Profilin-dependent Nucleation and Assembly of Actin Filaments Controls Cell Elongation in Arabidopsis

Lingyan Cao, a Jessica L. Henty-Ridilla, a,1 Laurent Blanchoin, b and Christopher J. Staiger a,c,2

aDepartment of Biological Sciences, Purdue University, Hansen Life Sciences Research Building, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907-2064
bInstitut de Recherches en Technologie et Sciences pour le Vivant (iRTSV), Commissariat à l'Énergie Atomique/Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique/Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique/Université Joseph Fourier, CEA Grenoble, F38054, France
cBindley Bioscience Center, Purdue University, Discovery Park, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907

1Current address: Department of Biology, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02454.
2Address correspondence to staiger@purdue.edu.

The author responsible for distribution of materials integral to the findings presented in this article in accordance with the policy described in the Instructions for Authors (www.plantcell.org) is Christopher J. Staiger (staiger@purdue.edu).
ABSTRACT

Actin filaments in plant cells are incredibly dynamic; they undergo incessant remodeling and assembly or disassembly within seconds. These dynamic events are choreographed by a plethora of actin-binding proteins, but the exact mechanisms are poorly understood. Here, we dissect the contribution of Arabidopsis PROFILIN1 (PRF1), a conserved actin monomer-binding protein, to actin organization and single filament dynamics during axial cell expansion of living Arabidopsis thaliana epidermal cells. We found that reduced PRF1 levels enhanced cell and organ growth. Surprisingly, we observed that the overall frequency of nucleation events in prf1 mutants was dramatically decreased and that a subpopulation of actin filaments that assemble at high rates was reduced. To test whether profilin cooperates with plant formin proteins to execute actin nucleation and rapid filament elongation in cells, we used a pharmacological approach. Here, we used Small Molecule Inhibitor of Formin FH2 (SMIFH2), after validating its mode of action on a plant formin in vitro, and observed a reduced nucleation frequency of actin filaments in live cells. Treatment of wild-type epidermal cells with SMIFH2 mimicked the phenotype of prf1 mutants and the nucleation frequency in prf1-2 mutant was completely insensitive to these treatments. Our data provide compelling evidence that PRF1 coordinates the stochastic dynamic properties of actin filaments by modulating formin-mediated actin nucleation and assembly during plant cell expansion.
INTRODUCTION

The actin cytoskeleton provides tracks for the deposition of cell wall materials and plays important roles during many cellular processes, such as cell expansion and morphogenesis, vesicle trafficking, and the response to biotic and abiotic signals (Baskin, 2005; Smith and Oppenheimer, 2005; Szymanski and Cosgrove, 2009; Ehrhardt and Bezanilla, 2013; Rounds and Bezanilla, 2013). Plant cells respond to diverse internal and external stimuli by tightly regulating the turnover and rearrangement of actin cytoskeleton networks in the cytoplasm (Staiger, 2000; Pleskot et al., 2013). How these actin rearrangements sense the cellular environment and what accessory proteins modulate specific aspects of remodeling remain an area of active investigation (Henty-Ridilla et al., 2013; Li et al., 2014a; Li et al., 2015).

Using high spatial and temporal resolution imaging afforded by variable-angle epifluorescence microscopy (VAEM) (Konopka and Bednarek, 2008), we quantified the behavior of actin filaments in Arabidopsis hypocotyl epidermal cells (Staiger et al., 2009). There are two types of actin filament arrays in the cortical cytoplasm of epidermal cells: bundles and single filaments. Generally, actin bundles are stable with higher pixel intensity values, whereas individual actin filaments are fainter, more ephemeral, and constantly undergo rapid assembly and disassembly through a mechanism that has been defined as “stochastic dynamics” (Staiger et al., 2009; Henty et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012; Li et al., 2015). Elongating actin filaments in the cortical cytoskeleton originate from three distinct locations: the ends of preexisting actin filaments, the side of filaments or bundles, and de novo in the cytoplasm. Plant actin filaments elongate at rates of 1.6–3.4 μm/s, which is the fastest assembly reported in eukaryotic cells. Distinct from the mechanism of treadmilling and fast depolymerization in vitro, however, the disassembly of single actin filaments occurs predominately through prolific severing activity (Staiger et al., 2009; Smertenko et al., 2010; Henty et al., 2011). A commonly held view is that the dynamic actin network in plant cells is regulated by the activities of conserved and novel actin-binding proteins (ABPs). Through reverse-genetic approaches and state-of-the-art imaging modalities, we and others have demonstrated that several key ABPs are involved in the regulation of
stochastic actin dynamic properties in a wide variety of plants and cell types (Thomas, 2012; Henty-Ridilla et al., 2013; Li et al., 2014a; Li et al., 2015). Through these efforts, the field has developed a working model for the molecular mechanisms that underpin actin organization and dynamics in plant cells (Li et al., 2015).

Profilin is a small (12–15 kDa), conserved actin-monomer binding protein present in all eukaryotic cells (dos Remedios et al., 2003). Profilin binds to actin by forming a 1:1 complex with globular (G-)actin, suppresses spontaneous actin nucleation, and inhibits monomer addition at filament pointed ends (Blanchoin et al., 2014). The consequences of profilin activity on actin filament turnover differ based on cellular conditions and the presence of other ABPs. In vitro studies show that the profilin-actin complex associates with the barbed ends of filaments and promotes actin polymerization by lowering the critical concentration and increasing nucleotide exchange on G-actin (Pollard and Cooper, 1984; Pantaloni and Carlier, 1993). When barbed ends are occupied by capping protein, profilin acts as an actin-monomer sequestering protein. These opposing effects of profilin might be a regulatory mechanism for profilin modulation of actin dynamics in cells. In addition to actin, profilin interacts with proline-rich proteins, as well as polyphosphoinositide lipids in vitro (Machesky et al., 1994). Formin is an ABP that mediates both actin nucleation and processive elongation using the pool of profilin-actin complexes (Blanchoin et al., 2010). The primary sequence of formin includes a proline-rich domain, named Formin Homology1 (FH1). Evidence from fission and budding yeast shows that profilin can increase filament elongation rates by binding to the FH1 domain (Kovar et al., 2003; Moseley and Goode, 2005; Kovar, 2006). The FH1 domain of Arabidopsis FORMIN1 (AtFH1) is also reported to modulate actin nucleation and polymerization in vitro (Michelot et al., 2005). Recently, two groups reported that profilin functions as a gatekeeper during the construction of different actin networks generated by formin or ARP2/3 complex in yeast and mammalian cells (Rotty et al., 2015; Suarez et al., 2015). These studies highlight the importance of profilin regulation in coordinating the different actin arrays present in the same cytoplasm of eukaryotic cells. However, direct evidence for how profilin facilitates formin-mediated actin nucleation or barbed end elongation in cells remains to be established.
Genomic sequencing and isolation of PROFILIN cDNAs from plants reveal that profilin is encoded by a multigene family. For example, moss (*Physcomitrella patens*) has three isovariants (Vidali et al., 2007) and maize (*Zea mays*) has five (Staiger et al., 1993; Kovar et al., 2001). In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, at least five PROFILIN genes have been identified (Christensen et al., 1996; Huang et al., 1996; Kandasamy et al., 2002). Studies in maize show that the biochemical properties of profilin isoforms differ in vitro (Kovar et al., 2000). Moreover, the localization of profilin isoforms reveals organ-specific expression patterns. Detection of protein levels in vivo with isovariant-specific profilin antibodies demonstrate that *Arabidopsis* PROFILIN1 (PRF1), PRF2 and PRF3 are constitutively expressed in vegetative tissues, whereas PRF4 and PRF5 are expressed mainly in flower and pollen tissues (Christensen et al., 1996; Huang et al., 1996; Ma et al., 2005).

