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ABSTRACT

A root pressure probe was employed to measure hydraulic properties of primary roots of maize (Zea mays L.). The hydraulic conductivity (Lp) of intact root segments was determined by applying gradients of hydrostatic and osmotic pressure across the root cylinder. In hydrostatic experiments, Lp was constant along the segment except for an apical zone of approximately 20 millimeters in length which was hydraulically isolated due to a high axial resistance. In osmotic experiments, Lp decreased toward the base of the roots. Lp (osmotic) was significantly smaller than Lp (hydrostatic). At various distances from the root tip, the axial hydraulic resistance per unit root length (R_L) was measured either by perfusing excised root segments or was estimated according to Poiseuille's law from cross-sections. The calculated R_L was smaller than the measured R_L by a factor of 2 to 5. Axial resistance varied with the distance from the apex due to the differentiation of early metaxylem vessels. Except for the apical 20 millimeters, radial water movement was limiting water uptake into the root. This is important for the evaluation of Lp, of roots from root pressure relaxations. Stationary water uptake into the roots was modeled using measured values of axial and radial hydraulic resistances in order to work out profiles of axial water flow and xylem water potentials.

The uptake of water from the soil and its transport to the shoot is an essential function of the root system. The movement of water across the root is driven by water potential differences and limited by hydraulic resistances. It is commonly thought that in the transpiring plant, water uptake is purely passive and mainly follows a hydrostatic pressure gradient between the root surface and xylem. Since there is an osmotic barrier in the root, a concentration difference of solutes between the xylem and the surrounding soil solution will also act as a driving force for water movement. Thus, hydrostatic and osmotic pressure components do occur in the intact root, and they may contribute differently to the overall water flow under different conditions (19).

The overall hydraulic resistance has been separated into radial (R_r) and an axial (R_x) component and their relative importance for the root to collect and to transport water has been stressed (9, 11, 12, 18). However, reasonable quantitative data of R_r and R_x are rare, although they are a prerequisite for a proper modeling of water flows across roots. Previous studies on end segments of primary roots using the root pressure probe (20–23) suggested that, to some extent, R_x of the xylem may contribute to the overall resistance (20, 21). A contribution of R_x could be important because the radial hydraulic conductivity (L_r) is commonly evaluated neglecting R_x. This is true for usual exudation experiments, pressurized exudation (3), and stop-flow techniques (8, 10, 15) as well as for the root pressure probe (19–23). Therefore, the radial versus the axial hydraulic resistances of excised primary roots of maize seedlings have been measured in this paper in order to work out their relative importance for limiting the water uptake by roots. In addition, the dependence of the hydraulic conductivity on the nature of the driving force has been investigated in relation to the variability of the hydraulic conductivity (L_p) along the root. The results have been used to model hydraulic properties of roots and to calculate profiles of the rates of axial water flow and xylem water potential according to a mathematical treatment originally introduced by Landsberg and Fowkes (9).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material

Experiments were carried out on primary roots of 3 to 16-day-old plants of Zea mays L. cv 'Tanker' grown in hydroculture. The methods employed in germination and in growing the seedlings have been described in detail elsewhere (21, 23).

Abbreviations: R_r, radial hydraulic resistance (per unit of effective surface area); R_x, axial hydraulic resistance (per unit length); a, radius of the root; A_r, geometric surface area of the root; A_f, effective surface area of the root; J_v, radial volume flow across the root; k_w, rate constant of water exchange between root xylem and medium; L, root length, L_t, total hydraulic conductance; L_x, axial hydraulic conductance; L_p, radial hydraulic conductivity (per unit of effective root surface area); L_p^app, apparent radial hydraulic conductivity (per unit of geometric root surface area); P_s, root pressure; q_s, radial water flow across the root; q_T, total water flow across the root; q_x, axial water flow across the root at a certain distance from the root tip; z, position along the root; a, inverse of the half-length of a drop of Ψ_N; Ψ_s, water potential of the nutrient solution; Ψ_x, water potential of the xylem.
The nutrient solution contained the following major components (in mM) K⁺ (1.5), NH₄⁺ (2.0), Ca²⁺ (0.75), Mg²⁺ (1.0), NO₃⁻ (4.0), SO₄²⁻ (0.75), and PO₄³⁻ (0.75), along with a solution containing micronutrients and Fe-EDTA (0.04 mM; pH = 6.0).

