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Peroxiredoxins (Prxs), thioredoxins (Trxs), and NADPH-thioredoxin reductases (NTRs) constitute central elements of the thiol-disulfide redox regulatory network of plant cells. This study provides a comprehensive survey of this network in the model legume *Lotus japonicus*. The aims were to identify and characterize these gene families and to assess whether the NTR-Trx systems are operative in nodules. Quantitative RT-PCR and immunological and proteomic approaches were used for expression profiling. We identified seven *Prx*, fourteen *Trx*, and three *NTR* functional genes. The *PrxQ1* gene was found to be transcribed in two alternative spliced variants and to be expressed at high levels in leaves, stems, petals, pods and seeds, and at low levels in roots and nodules. The *1CPrx* gene showed very high expression in the seed embryos and low expression in vegetative tissues, and was induced by nitric oxide and cytokinins. In sharp contrast, cytokinins down-regulated all other *Prx* genes, except *PrxQ1*, in roots and nodules, but only *2CPrxA* and *PrxQ1* in leaves. Gene-specific changes in *Prx* expression were also observed in response to ethylene, abscisic acid, and auxins. Nodules contain significant mRNA and protein amounts of cytosolic PrxIIIB, Trxh1 and NTRA and of plastidic NTRC. Likewise, they express cytosolic Trxh3, Trxh4, Trxh8 and Trxh9, mitochondrial PrxIIIF and Trxo, and plastidic Trxm2, Trxm4 and ferredoxin-Trx reductase. These findings reveal a complex regulation of Prxs which is dependent on the isoform, tissue, and signaling molecule, and support that redox NTR-Trx systems are functional in the cytosol, mitochondria, and plastids of nodules.
In plants, reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as the superoxide radical and hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$), are mainly formed in the chloroplasts, mitochondria, peroxisomes, and apoplast during photosynthesis, respiration, and other processes involving electron transfer (del Río et al., 2002; Mittler, 2002; Foyer and Noctor, 2005). Plant cells also produce reactive nitrogen species, such as nitric oxide (NO), S-nitrosoglutathione (GSNO), and peroxynitrite, under physiological conditions (Lamattina et al., 2003; Valderrama et al., 2007; Neill et al., 2008). Overproduction of both types of reactive species is potentially deleterious but, at tightly controlled concentrations, they fulfill essential functions in plant development, defense response, and redox signaling (Foyer and Noctor, 2005; Besson-Bard et al., 2008). Thus, antioxidant defenses are linked to cellular regulation through a complex network involving redox input elements, transmitters, targets, and sensory proteins such as peroxiredoxins (Prxs), thioredoxins (Trxs), and glutaredoxins (Grxs) (Meyer et al., 2009; Dietz and Pfannschmidt, 2011).

Prxs constitute an ubiquitous family of nonheme thiol peroxidases that catalyze the reduction of H$_2$O$_2$, alkylhydroperoxides, and peroxynitrite to water, alcohols, or nitrite, respectively (Rouhier and Jacquot, 2005; Tripathi et al., 2009). These enzymes contain one or two Cys residues at the active site and usually function as monomers or dimers. Their common catalytic mechanism involves the catalytic Cys ('peroxidatic') thiol which is oxidized by peroxides to sulfenic acid. In most Prxs the sulfenic acid is then reduced by a second Cys ('resolving') thiol forming an intra or intermolecular disulfide bond. A new catalytic cycle is allowed after the reduction of the disulfide bond using electron donors such as Trxs, Grxs, or cyclophilins (Dietz et al., 2006). There are four types of Prxs in plants (1CPrx, 2CPrx, PrxII, and PrxQ) which play specific roles according to their spatio-temporal expression patterns and subcellular localizations. Plant Prxs protect the nuclei (1CPrx), plastids (2CPrxA, 2CPrxB, PrxQ, and PrxIIIE), cytosol (PrxIIB, PrxIIC, and PrxIID), and mitochondria (PrxIIF) against excess ROS in stressful conditions but are also implicated in redox signaling (Romero-Puertas et al., 2007; Tripathi et al., 2009).
Unlike most other organisms, plants have a large number of Trx genes, at least 20 in the fully sequenced genomes of Arabidopsis (Arabidopsis thaliana) and rice (Oryza sativa), which are classified into seven types (see reviews by Vieira Dos Santos and Rey, 2006; Meyer et al., 2009). The Trxf, Trxm, Trxx, Trxy, and Trxz are localized in the chloroplasts, the Trxh isoforms in the cytosol, and the Trxo in the mitochondria. However, some Trxh isoforms have been found also in the mitochondria, nuclei, phloem, and apoplast (Gelhaye et al., 2004). Oxidized Trxs produced as a result of reactions with Prxs and other substrates are reduced back to the functional reduced state by NADPH-thioredoxin reductases (NTRA and NTRB) in the cytosol and mitochondria (Schürmann and Jacquot, 2000; Reichheld et al., 2005) or by ferredoxin-thioredoxin reductase (FTR) in the chloroplasts (Dai et al., 2004). Another NADPH-thioredoxin reductase (NTRC) has been recently found in green tissues (Serrato et al., 2004). This peculiar enzyme contains both NTR and Trx domains in the same polypeptide and may act as a complete NTR-Trx system, reactivating plastidic 2CPrx without the assistance of classical Trxs (Moon et al., 2006; Pérez-Ruiz et al., 2006; Alkhalfioui et al., 2007).

Legume root nodules are formed as a result of the molecular interaction between the roots and soil rhizobia. The bacteroids inside the nodules fix atmospheric N₂ into ammonia and in return host cells supply the bacteroids with carbon metabolites. Two model legumes, Medicago truncatula and Lotus japonicus, have been proposed for genetic analyses of indeterminate and determinate nodulation, respectively. The two types of nodules differ in some structural and biochemical features (Hirsch, 1992). The antioxidants of nodules, in particular the superoxide dismutase, catalase, and ascorbate-glutathione pathway enzymes, have been studied in some detail (see reviews by Puppo et al., 2005; Becana et al., 2010), whereas there is a dearth of information concerning other antioxidant and redox sensor enzymes such as Prxs, Trxs, and NTRs. The study of these enzymes in legumes, and particularly in nodules, is important because N₂ fixation requires a strict regulation of the redox state in the host cells and bacteroids. Thus, nodules contain abundant metalloproteins that are prone to oxidation, such as nitrogenase, ferredoxin, hydrogenase, and leghemoglobin, with a high potential for ROS generation (Dalton et al., 1998; Becana et al., 2010). Knowledge on the redox regulatory
network of nodules is only slowly emerging and still in a fragmentary state. In pea (*Pisum sativum*), the content of PrxIIF in nodules is similar to that in roots and remains constant during nodule development (Groten et al., 2006), whereas in soybean (*Glycine max*) a Trxh isoform is essential for nodulation (Lee et al., 2005) and in *M. truncatula* two isoforms of a new type of Trx, designated Trxs (s for symbiosis), are highly expressed in nodules (Alkhalfioui et al., 2008).

This study, designed to gain insights into the Prx, Trx, and NTR gene families of *L. japonicus*, is organized in two parts. First, we have identified the Prx genes and determined their expression profiles in nodulated plants and in response to signaling compounds to better understand their functional diversity and regulation. Second, we have focused on the expression of the Trx and NTR isoforms in nodules to identify possible Prx regenerating systems in these symbiotic organs.

**RESULTS**

**Identification and Characterization of LjPrx Genes**

The *L. japonicus* Prx (*LjPrx*) genes were identified by searching genomic and expressed sequence tag (EST) databases using the Arabidopsis Prx protein sequences as BLAST queries. The open reading frames (ORFs) of seven *LjPrx* genes were found to be complete based on their tentative consensus (TC) sequences (Table I), and the exon-intron structures were elucidated by comparison between the gene and TC sequences (Fig. 1). An additional gene, here termed *LjPrxQ2*, was detected in the selected genome assembly contig (Sato et al., 2008), but it is not transcribed or its expression is below detection limits, in agreement with the absence of ESTs for this gene. All the *LjPrx* genes, except *LjPrxQ2*, could be mapped (Table I). The two *Lj2CPrx* genes are highly homologous, with 93% (nucleotide) and 84% (amino acid) identities in their sequences and with 81-82% (nucleotide) and 90-93% (amino acid) identities with respect to the 2CPrxA (*At3g11630*) and 2CPrxB (*At5g06290*) genes of
Arabidopsis. The *Lj2CPrix* genes were designated A and B based on the higher expression of the Lj2CPrixA isoform in the leaves, as occurs for Arabidopsis 2CPrixA.

