Isolation and Characterization of Two Germacrene A Synthase cDNA Clones from Chicory
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Chicory (Cichorium intybus) sesquiterpene lactones were recently shown to be derived from a common sesquiterpene intermediate, (+)-germacrene A. Germacrene A is of interest because of its key role in sesquiterpene lactone biosynthesis and because it is an enzyme-bound intermediate in the biosynthesis of a number of phytoalexins. Using polymerase chain reaction with degenerate primers, we have isolated two sesquiterpene synthases from chicory that exhibited 72% amino acid identity. Heterologous expression of the genes in Escherichia coli has shown that they both catalyze exclusively the formation of (+)-germacrene A, making this the first report, to our knowledge, on the isolation of (+)-germacrene A synthase (GAS)-encoding genes. Northern analysis demonstrated that both genes were expressed in all chicory tissues tested albeit at varying levels. Protein isolation and partial purification from chicory heads demonstrated the presence of two GAS proteins. On MonoQ, these proteins co-eluted with the two heterologously produced proteins. The Km value, pH optimum, and MonoQ elution volume of one of the proteins produced in E. coli were similar to the values reported for the GAS protein that was recently purified from chicory roots. Finally, the two deduced amino acid sequences were modeled, and the resulting protein models were compared with the crystal structure of tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum) 5-epi-aristolochene synthase, which forms germacrene A as an enzyme-bound intermediate en route to 5-epi-aristolochene. The possible involvement of a number of amino acids in sesquiterpene synthase product specificity is discussed.
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were used in a reverse transcription PCR reaction to of sesquiterpene synthases (Wallaart et al., 2001)
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Figure 1. Biosynthetic pathway of sesquiterpene lactones in chicory. Solid arrows indicate enzymatic steps previously demonstrated (de Kraker et al., 1998, 2001, 2002). 1, GAS; 2, germacrene A hydroy-
ylase, 3, germacrene A alcohol dehydrogenase(s); 4, costunolide synthase; 5, further modifications. Broken arrows indicate postulated further steps (de Kraker et al., 2002).
tive guaianolide, eudesmanolide, and germacranol-
loides sesquiterpene lactones (Fig. 1; de Kraker et al., 2002). The work by de Kraker et al. on the biosyn-
thesis of sesquiterpene lactones was carried out using chicory taproots and, so far, little is known about the activity of the GAS in other plant organs or about its genetic regulation.

In addition to being an intermediate in sesquiter-
pene lactone biosynthesis, germacrene A is in itself an important compound. For a long time, its detection in some systems escaped attention because of its rather high sensitivity to temperature and acidic conditions (de Kraker et al., 1998). However, (−)-germacrene A has been identified as the alarm pher-
omone in spotted alfalfa (Medicago sativa) aphids (Nishino et al., 1977). An unidentified enantiomer of germacrene A has been identified as an important constituent of spider mite induced volatiles in sweet pepper (Capsicum annuum; C. van de Boom, T.A. van Beek, and M. Dicke, unpublished data). Germacrene A has also been demonstrated to be an (enzyme-
bound) intermediate in the biosynthesis of 5-epi-
arioslothene and vetispirodiene, which are the ses-
quiterpene precursors of phytoalexins such as capsidiol and debneyol (Whitehead et al., 1989). Be-
cause of the importance of germacrene A both as an intermediate and as end product in many plant-
organism interactions, we decided to clone and char-
acterize the GAS-encoding cDNA from chicory.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

cDNA Isolation and Bacterial Expression

Degenerate primers designed on conserved areas of sesquiterpene synthases (Wallart et al., 2001) were used in a reverse transcription PCR reaction to clone a sesquiterpene synthase homolog from (etio-
lated) chicory heads. Two different fragments with the expected length of about 550 bp were obtained. Sequencing of both fragments revealed homology to known sesquiterpene synthases present in public da-
bases. We subsequently used both fragments as probes for cDNA library screening. This resulted in the isolation of two different, full-length cDNAs CiGASsh and CiGASlo containing a putative open reading frame of 1,674 (558 amino acids; hence, sh for short) and 1,749 bp (583 amino acids; hence, lo for long; Fig. 2). CiGASsh encodes a protein of 64.4 kD with a calculated pI of 4.89. CiGASlo encodes a protein of 67.1 kD with a calculated pI of 5.19. The two sequences exhibited 72% identity on the deduced amino acid level. Both genes exhibited highest ho-
mology with the (−)-δ-cadinene synthases from Gos-
ypium arboreum (among others Q39760, Q39761, and O49853) and cotton (Gossypium hirsutum; P93665), the potato (Solanum tuberosum) vetispirodiene synthase (AAD02223), and the tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum; T03714) and pepper (AJ005588) 5-epi-aristolochene synthases.

