

**Running Head:** Negative regulation of *DREB1* genes under circadian control

**Corresponding author:** Kazuko Yamaguchi-Shinozaki

Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Yayoi 1-1-1,

5 Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8657, Japan

Tel: +81-29-838-6641

Fax: +81-29-838-6643

E-mail: kazukoys@jircas.affrc.go.jp

10 **Research area:** System Biology, Molecular Biology, and Gene Regulation

**The Phytochrome-Interacting Factor PIF7 Negatively Regulates *DREB1* Expression under Circadian Control in *Arabidopsis***

Satoshi Kidokoro, Kyonoshin Maruyama, Kazuo Nakashima, Yoshiyuki Imura, Yoshihiro  
5 Narusaka, Zabta K. Shinwari, Yuriko Osakabe, Yasunari Fujita, Junya Mizoi, Kazuo  
Shinozaki, and Kazuko Yamaguchi-Shinozaki

Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-8657,  
Japan (S.K., Y.O., K.Y-S); Biological Resources Division, Japan International Research  
10 Center for Agricultural Sciences (JIRCAS), Ibaraki 305-8686, Japan (S.K., K.M., K.N., Y.I.,  
Y.N., Z.K.S., Y.F., J.M., K.Y-S); and Plant Science Center, RIKEN Yokohama Institute,  
Kanagawa 230-0045, Japan (K.S)

15

Footnote:

This work was supported in part by Grants-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan and by a project grant from the Ministry of  
5 Agriculture, Forestry, and Fisheries, Japan.

**Present address:**

Y.I.; Department of Plant Science and Resources, College of Bioresource Sciences, Nihon University, 1866 Kameino, Fujisawa, Kanagawa 252-8510, Japan

10 Y.N.; Research Institute for Biological Sciences, Okayama, 7549-1 Yoshikawa, Kibi-chuo, Kaga-gun, Okayama 716-1241, Japan

Z.K.S.; Department of Plant Sciences, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad, 45320, Pakistan

\* Corresponding author; e-mail [kazukoys@jircas.affrc.go.jp](mailto:kazukoys@jircas.affrc.go.jp)

15 The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instruction for Authors ([www.plantphysiol.org](http://www.plantphysiol.org)) is: Kazuko Yamaguchi-Shinozaki ([kazukoys@jircas.affrc.go.jp](mailto:kazukoys@jircas.affrc.go.jp)).

## Abstract

Transcription factors of the DREB1/CBF family specifically interact with a *cis*-acting dehydration-responsive element/C-repeat (DRE/CRT) involved in low-temperature stress-responsive gene expression in *Arabidopsis*. Expression of *DREB1s* is induced by low temperatures and is regulated by the circadian clock under unstressed conditions. Promoter sequences of *DREB1s* contain six conserved motifs, Boxes I to VI. We analyzed the promoter region of *DREB1C* using transgenic plants and found that Box V with the G-box sequence negatively regulates *DREB1C* expression under circadian control. The region around Box VI contains positive regulatory elements for low-temperature-induced expression of *DREB1C*. Using yeast one-hybrid screens, we isolated cDNA encoding the transcriptional factor PIF7, which specifically binds to the G-box of the *DREB1C* promoter. The *PIF7* gene was expressed in rosette leaves, and the PIF7 protein was localized in the nuclei of the cells. Transactivation experiments using *Arabidopsis* protoplasts indicated that PIF7 functions as a transcriptional repressor for *DREB1C* expression and that its activity is regulated by PIF7-interacting factors TOC1 and PhyB, which are components of the circadian oscillator and the red light photoreceptor, respectively. Moreover, in the *pif7* mutant, expression of *DREB1B* and *DREB1C* was not repressed under light conditions, indicating that PIF7 functions as a transcriptional repressor for the expression of *DREB1B* and *DREB1C* under circadian control. This negative regulation of *DREB1* expression may be important for avoiding plant growth retardation by the accumulation of DREB1 proteins under unstressed conditions.

## INTRODUCTION

Plants respond and adapt to abiotic stresses, such as low temperature, drought, and high salinity, at the molecular and cellular. The expression of many genes is induced by these stresses, and their gene products function directly in stress tolerance and in the regulation of gene expression and signal transduction in stress responses. The *cis*-acting elements that function in stress-responsive gene expression have been analyzed to elucidate the molecular mechanisms of gene expression in response to these stresses (Thomashow, 1999; Chinnusamy et al., 2004; Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki, 2006). The dehydration-responsive element (DRE) containing the core sequence A/GCCGAC was identified as a *cis*-acting element that regulates gene expression in response to both dehydration and low temperature in *Arabidopsis* (Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki, 1994). DREs are also found in the promoter regions of many drought- and low-temperature-inducible genes (Thomashow, 1999; Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki, 2006). A similar motif was identified as the C-repeat and low-temperature-responsive element in promoter regions of low-temperature-inducible genes (Thomashow, 1999; Yamaguchi-Shinozaki and Shinozaki, 2006).

*Arabidopsis* cDNAs encoding the ethylene-responsive element-binding factor/APETALA2 (ERF/AP2)-type DRE-binding (DREB) proteins, *CBF1*, *DREB1A*, and *DREB2A*, were isolated by yeast one-hybrid screens (Stockinger et al., 1997; Liu et al., 1998). We isolated two cDNA clones homologous to *DREB1A/CBF3* (*DREB1B/CBF1* and *DREB1C/CBF2*) and one cDNA homologous to *DREB2A* (*DREB2B*; Liu et al., 1998; Shinwari et al., 1998). Both DREB1/CBF and DREB2 proteins bind to the DRE to activate the expression of stress-responsive genes. But DREB1/CBFs are thought to function in low-temperature-responsive gene expression, whereas DREB2s are involved in dehydration-responsive gene expression. Overexpression of DREB1/CBFs driven by the *CaMV 35S* promoter increases stress tolerance to freezing, dehydration, and high salinity in transgenic *Arabidopsis* (Jaglo-Ottosen et al., 1998; Liu et al., 1998; Kasuga et al., 1999). More than 100 downstream target genes of DREB1A have been identified by microarrays (Seki et al., 2001; Fowler and Thomashow, 2002; Maruyama et al., 2004; Vogel et al., 2005; Maruyama et al., 2009). Moreover, the levels of many metabolites (e.g., carbohydrates, amines, and organic acids) were reported to increase significantly in both low-temperature-exposed plants and transgenic lines overexpressing the *DREB1A* gene (Kaplan et al., 2007; Maruyama et al., 2009). However, overexpression of the DREB1A protein also caused severe growth retardation under normal growth conditions. Use of the

stress-inducible *rd29A* promoter instead of the constitutive *CaMV 35S* promoter for the overexpression of *DREB1A* is reported to minimize the negative effects on plant growth (Kasuga et al., 1999).

5 Expression of the *DREB1A* gene is rapidly and significantly induced by low temperature, and its induction is gated by the circadian clock (Shinwari et al., 1998; Fowler et al., 2005). Three *DREB1* genes lie in tandem in the order of *DREB1B*, *DREB1A*, and *DREB1C*, and their promoter sequences from translation initiation sites up to -500 bp are highly conserved. Shinwari et al. (1998) reported six conserved motifs, Boxes I to VI, found in the *DREB1* promoters. On the other hand, Doherty et al. (2009) reported seven other  
10 conserved motifs, CM1 to CM7, between the *DREB1C/CBF2* and *ZAT12* promoters. These conserved regions are thought to include *cis*-acting elements of *DREB1* expression. Zarka et al. (2003) identified two sequences that contribute to low-temperature induction, ICEr1 and ICEr2, in the conserved regions of the *CBF/DREB1* genes, which are identical to Boxes IV and VI, respectively. On the other hand, some proteins, including transcription factors, have  
15 been reported to regulate low-temperature induction of *DREB1s* directly or indirectly. ICE1/SCRM and MYB15 are bHLH- and Myb-type transcription factors, respectively, that regulate *DREB1A* expression under low-temperature conditions (Chinnusamy et al., 2003; Agarwal et al., 2006). CAMTA3/AtSR1, a calmodulin-binding transcription factor, binds to the CG-1 element in ICEr2 and activates expression of *DREB1B* and *DREB1C* (Doherty et al.,  
20 2009). ICE1 was also reported to function in stomatal differentiation, and CAMTA3 negatively regulates the accumulation of salicylic acid (Kanaoka et al., 2008; Du et al., 2009).