The number of exons and introns of the *LjPrx* genes (Fig. 1) is identical to that of the Arabidopsis *Prx* genes (Rouhier and Jacquot, 2005), with the exception of the *LjPrxQ1* gene. This single gene locus is transcribed in two mRNAs, *LjPrxQ1a* and *LjPrxQ1b*, by alternative splicing, using a different first exon but the same second and third exons (Fig. 1). The first exons display high homology, with identities of 92% (nucleotide) and 83% (amino acid). Although this high overall sequence identity precluded a separate analysis of each alternative spliced form, two sets of primers were designed which allowed us to quantify, respectively, the *LjPrxQ1b* mRNA and the sum of the *LjPrxQ1a* and *LjPrxQ1b* mRNAs. This quantitative RT-PCR experiment revealed that the *LjPrxQ1b* mRNA accounted for only <10% of the total *LjPrxQ1* mRNAs in leaves, stems, petals, and pods, and that it was undetectable in the other tissues examined (data not shown).

The LjPrx family includes at least one member of each Prx type, as confirmed by phylogenetic analysis (Supplemental Fig. S1). Furthermore, in silico analyses predicted that Lj2CPrxs, LjPrxQs, and LjPrxIIE are targeted to plastids, LjPrxIIB to the cytosol, and LjPrxIIF to the mitochondria (Table I). The Lj1CPrx sequence contains two highly conserved motifs: PVCTTE, which is thought to be the catalytic site of the enzyme, and KE(X13)KK(X2)LRFT, which is a putative nuclear localization signal (Mowla et al., 2002, and references therein). In addition, sequence analysis of Lj1CPrx with TargetP predicted that it is a cytosolic enzyme, consistent with the dual localization in the nucleus and cytosol of the 1CPrx proteins of barley (*Hordeum vulgare*), wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), and Arabidopsis (Stacy et al., 1999; Haslekås et al., 2003; Pulido et al., 2009).

To gain insights into the functional diversification of LjPrxs, their expression profiles were determined in plant tissues. Two genes, *Lj1CPrx* and *LjPrxIIB*, are highly expressed in specific tissues, as can be noted by the different scales used to represent their mRNA levels compared to those of the other genes (Fig. 2A). Thus, expression of *Lj1CPrx* is almost confined to the embryo and hence is also relatively high in whole seeds. Only low levels of *Lj1CPrx* mRNA
could be detected in vegetative organs such as roots, nodules, and leaves. Likewise, \textit{LjPrxIIB} shows very high expression in pollen, moderate expression in embryos and seeds, and low expression in other organs. In contrast, \textit{LjPrxIIF} is expressed in all organs but at maximal levels in the embryo. The genes encoding the plastidic Prx isoforms, namely, \textit{Lj2CPrxA}, \textit{Lj2CPrxB}, \textit{LjPrxIIE} and \textit{LjPrxQ1}, are also expressed throughout the plant, albeit for some of these genes the mRNA levels were close to detection limits in pollen and roots (Fig. 2A). The relative abundance of all \textit{LjPrx} mRNAs within each plant tissue was determined (Fig. 2B). The leaves, stems, flowers, petals, and pods exhibit similar expression profiles, with 68-82\% of the transcripts encoding plastidic \textit{LjPrx}s. The pollen and embryos show unique expression profiles. Thus, in pollen >99\% of the transcripts correspond to \textit{LjPrxIIB} mRNA, and in the embryo the \textit{Lj1CPrx} and \textit{LjPrxIIB} mRNAs account for 75\% and 15\%, respectively, of the total transcripts (Fig. 2B).

The content of the \textit{LjPrx} proteins in plant tissues was examined using immunoblots (Fig. 3A). The \textit{Lj1CPrx} protein was found specifically in the embryo and was undetectable in any other tissues or even in seed extracts (Fig. 2A). Because seeds contain significant \textit{Lj1CPrx} mRNA levels and the protein is present in the embryos, it may be below detection limits in the whole seed extracts due to a dilution effect. Similarly, the \textit{LjPrxQ1} protein was found exclusively in leaves. The \textit{Lj2CPrx} proteins accumulated in leaves and to a lower extent in flowers, pods, seeds, and embryos, whereas they were undetectable in roots, nodules, and pollen. In contrast, \textit{LjPrxIIB} and \textit{LjPrxIIF} were found in all organs, although the amount of \textit{LjPrxIIB} was very low in roots. Also, it was necessary to load fivefold more protein on immunoblots to unambiguously detect \textit{LjPrxIIB} in nodules of \textit{L. japonicus} and other legumes such as pea, bean (\textit{Phaseolus vulgaris}), and cowpea (\textit{Vigna unguiculata}) (Fig. 3B).

\textbf{Regulation of \textit{LjPrx} Expression by Hormones and Nitric Oxide}

The effect of several hormones and stress signaling molecules was also studied to gain information about their role in developmental and acclimatory regulation of \textit{LjPrx} gene expression. To this purpose, nodulated plants were grown in hydroponic medium supplemented
with hormones for 48 h, and the expression levels of LjPrx genes were determined in the roots (Fig. 4). Treatment of plants with gibberellic acid (GA), jasmonic acid (JA), or salicylic acid (SA) did not cause substantial changes in the LjPrx mRNA levels, whereas 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC; the immediate ethylene precursor) down-regulated LjPrxQ1 and LjPrxIIB, abscisic acid (ABA) up-regulated Lj2CPrxA and LjPrxIIB, and indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) up-regulated Lj1CPx. However, the most marked effects were observed with cytokinins (CK), which decreased the expression of all LjPrx genes, except Lj1CPx and LjPrxQ1, in roots (Fig. 4). This finding led us to investigate the effects of CK on the expression of LjPrx genes also in nodules and leaves. The response of most LjPrx genes to CK in nodules was similar to that observed in roots, whereas in leaves CK caused down-regulation of LjPrxQ1 but had no effect on the LjPrxII genes. Although the Lj1CPx mRNA levels were very low in vegetative organs, a strong induction of this gene in roots and leaves and a much weaker induction in nodules were detected (Fig. 4). Additional experiments showed that the increase of Lj1CPx mRNA level in roots occurred after only 3 h and persisted for at least 48 h. This gene was induced with only 5 μM CK and had maximal expression with 100 μM CK.

The effects of CK on LjPrx expression suggest an important function of this hormone in the control of the cellular level of H$_2$O$_2$. To test this possibility, the mRNA levels of important genes implicated in H$_2$O$_2$ metabolism were quantified. In roots, CK did not cause any significant change in the contents of transcripts encoding mitochondrial Mn-superoxide dismutase, cytosolic and plastidic Fe- and CuZn-superoxide dismutases, or thylakoidal, stromal, and peroxisomal ascorbate peroxidases (data not shown). However, in response to CK, the expression of catalase (LjCAT) increased in roots and nodules but not in leaves, whereas the expression of cytosolic ascorbate peroxidase (LjAPXc) decreased in nodules and increased in leaves (Fig. 4). The effect of NO on Lj1CPx expression was also examined because this signal molecule has been implicated in the response of plant cells to CK (Tun et al., 2001; Carimi et al., 2005). Plants were supplied with two NO donors and determined Lj1CPx expression levels in the roots. Both S-nitroso-N-acetyl-DL-penicillamine (SNAP) and GSNO increased gene
expression, although maximal induction was achieved after 24 h with SNAP and after only 3 h with GSNO (Supplemental Fig. S2).

The stimulatory effect of CK on \(Lj1CPrx\) mRNA accumulation and the fact that this gene is almost exclusively expressed in the embryo (Fig. 2A) prompted us to investigate the effects of this hormone on \(Lj1CPrx\) expression in germinating seeds. In the absence of CK, the content of \(Lj1CPrx\) mRNA progressively decreased following germination and was hardly detectable after 48 h of imbibition (Fig. 5). In the presence of CK, the mRNA level was also reduced but, after 24 h, it was 65% of the initial value compared to 14% for the control seeds. These results suggest that the CK treatment induced \(Lj1CPrx\) expression in seeds, and that CK was unable to completely overcome the down-regulation of the gene which took place during germination, as can be seen at 48 h (Fig. 5).