Several genetic studies on the functions of profilin in plants have been conducted. Reduction of profilin levels in *P. patens* results in the inhibition of tip growth, disorganization of F-actin, and formation of actin patches (Vidali et al., 2007). Moreover, it was shown that the interaction between profilin and actin or proline-rich ligands is critical for tip growth in moss. *Arabidopsis* PRF1 has been demonstrated to be involved in cell elongation, cell shape maintenance, and control of flowering time through overexpression and antisense PRF1 transgenic plants and, further, the reduction of PRF1 inhibits the growth of hypocotyls (Ramachandran et al., 2000). However, investigation of a prf1-1 mutant, which contains a T-DNA insertion in the promoter region of the PRF1 gene, indicates that cell expansion of seedlings is promoted and that protein levels of PRF1 are regulated by light (McKinney et al., 2001). Recently, Müssar et al reported a new *Arabidopsis* T-DNA insertion allele, prf1-4, that shows an obvious dwarf seedling phenotype (Müssar et al., 2015). To date, however, there has not been a critical examination of the impact of the loss of profilin on the organization and dynamics of bona-fide single actin filaments in vivo.

Here, we use a combination of genetics and live-cell imaging to investigate the role of PRF1 in the control of actin dynamics and its effect on axial cell expansion. We observed a significant decrease in the overall filament nucleation frequency in prf1
mutants, which is opposite to expectations if profilin suppresses spontaneous nucleation. Through a pharmacological approach, we found that nucleation frequency in wild-type cells treated with a formin inhibitor, SMIFH2, phenocopied prf1 mutants. We also analyzed the dynamic turnover of individual filaments in prf1 mutants and observed a significant decrease in the rate of actin filament elongation and maximum length of actin filaments. Specifically, we found that PRF1 favors the growth of a subpopulation of actin filaments that elongate at rates greater than 2 μm/s and similar results were obtained in cells after SMIFH2 treatment. Our results provide compelling evidence that Arabidopsis PRF1 contributes to stochastic actin dynamics by modulating formin-mediated actin nucleation and filament elongation during axial cell expansion.
RESULTS

Arabidopsis prf1 Mutants Show Enhanced Cell and Organ Growth

In Arabidopsis thaliana, there are multiple PROFILIN (PRF) genes expressed in different organs (Christensen et al., 1996; Huang et al., 1996), among which PRF1 (At2g19760) has the highest predicted transcript level in dark-grown hypocotyls (Ma et al., 2005). To dissect the function of PRF1, two T-DNA insertion mutants (SALK_057718 and SALK_143800) were characterized. Because McKinney et al. (McKinney et al., 2001) named their prf1 mutant allele prf1-1, here we define two additional mutants as prf1-2 (SALK_057718) and prf1-3 (SALK_143800). The prf1-2 and prf1-3 alleles contain T-DNA insertions in the first exon and the first intron, respectively, of the PRF1 genomic DNA sequence (Figure 1A). To detect the expression level of PRF1 in wild-type plants and prf1 mutants, quantitative Real-Time (qRT) PCR experiments were performed. The qRT-PCR results showed that PRF1 transcripts were significantly reduced in both prf1-2 and prf1-3 mutants, although minor amounts of PCR products could still be detected (Figure 1B). To examine the PRF1 protein levels in prf1-2 and prf1-3 mutants, we conducted quantitative immunoblotting of total protein extracts from dark-grown seedlings with anti-AtPRF1 specific monoclonal antibody (Kandasamy et al., 2002). Compared to wild-type siblings, only 4% PRF1 was detected in prf1-2 and 40% PRF1 in prf1-3 (Figures 1C and 1D). The results indicate that prf1-2 is a knockout mutant and prf1-3 is a severe knockdown mutant. To determine whether total actin levels change to compensate for the loss of profilin, we also quantified actin in the same extracts. Total actin protein was not significantly altered in either prf1 mutant (Figures 1C and 1D).

To detect whether the growth of organs and cells was affected in the prf1 mutant lines, dark-grown seedlings were examined. At 5-d post germination, dark-grown hypocotyls of prf1 mutants appeared longer than wild-type controls (Figure 2A). To quantify these observations, a timecourse of seedling growth was analyzed. Hypocotyls from both prf1 mutants were modestly, but significantly, longer than wild-type siblings at the same timepoints (Figures 2B and 2C). Hypocotyl growth relies almost exclusively on
axial cell expansion, rather than cell division (Gendreau et al., 1997). Therefore, epidermal cell lengths from the apex and base of etiolated hypocotyls were measured in 5-d-old seedlings. Both apical and basal epidermal cells in the prf1 mutants were significantly longer than were those in wild-type cells (Figure 2D). Similar results were observed for root growth in the light as well as length of epidermal cells in the elongation zone of prf1 mutants (Figures 3A to 3D). Altogether, these results indicate that PRF1 negatively regulates axial cell expansion in etiolated hypocotyls and light-grown roots of Arabidopsis.

Epidermal Cells of prf1 Mutants Have Less Dense Actin Filament Arrays

To study cytoskeletal organization and dynamics, the actin cytoskeleton reporter comprised of green fluorescent protein fused with the second actin-binding domain of Arabidopsis FIMBRIN1 (GFP-fABD2) (Sheahan et al., 2004) was introduced by crossing a standard line (Staiger et al., 2009) with homozygous prf1 mutant plants and recovering mutants and wild-type siblings from the F2 generation. To test whether actin cytoskeleton organization was perturbed in prf1 mutants, images of epidermal cells at the base of 5-d-old dark-grown hypocotyls were collected by variable-angle epifluorescence microscopy (VAEM) (Konopka and Bednarek, 2008; Staiger et al., 2009). Actin arrays in the prf1-2 mutant appeared sparse and less bundled compared to wild type (Figure 2E). To quantitatively analyze these observations, two metrics, density and skewness, which are defined as the percentage of occupancy of actin filaments and the extent of bundling respectively, were measured as described previously (Higaki et al., 2010; Henty et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012; Henty-Ridilla et al., 2013). The density of actin filament arrays in homozygous prf1 mutants was significantly lower than that in wild-type siblings (Figures 2F and 2H) and the extent of bundling was also reduced (Figures 2G and 2I); however, the mutant phenotypes were less severe in prf1-3 compared to prf1-2. The reduction in apparent density of actin arrays was not due to differences in the reporter between the wild-type and mutant lines or due to lower fluorescence of individual filaments in the prf1 mutants. Mean fluorescence intensity values for more than 100 single filaments from each genotype showed no significant differences (e.g. 77 ± 25 in wild type versus 84 ± 22 in prf1-2, Figure S1). To evaluate
whether the reduction in percentage of occupancy in the prf1 mutants might be due to a unique interaction between actin filaments and the GFP-fABD2 reporter, we performed rhodamine-phalloidin labeling of formaldehyde fixed cells and imaged with spinning disk confocal microscopy. Although fixation and phalloidin staining did not give ideal preservation of single actin filaments in hypocotyl epidermal cells, the density of filament arrays was reduced in prf1-2 and prf1-3 mutants compared to wild-type cells (Figure S2). Interestingly, the extent of filament bundling was slightly increased in phalloidin-labeled epidermal cells of prf1 mutants compared to wild-type, and this again may be due to poor preservation of individual filaments during fixation (Figure S2).

Similar changes in actin array architecture were observed in epidermal cells from the elongation zone of light-grown roots, albeit the extent of filament bundling did not change in prf1 mutant cells (Figures 3E to 3I). Moreover, epidermal cells from 7-d-old cotyledons of the prf1 mutants also exhibited a significant reduction in filament density when compared to wild-type siblings (Figure S3). These quantitative analyses demonstrate that PRF1 regulates actin cytoskeleton architecture during axial cell expansion. Specifically, the results show that PRF1 is necessary to generate a dense array of fine actin filaments.

PRF1 is Involved in Actin Filament Nucleation

The above results imply that PRF1 contributes to axial cell expansion by regulating actin cytoskeleton organization. Previous biochemical studies show that plant profilin is an actin monomer-binding protein that can suppress spontaneous actin nucleation in vitro (Michelot et al., 2005). To further dissect the mechanisms by which PRF1 regulates actin organization in cells, we quantitatively assessed the formation and turnover of single actin filaments (Staiger et al., 2009) in prf1 mutant cells. Timelapse movies of epidermal cells from the base of 5-d-old dark-grown hypocotyls were collected by VAEM microscopy (Staiger et al., 2009). Previously, we established that growing actin filaments originate from three different locations: the ends of preexisting filaments; the side of another filament or bundle; and de novo in the cytoplasm (Staiger et al., 2009), as shown in Figure 4A. Although we recognize that true filament nucleation involving
formation of actin trimers is below the limits of detection by light microscopy, and that elongation from a preexisting filament is not really nucleation per se, for semantic purposes we refer to all of these as nucleation events in the remainder of the text.

