1. rDNA and nucleolar chromatin

**rDNA structure.** The nucleus is a highly organized structure. However, unlike cytoplasmic organelles, nuclear substructures are not bounded by membranes, but rather are held together by interactions between their component proteins and nucleic acids, and are thus probably best regarded as giant, extended multi-molecular complexes. The nucleolus is the most prominent of these structures. It is the site of transcription by RNA polymerase I of the rDNA, tandemly repeated copies of the genes for three of the four ribosomal RNAs (s-rRNA, 5.8s RNA and l-rRNA), which are called the nucleolar organizer regions of the chromosomes or NORs (Raska et al., 2006a; Raska et al., 2006b). The rDNA repeats are transcribed as a single precursor RNA, which is edited into the three rRNAs by excising leading, internal and trailing transcribed spacer sequences. The rDNA repeats are separated by untranscribed intergenic sequences, which are much shorter in plants (2-3kb) than in mammals and many other vertebrates (10-30kb) (Hadjiolov, 1985). In many cases the size of the intergenic spacers is heterogeneous, as, for example, in *Xenopus laevis* (3-9kb). The fourth ribosomal RNA, 5S, is transcribed by RNA polymerase III from tandem repeats elsewhere in the nucleus (Highett et al., 1993). The nucleolus is also the location at which most of the steps of ribosome assembly and maturation occur. This means about 90 ribosomal proteins, as well as many processing and accessory factors, need to be
imported from the cytoplasm to the nucleolus, and the nearly complete small and large ribosomal subunits separately exported to the cytoplasm. Since a rapidly growing cell may require millions of ribosomes to be synthesized, the nucleolus is by far the major destination and origin of nucleo-cytoplasmic transport in the most active cells.

**Nucleolar organization.** When nuclei are stained with fluorescent DNA dyes such as DAPI, the nucleolus is seen as a dark region within the more brightly stained nuclear chromatin. This is an indication that the active rDNA is highly dispersed within the nucleolus. On the basis of their appearance in electron microscope images, the nucleoli in many animal cells have been described in terms of a tripartite structure, with small, lightly staining regions called fibrillar centres (FCs), surrounded by densely stained material called the dense fibrillar component (DFC); the remainder of the nucleolus contains what appear to be densely packed granules (the granular component, GC) (Shaw et al., 1995) (Figure 1). Originally these granules were assumed to be entirely preribosomal particles in various stages of processing, but recent studies have indicated other types of complexes may occupy specific parts of the GC, which may be segregated into distinct regions of different compositions (Politz et al., 2005). What appear to be FCs are often seen in plants, but the regions assumed to be related to the DFC are much more extensive in plant nucleoli and often no more densely stained than the surrounding granules (Shaw and Jordan, 1995) (Figure 1). In reality the organization of the nucleolus is likely to be more complex than previously thought. A recent paper has shown that within the chordates there are species that display a bipartite organization, in essence lacking FCs (Thiry et al., 2011). These authors suggest that the FCs originated with the emergence of the amniotes. A problem with this hypothesis is that some anamniote nucleoli, such as in Xenopus, do contain FCs, as indeed do plants (Raska et al., 2006b). The controversies about nucleolar organization will probably not be fully resolved until we have a better understanding of what these electron microscopic structures represent in molecular and functional terms.

The molecular interpretation of these structures has been the subject of a long controversy. Immunogold EM studies showed concentrations of RNA polymerase I
(Pol I) in the FCs, which were consequently suggested to be the sites of transcription (Scheer and Rose, 1984). In situ labeling, whether at the optical or EM level, showed most rDNA in condensed heterochromatin at the nucleolar periphery, and smaller foci of rDNA labeling corresponding to FCs (Shaw et al., 1995). With more sensitive in situ techniques, fainter, diffuse rDNA labeling was subsequently seen throughout the DFC. The problem with a simple interpretation of these labeling experiments is that most Pol I, and most rDNA copies are not transcriptionally active. It was not until fluorescence detection of BrU labeling was introduced that the sites of transcription could be clearly labeled. In plant cells, this showed the transcription sites to consist of many foci within the DFC, with the smallest foci representing individual rDNA gene repeats (Thompson et al., 1997; Gonzalez-Melendi et al., 2001; Koberna et al., 2002). Additional labeling with probes to transcribed spacer regions in the pre-rRNA and with various proteins and other small RNAs known to be involved in ribosome maturation led to a radial model, where the newly formed transcripts moved away from the dispersed genes within the DFC and then subsequently moving into the GC for later processing stages (Brown and Shaw, 1998).

