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ABSTRACT

Protein ubiquitination is a posttranslational regulatory process essential for plant growth and interaction with the environment. E3 ligases, to which the seven in absentia (SINA) proteins belong, determine the specificity by selecting the target proteins for ubiquitination. SINA proteins are found in animals as well as in plants and a small gene family with highly related members has been identified in the genome of rice (*Oryza sativa*), *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Medicago truncatula*, and poplar (*Populus trichocarpa*). To acquire insight into the function of SINA proteins in nodulation, a dominant negative form of the Arabidopsis *SINAT5* was ectopically expressed in the model legume *M. truncatula*. After rhizobial inoculation of the 35S:SINAT5DN transgenic plants, fewer nodules were formed than in control plants and most nodules remained small and white, a sign of impaired symbiosis. Defects in rhizobial infection and symbiosome formation were observed by extensive microscopic analysis. Besides the nodulation phenotype, transgenic plants were affected in shoot growth, leaf size, and lateral root number. This work illustrates a function for SINA E3 ligases in a broad spectrum of plant developmental processes, including nodulation.
Ubiquitination of regulatory proteins plays an important role in plant development and responses to the environment (Ellis et al., 2002; Devoto et al., 2003; Hare et al., 2003; Zeng et al., 2006). Polyubiquitination is mediated by repeated sequential action of three enzymes that activate (E1), conjugate (E2), and finally ligate (E3) ubiquitin (Ub) to target proteins that are subsequently degraded via the 26S proteasome (Glickman and Ciechanover, 2002). The E3 ligases confer the degradation specificity by selecting the target proteins. Several types have been identified, such as the anaphase-promoting complex, the Skp-Cullin-F-box complex, the E6-associated protein carboxyl terminus (HECT) domain E3 ligases, the RING E3 ligases, and the U-box proteins (Callis and Vierstra, 2000; Joazeiro and Weissman, 2000; Estelle, 2001; Stone et al., 2005). A RING finger motif or HECT domain is common among all E3 ligases and mediates the interaction with E2 enzymes to facilitate the transfer of ubiquitin to a lysine residue of the target protein (Freemont, 1993; Hershko and Ciechanover, 1998; Pickart, 2001). Proteins may also become mono- or multi-ubiquinated. This ubiquitin tag controls membrane protein internalization, protein sorting as well as transcription, DNA repair, and viral budding (d’Azzo et al., 2005; Piper and Luzio, 2007).

Seven in absentia (SINA) proteins are E3 ligases containing an N-terminally located RING finger domain, followed by the conserved SINA domain that is involved in substrate binding and dimerization (Hu and Fearon, 1999). The name of the protein family is derived from the first member that was characterized, the Drosophila melanogaster SINA that regulates photoreceptor differentiation by targeting the transcription factor Tramtrack for proteasomal degradation (Carthew and Rubin, 1990; Li et al., 1997; Cooper, 2007). The human SINA homologs, Siah1 and Siah2 are involved in synaptic transmission, apoptosis, tumor suppression, and stress and hypoxia responses among others (Wheeler et al., 2002; Franck et al., 2006; Khurana et al., 2006; Fukuba et al., 2007).

SINA proteins are often active as dimers, although the human Siah1 is also part of an Skp-Cullin-F-box-like ubiquitination complex (Santelli et al., 2005). Several substrates of the Siah/SINA proteins have been identified and most have been shown to be degraded in an ubiquitin-dependent fashion. Among the substrates are key transcription factors, but also cytoplasmic and membrane proteins (Susini et al., 2001; Habelhah et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2004).

SINA proteins also occur in plants, but have been poorly studied. So far, only the SINAT5 gene of Arabidopsis has been functionally characterized. It attenuates the auxin-induced lateral root (LR) formation because ectopic expression results in a lower LR number (Xie et al., 2002), while ectopic expression of a dominant-negative Cys49→Ser RING domain mutant of SINAT5 (SINAT5DN) causes more LRs than in wild-type plants. RING domain-independent dimerization of the Arabidopsis SINAT5 dimer is essential for ubiquitin E3 ligase activity and the dominant-negative effect of SINAT5DN is due to the formation of inactive heterodimers between SINAT5 and SINAT5DN (Xie et al., 2002).
SINAT5 affects LR formation by targeting the NAC1 transcription factor (a member of the NO APICAL MERISTEM/CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON family) for degradation (Xie et al., 2000, 2002). Recently, the SINAT2 gene of Arabidopsis has been shown to interact with the transcription factor AtRAP2.2, involved in carotenogenesis (Welsch et al., 2007).

Besides LRs, legume plants develop another type of secondary root organ, the nodule, whose formation is triggered by compatible soil bacteria, referred to as rhizobia (Jones et al., 2007). Bacterial nodulation (Nod) factors trigger nodule development that consists of two processes, rhizobial infection and organ development. In the model legume barrel medic (*Medicago truncatula*), *Sinorhizobium meliloti* enters via curling of root hairs. Within the curl, wall hydrolysis and membrane invagination result in an infection thread (IT) that guides the bacteria into the cortex. Meanwhile, a nodule primordium is formed through cell division in cortex and pericycle (Timmers et al., 1999). The ITs reach the nodule primordium where bacteria enter the plant cells and differentiate into nitrogen-fixing bacteroids. At the same time, an apical meristem is installed and an indeterminate nodule develops, consisting of a meristem, an infection zone where bacteria are taken up by meristem-derived nodule cells, a nitrogen fixation zone, and the senescence zone where cells of both partners are degraded (Vasse et al., 1990).