To analyze the overall frequency of filament nucleation from the monomer pool, a new metric was developed. All newly-initiated, elongating filaments in a 400 μm² region-of-interest (ROI) were identified during a 100-s timelapse series from multiple cells. To minimize the effects of filament abundance or the density of the filament array, we normalized the nucleation frequency to average filament number within the ROI. Compared to wild-type cells (0.56 ± 0.04 events/filament/min), the overall actin nucleation frequency in prf1-2 mutant cells (0.35 ± 0.03 events/filament/min) was significantly decreased (Figure 4B). Overall nucleation frequency was also reduced in prf1-3 (Figure 4B) confirming that PRF1 promotes, rather than inhibits, actin filament nucleation in cells.

These data appear to contradict the hypothesis that PRF suppresses spontaneous nucleation in plant cells. To better understand how PRF1 regulates nucleation, we classified nucleation frequency into three categories based on filament origins. Notably, the nucleation frequency for filaments originating from the side and ends were decreased by as much as 3-fold in prf1 mutants, whereas de novo nucleation of actin filaments increased slightly compared with wild type (Figure 4C). Collectively, our data demonstrate that PRF1 contributes to nucleation by promoting initiation of filaments that grow from the side of other filaments or bundles in plant cells.

PRF1 Contributes to Actin Stochastic Dynamics by Regulating the Elongation Rate of Different Populations of Actin Filaments

To better understand how PRF1 affects the dynamics of single actin filaments in live cells, several parameters related to actin filament turnover were measured in epidermal cells from the base of 5-d-old dark-grown prf1 mutant hypocotyls (Staiger et al., 2009; Henty et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012; Li et al., 2014b). As shown in Table 1, not all parameters of single actin filament turnover were affected: severing frequency, regrowth of severed ends, and filament–filament annealing were unchanged in prf1 mutants
compared to wild type. Notably, compared to wild-type siblings (Figure 5A; Table 1), single actin filament elongation rates in the prf1 mutants were decreased up to 26% and maximum filament length was decreased up to 16% (Figure 5A; Table 1). For maximum filament lifetime, compared to wild type, the value was increased in the prf1-2 mutant, whereas it was not significantly different in the prf1-3 mutant (Table 1).

Our quantitative analyses demonstrate that actin filament elongation rates were significantly decreased in prf1 mutants (Figure 5B). We hypothesize that PRF1 may contribute to actin filament turnover by supporting the growth of distinct populations of filament ends. We categorized actin filament elongation rates into three populations — more than 2 μm/s, between 1 and 2 μm/s, and less than 1 μm/s — as described previously for budding yeast and plant cells (Yu et al., 2011; Cai et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014b). In wild-type cells, 49% of actin filaments grow at rates between 1 and 2 μm/s, only 16% elongate less than 1 μm/s, and 35% elongate at more than 2 μm/s (Figure 5C). In prf1-2 mutants, most actin filaments still elongate at a rate between 1 and 2 μm/s. However, the population of actin filaments elongating at rates less than 1 μm/s was significantly increased to 37%, whereas the population of more than 2 μm/s was decreased to 13%. Similar changes were observed in prf1-3 cells. Collectively, these data indicate that PRF1 contributes to actin filament dynamics by favoring fast actin filament elongation in Arabidopsis cells.

Inhibition of Formin Activity Leads to Reduced Actin Nucleation and Filament Assembly

The above results imply that PRF1 facilitates actin nucleation from the side of preexisting filaments and supports fast filament assembly in hypocotyl epidermal cells. However, profilin is not a direct actin filament nucleator in cells. The ARP2/3 complex and formins are reported nucleators in plants (Blanchoin et al., 2000). Notably, formins contain a proline-rich domain (FH1), which allows their association with profilin or profilin-bound actin monomers (Evangelista et al., 1997; Blanchoin et al., 2000; Goode and Eck, 2007). We hypothesize that reduction of formin activity in Arabidopsis would result in decreased nucleation frequency in cells and further that this would mimic the
prf mutant phenotype. To test this, we employed a small molecule inhibitor of formin FH2 domain (SMIFH2), which decreases yeast and mammalian formin-mediated nucleation and elongation in vitro (Rizvi et al., 2009). Although this compound has been applied to plant cells previously and reportedly effects actin filament dynamics (Rosero et al., 2013), we sought to validate its ability to inhibit plant formins in vitro. Using the minimal nucleation domain of Arabidopsis FORMIN1 (AtFORMIN1-FH2D; Michelot et al., 2005), we demonstrated that SMIFH2 could potently inhibit actin nucleation and assembly in pyrene-actin polymerization assays (Figure S4).

To test the effects of SMIFH2 in the Arabidopsis dark-grown hypocotyl, we applied a dose series of formin inhibitor (0, 1, 10, 25, 50 and 100 μM) for 5 min. Snapshots and timelapse movies of epidermal cells from the base of 5-d-old dark-grown hypocotyls treated with SMIFH2 were collected by VAEM microscopy. The formin inhibitor had a dose-dependent effect on actin array architecture, with concentrations above 10 μM resulting in significantly reduced filament array densities (Figures S5A and S5B). The extent of bundling was largely unaffected, however, except at highest concentration of 50 μM which resulted in a slight increase in skewness value (Figure S5C).

To address whether filament nucleation was altered, we analyzed the overall nucleation frequency as described above. We found that nucleation frequency was decreased after SMIFH2 treatment of wild-type cells, but a significant decrease occurred only at concentrations greater than 25 μM (Figure 6A). Compared to mock-treated cells (0.62 ± 0.03 events/filament/min), the overall actin nucleation frequency in cells after a 5-min, 25-μM SMIFH2 treatment (0.47 ± 0.03 events/filament/min) was significantly decreased (Figure 6A). Moreover, compared to mock treatment, the frequency of nucleation from the side showed a 40% decrease and the end of filaments had a 28% decrease after 25 μM SMIFH2 treatment, whereas de novo nucleation frequency was only slightly altered (Figure 6B). Similar changes were observed at other concentrations of SMIFH2 in a dose-dependent manner (Figure 6B). These observations confirm that formin promotes side nucleation events in plant epidermal cells. Further, the change of nucleation with SMIFH2 treatment phenocopies the prf1
mutant cells. To test whether formin and profilin might cooperate to execute nucleation of actin filaments in plant cells, we treated the prf1-2 mutant with 25 µM SMIFH2 and evaluated nucleation frequency. The mutant cells were insensitive to SMIFH2 treatment and showed no further reduction in overall nucleation frequency or side-branch formation (Figure S6). This supports the hypothesis that PRF1 and formins function together to regulate actin filament nucleation in plant cells.

Studies in yeast and mammalian cells indicate that profilin promotes formin-mediated processive actin assembly at filament ends (Kovar, 2006). Therefore, we hypothesized that inhibition of formin activity would result in slower actin filament elongation rates. To address this, we measured the elongation rate of single actin filaments after a 5-min SMIFH2 treatment of wild-type epidermal cells. Compared to mock treatment, the elongation rate of growing actin filaments showed a 22% decrease with 25 µM SMIFH2 (Figure 6C). By binning assembling actin filaments into different populations, as we did for prf1 mutants, we found that the proportion of actin filaments that elongate at rates of more than 2 µm/s significantly decreased compared to mock treatment, whereas the population of actin filaments elongating at less than 2 µm/s significantly increased (Figure 6D). Collectively, our data support the view that formin promotes actin assembly in cells and favors the population of actin filaments that elongate at rates greater than 2 µm/s.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we characterized the function of PRF1 with respect to the regulation of actin dynamics and organization in living cells of Arabidopsis. We found that axial cell expansion was enhanced when PRF1 protein level is decreased in etiolated hypocotyl epidermal cells and in light-grown root cells. This correlated with altered cytoskeletal architecture in prf1 mutant cells. Interestingly, we observed that filament nucleation frequency was significantly decreased in prf1 mutants. Moreover, we found that PRF1 supports high actin filament elongation rates; specifically, it is involved in the growth of a subpopulation of filaments that extend at rates more than 2 µm/s. Other properties, such as maximum filament length, and maximum filament lifetime were also affected in prf1
mutants. These results are the first genetic evidence for regulation of actin dynamics by PRF1 and demonstrate a requirement for plant profilin to facilitate the nucleation and assembly of actin filaments.