**Nucleolar chromatin and epigenetics.** The number of rDNA repeats varies greatly among eukaryotes; many plants have thousands of copies, of which only a small proportion seems to be transcriptionally active at any one time. There is evidence that some repeats in human rDNA are inverted and may not be functional (Caburet et al., 2005). In rice, fiber FISH has suggested that the repeats are regular and do not contain inversions or rearrangements (Mizuno et al., 2008). Furthermore physical mapping studies in Arabidopsis using pulsed field gel electrophoresis showed that restriction endonucleases that cut once per rDNA repeat gave only a single length of fragment (Copenhaver and Pikaard, 1996). Nevertheless the rDNA has not been fully sequenced in any higher eukaryote, as with current technology it is very difficult to analyze such highly repetitive sequences. We therefore do not know absolutely whether all the rDNA repeats are intact functional genes or whether other functional sequences are interspersed with them, and it is merely an assumption that all rDNA repeats are potentially transcribable. As with other genomic regions, current models suggest that
rDNA chromatin can adopt three different states: inactive and condensed (heterochromatin), which corresponds to the condensed chromatin at the nucleolar periphery as well as to some intranucleolar condensed regions; active genes in an extended conformation within the DFC; and a poised or potentiated state, available for transcription but not currently being transcribed (McKeown and Shaw, 2009). This latter state may correspond to rDNA within the FCs. The balance between these states, and thus Pol I loading and transcription, is determined by DNA methylation, differences in the histone variants associated with the DNA, remodeling of the DNA, particularly the promoter regions, and the presence of histone modifications (Grummt and Pikaard, 2003). Loading of Pol I and some other factors is maintained through mitosis, and thus the chromatin state can be epigenetically inherited.

A particularly clear example of epigenetic control of rDNA is provided by nucleolar dominance in hybrids where the NORs of one parental genome are active, whereas those of the other are inactive (Tucker et al., 2010). An RNAi approach has been used with *Arabidopsis suecica*, a hybrid of *A. thaliana* and *A. arenosa*, to determine factors involved in nucleolar dominance. This has shown the involvement of the histone deacetylases HDT1 and HDA6, the de novo DNA methyltransferase DRM2, and the methylcytosine binding domain proteins MBD6 and MBD10 (Preuss et al., 2008). Further confirmation that nucleolar dominance in plants involves RNA-directed DNA methylation was obtained by knockdown of RDR2, DCL3 and DRM2, which disrupted the silencing of the *A. thaliana*-derived rRNA genes in *A. suecica*. (Preuss et al., 2008). In mammals, rRNA genes are silenced by the nucleolar remodeling complex, NoRC, which is recruited to rRNA genes by 200-300nt RNA species, termed pRNA, derived from intergenic regions of the rDNA (Guettg et al., 2010; Santoro et al., 2010).

2. Plurifunctional nucleolus

Over the last 10-15 years, it has become clear that the nucleolus is involved in numerous other functions than ribosome biogenesis (Pederson, 1998; Rubbi and Milner, 2003; Boisvert et al., 2007; Olson, 2004; Raska et al., 2006a). Many are RNA related
functions such as RNA processing and assembly of RNPs. For example, the nucleolus (and associated bodies, particularly Cajal bodies - CBs) is involved in the maturation, assembly and export of RNP particles such as the signal recognition particle, telomerase RNP, and processing of pre-tRNAs and U6snRNA. In addition, the nucleolus has roles in cellular functions such as regulation of the cell cycle, stress responses, telomerase activity and ageing (Pederson, 1998; Tsai and McKay, 2002; Rubbi and Milner, 2003; Boisvert et al., 2007; Olson, 2004; Raska et al., 2006a; Boulon et al., 2010). Sequestration of specific proteins in the nucleolus or their release is one mechanism by which processes such as the cell cycle or cell death are regulated. The multifunctional nature of the nucleolus is therefore reflected in the complexity of the protein and RNA composition of the nucleolus and in the dynamic composition changes in response to cellular conditions.