To investigate the role of SINA proteins in nodule formation, a small SINA gene family was identified in the *M. truncatula* genome. All MtSINA proteins were analyzed by yeast two-hybrid assays and were capable of forming homodimers and heterodimers with each other as well as with the Arabidopsis SINAT5DN and SINAT5 proteins. Ectopic expression of SINAT5DN and SINAT5 in *M. truncatula* provoked effects on LR formation similar to those in Arabidopsis (Xie et al., 2002). Plants that ectopically expressed SINAT5DN showed a reduced number of nodules with a Fix’ phenotype. Light and electron microscopy revealed impaired ITs and defective symbiosome development, resulting in early senescence.

**RESULTS**

**The *M. truncatula* SINA gene family**

To investigate the role of SINA proteins in nodule development, *M. truncatula* SINAT5 homologs were isolated by TBlastX analyses with the *M. truncatula* Gene Index (MTGI) of the expressed sequence tag database from The Institute for Genomic Research (http://tigrblast.tigr.org/tgi/) and the available genomic data. Six genes, designated MtSINA1 to MtSINA6, coding for SINA domain-containing proteins were identified. The derived amino acid sequences conferred 75% to 89% similarity to SINAT5.

MtSINA1 and MtSINA4 were highly similar and displayed the closest homology to
SINAT5. All the protein sequences had the conserved RING finger and SINA domain, whereas only the N-terminal region was highly variable (Fig.1A). The SINA domain of MtSINA3 and MtSINA6 was 15 amino acids shorter at the C-terminal end than that of other MtSINA proteins (Fig.1A).

The SINA proteins of *M. truncatula*, Arabidopsis, rice, and poplar were compared by phylogenetic analysis. Database searches revealed six sequences in *M. truncatula*, five in Arabidopsis, six in rice, and ten in poplar. Except for a short N-terminal region, all the plant SINA proteins were highly conserved (Supplemental Fig. S1). Like *M. truncatula*, the other plants also contained SINA proteins with shorter SINA domains (Supplemental Fig. S1). Because of this high conservation, a phylogram was made with the conserved nucleotide sequence regions guided by amino acid alignment to identify orthologous genes (Fig. 1B; Supplemental Fig. S1 and S2). Neighbor-joining analysis resulted in a phylogram that divided the SINA sequences into six branches (Fig. 1B).

The SINA sequences from Arabidopsis, *M. truncatula*, and poplar, but not from rice that contain a long SINA domain, clustered together in one branch (Fig. 1B). Within that branch, no orthologous relationships could be established. On the other hand, the SINA sequences that lacked the C-terminal end clustered in four separate branches (Fig. 1B, asterisks). The sixth branch of the tree grouped the rice proteins with long SINA domains (Fig. 1B). The phylogram demonstrates that most SINA proteins, including the six from *Medicago*, cluster in pairs, suggesting genome duplications for possible neofunctionalization.

To identify possible amino acid motifs that would be specific for each branch, a MEME motif analysis was done (http://meme.sdsc.edu/meme/meme.html; data not shown). However, no motifs were found that were specific for a certain branch.

The temporal expression of the six MtSINA genes during nodule formation was analyzed by quantitative reverse-transcription (qRT-)PCR. Because *M. truncatula* nodule formation is not synchronized, tissue from uninoculated roots and from roots 6, 9, 16, and 40 days post-inoculation (dpi), was carefully selected with fluorescence stereomicroscopy. The developmental stages that were specifically harvested at the different time points are presented in Supplemental Figure S3. The transcript levels of *MtSINA1, MtSINA2, MtSINA3, MtSINA5*, and *MtSINA6*, although detectable, did not change significantly during nodule development (data not shown). However, for *MtSINA4*, an increase in transcript level was observed already at 6 dpi, a stage at which bacteria invade the cortex and nodule primordia develop (Fig. 1C). The expression level remained the same throughout nodule development and increased again in mature elongated nodules.

**MtSINA proteins interact with each other and with SINAT5 and SINAT5DN**
Several studies have shown that SINA proteins can form homodimers as well as heterodimers (Hu and Fearon, 1999; Depaux et al., 2006). To unravel whether the interaction between the different MtSINA proteins was specific, a pairwise yeast two-hybrid analysis was done. The MtSINA clones were fused to the GAL4 activation domain (AD) or DNA-binding (DB) domain and tested in one-to-one combinations (Fig. 2; see "Materials and Methods"). Likewise, every interaction between two SINA proteins was tested in both directions. When selected on –(Trp/Leu/His) (WLH) medium in the presence of 10 mM 3-amino 1,2,4-triazole (3-AT), yeast growth was observed for most interactions. Weak colony growth was considered only as a sign of a weak interaction when it occurred in the two reciprocal combinations. As this was not the case for any of the MtSINA-MtSINA interaction in the presence of 10 mM 3-AT (Fig. 2) and 80 mM 3-AT (data not shown), all interactions were considered strong.

Furthermore, all six MtSINA proteins interacted with SINAT5 and SINAT5DN in the presence of 5 mM 3-AT (data not shown). The interactions of MtSINA4 and MtSINA6 with SINAT5DN and of MtSINA6 with SINAT5 were weak as illustrated by the faint or absence of growth on 10 mM 3-AT (Fig. 2). The other combinations resulted in yeast growth even in the presence of 40 to 80 mM 3-AT (data not shown).

To verify these results, co-immunoprecipitation of the six MtSINA proteins with SINAT5DN was analyzed (see Supplemental "Methods"). SINAT5DN and the MtSINA proteins were tagged with a 3-hemagglutinin (3-HA) and 3-MYC epitope, respectively and used to transiently cotransform in Arabidopsis protoplasts. After cotransformation with 3-HA-SINAT5DN, only MYC-MtSINA1 and MYC-MtSINA3 accumulated in amounts high enough for co-immunoprecipitations. The anti-HA/3-HA-SINAT5DN complexes from protoplasts co-expressing 3-HA-SINAT5DN and MYC-MtSINA1 or MYC-MtSINA3 were immunoprecipitated. As negative control, extracts were used from protoplasts expressing only MYC-MtSINA1 or MYC-MtSINA3. SDS-PAGE of the precipitated fractions followed by immunoblotting with the MYC antibody revealed the presence of MtSINA1 and MtSINA3 in the anti-HA/3-HA-SINAT5DN complexes (Supplemental Fig. S4, arrows).