The catalytic activity of the two encoded proteins was examined using an enzyme assay on a cell-free extract of Escherichia coli BL 21 (DE3) harboring the two different cDNAs in the pET 11d vector. Radio-
liquid gas chromatography (radio-GLC) showed that both extracts catalyzed the conversion of [3H]FDP to a radiolabeled product co-eluting with germacrene A (Fig. 3). A cell-free extract of E. coli BL 21 (DE3) harboring an empty vector did not produce any apo-
lar radiolabeled products. GC-mass spectroscopy (GC-MS) analysis showed that retention times (not shown) and mass spectra (Fig. 3) of the major peak were identical to those of an authentic standard of germacrene A, thus, confirming that both cDNAs encode a GAS.

Finally, the possibility was checked that the two enzymes catalyze the formation of two different enantiomers of germacrene A. This was done by GC-MS analysis using an enantioselective column in combination with the principle of (stereoselective) heat-induced rearrangement of germacrene A to β-elemene (de Kraker et al., 1998). At an injection port temperature of 150°C, germacrene A was the major product of both the short and the long protein. Small amounts of α-selinene, β-selinene, and selina-4,11-diene, which are proton-induced rearrangement products (i.e. they are not produced enzy-
manically) were also detected (Teisseire, 1994; de Kraker et al., 1998; data not shown). When the in-
jection port temperature was increased, only the (−)-enantiomer of β-elemene was formed from the germacrene A produced by both enzymes, implying that both clones encode enzymes exclusively produ-
cing (−)-germacrene A (de Kraker et al., 1998).
Figure 2. Alignment of deduced amino acid sequences of chicory GASs, GASsh (=CiGASsh; GenBank accession no. AF498000) and GASlo (=CiGASlo; GenBank accession no. AF497999), with related plant sesquiterpene synthases: tomato germacrene B synthase (LeGBS; AAG41891), tomato germacrene C synthase (LeGCS; AAC39432), tomato germacrene D synthase (LeGDS; van der Hoeven et al., 2001) and tobacco 5-epi-aristolochene synthase (TEAS; T03714). The amino acid residues marked with an asterisk and three-letter code and position correspond to the position in TEAS and were hypothesized by Chappell and coworkers to be involved in catalysis of TEAS (Starks et al., 1997). Residues marked with # are also discussed in the text. The alignment was made using the ClustalX and Genedoc software.
genes showed marked differences in expression, with CiGASsh being expressed particularly in taproot tissues (approximately equally in the outer and inner tissues) and in green and etiolated seedlings. Hardly any expression was detected in the head or in green leaves (Fig. 4).

CiGASlo was expressed strongest in the outer taproot tissue, and much less in the inner taproot tissue. It was expressed at similar levels in head core tissue and leaves, and green and etiolated seedlings but at a much lower level in green leaves. The expression of the two genes in all tissues investigated correlates well with the observation that these tissues also contain sesquiterpene lactones (Beek et al., 1990). The evolutionary importance of the presence of two GASs in chicory is unclear. Perhaps it is significant that CiGASsh is preferentially expressed in the roots (that were also included as part of the seedlings) where accumulation of bitter sesquiterpene lactones is highest (Fig. 4; Rees and Harborne, 1985). CiGASsh has a lower $K_m$ and higher apparent $V_{max}$ than CiGASlo (see below) and this may also correlate with a higher accumulation of sesquiterpene lactones in roots.