**Identification and Characterization of \(LjTrx\) and \(LjNTR\) Genes**

Most Prx isoforms are reduced efficiently by Trxs and, in turn, the nonplastidic Trxs are regenerated by NTRA and NTRB. To complete our study, we pursued the identification of the Trx and NTR proteins of \(L. japonicus\). The search focused on the isoforms expressed in nodules to determine if the NTR-Trx system might be operative in these unique plant organs. Because of the complexity of the Trx gene family, we combined mRNA expression with immunoblot and proteomic analyses of nodules.

The \(L. japonicus\) EST and genomic databases were screened to identify Trxs using the Arabidopsis and \(M. truncatula\) protein sequences as BLAST queries. This analysis identified fourteen \(LjTrx\) genes coding for six isoforms of Trx\(h\), three isoforms of Trx\(m\), and one isoform each of Trx\(f\), Trx\(x\), Trx\(y\), Trx\(z\), and Trx\(o\), but failed to detect any homologs of Trx\(s\) (Table II). All these gene sequences were already deposited in the data banks except the \(LjTrxh1\) clone (LjT45J20), which was isolated using TC65928 sequence information. This clone was completely sequenced for this study (accession no. AP012058). The \(LjTrx\) genes were designated according to the homologies of their derived proteins with respect to those of \(M. truncatula\) (Alkhalfiou et al., 2008; Renard et al., 2011). An alignment of the Trx\(h\) sequences
(Supplemental Fig. S3) and a phylogenetic analysis of the Trxs (Supplemental Fig. S4) of *L. japonicus* and other model plants were performed to verify protein assignments to the Trx types and the three Trxh subgroups. Thus, LjTrxh1 and LjTrxh3 belong to subgroup I, LjTrxh4 and LjTrxh6 to subgroup II, and LjTrxh8 and LjTrxh9 to subgroup III. LjTrxh9 exhibits a very peculiar active site and may rather possess a protein disulfide isomerase activity which depends on glutathione instead of NTR (Gelhaye et al., 2004, Serrato et al., 2008). Only one isoform of subgroup I, LjTrxh1, contains the N-terminal motif MAAEE (Supplemental Fig. S3) found in the Trxh1 and Trxh2 of *M. truncatula*, and which might allow these proteins to be secreted to the phloem or apoplast in addition to being localized to the cytosol (Supplemental Fig. S3; Renard et al., 2011). The *LjTrxh3* gene was found to be transcribed (Table II), whereas no ESTs are available to date for the orthologous Trxh3 gene of *M. truncatula* (Renard et al., 2011). The expression profiles of the *LjTrx* genes were determined in roots, nodules, and leaves (Fig. 6). Notably, *LjTrxh1* showed by far the greatest expression levels, whereas the *LjTrxh6* mRNA was virtually undetectable in the three plant organs. As expected, the *LjTrxf*, *LjTrxm1*, *LjTrxm4*, and *LjTrxx* genes, which encode plastidic isoforms, were highly expressed in leaves compared to roots or nodules. In fact, the amounts of *LjTrxf* mRNA in roots and nodules, or of *LjTrxm1* and *LjTrxx* mRNAs in roots, were near detection limit. Almost no expression of *LjTrxz* was observed in roots, nodules, and leaves. By contrast, in these three plant organs, the *LjTrxh3*, *LjTrxh8*, *LjTrxy*, and *LjTrxo* genes had low but significant expression, whereas *LjTrxh4* and *LjTrxh9* showed moderate expression (Fig. 6). Proteomic analyses allowed the unambiguous identification of the cytosolic Trxh1 isoform in nodules of *L. japonicus*, *M. truncatula*, and common bean (Table III). These analyses also identified in nodules several Prxs (PrxIIB, PrxIIE, and PrxIIF), as well as two Grxs (GrxC2 and GrxC4) that may act as putative electron donors of Prxs (Table III).

Similarly, a search of *L. japonicus* databases and genomic libraries allowed the identification of three *LjNTR* genes with their complete ORFs (Table II). The sequence of one genomic clone (LjT16K13) was available from public databases, whereas two other clones (LjB04N17 and LjB24C14) were isolated from bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) libraries.
using sequence information on TC63269 (accession no. AP012059) and TC57567 (accession no. AP012060), respectively. Phylogenetic analysis confirmed protein assignments to the NTRA/B and NTRC types (Supplemental Figure S5). Designation of LjNTRA and LjNTRB was based on sequence identity (86% and 80%, respectively) to the single NTR isoform (NTRA) of *M. truncatula* (Alkhalfioui et al., 2007) rather than to the Arabidopsis NTRA and NTRB genes (approximately 80%). The motifs characteristic of NTRs, namely, catalytic Cys residues and FAD- and NADPH-binding sites, were fully conserved in the *L. japonicus* NTRA/B enzymes (Supplemental Fig. S6).

Because each of the Arabidopsis NTRA and NTRB genes can generate two types of transcripts, encoding cytosolic and mitochondrial NTR isoforms (Laloi et al., 2001; Reichheld et al., 2005), we investigated if this also occurred for the *LjNTR* genes by performing a semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis (Fig. 7). Specific primers were designed so that one pair of primers amplified solely the long cDNA, whereas the second pair amplified both long and short cDNAs. The long *LjNTRA* mRNA was found in nodules and leaves but not in roots, whereas the long *LjNTRB* mRNA was only detected in nodules at very low levels (Fig. 7). Consistent with this, two ESTs are available for the long *LjNTRB* mRNA for nodules (accession no. CB829112) and nodulating roots (accession no. DC595411). Together, the data indicate that, under our plant growth conditions, the mitochondrial isoform of LjNTRA is produced only in nodules and leaves. On the other hand, LjNTRC is predicted to be localized in the chloroplasts, as occurs for rice NTRC (Serrato et al., 2004).

The expression of the *LjNTR* genes was investigated in roots, nodules, and leaves. Although the two alternative mRNAs for *LjNTRA* and *LjNTRB* were probably present, they could not be quantified separately. Instead, the total mRNA levels of *LjNTRA*, *LjNTRB*, and *LjNTRC* were determined (Fig. 8A). All these genes were expressed in the three plant organs, but the *LjNTRA* mRNA levels were considerably higher. As expected for a gene coding for a chloroplastic enzyme, the expression of *LjNTRC* was enhanced in leaves relative to roots or nodules. Additional experiments with other plant tissues indicated that expression levels of *LjNTRA* in pollen and *LjNTRB* in embryos were approximately 12-fold and 0.5-fold,
respectively, those found in roots. The abundance of the LjNTRA/B and LjNTRC proteins in roots, nodules, and leaves was compared using immunoblots (Fig. 8B). A single LjNTRA/B protein (35 kD) was observed in all three organs, whereas the LjNTRC protein (51.6 kD) was very abundant in leaves, detectable in nodules, and undetectable in roots. The NTRA/B protein was also present in other legume nodules (Fig. 8C) and its identity was confirmed by proteomic analyses of M. truncatula nodules (Table III).

It is also worth noting that we detected significant mRNA levels not only of some plastidic Trxs but also of the FTRB gene (TC64844) in nodules of L. japonicus. This gene encodes the catalytic subunit of FTR, an essential component of the redox FTR-Trx system in the chloroplasts, and hence the FTRB mRNA levels were expected to be high in the leaves. However, the roots and nodules also contained significant amounts of transcript, approximately 7-fold lower than in the leaves (Supplemental Fig. S7).

DISCUSSION

In this work we have identified the Prx, Trx, and NTR multigenic families of L. japonicus and determined their expression profiles in plant tissues and, for Prxs, also in response to signaling compounds. Prxs play major roles in preventing oxidative damage and in maintaining redox homeostasis. These essential functions are consistent with the presence of Prx isoforms in most, if not all, cellular compartments. Transcriptional regulation of the Prx genes depends on developmental and environmental factors (Dietz et al., 2006). In L. japonicus, the genes encoding plastidic Prx isoforms show high (Lj2CPrxA and LjPrxQ1) or moderate (Lj2CPrxB and LjPrxIIE) expression in the leaves. The Lj2CPrx proteins, indistinguishable in immunoblots, were found to accumulate in leaves and, less abundantly, in flowers, pods, seeds, and embryos, which suggests that this type of Prxs is also present in nonphotosynthetic plastids. This was confirmed by the finding of Lj2CPrxB in the proteome of common bean nodules. A recent study linked the redox state of 2CPrx in animal and plant cells to the circadian clock and described it as a mechanism that functions independently of
transcription (O’Neill et al., 2011). Such a function in timing metabolism could be also important in nonphotosynthetic plant cells.