*DREB1A* expression under unstressed conditions is regulated by the circadian clock (Harmer et al., 2000; Maruyama et al., 2004). In addition, the expression of many low-temperature-inducible genes, including *DREB1s* and their downstream genes, is also  
25 regulated by the circadian clock (Bieniawska et al., 2008). Mutants of *Pseudo Response Regulators* (*PRRs*; *PRR9*, *PRR7*, and *PRR5*) and *Phytochromes* (*PhyB* and *D*) up-regulate the expression of *DREB1s* and the downstream genes under circadian control (Franklin and Whitelam, 2007; Nakamichi et al., 2009). *PRRs* and *Phytochromes* are important components of light signaling pathways and the circadian oscillator (Yanovsky and Kay, 2001; Mizuno and Nakamichi, 2005). The light and circadian signaling pathways are also important for the  
30 regulation of *DREB1* expression. PIF family proteins are basic helix–loop–helix (bHLH) transcription factors that directly interact with the photoactivated phytochromes under specific light conditions (Castillon et al., 2007). They are negative regulators of the phytochrome-mediated signaling pathway and function in photomorphogenic development,

such as hypocotyl elongation and chloroplast development. Most of them accumulate in the dark and rapidly degrade in response to light by the 26S proteasomal pathway. Particularly, the accumulation of both PIF4 and PIF5 mRNA and protein is regulated under light/dark (LD) cycles (Huq and Quail, 2002; Fujimori et al., 2004). Furthermore, they interact with TIMING OF CAB EXPRESSION 1 (TOC1)/PRR1, a component of the light signaling pathway or the circadian oscillator. Some of them are suggested to function in the rhythmic growth pattern of hypocotyl elongation under circadian regulation (Nozue et al., 2007; Niwa et al., 2009).

In this study, we analyzed the promoter region of *DREB1C* and found that the conserved Box V sequence functions as a negative regulatory element for circadian expression of *DREB1C*. The region around Box VI contains positive regulatory elements for its low-temperature-induced expression. We isolated cDNA for one of the PIF family proteins, PIF7, which interacts with the G-box sequence in Box V as detected by using yeast one-hybrid screens. We characterized the *PIF7* gene in plant cells and analyzed the role of PIF7 in the expression of *DREB1* genes under circadian control.

## RESULTS

### Transcriptional regulations in Boxes V and VI of the *DREB1C* promoter

To identify the promoter regions including *cis*-acting elements involved in low-temperature-responsive gene expression of *DREB1* genes *DREB1A*, *DREB1B*, and *DREB1C*, we conducted promoter deletion analyses of the *DREB1C* gene, which, among the three *DREB1* genes, is induced at the highest level under low-temperature conditions (Shinwari et al., 1998). We constructed a chimeric gene with the promoter region from -46 to the translation initiation site of *DREB1C*, including a 5'-untranslated region, fused to the  $\beta$ -glucuronidase (*GUS*) reporter gene as the minimal promoter. Then four 5' *DREB1C* promoter regions deleted to -394, -256, -190, and -113, which were tandemly repeated twice, were fused to the minimal promoter. The fused genes were transformed into *Arabidopsis*, and at least 10 independent transgenic lines for the expression of the *GUS* reporter gene of each construct were examined using RNA gel blot analysis. Transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants grown for two weeks were treated at 4 °C for 3 h and used for the analysis of *GUS* expression (Supplemental Fig. S1). Low-temperature treatment clearly increased accumulation of the *GUS* transcript in the transgenic plants carrying the -394, -256, -190, and -113 constructs, but did not increase its accumulation in the transgenic plants carrying the minimal promoter. These results indicate that the 65-bp fragment containing Boxes V and VI between positions

-113 and -47 of the *DREB1C* promoter is important for its low-temperature-responsive gene expression (Supplemental Fig. S1).

To analyze the 65-bp promoter fragment of *DREB1C* in more detail, we constructed chimeric genes with the fragment (WT) and its base-substituted fragments, which are  
5 tandemly repeated five times, fused to the minimal promoter and the *GUS* reporter gene (Fig. 1A). We analyzed four mutated 65-bp fragments (M1 to M4) with one or two base substitutions. In this experiment, we analyzed more than 10 independent transgenic lines for each construct. The M1 mutation is in a G-box sequence of Box V, and the M2 mutation is in the region between Boxes V and VI. The M3 and M4 mutations are in the 5' and 3' regions of  
10 Box IV, respectively. In the transgenic plants carrying the M1 fragment, *GUS* expression under low-temperature conditions was similar to that of the WT. However, the *GUS* transcript had already mildly accumulated in the plants before the low-temperature treatment. The M2 fragment functioned in a manner similar to that of the WT fragment. In contrast, neither M3 nor M4 fragments allowed for *GUS* expression at all under low-temperature conditions (Fig.  
15 1B).

Because *DREB1A* has been shown to be regulated by the circadian clock (Harmer et al., 2000, Maruyama et al., 2004), we tried to detect the *GUS* transcripts under circadian control. The transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants carrying the WT, M1, and M4 constructs were grown for 2 weeks under 12 h light / 12 h dark conditions (LD cycle); we analyzed *GUS*  
20 expression every 3 h in the LD cycle and after transferring to continuous light (LL cycle). The *GUS* reporter gene driven by the WT fragment showed rhythmic expression in both the LD and LL cycles, similar to the endogenous *DREB1A* expression (Fig. 1C). The transcript levels peaked at 1 h or 4 h after the subjective dawn (Zeitgeber Time, ZT 1 or 4 h). The *GUS* reporter gene driven by M4 showed the same rhythmic expression as that driven by WT,  
25 although the low-temperature-induced expression was completely lost (Fig. 1C). On the other hand, *GUS* expression was also rhythmic in the plants carrying M1, but the expression level was much higher than that in the plants carrying WT (Fig. 1C).

### **PIF7 is isolated as a protein bound to the 65-bp region of the *DREB1C* promoter**

To isolate cDNAs encoding DNA-binding proteins that interact with the 65-bp  
30 fragment (-113 to -47) of the *DREB1C* promoter including Boxes V and VI, we performed yeast one-hybrid screens. We first constructed a parental yeast strain carrying the dual reporter genes with integrated copies of *HIS3* and *lacZ* with four-times tandemly repeated 65-bp fragments. The yeast cells were then transformed with expression libraries containing

cDNA fragments of mRNAs prepared from plants that were untreated or treated at low temperature (4 °C) for 1 h. The cDNA fragments were fused to the transcriptional activation domain of yeast *GAL4*. We screened  $1.5 \times 10^6$  yeast transformants of the mixed cDNA libraries. Thirty-six clones were resistant to 15 mM 3-AT. Eleven of them induced lacZ activity and formed blue colonies on filter papers containing X-gal (Supplemental Fig. S2). All 11 positive cDNA clones encoded the bHLH-type transcription factor PIF7, which is reported to be a negative regulator of PhyB signaling (Leivar et al., 2008).

### **PIF7 recognizes the G-box in Box V of the 65-bp fragment of the *DREB1C* promoter**

The bHLH-type transcription factors recognize DNA with the consensus sequence CANNTG (Meshi and Iwabuchi, 1995), and PIF7 has been reported to recognize the G-box sequence, CACGTG (Leivar et al., 2008). In the 65-bp fragment of the *DREB1C* promoter, there is one potential element for PIF7 binding around Box V. To confirm that PIF7 recognizes this sequence, the binding ability of the PIF7 recombinant protein was examined by an electrophoresis mobility shift assay (EMSA). A fragment (residues 1-223) of PIF7, including the DNA-binding domain, was expressed and purified as a glutathione S-transferase (GST) fusion protein in *Escherichia coli*. The binding ability of the GST-PIF7 fusion protein to the WT, M1, and M4 fragments was examined. With the WT and M4 fragments, shifted bands were detected and the addition of competitors led to their disappearance, indicating that the fusion protein could bind the WT and M4 fragments (Fig. 2A). In contrast, the fusion protein could not bind the M1 fragment, which might be due to the mutation in the G-box sequence (Fig. 2A).

The G-box sequence is also found in the *DREB1B* promoter, but not in the *DREB1A* promoter (TACGTG; Fig. 2B). The binding ability of PIF7 to the fragments containing Boxes V and VI of the other *DREB1* promoters was also examined using competition experiments. The fragment (-111 to -47) of the *DREB1B* promoter competed with that of the *DREB1C* promoter for binding to the PIF7 fusion protein, but that (-114 to -48) of the *DREB1A* promoter did not (Fig. 2C), suggesting that PIF7 binds to the G-box in the *DREB1B* and *DREB1C* promoters, but not as efficiently to the *DREB1A* promoter.

### **Expression of the *PIF7* gene and subcellular localization of the PIF7 protein**

To compare the expression patterns of *PIF7* and *DREB1*, we analyzed their transcript levels under circadian control and low-temperature conditions, respectively. We grew *Arabidopsis* plants at 22 °C under 12 h light/12 h dark conditions (LD cycle) for 10 days and

then transferred them to continuous light conditions (LL cycle). We harvested the aerial parts of the plants every 3 hours in the LD (-11 to -2 h; Supplemental Fig. S3) and subsequent LL cycles (1 to 46 h). We measured the transcript levels using quantitative RT-PCR and found that the *DREB1A* and *DREB1B* genes showed circadian expression both in the LD and LL cycles (Supplemental Fig. S3). Their transcript levels peaked at 7 h after the subjective dawn (ZT 7 h). In contrast, the *DREB1C* transcripts displayed two peaks at ZT 1 h and 7 h in the LD cycle, but only one peak was exhibited in the LL cycle, similar to the *DREB1A* and *DREB1B* transcripts (Supplemental Fig. S3). On the other hand, *PIF7* also showed circadian expression, and its peak occurred at ZT 4 h, similar to *PIF4* and *PIF5/PIL6*, homologous genes to *PIF7* (Yamashino et al., 2003). Next, we subjected *Arabidopsis* plants to a low temperature (4 °C) from ZT 2 h under dim light. However, the expression pattern of *PIF7* was almost the same as that under room temperature (22 °C; data not shown).