**Measurement of Root Hydraulic Conductivity**

Excised segments of roots free of visible laterals (length, 18–140 mm; diameter, 1.0–1.4 mm) were sealed to a root pressure probe with the aid of silicone rubber seals (Fig. 1). Within 30 min the root pressure increased to a stationary value which slightly decreased at the end of a 2 h period of experiments. However, this decline was much slower than the time constants of the pressure changes (relaxations) induced by the experimental procedures to measure the hydraulic conductivity. Effects of aging or of diurnal changes of water relations parameters during the measurements could not be detected. The hydraulic conductivity of a root segment (per m² of root surface area; see below) was determined by applying hydrostatic and osmotic pressure gradients across the root to induce radial water flows. In hydrostatic experiments, \( P_r \) was changed by pushing water into the cut end of the root with the aid of the metal rod or by withdrawing it. In osmotic experiments, the bathing medium was changed instantaneously to solutions containing test solutes in certain concentrations. Both types of experiments resulted in root pressure relaxations caused by a radial water movement into or out of the root with certain rate constants, \( k_{vr} \), which depended on the radial hydraulic conductivity, \( L_p \). The \( L_p \) referring to the geometrical surface area of the root (\( A_r \)) was called 'apparent' hydraulic conductivity (\( L_p^{app} \)) because only part of the entire surface area was conducting water. The hydraulic conductivity referring to this effective area (\( A_{r}^{eff} \)) was called \( L_p \), or corrected \( L_p \). The theory for calculating \( L_p \), from pressure relaxations has been given in detail in previous papers (20–23). \( k_{vr} \) is related to \( L_p^{app} \) or \( L_p \) by

\[
\frac{\Delta P_r}{\Delta V_S} = \frac{L_p^{app} \cdot A_r}{L_p \cdot A_{r}^{eff}} \quad \frac{\Delta P_r}{\Delta V_S} = \frac{1}{R_R} \quad \frac{\Delta P_r}{\Delta V_S} = \frac{L_p^{app} \cdot A_r}{L_p \cdot A_{r}^{eff}} \quad \frac{1}{R_R} \quad \frac{\Delta P_r}{\Delta V_S}
\]

\( \Delta P_r/\Delta V_S \) = elasticity of the measuring system in MPa·m⁻³ (= inverse of the water capacitance); \( R_R \) = radial hydraulic resistance per unit surface area (MPa·s·m⁻³). Strictly, Equation 1 is only valid at a negligible axial resistance. This may not be true, since the xylem develops in the apical zone of the root, where it should exhibit a considerable resistance due to cross-walls and membranes of living cells. In this region, \( L_p^{app} \) will be small. The effect of xylem development can be taken into account in the calculation of \( L_p \) by measuring \( L_p^{app} \) as a function of root length.

**Measurement of Axial Resistance**

Axial hydraulic resistances (\( R_x \) = hydraulic resistance of the root per unit length of root) were evaluated from two different types of experiments. In the first one, \( R_x \) was determined by cutting the root successively with a razor blade at certain distances from the tip. As soon as conducting xylem vessels were hit, \( P_r \) dropped to a lower pressure. When a new steady \( P_r \) was reached, a hydrostatic experiment was per-

![Figure 1. Root pressure probe for measuring water relations parameters of excised roots. The root was tightly connected to the probe by a silicone seal so that root pressures could be built up in the system which could be recorded continuously with the aid of a pressure transducer. A meniscus between silicone oil and water within a measuring capillary served as a reference during the measurements. Water flow across the root could be induced either by changing the hydrostatic pressure in the probe by moving a metal rod with the aid of a micrometer screw or by exchanging the root medium by a medium containing a test solute of known osmotic pressure. Measurements were carried out on (a) closed (intact) segments, on (b) open (cut) segments, and on (c) root segments of short length (18 mm) within the silicone seal (see insets of Figs. 3–5) in order to determine the hydraulic conductivity for the radial movement of water (\( L_p \)) and the axial hydraulic resistance (\( R_x \)).](image)