Interestingly, two alternative spliced variants of the LjPrxQ1 gene and a putative LjPrxQ2 pseudogene could be identified. The high similarity of the deduced LjPrxQ1a and LjPrxQ1b proteins suggests that they do not differ, at least substantially, in their catalytic properties. Rather, the reason for the occurrence of two LjPrxQ1 spliced variants may reside on a different regulation because the LjPrxQ1b mRNA levels were 10-fold lower than those of LjPrxQ1a. The two PrxQ1 isoforms might be expressed in different types of leaf cells or under different environmental conditions. In Arabidopsis, the PrxQ protein is attached to thylakoids (Lamkemeyer et al., 2006) and its transcript is highly responsive to light, ascorbate, and compounds inducing oxidative stress (Horling et al., 2003). In poplar, the PrxQ mRNA level increases following pathogen infection (Rouhier et al., 2004). Although the LjPrxQ1 mRNA was present in leaves, flowers, and embryos, the protein was only detectable in the leaves, suggesting post-transcriptional regulation of the gene. This organ specificity was also observed for poplar PrxQ (Rouhier et al., 2004) and suggests that the protein may be exclusively implicated in chloroplast protection. Interestingly, the expression of the LjPrxQ1 gene was down-regulated by two hormones, ethylene and JA, that play a major role in stress signaling.

Unlike PrxQ, the chloroplastic PrxIIE of Arabidopsis is largely present as a soluble protein in the stroma (Dietz et al., 2006) and the gene is constitutively expressed (Bréhélin et al., 2003), which suggests that PrxIIE plays also a role in other types of plastids. Our finding of a high level of LjPrxIIE mRNA in seeds and particularly in the embryo is consistent with a function of its protein product in germination.

The Arabidopsis genome contains three genes encoding cytosolic PrxIIs: PrxIIB is ubiquitously expressed in plant tissues, whereas PrxIC and PrxIID are expressed at high levels in pollen (Bréhélin et al., 2003) and at low levels in other tissues (Pena-Ahumada et al., 2006). We could identify only one homolog of such genes, PrxIIB, in the L. japonicus databases. The LjPrxIIB mRNA and protein were found in all organs, although at much higher levels in pollen, seeds, and embryos than in roots, nodules, and leaves. These observations point to a role of
LjPrxIIB in the antioxidative protection of pollen grains in order to cope with oxidative stress during dessication (Bréhélin et al., 2003). They also suggest that PrxIIB is important in seeds and maturing fruits (Matamoros et al., 2010) and that this protein could fulfill in legumes the functions of the three cytosolic PrxIIs of Arabidopsis. Previous work failed to detect a typical cytosolic PrxII in pea nodules (Groten et al., 2006; Matamoros et al., 2010), although the presence of a putative PrxIIA homolog (68 kD) was reported (Groten et al., 2006). This was probably due to the low abundance of PrxIIB in nodules because a genuine cytosolic PrxII (17 kD) was found here using higher protein loadings and its identity was verified by proteomic analyses of various legume nodules.

In contrast, the LjPrxIIF mRNA and protein were readily detected in all tissues, consistent with the hypothesis that PrxIIF is important in redox homeostasis and antioxidant defense of mitochondria (Finkemeier et al., 2005). This enzyme is widely distributed in all plant tissues and probably has a housekeeping function in mitochondria (Gama et al., 2007). In pea leaves, PrxIIF is induced by salt, cadmium, and cold stress (Barranco-Medina et al., 2007), whereas the poplar enzyme is relatively unresponsive (Gama et al., 2007). The high levels of LjPrxIIF mRNA and protein in the embryo may reflect an increased need for protection against ROS generated when respiration is resumed during imbibition, as proposed for cereal seeds (Stacy et al., 1999; Pulido et al., 2009). In fact, the production of superoxide radicals and H₂O₂ is enhanced in mitochondria from soybean embryonic axes during imbibition (Puntarulo et al., 1988), and PrxIIF could thus play a role in protecting mitochondrial DNA in seed cells. This protein was also found in nodules using immunoblots and proteomics. In pea nodules, the PrxIIF content remained unaffected with aging or after exogenous supply of ascorbate (Groten et al., 2006). In contrast, exogenous CK caused down-regulation of LjPrxIIF in roots and nodules, although this effect was not specific because the hormone also decreased the expression of most other LjPrx genes in both plant organs.

The strong effect of CK on expression of Prx genes has not been described so far and could be of physiological relevance by either linking Prxs to CK-dependent signal transduction or by adjusting the cellular redox milieu in plants. The cell cycle is under control of CK and
redox state (den Boer and Murray, 2000) and glutathione is recruited to the nucleus in proliferating cells (Díaz Vivancos et al., 2010). Thus, the upregulation by CK of Lj1CPrx, which encodes a nuclear protein, supports the hypothesis that CK and Prx collaborate in tuning the proper redox state of the dividing cell. To understand whether the effects of CK are related to ROS metabolism, the expression of several other genes encoding H₂O₂-scavenging enzymes was examined. Plant treatment with CK resulted in up-regulation of LjCAT and down-regulation of LjAPXc in roots and nodules, and had the opposite effects in leaves. Also, external application of CK increases antioxidant enzyme activities and delays leaf senescence (Zavaleta-Mancera et al., 2007). Taken all these results together, we conclude that CK may affect H₂O₂ homeostasis in plant cells through changes in the regulation of critical antioxidant enzymes such as Prxs, catalase, and ascorbate peroxidase. In this regard, a novel finding in this study is that Lj1CPrx is induced by CK in roots and leaves. This hormone promotes cell division (Romanov, 2009), which requires enhanced protection of DNA against ROS, and therefore the induction of 1CPrx would favor such a role, as has been proposed to occur during the dessication and early imbibition of seeds (Aalen et al., 1994). The protective and regulatory functions proposed for 1CPrx (Pulido et al., 2009) would explain the presence of low levels of Lj1CPrx mRNA in vegetative tissues. The localization of 1CPrx is considered to be highly restricted to the nuclei and cytosol of the developing embryo and aleurone cells of seeds (Stacy et al., 1999; Haslekås et al., 2003; Pulido et al., 2009). The protein has nevertheless been recently detected in vegetative tissues of the resurrection plant (Xerophyta viscosa) under abiotic stress or following ABA application (Mowla et al., 2002). These results suggest that 1CPrx is also expressed, although at low levels, in some plant tissues or species, where the protein may exert an antioxidant and/or signaling function in the nuclei. It is also worth mentioning that Lj1CPrx is induced by NO. This induction has not been reported to date for plant Prxs, but it was recently described for PrxI and PrxVI in murine macrophages and proposed to play a protective role against nitrosative stress and, indirectly, in H₂O₂ signaling (Diet et al., 2007). In any case, the NO-mediated induction of Lj1CPrx, should this occur also
in seeds, would be consistent with the stimulating effect of NO on germination (Lamattina et al., 2003).

The presence of Prxs in nodules of *L. japonicus* shown in this work raised the question of whether the system most commonly used for Prx regeneration, consisting of Trx and NTR, is operative in these specialized organs. Consequently, the expression of LjTrxs and LjNTRs, particularly in nodules, was investigated. The genes encoding all Trx types, except the s-type, were identified in the *L. japonicus* genome and found to be transcribed. The Trxs genes were reported to be functional in *M. truncatula* (Alkhalfioui et al., 2008) but could not be found in the genomes of *L. japonicus* or soybean, which suggests that they are restricted to specific tribes or genera of legumes. In contrast, we could clearly detect a Trxh1 isoform in nodules of *L. japonicus*, *M. truncatula*, and common bean. However, LjTrxh4 rather than LjTrxh1 is probably the ortholog of a soybean Trxh previously reported as being essential for ROS scavenging in nodules (Lee et al., 2005). This soybean Trxh isoform has higher amino acid identity with LjTrxh4 (73%) than with LjTrxh1 (53%), LjTrxh3 (55%), or LjTrxh8 (42%). Interestingly, LjTrxh4 shows greater expression in nodules than in roots or leaves. Also, we could identify three functional *LjNTR* genes that are expressed in nodules. Of these, *LjNTRA* and *LjNTRB* produce long and short mRNAs presumably encoding the mitochondrial and cytosolic isoforms, as described for their Arabidopsis counterparts (Laloi et al., 2001; Reichheld et al., 2005).