To clarify the tissue-specific expression of *PIF7*, the *GUS* reporter gene was expressed under the control of the *PIF7* promoter in transgenic plants. *GUS* staining was detected in cotyledons and rosette leaves, but it was not clearly expressed in the roots or hypocotyls (Fig. 3A). To analyze the subcellular localization of *PIF7*, the *PIF7-sGFP* fusion gene was expressed under the control of the *PIF7* promoter in the *pif7-2* mutant background (Leivar et al., 2008), and two generated transgenic lines were used for further analysis (lines R6 and R7; Fig. 3B). In the transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants, which were grown for 2 weeks under 16 h light / 8 h dark conditions, GFP fluorescence was clearly observed in the nuclei on the upper side of the leaves, but not on the lower side (Fig. 3C). Whereas the fluorescence was diffused in the nuclei under the dark, speckles were formed in the nuclei after transferring to light conditions (Fig. 3D). When plants were kept under continuous light for 24 h, the speckles became unclear, but the fluorescence could still be observed. Under the low-temperature condition, there was no significant change in the fluorescence (data not shown).

### ***PIF7* interacts with *PIF4*, *PhyB*, and *PRR* proteins *in vivo***

*PIF* family proteins have been reported to interact with *TOC1/PRR1* and phytochrome A and/or B (Yamashino et al., 2003; Castillon et al., 2007). To analyze whether *PIF7* interacts *in vivo* with these factors and other regulators of *DREB1*, such as *ICE1*, *MYB15*, and *CAMTA3* (Chinnusamy et al., 2003; Agarwal et al., 2006; Doherty et al., 2009), we performed a two-hybrid screen using *Arabidopsis* mesophyll protoplasts (Walter et al., 2004; Ehlert et al., 2006). For the two-hybrid system, we constructed effector plasmids

containing the selected genes (PIF7, PhyB, ICE1, MYB15, CAMTA3, and PRRs) fused to the *GAL4* DNA-binding domain (DBD) under the control of the *CaMV 35S* promoter as baits (Effector 1; Supplemental Fig. S4A). We also constructed an effector plasmid containing *PIF7* fused to the *VP16* transcriptional activation domain (AD) under the control of the *CaMV* 35S promoter as the prey (Effector 2; Supplemental Fig. S4A). The *GAL4-GUS* plasmid, which contains nine copies of a *GAL4* binding site and the minimal promoter of *CaMV 35S* fused to the *GUS* reporter gene, and the *35S $\Omega$ -LUC* plasmid, which has the *luciferase* (*LUC*) reporter gene under the control of the *CaMV 35S* promoter, were used as a reporter and an internal control, respectively (Fujita et al., 2005). These constructs were transiently expressed in *Arabidopsis* mesophyll protoplasts (Supplemental Fig. S4A), and the transfected protoplasts were incubated overnight under white light. Coexpression of PIF7 or PhyB activated GUS activities by more than a factor of 20 (Supplemental Fig. S4B). Coexpression of TOC1 also activated GUS activity, but the level of activation was not as high as that of PIF7 or PhyB. We suspected that the interaction between PIF7 and TOC1 was indirect and mediated by other factors such as PhyB. To investigate the involvement of PhyB in the interaction between PIF7 and TOC1, we performed the experiment using PIF7 mutated in its APB motif, which is the PhyB-interacting domain, as a prey (Khanna et al., 2004; Castillon et al., 2007). This PIF7 mutant had a clearly reduced interaction with PhyB, but its association with TOC1 was not significantly affected (Supplemental Fig. S4C).

To confirm the interaction between PIF7 and some potential binding partners in the plant cells, we conducted a bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC) assay. We constructed PIF7 and the candidates (PIF7, PIF4, PhyB, TOC1, and ICE1) fused to the N-terminal region of YFP (YFP<sup>N</sup><sub>1-155</sub>; SPYNE) or to the C-terminal region of YFP (YFP<sup>C</sup><sub>156-239</sub>; SPYCE) under the control of the *CaMV 35S* promoter. These constructs were transfected into *Arabidopsis* mesophyll protoplasts and transiently expressed. We cotransfected CFP fused to H2B and driven by the *CaMV 35S* promoter as the positive control of transfection and nuclear localization (Dixit et al., 2006). For PIF7-PIF7 (SPYNE-SPYCE), PIF7-PIF4, PIF7-PhyB, and TOC1-PIF7, YFP fluorescence was merged with CFP in the nuclei; however, fluorescence was not detected with PIF7-ICE1 (Fig. 4).

30

### **Transcriptional activity of PIF7**

In our analysis of the *DREB1C* promoter, mutation of the PIF7-binding site caused activation of *GUS* expression (Fig. 1, B and C). Therefore, we suspected that PIF7 functions as a transcription repressor for the *DREB1C* promoter. To examine the transcriptional activity

of PIF7, we performed a transactivation assay using mesophyll protoplasts. We constructed full-length and deletion fragments of *PIF7* fused to GAL4-DBD under the control of the *CaMV 35S* promoter as effectors (Fig. 5A). These constructs were transiently expressed with the reporter and internal control in *Arabidopsis* mesophyll protoplasts and were incubated  
5 overnight under white light. Transient expression of PIF7 (FL; 1-366) reduced GUS activity as compared to that of the DBD control, suggesting that PIF7 can function as a transcriptional repressor. Coexpression of N-terminal deletion mutants of PIF7 (89-366, 89-327, and 328-366) also reduced the activities. In contrast, coexpression of C-terminal deletion mutants of PIF7 (1-327, 1-223, and 1-88) increased GUS activity comparable to DBD (Fig. 5B). These  
10 results suggest that PIF7 has a repression domain in the C-terminal region and an activation domain in the N-terminal region.

To address how PIF7 regulates the *DREB1C* promoter, we conducted a transactivation assay with PIF7 and its interacting factors PhyB and TOC1 as effectors under the control of the *CaMV 35S* promoter. We used the *GUS* reporter gene under the control of  
15 the 1-kb region upstream from the translation initiation site of *DREB1C* as a reporter, respectively (Fig. 5C). Coexpression of *PIF7* reduced GUS activity as compared to the control without the effector. In particular, coexpression of both PIF7 and TOC1 reduced GUS activity significantly more than PIF7 expression alone (Fig. 5D).

## 20 ***DREB1* expression in the *pif7* mutant**

To characterize the role of PIF7 in *DREB1* expression, we compared the expression levels of *DREB1s* between WT (Col-0) and the *pif7-2* mutant. We grew both plants under 12 h light/12 h dark conditions (LD cycle) for 10 days and then transferred them to continuous light conditions (LL cycle). We harvested the aerial parts of the plants every 4 h in the LD  
25 cycle (-16 to -4 h; Fig. 6) and subsequent LL cycles (0 to 36 h) and measured the transcript levels by semi-quantitative PCR. In the LD cycle, we could not observe significant expression differences between WT and the *pif7-2* mutant for the three *DREB1* genes (Fig. 6). However, between 12 h and 24 h in the LL cycle, expression levels of *DREB1s*, especially *DREB1B* and *DREB1C*, in the mutant were clearly higher than those in the WT plants. Expression patterns  
30 of *DREB1s* in the mutant after 24 h were similar to those in the WT plants. We also measured transcripts of other circadian-controlled genes. In the mutant *AtGols3*, which is downstream of *DREB1* (Maruyama et al., 2004), a similar expression pattern of *DREB1* was displayed in both the WT and mutant. In contrast, expression levels of *HFR1*, which is downstream of PIF4 and PIF5 (Lorrain et al., 2008), were lower in the mutant than in the WT, except at 16 h

and 20 h in the LL cycle. Expression patterns of *CCAI*, which is a component of the central oscillator (Green and Tobin, 2002), did not show significant differences between the WT and mutant.

To confirm that the elevated *DREB1B* and *DREB1C* gene expression in the mutant  
5 was caused by a disruption of the *PIF7* locus, we used plants expressing the *PIF7-sGFP*  
fusion gene under the control of the *PIF7* promoter in the *pif7-2* mutant as a means to  
complement the gene expression (Fig. 3B). In two independent complementation lines (R6  
and R7), none of the *DREB1* genes exhibited different expression patterns as compared to the  
WT plants (Supplemental Fig. S5B). Recovery of *PIF7* gene function clearly complemented  
10 the expression of *DREB1* genes. We also performed expression analysis of *DREB1s* under  
low-temperature conditions because induction of *DREB1* expression by low-temperature  
stress has been reported to be gated by the circadian clock (Fowler et al., 2005). However,  
under low-temperature conditions for 2 h, which began at subjective morning (ZT 2 h) or  
evening (ZT 14 h), no significant difference was observed in the expression of *DREB1*  
15 (Supplemental Fig. S5C).