**PRF1 Participates in the Regulation of Actin Filament Stochastic Dynamics in Living Cells of Arabidopsis**

We characterized two Arabidopsis T-DNA insertion lines in which PRF1 protein levels were significantly reduced. The density of actin filament arrays was significantly decreased in prf1 cells from several tissues. This is somewhat hard to interpret based on the biochemical properties of profilin and actin in vitro. Several mechanisms are thought to maintain the abundance of actin filaments in cells: the ratio of monomeric to filamentous actin, actin nucleation frequency, filament polymerization rates, and the availability of filament ends (Pollard et al., 2000). It is noteworthy that the 10:1 ratio of monomeric to filamentous actin is much higher in plant cells than in animal and yeast systems (Wang et al., 2005; Staiger and Blanchoin, 2006). Profilin is considered to have multifaceted effects on the assembly of actin filaments depending on the availability of barbed ends. When the barbed end is uncapped, profilin promotes actin filament elongation, whereas ADP-actin monomer depolymerizes from pointed ends when the barbed end is occupied with capping protein (CP) (Sun et al., 1995; Staiger et al., 1997; Pollard et al., 2000). Therefore, the status of actin filament barbed ends might be a potential mechanism for the function of PRF1 on the polymerization of actin filaments in vivo.

Previously, we established metrics to describe the dynamic properties of single actin filaments from timelapse VAEM images, including filament elongation rate, severing frequency, maximum filament length, and maximum filament lifetime (Staiger et al., 2009; Henty et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012; Henty-Ridilla et al., 2013; Li et al., 2014b). By analyzing these parameters in live epidermal cells, we demonstrated that ADF4 facilitates the turnover of actin filaments through its severing activity (Henty et al., 2011) and that CP regulates filament ends to contribute to the remodeling of actin filaments (Li et al., 2012; Li et al., 2014b). In this study, reduction of PRF1 protein levels led to a significant decrease in actin filament elongation rate and maximum filament...
length but, in contrast, increased the maximum filament lifetime. These data provide compelling evidence that profilin promotes the polymerization of actin filaments at barbed ends \textit{in vivo}. Similar results were reported recently for a prf4 prf5 double mutant of \textit{Arabidopsis}; specifically, F-actin content and filament elongation rates were reduced when profilin levels are decreased in pollen (Liu et al., 2015). Elongation of actin filaments is determined by two factors: regulation of actin monomers and the availability of filament ends (Pollard et al., 2000). It has been suggested that profilin facilitates filament assembly by boosting nucleotide exchange on actin monomers (Wolven et al., 2000; Lu and Pollard, 2001); however, plant profilins do not have this activity or actually inhibit nucleotide exchange on plant actin (Perelroizen et al., 1996; Kovar et al., 2001). In \textit{Arabidopsis}, adenylyl cyclase-associated protein (CAP) is able to mediate nucleotide exchange on G-actin \textit{in vitro} (Chaudhry et al., 2007). One possible mechanism suggests that PRF1 functions synergistically with CAP in \textit{Arabidopsis} to regulate actin filament growth at barbed ends. Indeed, the cooperation between plant ADF, CAP and profilin has been demonstrated to facilitate the recycling of ADP-actin and treadmilling through the filament pool \textit{in vitro} (Chaudhry et al., 2007). Since single actin filaments do not exhibit treadmilling behavior \textit{in vivo} (Staiger et al., 2009), additional mechanisms for profilin function must be considered.

**PRF1 is Implicated in FORMIN-mediated Actin Nucleation and Filament Elongation**

Previous biochemical data indicate that plant profilin suppresses spontaneous nucleation from a pool of monomers \textit{in vitro} (Michelot et al., 2005; Staiger and Blanchoin, 2006). Based on this, we hypothesized that filament nucleation frequency would be increased in prf1 mutants, because of the presumed greater availability of free monomers. However, the results were the exact opposite; prf1 mutants had a significantly reduced overall actin nucleation frequency. Our interpretation is that the function of PRF1 on actin nucleation in plant cells is not limited to spontaneous nucleation or, conversely, PRF1 is necessary for the function of specific nucleators in plant cells. Two important types of actin nucleators in eukaryotic cells are ARP2/3 complex and formin (Pollard et al., 2000; Pruyne et al., 2002). The ARP2/3 complex
nucleates actin filaments preferentially associated with the side of preexisting filaments \textit{in vitro}. Furthermore, the ARP2/3 complex remains bound to the pointed end of growing actin filaments, whereas the free barbed ends are quickly occupied by CP. The ARP2/3 complex contributes to the formation of dendritic actin filament arrays and favors network formation in mammalian cells but its molecular mechanism in plants is poorly defined (Blanchoin et al., 2000).

Formin bears a conserved actin-binding domain, formin-homology 2 (FH2), and a proline-rich domain, formin-homology 1 (FH1), which binds to profilin or profilin-bound actin monomers efficiently (Evangelista et al., 1997; Blanchoin et al., 2000; Goode and Eck, 2007). Budding yeast formins nucleate and stimulate the assembly of unbranched filaments \textit{in vitro} (Pruyne et al., 2002) and contribute to the formation of actin cables \textit{in vivo} (Evangelista et al., 1997). Studies in both animal and yeast cells demonstrate that several formins processively associate with barbed ends to facilitate the creation of long actin filaments from profilin-actin (Kovar and Pollard, 2004; Romero et al., 2004; Kovar, 2006). Recent studies in fission yeast and mammalian systems show that profilin functions as a gatekeeper for the allocation of actin monomers to ARP2/3- or formin-dependent pathways (Suarez et al., 2015; Rotty et al., 2015). Through single-molecule imaging techniques \textit{in vitro}, profilin-bound actin monomers were observed to favor formin-mediated long, unbranched actin filament formation and inhibit ARP2/3-mediated short, branched actin networks. Our data demonstrate directly that PRF1 is involved in both filament nucleation and elongation in plant cells.

PRF1 is probably a key element in the formin-mediated nucleation process in \textit{Arabidopsis}. More than 20 \textit{Arabidopsis} FORMIN isovariants exist (Blanchoin and Michelot, 2012; Cvrckova, 2013). FORMIN1 (AtFH1) promotes actin polymerization more efficiently from a pool of profilin-bound actin monomers than from free actin monomers \textit{in vitro} (Michelot et al., 2005). However, AtFH1 is a non-processive nucleator that binds to the side of preexisting actin filaments and nucleates branched filament assembly (Michelot et al., 2006). In this study, we observed that the population of nucleation events that originates from the side of a mother filament was significantly decreased in \textit{prf1} mutants, which indicates that PRF1 favors the formation of side/branched filament creation. Based on the observation that SMIFH2 treatment
recapitulates the prf1 phenotype of reduced side nucleation, it seems likely that PRF1 cooperates with AtFH1, and perhaps other formins, to regulate branched actin filament formation in plant cells.

Further genetic analyses should be designed to dissect the interaction between formin and profilin in Arabidopsis. Overexpression of AtFH1 in pollen tubes promotes the formation of actin bundles (Cheung and Wu, 2004; Cheung et al., 2010). However, a recent report on Arabidopsis fh1 T-DNA insertion mutants showed the presence of more bundles compared to wild-type rhizodermis cells (Rosero et al., 2013). Unfortunately, single filament properties, like nucleation frequency and elongation rate, were not analyzed in the fh1 mutants. Future work with the fh1 mutants should test whether those metrics are affected. If PRF1 and AtFH1 cooperate, we expect both reduced elongation rate and nucleation frequency of branched filaments in the fh1 mutants.