**Heterologous expression of SINAT5 and SINAT5DN in M. truncatula**

To unravel the role of SINA proteins in nodulation, the dominant-negative form, SINAT5DN, was ectopically expressed in *M. truncatula*. This approach was chosen because not all *MtSINA* sequences were available at the onset of the experiments. Moreover, and importantly, the yeast two-hybrid analysis revealed that SINAT5DN interacted with all the endogenous MtSINA proteins. Based on these observations and on the results in Arabidopsis (Xie et al., 2002), we anticipated that the Arabidopsis SINAT5DN would inhibit endogenous MtSINA proteins by producing nonfunctional heterodimers. The *M. truncatula* cultivar
R108 was selected for *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*-mediated transformation of the 35S:SINAT5DN and, as a control, 35S:SINAT5 and 35S:β-glucuronidase (GUS) constructs because of the shorter generation time and the more efficient transformation protocol compared to those of the model cultivar Jemalong J5 (Cook, 1999; Frugoli and Harris, 2001) (see "Materials and Methods").

In nine independent lines transformed with 35S:SINAT5DN, different levels of SINAT5DN transcripts were observed in leaves (Fig. 3). For the 35S:SINAT5 construct, DNA gel blot hybridization indicated 27 different lines (data not shown) with various expression levels (Fig. 3).

The different lines were grown for seed setting and for segregation analysis of T1 plants with glufosinate ammonium or phosphinotricin (PPT) (see "Materials and Methods"). Lines with a Mendelian segregation pattern were selected for further experiments.

**Shoot and root phenotype in 35S:SINAT5DN and 35S:SINAT5 transgenic *M. truncatula* plants**

Growth of 35S:SINAT5DN plants was more vigorous than that of control plants. After 8 weeks of growth under greenhouse conditions in rich soils, several 35S:SINAT5DN lines had grown to approximately 150% of the size of the control plants (Fig. 4A), had a larger leaf area (Fig. 4B) and more shoots. Moreover, 20-day-old in vitro grown plants had more LRs (Fig. 4C). Wild-type and 35S:GUS plants had consistently similar phenotypes in all experiments and are referred to as “control” or “control plants”.

Plants with a high transgene expression level (as measured in leaf tissue), were grown in vitro and the number of LRs/cm was determined (Fig. 4D). Control and 35S:SINAT5DN transgenic plants had an average of 2.16 ± 0.51 LRs/cm and 4.12 ± 0.57 LRs/cm, respectively (data not shown). Although these results are indicative of a negative effect of SINAT5 on LR formation due to variation within each plant population, the difference between control roots and several transgenic lines was statistically not significant (0.3>p>0.05). Moreover, the SINAT5 effect on LR formation has been shown to be an auxin-dependent mechanism (Xie et al., 2002). Therefore, the effect of 35S:SINAT5DN on auxin-induced LR formation was tested by growing plants in the presence of 1-α-naphthalene acetic acid (NAA). Indeed, when 6-day-old 35S:SINAT5DN transgenic plants were transferred to NAA-containing medium for another 6 days, an average of 4.95 ± 0.33 LRs/cm was observed versus 1.35 ± 0.29 LRs/cm in control lines (Fig. 4D). A two-tailed t-test showed that the number of LR/cm root differed significantly between control roots and several different transgenic lines (Fig. 4D, asterisks).

Ten PPT-selected plants from six independent *M. truncatula* 35S:SINAT5 T1 lines
were grown in vitro on nitrogen-rich medium for 40 days to analyze root and shoot growth ("Materials and Methods"). No obvious shoot phenotype was observed, but the roots had fewer LRs than the control plants (Fig. 5A). Ectopic expression of SINAT5 resulted in a significantly lower number of LRs per cm (Fig. 5B). The average number was 1.32 ± 0.018 and 2.16 ± 0.15 LRs/cm in 35S:SINAT5 and control plants, respectively. A two-tailed t-test revealed that the difference in number of LR/cm root was significantly different between control and most transgenic 35S:SINAT5 (Fig. 5B, asterisks).

Effect of 35S:SINAT5DN and 35S:SINAT5 on nodule number in M. truncatula

The effect on LR growth indicated that SINAT5 was active in M. truncatula and that SINAT5DN exerted a dominant-negative effect on endogenous MtSINA proteins. To investigate the effect of 35S:SINAT5DN on nodule formation, 10 plants of seven transgenic lines were grown under nitrogen-poor conditions and inoculated with S. meliloti 41 (pHC60-gfp) (Cheng and Walker, 1998). An average of 4.22 ± 0.29 nodules per plant was obtained for the 35S:SINAT5DN lines whereas control plants had 8.53 ± 1.02 nodules per plant at 22 dpi (Fig. 6A; p = 5.19E-04 <0.001). Of the nodules that appeared on 35S:SINAT5DN plants, 40% were white, reflecting lack of active leghemoglobin (compare Fig. 6, B and F), a sign for defective nitrogen fixation (Fix−). Fluorescence microscopy analysis of these nodules revealed that the central tissue was less fluorescent than that of the wild-type nodules (Fig. 6, C and G), indicating that fewer bacteria had invaded the nodule. Whereas control nodules of M. truncatula are cylindrical because of the presence of a persistent apical meristem, the Fix− nodules of 35S:SINAT5DN were round-shaped, implying a precocious arrest of meristematic activity (Fig. 6B). By RT-PCR on root tissue of the different T1 lines, a correlation was found between the level of transgene expression and the phenotype (Fig. 6, D and E). Compared to control lines, low-expression lines carried fewer, but functional, nodules, whereas the nodules in high-expression lines were Fix− (Fig. 6, D and E).