## DISCUSSION

Previously, we reported that there are six conserved sequences from Boxes I to VI in  
20 the three *DREB1* promoters and hypothesized that these conserved sequences include  
*cis*-acting elements involved in *DREB1* expression (Shinwari et al., 1998). In this study, we  
analyzed the promoter region of *DREB1C* using transgenic plants and found that a 65-bp  
region containing Boxes V and VI is sufficient for its induction by low temperature  
(Supplemental Fig. S1A). Moreover, we demonstrated that this 65-bp fragment responded not  
25 only to low temperature but also to the circadian clock (Fig. 1, B and D). We analyzed the  
promoter activity of the mutated fragments and found that the region around the M1 mutation  
functions as a negative regulatory element for circadian expression and that the regions  
around the M3 and M4 mutations function as positive regulatory elements for  
low-temperature-inducible gene expression of the *DREB1C* gene (Fig. 1, B and D). In the  
30 plants carrying M1, *GUS* expression levels were much higher than those in the plants carrying  
WT, indicating that the G-box sequence in the fragment negatively regulates *DREB1C*  
expression under circadian control. However, we still detected rhythmic expression and  
significant accumulation of *GUS* expression at the start of the dark period. This anomalous  
expression pattern of *GUS* in the plants carrying M1 suggests the presence of negative

regulatory elements that influence circadian expression other than the G-box in the 65-bp fragment.

By yeast one-hybrid screening, *PIF7* was isolated as cDNA for a protein that binds to the 65-bp fragment of the *DREB1C* promoter, (Supplemental Fig. S2). *PIF7* was previously reported to function as a negative regulator of PhyB signaling in a de-etiolated seedling under prolonged red light, similar to *PIF3* and *PIF4* (Leivar et al., 2008). *PIF3* is known to function in anthocyanin accumulation and chloroplast development, and *PIF4* plays a role in shade avoidance (Monte et al., 2004; Shin et al., 2007; Lorrain et al., 2008; Stephenson et al., 2009). However, the physiological function of *PIF7* has not yet been revealed in detail. *PIF7* contains the bHLH-type DNA-binding domain and can bind specifically to the G-box sequence around the M1 mutation in the 65-bp fragment of the *DREB1C* promoters (Fig. 2A). Therefore, we hypothesized that *PIF7* might regulate the expression of *DREB1s*, particularly the negative regulation of *DREB1B* and *DREB1C*, under circadian control as the G-box sequence is not completely conserved in the *DREB1A* promoter (Fig. 2B). Both GUS activity and GFP fluorescence driven by the *PIF7* promoter were mainly detected in cotyledons and rosette leaves (Fig. 3, A and C). GUS activity driven by the *DREB1* promoters was weakly detected in cotyledons, but not at all in rosette leaves under unstressed conditions (Novillo et al., 2007). Expression of *PIF7* was regulated by the circadian clock, but not by low temperature (Supplemental Fig. S3). These findings are consistent with the hypothesis that *PIF7* negatively regulates *DREB1* expression under circadian control. This negative regulation of *DREB1* expression may be important for avoiding plant growth retardation by the accumulation of DREB1 proteins under unstressed conditions as overexpression of the DREB1A protein is reported to cause severe growth retardation under normal growth conditions (Kasuga et al., 1999).

Furthermore, we showed that the *PIF7*-sGFP protein driven by the *PIF7* promoter was localized in the nuclei of the leaves and formed speckles in the nucleus in response to short-term light, similar to other PIFs (Fig. 3D; Leivar et al., 2008). Fluorescence speckles of *PIF*-GFP were reported to be the sites for the degradation of *PIF* proteins (Chen, 2008). *PIF7*-sGFP in the speckles might also be degraded under long-term light. However, diffuse fluorescence of *PIF7*-sGFP in the nucleus was still detected after 24 h of incubation under white light (Fig. 3D). These results coincide with the report that the *PIF7* protein is stable under long-term light, whereas most other *PIF* proteins are rapidly degraded under the long-term light condition (Castillon et al., 2007; Leivar et al 2008), suggesting that the *PIF7* function is dominant under light conditions. Under the subjective night condition in the LL

cycle (12 to 24 h in LL), expression of *DREB1B* and *1C* in the *pif7-2* mutant was significantly higher than in WT (Fig. 6 and Supplemental Fig. S5A). However, expression levels of these genes did not exceed the expression peak (at 8 h in LL). These results indicate that expression of *DREB1B* and *DREB1C* is not repressed in the mutant under the light condition. A PIF7 mutation affected their expression only in LL cycles but not in LD cycles. In the LD cycles, especially the dark conditions, the other PIFs, such as PIF4, seem to function redundantly to repress the expression of these *DREB1* genes. Indeed, we showed that PIF7 formed not only homo- but also hetero-dimers with PIF4 using two-hybrid and BiFC systems in *Arabidopsis* protoplasts (Fig. 4 and Supplemental Fig. S4B).

10 Nakamichi et al. (2009) reported that expression of the *DREB1* genes in LD cycles is up-regulated in the triple mutant of *PRR9*, *PRR7*, and *PRR5* (*d975*). The expression patterns of *DREB1s* in the *d975* mutant were similar to that of the *GUS* reporter gene driven by the M1 fragment of the *DREB1C* promoter (Fig. 1C; Nakamichi et al., 2009). Therefore, we supposed that these *PRRs* are upstream factors of PIF7 that regulate the circadian expression of *DREB1C*. We analyzed the interactions between PIF7 and each PRR protein with the two-hybrid assay using *Arabidopsis* protoplasts, but direct association was not detected in the plant cells (Supplemental Fig. S4B). On the other hand, *TOC1* expression has been reported to be completely repressed in the *d975* mutant (Nakamichi et al., 2009). Our two-hybrid and BiFC assays revealed that PIF7 interacts with TOC1 directly in plant cells (Fig. 4 and Supplemental Fig. S4B). Moreover, coexpression of TOC1 with PIF7 showed stronger repression of the reporter gene driven by the *DREB1C* promoter (Fig. 5D), suggesting that up-regulation of *DREB1s* in *d975* is partly caused by PIF7 interacting with TOC1.

25 CAMTA3 has been reported to be a transcriptional activator to regulate expression of *DREB1B* and *DREB1C* under low-temperature conditions, and this protein has been shown to bind the CG-1 element in their promoters (Doherty et al., 2009). The CG-1 element and G-box are proximal, especially in the *DREB1C* promoter (Fig. 1A). We could not detect a direct interaction between PIF7 and CAMTA3 in the plant cells (Supplemental Fig. S4B), nor between PIF7 and other regulators in the low-temperature-responsive expression of *DREB1*, such as ICE1 and MYB15. These results suggest that PIF7 functions independently from the transcription factors that regulate *DREB1* expression in response to low temperature. These ideas are consistent with the result that *PIF7* disruption did not affect low-temperature-induced expression of *DREB1* (Supplemental Fig. S5C). However, both circadian- and low-temperature-regulated gene expression were reported to be involved in calcium signaling (Catala et al., 2003; Dodd et al., 2006; Doherty et al., 2009). A common

upstream factor might coordinately regulate *DREB1* expression under circadian control and the low-temperature response.

Leivar et al. (2008) reported that PIF7 functions as an activator under the dark conditions in etiolated seedlings. However, in our transient experiments using mesophyll  
5 protoplasts, expression of PIF7 fused to GAL4-DBD reduced the relative reporter activity under light conditions, and deletion of the N-terminal region of PIF7 further decreased the relative reporter activity (Fig. 5B). In contrast, deletion of its C-terminal region raised the activity higher than the control. These results suggest that PIF7 contains separate  
10 transcriptional activation and repression domains and can function as a transcriptional activator or repressor. When the reporter gene was fused to the *DREB1C* promoter, PIF7 reduced the relative reporter activity under light conditions (Fig. 5D), indicating that PIF7 functions as a transcriptional repressor to *DREB1* expression. In the *pif7-2* mutant, expression of *DREB1B*, *DREB1C*, and their downstream genes was up-regulated, but that of *HFR1* was down-regulated (Fig. 6B and Supplemental Fig. S5A). On the other hand, Franklin and  
15 Whitelam (2007) reported that the circadian expression of *DREB1* genes was higher under a low-red to far-red ratio (R/FR) light compared to a high R/FR light. We showed that coexpression of both PIF7 and PhyB reduced the reporter activity of the *DREB1C* promoter under light conditions to a greater extent than did PIF7 expression alone (Fig. 5D), suggesting that the interaction between PIF7 and the Pfr form of PhyB enhanced the activity of PIF7 to  
20 repress *DREB1* expression (Leivar et al., 2008). Transcriptional effects of PIF7 might depend on the downstream genes and/or light conditions.