Presence of GAS Isoenzyme Proteins in Chicory

The fact that two GAS cDNAs were found was somewhat surprising because de Kraker et al. (1998) partially purified only one GAS from chicory roots. As a consequence, a protein extract was made from chicory heads from which the two cDNAs had also been obtained. This protein extract was partially purified using Q-Sepharose and MonoQ anion-exchange chromatography to confirm the presence of the two GAS proteins. The catalytic activity eluted as one peak from the Q-Sepharose column. However, on MonoQ, when using a slow gradient, the activity could be separated into two fractions (Fig. 5). Both these fractions were shown to produce radiolabeled germacrene A using radio-GLC (data not shown). The GASs that had been produced in E. coli were also chromatographed on the MonoQ column. The elution volumes of these proteins perfectly matched the elution volumes of the two plant GASs (Fig. 5). The difference in calculated $pI$ of the two proteins did not correspond to the elution order from MonoQ. The protein with the lowest predicted $pI$ (CiGASsh) eluted earlier. Finally, a sample of GAS purified from chicory roots using DE-52 anion exchanger as described by de Kraker et al. (1998) was also chromatographed on MonoQ. This sample showed only one peak of activity, which matched the elution volume of CiGASlo (data not shown).

Enzyme Characterization

The proteins encoded by CiGASsh and CiGASlo (produced by bacterial expression) exhibited a pH optimum of 7.0 and 6.8, respectively. Enzymatic assays with the two MonoQ-purified E. coli-produced proteins were linear over a wide range of protein concentrations up to about 0.4 $\mu$g of protein per assay. Assays containing 0.2 $\mu$g of CiGASsh protein and 0.4 $\mu$g of CiGASlo protein were linear for up to 60 min at an FDP concentration as low as 2 $\mu$M. Although both proteins were only partially purified, the results suggest that the specific activity of the CiGASsh protein is about twice that of the CiGASlo protein. Kinetic analysis for both proteins yielded the typical hyperbolic saturation curves. The apparent
$K_m$ and $V_{max}$ values for the substrate FDP were for CiGASsh 3.2 $\mu$M and 21.5 pmol h$^{-1}$ g$^{-1}$ protein and for CiGASlo 6.9 $\mu$M and 13.9 pmol h$^{-1}$ g$^{-1}$ protein. Both the pH optimum and the $K_m$ value of the long protein (pH 6.8 and 6.9 $\mu$M, respectively) are similar to the values reported for the GAS enzyme isolated from chicory roots (pH 6.7 and 6.6 $\mu$M, respectively; de Kraker et al., 1998). This supports the conclusion, based on the co-elution on MonoQ, that de Kraker et al. (1998) had purified the same long GAS protein from chicory roots. However, it is unclear why de Kraker et al. (1998) only found the CiGASlo encoded protein, when it is evident from the present study that, in addition to expression in the heads, both genes are also expressed in the roots (Fig. 4). It is possible that the CiGASsh encoded protein was lost during the purification procedure employed by de Kraker et al. (1998). The use of the weaker anion exchanger DE-52 (Whatman, Clifton, NJ) by these authors instead of the Q-Sepharose used here could be the reason for this loss, although the small difference in elution volume from MonoQ does not suggest that a large difference in elution from DE-52 would be expected.

**Phylogenetic Analysis**

Phylogenetic analysis shows that the chicory GASs cluster separately from the other two Asteraceae sesquiterpene synthases, *5-epi-cedrol* and amorpha-4,11-diene synthase from *Artemisia annua* (Fig. 6). It may be significant that chicory belongs to a separate subfamily of the Asteraceae, the Liguliflorae, whereas *A. annua* belongs to the Tubuliflorae. As reported before (Bohlmann et al., 1998), the gymnosperm sesquiterpene synthases isolated from grand fir (*Abies grandis*) diverged at an early stage from the angiosperm sesquiterpene synthases (Fig. 6). The only two monocotyledonous sesquiterpene synthases present in GenBank from *Elais oleifera* and maize (*Zea mays*) also cluster together (although the catalytic function of these two sequences has not yet been proven by heterologous expression). The catalytic activity of the Arabidopsis sesquiterpene synthase-like sequences that all cluster together has also not yet been demonstrated. Most of the Solanaceous tobacco, pepper, and *Hyoscyamus mutisii* sesquiterpene synthases group together closely, with the exception of the tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum*) germacrene synthases. It may be significant that the former group contains elicitor/pathogen-induced sesquiterpene synthases, whereas those from tomato are constitutively expressed genes.