**Protein composition of the nucleolus.** Initial analyses of the proteome of human cells identified around 450 proteins including ribosomal proteins and proteins known to be involved in ribosome biogenesis (fibrillarin, nucleolin, B23 etc.)(Andersen et al., 2002; Scherl et al., 2002). Even at this stage, unexpected proteins like splicing factors, spliceosomal proteins and translation factors were identified. The increasing resolution of mass spectrometry techniques had led to the current characterization of the nucleolar proteome from human cells of circa 4,500 proteins (Ahmad et al., 2009). Quantitative proteomic analyses have demonstrated the dynamic behavior of nucleolar proteins such as ribosomal proteins and of protein complexes such as Pol I (Andersen et al., 2005; Lam et al., 2007). For example, quantitative changes in the relative levels of nucleolar components (reflecting accumulation or loss) were apparent upon transcriptional inhibition. The degree of change varied greatly for different proteins and showed that ribosomal proteins were highly expressed and either incorporated into ribosomal subunits or rapidly degraded (Andersen et al., 2005; Lam et al., 2007). It is also intriguing that ribosomal protein complexes associate with chromosomes and in particular transcription start sites of tRNAs, although the nature of these complexes and their function are as yet unknown (De et al., 2011).
In contrast, characterization of the proteome of plant nucleoli lags significantly behind. In an initial proteomic analysis of purified Arabidopsis nucleoli 217 proteins were identified. In addition to the expected ribosomal and nucleolar proteins, a range of non-ribosomal and non-nucleolar proteins including plant-specific proteins, proteins with unknown function, and splicing and translation factors was observed (Pendle et al., 2005). In particular, exon junction complex proteins (known in animal systems to associate with mRNAs after splicing) were identified and their nucleolar localization was confirmed by confocal microscopy of GFP-fusions (Pendle et al., 2005) (Figure 2). One of the core EJC components, eIF4A-III, was shown to redistribute from the nucleoplasm to the nucleolus and finally to splicing speckles under hypoxia stress conditions (Koroleva et al., 2009). Although the inference is that the relocalisation of eIF4A-III might cause redistribution of mRNAs to the nucleolus, the EJC has not yet been formally identified in plants and its association with mRNAs when in the nucleolus has not been demonstrated. A similar redistribution of an SR protein splicing factor to the nucleolus under ATP depletion has also been demonstrated (Tillemans et al., 2006). To date, this SR protein, RSZ22, is the only SR protein to show such a relocalisation and again whether it relocalises mRNAs is not known. A number of snRNP proteins were identified in the nucleolar proteome (Pendle et al., 2005) and CBs/nucleolus may be involved in production of the spliceosomal U1snRNP in plants (Lorkovic and Barta, 2008). Our knowledge of the nucleolar proteome in plants is still relatively limited and given the multifunctionality of this nuclear compartment, a full analysis is overdue and would be likely to provide supporting evidence for different functions or even identify new functions.

**RNA complexity in the nucleolus.** The multiple functions of the nucleolus in processing of various RNAs and assembly of different of RNP are reflected in the different species of RNA. Besides rRNAs, animal cell nucleoli contain the extensive families of snoRNAs as well as snRNAs, tRNAs, 7SL RNA (signal recognition pathways) and telomerase RNA. In plants, analyses of nucleolar RNAs, excluding rRNAs, suggest that the plant nucleolus is also involved in many RNA/RNP events. Moreover, the presence of mRNAs and small regulatory RNAs in the plant nucleolus
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and nucleolar-associated bodies is intriguing in terms of potentially novel functions in plants broadening the roles of the nucleolus still further.