To discriminate between an effect on infection or nodule primordium formation, 10 plants of two different 35S:SINAT5DN T2 lines were inoculated with Rm41(pHC60-gfp), and the infected root hairs in addition to the infections that occurred on the nodule primordia were counted at 10, 20, and 29 dpi (Fig. 7A). Moreover, the developing nodules were counted at these time points (Fig. 7B), corresponding more precisely to the number of incipient primordia at 10 dpi (Fig. 7, early), developing primordia at 20 dpi (Fig. 7, development), and mature functional nodules at 29 dpi (Fig. 7, mature). At the early developmental stage, 35S:SINAT5DN lines had fewer additional infection events than the control plants (on average 0.3 versus 2.8 per plant) (Fig. 7A), and fewer nodule primordia (on average 1 versus 5 per plant) (Fig. 7B). However, later on during development, the number of nodule primordia on 35S:SINAT5DN plants became equal to that on control
plants (on average 12 per plant). When the additional infection events were counted at the “development” stage, the number was considerably higher than that in control plants (on average 9.5 versus 6.8) (Fig. 7A). Finally, on average 10 mature nodules were observed on control plants and only six on the transgenic lines (Fig. 7B). Interestingly, whereas the number of infection events in control roots had decreased (on average 3.9), at the mature stage it remained equal (on average 9.3) to that of the “development” stage in transgenic 35S:SINAT5DN plants (Fig. 7A). These observations show that nodule initiation (infection and primordium formation) is delayed, but not inhibited. The high number of infection events observed at later stages in 35S:SINAT5DN nodulation and the relatively lower number of primordia that developed into functional nodules are indicative of interference with infection or symbiosome development rather than with primordium development.

The nodulation capacity of the transgenic 35S:SINAT5 lines was also analyzed. Plants of the 27 different 35S:SINAT5 R108 lines were germinated and inoculated. Nodule development did not differ from that in control lines (data not shown).

Microscopical analysis of 35S:SINAT5DN nodule development

To unravel the stages during which nodule development is blocked in the 35S:SINAT5DN transgenic plants, nodules at different time points were analyzed by light microscopy. At 16 dpi, small round nodules were observed on control roots, with an apical meristem, infection zone, and small fixation zone (Fig. 8A). In sections of 35S:SINAT5DN nodules at the same time point, the central tissue of the incipient nodule was completely disordered and the typically zonated structure was not visible (Fig. 8D). Serial sectioning through such nodules revealed that the meristem was absent. The ITs had reached the primordium cells, but had a thick swollen appearance (compare Fig. 8, D and E with 8, A and B). Some infected cells were observed (Fig. 8D, asterisks), but the staining was more pinkish than that in infected cells of control plants (Fig. 8, C and D), indicating an early symbiosome senescence (compare Fig. 8, D and F; Van de Velde et al., 2006). Plant cells were also senescent because cell walls were disrupted (Fig. 8E, arrows).

In some cases, at 25 dpi, the development of the nodule had proceeded and a fixation zone was visible (Fig. 8G), but again with signs of early nodule senescence occurred (Fig. 8, G and H, asterisks and arrows, respectively). Eventually, some cylindrical nodules were present (Fig. 8I). Sectioning through such nodules often revealed a central senescing tissue (Fig. 8I) and only a residual or no apical meristem.

Electron microscopical analysis on young and mature control and 35S:SINAT5DN nodules revealed that the IT matrix in 35S:SINAT5DN lines was more dense than that of control nodules (Fig. 9, A and B). The bacteria within the ITs looked healthy, but fewer bacteria and more matrix were seen (Fig. 9A). Moreover, the ITs were not regular and bulge-
like structures were observed (Fig. 9A, asterisks). Once the ITs had reached the nodule primordium, bacterial uptake took place, but the symbiosome development was hampered (Fig. 9, C and D). Whereas normally the symbiosome membrane tightly encloses a single bacteroid and only a narrow symbiosome space is present (as seen by the thin transparent line between the bacteroid and symbiosome membrane; Fig. 9D, arrow), in the 35S:SINA<TDN nODULES, the symbiosome membrane only loosely surrounded the bacteroid, resulting in a clearly visible symbiosome space (Fig. 9, C and E, arrows). At later stages, large symbiosomes could be detected with several degrading bacteroids (Fig. 9, E). Also senescence of the plant cells occurred, visible by cell wall appositions (Fig. 9G) and loss of cell integrity (Fig. 9H).

DISCUSSION

Nodule formation is a tightly regulated process that integrates specific signal exchange and the coordinated activation of developmental mechanisms to synchronize bacterial invasion and organ development. Ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis is a common regulatory system and, hence, presumably involved in nodulation.

The central components of the ubiquitin-mediated degradation machinery are E3 ligases that specifically select the target proteins. Until now, only two E3 ligases have been shown to play a role in nodule development (Vinardell et al., 2003; Shimomura et al., 2006). The anaphase-promoting complex, APC<sup>CCS52A</sup>, degrades mitotic cyclins in the infected cells to induce endoreduplication, resulting in polyploidy needed to assure cell enlargement to host the huge numbers of nitrogen-fixing bacteroids (Vinardell et al., 2003; Kondorosi et al., 2005). In <i>Lotus japonicus</i>, a RING-H2 finger domain protein has been identified that might have a function in IT formation (Shimomura et al., 2006). We provide evidence that another family of E3 ligases, the SINA proteins, is important for IT growth and symbiosome differentiation.