The public databases contain a number of sequences that were isolated from one or a number of
closely related species encoding either isoenzyme sesquiterpene synthases or sesquiterpene synthases with a different catalytic function. In *Gossypium* spp., for example, a large number of (+)-δ-cadinene synthase isoenzymes have been reported. Many of these have apparently only evolved relatively recently, although there is one branch that diverged earlier. The germacrene synthases in tomato have diverged relatively recently, even though each has a different product specificity. In contrast, the chicory GASs have diverged even earlier than the vetispiradiene synthases of two different species (potato and *Hyo-scyamus muticus*).

In Figure 2, the most obvious difference between the two chicory GASs and the other sesquiterpene synthases is the presence of additional amino acids at the N-terminal end of the sequence, especially for CiGASlo. The presence of these amino acids is usually restricted to monoterpene synthases, which have about 40 to 60 additional amino acids upstream of an RRxxxxxxxW motif of which the tandem Arg is supposed to be involved in plastid-targeting (Bohmann et al., 2000). In all sesquiterpene synthases, the second Arg of this targeting motif has changed to a Pro (Fig. 2). The high degree of conservation of this motif in the sesquiterpene synthases suggests that, although it is no longer a targeting signal, the motif may still play a role in the catalytic activity of the enzymes. Trapp and Croteau (2001) postulated that the terpene synthases have all evolved from a common diterpene synthase ancestor bearing a targeting signal and that was likely involved in primary metabolism. During the evolution of the sesquiterpene synthases, this targeting signal was lost. However, the chicory GASs still bear the remnants of this targeting signal just as the putative Arabidopsis sesquiterpene synthases and *Mentha* B-farnesene synthase. This is supported by the phylogenetic grouping of these three species and their early divergence from the other sesquiterpene synthases (Fig. 6).

**Comparison with the Tobacco TEAS**

Chappell and coworkers were the first to crystallize a plant sesquiterpene synthase, the tobacco TEAS (Starks et al., 1997). TEAS was shown to produce germacrene A as an enzyme-bound intermediate that is not released by the enzyme but is further cyclized to produce the bicyclic 5-epi-aristolochene. As a consequence, because a considerable part of the catalytic reaction is the same, TEAS is considered a suitable reference material for the two chicory GASs.

Chappell and coworkers postulated that the further cyclization of the enzyme-bound intermediate germacrene A to 5-epi-aristolochene is moderated by the presence of one amino acid residue, Tyr-520. This was later confirmed by Rising et al. (2000) who introduced a mutation Tyr-520/Phe into the TEAS cDNA, causing the mutated protein to produce germacrene A instead of 5-epi-aristolochene (at 3% of the original activity). Chappell and coworkers recognized that support for their results should come from the isolation of the GAS from chicory that had been characterized biochemically by de Kraker et al. (1998; Rising et al., 2000). The isolation of not just one but two GASs with fairly low homology (considering that they encode isoenzymes) presents a good opportunity to study the importance of the active-site amino acids for the formation of germacrene A, the termination of the cyclization reaction at germacrene A, and the further cyclization to 5-epi-aristolochene.

In Figure 2, the amino acids hypothesized to be involved in the catalysis of TEAS by Chappell and coworkers, are indicated with an asterisk (Starks et al., 1997; Rising et al., 2000). Most of these amino acids are conserved in the chicory GASs (as well as in most of the other germacrene synthases) and, thus, apparently do not determine product specificity. The exceptions are Thr-402,403, Asn-523, and Tyr-527. Of these, the change of Thr-403 to Ala and of Tyr-527 to Phe constitute significant alterations in polarity. The larger number of amino acids between Tyr-520 and Asp-525 in TEAS (and the *H. muticus* vetispiradiene synthase, not shown) compared with all the other germacrene synthases, due to the deletion of Asn-523 (Fig. 2), may be significant as well because it is highly conserved.