Cloning and sequencing of RNAs from purified Arabidopsis nucleoli identified tRNAs, snRNAs and scaRNAs along with many known and novel snoRNAs (Kim et al., 2010). In particular, in addition to the expected conserved snoRNAs (U3 and MRP), orthologues of human U13 snoRNAs were discovered. The function of U13 has not been determined but it contains complementarity to the 3’ end of 18S rRNA. All eukaryotes contain “orphan” snoRNAs, which do not have complementarity to rRNAs or snRNAs. In animals, such snoRNAs have been found to be involved in RNA editing, alternative splicing and regulation of gene expression (Ender et al., 2008; Kishore and Stamm, 2006; Ono et al., 2010; Saraiya and Wang, 2008; Vitali et al., 2005). Recently, three mammalian snoRNAs (all encoded in the introns of a ribosomal protein gene) were shown to be involved in regulation of metabolic stress response (Michel et al., 2011). Although plants have a number of orphan snoRNAs, to date no non-canonical function in, for example, modulating gene expression has been described.

The identification of mRNA-associated proteins in the plant nucleolus suggests a function in mRNA biogenesis. In animals, only a very few mRNAs have ever been identified in the nucleolus (Olson, 2004; Pederson, 1998). In contrast, mRNAs from a wide range of genes were identified in a cDNA library from purified Arabidopsis nucleoli. Of particular interest was that aberrantly spliced mRNAs were enriched in the nucleolus and the vast majority contained premature termination codons, and therefore were likely to be turned over by the nonsense-mediated decay (NMD) pathway (Kim et al., 2009). Further, the localization of proteins involved in NMD - UPF2 and UPF3 - to the nucleolus suggested a novel function for the plant nucleolus in mRNA surveillance/NMD and thereby in mRNA biogenesis (Kim et al., 2009). However, we have recently shown that mRNA transcripts with retained introns (or containing unspliced introns) are not turned over by NMD and appear to avoid the NMD pathway (Kalyna et al., 2011). While other aberrant transcripts are targeted to the nucleolus as part of the NMD pathway, intron-containing transcripts may accumulate in the
nucleolus for a different function (e.g. degradation by a different pathway) or may be targeted there instead of being exported. In this regard, an association between the nucleolus and export of particular human virus RNAs is well established (Hiscox, 2007; Hiscox et al., 2010) and has been suggested to function for cellular mRNAs. In yeast and animal cells the question of whether specific mRNAs/mRNPs have a nucleolar phase is still open (discussed in Jellbauer and Jansen, 2008).

Besides the novel finding of mRNAs and aberrant mRNAs in the plant nucleolus, heterochromatic small interfering RNAs (het-siRNAs) which are involved in transcriptional silencing, are produced in a region of the nucleolus or in bodies often found associated with the nucleolus called D-bodies (Pontes and Pikaard, 2008). The localization of pre-miRNAs and Dicer-like 1 (DCL1) to D-bodies also suggests a role for the nucleolus in the maturation of miRNAs (Pontes and Pikaard, 2008). Some precursor and mature miRNAs are enriched in the nucleolus of mammalian cells possibly for modification, assembly or to regulate snoRNA activity (Politz et al., 2009; Scott et al., 2009). There is also an evolutionary relationship between miRNA precursors and snoRNAs, with some miRNAs being processed from snoRNA precursors and some miRNA precursors retaining snoRNA features (Ono et al., 2011; Politz et al., 2009; Saraiya and Wang, 2008; Scott et al., 2009). In addition, snoRNA-derived small RNAs were found to be associated with Argonaute proteins of RNA silencing pathways in both animals and Arabidopsis (Taft et al., 2009) and small RNAs from a human snoRNA reduced expression of gene targets (Ender et al., 2008). The complexity of RNA/RNP processes involving the nucleolus suggests that it is a centre of RNA activity. For plants, the presence of mRNAs and small regulatory RNAs in the nucleolus allows us to speculate that the nucleolus is involved in regulation of expression, possibly in response to cellular conditions.