![Figure 2. Illustration of the radial (\( q_r \)) and axial (\( q_x \)) components of water flow in a root which vary due to the collection of water by the root with the distance from the root apex, \( z \). For further explanations, see text.](image)
formed. Since the hydraulic capacitance of the system remained constant during the experiment (21), a change of the total hydraulic conductance \( (L = L_p \cdot A_\text{ref} + L_x) \) can be directly measured from a change in \( k_m \). It is valid that
\[
k_m = L \cdot \frac{\Delta P_r}{\Delta V_z}. \tag{2}
\]

During the cutting experiments, conducting surface \( (A_\text{ref}) \) was removed whereas \( L_x \) increased, i.e. the axial and radial conductances changed simultaneously but in opposite directions. The effects can be separated by measuring \( L_p \cdot A_\text{ref} \) as a function of root length from relaxations performed on intact root segments having a closed tip, and the axial component from cut segments (see below).

According to Poiseuille’s law, the hydraulic conductance of the root xylem would be
\[
L_x = \frac{\pi \cdot n \cdot r^4}{8 \cdot \eta \cdot k}, \tag{3}
\]
provided that the xylem vessels can be treated as circular tubes of constant diameter. \( \eta \) = viscosity of water \( (1 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ Pa s} \text{ at } 20\,\text{C}) \); \( n \) = number of conducting xylem elements; \( r \) = radius of conducting elements; \( k \) = length of conducting elements. The hydraulic resistance per unit length, \( R_x \), would be
\[
R_x = \frac{1}{L_x \cdot k}. \tag{4}
\]

\( R_x \) can be obtained from plots of \( L_x \) as a function of \( 1/k \) in open-ended segments provided that the radial component of water flow can be neglected compared with the axial.

In the second type of experiments, roots of different length were mounted on the probe, and were cut right at the seal. Hydrostatic pressure relaxations were performed using only the remaining piece of the root within the seal \( (18 \text{ mm}) \). Under these conditions, radial water flow was negligible, and \( R_x \) could be determined in relation to the original position of the segment in the intact root. The resolution of the pressure probe was tested to be sufficiently high to detect much smaller \( R_x \) than those determined in this study.

**Calculation of Axial Hydraulic Resistance (\( R_x \))**

Xylem anatomical studies were carried out on free-hand cross-sections made at distances of 10, 20, 40, 80, and 140 mm from the root tip. The sections were stained with ‘toluidine blue O’ to identify mature xylem. Mean diameters were obtained from photographs of the sections and were used to estimate \( R_x \) according to Equations 3 and 4 (Table 1).

**Calculation of Steady Water Flow and of Xylem Water Potential Profiles Along the Root**

Provided that \( R_a \) and \( R_x \) of a root are known, profiles of xylem water potential \( (\psi_x) \) and of the flow rate in the xylem \( (q_x) \) can be evaluated in hydrostatic experiments for stationary conditions according to Landsberg and Fowkes (9). For an unbranched root segment (Fig. 2), the radial flow of water \( (q_x) \) in \( \text{m}^3 \cdot \text{m}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \) following a potential gradient between the xylem and its surroundings (soil, nutrient solution) is given by
\[
q_x(z) = \frac{\psi_s(z) - \psi_x(z)}{R_x(z)}, \tag{5}
\]
where \( \psi_s = \) water potential of the surroundings. In principle, \( \psi_x, \psi_s, R_x, \) and \( q_x \) may vary along the root, i.e. they should be functions of the distance from the root tip \( (z) \). The axial flow component, \( q_x \) (in \(\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \)), will be related to the axial resistance and to the gradient of the water potential in the xylem by
\[
q_x(z) = -\frac{1}{R_x(z)} \frac{d\psi_x}{dz}. \tag{6}
\]
Conservation of matter requires that the change in \( q_x(z) \) over a distance of \( dz \) would be (Fig. 2):
\[
\frac{dq_x}{dz} = 2 \cdot \pi \cdot a \cdot q_x(z), \tag{7}
\]
where \( a \) is the radius of the root. Combining Equations 5 to 7 yields
\[
\frac{d^2\psi_x}{dz^2} = \alpha^2 \cdot (\psi_x - \psi_s) \tag{8}
\]
with
\[
\alpha^2 = 2 \cdot \pi \cdot a \cdot \frac{R_x}{R_R}. \tag{9}
\]
The meaning of \( \alpha \) is that of an inverse of a half-length of a drop of \( \psi_x \), i.e. with increasing values of \( \alpha \), gradients of xylem water potential are steeper. A solution of Equation 8 was provided by Landsberg and Fowkes (9). They assumed that \( R_R, R_x = \) constant, i.e. that the parameters did not change along the root axis. However, our results showed that \( R_x \) did vary so that from Equations 5 to 7 a different result was obtained, namely
\[
\frac{d^2\psi_x}{dz^2} = 2 \cdot \pi \cdot a \cdot \frac{R_x(z)}{R_R} \cdot (\psi_x - \psi_s) + \frac{d\psi_x}{dz} \cdot \frac{1}{R_x(z)} \frac{dR_x(z)}{dz}. \tag{10}
\]
This differential equation was numerically solved on a personal computer assuming a constant \( \psi_s \) along the root to get \( \psi_x(z) \) and \( q_x(z) \) at given \( R_x(z) \) and \( R_R(z) \) characteristics. With respect to the function of the root the total amount of water taken up \( (q_T = q_x(c)) \) is important. This will be given by (9)
\[
q_T = \frac{1}{R_x(c)} \left( \frac{d\psi_x}{dz} \right)_c. \tag{11}
\]