In conclusion, we showed that the G-box sequence in the *DREB1C* promoter negatively regulates *DREB1C* expression under circadian control. We isolated the cDNA for PIF7, which binds to the G-box sequence and functions under circadian control as a  
25 transcriptional repressor of *DREB1B* and *DREB1C*. PIF7 forms not only homo- but also hetero-dimers with other PIFs, such as PIF4, in *Arabidopsis* cells; these other PIFs might function redundantly to repress the expression of these *DREB1* genes. Moreover, PIF7 activity is regulated by the interacting factor TOC1 downstream of PRR9, PRR7, and PRR5 (Nakamichi et al., 2009). PIF7 also interacts with the Pfr form of PhyB, which regulates the  
30 activity of PIF7 under the light conditions (Leivar et al., 2008). It is expected that other transcriptional regulatory pathways exist downstream of PRR9, PRR7, and PRR5 to repress *DREB1A* expression. The expression of *DREB1s* is strongly repressed by the central oscillator, which prevents the accumulation of DREB1 to avoid growth retardation under unstressed conditions (Fig. 7).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### 5 Plant materials and growth conditions

*Arabidopsis* plants (ecotype Columbia) were grown for 1 to 2 weeks at 22 °C on GM agar plates containing 3% sucrose and 0.83% agar under 16 h light ( $40 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) / 8 h dark conditions and were then transferred to 4 °C under continuous dim light conditions ( $2.5 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) for the low-temperature treatment. Plants were grown on GM agar plates containing 1% sucrose and 1.2% agar under 12 h light ( $80 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) / 12 h dark conditions and were then transferred to the continuous light condition for circadian control. For the protoplasts, plants were grown on soil at 22 °C for 6 weeks under 12 h light ( $40 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) / 12 h dark conditions.

A T-DNA insertion line of *PIF7*, *pif7-2* (SAIL\_622\_G02), was obtained from Syngenta (Sessions et al., 2002). Isolation of the homozygous *pif7-2* line was confirmed by PCR with Ampdirect Plus (Shimadzu, <http://www.shimadzu.co.jp/>) and Ex Taq polymerase (TaKaRa, <http://www.takara-bio.co.jp/>) using the T-DNA left-border primer (5'-GCCTTTTCAGAAATGGATAAATAGCCTTGCTTCC -3') and the *PIF7*-specific primers (5'-TTGAAACAGCTACAAGCACAAAGTACAG -3' and 5'-GATTCGAAGAACTTGAAGGCATG -3').

### Yeast one-hybrid screening of *Arabidopsis* cDNA libraries

Yeast one-hybrid screens were performed as previously described (Liu et al., 1998). The titer of the cDNA library was  $4.5 \times 10^6$  pfu. We used the yeast strain YM4271 and the Fast Yeast Transformation Kit (TaKaRa) for yeast transformation. For isolation of the full-length cDNA, 5'-RACE was performed using the 5'-RACE system (Invitrogen, <http://www.invitrogen.co.jp/>) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

### Fusion protein preparation and purification and gel mobility shift assay

The coding region of *PIF7* was inserted into the pGEX-4T-1 vector (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, <http://www.gelifesciences.co.jp/>) at the *Bam*HI site. The plasmid was expressed in *E. coli* Rosetta (DE3) cells and purified using Glutathione Sepharose (GE Healthcare Life Sciences) according to the manufacturer's instructions with minor modifications. The fusion protein was eluted from the beads by incubation with an elution buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH

8.0), 20 mM glutathione] overnight at 4 °C.

Probe labeling and gel mobility shift assays were performed as previously described (Urao et al., 1993) with minor modifications. The oligonucleotides of the WT fragments of *DREB1A*, *1B*, and *1C* and the mutation fragments, fused to the *HindIII* site, were amplified by  
5 PCR and digested by *HindIII*. A mixture of 20,000 dpm of <sup>32</sup>P-labeled probe and 2 µg of the fusion proteins was incubated in 1x binding buffer [25 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.9), 40 mM KCl, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, 1% BSA, 1 µg poly(dI-dC)] for 30 min at room temperature. The reaction mixtures were resolved by electrophoresis through a 6% polyacrylamide gel in 0.5× Tris-borate-EDTA buffer at 50 V for 2.5 hours and visualized by  
10 autoradiography. For competition experiments, the competitors were incubated with the fusion protein for 5 min at room temperature prior to the addition of labeled probes.

### Construction of transgenic plants

For the deletion analysis, the minimal promoter region of *DREB1C* (198 bp  
15 upstream of the translation initiation site) was amplified from *Arabidopsis* genomic DNA as a template by PCR with PrimeStar HS polymerase (TaKaRa). The fragment was then digested with *HindIII* and *XhoI* and inserted into the pGK-GUS vector (Qin et al., 2008). The partial fragments of the *DREB1C* promoter were also amplified by PCR and inserted into the *HindIII* site.

20 The *PIF7* promoter region (1019 bp upstream of the translation initiation site) was amplified from genomic DNA by PCR. The fragment was then inserted into the *XbaI* and *SmaI* sites of the pGK-GUS vector. For the GFP-fusion constructs expressed under the control of the promoter region, the *PIF7* promoter and coding regions were amplified by PCR from genomic DNA and cDNA, respectively, with primer sets containing the overlapping sequence  
25 between the promoter and coding regions. The fragments were annealed and polymerized as previously described (Fujita et al., 2005). The fused fragment was inserted into the *HindIII* and *SmaI* sites of the pGK-35SsGFP vector, which had its *CaMV 35S* promoter removed.

All constructs were then introduced into *Agrobacterium* strain GV3101 with the pSoup helper plasmid (Hellens et al., 2000). *Arabidopsis* plants were transformed with these  
30 constructs as described previously (Liu et al., 1998).

### RNA gel blot and qRT-PCR analysis

Total RNA from *Arabidopsis* plants was isolated with the RNAiso reagent (TaKaRa) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The RNA gel blot analysis was

conducted as described (Sato et al., 2004). Probes of *DREB1C* and *GUS* for the RNA gel blot analysis were prepared as previously described (Shinwari et al., 1998). For cDNA synthesis, 500 ng of total RNA was used with SuperScriptIII reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Real-time RT-PCR analysis PCR was performed  
5 as previously described (Sakuma et al., 2006). The *PIF7* and *DREB1* primers are described in Supplemental Table S1, and the *HFR1* and *CCA1* primers were as described in Lorrain et al. (2008) and Yamashino et al. (2008), respectively. At4g32680 was quantified as an internal control (Maruyama et al., 2009). In the quantitative real-time RT-PCR, all reactions were performed in triplicate.

10

### **Construction of transient expression assays**

For the protoplast two-hybrid system, the transcriptional activation domain was amplified by PCR from pVP16 and inserted into a *Bam*HI site of the pBI35S $\Omega$  vector (pBI35S $\Omega$ -VP16AD; Hollenberg et al., 1995). Effector constructs for the transactivation  
15 assays and the protoplast two-hybrid system were constructed with PCR-amplified coding sequences of PIF7, which were inserted into the *Spe*I site of p35S-564, which contains the *GAL4* DNA-binding domain (DBD), or pBI35S $\Omega$ -VP16AD. The pGUS-558 and pBI35S $\Omega$ -LUC vectors were used as the reporter and internal control constructs, respectively (Fujita et al., 2005). For BiFC analysis, full-length fragments of *PIF7*, *PIF4*, *PhyB*, *TOC1*,  
20 and *ICE1* were amplified by PCR and cloned into the *Xba*I site of the pUC-SPYNE and pUC-SPYCE vectors (Walter et al., 2004). As an experimental control, full-length fragment of *H2B* was amplified by PCR and cloned into the *Xba*I and *Sma*I sites of the pGK-CFP vector (Fujita et al., unpublished).

### **25 Transient expression assay using *Arabidopsis* protoplasts**

The transient expression assays using protoplasts derived from *Arabidopsis* mesophyll were performed as previously described (Yoo et al., 2007) with minor modifications. Leaves from plants grown for 6 weeks were cut into 0.5- to 1-mm pieces using a fresh razor blade. Twenty-five to thirty leaves were digested in 25 ml of enzyme solution,  
30 which was vacuumed for 15 min and incubated in the dark for 3.5 h at 22–25 °C. The protoplasts were washed and resuspended to approximately  $1.2 \times 10^5$  cells ml<sup>-1</sup>. For transfection, a plasmid mixture (3–5  $\mu$ g each of effector and reporter and 3  $\mu$ g of internal control) was added to a protoplast and PEG solution [40% (w/v) polyethylene glycol 4000 (Fluka, <http://www.sigma-aldrich.co.jp/fluka/first.htm>), 0.2 M mannitol and 100 mM

Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]. The transfected protoplasts were incubated under light conditions (25 μmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) for more than 16 hours. LUC activity was assayed using the Bright-Glo Luciferase Assay System (Promega, <http://www.promega.co.jp/>). Both the luminescence and fluorescence intensities were measured with a multilabel counter (Wallac AVROsx 1420, PerkinElmer, <http://www.perkinelmer.com/>).

### **Staining and fluorescence observation**

Histochemical staining of GUS activity was determined as previously described (Qin et al., 2008). GUS staining was observed under an MZ APO stereomicroscope (Leica, <http://www.leica-microsystems.co.jp/>). The images were captured with Axiovision4.4 (Zeiss, <http://www.zeiss.com/>).

Fluorescence was observed under a LSM5 PASCAL confocal laser-scanning microscope (Zeiss). For nuclear staining, samples were incubated with a DAPI solution [4 μg/ml DAPI (Sigma, <http://www.sigmaaldrich.com/japan.html>), 0.25x PBS, 0.2% MeOH] for 15 min.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We are grateful to E. Ohgawara, K. Murai, E. Kishi, K. Amano, and K. Yoshiwara (JIRCAS, Tsukuba, Japan) for their excellent technical support and to M. Toyoshima (JIRCAS, Tsukuba, Japan) for her skillful editorial assistance.