The nucleolus and virus infection. From the integral nature of the nucleolus to many RNA processing and RNP assembly pathways, it is not surprising that many animal and plant viruses exploit the nucleolus in production and transport of viral RNP. The involvement of the nucleolus in infection cycles of animal and human viruses is well
established (Hiscox, 2007; Hiscox et al., 2010; Taliansky et al., 2011). In plants, a growing number of viruses show some interaction with the nucleolus (and other nuclear bodies such as CBs) and roles for the nucleolus and nucleolar proteins are now emerging (Taliansky et al., 2011). For example, plant viruses can recruit nucleolar proteins for assembly of viral RNP particles, virus replication and movement, and to counteract host-viral defence systems. In the best studied system to date, the ORF3 long distance movement protein of *Groundnut Rosette Virus* trafficks to the nucleolus via CBs causing re-organization of CBs into multiple CB-like structures that fuse with the nucleolus. ORF3 then recruits fibrillarin (an abundant nucleolar RNA-binding protein, known to be an RNA methylase) for assembly of cytoplasmic infectious viral particles (Canetta et al., 2008, Kim et al., 2007a, Kim et al., 2007b). The nucleolar localization of ORF3 is essential for systemic infection. Viral proteins from other plant viruses also target the nucleolus and the function of this localisation and their interactions with nucleolar proteins are being established. For example, the coat protein and coat protein read-through proteins of *Potato Leaf Roll Virus* (PLRV) are targeted to the nucleolus (Haupt et al., 2005) and systemic infection of PLRV is inhibited in fibrillarin-silenced plants suggesting that fibrillarin is also involved in long-distance movement of PLRV.

Another aspect of nuclear/nucleolar targeting of viral proteins is to interfere with host defences. Most plant viruses encode silencing suppressor proteins to counteract the silencing triggered by infection. The N1a/VPg protein of PVA has suppressor activity dependent on the localisation of VPg to the nucleolus and CBs raising the question of whether this protein targets components or pathways involved in RNA silencing which are found in the nucleus or nucleolus and associated bodies (Pontes and Pikaard, 2008). The VPg domain of N1a interacts with fibrillarin in the nucleolus and CBs, and depletion of fibrillarin reduces accumulation of the virus suggesting that fibrillarin is involved in the infection process (Rajamaki and Valkonen, 2009). The silencing suppressor protein of CMV, CMV 2b, also localises to the nucleus and nucleolus where it interacts with Argonaute 1 (AGO1) and AGO4 (Gonzalez et al., 2010). However, these interactions are not sufficient for suppression of RNA silencing and
hence their biological relevance remains so far unclear (Gonzalez et al., 2010). Other viral proteins are also found in the nucleus/nucleolus (e.g. the P3 protein of TEV and the P6 protein of CaMV) but the function of the nucleolar localisation is unknown (Taliansky et al., 2011). How different viral proteins target CBs and the nucleolus, their interactions with host proteins like fibrillarin and the impact of usurping normal functions on nucleolar structure and function are important questions for the future which are likely to provide insights into nucleolar biology.

3. Dynamics of the nucleolus

The nucleolus, like the other parts of the nucleus, is dynamic at a number of levels. First, it breaks down and reforms during the cell cycle; second, the nucleolus structure itself is dynamic, changing shape, size and position within the nucleus; third, the nucleolar constituents undergo exchange with pools both within the nucleolus and outside in the nucleoplasm and cytoplasm.

Cell cycle dynamics. The nucleolus disassembles at the end of G2 as most transcription ceases and the nuclear envelope breaks down, and then reassembles with the onset of rDNA transcription at the beginning of the following G1 (Hernandez-Verdun, 2011). During disassembly, the GC components are lost first, followed by the DFC components. Certain proteins, such as Pol I subunits and UBF (upstream binding factor, which modulates DNA conformation) remain with the rDNA arrays; the presence of UBF alone is sufficient to produce a secondary constriction in the mitotic chromosome (Prieto and McStay, 2008). Some nucleolar components diffuse throughout the mitotic cytoplasm, whereas others, such as the protein B23, associate with the periphery of the mitotic chromosomes as chromosomal 'passengers'. When rDNA transcription is halted during mitosis, unprocessed pre-rRNA transcripts persist through the mitotic cell, demonstrating that pre-rRNA transcript processing is also halted. The nucleolus reforms at the end of mitosis. First, small round bodies, called pre-nucleolar bodies are formed (Hernandez-Verdun, 2011). When transcription of the rDNA is reinitiated, the pre-nucleolar bodies disappear as new nucleoli are formed.
Where more than one active NOR is present in the nucleus, separate nucleoli generally initially form at each active NOR. In plants, these small nucleoli then often fuse together to a single nucleolus as interphase progresses (Shaw and Jordan, 1995).