**Modeling of GASs. Changes in Catalytic Amino Acids**

The short and long chicory GAS (sharing 39% and 40% identity with TEAS, respectively) were modeled into the crystal structure of TEAS. The two models obtained in this way are quite similar and show a typical terpene synthase fold. Most of the amino acids indicated by Chappell and coworkers to be involved in catalysis are positioned almost identically in both the crystal and the two modeled GASs (Arg-264,266, Trp-273, Asp-301,302,305, Thr-401, Thr-402/Ser, Thr-403/Ala, Arg-441, Asp-444,445, Thr-448, and Glu-452; Fig. 7A). This would agree with the initial catalytic steps of both GASs and TEAS being identical. In contrast, quite a few differences occurred in amino acid identity and/or spatial location in the recently modeled δ-cadinene synthase, which were suggested to reflect the different enzyme mechanisms (Benedict et al., 2001). However, the modeled spatial location of Tyr-520 in the J-helix and Asp-525, Tyr-527/Phe, and Thr-528 in the J-K loop are significantly different not only, as could be expected, between TEAS and both GASs but also between the two GASs (Fig. 7A). The conservation of Tyr-520 in the GASs may undermine the conclusion of Rising et al. (2000) that Tyr-520 is required for the further cyclization of the enzyme-bound germacrene A to epi-aristolochene. However, the fact that the positional analogs of the TEAS Tyr-520 in the GASs are modeled to point away from the active site could again
Figure 7. Molecular models of the two chicory GAS isoenzymes CiGASsh and CiGASlo. A, Detailed view of the active site residues of CiGASsh in (pale) green and CiGASlo in (pale) yellow and TEAS (T03714) in (pale) red. Pale colors indicate the amino acids with an identical position in the TEAS crystal structure and the GASs models. Bright colors indicate amino acids with differences in identity and/or spatial position that are discussed in the text. B, Detailed view of the active site residues of CiGASsh (green) and a selected number of amino acids (red) that have different physiochemical properties in the GASs compared with TEAS and that are discussed in the text. Molecular modeling was carried out using the Swiss-model service (http://www.expasy.ch/swissmod; Peitsch, 1995, 1996; Guex and Peitsch, 1997) using the crystal structure of TEAS as a template. Models were rendered using POV-Ray for Windows (http://www.povray.org). Numbering follows the TEAS numbering (A) or the numbering of CiGASsh (B; also see Fig. 2).
support their work. On the other hand, in view of the different spatial structure of the enzyme-bound germacrene carbocation recently reported by Rising et al. (2000), as compared with the original hypothesis (Starks et al., 1997), it is likely that Tyr-520 is not involved in the further cyclization of germacrene A to epi-aristolochene. As a consequence, the change of Tyr-527 to Phe or the different predicted spatial orientation of the latter in both GAS models (Fig. 7A) may be the change that is responsible for the termination of the reaction at germacrene A in the GASs.

**Additional Changes in Amino Acids**

To study the importance of any other amino acids in the catalysis of germacrene A formation, the two chicory GASs were aligned based on physiochemical properties. This alignment showed a very high conservation. About 98% of the deduced amino acids were grouped as having the same properties for the two GASs. When this was then compared with an alignment with TEAS, about 55 amino acid positions were classified as having similar properties in the GASs but different in TEAS. The model shows that many of these amino acids are located in loops and helices far away from the active site and, thus, probably do not affect product specificity (data not shown). However, Starks et al. (1997) hypothesized that amino acids in the layers surrounding the active site may also or even mainly influence the active site conformation and, hence, product specificity. For example, the analysis by Back and Chappell (1996) of the product formation of a number of chimeras of H. muticus vetispiradiene synthase and TEAS showed that the product specificity of these enzymes is located in domains that are, at least in part, not directly lining the active site. Using the physiochemical alignment of the GASs with TEAS, a number of amino acid changes could be pinpointed in the positional analogs of the domains identified by Back and Chappell. For example, the polar Ser-338 of TEAS that is located in the "epi-aristolochene domain" (Back and Chappell, 1996) is replaced by the apolar Phe-331 (Figs. 2 and 7B). The protein model predicts that the Phe is sticking out of the D-helix in the direction of the active site and close to the F-helix catalytic domain containing the three Asps (Asp-294, -295, and -298) involved in Mg$^{2+}$ binding (Fig. 7B). In the "vetispiradiene domain" (Back and Chappell, 1996), the apolar Val-437 of TEAS is replaced by the polar Glu-431 (Figs. 2 and 7B). Glu-431 is located in the H2-helix close to Arg-435 and Thr-394, Ser-395, and Ala-396, which are located on the G2-helix of CiGASsh and, consequently, are close to the active site.