## LITERATURE CITED

- 5 **Agarwal M, Hao Y, Kapoor A, Dong CH, Fujii H, Zheng X, Zhu JK** (2006) A R2R3 type MYB transcription factor is involved in the cold regulation of *CBF* genes and in acquired freezing tolerance. *J Biol Chem* **281**: 37636-37645
- Bieniawska Z, Espinoza C, Schlereth A, Sulpice R, Hinch DK, Hannah MA** (2008) Disruption of the *Arabidopsis* circadian clock is responsible for extensive variation in the cold-responsive transcriptome. *Plant Physiol* **147**: 263-279
- 10 **Catala R, Santos E, Alonso JM, Ecker JR, Martinez-Zapater JM, Salinas J** (2003) Mutations in the  $\text{Ca}^{2+}/\text{H}^{+}$  transporter CAX1 increase CBF/DREB1 expression and the cold-acclimation response in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell*. **15**: 2940-51.
- Castillon A, Shen H, Huq E** (2007) Phytochrome Interacting Factors: central players in phytochrome-mediated light signaling networks. *Trends Plant Sci* **12**: 514-521
- 15 **Chen M** (2008) Phytochrome nuclear body: an emerging model to study interphase nuclear dynamics and signaling. *Curr Opin Plant Biol*. **11**: 503-8
- Chinnusamy V, Ohta M, Kanrar S, Lee BH, Hong X, Agarwal M, Zhu JK** (2003) ICE1: a regulator of cold-induced transcriptome and freezing tolerance in *Arabidopsis*. *Genes Dev* **17**: 1043-1054
- 20 **Chinnusamy V, Schumaker K, Zhu JK** (2004) Molecular genetic perspectives on cross-talk and specificity in abiotic stress signalling in plants. *J Exp Bot* **55**: 225-236
- Dixit R, Cyr R, Gilroy S** (2006) Using intrinsically fluorescent proteins for plant cell imaging. *Plant J* **45**: 599-615
- 25 **Dodd AN, Jakobsen MK, Baker AJ, Telzerow A, Hou SW, Laplaze L, Barrot L, Poethig RS, Haseloff J, Webb AA** (2006) Time of day modulates low-temperature Ca signals in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J*. **48**: 962-73.
- Doherty CJ, Van Buskirk HA, Myers SJ, Thomashow MF** (2009) Roles for *Arabidopsis* CAMTA transcription factors in cold-regulated gene expression and freezing tolerance. *Plant Cell* **21**: 972-984
- 30 **Du L, Ali GS, Simons KA, Hou J, Yang T, Reddy AS, Poovaiah BW** (2009)  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ /calmodulin regulates salicylic-acid-mediated plant immunity. *Nature* **457**: 1154-1158
- Ehlert A, Weltmeier F, Wang X, Mayer CS, Smeekens S, Vicente-Carbajosa J, Dráge-Laser W** (2006) Two-hybrid protein-protein interaction analysis in *Arabidopsis* protoplasts: establishment of a heterodimerization map of group C and group S bZIP

transcription factors. *Plant J* **46**: 890-900

**Fowler SG, Cook D, Thomashow MF** (2005) Low temperature induction of *Arabidopsis* *CBF1*, 2, and 3 is gated by the circadian clock. *Plant Physiol* **137**: 961-968

5 **Fowler S, Thomashow MF** (2002) *Arabidopsis* transcriptome profiling indicates that multiple regulatory pathways are activated during cold acclimation in addition to the CBF cold response pathway. *Plant Cell* **14**: 1675-1690

**Franklin KA, Whitelam GC** (2007) Light-quality regulation of freezing tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nat Genet* **39**: 1410-1413

10 **Fujimori T, Yamashino T, Kato T, Mizuno T** (2004) Circadian-controlled basic/helix-loop-helix factor, PIL6, implicated in light-signal transduction in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell Physiol* **45**: 1078-1086

**Fujita Y, Fujita M, Satoh R, Maruyama K, Parvez M, Seki M, Hiratsu K, Ohme-Takagi M, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K** (2005) AREB1 is a transcription activator of novel ABRE-dependent ABA signaling that enhances drought stress tolerance in  
15 *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **17**: 3470-3488

**Green RM, Tobin EM** (2002) The role of CCA1 and LHY in the plant circadian clock. *Dev Cell* **2**: 516-518

20 **Harmer SL, Hogenesch JB, Straume M, Chang HS, Han B, Zhu T, Wang X, Kreps JA, Kay SA** (2000) Orchestrated transcription of key pathways in *Arabidopsis* by the circadian clock. *Science* **290**: 2110-2113

**Hellens RP, Edwards EA, Leyland NR, Bean S, Mullineaux PM** (2000) pGreen: a versatile and flexible binary Ti vector for *Agrobacterium*-mediated plant transformation. *Plant Mol Biol* **42**: 819-832

25 **Hollenberg SM, Sternglanz R, Cheng PF, Weintraub H** (1995) Identification of a new family of tissue-specific basic helix-loop-helix proteins with a two-hybrid system. *Mol Cell Biol* **15**: 3813-3822

**Huq E, Quail PH** (2002) PIF4, a phytochrome-interacting bHLH factor, functions as a negative regulator of phytochrome B signaling in *Arabidopsis*. *EMBO J* **21**: 2441-2450

30 **Jaglo-Ottosen KR, Gilmour SJ, Zarka DG, Schabenberger O, Thomashow MF** (1998) *Arabidopsis* CBF1 overexpression induces COR genes and enhances freezing tolerance. *Science* **280**: 104-106

**Kanaoka MM, Pillitteri LJ, Fujii H, Yoshida Y, Bogenschutz NL, Takabayashi J, Zhu JK, Torii KU** (2008) *SCREAM/ICE1* and *SCREAM2* specify three cell-state transitional steps leading to *arabidopsis* stomatal differentiation. *Plant Cell* **20**: 1775-1785

- Kaplan F, Kopka J, Sung DY, Zhao W, Popp M, Porat R, Guy CL.** (2007) Transcript and metabolite profiling during cold acclimation of *Arabidopsis* reveals an intricate relationship of cold-regulated gene expression with modifications in metabolite content. *Plant J* **50**: 967-981
- 5 **Kasuga M, Liu Q, Miura S, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K** (1999) Improving plant drought, salt, and freezing tolerance by gene transfer of a single stress-inducible transcription factor. *Nat Biotechnol* **17**: 287-291
- Khanna R, Huq E, Kikis EA, Al-Sady B, Lanzatella C, Quail PH** (2004) A novel molecular recognition motif necessary for targeting photoactivated phytochrome signaling to specific basic helix-loop-helix transcription factors. *Plant Cell*. **16**: 3033-44.
- 10 **Leivar P, Monte E, Al-Sady B, Carle C, Storer A, Alonso JM, Ecker JR, Quail PH** (2008) The *Arabidopsis* phytochrome-interacting factor PIF7, together with PIF3 and PIF4, regulates responses to prolonged red light by modulating phyB levels. *Plant Cell* **20**: 337-352
- 15 **Liu Q, Kasuga M, Sakuma Y, Abe H, Miura S, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K** (1998) Two transcription factors, DREB1 and DREB2, with an EREBP/AP2 DNA binding domain separate two cellular signal transduction pathways in drought- and low-temperature-responsive gene expression, respectively, in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **10**: 1391-1406
- 20 **Lorrain S, Allen T, Duek PD, Whitelam GC, Fankhauser C** (2008) Phytochrome-mediated inhibition of shade avoidance involves degradation of growth-promoting bHLH transcription factors. *Plant J* **53**: 312-323
- Maruyama K, Sakuma Y, Kasuga M, Ito Y, Seki M, Goda H, Shimada Y, Yoshida S, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K** (2004) Identification of cold-inducible downstream genes of the *Arabidopsis* DREB1A/CBF3 transcriptional factor using two microarray systems. *Plant J* **38**: 982-993
- 25 **Maruyama K, Takeda M, Kidokoro S, Yamada K, Sakuma Y, Urano K, Fujita M, Yoshiwara K, Matsukura S, Morishita Y, Sasaki R, Suzuki H, Saito K, Shibata D, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K** (2009) Metabolic pathways involved in cold acclimation identified by integrated analysis of metabolites and transcripts regulated by
- 30 DREB1A and DREB2A. *Plant Physiol* **150**: 1972-80
- Meshi T, Iwabuchi M** (1995) Plant transcription factors. *Plant Cell Physiol* **36**: 1405-1420
- Mizuno T, Nakamichi N** (2005) Pseudo-Response Regulators (PRRs) or True Oscillator Components (TOCs). *Plant Cell Physiol*. **46**: 677-685