**Protein mobility.** For many years cell biologists visualized fixed cells and thus had a tendency to regard the structures seen as stationary and long-lived. Live cell imaging studies, however, have revealed a much more dynamic picture. Studies using FRAP (fluorescence recovery after photobleaching) of nucleolar and nuclear proteins fused to GFP have shown that virtually all nucleolar and nuclear proteins are in constant flux, exchanging between the nucleolus and cytoplasm. The mean nucleolar residence time of even well characterized ‘nucleolar’ proteins is only a few tens of seconds (Phair and Misteli, 2000; Chen and Huang, 2001; Olson and Dundr, 2005). The distinction between ‘nuclear’ and ‘nucleolar’ proteins is that nucleolar proteins spend a greater proportion of their time in the nucleolus. The structure and even the existence of the nucleolus as a discrete structure must depend on the rDNA nucleating a small sub-population of proteins that then form a structure on which all the other proteins assemble and disassemble dynamically. The nucleolus (and other nuclear bodies such as CBs) thus represents a steady state flux of proteins in rapid equilibrium with the surrounding nucleoplasm (Raska et al., 2006a).

**Cajal bodies and intranucleolar bodies.** A number of dynamic nuclear bodies are either associated with the nucleolus or contained within it or both (Mao et al., 2011). The most familiar are the Cajal bodies (CBs). These bodies were first identified more than 100 years ago by Ramon y Cajal in neuronal cells, were originally called accessory bodies, and were proposed to have a connection with the nucleolus (Gall, 2000). They were later rechristened coiled bodies because of their appearance in the EM, but have now been renamed in honor of their original discoverer. Live cell imaging of CBs by GFP showed that CBs move, fuse and split within the nucleus of both plant (Boudonck et al., 1999) and animal (Platani et al., 2002) cells, often migrating dramatically to the nucleolar periphery or even being contained within the nucleolus. The detailed function of CBs is still not well understood, but their role is in
maturation of RNA complexes such as spliceosomal sub-complexes, small RNAs and RNA complexes involved in silencing (Stanek and Neugebauer, 2006). CBs share many components with the nucleolus, particularly a number of small nucleolar RNAs, and RNA processing proteins such as fibrillarin, which methylates various RNA species, and dyskerin/Cbf5p, which isomerizes uridine to pseudouridine in RNAs.

A new intranucleolar body (termed the INB) has recently been described, based on colocalization of about 20 well-characterized components (Hutten et al., 2011). The composition of INBs seems distinctly different from CBs; in particular they contain SUMO, a peptide modifier, probably conjugated to other substrate proteins. The INBs seem to have an involvement in DNA damage response, since treatments that caused DNA damage induced INBs. The INBs are completely enveloped in the nucleolus, and may be contained in or overlap with a central region of the nucleolus often called the nucleolar cavity, a structure that is particularly prominent in many plant cells and which has been shown to swell and contract dynamically.

4. The nucleolus, stress and DNA damage sensing

There are several lines of evidence suggesting that the nucleolus has a role in sensing and responding to stresses (Boulon et al., 2010). In mammals there is considerable evidence linking the P53 DNA damage-sensing pathway to the nucleolus. P53 is normally held at a low level by MDM2, an E3 ubiquitin ligase that ubiquitinates P53 and targets it for degradation. MDM2 can be inhibited by ARF, which is normally sequestered in the nucleolus. In one model, release of ARF from the nucleolus would then allow it to inhibit MDM2, with p53 levels consequently rising (Raska et al., 2006a; Olson, 2004). In an elegant series of experiments it was shown that the P53 pathway is induced by many different treatments that targeted the nucleolus (Rubbi and Milner, 2003), suggesting that the nucleolar structure itself is a direct sensor of DNA damage. In this respect the induction of INBs by DNA damage as described above is very interesting and may contribute to the sensing mechanism.
rDNA has been associated with stress response to DNA damage and with aging, the pioneering studies of this going back several decades (Johnson and Strehler, 1972). rDNA copies are multiplied by repeated recombination, and their homogeneity is maintained by gene conversion events between the tandem repeats (Kobayashi 2008). Recombination is induced by Fob1, which causes double strand break formation. In S. cerevisiae, at least, the histone deacetylase Sir2p also has a role in rDNA copy number regulation; in a sir2 mutant copy number fluctuated wildly, whereas in a fob1 mutant rDNA repeat fluctuation was reduced or eliminated (Kobayashi, 2008). In yeast, SIR1 and FOB1 affect cellular aging, sir1 mutants having a shorter lifespan and fob1 mutants a longer lifespan (Kobayashi, 2008). It was earlier shown that budding yeast cells accumulate extrachromosomal circles from the rDNA repeats preferentially in the mother cells as they age and that this accumulation in fact is a cause of aging (Sinclair and Guarente, 1997).