In addition to nucleation, most formins bind to the barbed end of actin filaments and facilitate filament elongation in a processive manner (Kovar and Pollard, 2004). Evidence from yeast and animal systems indicate that formin-mediated actin filament elongation rates increase 5- to 10-fold in the presence of profilin and promote the formation of long cables/filaments (Kovar and Pollard, 2004; Romero et al., 2004; Kovar, 2006). The same is true of For2, a type II formin from P. patens (Vidali et al., 2009). Direct evidence came from the visualization of actin elongation by the FH1-FH2 domains of For2 protein in vitro. Although the FH1 domain of For2 is rich in contiguous proline repeats, actin elongation activity was lower in the presence of human profilin (Vidali et al., 2009; van Gisbergen and Bezanilla, 2013). In Arabidopsis, AtFH1 is capable of promoting actin nucleation but is a non-processive formin in vitro that leaves filament barbed ends free for assembly or capping by CP (Michelot et al., 2005; Michelot et al., 2006). According to previous reports on budding yeast cells, distinct subpopulations of cable elongation rates are driven by different mechanisms (Chesarone-Cataldo et al., 2011; Yu et al., 2011). For example, the subpopulation of filaments that elongate at rates of 1 to 2 μm/s depends on the formins Bni1 and Bnr1.

To test whether different subpopulations of growing actin filaments exist in plant cells, we categorized growing filament rates into three populations, as described for budding yeast, and analyzed the percentage of different actin filament elongation rates
in cpb-1 mutants and CPOX#1 seedlings (Li et al., 2014b). We demonstrate that excess CP prefers to bind the barbed ends of filaments that grow at rates of more than 2 μm/s (Li et al., 2014b). Similarly, we addressed the question whether PRF1 affects the distribution of a subpopulation of actin filament elongation rates in plant cells. In prf1 mutants, average filament elongation rates were significantly decreased. These data indicate that PRF1 favors a subpopulation of fast-growing filaments that elongate at rates of more than 2 μm/s and also support our previous hypothesis that growing filament barbed ends in plant cells are either free or bound by formin (Li et al., 2014a). Although evidence in vitro shows that AtFH1 is a non-processive formin (Michelot et al., 2006), we should not exclude the possibility that processive FORMINs exist in Arabidopsis. If other formin isovariants are processive elongation factors, we expect a reduction of the fast-growing filament population in specific formin mutants.

**PRF1 is Involved in the Modulation of Cell Expansion and Morphogenesis**

The mechanism that coordinates cell expansion with actin cytoskeleton organization is a long-standing but unresolved question for plant cell biologists (Smith and Oppenheimer, 2005; Hussey et al., 2006; Szymanski and Cosgrove, 2009). It is a generally held view that the cortical actin cytoskeleton affects anisotropic cell expansion by providing long tracks for the delivery of cell wall materials to the growth sites (Smith and Oppenheimer, 2005; Sampathkumar et al., 2013; Li et al., 2014a). Perturbing the actin cytoskeleton with actin-disrupting drugs (cytochalasin and latrunculin) impairs Golgi-derived vesicle secretion and inhibits directed tip growth (Hepler et al., 2001). Moreover, accumulating evidence from actin or ABP deficient mutants indicates that disturbance of the actin cytoskeleton leads to obvious defects in cell expansion and morphogenesis (Dyachok et al., 2011; Henty et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012; Cai et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014b).

Profilin is reported to affect plant cell expansion in several studies. For example, tip growth in moss protonemal cells is inhibited in profilin RNAi mutants (Vidali et al., 2010). In Arabidopsis, Ramachandran et al. (2000) generated profilin overexpression (PFN-O) and underexpression (PFN-U) plants. They observed dwarf and shorter etiolated hypocotyls and roots in PFN-U seedlings (Ramachandran et al., 2000). In contrast, Meagher’s group found that the prf1-1 mutant, which contains a T-DNA
insertion in the promoter region of the PRF1 gene, has longer dark-grown hypocotyls and elevated organs (McKinney et al., 2001). Interestingly, another T-DNA insertion mutant in the first intron of PRF1 gene, prf1-4, results in light-grown seedlings and plants with a modest dwarfed phenotype (Müssar et al., 2015). These observations indicate that defects of PRF1 in Arabidopsis disrupt normal cell expansion and morphogenesis. Here, we used two T-DNA insertion mutants, prf1-2 and prf1-3, and observed that reduction of PRF1 levels in plants resulted in longer hypocotyl and root epidermal cells. Our data support the idea that PRF1 is involved in the axial cell expansion process. However, the molecular mechanism that underpins how the actin cytoskeleton in prf1 mutants regulates cell expansion is unclear.

To address the role of actin cytoskeleton during cell growth, we previously analyzed axial cell expansion in several ABP mutants with opposite effects on actin architecture in cells but discovered that there was no direct correlation between the organization of actin arrays and cell expansion (Henty et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012; Cai et al., 2014). However, two single-filament parameters, maximum actin filament length and lifetime, were found to positively correlate with axial cell expansion. It is predicted that increased filament length and lifetime could provide longer and more stable tracks for vesicle trafficking to expansion sites where exocytosis occurs and thereby promote cell growth (Li et al., 2014a; Li et al., 2014b; Li et al., 2015). In prf1 mutants, the lifetime of single actin filaments was increased, which supports this model and explains the increased hypocotyl cell elongation; however, the modestly reduced filament length in prf1 mutants challenges this interpretation.

It is noteworthy that there are several additional factors in plants to organize cell expansion and polarity establishment. For example, the Rho GTPase of Plant (ROP) signaling pathway has been reported to guide polarity establishment of the puzzle-piece shaped epidermal pavement cells in Arabidopsis leaves. The ROP2-RIC4 pathway promotes the formation of dynamic actin filaments in the lobe region and supports the local protrusion of pavement cells (Fu et al., 2005). Recently, Yang’s group demonstrated that the ROP2-RIC4 pathway regulates local endocytosis of PIN1 protein, an auxin transporter, to facilitate lobe formation in pavement cells (Nagawa et al., 2012). This provides us a broad view to explore the correlation between actin cytoskeleton and
cell expansion. Future work should address the properties of vesicle trafficking and PIN polarity in actin-deficient mutants during cell morphogenesis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Material and Growth Conditions

Arabidopsis thaliana ecotype Columbia-0 (Col-0) was used in this study. Two PROFILIN1 T-DNA insertion lines, prf1-2 (SALK_057718) and prf1-3 (SALK_143800), and PROFILIN2 T-DNA insertion line, prf2 (SALK_129071) obtained from the ABRC (Ohio State University), were crossed to wild-type Col-0 expressing the GFP-fABD2 reporter (Sheahan et al., 2004; Staiger et al., 2009). Homozygous mutants, as well as wild-type siblings, were recovered from F2 populations. Individuals were genotyped by PCR using primers described in Table S1. Homozygous F3 or F4 plants expressing GFP-fABD2 were used for all experiments.

Seeds were surface sterilized with a solution of 50% (v/v) bleach and 0.1% (w/v) SDS, and plated on ½-strength Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium supplemented with 1% (w/v) agar and 1% (w/v) sucrose, and kept at 4°C for 48 h before transfer to the growth chamber. After exposure to light for 2 h, plates were covered with foil and grown at 21°C for 5 d before imaging of hypocotyl epidermal cells. For root growth analysis, seed was sown on plates prepared with ½-strength MS medium supplemented with 0.6% (w/v) agar without sucrose, and grown vertically under long day conditions (16 h light / 8 h dark).

A double-blind experimental design was used for all phenotypic analyses. All image measurements were performed with Image J (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/).

RNA Extraction and qRT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted from 7-d-old dark-grown hypocotyls. Seedlings were transferred to liquid nitrogen immediately after harvest and ground into a powder with precooled pestles. TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) was used for RNA purification, according to the manufacturer’s protocol. RNA was reverse-transcribed using SuperScript™ II
Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) and Random Primer Mix (New England Biolabs). To determine PRF1 expression levels in hypocotyls, quantitative-Real Time (qRT) PCR was performed using FastStart SYBR Green master mix and a LightCycler 96 thermocycler (Roche). Primers for PRF1 are shown in Table S1. For all experiments, gene expression was normalized to GAPD transcript levels (Khurana et al., 2010; Henty et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012). Three biological and technical repeats were performed for each genotype.