Finally, there are a number of changes in the J-K loop, which is proposed to form the lid on the active site (Fig. 7B). These changes are I521R515/K, N523Δ, L524D517, E531G524, V533T526, P536E529/D, and I539T532. The deletion of Asn-523 and the substitution of Leu-524 by the smaller amino acid Asp-517 may decrease the size of the active site pocket or change the orientation of amino acid side chains elsewhere in the loop as is predicted by the model, for example, for the Tyr-520 and Tyr-527 homologs of both GASs (Fig. 7A). In δ-cadinene synthase, the Leu-524 (or Asn-523) deletion and some amino acid substitutions, have also been suggested to play a role in active site size and/or amino acid orientation and, hence, product specificity (Benedict et al., 2001). In addition, a number of the changes in the J-K loop of the GASs mentioned above may have altered the electrostatic environment enough to permit the reaction to terminate at germacrene A.

**CONCLUSION**

Two GAS isoenzymes from chicory have been isolated and characterized. The genes exhibited a fairly low degree of homology, considering that the enzymes catalyze the formation of the same product. The comparison of the two GASs with crystallized TEAS enabled a number of amino acid residues that may be involved in the catalysis and product specificity of sesquiterpene synthases to be pinpointed. Crystallization and site-directed mutagenesis should show how important these pinpointed residues really are. In addition, the isolation of the GAS cDNAs may allow for the modification of sesquiterpenoid biosynthetic pathways in plants leading to, for example, sesquiterpene lactones. This offers exciting possibilities both for studies into the ecological significance of these compounds and also for the enhancement of the production of valuable, e.g. pharmacologically active, sesquiterpene lactones.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Material**

Chicory (Cichorium intybus) heads, taproots, and seeds were obtained from Nunhems Zaden bv (Haelen, The Netherlands). Seedlings were obtained by germinating seeds at 20°C on moist filter paper in closed plastic containers in either light or darkness (to obtain etiolated seedlings). After incubation for 7 d, seedlings were frozen in liquid N$_2$, ground, and stored at $-80^\circ$C. For expression studies, taproots were separated into inner and outer tissue, and etiolated heads were separated into core and leaves. Green leaves were obtained by growing chicory taproots in potting compost in a greenhouse. After harvest, all samples were frozen, ground, and stored at $-80^\circ$C for later analysis.

**Isolation of Sesquiterpene Synthase Genes**

Total RNA was isolated from etiolated chicory heads using the purescript RNA isolation kit (Biozym, Landgraaf, The Netherlands). Poly(A$^+$) RNA was extracted from 20 μg of total RNA using 2 μg of poly(dT)25V oligonucleotides
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Expression of the Isolated cDNAs in *E. coli*

For functional expression, the cDNA clones were subcloned in frame into the expression vector pET 11d (Stratagene). To introduce suitable restriction sites for subcloning, cDNA 1 (“short”) was amplified using the sense primer 5’-CCT TCA AGC CAT GCC AGC AGT TG-3’ (introducing an NcoI site at the start codon ATG) and anti-sense primer 5’-TTG TAA TAG GAT CCA CTA TAG G-3’ (introducing a BamHI site between the stop codon TGA and the poly[A] tail in the Bluescript vector). cDNA 2 (“long”) was amplified by PCR with the sense primer 5’-CAA TCC GAA CCA TGG CTC TCG TT-3’ (introducing an NcoI site at the start codon ATG) and anti-sense primer 5’-CAC CAA ATG GAT CCA AAT TCG C-3’ (introducing a BamHI site between the stop codon TGA and the poly[A] tail).

The PCR reactions were performed under standard conditions as described above but using Pwo polymerase (Roche Diagnostics NL bv, Almere, The Netherlands). After digestion with BamHI and NcoI, the PCR product and the expression vector pET 11d were gel purified and ligated. The two constructs and pET 11d without an insert (as negative control) were transformed to *E. coli* BL 21 (DE3; Stratagene), and grown overnight on Luria-Bertani agar plates supplemented with ampicillin at 37°C. The colonies on the agar plates were resuspended in Luria-Bertani medium supplemented with ampicillin (100 μg/ml) and 0.25 mM isopropyl-1-thio-β-d-galactopyranoside and grown to o.d. 0.5.