The presence of NTR enzymes in nodules had not been previously reported and provides strong support to the functioning of redox NTR-Trx systems, in conjunction with Prxs, in the symbiotic tissue (Fig. 9). This is most evident by the finding of the PrxIIIB, Trxh1, and NTRA proteins in the nodule cytosol. Such a NTR-Trx system requires a steady supply of NADPH, which is mainly produced by the enzymes glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase, 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase, and isocitrate dehydrogenase, all of them very active in nodules (Marino et al., 2007). These dehydrogenases may provide also the NADPH needed for glutathione reductase and the ascorbate-glutathione pathway (Dalton et al., 1998) or for Grxs, which were also identified in nodules and are efficient reductants of PrxII (Rouhier et al.,
In this regard, a recent study has shown that a NTRA-Trxh3 system can intervene as a functional backup for cytosolic glutathione reductase in Arabidopsis leaves (Marty et al., 2009).

Two other NTR-Trx pathways, localized in mitochondria and plastids, may be also functional in nodules (Fig. 9). First, the expression of mitochondrial NTRA/B and Trxo described here, in addition to PrxIIIF, point to the functioning of a NTR-Trx system in nodule mitochondria. This is supported by in vitro reconstitution systems with recombinant Trxo and PrxIIIF from pea leaves, which have shown that Trxo strongly interacts with, and can act as an electron donor to, PrxIIIF (Finkemeier et al., 2005; Barranco-Medina et al., 2008). Second, we could detect LjNTRC in nodules, albeit at the low levels expected for a nonphotosynthetic tissue. The identification of low levels of 2CPrxB and PrxIIE in nodules using proteomics would suggest that NTRC could act as an electron donor to those Prxs, lending support to the operation of such an antioxidant system in nodule proplastids or amyloplasts. Moreover, it is known that NTRC exerts functions that are independent of Prx reduction (Pulido et al., 2010), such as redox regulation of starch synthesis in photosynthetic and nonphotosynthetic tissues (Michalska et al., 2009). This might be also the case in nodules. It will be thus important to define the relative contribution of the NTR-Trx and ascorbate-glutathione pathways in peroxide removal and redox signaling, as both of them are likely to be operative in the cytosol, mitochondria, and plastids of legume nodules (Dalton et al., 1998; Iturbe-Ormaetxe et al., 2001). A comparison of the two pathways will need to consider the differences in abundance of ascorbate peroxidase (up to 0.9% of the total nodule soluble proteins; Dalton et al., 1998) and PrxIIB (low levels found here) in the nodule cytosol or in the responses of these enzymes to developmental or environmental cues. Besides the components of the NTR-Trx systems, we detected significant expression of the FTRB gene in nodules of L. japonicus. Considering that FTR is an electron donor of some Trxs in the chloroplasts, a FTR-Trx system, comprising ferredoxin, FTR, and plastidic Trxs, might be also functional in nodules (Fig. 9). Although this system is beyond the scope of this work, it can be anticipated that FTR activity provides a means by which plastidic Trxs are regenerated in nodules, because available information...
suggests that the NTRC enzyme is unable to reduce Trx in the chloroplasts and presumably in nonphotosynthetic plastids (Serrato et al., 2004; Traverso et al., 2008). The functionality of a FTR-Trx system in nodules is further supported by the presence of such a redox system in wheat endosperm amyloplasts (Balmer et al., 2006) and of ferredoxin-NADP reductase in *M. truncatula* nodules (Larrainzar et al., 2007).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Plant Growth and Treatments**

One-week-old seedlings of *Lotus japonicus* (Regel) Larsen cv. MG20 were inoculated with *Mesorhizobium loti* strain R7A, transferred to aerated hydroponic cultures lacking combined nitrogen (1:4 strength B&D nutrient solution), and grown under controlled environment conditions (Bustos-Sanmamed et al., 2011). Roots, leaves, nodules, and stems were harvested from 45-d-old plants (late vegetative stage), and flowers, pollen, pods, seeds and embryos were collected from 60-d-old plants (pods of approximately 3.5 cm; late flowering-fruiting stage). Plant material was immediately flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -85°C until use.

Plants grown in hydroponics were treated for 48 h with 50 μM of ABA, GA, JA, IAA, ACC, or CK (an equimolar mixture of kinetin and 6-benzyl-aminopurine), as described previously (Bustos-Sanmamed et al., 2011). To study the effects of CK on germination, seeds were surface-disinfected, stratified for 24 h in 0.5% agar plates at 4°C, and treated with the hormone for up to 48 h during germination. Control seeds were germinated on plates in the presence of 0.1 mM NaOH, which was also used to dissolve CK. The pH value was kept at 6.6 for both control and CK-treated seeds with 5 mM MES. The effect of NO on gene expression in roots of 15-d-old non-nodulated seedlings was studied by application of two NO-releasing compounds, SNAP (500 μM; Sigma) and GSNO (250 μM; Calbiochem), for up to 24 h. In the case of GSNO, a control treatment with 250 μM of glutathione was included because this physiological NO donor releases both NO and glutathione during the incubation period.

**Gene Identification and Expression Profiling**

Transformation competent artificial chromosome (TAC) and BAC genomic libraries of *L. japonicus* were screened with probes based on the cDNA sequences. The partial or full nucleotide sequences of the isolated TAC/BAC clones were determined according to the bridging shotgun method (Sato et al., 2008).
Total RNA was extracted from plant material with the RNAqueous isolation kit (Ambion, Cambridgeshire, UK) and cDNA was synthesized from 2 μg DNase-treated RNA with (dT)17 and Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (Promega). qRT-PCR analysis was performed with an iCycler iQ instrument using iQ SYBR-Green Supermix reagents (Bio-Rad) and gene-specific primers (Supplemental Table S1). The PCR program and other details were already described (Bustos-Sanmamed et al., 2011). The amplification efficiency of primers, calculated by serial dilutions of cDNAs, was >80%. Gene expression levels were normalized with ubiquitin. The PP2A gene, encoding a subunit of the Ser/Thr protein phosphatase 2A (Czechowski et al., 2005), was used as an additional reference gene to verify that ubiquitin expression was not affected by any of the treatments.

Phylogenetic Analyses and Prediction of Subcellular Localization of Proteins

Multiple alignment of amino acid sequences was performed using the MegAlign-DNASTAR program (Lasergene) by the neighbor-joining CLUSTALW2 method and the phylogenetic trees were built with 1,000 bootstrap replicates. Predictions of subcellular localizations were carried out using the MitoProt (http://ihg.gsf.de/ihg/mitoprot.html), TargetP v1.1 (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/TargetP/), and PSORT (http://psort.hgc.jp/) programmes. The nuclear localization of 1CPrx was predicted according to the presence of a bipartite nuclear localization signal in the C-terminal region of the amino acid sequence (Stacy et al., 1999).

Immunoblots

For protein extraction, frozen plant organs were pulverized with liquid nitrogen and homogenized in an ice-cold extraction buffer (1 mL per 0.2 g fresh weight) containing 50 mM potassium phosphate (pH 7), 5 mM dithiothreitol, 1% Triton X-100, and complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). The extracts were cleared by centrifugation and stored at -20°C if necessary. Total proteins were separated by 12% SDS-PAGE and blotted onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes, and immunoblots were carried out as described elsewhere (Matamoros et al., 2010). The sources of the polyclonal antibodies were as follows: 2CPrx, PrxQ, PrxIIC, and PrxIIF of Arabidopsis (Horling et al., 2003); 1CPrx of barley (Stacy et al., 1999); Trxh1 to Trxh5 of poplar (Gelhaye et al., 2004); NTRA/B of wheat (Serrato et al., 2002); and NTRC of rice (Serrato et al., 2004). The PrxIIC antibody was used to detect LjPrxIIB as this antibody recognizes the two cytosolic PrxII isoforms but does not cross-react with plastidic PrxIIE (Horling et al., 2003) or mitochondrial PrxIIF (Finkemeier et al., 2005).