**Semi-quantitative Immunoblotting**

Five-day-old, dark-grown seedlings of prf1-2 GFP-fABD2, prf1-3 GFP-fABD2, prf2 GFP-fABD2, and corresponding wild-type siblings were used to estimate PRF1 protein levels. About 0.2 g of whole-plant material was ground with liquid nitrogen into a fine powder and suspended with homogenization buffer containing 20 mM Hepes/ KCl pH 7.2, 50 mM KOAc, 2 mM Mg(OAc)₂, 250 mM sorbitol, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, and 1% (v/v) protease inhibitor cocktail (2 mM o-phenanthroline, 0.5 mg/ml leupeptin, 2 mg/ml aprotinin, 1 mg/ml pepstatin). This was followed by centrifugation at 4°C, 15,000 × g for 5 min, as described previously (Chaudhry et al., 2007). Total protein concentration from supernatant was determined with the Bradford assay (Protein Assay; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) using bovine serum albumin as a standard. For each genotype, 4 μg of total protein was loaded on the same SDS–PAGE. Proteins separated by SDS–PAGE were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes and probed with anti-mAbPRF1a antibodies (Kerafast, Boston, MA) at a dilution of 1:2000, as described by McKinney et al. (McKinney et al., 2001). Actin was detected on the same membranes using the JLA-20 monoclonal antibody (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, Univ. of Iowa). Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase antibody (PEPC; Rockland Immunochemicals, Gilbertville, PA) was used as a loading control. The blots were incubated in horseradish peroxidase–coupled secondary antibody (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) at 1:50,000 dilution and developed with SuperSignal Pico West chemiluminescent substrate (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Densitometric analysis was performed for quantitative measurements of protein levels using ImageJ.
Fold change in PRF1 level, normalized to PEPC and relative to protein levels in the control sample, was calculated. Five biological replicates were performed per genotype.

**Time-Lapse Imaging of Actin Filament Dynamics**

Fluorescent images of epidermal cells were collected using timelapse Variable-Angle Epifluorescence Microscopy (VAEM) as described previously (Staiger et al., 2009; Henty et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012). An Olympus TIRF objective (60X, 1.45 numerical aperture) was used. Images were acquired using SlideBook software (version 5.5; Intelligent Imaging Innovations, Denver, CO) and processed with Image J or Photoshop software (Adobe Systems, USA).

The cortical actin cytoskeleton in epidermal cells was imaged in 5-d-old dark-grown hypocotyls expressing GFP-fABD2 (Staiger et al., 2009). To compare single actin filament parameters between prf1 mutant and wild-type sibling cells, double-blind experiments (data collection and analysis) were performed. Maximum filament length and lifetime, filament severing frequency, and elongation rates were measured as described previously (Staiger et al., 2009; Henty et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012; Li et al., 2014b). Regrowth or annealing frequency of the presumed plus ends was determined as a percentage; the number of events observed was divided by the total number of ends for each growing filament and multiplied by 100. For nucleation frequency analysis, a 400 μm² region-of-interest (ROI) was randomly selected from images of epidermal cells at the base of the hypocotyl and all observable nucleation events were counted during a 100 s time period. For this parameter, more than 380 events from 15 hypocotyls were observed per genotype. To account for differences in filament density in genotypes, nucleation frequency was normalized against filament numbers in the ROI.

**Quantitative Analyses of Cytoskeleton Architecture**

To quantify actin architecture, two parameters, skewness and density, were employed in this study; these parameters describe the extent of actin filament bundling and the percentage of occupancy of GFP signal in an image, respectively, as noted previously.
(Higaki et al., 2010; Khurana et al., 2010; Henty et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012). All images used for these parameters were collected with a fixed exposure time, laser power, and camera gain setting for prf1 mutants and their respective controls. Micrographs were analyzed in Image J using the methods described previously (Higaki et al., 2010; Henty et al., 2011; Li et al., 2012). For these measurements, at least 300 images of hypocotyl epidermal cells per genotype or more than 236 images of epidermal cells from root elongation zone were collected from 15 individual seedlings per genotype.

Supplemental Material

The following materials are available in the online version of this article.

Supplemental Methods and References

**Figure S1.** The average pixel intensity of single actin filaments is not altered in the prf1 mutants.

**Figure S2.** Phalloidin labeling of actin array architecture gives similar results to live-cell imaging of GFP-fABD2.

**Figure S3.** PRF1 is required for actin organization in epidermal cells of the cotyledon in Arabidopsis.

**Figure S4.** AtFormin1-mediated actin nucleation is inhibited by SMIFH2 *in vitro*

**Figure S5.** The density of actin filament arrays is reduced following treatment with SMIFH2.

**Figure S6.** The frequency of filament nucleation in prf1-2 mutant is insensitive to SMIFH2 treatment.

**Table S1.** Primers used for genotyping and quantitative real-time PCR.

Accession Numbers

Sequence data for *PRF1* can be found in the Arabidopsis Genome Initiative database
(accession no. AT2G19760).
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**Figure Legends**

**Figure 1.** Quantification of PRF1 expression in prf1 mutants of *Arabidopsis*

(A) Diagram of PROFILIN1 (PRF1) gene and T-DNA mutant alleles. Triangles show T-DNA insertions; black lines represent introns; blue bars represent exons; and grey bars represent non-translated regions. Primers used for quantitative RealTime (qRT)-PCR in (B) are labeled with arrows and sequences are given in Table S1.

(B) Relative expression levels of PRF1 were examined by qRT-PCR analysis of 5-d-old dark-grown seedlings from homozygous prf mutants (*prf1*-2, *prf1*-3) and wild-type (WT) siblings, all marked with GFP-ABD2 reporter. All data were normalized to GAPD transcript levels. Values represent mean ± SE from three biological repeats.

(C) Representative immunoblot of PRF1 and actin protein levels in 5-d-old dark-grown seedlings of *prf1* mutants (*prf1*-2, *prf1*-3) and wild-type siblings, all marked with GFP-ABD2. Proteins were detected with anti-AtPRF1 or JLA-20 monoclonal antibodies, respectively. As a loading control, membranes were reprobed with PEP-carboxylase antibody.

(D) Quantitative analysis of PRF1 and actin protein levels measured by densitometry of the profilin or actin band from each genotype and then calculating the fold change compared to wild-type siblings. All data represent mean ± SE from five biological repeats.

**Figure 2.** Perturbed actin architecture in *prf1* mutants enhances hypocotyl growth and epidermal cell length in *Arabidopsis*

(A) Representative images of 5-d-old dark-grown seedlings of wild type and *prf1* mutants. Bar = 1 cm.

(B and C) Etiolated hypocotyls from homozygous *prf1*-2 (B) and *prf1*-3 (C) mutants were significantly longer than wild-type siblings over a developmental time course. Values represent mean ± SE, n ≥ 50 seedlings per genotype, *P* < 0.05, **P** < 0.005, Student’s *t* test.
(D) Epidermal cell lengths were measured from apical and basal regions of 5-d-old etiolated hypocotyls. The average length of epidermal cells from prf1-2 and prf1-3 mutants was significantly longer than that of wild-type siblings. Values represent mean ± SE, n ≥ 50 cells from at least 10 hypocotyls per genotype, ***P < 0.001, Student’s t test.

(E) Representative micrographs of epidermal cells from the apical and basal regions of 5-d-old etiolated hypocotyls. Actin filament arrays in prf1-2 mutant cells appeared to be more sparse and less bundled compared to wild-type cells. Bar = 10 μm.

(F and G) Quantitative analysis of the percentage of occupancy (density) of actin filament arrays in epidermal cells from the basal region of hypocotyls. The density of actin arrays in prf1-2 (F) and prf1-3 (G) mutants was significantly decreased compared to wild-type siblings. Values represent mean ± SE, n ≥ 300 cells from 10 hypocotyls per genotype, **P < 0.005, Student’s t test.

(H and I) Quantitative analysis of the extent of filament bundling (skewness) in epidermal cells from the basal region of hypocotyls. The same images used for (F and G) were analyzed for skewness. Compared to wild type, actin filament arrays in prf1-2 (H) and prf1-3 (I) mutants were significantly less bundled.