SINA domain proteins exist in animals as well as in plants. They are mostly active as homo- or heterodimers and consist of a RING finger domain and a SINA domain that controls substrate recognition and dimerization (Hu and Fearson, 1999). BLAST searches against expressed sequence tags and genomic databases revealed that Arabidopsis, rice, poplar, and <i>M. truncatula</i> contain a small family of conserved sequences. In human and mouse, only two and three SINA genes have been found, respectively. Hence, plants might have extended the use of E3 ligases for posttranslational control of various processes.

Because of the strong amino acid conservation within the RING and SINA domain, the corresponding nucleotide sequence regions were used to investigate the phylogenetic relation among the SINA sequences. A phylogram separated the plant SINA proteins into six different groups. In contrast to Wang et al. (2008), we could not identify protein domains
that specify each group of proteins. However, each plant species contained two groups of SINA proteins that were separated by the presence or absence of a C-terminal extension of approximately 15 amino acids. Strikingly, each phylogenetic branch contained only one kind of protein. The SINA proteins without the C-terminal stretch split in four branches, as the other group of SINA proteins was divided into two groups. SINAT5 belonged to a branch with all long SINA proteins from the tested dicots. The *M. truncatula* ortholog of SINAT5 could not be identified within that cluster. Whether the phylogenetic separation reflects different biological functions has to be resolved. The high conservation within the RING finger and SINA domains hints at critical functions during plant development.

Two-hybrid analysis revealed a low specificity to form SINA protein dimers because all MtSINA proteins and the Arabidopsis SINAT5 protein could interact. Most probably, in vivo, the formation of heterodimers depends on spatial and temporal expression together with subcellular localization. qRT-PCR analysis indicated that only the level of MtSINA4 transcripts increased during nodule formation. The expression level of the other MtSINA genes did not vary significantly between nodules and roots. Thus, MtSINA4 is probably important for nodule development, but heterodimerization with other MtSINA proteins might be critical for function. Indeed, the other five MtSINA genes were transcribed in roots and nodules and the corresponding SINA proteins have been identified from root and nodule cDNA libraries by yeast two-hybrid analysis as interactors of SINAT5 and SINAT5DN (data not shown). It will be interesting to know where the MtSINA proteins meet in the nodule at cellular and subcellular levels.

**SINAT5 is active in *M. truncatula***

The only characterized SINA protein in plants is SINAT5 from Arabidopsis. The protein is involved in LR formation because its ectopic production results in fewer LRs, whereas that of a dominant-negative form produces more LRs (Xie et al., 2002). The dominant-negative protein has an amino acid substitution in the RING domain with the loss of the ubiquitin ligase activity as a consequence, while the binding with the target AtNAC1 remains intact (Xie et al., 2002). Upon heterodimerization, the activity of the interacting wild-type SINAT5 protein is inhibited (Xie et al., 2002).

Transgenic *M. truncatula* lines that ectopically express SINAT5 or SINAT5DN have several features reminiscent of phenotypes of transgenic Arabidopsis plants. The 35S:SINAT5DN plants grew more vigorously and had larger leaves than the control plants. This phenotype has not been described in Arabidopsis, but has been reported for the transgenic plants that ectopically express the *NAC1* gene, coding for a NAC transcription factor that is a target of SINAT5 (Xie et al., 2000, 2002). Also the number of LRs was modulated by introducing SINAT5 and SINAT5DN into *M. truncatula*, similarly to what was
observed for Arabidopsis. 35S:SINAT5 and 35S:SINAT5DN plants produced fewer and more LRs per cm, respectively. The effect on LR formation was even more pronounced when roots were treated with auxin, indicating that not the increased shoot growth was responsible for the increase in LR number, but that the SINA proteins were involved directly in the process of auxin-induced LR formation.

Together, these experiments suggest that SINAT can target *M. truncatula* proteins for degradation and that SINAT5DN is able to inhibit endogenous SINA proteins. The *M. truncatula* ortholog of SINAT5 is still unknown, although MtSINA1 is a good candidate. The clone has been found in cDNA libraries from developing roots (MTGI on www.tigr.org) and the deduced amino acid sequence is highly similar to that of SINAT5.

**MtSINA proteins are involved in the formation of ITs and in symbiosome development**

Ectopic expression of SINAT5DN had a drastic effect on nodulation, more precisely on IT formation and symbiosome development. Bacterial infection was delayed and eventually ITs were formed, but the infection did not proceed well, resulting in abundant initiation of new infections at later time points. This observation is typical for mutants with a defective IT growth when the mechanism that restricts the number of infection events is not switched on (e.g. Tsyganov et al., 2002; Tansengco et al., 2003; Veereslingham et al., 2004). Light and electron microscopy confirmed that the ITs were deformed: they were broader than those of the wild type, had a denser matrix with fewer bacteria, and the walls were irregular with bulge-like structures. Eventually, some ITs reached the nodule primordia and penetrated between the primordium cells, releasing bacteria into the plant cytoplasm. However, the formation of symbiosomes was affected. The bacterial uptake, via unwalled infection droplets, occurred, but the freshly formed symbiosomes did not look normal. In wild-type plants, the symbiosome membrane closely surrounds the bacteroid, whereas a broad symbiosome space between the bacteroid and the symbiosome membrane was observed in 35S:SINAT5DN nodules. Large symbiosomes were seen with several degenerating bacteroids, leading to early nodule senescence and small, round Fix’ nodules. In addition, these defects were often accompanied by a general plant defense because wall appositions and loss of cell integrity were observed within the cells of the central tissue.

As the two symbiotic partners meet closely within the ITs and symbiosomes, continuous mutual recognition is needed for proper nodule functioning (Jones et al., 2007). The bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) molecules play an important role for sustained infection and bacteroid survival within the symbiosomes (Mathis et al., 2005; Jones et al., 2007). Irregular ITs and hampered symbiosome development are often seen in nodules that had been elicited by LPS-defective symbionts (Priefer, 1989; Putnoky et al., 1990; Glazebrook et al., 1993; Perotto et al., 1994; Niehaus et al., 1998; Campbell et al., 2002;
Laus et al., 2004). Moreover, infection with surface polysaccharide-defective bacteria mostly results in the elicitation of the plant's defense (Tellström et al., 2007). Thus, SINA proteins could plausibly contribute to LPS recognition for symbiosome development. Although the phenotype is not fully identical, in contrast to what was reported for inoculation with LPS mutant bacteria, we never observed large hypertrophied ITs on 35S:SINAT5DN plants.