**RESULTS**

In hydrostatic experiments, the apparent hydraulic conductivity per m² of root surface area \( (L_p^{app}) \) steadily increased with root length (Fig. 3A). The relationship between \( L_p^{app} \) and \( k \) which was fitted by a polynomial of third order indicated very low \( L_p^{app} \) values at \( k < 15 \text{ mm} \) from the root tip. This finding corresponded with earlier studies which showed that cutting off 10 to 20 mm of the root tip had little effect on the
Table I. Geometrical Data of Mature Xylem Elements of the Root Segments used in This Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Distance from the Root Apex (m):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of elements of mature protoxylem</td>
<td>20 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of elements of mature early metaxylem</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total area of mature xylem in % of cross-section area</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Dependence of the hydraulic conductivity of intact (closed) root segments of maize plants on the length of the segment as determined in hydrostatic (A) and osmotic (B) experiments. Values of the apparent hydraulic conductivity (\(L_{papp}\)) refer to the geometric surface area, \(A_p\), whereas \(L_p\) refers to the effective surface area (\(A^e_p\)) which excludes the tip region (about 15 mm from the root apex). \(L_{p,\text{hydrostatic}}\) was larger than \(L_{p,\text{osmotic}}\) by an order of magnitude and was constant throughout the segment, while \(L_{p,\text{osmotic}}\) decreased with increasing distance from the root apex.

The conducting part of the root and that the curvilinear behavior of \(L_{papp}\) was due to an overestimation of the surface area. The absolute value of the corrected \(L_p\) was 25 ± 2 \(\times\) 10^-8 m \(\cdot\) s^-1 \(\cdot\) MPa^-1 (mean ± SD, \(n = 10\) intervals). Osmotically induced flows revealed values of \(L_{papp}\) ranging from 0.5 to 2.7 \(\times\) 10^-8 m \(\cdot\) s^-1 \(\cdot\) MPa^-1 with an increase toward the apex (Fig. 3B). After correcting \(L_p\) to effective root surface areas it was found that, in contrast to the hydrostatic experiments, the relative changes of \(L_p\) with root length were even more pronounced. In accordance with previous results, the osmotic \(L_p\) was remarkably smaller than the hydrostatic \(L_p\) (21, 23).