Figure 3. PRF1 is required for normal cortical actin organization in epidermal cells of the root elongation zone

(A) Representative images of 7-d-old light-grown roots of wild type and prf1 mutants. Bar = 1 cm.

(B and C) Root lengths were measured from light-grown seedlings over a developmental time course. Root lengths of prf1-2 and prf1-3 were significantly longer compared to wild-type siblings. Values represent mean ± SE, n ≥ 50 roots per genotype, ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.01 *P < 0.05, ND P > 0.05, Student’s t test.

(D) Epidermal cell lengths were measured from the elongation zone of 7-d-old light-grown roots. The cell length of prf1-1 and prf1-2 mutants was significantly longer compared to wild-type siblings. Values represent mean ± SE, n ≥ 200 cells from at least 15 roots, ***P < 0.001, Student’s t test.
(E) Representative micrographs of epidermal cells from the elongation zone of 7-d-old light-grown roots. Actin filament arrays in prf1-2 mutant cells appeared to be less dense compared to wild-type cells. Bar = 10 μm.

(F and H) Quantitative analysis of the percentage of occupancy (density) of actin filament arrays in epidermal cells from the elongation zone of roots. The density of actin arrays in prf1-2 (F) and prf1-3 (H) mutants was significantly decreased compared to wild type. Values represent mean ± SE, n ≥ 236 cells from 15 roots per genotype, **P < 0.005, ***P < 0.001, Student’s t test.

(G and I) Quantitative analysis of the extent of filament bundling (skewness) in epidermal cells from the elongation zone of roots. The same images used for (F and H) were analyzed for skewness. The extent of bundling of actin filament arrays in prf1-2 (G) and prf1-3 (I) mutants was not different compared to wild type.

Figure 4. The frequency of filament nucleation events is reduced in prf1 mutants

(A) Three classes of actin filament origin were observed in epidermal cells of 5-d-old dark-grown hypocotyls. Actin filaments initiated from the end of an existing actin filament (top row), the side of an actin filament or bundle (middle row), and de novo in the cytoplasm. Green dots: new growing actin filament, Red dots: preexisting actin filament. Bar = 5 μm.

(B) The overall frequency of actin nucleation was defined as the total number of filament origins per filament per minute in a 400 μm² region of interest. Compared to wild type, total nucleation frequency in prf1-2 and prf1-3 was significantly decreased. Values represent mean ± SE, n ≥ 380 events per genotype, ***P < 0.001, Student’s t test.

(C) The frequency of actin nucleation types was analyzed using the method indicated in (B). Compared to wild type, nucleation frequency from filament sides and ends in prf1-2 and prf1-3 were significantly decreased, whereas de novo nucleation frequency significantly was increased compared to wild type. Values represent mean ± SE, n ≥ 380 events per genotype or treatment, ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.005, *P < 0.05, Student’s t test.
Figure 5. The proportion of filament ends with different elongation rates changes in prf1 mutants.

(A) A representative actin filament from a wild-type (top) epidermal cell (green dots) elongated rapidly (1.61 μm/s) and was subsequently disassembled by numerous severing events (red arrows). A representative actin filament from a prf1-2 mutant (bottom) epidermal cell elongated more slowly (0.74 μm/s) and persisted for a longer period before severing events occurred (red arrows). Bars = 5 μm.

(B) Box plots show the average filament elongation rate in epidermal cells from 5-d-old etiolated hypocotyls of prf1-2 and prf1-3. The box spans first and third quartiles. The line inside the box shows median value. Bars on the top and bottom represent the maximum and minimum value. The red circles represent mean values for single filament elongation rate. Compared to wild type, the filament elongation rates were significantly slower in prf1-2 and prf1-3. n ≥ 100 single actin filaments per genotype or treatment, ***P < 0.001, Student’s t test.

(C) Filament elongation rates were categorized into three populations: more than 2 μm/s, between 1 and 2 μm/s, and less than 1 μm/s, as described previously (Li et al., 2014b). Compared to wild type, the percentage of filament elongation rates > 2 μm/s decreased in prf1-2 and prf1-3, whereas the percentage of filament elongation rates < 1 μm/s increased. n ≥ 100 single actin filaments per genotype or treatment, ***P < 0.001, Chi-square test.

Figure 6. Nucleation frequency and rate of actin filament elongation are inhibited by SMIFH2.

(A) Nucleation frequency was measured by counting the total nucleation events per filament in a 400 μm² ROI over a 100 s time period after SMIFH2 treatment. Total nucleation frequency was inhibited by SMIFH2 treatment in a dose-dependent manner. Significant decrease of nucleation frequency appeared at concentrations of SMIFH2
greater than 25 μM. n > 300 events from 30 cells of 15 hypocotyls per treatment, Student t test, P*** < 0.001.

(B) Nucleation frequency by origins was analyzed in the same way as described for nucleation frequency in (A). Compared to mock treatment, nucleation frequency of filaments originating from the side of filament or bundle decreased significantly after SMIFH2 treatment. However, nucleation frequency of filament originating de novo or from ends changed slightly. n > 300 events from 30 cells of 15 hypocotyls per treatment, Student t test, P*** < 0.001, P** < 0.01, P* < 0.05.

(C) Box plots show the average filament elongation rate in epidermal cells from 5-d-old etiolated hypocotyls without or with a 5 min, 25 μM SMIFH2 treatment. The box spans first and third quartiles. The line inside the box shows median value. Bars on the top and bottom represent the maximum and minimum values. The red circles represent mean values for single filament elongation rate. Compared to the mock, the filament elongation rates were significantly slower with SMIFH2 treatment. n ≥ 100 single actin filaments per treatment, **P < 0.005, Student’s t test.

(D) The proportion of single actin filament elongation rates was altered with SMIFH2 treatment. Filament elongation rates were categorized into three populations: more than 2 μm/s, between 1 and 2 μm/s, and less than 1 μm/s, as described previously (Li et al., 2014b). Compared to mock treatment, the percentage of filament elongation rates > 2 μm/s decreased with SMIFH2 treatment, whereas the percentage of filament elongation rates between < 2 μm/s increased. n ≥ 100 single actin filaments per genotype or treatment, *P < 0.05, Chi-square test.

Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Single actin filament dynamics in WT and prf1 mutants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stochastic Dynamic Parameters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elongation rate; μm/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severing frequency; breaks/μm/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. filament length; μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max. filament lifetime; s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convolutedness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rate of Convolutedness Change; s⁻¹</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Measurements were taken from the basal region of 5-d-old dark-grown hypocotyls. Values represent mean ± SE. n ≥ 50 filaments from at least 10 hypocotyls per genotype, filament origins: n ≥ 60 cells from at least 10 hypocotyls per genotype. **P < 0.005, ***P < 0.001, ND P ≥ 0.05, Student's t test.
Figure 1. Quantification of PRF1 expression in prf1 mutants of Arabidopsis

(A) Diagram of PROFILIN1 (PRF1) gene and T-DNA mutant alleles. Triangles show T-DNA insertions; black lines represent introns; blue bars represent exons; and grey bars represent non-translated regions. Primers used for quantitative RealTime (qRT)-PCR in (B) are labeled with arrows and sequences are given in Table S1.

(B) Relative expression levels of PRF1 were examined by qRT-PCR analysis of 5-d-old dark-grown seedlings from homozygous prf mutants (prf1-2, prf1-3) and wild-type (WT) siblings, all marked with GFP-ABD2 reporter. All data were normalized to GAPD transcript levels. Values represent mean ± SE from three biological repeats.

(C) Representative immunoblot of PRF1 and actin protein levels in 5-d-old dark-grown seedlings of prf1 mutants (prf1-2, prf1-3) and wild-type siblings, all marked with GFP-ABD2. Proteins were detected with anti-AtPRF1 or JLA-20 monoclonal antibodies, respectively. As a loading control, membranes were reprobed with PEP-carboxylase antibody.