Besides a role in microsymbiont recognition, MtSINA proteins might also be involved in a common mechanism that underlies both IT and symbiosome formation. For instance, endomembrane vesicle traffic is needed for both growth and differentiation of ITs and symbiosomes (Brewin, 2004; Jones et al., 2007). The pea (Pisum sativum) sym31 mutant shows an aberrant targeting of vesicles toward the symbiosomes with a phenotype similar to that of the 35S:SINAT5DN transgenic plants (Borisov et al., 1992; Dahiya et al., 1998). Interestingly, but still preliminary, two-hybrid analysis revealed two candidate SINA protein interactors that code for genes that are involved in vesicle trafficking (unpublished results). Further investigation on their role during nodule formation might reveal insights into how the endomembrane system supports IT as well as symbiosome development.

Besides sym31, many other legume Fix− mutants have related symbiosome defects as observed here (Tsyganov et al., 1998; Borisov et al., 1992; Morzhina et al., 2000; Suganuma et al., 2003). However, the phenotype of most of these mutants does not fully correspond to that of 35S:SINAT5DN. To our knowledge, the most similar mutant is the sym33/SGRfix−2 mutant that makes fewer nodules with thick ITs, which are often closed and in which bacteroids do not develop properly after sporadic release into the plant cells (Tsyganov et al., 1998). Unfortunately, the interrupted gene is still unknown.

In summary, we show that SINA proteins are important for nodule formation, although a specific MtSINA protein for nodule formation cannot be appointed yet. Certainly, MtSINA4 is a good candidate because it was the only upregulated gene during nodule formation. However, heterodimerization might complicate the picture. Future experiments, including protein localizations and RNA interference to knock down each MtSINA protein separately or in combination, will give us further insight into the SINA protein complexes that rule nodule formation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material, bacterial strains, and growth conditions

Medicago truncatula R108 plants were grown and inoculated as described (Mergaert et al., 2003; www.isv.cnrs-gif.fr/embo01/index.html). Sinorhizobium meliloti Sm1021, Sm1021 (pHC60-GFP), and Rm41 (pHC60-GFP) (Cheng and Walker, 1998) were grown at 28°C in yeast extract broth medium (Vervliet et al., 1975), supplemented with 10 mg/l
tetracycline for the pHCl0-GFP strain.

In vitro growth occurred in square Petri dishes (12 × 12 cm) as described (www.isv.cnrs-gif.fr/embo01/index.html) supplemented with 1 mM NH₄NO₃. For the 35S:SINAT5 and 35S:SINAT5DN lines, glufosinate ammonium (Pestanal, Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the medium.

LRs were counted and the main root length was measured (5 mm accuracy) after 20 or 40 days of growth for 35S:SINAT5DN and 35:SINAT5 lines, respectively. For counting of LRs and measurement of the main root length, in vitro grown 6-day-old 35S:SINAT5DN lines and wild-type R108 were transferred to plates containing 2 µM NAA (Duchefa, Haarlem, The Netherlands) for another 6 days.

For nodulation experiments, 35S:SINAT5 and 35S:SINAT5DN lines, grown in vitro for 2 weeks on medium supplemented with PPT, were transferred to perlite, and inoculated with Rm41 (pHC60-GFP). Nodule morphology and harvesting were analyzed with light and electron microscopy on T1 and T2 plants at the time points indicated.

For qRT-PCR analysis, M. truncatula J5 plants were grown for 7 days in perlite and inoculated with Sm1021 (pHC60-GFP). Tissue was collected by visualizing the green fluorescent bacteria under a stereomicroscope MZFLII (Leica, Wetzler, Germany) equipped with a blue-light source and a Leica GFP Plus filter set (λex = 480/40; λem= 510 nm LP barrier filter). Uninoculated root sections were isolated at the same developmental stage as the 6-dpi stage and contains the root elongation zone (Figure S3).

For seed setting of the wild-type and transgenic progeny, seedlings were transferred to vermiculite:sand (2:1) mixtures and grown for 4 weeks after which they were transferred to a soil:sand (2:1) mixture and further grown the greenhouse under nitrogen-rich conditions (www.isv.cnrs-gif.fr/embo01/index.html).

The analysis of shoot and leaf growth in 35S:GUS control, 35S:SINAT5DN and 35S:SINAT5 transgenic, and R108 wild-type plants was carried out after 8 weeks of growth in soil under greenhouse conditions. For shoot measurements, the control and transgenic plants were removed from the soil and measured from the shoot tip to the initiation of the root, with approximately 5 mm accuracy). This experiment was done for several plants of at least two different transgenic lines.

**Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation of M. truncatula**

Young leaves from 4-week-old M. truncatula R108 plants were transformed with Agrobacterium tumefaciens AGL0 containing the plasmids pBA002(35S:SINAT5WT) and pBA002(35S:SINAT5DN) (kindly provided by N.-H. Chua, Rockefeller University, New York, NY) as described (www.isv.cnrs-gif.fr/embo01/index.html). Transgenic tissue was selected on the appropriate medium (www.isv.cnrs-gif.fr/embo01/index.html) containing 3
mg L\(^{-1}\) PPT. Regenerated transgenic shoots were grown on plates for 1 month and then transferred to vermiculite in the growth chamber. Seed pods were harvested and T1 seedlings were selected on nitrogen-rich medium supplemented with 3 mg L\(^{-1}\) PPT (see above) to segregate the transgenic plants and to obtain seed for the T2 generation. *M. truncatula* J5 was transformed with pBA002 (35S:SINAT5DN) according to the same procedure and had a phenotype similar to that of 35S:SINAT5DN R108 transgenic lines (data not shown).