Proteomic Analyses of Nodules
All proteomic analyses were performed at the University of Vienna using a gel-free protocol based on liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry as outlined in detail by Larraínzar et al. (2007) and Hoehenwarter and Wienkoop (2010). After mass spectrometry analysis, raw files were searched against databases DFCI Lotus Gene Index (6.0), Medicago Gene Index (11.0), or Bean Gene Index (4.0) using the Sequest algorithm. For identification and spectral count based data, matrix generation Proteome Discoverer (v 1.1, Thermo Fisher Scientific, San Jose, CA) was used. A decoy database enabled false positive rate (FPR) analysis. Only high confidence peptides (FPR < 0.1%) better than 5 ppm precursor mass accuracy per protein passed criteria.
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Legends of Figures

Figure 1. Exon-intron organization of *LjPrx* genes. Exons are depicted in black boxes and intron in white boxes. Exon and intron sizes are indicated in numbers of base pairs and are drawn to scale.

Figure 2. Expression profiles of the *LjPrx* gene family. A, Relative *LjPrx* mRNA levels in roots (R), nodules (N), leaves (L), stems (St), flowers (F), petals (Pt), pollen (Pl), pods (Pd), seedless pods (Sp), seeds (S), and embryos (E). The mRNA levels were normalized with respect to *ubiquitin*, and the value for *LjPrxIIB* in roots was arbitrarily assigned a *R*=1. All data are means ± SE of four to eight biological replicates. B, Relative *LjPrx* mRNA level within each plant organ, calculated from data in panel A.

Figure 3. Immunoblot analyses of *LjPrx* proteins in different organs. A, Relative abundance of *LjPrx* proteins in roots (R), nodules (N), leaves (L), flowers (F), pollen (Pl), pods (Pd), seedless pods (Sp), seeds (S), and embryos (E). B, Detection of cytosolic PrxIIB proteins in nodules of *L. japonicus* (Lj), *Pisum sativum* (Ps), *Phaseolus vulgaris* (Pv), and *Vigna unguiculata* (Vu). Gels were loaded with 10 μg (A) or 50 μg (B) of protein per lane, and the apparent molecular mass (kD) of the proteins are indicated on the right. Blots are representative of two independent protein extractions.

Figure 4. Heat map of the hormone response of the expression of *LjPrx* genes in roots. Plants grown in hydroponic cultures were treated for 48 h with 50 μM of each hormone. The effects of CK on *LjPrx* expression in leaves and nodules, and on *LjCAT* and *LjAPXc* genes, are also shown. Transcript levels were normalized with *ubiquitin* and expressed relative to those found in control plants, which were arbitrarily given a value of *R*=1. Values are means ± SE of four to ten biological replicates from at least two independent treatments. *Abbreviations of hormones*: ACC, 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid; ABA, abscisic acid; CK, cytokinins; GA, gibberellic acid; IAA, indole-3-acetic acid; JA, jasmonic acid; SA, salicylic acid.

Figure 5. Effect of CK on expression of the *Lj1CPrx* gene during seed germination. Seeds were stratified for 24 h at 4ºC and then germinated in agar plates for up to 48 h in the absence (control) or presence of 50 μM CK. Values are relative to the mRNA levels at time zero, which were arbitrarily given a value of 1. Values are means ± SE of two biological replicates, each of them corresponding to the total RNA from ten germinating seeds.
**Figure 6.** Expression profiles of *LjTrx* genes in roots (R), nodules (N), and leaves (L). The mRNA levels were normalized with respect to *ubiquitin*, and the value for *LjTrxo* in roots was arbitrarily assigned a *R*=1. All data are means ± SE of four biological replicates.

**Figure 7.** Steady-state levels of alternative transcripts for the *LjNTRA* and *LjNTRB* genes in roots, nodules, and leaves. Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis was carried out using gene specific primers (Supplemental Table S1). One pair of primers amplified exclusively the long cDNA (*L*) and the second pair amplified both long and short cDNAs (*LS*). Numbers of base pairs are given on the right.

**Figure 8.** Expression of *LjNTR* genes in plant organs. A, Relative *LjNTR* mRNA levels in roots (R), nodules (N), and leaves (L). The mRNA levels were normalized with respect to *ubiquitin*, and the value for *LjNTRA* in roots was arbitrarily assigned *R*=1. All data are means ± SE of four biological replicates from two independent treatments. B, Immunoblots of LjNTR proteins in roots (R), nodules (N), and leaves (L). C, Immunoblots of NTRA/B proteins in nodules of *Lotus japonicus* (Lj), *Pisum sativum* (Ps), *Phaseolus vulgaris* (Pv), and *Vigna unguiculata* (Vu). Gels were loaded with 10 μg (B) or 50 μg (C) of protein per lane, and the apparent molecular mass (kD) of the proteins are indicated on the right. Blots are representative of at least two independent protein extractions.

**Figure 9.** Model of the redox NTR-Trx systems and their putative Prx targets which may be operating in the mitochondria, cytosol, and plastids of nodule host cells. A putative FTR-Trx system of plastids is also indicated in dashed lines. This model has been essentially built based on the known biochemical specificities observed in vitro. The NTRA/B, NTRC, FNR, Grx, Trxh1, PrxIIB, PrxIIE, PrxIIF, and 2CPrx isoforms were detected by immunoblots and/or proteomic analysis, whereas expression of FTR, Trxo, Trxh4, Trxm, Trxx, and Trxy was detected at the mRNA level. Additional abbreviations: FNR, ferredoxin-NADP reductase; Fdx, ferredoxin; GR, glutathione reductase; GSH, glutathione

**Supplemental Data**

The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

**Supplemental Figure S1.** Phylogenetic analysis of Prx proteins from higher plants.

Only plants with a complete known set of Prxs were considered. The tree was built using the neighbor-joining method with ClustalW2 and 1,000 bootstrap replicates. Bar corresponds to 0.1 substitutions per site. Accession nos. for Prxs of *Lotus japonicus* (Lj), *Medicago truncatula* (Mt), and Arabidopsis are listed in Table I, except for Arabidopsis PrxIIC (At1g65970) and PrxIID (At1g60740). Accession nos.
for other Prxs are as follows: *Glycine max* (*Gm*): 1CPrx (ACU19072), 2CPrxA (ACU20981), 2CPrxB (AK286688), PrxIIB (ACU13563), PrxIIC (ACU14895), PrxIE (ACU20629), PrxIIF1 (ACU15753), PrxIIF2 (ACU14896), PrxQ1 (ACU15737), PrxQ2 (ACU14286). *Oryza sativa* (*Os*): 1CPrxA (Os07g0638300), 1CPrxB (Os07g0638300), 2CPrxA (Os07g0638400), 2CPrxB (Os02g0537700), PrxIIB (Os04g0416400), PrxIIE1 (Os02g0192700), PrxIIE2 (Os06g0625500), PrxIIF (Os01g0266600), PrxQ (Os06g0196300). *Populus trichocarpa* (*Pt*): 1CPrx (XP_002312301), 2CPrxA (XP_002338276), 2CPrxB (XP_002323394), PrxIIB (XP_002319843), PrxIIC (XP_002325005), PrxIIE (XP_002327377), PrxIIF (XP_002325358), PrxQ1 (XP_002326305), PrxQ2 (XP_002324137). *Vitis vinifera* (*Vv*): 1CPrx (XP_002265597), 2CPrxA (XP_002280930), PrxIIB (XP_002284177), PrxIIE (XP_002283652), PrxIIF (XP_002281011), PrxQ (XP_002275936). *Zea mays* (*Zm*): 1CPrx (NP_001105998), 2CPrxA (NP_001137046), 2CPrxB (NP_001148975), PrxIIB (NP_001149765), PrxIIE (NP_001148437), PrxIIF (NP_001141729), PrxQ (NP_001150063).

**Supplemental Figure S2.** Effect of NO donors on *Lj1CPrx* gene expression in roots.

Seedlings grown in agar plates were treated on the roots with 500 μM SNAP or 250 μM GSNO for the indicated times. A control with 250 μM glutathione was included in parallel with the GSNO treatment. Values are means ± SE of four biological replicates from independent plates.