- Monte E, Tepperman JM, Al-Sady B, Kaczorowski KA, Alonso JM, Ecker JR, Li X, Zhang Y, Quail PH** (2004) The phytochrome-interacting transcription factor, PIF3, acts early, selectively, and positively in light-induced chloroplast development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **101**:16091-16098
- 5 **Nakamichi N, Kusano M, Fukushima A, Kita M, Ito S, Yamashino T, Saito K, Sakakibara H, Mizuno T** (2009) Transcript profiling of an *Arabidopsis PSEUDO RESPONSE REGULATOR* arrhythmic triple mutant reveals a role for the circadian clock in cold stress response. *Plant Cell Physiol* **50**: 447-462
- Niwa Y, Yamashino T, Mizuno T** (2009) The circadian clock regulates the photoperiodic  
10 response of hypocotyl elongation through a coincidence mechanism in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell Physiol* **50**: 838-854
- Novillo F, Medina J, Salinas J** (2007) *Arabidopsis* CBF1 and CBF3 have a different function than CBF2 in cold acclimation and define different gene classes in the CBF regulon. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **104**: 21002-21007
- 15 **Nozue K, Covington MF, Duek PD, Lorrain S, Fankhauser C, Harmer SL, Maloof JN** (2007) Rhythmic growth explained by coincidence between internal and external cues. *Nature* **448**: 358-361
- Qin F, Sakuma Y, Tran L, Maruyama K, Kidokoro S, Fujita Y, Fujita M, Umezawa T, Sawano Y, Miyazono K, Tanokura M, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K** (2008)  
20 *Arabidopsis* DREB2A-interacting proteins function as RING E3 ligases and negatively regulate plant drought stress-responsive gene expression. *Plant Cell* **20**: 1693-1707
- Sakuma Y, Maruyama K, Osakabe Y, Qin F, Seki M, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K** (2006) Functional analysis of an *Arabidopsis* transcription factor, DREB2A, involved in drought-responsive gene expression. *Plant Cell* **18**: 1292-1309
- 25 **Satoh R, Fujita Y, Nakashima K, Shinozaki K, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K** (2004) A novel subgroup of bZIP proteins functions as transcriptional activators in hypoosmolarity-responsive expression of the *ProDH* gene in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell Physiol* **45**: 309-317
- Seki M, Narusaka M, Abe H, Kasuga M, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Carninci P, Hayashizaki Y, Shinozaki K** (2001) Monitoring the expression pattern of 1300  
30 *Arabidopsis* genes under drought and cold stresses by using a full-length cDNA microarray. *Plant Cell* **13**: 61-72
- Sessions A, Burke E, Presting G, Aux G, McElver J, Patton D, Dietrich B, Ho P, Bacwaden J, Ko C, Clarke JD, Cotton D, Bullis D, Snell J, Miguel T, Hutchison D,**

- Kimmerly B, Mitzel T, Katagiri F, Glazebrook J, Law M, Goff SA** (2002) A high-throughput *Arabidopsis* reverse genetics system. *Plant Cell* **14**: 2985-2994
- Shin J, Park E, Choi G** (2007) PIF3 regulates anthocyanin biosynthesis in an HY5-dependent manner with both factors directly binding anthocyanin biosynthetic gene promoters in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J* **49**: 981-994
- Shinwari ZK, Nakashima K, Miura S, Kasuga M, Seki M, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K** (1998) An *Arabidopsis* gene family encoding DRE/CRT binding proteins involved in low-temperature-responsive gene expression. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* **250**: 161-170
- Stephenson PG, Fankhauser C, Terry MJ** (2009) PIF3 is a repressor of chloroplast development. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **106**: 7654-7659
- Stockinger EJ, Gilmour SJ, Thomashow MF** (1997) *Arabidopsis thaliana* *CBF1* encodes an AP2 domain-containing transcriptional activator that binds to the C-repeat/DRE, a cis-acting DNA regulatory element that stimulates transcription in response to low temperature and water deficit. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **94**: 1035-1040
- Thomashow MF** (1999) Plant cold acclimation: freezing tolerance genes and regulatory mechanisms. *Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol* **50**: 571-599
- Urao T, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Urao S, Shinozaki K** (1993) An *Arabidopsis myb* homolog is induced by dehydration stress and its gene product binds to the conserved MYB recognition sequence. *Plant Cell* **5**: 1529-1539
- Walter M, Chaban C, Schütze K, Batistic O, Weckermann K, Näke C, Blazevic D, Grefen C, Schumacher K, Oecking C, Harter K, Kudla J** (2004) Visualization of protein interactions in living plant cells using bimolecular fluorescence complementation. *Plant J* **40**: 428-438
- Vogel JT, Zarka DG, Van Buskirk HA, Fowler SG, Thomashow MF** (2005) Roles of the CBF2 and ZAT12 transcription factors in configuring the low temperature transcriptome of *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J* **41**: 195-211
- Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K** (1994) A novel *cis*-acting element in an *Arabidopsis* gene is involved in responsiveness to drought, low-temperature, or high-salt stress. *Plant Cell* **6**: 251-264
- Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Shinozaki K** (2006) Transcriptional regulatory networks in cellular responses and tolerance to dehydration and cold stresses. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* **57**: 781-803
- Yamashino T, Matsushika A, Fujimori T, Sato S, Kato T, Tabata S, Mizuno T** (2003) A

Link between circadian-controlled bHLH factors and the APRR1/TOC1 quintet in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell Physiol* **44**: 619-629

- 5 **Yamashino T, Ito S, Niwa Y, Kunihiro A, Nakamichi N, Mizuno T** (2008) Involvement of *Arabidopsis* clock-associated pseudo-response regulators in diurnal oscillations of gene expression in the presence of environmental time cues. *Plant Cell Physiol* **49**: 1839-1850
- Yanovsky MJ, Kay SA** (2001) Signaling networks in the plant circadian system. *Curr Opin Plant Biol* **4**: 429-435
- Yoo SD, Cho YH, Sheen J** (2007) *Arabidopsis* mesophyll protoplasts: a versatile cell system for transient gene expression analysis. *Nat Protoc* **2**: 1565-1572
- 10 **Zarka DG, Vogel JT, Cook D, Thomashow MF** (2003) Cold induction of *Arabidopsis CBF* genes involves multiple ICE (inducer of *CBF* expression) promoter elements and a cold-regulatory circuit that is desensitized by low temperature. *Plant Physiol* **133**: 910-918

15

## FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure 1.** Mutation analysis of the 65-bp fragment in the *DREB1C* promoter. A. Schematic diagram of the reporter constructs and the sequence of the 65-bp fragment of the *DREB1C* promoter (WT) and its mutated fragments (M1 to M4). The *GUS* reporter construct contains five copies of the 65-bp fragment and the minimal TATA box of the *DREB1C* promoter fused to the *GUS* reporter gene. Nos-T indicates the nopaline synthase terminator. The WT G-box sequence is surrounded by a square. B. Expression of the *GUS* reporter gene in response to low temperature. Two representative lines are shown. Each lane was loaded with 7.5 µg of total RNA from 2-week-old seedlings. rRNAs are shown as equal loading controls. N and C indicate the treatments for 3 h at 22 °C and 4 °C, respectively. C. Expression of the *GUS* reporter gene under circadian regulation. Two-week-old seedlings grown in light/dark conditions (LD cycle) were then transferred to continuous light conditions (LL cycle). Zero marks the start of the LL cycles. The lines are the same as those shown in B, and each lane was loaded with 15 µg of total RNA.

**Figure 2.** Binding assay of PIF7 to the *DREB1* promoters. A. The electrophoresis mobility shift assay (EMSA) of sequence-specific binding of the recombinant PIF7 protein. The radioactive probes (WT, M1, and M4) were incubated with or without 1000 times competitors in the presence of the recombinant PIF7 protein. B. Alignment of the fragments containing Boxes V and VI among the *DREB1* promoters. The G-box sequence is labeled by a square. C. EMSA of binding to each *DREB1* promoter by the recombinant PIF7 protein. The radioactive 65-bp probe of *DREB1C* was incubated with or without each competitor of *DREB1A* (-114 to -48), *1B* (-111 to -47), and *1C* (-113 to -47) in the presence of the recombinant PIF7 protein.

**Figure 3.** Tissue distribution and localization of PIF7. A. Patterns of *GUS* expression driven by the *PIF7* promoter in 2-week-old seedlings: whole plant (left), rosette leaves (middle), and roots (left) are shown. Bars = 1 mm. B. The RT-PCR analysis of *PIF7* expression in Col-0, *pif7-2*, vector control (VC), and two representative lines of complementation plants of *pif7-2* (R6 and R7). *Act2* was detected as a control in each experiment. C. Fluorescence of PIF7-GFP driven by the *PIF7* promoter in the upper (top row) and lower sides (bottom row) of leaves. Images of DIC, YFP fluorescence by BiFC, CFP fluorescence as the control of transfection and nuclear localization and the merged image are shown. Bars = 20 µm. D. Response of PIF7-GFP to light in 2-week-old seedlings. A seedling in the dark (left) and exposed to white

light for 1 h (middle) and 24 h (right). Bars = 5  $\mu$ m.