Axial hydraulic conductances per unit root length (\(L_x\)) were evaluated from cutting experiments. Cutting resulted in an increase of \(L_x\) and in a decrease of radial conductance (\(L_p\), \(A^e_p\)). However, from the measured values of the total conductance \(L\), \(L_x\) could be obtained by subtracting \(L_p\) \(\cdot\) \(A^e_p\) from \(L\). It was found that \(L_x\) increased when roots became shorter (Fig. 4A). This effect could have been due to the progressive development of the xylem or simply due to the reduced root length according to Poiseuille's law (Eq. 3). To test whether xylem development occurred along the segments, \(L_x\) was plotted as a function of the reciprocal of the length of the attached root. For each individual root as well as for the mean of all roots (\(n = 14\)), a linear relationship was found in the range between 15 and 140 mm behind the root apex (Fig. 4B, individual data not shown). The mean of the slope was \(L_x \cdot k = 5.2 \cdot 10^{-12} m^4 MPa^{-1} s^{-1}\) which corresponded to an axial hydraulic resistance (per unit length) of \(R_x = 19 \cdot 10^6 MPa \cdot s \cdot m^{-1}\). The linear behavior of the slope in Figure 4B indicated a fairly good agreement with Equation 3 and, hence, it could be concluded that xylem development did not substantially influence \(R_x\) at distances between 15 and 140 mm for the apex. Usually it was valid that \(L_p \cdot A^e_p < L_x\) (compare absolute values of \(L_x\) in Figure 4B with those of \(L_p \cdot A^e_p\) in the inset of the same figure) and, therefore, changes of the total hydraulic conductance with root length were mainly due to changes of the absolute value of \(L_x\).

Results similar to those found in cutting experiments were obtained from hydrostatic measurements performed on pieces of roots kept within the silicone seal (length: 18 mm). Pieces were obtained from end segments at different distances from the apex. With increasing distance (\(z\)), the axial resistance per
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Figure 5. Axial hydraulic resistance, $R_x$, measured on root pieces of a length of 18 mm cut at different distances from the apex ($z$). $R_x$ is plotted over the middle of the intervals and each data point represents an individual root (39 roots in total). Linear and log plots are given because of the large variation of $R_x$ along the root axis. A polynomial of third order was used to fit the data points. This fit was used in the calculation of root profiles (see Eqs. 7–11 and Fig. 6). Dashed lines indicate estimated resistances according to Poiseuille’s law obtained from cross-sections of roots assuming that protoxylem ($R_x = 7200 - 10^3$ MPa·s·m$^{-4}$) or early metaxylem ($R_x = 10 - 10^3$ MPa·s·m$^{-4}$) was conductive.

Poiseuille’s law are indicated by dashed lines. They show that within the first 10 mm from the root apex only protoxylem should have been conductive. Between 10 and 40 mm, early metaxylem gradually matured. In the upper part of the root, the measured $R_x$ was by a factor of 3 larger than the calculated (Fig. 5). Table II summarizes the results obtained from measurements (Figs. 3–5) and calculations (Table I). Despite differences in $R_x$, it was found that axial resistance was much smaller than radial.

The data points of $R_x$ measured as a function of the distance from the apex were fitted by a polynomial of third order (Fig. 5). According to Equations 7 to 10, profiles of $a$~$[R_x/R_x]^{1/3}$; Eq. 9), $\Psi_s$, and $q_x$, were calculated for steady state conditions using the measured, variable values of $R_x(z)$ and $R_x(z)$ (Fig. 6). For comparison, two constant values of $R_x$ have also been employed in order to get profiles at a low ($\alpha = 4.5$ m$^{-1}$) and a high ($\alpha = 45$ m$^{-1}$) ratio of constant $R_x/R_x$. The calculations assumed that there were (a) a tension of $-1$ MPa ($-10$ bars) at the cut end of the segment (length, 120 mm; diameter, 1.3 mm), (b) a water potential in the medium of $\Psi_s = 0$, and (c) no osmotic pressure component in the xylem. For $z > 20$ mm, values of $R_x(z)$ were based on the fit given in Figure 5. For $z < 20$ mm a constant value of $R_x$ was used according to the estimates for the protoxylem using Poiseuille’s law ($R_x = 7 - 10^3$ MPa·s·m$^{-4}$). Values of $R_x$ were obtained from Figure 3A assuming a constant $L_p$ between 0 and 120 mm root length. No profiles have been calculated for $R_x$ obtained from osmotic experiments (Fig. 3B) since the assumptions to de-
scribe osmotic induced water flow were different from those in the model of Landsberg and Fowkes (9).