(D) Quantitative analysis of PRF1 and actin protein levels measured by densitometry of the profilin or actin band from each genotype and then
calculating the fold change compared to wild-type siblings. All data represent mean ± SE from five biological repeats.
Figure 2. Perturbed actin architecture in prf1 mutants enhances hypocotyl growth and epidermal cell length in *Arabidopsis*

(A) Representative images of 5-d-old dark-grown seedlings of wild type and prf1 mutants. Bar = 1 cm.

(B and C) Etiolated hypocotyls from homozygous prf1-2 (B) and prf1-3 (C) mutants were significantly longer than wild-type siblings over a developmental
time course. Values represent mean ± SE, n ≥ 50 seedlings per genotype, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.005, Student’s t test.

(D) Epidermal cell lengths were measured from apical and basal regions of 5-d-old etiolated hypocotyls. The average length of epidermal cells from prf1-2 and prf1-3 mutants was significantly longer than that of wild-type siblings. Values represent mean ± SE, n ≥ 50 cells from at least 10 hypocotyls per genotype, ***P < 0.001, Student’s t test.

(E) Representative micrographs of epidermal cells from the apical and basal regions of 5-d-old etiolated hypocotyls. Actin filament arrays in prf1-2 mutant cells appeared to be more sparse and less bundled compared to wild-type cells. Bar = 10 μm.

(F and G) Quantitative analysis of the percentage of occupancy (density) of actin filament arrays in epidermal cells from the basal region of hypocotyls. The density of actin arrays in prf1-2 (F) and prf1-3 (G) mutants was significantly decreased compared to wild-type siblings. Values represent mean ± SE, n ≥ 300 cells from 10 hypocotyls per genotype, **P < 0.005, Student’s t test.

(H and I) Quantitative analysis of the extent of filament bundling (skewness) in epidermal cells from the basal region of hypocotyls. The same images used for (F and G) were analyzed for skewness. Compared to wild type, actin filament arrays in prf1-2 (H) and prf1-3 (I) mutants were significantly less bundled.
Figure 3. PRF1 is required for normal cortical actin organization in epidermal cells of the root elongation zone

(A) Representative images of 7-d-old light-grown roots of wild type and prf1 mutants. Bar = 1 cm.

(B and C) Root lengths were measured from light-grown seedlings over a developmental time course. Root lengths of prf1-2 and prf1-3 were significantly
longer compared to wild-type siblings. Values represent mean ± SE, n ≥ 50 roots per genotype, ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.01 *P < 0.05, ND P > 0.05, Student’s t test.

(D) Epidermal cell lengths were measured from the elongation zone of 7-d-old light-grown roots. The cell length of prf1-1 and prf1-2 mutants was significantly longer compared to wild-type siblings. Values represent mean ± SE, n ≥ 200 cells from at least 15 roots, ***P < 0.001, Student’s t test.

(E) Representative micrographs of epidermal cells from the elongation zone of 7-d-old light-grown roots. Actin filament arrays in prf1-2 mutant cells appeared to be less dense compared to wild-type cells. Bar = 10 μm.

(F and H) Quantitative analysis of the percentage of occupancy (density) of actin filament arrays in epidermal cells from the elongation zone of roots. The density of actin arrays in prf1-2 (F) and prf1-3 (H) mutants was significantly decreased compared to wild type. Values represent mean ± SE, n ≥ 236 cells from 15 roots per genotype, **P < 0.005, ***P < 0.001, Student’s t test.

(G and I) Quantitative analysis of the extent of filament bundling (skewness) in epidermal cells from the elongation zone of roots. The same images used for (F and H) were analyzed for skewness. The extent of bundling of actin filament arrays in prf1-2 (G) and prf1-3 (I) mutants was not different compared to wild type.
Figure 4. The frequency of filament nucleation events is reduced in prf1 mutants

(A) Three classes of actin filament origin were observed in epidermal cells of 5-d-old dark-grown hypocotyls. Actin filaments initiated from the end of an existing
actin filament (top row), the side of an actin filament or bundle (middle row), and de novo in the cytoplasm. Green dots: new growing actin filament, Red dots: preexisting actin filament. Bar = 5 μm.

(B) The overall frequency of actin nucleation was defined as the total number of filament origins per filament per minute in a 400 μm² region of interest. Compared to wild type, total nucleation frequency in prf1-2 and prf1-3 was significantly decreased. Values represent mean ± SE, n ≥ 380 events per genotype, ***P < 0.001, Student’s t test.

(C) The frequency of actin nucleation types was analyzed using the method indicated in (B). Compared to wild type, nucleation frequency from filament sides and ends in prf1-2 and prf1-3 were significantly decreased, whereas de novo nucleation frequency significantly was increased compared to wild type. Values represent mean ± SE, n ≥ 380 events per genotype or treatment, ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.005, *P < 0.05, Student’s t test.
Figure 5. The proportion of filament ends with different elongation rates changes in prf1 mutants

(A) A representative actin filament from a wild-type (top) epidermal cell (green dots) elongated rapidly (1.61 µm/s) and was subsequently disassembled by numerous severing events (red arrows). A representative actin filament from a...
prf1-2 mutant (bottom) epidermal cell elongated more slowly (0.74 µm/s) and persisted for a longer period before severing events occurred (red arrows). Bars = 5 µm.

(B) Box plots show the average filament elongation rate in epidermal cells from 5-d-old etiolated hypocotyls of prf1-2 and prf1-3. The box spans first and third quartiles. The line inside the box shows median value. Bars on the top and bottom represent the maximum and minimum value. The red circles represent mean values for single filament elongation rate. Compared to wild type, the filament elongation rates were significantly slower in prf1-2 and prf1-3. n ≥ 100 single actin filaments per genotype or treatment, ***P < 0.001, Student’s t test.

(C) Filament elongation rates were categorized into three populations: more than 2 µm/s, between 1 and 2 µm/s, and less than 1 µm/s, as described previously (Li et al., 2014). Compared to wild type, the percentage of filament elongation rates > 2 µm/s decreased in prf1-2 and prf1-3, whereas the percentage of filament elongation rates < 1 µm/s increased. n ≥ 100 single actin filaments per genotype or treatment, ***P < 0.001, Chi-square test.
Figure 6. Nucleation frequency and rate of actin filament elongation are inhibited by SMIFH2 (A) Nucleation frequency was measured by counting the total nucleation events per filament in a 400 μm² ROI over a 100 s time period after SMIFH2 treatment. Total nucleation frequency was inhibited by SMIFH2 treatment in a dose-dependent manner. Significant decrease of nucleation frequency appeared at
concentrations of SMIFH2 greater than 25 μM. \( n > 300 \) events from 30 cells of 15 hypocotyls per treatment, Student's \( t \) test, \( P^{***} < 0.001 \).

(B) Nucleation frequency by origins was analyzed in the same way as described for nucleation frequency in (A). Compared to mock treatment, nucleation frequency of filaments originating from the side of filament or bundle decreased significantly after SMIFH2 treatment. However, nucleation frequency of filament originating de novo or from ends changed slightly. \( n > 300 \) events from 30 cells of 15 hypocotyls per treatment, Student's \( t \) test, \( P^{***} < 0.001, P^{**} < 0.01, P^{*} < 0.05 \).

(C) Box plots show the average filament elongation rate in epidermal cells from 5-d-old etiolated hypocotyls without or with a 5 min, 25 μM SMIFH2 treatment. The box spans first and third quartiles. The line inside the box shows median value. Bars on the top and bottom represent the maximum and minimum values. The red circles represent mean values for single filament elongation rate. Compared to the mock, the filament elongation rates were significantly slower with SMIFH2 treatment. \( n \geq 100 \) single actin filaments per treatment, \( **P < 0.005 \), Student's \( t \) test.

(D) The proportion of single actin filament elongation rates was altered with SMIFH2 treatment. Filament elongation rates were categorized into three populations: more than 2 μm/s, between 1 and 2 μm/s, and less than 1 μm/s, as described previously (Li et al., 2014). Compared to mock treatment, the percentage of filament elongation rates > 2 μm/s decreased with SMIFH2 treatment, whereas the percentage of filament elongation rates between < 2 μm/s increased. \( n \geq 100 \) single actin filaments per genotype or treatment, \( *P < 0.05 \), Chi-square test.