**Figure 4.** Interactions of PIF7 in *Arabidopsis* protoplasts revealed by the BiFC system. Each combination of constructs fused to YFP<sup>N</sup> and YFP<sup>C</sup> was transfected into *Arabidopsis* mesophyll protoplasts and transiently expressed. The hyphen indicates YFP<sup>C</sup> expression only. CFP fused to H2B was cotransfected as the positive control of transfection and nuclear localization. Images of DIC, YFP fluorescence by BiFC, CFP fluorescence as the control of transfection and nuclear localization and the merged image are shown.

**Figure 5.** Transactivation activity of PIF7 in mesophyll protoplasts. A. Schematic diagram of the effector and reporter constructs used in the transactivation analysis with the GAL4 DNA-binding domain. The effector constructs contain the *CaMV 35S* promoter fused to the GAL4 DNA-binding domain (DBD) and PIF7 fragments with or without deletion. The *GUS* reporter construct contains nine copies of the GAL4 binding site fused to the *CaMV 35S* minimal TATA box. To normalize for transfection efficiency, the *LUC* reporter gene driven by the *CaMV 35S* promoter was cotransfected as a control in each experiment. B. Transactivation or repression domain analysis of PIF7 using deletion constructs. Relative activity indicates the multiples of expression compared with the value obtained with the vector control. Error bars indicate the standard deviation of three technical replicates. C. Schematic diagram of the effector and reporter constructs used in the transactivation analysis using the *DREB1C* promoter. The effector construct contains the *CaMV 35S* promoter fused to the PIF7, PhyB, and TOC1 fragments, respectively. The reporter construct contains the 1-kb region upstream of the translation initiation site of *DREB1C* fused to the *GUS* reporter gene. D. Transactivation analysis of PIF7 with interacting factors of the *DREB1C* promoter. Relative activity indicates the multiples of expression as compared to the value obtained with the vector control. Error bars indicate the standard deviation of three technical replicates.

**Figure 6.** The expression of *DREB1* and circadian-controlled genes (CCGs) in the *pif7* mutant under circadian control. We analyzed the expression profile in *DREB1* and some CCGs with semi-quantitative RT-PCR under circadian control. Ten-day-old seedlings of WT (Col-0) and the *pif7* mutant grown in light/dark conditions (LD cycle) and then transferred to continuous light conditions (LL cycle). Zero marks the start of the LL cycles. Black circles and white squares indicate Col-0 and the *pif7* mutant, respectively. The relative amount indicates the multiples of expression as compared to the value obtained with the expression peaks.

**Figure 7.** A model of the regulation of *DREB1* expression under circadian control. PIF7 binds to the G-box sequence in the promoter regions of *DREB1B* and *DREB1C* and represses their expression under circadian control. PIF7 forms both homo- and hetero-dimers with other PIFs, such as PIF4, in *Arabidopsis* cells. These other PIFs may function redundantly to repress the expression of these *DREB1* genes. PIF7 activity is regulated by one of its binding partners, TOC1, downstream of PRR9, PRR7, and PRR5 (Nakamichi et al. 2009). PIF7 also interacts with and is regulated by PhyB. Another transcriptional regulatory pathway is expected to exist downstream of PRR9, PRR7, and PRR5 to repress the expression of *DREB1A*. Thus, the expression of *DREB1s* is repressed by the central oscillator under the unstressed conditions.

## Supplemental Data

The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

**Supplemental Figure S1.** Deletion analysis of the *DREB1C* promoter fragment.

5

**Supplemental Figure S2.** Isolation of PIF7, the protein bound to the 65-bp fragment of the *DREB1C* promoter.

**Supplemental Figure S3.** Gene expression patterns of *PIF7* and *DREB1s*.

10


**Supplemental Figure S4.** Examination of possible interactions with PIF7.

**Supplemental Figure S5.** Expression of *DREB1s* under circadian control and low-temperature conditions in the *pif7* mutant.

15


**Supplemental Table S1.** Oligonucleotides used for experiments.





Kidokoro et al. Figure 2


**Binding assay of PIF7 to the *DREB1* promoters.** A. The electrophoresis mobility shift assay (EMSA) of sequence-specific binding of the recombinant PIF7 protein. The radioactive probes (WT, M1, and M4) were incubated with or without 1000 times competitors in the presence of the recombinant PIF7 protein. B. Alignment of the fragments containing Boxes V and VI among the *DREB1* promoters. The G-box sequence is labeled by a square. C. EMSA of binding to each *DREB1* promoter by the recombinant PIF7 protein. The radioactive 65-bp probe of *DREB1C* was incubated with or without each competitor of *DREB1A* (-114 to -48), *1B* (-111 to -47), and *1C* (-113 to -47) in the presence of the recombinant PIF7 protein.



Kidokoro et al. Figure 3


Tissue distribution and localization of PIF7. A. Patterns of GUS expression driven by the *PIF7* promoter in 2-week-old seedlings: whole plant (left), rosette leaves (middle), and roots (left) are shown. Bars = 1 mm. B. The RT-PCR analysis of *PIF7* expression in Col-0, *pif7-2*, vector control (VC), and two representative lines of complementation plants of *pif7-2* (R6 and R7). *Act2* was detected as a control in each experiment. C. Fluorescence of PIF7-GFP driven by the *PIF7* promoter in the upper (top row) and lower sides (bottom row) of leaves. Images of DIC, YFP fluorescence by BiFC, CFP fluorescence as the control of transfection and nuclear localization and the merged image are shown. Bars = 20  $\mu$ m. D. Response of PIF7-GFP to light in 2-week-old seedlings. A seedling in the dark (left), 1 h (middle) and 24 h (right). Bars = 5  $\mu$ m.

Downloaded from www.nrj.oxfordjournals.org/ by guest on November 18, 2013. Published by www.nrj.oxfordjournals.org/ on November 18, 2013. Copyright © 2009 American Society of Plant Biologists. All rights reserved.




Kidokoro et al. Figure 4

Interactions of PIF7 in Arabidopsis protoplasts revealed by the BiFC system. Each combination of constructs fused to YFP<sup>N</sup> and YFP<sup>C</sup> was transfected into Arabidopsis mesophyll protoplasts and transiently expressed. The hyphen indicates YFP<sup>C</sup> expression only. CFP fused to H2B was cotransfected as the positive control of transfection and nuclear localization. Images of DIC, YFP fluorescence by BiFC, CFP fluorescence as the control of transfection and nuclear localization and the merged image are shown.



Kidokoro et al. Figure 5


**Transactivation activity of PIF7 in mesophyll protoplasts.** A. Schematic diagram of the effector and reporter constructs used in the transactivation analysis with the GAL4 DNA-binding domain. The effector constructs contain the *CaMV* 35S promoter fused to the GAL4 DNA-binding domain (DBD) and PIF7 fragments with or without deletion. The *GUS* reporter construct contains nine copies of the GAL4 binding site fused to the *CaMV* 35S minimal TATA box. To normalize for transfection efficiency, the *LUC* reporter gene driven by the *CaMV* 35S promoter was cotransfected as a control in each experiment. B. Transactivation or repression domain analysis of PIF7 using deletion constructs. Relative activity indicates the multiples of expression compared with the value obtained with the vector control. Error bars indicate the standard deviation of three technical replicates. C. Schematic diagram of the effector and reporter constructs used in the transactivation analysis using the *DREB1C* promoter. The effector construct contains the *CaMV* 35S promoter fused to the PIF7, PhyB, and TOC1 fragments, respectively. The reporter construct contains the 1-kb region upstream of the translation initiation site of *DREB1C* fused to the *GUS* reporter gene. D. Transactivation analysis of PIF7 with interacting factors of the *DREB1C* promoter. Relative activity indicates the multiples of expression compared with the value obtained with the vector control. Error bars indicate the standard deviation of three technical replicates.



Kidokoro et al. Figure 6

The expression of *DREB1* and circadian-controlled genes (CCGs) in the *pif7* mutant under circadian control. We analyzed the expression profile in *DREB1* and some CCGs with semi-quantitative RT-PCR under circadian control. Ten-day-old seedlings of WT (Col-0) and the *pif7* mutant grown in light/dark conditions (LD cycle) and then transferred to continuous light conditions (LL cycle). Zero marks the start of the LL cycles. Black circles and white squares indicate Col-0 and the *pif7* mutant, respectively. The relative amount indicates the multiple of expression as compared to the value obtained with the expression peaks.

Downloaded from www.nrjournals.com on 08/20/15. Published by copyright owner. All rights reserved.



Kidokoro et al. Figure 7

**A model of the regulation of *DREB1* expression under circadian control.** PIF7 binds to the G-box sequence in the promoter regions of *DREB1B* and *DREB1C* and represses their expression under circadian control. PIF7 forms both homo- and hetero-dimers with other PIFs, such as PIF4, in *Arabidopsis* cells. These other PIFs may function redundantly to repress the expression of these *DREB1* genes. PIF7 activity is regulated by one of its binding partners, TOC1, downstream of PRR9, PRR7, and PRR5 (Nakamichi et al. 2009). PIF7 also interacts with and is regulated by PhyB. Another transcriptional regulatory pathway is expected to exist downstream of PRR9, PRR7, and PRR5 to repress the expression of *DREB1A*. Thus, the expression of *DREB1s* is repressed by the central oscillator under the unstressed conditions.