**Bioinformatics analyses**

The coding sequences of *SINAT5* (GenBank Accession number AF480944) were analyzed by TBLASTX on the MTGI database (release 8.0; www.tigr.org/tdb/tgi) and on the genomic bacterial artificial chromosome sequences available in the GenBank. For DNA sequence data, percentages of identity and similarity between amino acid sequences were determined with the GCG package (Accelrys, San Diego, CA), including the GAP program. ClustalW was used for the alignments.

For the phylogenetic analysis of the SINA homologs, sequences from Arabidopsis, rice, and poplar were retrieved from the GenBank and the Joint Genome Institute (http://genome.jgi-psf.org//Poptr1_1/Poptr1_1.home.html). To calculate the SINA nucleotide phylogenetic tree, the SINA amino acid sequences were aligned with ClustalX 1.8 (Thompson et al., 1997). The conserved regions were defined as those with at least 70% identity among the aligned sequences, as identified with BioEdit 7.0.9.0 (Hall, 1999). The corresponding nucleotide sequences of the conserved regions were aligned guided by the protein sequence alignment with MacClade 4.0 (Maddison and Maddison, 1989). A neighbor-joining bootstrap analysis with 1000 replicates was carried out to generate the phylogram with PHYLIP 3.6 (Felsenstein, 2005). Branches with bootstrap support values of less than 50% were collapsed. Siah1 was used to root the tree.

**Statistical analysis of LR and nodule numbers**

For each line, the number of LRs per cm was counted for n plants. Standard errors were calculated as the standard deviation divided by the square root of the n plants. Control versus total transgenic population as well as versus separate transgenic line populations were statistically analyzed by a two-tailed *t*-test.

In two experiments, 10 control and 10 T1 plants per 35S:SINAT5 line were taken after 40 days of growth. For the effect of auxin-induced LR growth, five to eight plants of five 35S:SINAT5DN lines and controls were studied after 12 days of growth of which 6 days after addition of auxin.

Nodules were counted on control (n=19) and T1 35S:SINAT5DN plants (n=51).
Microscopy

For bright-field microscopy (D’Haeze et al., 1998), sections (5 µm) of embedded nodules 16 dpi and 25 dpi were stained with 0.5% toluidine blue and images were taken with an Axiocam digital camera (Zeiss, Jena, Germany). Electron microscopy was as described (Van de Velde et al., 2006).

Expression analysis

RNA was prepared from harvested tissue homogenized in TRIzol Reagent (Invitrogen) and phase-separated with chloroform by precipitation with isopropanol. First-strand cDNA was synthesized and amplified by RT-PCR according to Van de Velde et al. (2006) and Corich et al. (1998), respectively. The probes were generated from the purified PCR product with SINAT5 or Elongation factor 1α (ELF1α) primers (Supplemental Table S1) and pBA002(35S:SINAT5) plasmid DNA or M. truncatula cDNA as template sequence, respectively and radioactively labeled with the Rediprime II Random Prime Labelling System (GE-Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK). After hybridization and exposure, radioactive signals were quantified for each line by phosphorimaging.

qRT-PCR was done as described by Vlieghe et al. (2005). For the sequences of the primers used, see Supplemental Table S1. MtELF1α gene-specific primers were used for normalization of each gene and MtENOD40 gene-specific primers (Supplemental Table S1) as control for early nodulation stages (data not shown) (Supplemental Fig. S1). For all stages, biological repeats were done in triplicate.

Yeast two-hybrid techniques

The coding sequences of SINAT5, SINAT5DN, and the six MtSINA genes were fused to the GAL4 DNA-binding domain and the GAL4 activation domain of the pBDGAL4 vector and pADGAL4 vector, respectively (Agilent), used in pairwise yeast two-hybrid analyses according to the manufacturer's procedure, and plated with equal yeast density on triple selection medium. Auto-activation was analyzed in the PJ694A yeast strain (James et al., 1996). The strength of the interactions was tested by addition of 0, 5, 10, 20, 40, and 80 mM of 3-AT to this medium. Growth was analyzed after 3 days.

Accession numbers

Sequence data from this article are deposited in the GenBank/EMBL databases with
accession numbers: EU189945 (MtSINA1), EU189946 (MtSINA3), EU189948 (MtSINA4), EU189949 (MtSINA5), and EU189950 (MtSINA6).

Supplemental data

The following material is available in the online version of this article.

**Supplemental Methods.** Transient expression in Arabidopsis protoplasts and immunoprecipitation.

**Supplemental Table S1.** Primers used to amplify the open reading frames corresponding to the MtSINA genes and primers used for qRT-PCR analysis.

**Supplemental Fig. S1.** Alignment of SINA protein sequences of *M. truncatula*, Arabidopsis, rice, poplar, and human Siah1.

**Supplemental Fig. S2.** Nucleotide sequence alignment (690 bp assembled conserved regions) used to design the phylogenetic tree shown in Fig. 1B.

**Supplemental Fig. S3.** Stereomicroscopical images representing the different stages that were harvested for qRT-PCR analysis.