Identification of Products of Enzymes Expressed in *E. coli*

After induction, the *E. coli* cells were harvested by centrifugation for 8 min at 2,000 g and resuspended in 1.2 mL of buffer containing 15 mM Mops (pH 7.0), 10% (v/v) glycerol, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM sodium ascorbate, and 2 mM dithiothreitol (DTT). The resuspended cells were sonicated on ice for 4 min (5 s on, 30 s off). After centrifugation for 5 min at 4°C (14,000 rpm), the supernatant was diluted 1:1 with the same buffer but containing 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20, and 20 μM [3H]FDP was added to 1 mL of this enzyme preparation. After the addition of a 1-mL redistilled pentane overlay, the tubes were carefully mixed and incubated for 1 h at 30°C. After the assay, the tubes were mixed, and the organic layer was removed and passed over a short column of aluminum oxide overlaid with anhydrous Na₂SO₄. The assay was re-extracted with 1 mL of pentane:diethyl ether (80:20, v/v), which was also passed over the aluminum oxide column, and the column washed with 1.5 mL of pentane:diethyl ether (80:20, v/v). The column was then moved to another tube, and the assay was re-extracted with 1 mL of diethyl ether, which was also passed over the column. Finally, the column was washed with another 1.5 mL of diethyl ether. The extracts were analyzed using radio-DLC on a Carlo-Erba 4160 Series gas chromatograph equipped with a RAGA-90 radioactivity detector (Raytest, Straubenhardt, Germany) and GC-MS using an HP 5890 series II gas chromatograph equipped with an HP-5MS column (30 m × 0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 μm film thickness) and

coupled to 1 mg of paramagnetic beads (Dynal A.S., Oslo). The reverse transcription reaction was carried out as described by Sambrook et al. (1989), and the cDNA was purified with the Wizard PCR Preps DNA purification system (Promega, Leiden, The Netherlands).

Based on comparison of sequences of terpenoid synthases, two degenerated primers were designed for two conserved regions: a sense primer (primer A), 5’-GAY GAR AAY GGI AAR TTY AAR GA-3’; and an anti-sense primer (primer B), 5’-CC RTA IGC RTC RAA IGT RTC RT-3’ (Wallaart et al., 2001; Eurogentec, Seraing, Belgium). PCR was performed in a total volume of 50 μL containing 0.5 μM of the two primers, 0.2 mM dNTP, 1 unit of Super Taq polymerase/1× PCR buffer (HT Biotechnology LTD, Cambridge, UK), and 10 μL of cDNA. The reaction mixture was incubated in a thermocycler (Robocycler, Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) with 1 min of denaturation at 94°C, 1.5 min of annealing at 42°C, and 1 min of elongation at 72°C for 40 cycles. Agarose gel electrophoresis revealed one fragment of approximately 550 bp. The PCR product was purified using the Wizard PCR Preps DNA purification system (Promega) and subcloned using the pGEMT system (Promega). *Escherichia coli* JM101 was transformed with this construct, and 12 individual transformants were sequenced, yielding two different sequences.

A cDNA library was constructed using the UniZap XR custom cDNA library service (Stratagene). For library screening, 200 ng of both PCR amplified probes were gel-purified, randomly labeled with [α-32P]dCTP, according to manufacturer’s recommendation (Ready-To-Go DNA labeling beads [-dCTP], Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech, Uppsala), and used to screen replica filters of 10⁴ plaques of the cDNA library plated on *E. coli* XLI-Blue MRF⁺ (Stratagene). The plaque lifting and hybridization were carried out according to standard protocols (Sambrook et al., 1989). Positive clones were isolated using a second and third round of hybridization. In vivo excision of the pBluescript phagemid from the Uni-Zap vector was performed according to manufacturer’s instructions (Stratagene). Two groups of positive clones were obtained that could be distinguished using restriction enzymes and PCR.

cDNAs were sequenced using the Eurogentec Publication Service. Sequences were compared with sequences in GenBank using BLAST (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST). Sequences were analyzed and aligned using the DNAStar (Madison, WI), ClustalX, and Genedoc software. Numbering of amino acids mostly follows that for TEAS (T03714; Starks et al., 1997). Genedoc was also used to align sequences based on physicochemical properties. The Genedoc software uses the grouping of Taylor (1986) with minor modifications (Genedoc reference manual). Phylogenetic trees were constructed with the neighbor joining method using bootstrapping with the ClustalX and Treeview software. Molecular modeling was carried out using the Swiss-model service (http://www.expasy.ch/swissmod/; Peitsch, 1995, 1996; Guex and Peitsch, 1997). Models were rendered using POVRay for Windows (http://www povray.org).
Expression Analysis

