with 600 μL L<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> during the light period (Fig. 2b) while the other set was maintained at 350 μL L<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub>. In the light NCER calculated on leaf area basis was higher in the CO<sub>2</sub> enriched plants reflecting a greater photosynthetic rate (Fig. 2c). Interestingly, in the CO<sub>2</sub> enriched plants, dark respiration of the whole plants was initially greater following a period in the light than in the ambient grown plants which had clearly assimilated less C (arrow, Fig. 2c). However, the average total loss of C due to dark respiration of the CO<sub>2</sub> enriched plants over the first 12 h dark period was similar to that of plants grown under the ambient CO<sub>2</sub> condition during the previous light period (Fig. 2c). Peterson and Zelitch (12) noted that in tobacco dark respiratory losses in the field (*i.e.* ambient CO<sub>2</sub>) accounted for 42 to 47% of the total CO<sub>2</sub> assimilated. Our data (Fig. 2d) show that at ambient CO<sub>2</sub> about 50% of the C assimilated during the day was lost during the following night period. By comparison, only 25% of the total C assimilated under CO<sub>2</sub> enriched condition was actually lost due to dark respiration during the following night consistent with the retention of more photoassimilate, initially in the form of leaf starch (10). After several days the CO<sub>2</sub> enriched plants partition more C into new leaves establishing a greater leaf area which sustains a greater whole plant photosynthetic rate (10) even though the photosynthetic efficiency of individual mature leaves may begin to decline (13).

In summary, the system described here provides us with the ability to examine net CO<sub>2</sub> exchange of whole plants and non-

destructively correlate physiological events with growth. A non-destructive growth analysis system which both monitors gas exchange and controls the plant growth environment may also be of practical importance in a space research program where weight analysis may be impractical.

#### LITERATURE CITED

1. BATE GC, DT CANVIN 1971 A gas-exchange system for measuring the productivity of plant populations in controlled environments. *Can J Bot* 49: 601-608.
2. EHRET DL, PA JOLLIFFE 1983 A semi-open system for measurements of plant gas exchange rates. *Photosynthetica* 17: 523-531
3. ELMORE CD 1980 The paradox of no correlation between leaf photosynthetic rates and crop yields. In JD Hesketh, JW Jones, eds, *Predicting Photosynthesis for Ecosystem Models*, Vol II. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL pp 155-168
4. EVANS GC 1972 *The Quantitative Analysis of Plant Growth*. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford
5. GIFFORD RM, JH THORNE, WD HITZ, RT GIAQUINTA 1984 Crop productivity and photoassimilate partitioning. *Science* 225: 801-808.
6. HAND DW 1973 A null balance method for measuring crop photosynthesis in an airtight daylight controlled-environment cabinet. *Agric Meteorol* 12: 259-270
7. HO LC 1976 Variation in the carbon/dry matter ratio in plant material. *Ann Bot* 40: 163-165
8. KIMBALL BA 1983 Carbon dioxide and agricultural yield: an assemblage and analysis of 770 prior observations. *Water Conservation Laboratory Report* 14, Phoenix, AZ
9. LUDWIG LJ, DT CANVIN 1971 An open gas-exchange system for simultaneous measurement of the CO<sub>2</sub> and <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> fluxes from leaves. *Can J Bot* 49: 1299-1313
10. MADORE M, B GRODZINSKI 1985 Effects of CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment on growth and photoassimilate transport in a dwarf cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) cultivar. *J Plant Physiol* 121: 59-71
11. MORTENSEN LM 1982 Growth responses of some greenhouse plants to environment. I. Experimental techniques. *Sci Hortic* 16: 39-46
12. PETERSON R, I ZELITCH 1982 Relationship between net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation and dry weight accumulation in field-grown tobacco. *Plant Physiol* 70: 677-685
13. PORTER MA, B GRODZINSKI 1984 Acclimation to high CO<sub>2</sub> in bean. *Carbonic anhydrase and RuBP carboxylase*. *Plant Physiol* 74: 413-416
14. PORTER MA, B GRODZINSKI 1985 CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment of protected crops. *Hortic Rev* 7: 345-398
15. PROCTOR JTA, JM BODNAR, WJ BLACKBURN, RL WATSON 1982 Analysis of the effects of the spotted tentiform leafminer (*Phyllonorycter blancardella*) on the photosynthetic characteristics of apple leaves. *Can J Bot* 60: 2734-2740
16. SIMS JR, VA HABY 1971 Simplified colorimetric determination of soil organic matter. *Soil Sci* 112: 137-141
17. ZELITCH I 1971 *Photosynthesis, Photorespiration, and Plant Productivity*. Academic Press, New York