It is possible that there are cell types or developmental stages in some organisms that require many more rDNA copies than are normally transcribed, but even in the yeast S. cerevisiae only about half the (~150) copies are transcribed, and in a number of organisms, including yeast, viable mutants have been made with only a fraction of the normal number of rDNA copies (Takeuchi et al., 2003). There is a broad correlation between genome size and number of rDNA copies (Prokopowich et al., 2003), and this has led to a hypothesis that the rDNA may be acting as a sensor for DNA damage, protecting the rest of the genome by inducing DNA repair mechanisms or apoptosis. The extra copies present in the rDNA repeats would initially presumably buffer such damage, ensuring that sufficient undamaged copies were available for ribosome biosynthesis (Kobayashi, 2008). rDNA chromatin also seems to be able to affect the stability of heterochromatic repeats in trans, as loss of the NoRC component TIP5 leads to instability of microsatellite repeats (Guetg et al., 2010) and reduction in the number of Drosophila rDNA repeats themselves leads to a general release of heterochromatin silencing throughout the nucleus (Paredes and Maggert, 2009). Similarly, loss of chromatin assembly factor 1 activity in Arabidopsis led to loss of telomeric and rDNA repeats in successive generations, as well as enhanced sensitivity
to DNA damage (Mozgova et al., 2011). As rDNA repeats are the most common gene
in the genome, these effects may occur due to disrupted balance between euchromatin
and heterochromatin. However, at least in yeast, there is evidence that rDNA
organisation can also affect the wider genome by regulating the global distribution of
condensin (Wang and Strunnikov, 2008).

5. Conclusion

After many years in which the nucleolus was believed to have a well understood and
limited function in ribosome biogenesis, many novel results in recent years have
pointed to a wide range of biological activities being localized to this region of the
nucleus. As a great variety of species from different kingdoms have been used for these
studies, we cannot as yet tell whether all these various functions are carried out in the
nucleoli of all species. For the most part, what unifies these activities is the
involvement of RNA at some level, usually in the biogenesis or assembly of
RNA/protein machinery. Thus the nucleolus may be better regarded as an RNA
processing centre, rather than as purely a ribosome factory. There is clearly much to be
done to clearly define all the activities of the nucleolus, and further to explain why
these activities need to be partitioned together within a defined nuclear domain. The
answer may lie in efficiency – increasing the local concentrations of limiting factors by
sequestering them to the nucleolus. Alternatively, the answer may lie in evolutionary
history; the RNA biosynthetic activities may have colocalised for reasons such as the
use of common factors in different pathways. This may have left the various processes
inextricably linked.
Figure legends.

**Figure 1.** Comparison of the structure of (a) animal and (b) plant nucleolar organization. *TS*, transcription sites; *FC*, fibrillar centres; *DFC*, dense fibrillar component; *GC*, granular component. In plants (b) a central region is often present and is called the nucleolar cavity.

**Figure 2.** Examples of transient expression of GFP-fusions in suspension culture cells to proteins identified as nucleolar components. (a) – (c) RNA binding proteins with known animal homologues. (d), (e) Uncharacterized, plant-specific proteins. The nucleolus is indicated in each panel by an arrow. Bar=5µm.
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Figure 1. Comparison of the structure of (a) animal and (b) plant nucleolar organization. TS, transcription sites; FC, fibrillar centres; DFC, dense fibrillar component; GC, granular component. In plants (b) a central region is often present and is called the nucleolar cavity.
Figure 2. Examples of transient expression of GFP-fusions in suspension culture cells to proteins identified as nucleolar components. (a) – (c) RNA binding proteins with known animal homologues. (d),(e) Uncharacterized, plant-specific proteins. The nucleolus is indicated in each panel by an arrow. Bar=5μm.