**Supplemental Figure S3.** Sequence alignment of Trxh proteins of model legumes.

Accession nos. are given in Table II. Conserved amino acids are indicated with an asterisk. A few sequences have a short N-terminal extension that does not correspond a priori to targeting sequences. However, this extension proved to be especially important for the poplar ortholog of Lj-h8 since the presence of a conserved Cys at position 4, marked in blue, is the hallmark for the dependence of these proteins on glutathione/Grx rather than on NTR as reductants (Gelhaye et al., 2004). Based on known 3D structures of Trxh, the residues forming the active site have been marked in red. These include not only the active site sequence WCGPC, but also other amino acids stabilizing the catalytic site.

**Supplemental Figure S4.** Phylogenetic analysis of Trx proteins of model plants.

The tree was built using the neighbor-joining method with ClustalW2 and 1,000 bootstrap replicates, and the bar corresponds to 0.1 substitutions per site. Accession nos. are given in Table II. The sequence
clustering is similar to that obtained in wider comparative genomic analyses, including in particular the three subgroups of Trxh (Gelhaye et al., 2004).

**Supplemental Figure S5.** Phylogenetic analysis of NTR proteins of higher plants.

Only the NTR domain was considered for phylogeny. The tree was built using the neighbor-joining method with ClustalW2 and 1,000 bootstrap replicates, and the bar corresponds to 0.1 substitutions per site. Accession nos. for *Lotus japonicus* NTRs are listed in Table II, and those for the other plant species are as follows. *Arabidopsis* (At): NTRA (At2g17420), NTRB (At4g35460), NTRC (At2g41680). *Citrus clementina* (Cc): NTRA/B (DY269877). *Hordeum vulgare* (Hv): NTR-1 (ABY27300), NTR-2 (ABX09990), NTRC (ABY61747). *Medicago truncatula* (Mt): NTRA (ABH10138), NTRC (ABH10139). *Mimulus guttatus* (Mg): NTRA/B (GO983635/GR142227). *Oryza sativa* (Os): NTRA (Os06g0327300), NTRB (Os02g0713400), NTRC (Os07g0657900). *Phaseolus vulgaris* (Pv): NTRA/B (FE692656/FE692651). *Populus trichocarpa* (Pt): NTRA/B (EEE98243), NTRC (EEE92458). *Ricinus communis* (Rc): NTRA/B (EEF33834). *Triticum aestivum* (Ta): NTR-1 (CAD19162), NTR-2 (AK331955). *Vitis vinifera* (Vv): NTRA/B (XP_002263864), NTRC (XP_002278551). *Zea mays* (Zm): NTR1 (NP_001150415), NTR2 (NP_001150113), NTRC (NP_001136660).

**Supplemental Figure S6.** Sequence alignment of NTRA/B proteins of plants.

Accession nos. are given in the legend to Supplemental Figure S5. Conserved amino acid residues are indicated with an asterisk. The putative signal peptides are marked in blue, residues involved in FAD binding in green, residues involved in NADPH binding in orange, and catalytic Cys in yellow. The Met corresponding to the first ATG of the putative alternative short transcript is marked in red.

**Supplemental Figure S7.** Expression analysis of the *LjFTRB* gene.

Expression of the *LjFTRB* gene, which codes for the catalytic subunit of FTR, in roots (R), nodules (N), and leaves (L). The mRNA levels were normalized with respect to *ubiquitin*, and the value in roots was arbitrarily assigned a \( R=1 \). All data are means ± SE of four biological replicates.
### Table I. Prx genes and proteins of *L. japonicus*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Clone</th>
<th>Chr</th>
<th>TC</th>
<th>Number ESTs</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Mol mass</th>
<th>Localization</th>
<th>Arabidopsis ortholog</th>
<th>Medicago ortholog</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Lj1CPrx</em></td>
<td>LjT20M01</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>TC57452</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>nucleus/cytosol</td>
<td>At1g48130</td>
<td>TC176842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>LjPrxQ1a</em></td>
<td>LjT31N02</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>TC62358</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>chloroplast</td>
<td>At3g26060</td>
<td>TC174754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>LjPrxQ1b</em></td>
<td>LjT31N02</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>TC60736</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>chloroplast</td>
<td>At3g26060</td>
<td>TC174754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>LjPrxQ2</em></td>
<td>LjSGA_149250</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>chloroplast</td>
<td>At3g26060</td>
<td>TC174754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lj2CPrxA</em></td>
<td>LjT18K22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>TC75376</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>chloroplast</td>
<td>At3g11630</td>
<td>TC179904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lj2CPrxB</em></td>
<td>LjT04E07</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>TC76501</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>chloroplast</td>
<td>At5g06290</td>
<td>TC174211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>LjPrxIIIB</em></td>
<td>LjT33L17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>TC64422</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>cytosol</td>
<td>At1g65980</td>
<td>TC182619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>LjPrxIIIE</em></td>
<td>LjT19I13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>TC76090</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>chloroplast</td>
<td>At3g52960</td>
<td>TC174129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>LjPrxIIIF</em></td>
<td>LjT27H06</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>TC60826</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>mitochondrion</td>
<td>At3g06050</td>
<td>TC176989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Designation of genomic clones and chromosome location (ND, not determined). *b* Designation of TC sequences and number of ESTs according to the DFCI Lotus Gene Index (6.0). *c* Predicted number of amino acid residues and molecular mass (kD) of precursor proteins. *d* Predicted subcellular localizations of mature proteins. *e* Ortholog genes of Arabidopsis and *M. truncatula* according to TAIR and DFCI Medicago Gene Index (11.0), respectively.
Table II. *Trx* and *NTR* genes and proteins of *L. japonicus*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Clone</th>
<th>Chr</th>
<th>TC</th>
<th>Number ESTs</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Mol mass</th>
<th>Localization</th>
<th>Arabidopsis ortholog</th>
<th><em>Medicago</em> ortholog</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>LjTrxh1</em></td>
<td>LjT45J20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>TC65928</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>cytosol</td>
<td>At3g51030</td>
<td>TC197256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>LjTrxh3</em></td>
<td>LjT17E09</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>TC68183</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>cytosol</td>
<td>At3g51030</td>
<td>CR955005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>LjTrxh4</em></td>
<td>LjT40C04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>TC65406</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>cytosol</td>
<td>At5g39950</td>
<td>TC177162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>LjTrxh6</em></td>
<td>LjT58M11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>TC65208</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>cytosol</td>
<td>At1g69880</td>
<td>TC188623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>LjTrxh8</em></td>
<td>LjSGA_031277</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>TC58009</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>cytosol</td>
<td>At3g08710</td>
<td>TC176865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>LjTrxh9</em></td>
<td>LjSGA_132520</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>TC63066</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>cytosol</td>
<td>At1g11530</td>
<td>TC177045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>LjTrxf</em></td>
<td>LjSGA_082631</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>TC59402</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>chloroplast</td>
<td>At5g16400</td>
<td>TC174294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>LjTrxm1</em></td>
<td>LjSGA_017977</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>TC60229</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>chloroplast</td>
<td>At4g03520</td>
<td>TC180914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>LjTrxm2</em></td>
<td>LjSGA_126827</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>TC71331</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>chloroplast</td>
<td>At3g15360</td>
<td>TC178205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>LjTrxm4</em></td>
<td>LjSGA_126077</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>TC67299</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>chloroplast</td>
<td>At3g15360</td>
<td>TC193088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>LjTrxh</em></td>
<td>LjT02A04</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>TC61897</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>chloroplast</td>
<td>At1g50320</td>
<td>TC173902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>LjTrxy</em></td>
<td>LjT08O18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>TC61826</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>chloroplast</td>
<td>At1g76760</td>
<td>TC175512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>LjTrxz</em></td>
<td>LjSGA_025025</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>TC62611</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>chloroplast</td>
<td>At3g06730</td>
<td>NP725877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>LjTrxo</em></td>
<td>LjT11M06</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>TC61209</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>mitochondrion</td>
<td>At2g35010</td>
<td>TC184686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>LjNTRA</em></td>
<td>LjB04N17</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>TC63269/73407</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>39.5 (35.6)</td>
<td>mitochondrion (cytosol)</td>
<td>At2g17420</td>
<td>TC177239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>LjNTRB</em></td>
<td>LjT16K13</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>TC73044/80146</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>40.4 (30.7)</td>
<td>mitochondrion (cytosol)</td>
<td>At2g17420</td>
<td>TC177239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>LjNTRC</em></td>
<td>LjB24C14</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>TC57567/68679</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>chloroplast</td>
<td>At2g41680</td>
<td>TC189527</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a* Designation of genomic clones and chromosome location (ND, not determined). *b* TC sequences and number of ESTs. *c* Predicted number of amino acid residues and molecular mass (kD) of precursor proteins. The molecular mass in parenthesis corresponds to the protein encoded by the putative alternative mRNA. *d* Predicted subcellular localizations of mature proteins. The localization in parenthesis corresponds to the protein.
encoded by the putative alternative mRNA. Ortholog genes of Arabidopsis and *M. truncatula* according to TAIR and DFCI Medicago Gene Index (11.0), respectively. Genomic clone (BAC number) is given because no ESTs are available (Renard et al., 2011)
Table III. Identification of Prxs and their putative physiological reductants by proteomics of legume nodules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Legume</th>
<th>TC(^a)</th>
<th>UniProt(^b)</th>
<th>Peptides(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2CPrxB</td>
<td>P. vulgaris</td>
<td>TC32275</td>
<td>Q9FE12</td>
<td>ASSELPLVGNTPDFEAEAVFDQEFIK, SGGLGDLPVLSDVTK, SYDVLIPDQGIALR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PrxIIIB</td>
<td>M. truncatula</td>
<td>TC182619</td>
<td>B7FH22</td>
<td>YTHALGLEGDLSDK, FALLVEDLK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PrxIIIE</td>
<td>M. truncatula</td>
<td>TC174129</td>
<td>C6TFM7(^c)</td>
<td>AIGVEQLDSKPVGLGVR, LFNLEEGGAFSTFGADDILK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PrxIIIF</td>
<td>M. truncatula</td>
<td>TC176989</td>
<td>B7FGM0</td>
<td>VATGSDIISAASNVLQK, SLELTDLGALLGTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P. vulgaris</td>
<td>TC33229</td>
<td>Q6KBB1(^c)</td>
<td>VATGTDIVSAAPNVLQK, SLELVTDLGALLGTR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trxh1</td>
<td>L. japonicus</td>
<td>TC65928</td>
<td>Q6RJZ7(^c)</td>
<td>FIAPILAEIAK, TVAEEWNEAMPTFLFK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trxh1</td>
<td>M. truncatula</td>
<td>TC197256</td>
<td>A1BLP6</td>
<td>FIAPILAEIAK, TVAEEWAVDAMPTFLFK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trxh1</td>
<td>P. vulgaris</td>
<td>TC38652</td>
<td>A1BLP7(^c)</td>
<td>FIAPILAEIAK, WSIEAMPTFLFK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrxC4</td>
<td>M. truncatula</td>
<td>TC181572</td>
<td>C6TCR3(^c)</td>
<td>IQDVLVNVK, HLGSDSTVEAYSEGLK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GrxC2</td>
<td>P. vulgaris</td>
<td>TC40141</td>
<td>B3F8F4(^c)</td>
<td>LEIMDVEPDGADIQAALLEWTQR, LVPLLTSAITK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTRA</td>
<td>M. truncatula</td>
<td>TC177239</td>
<td>A6XJ26</td>
<td>VSGLFIAHPEATK, TSVGFAAGDVQDK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) TC sequences according to the DFCI Lotus Gene Index (6.0), Medicago Gene Index (11.0), or Bean Gene Index (4.0). Data of M. truncatula nodules were taken from Larrainzar et al. (2007), stored in the ProMEX spectral library (http://promex.pph.univie.ac.at/promex/), and updated to the current DFCI version. \(^b\) UniProt accessions (UniRef_100) and peptides detected. \(^c\) UniProt accessions of ortholog proteins showing best match hit.
Figure 1. Exon-intron organization of LjPrx genes. Exons are depicted in black boxes and introns in white boxes. Exon and intron sizes are indicated in numbers of base pairs and are drawn to scale.
Figure 2. Expression profiles of the *LjPrx* gene family. A, Relative *LjPrx* mRNA levels in roots (R), nodules (N), leaves (L), stems (St), flowers (F), petals (Pt), pollen (Pl), pods (Pd), seedless pods (Sp), seeds (S), and embryos (E). The mRNA levels were normalized with respect to *ubiquitin*, and the value for *LjPrxIIIB* in roots was arbitrarily assigned a *R*=1. All data are means ± SE of four to eight biological replicates. B, Relative *LjPrx* mRNA level within each plant organ, calculated from data in panel A.
**Figure 3.** Immunoblot analyses of LjPrx proteins in different organs. 