In the calculation based on measured resistances, the variability of $R_L/R_R$ along the root resulted in a steep gradient of $\Psi_X$ in the apical 35 mm, whereas $\Psi_X (z)$ increased slowly in the rest of the segment. Radial and axial water flows were influenced by a change of $\Psi_X$ (Eqs. 5 and 6). The axial water flow ($q_T$) increased monotonously between 25 and 120 mm from the root apex. The apical 20 mm did not contribute significantly to $q_T$. This result can be explained by the steep gradient of $R_X$ in the tip region. The total flow of water across the root segment ($q_T$) following a hydrostatic pressure gradient of $-1.0 \text{ MPa}$ was $q_T = 8.6 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{s}^{-1} (=0.086 \mu \text{L} \cdot \text{s}^{-1})$. When the pressure gradient was varied in the model, a proportional change of the absolute value of $q_T (z)$ was found without affecting the shape of the profiles. Assuming that the vessels of the early metaxylem were conductive throughout the root ($\alpha = 4.5 \text{ m}^{-1}$) strongly reduced the gradient of $\Psi_X$ and increased $q_T (q_T = 10.7 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{s}^{-1} = 0.107 \mu \text{L} \cdot \text{s}^{-1})$. In the case of $\alpha = 45 \text{ m}^{-1}$, i.e. increasing $R_X$ by a factor of 100 relative to $R_R$, $\Psi_X$ changed rapidly in the basal parts of the root and water uptake was mainly restricted to these zones ($q_T = 2.2 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{s}^{-1} = 0.022 \mu \text{L} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$).

**DISCUSSION**

The results indicate that (a) the apical root zone (up to 15–20 mm from the tip) was ineffective in collecting water, (b) $L_p$, was rather constant along the rest of the root segment in hydrostatic, but not in osmotic experiments, and (c) the axial resistance of the xylem ($R_X$) did not vary substantially between 40 and 140 mm from the apex. Measured values of $R_X$ were smaller by a factor of 2 and 5 than those calculated according to Poiseuille’s law. Except for the apical zone, the radial hydraulic resistance ($R_R$) was much more important in limiting water uptake than the axial hydraulic resistance ($R_X$).

The hydraulic conductivity of the roots was dependent on the nature of the pressure gradient applied. The difference between hydrostatic and osmotic conductivity has been explained in terms of a root model with different parallel pathways for radial water movement exhibiting different hydraulic conductivities (19–23). In hydrostatic experiments with maize, water flow appeared to be predominantly apoplastic, whereas in osmotic experiments there was a substantial cell-to-cell transport. Different species may exhibit a different pattern in radial pathways for water movement (19, 20). The constant $L_p$, (hydrostatic) found in the present experiments may, thus, point to a rather constant apoplastic conductance in the root segments up to a root length of about 140 mm, although a decrease in the more basal parts (at $z > 140$ mm) cannot be excluded. Therefore, the finding does not necessarily contradict the usual picture of a maximum of water absorption in a zone just behind the elongating region. Differentiation occurred along the segments showing, for example, lateral root emergence at 60 mm from the apex (21). Secondary root initials could have provided an additional conductive area for radial water flow (14). In barley roots, the rate of water uptake measured under transpiring conditions (hydrostatic gradient) corresponded well with the developmental state of the endodermis when the water uptake across the laterals was prevented (17).

In contrast to the hydrostatic experiments, the osmotic experiments did show a decline in $L_p$, (Fig. 3). Similar results for maize roots have recently been obtained by Jones et al. (8) using an osmotic stop flow technique. Usually, the decline has been interpreted to result from the development of the endodermis (2, 5, 7), the formation of an exodermis (13), or from changes of the hydraulic conductivity of cell membranes along the root (16). It should be noted that the corrected osmotic $L_p$, may be an underestimate at distances of $z < 30$ mm from the root tip. In this area, both $L_p$ (osmotic) as well as the axial conductance are low and water would have to overcome two resistances in series. For the tip, reliable data of $L_p$ (osmotic) as well as of $L_p$ (hydrostatic) are not available because axial and radial components could not be separated.