Expression of the isolated cDNAs was analyzed in chicory taproots, etiolated heads, green leaves, and green and etiolated seedlings. RNA was isolated using the Wizard system (SV Total RNA Isolation System, Promega) according to the procedure recommended by the manufacturer. Of each sample, 2 μg of total RNA, treated with dimethyl sulfoxide glyoxal, was separated on a 1% (w/v) agarose gel and blotted onto Hybond-N+ nylon membrane using 7.5 mm NaOH as described by Sambrook et al. (1989). To fix the RNA, the membrane was exposed to UV light (254 nm). Prehybridization (at 65°C) and hybridization were carried out according to Sambrook et al. (1989) in a solution containing 2× SSC, 5× Denhardt’s solution, 0.1% (w/v) SDS, and 0.2 μg/mL herring sperm DNA. The probes used for hybridization were generated using the Ready-To-Go system according to the procedure recommended by the manufacturer (Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech) and using [32P]dCTP (ICN Biochemicals bv, Zoetermeer, The Netherlands) and (gel-) purified PCR fragments of the genes to be analyzed as templates. After hybridization, the blots were washed under highest stringency conditions (at 68°C with 0.1× SSPE + 0.1% [w/v] SDS) and exposed to a P Imaging Plate (Fuji Photo Film, Tokyo).

Partial Purification of GASs

From Chicory

Chicory heads were cut into small pieces, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and ground to a fine powder using a cooled mortar and pestle. One gram of this powder was homogenized in 10 mL of buffer containing 25 mM Mops (pH 7.0), 20% (v/v) glycerol, 25 mM sodium ascorbate, 25 mM NaHSO3, 10 mM MgCl2 and 5 mM DTT and slurried with 0.5 g of polyvinylpolypyrrolidone and a spatula tip of purified sea sand. To the homogenate, 0.5 g of polystyrene resin (Amberlite XAD-4, Serva, Garden City Park, NY) was added, and the slurry was stirred carefully for 10 min and then filtered through cheesecloth. The filtrate was centrifuged at 20,000g for 20 min (pellet discarded) and then at 100,000g for 90 min. The 100,000g supernatant was loaded on a 10-× 2.5-cm column of Q-Sepharose (Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech) previously equilibrated with buffer containing 15 mM Mops (pH 7.0), 10% (v/v) glycerol, 10 mM MgCl2, and 2 mM DTT (buffer A). The column was washed with buffer A and eluted with a 0 to 2.0 M KCl gradient in buffer A. For determination of enzyme activities, 20 μL of the 2.0-mL fractions was diluted 5-fold in an Eppendorf tube with buffer A, and 20 μL [3H]FDP was added. The reaction mixture was overlaid with 1 mL of hexane to trap volatile products, and the contents were mixed. After incubation for 30 min at 30°C, the vials were mixed and centrifuged to separate phases. A portion of the hexane phase (750 μL) was transferred to a new Eppendorf tube containing 40 mg of silica gel, and, after mixing and centrifugation, 500 μL of the hexane layer was removed for liquid scintillation counting in 4.5 mL of Ultima Gold cocktail (Packard Bioscience, Groningen, The Netherlands). The combined active fractions were desalted to buffer A, and 1.0 mL of this enzyme preparation was applied to a MonoQ FPLC column (HR5/5, Amersham-Pharmacia Biotech), previously equilibrated with buffer A containing 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20. The column was eluted with a gradient of 0 to 600 mM KCl in the same buffer, and the activity was determined as described above. Product identity was determined using radio-GLC as described above for the heterologous proteins, but now 0.5 mL of each of the two most active fractions was diluted 2-fold with buffer A.

From E. coli Expressing the Chicory GASs

After induction as described above, the E. coli cells were harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in 200 μL of buffer A and stored at −80°C until use. After thawing, the cells were sonicated on ice during 4 min (5 s on, 30 s off). After centrifugation, the supernatant was diluted 1:1 with buffer A containing 0.1% (v/v) Tween 20 and applied to the MonoQ FPLC column. Proteins were eluted, and activities of fractions and product identity were determined as described above for the plant proteins. Enzyme kinetics were determined as described previously (Bouwmeester et al., 1999a).
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