**Supplemental Fig. S4.** Co-immunoprecipitation of SINAT5DN with MtSINA1 and MtSINA3.
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Legends to Figures

**Figure 1.** SINA E3 ligase family.

A. Alignment of the *M. truncatula* SINA protein family and Arabidopsis SINAT5. The RING finger motif and the SINA domain are marked by asterisks and dollar signs, respectively. Conserved cysteine and histidine residues in the RING (∙) and Zn finger (∗) motifs are marked on top of the sequence. MtSINA1 (TC102374, EU189945); MtSINA2 (TC102369, EU189946); MtSINA3 (TC109024, EU189947); MtSINA4 (TC102612, EU189948); MtSINA5 (TC104350, EU189949); and MtSINA6 (TC109632, EU189950). B. Phylogram of *M. truncatula* (Mt), Arabidopsis (At), rice (Os), and poplar (Pt) conserved SINA nucleotide sequence regions guided by amino acid alignment. The *Homo sapiens* Siah1 sequence was used to root the tree. The asterisk indicates the SINA genes encoding a protein with a shorter C-terminal SINA domain. C. Transcript level of MtSINA4 in roots (NI) and in developing nodules at 6 (6dpi), 9 (9dpi), 16 (16dpi), and 40 (40dpi) days post inoculation as measured by qRT-PCR.

**Figure 2.** Pairwise yeast-two-hybrid interaction of MtSINA proteins, SINAT5, and SINAT5DN.

The clones were fused to the GAL4 BD (horizontal) or GAL4 AD (vertical) and tested by a pairwise yeast two-hybrid analysis. Yeast colonies were spotted with equal density on -WLH medium in the presence of 10 mM 3-AT.

**Figure 3.** Quantification of SINAT5 expression levels in T0 leaf RNA of different 35S:SINAT5DN (SDN) and 35S:SINAT5 (SWT) lines as measured by RT-PCR.

A. RNA levels of T0 35S:SINAT5DN. Lines SDN1 and SDN8 are clonal with comparable expression levels of the transgene as a result. B. RNA levels of T0 35S:SINAT5. ELF1α indicates the levels of the constitutively expressed elongation factor ELF1α gene. Control corresponds to SINAT5 and SINAT5DN expression levels in nontransformed control *M. truncatula* lines.

**Figure 4.** *M. truncatula* 35S:SINAT5DN shoot and root phenotype.

A. Eight-week-old T0 35S:SINAT5DN plants grown in rich soils, demonstrating vigorous growth and more roots compared to the T0 control plant. B. Trifoliate leaf of control and 35S:SINAT5DN T2 plants. C, LR phenotype of 35S:SINAT5DN and control plants after 20 days of in vitro growth. D, Average number of LRs per cm of 35S:SINAT5DN T1 *M. truncatula* lines (SDN1, SDN3, SDN5, SDN8, and SDN11) and control plants after 6 days of NAA treatment on 12-day-old plants. Error bars, standard error measurements. Significantly different values are labeled with a different number of asteriks as defined by a
two-tailed t-test (* = p<0.05; ** < 0.001 for the transgenic line compared to the control). Bars = 3 cm (A), 1 cm ([B] and [C]).

**Figure 5.** *M. truncatula* 35S:SINAT5 LR phenotype.
A, Root phenotype of T1 35S:SINAT5 and control plants after 40 days of in vitro growth. Bar = 1 cm. B, Average number of LRs per cm on 10 T1 plants of 35S:SINAT5 lines (SWT1 to SWT6) compared to control (control). Error bars, standard error measurements. Significantly different values are labeled with a different number of asterisks as defined by a two-tailed t-test (*= p<0.05; **= p< 0.01 for the transgenic line compared to the control).

**Figure 6.** Nodule numbers of *M. truncatula* 35S:SINAT5DN plants.
A, Average number of nodules formed on 10 T1 plants of seven different 35S:SINAT5DN R108 lines (SDN) compared to the number formed on control plants. B, C, F, G, Stereomicroscopical images of 35S:SINAT5 Fix- (B and C) and wild-type (F and G) nodules 22 dpi with *S. meliloti* Rm41 (*pHC60-gfp*). The white (B) and pinkish (F) color is indicative for the absence and presence of leghemoglobin, respectively. C and G, Fluorescent microscopical images showing the weak (C) and strong (G) bacterial green fluorescent protein activity. D, RT-PCR hybridized with probes specific for SINAT5DN and ELF1α on root RNA of the transgenic lines showing the relative expression level of the transgene in different lines. E, Total and Fix+ nodules per plant of different 35S:SINAT5DN lines at 25 dpi.

**Figure 7.** Infection events and nodule primordia or mature nodules during nodulation of T2 35S:SINAT5DN and control *M. truncatula* plants. A, Number of infection events referring to the number of root hairs with curls entrapping a bacterial microcolony or an infection thread in addition to the infected root hairs that are linked with nodule primordia. B, Number of nodule primordia at incipient (early) and developing (development) nodulation stages, and number of nodules at the mature nodulation stage. Error bars, standard error measurements.

**Figure 8.** Bright-field microscopy on 35S:SINAT5DN and control *M. truncatula* nodules.
A, Young wild-type nodule containing a nodule meristem (m), infection zone (i), and fixation (f) zone. B, Detail of infection zone of young control nodule. Arrowheads indicate ITs. C, Wild-type fixation zone (25 dpi) with infected cells filled with symbiosomes. D, Medial section through a young 35S:SINAT5DN nodule with a disordered central tissue and few infected cells (asterisks). E, Detail of infected region in (D). Thicker ITs (arrowheads) appear and cells show signs of degradation (arrows). F, Senescent nodule tissue of a wild-type *M. truncatula* nodule (61 dpi). Arrows mark cells with senescent features. G, Medial section through a 35S:SINAT5DN nodule more developed than the 35S:SINAT5DN nodule in
(D). Asterisks show senescing regions. H, Detail of (G) with arrows indicating cells with signs of senescence. I, Cylindrical 35S:SINAT5DN nodule demonstrating early senescence of the nodule central tissue.

Bars = 100 µm ([B] to [F] and [H]), 200 µm ([A] and [G]), 400 µm (I).

**Figure 9.** Transmission electron microscopy of 35S:SINAT5DN and control *M. truncatula* young and mature nodules.
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