Axial resistances ($R_A$) have been measured in cutting experiments and by perfusing small root pieces. In addition, $R_X$
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Figure 6. Calculated plots of $\Psi_x$ and $q_x$ as a function of root length at given values of $\alpha$ (= inverse of the half-length of a drop in $\Psi_x$) under stationary conditions. $\alpha$ is a measure of the ratio of $R_x/R_R$ (Eq. 9). For the calculations, a root of length = 120 mm (mean diameter, 2 $\alpha$ = 1.3 mm) was assumed. Profiles were obtained by numerical integration of Equations 7 and 10 assuming (a) measured (variable) values of $R_x/R_R$ (solid line) and (b) estimated (constant) values of $R_x/R_R$ (dotted and dashed lines). In case (a), $R_R$ has been obtained from the hydrostatic experiments shown in Figure 3B. It can be seen from the figure that different characteristics of $\alpha$ strongly affect the gradients of xylem water potential along the root and, thus, the amount of water which can be taken up into the xylem.

has been calculated from xylem dimensions using Poiseuille’s law. Calculated values of $R_x$ were smaller than measured values by a factor of 2 to 5 (Table II). These differences may indicate that the assumption made of a viscous flow in an ideal cylindrical vessel did not hold (4). Another reason for the deviation may be attributed to the uncertainty to identify mature xylem vessels by the staining procedure used. Differences in $R_x$ depending on the technique applied have been reported in the literature. Working with pea and wheat, Greacen et al. (6) found $R_x$ being larger by a factor of 1.3 to 2.3 when measurements were compared with calculations. However, our data exclude a significant contribution of late metaxylem in axial water flow. It should be emphasized that assuming only one single conductive vessel of the late metaxylem would have decreased $R_x$ by an order of magnitude.

The model of the root used here to describe effects of $R_x$ and $R_R$ on $\Psi_x$ and on the collection of water by the root ($q_x$) is analogous to that used for a leaky cable in electricity or in a nerve cell in electrophysiology (24). In fact, it has been already tried to employ electrophysiological techniques to measure the development of xylem in young roots of maize (1). However, this technique did not work because of cable effects, i.e. the radial flow of electric current (analog to water flow) was too large. This is different from the technique used here, where the development of the xylem could be followed. Since the radial hydraulic resistance per unit length was significantly larger than $R_x$ (Table II), the rate limiting step in water uptake was the movement of water across the root cylinder.

The analysis of water uptake clearly shows that variable ratios of $R_x/R_R$ strongly affect the profiles of $\Psi_x$ and $q_x$ (Fig. 6). A small ratio of $R_x/R_R$ resulted in a monotonous increase of $q_x$ at a distance between 30 and 120 mm from the apex, although the gradient for the driving force along the xylem ($d\Psi_x/dz$) was rather low (Eq. 6). On the other hand, in the tip region, water uptake was substantially restricted by the steep increase of $R_x$. According to Landsberg and Fowkes (9), regions of monotonous increase of $q_x$ along the root define the effective root length in terms of water uptake. Therefore, the effective length of the segments used in this paper was close to the total length. However, it cannot be excluded that $\alpha$ could vary in older parts of the roots so that the picture of the segment could be different from that of a root system. For example, the calculated flow rates per unit area of effective surface area, $J_V (= q_x/A^2)$, in the present study were twice as high as in Miller’s measurements of volume flows across root systems of maize assuming the same value of driving force (10). Since $L_p$ was similar in both studies, the difference may indicate changes of $\alpha$ along the older, branched root system which could be due to suberization and subsequent increase of $R_x$. This shows that the influence of either radial or axial resistances on water flow cannot be discussed independently.

The calculation of $L_p$, using the pressure relaxation technique (as in this study) also requires the knowledge of $R_x$. In the simple two compartment model of the root, $R_x$ should be significantly smaller than $R_R$, i.e. cable effects should be small. Except for the tip region, this assumption was fulfilled for maize, but also for roots of Phaseolus (20) to a fairly good approximation. For maize roots, the hydraulic conductivity ($L_p$) calculated from $q_r$, ($\Psi_x(c) - \Psi_s$), and effective root surface area resulted in an $L_p = 21 \cdot 10^{-8}$ m$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ MPa$^{-1}$ which was 85% of the value found in relaxations. This indicated a good agreement between the measurement of transient and the calculation of stationary flows.

In order to reveal quantities and limiting steps for water uptake of complex root systems, additional information is required about the characteristics of $R_x(z)$ and $R_R(z)$ in older parts of the main root axis as well as in the laterals. Furthermore, a more rigorous modeling would require some knowledge of changes in the osmotic pressure in the root xylem due to the uptake and conduction of water and solutes in the root. These experiments and the subsequent modeling are presently performed using root segments as well as root systems.
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