A, Relative abundance of LjPrx proteins in roots (R), nodules (N), leaves (L), flowers (F), pollen (Pl), pods (Pd), seedless pods (Sp), seeds (S), and embryos (E). B, Detection of cytosolic PrxIIB proteins in nodules of *L. japonicus* (Lj), *Pisum sativum* (Ps), *Phaseolus vulgaris* (Pv), and *Vigna unguiculata* (Vu). Gels were loaded with 10 μg (A) or 50 μg (B) of protein per lane, and the apparent molecular mass (kD) of the proteins are indicated on the right. Blots are representative of two independent protein extractions.
**Figure 4.** Heat map of the hormone response of the expression of *LjPrx* genes in roots. Plants grown in hydroponic cultures were treated for 48 h with 50 μM of each hormone. The effects of CK on *LjPrx* expression in leaves and nodules, and on *LjCAT* and *LjAPXc* genes, are also shown. Transcript levels were normalized with *ubiquitin* and expressed relative to those found in control plants, which were arbitrarily given a value of *R*=1. Values are means ± SE of four to ten biological replicates from at least two independent treatments. **Abbreviations of hormones:** ACC, 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid; ABA, abscisic acid; CK, cytokinins; GA, gibberellic acid; IAA, indole-3-acetic acid; JA, jasmonic acid; SA, salicylic acid.
Figure 5. Effect of CK on expression of the *Lj1CPrx* gene during seed germination. Seeds were stratified for 24 h at 4°C and then germinated in agar plates for up to 48 h in the absence (control) or presence of 50 μM CK. Values are relative to the mRNA levels at time zero, which were arbitrarily given a value of 1. Values are means ± SE of two biological replicates, each of them corresponding to the total RNA from ten germinating seeds.
Figure 6. Expression profiles of *LjTrx* genes in roots (R), nodules (N), and leaves (L). The mRNA levels were normalized with respect to *ubiquitin*, and the value for *LjTrxo* in roots was arbitrarily assigned a *R*=1. All data are means ± SE of four biological replicates.
Figure 7. Steady-state levels of alternative transcripts for the *LjNTRA* and *LjNTRB* genes in roots, nodules, and leaves. Semi-quantitative RT-PCR analysis was carried out using gene specific primers (Supplemental Table S1). One pair of primers amplified exclusively the long cDNA (L) and the second pair amplified both long and short cDNAs (LS). Numbers of base pairs are given on the right.
Figure 8. Expression of *LjNTR* genes in plant organs. A, Relative *LjNTR* mRNA levels in roots (R), nodules (N), and leaves (L). The mRNA levels were normalized with respect to *ubiquitin*, and the value for *LjNTRA* in roots was arbitrarily assigned *R*=1. All data are means ± SE of four biological replicates from two independent treatments. B, Immunoblots of *LjNTR* proteins in roots (R), nodules (N), and leaves (L). C, Immunoblots of NTRA/B proteins in nodules of *Lotus japonicus* (Lj), *Pisum sativum* (Ps), *Phaseolus vulgaris* (Pv), and *Vigna unguiculata* (Vu). Gels were loaded with 10 μg (B) or 50 μg (C) of protein per lane, and the apparent molecular mass (kD) of the proteins are indicated on the right. Blots are representative of at least two independent protein extractions.
Figure 9. Model of the redox NTR-Trx systems and their putative Prx targets which may be operating in the mitochondria, cytosol, and plastids of nodule host cells. A putative FTR-Trx system of plastids is also indicated in dashed lines. This model has been essentially built based on the known biochemical specificities observed in vitro. The NTRA/B, NTRC, FNR, Grx, Trxh1, PrxIIB, PrxIIE, PrxIIF, and 2CPrx isoforms were detected by immunoblots and/or proteomic analysis, whereas expression of FTR, Trxo, Trxh4, Trxm, Trxx, and Trxy was detected at the mRNA level. Additional abbreviations: FNR, ferredoxin-NADP reductase; Fdx, ferredoxin; GR, glutathione reductase; GSH, glutathione.