Dynamic Changes in Plant Nuclear Organization in Response to Environmental and Developmental Signals
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Summary: The functional organization of the plant nuclear pore, nuclear envelope, and nucleoplasm is examined in the context of dynamically changing environmental cues and developmental programs.
Abstract

In both plants and animals, the nucleus acts as an organizing center for the changes that occur during an organism’s life cycle, whether as part of a developmental program or in response to environmental factors. Here, we cover recent research that explores roles of the nucleus other than gene expression in light response, fertilization, plant-pathogen interactions, bacterial symbiotic events, and hormone signaling. New strides have been made in understanding sub-nuclear organization, how nuclear organization responds to different environments and developmental stages, and how the cytoplasm-nucleoplasm connections are made that allow these responses. We further highlight new tools that have been developed to study dynamic changes in nuclear organization.
**Introduction**

The nucleus is compartmentalized by a double membrane called the nuclear envelope (NE), which consists of the outer nuclear membrane (ONM), the inner nuclear membrane (INM), and the embedded nuclear pore complexes (NPCs). The NPC is the primary pathway of macromolecular transport between the nucleus and the cytoplasm and is conserved throughout eukaryotes. The ONM and INM are populated by a variety of membrane-associated proteins and in particular the inner membrane hosts a specific subset of proteins (Starr and Fridolfsson, 2010; Meier et al., 2017). In addition to functioning in nuclear morphology, chromatin attachment, and likely signal transduction, plant NE-associated proteins are involved in nuclear positioning and movement within the larger cellular context (Griffis et al., 2014). While plant nuclear ultrastructure reveals an inner nuclear membrane–associated meshwork similar to the animal lamina, plant genomes do not encode obvious lamin homologs. Instead, plant specific long coiled-coil proteins with structural similarity to animal lamins might contribute to this meshwork. Plants also lack centrosomes, and the NE plays a role as the microtubule-organizing center at the onset of mitosis. NE proteins functioning as calcium channels are also involved in perinuclear calcium oscillations, which are an important step in the establishment of plant-symbiont interactions (Recently reviewed in Meier et al., 2017).

While the nuclear periphery is emerging as an essential organizing platform for the nucleus, intranuclear functional and structural organization might also originate from independent self-assembly processes, with the most conspicuous manifestation of these processes revealed as the nucleolus. New methods are now robustly adapted for plants to reveal greater details of...
functional nuclear and nucleolar organization. Due to the increasing realization that spatial organization is also a crucial part of biological function at the subcellular level, many of these aspects have been recently reviewed (Meier, 2016; Perrella and Kaiserli, 2016; Grob and Grossniklaus, 2017; Meier et al., 2017; Thorpe and Charpentier, 2017; Yang et al., 2017). Here, we focus on the developments of the past two years that have revealed exciting new connections between nuclear organization and dynamic plant adaptations during development and environmental responses.

**The Nuclear Pore and Nuclear Transport**

The eukaryotic nucleus is perforated by NPCs each consisting of multiple complements of about 30 proteins called nucleoporins (Nups). Different classes of Nups function in anchoring the NPC to the NE, forming the scaffold structure of the NPC, and contributing to the inner NPC channel active in transport (Schmidt and Görlich, 2016). The NPC allows for the selective transport of macromolecules between the nucleus and the cytoplasm. This transport is assisted by karyopherins and other transport receptors, and energized by the compartmentalized cyclic GTP hydrolysis and nucleotide exchange of the small GTPase Ran (Reviewed in Wente and Rout, 2010; Tamura and Hara-Nishimura, 2014; Meier et al., 2017).

Most of the early identification of plant Nups came from mutant screens for phenotypes in auxin response, plant-microbe interactions, or abiotic stress responses (Zhang and Li, 2005; Dong et al., 2006; Parry et al., 2006). Defects in nuclear protein import, mRNA export, and miRNA abundance/compartmentalization were all found in these Nup mutants and were proposed to be
causal for the phenotypes (Parry et al., 2006; Jacob et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2007b; Muthuswamy and Meier, 2011). A core question was whether any Nups were pathway-specific and could thus claim a “regulatory role.” In a few cases, where the same Nups were identified in different screens, there was apparently no such specificity (Zhang and Li, 2005; Parry et al., 2006). In other cases, only some members of a subcomplex affected a pathway, suggesting a more specific role for these Nups (Parry, 2014).

CPR5 (Constitutive Expresser of Pathogenesis-Related Genes 5), a known inhibitor of programmed cell death and effector-triggered immunity (ETI), was recently described as a Nup by Gu et al. (2016). The authors show that CPR5 is associated with cytoplasmic speckles, the NE, and NPCs. CPR5 is a multi-span transmembrane protein that interacts either genetically or physically with a number of other Nups. Overexpression of the CPR5 C-terminus - competing with endogenous CPR5 for NPC binding sites - upregulates a number of different genes, including those responding to light, cold, dehydration, and auxin. Overexpression of full-length CPR5 in protoplasts leads to cytoplasmic retention of NPR1, an important regulator of ETI. Interestingly, CPR5 undergoes homomeric interaction at the NE, disrupted by the initiation of the immune response. The model now is that CPR5 gates the nuclear pore in the absence of ETI, preventing ETI-related molecules from entering the nucleus and that ETI disrupts this function. This is consistent with the reported phenotypes of cpr5 mutants, which include spontaneous hypersensitive cell death and resistance in the absence of receptor activation (Figure 1) (Wang et al., 2014; Gu et al., 2016).
Nups reported in the past for one phenotype or pathway are often later associated with another pathway, either related or unrelated, as is the case for Nup88 and Nup98 in Genenncher et al. (2016) and Gallemí et al. (2016), respectively. Nup88 - also called MOS7 – was known as a contributor to the autoimmunity of the suppressor of npr1-1, constitutive 1 (snc1) mutant (Cheng et al., 2009). It was also found that MOS7 was required for basal resistance, ETI, and systemic acquired resistance (SAR) against biotrophic and hemibiotropic pathogens (Cheng et al., 2009). Genenncher et al. (2016) expanded on this work by demonstrating that MOS7 is also involved in resistance to a necrotrophic fungus. The mos7-1 mutation leads to the exchange of the C-terminal 4 amino acids of MOS7, and affects protein abundance and nuclear accumulation of certain MAP kinases involved in resistance signaling, such as MPK3, without affecting their mRNA abundance. Furthermore, the amino acid exchange in mos7-1 weakens the interaction between MOS7 and Nup98a/b, consistent with the predicted location of the MOS7 C-terminus when bound to Nup98a/b. These data led the authors to propose a model in which the weakened interaction between MOS7 and Nup98a/b limits the accumulation of MPK3 in the nucleus (Figure 1) (Genenncher et al., 2016). Indeed, a nuclear-excluded version of MPK3 cannot rescue the mpk3 mutant, and although this effect is more drastic than what was found in the mos7-1 mutant, the result supports the model. A nup98a mutant also recapitulates mos7-1 in weakening fungal resistance (Genenncher et al., 2016). It would be interesting to know if nup98a also recapitulated the molecular phenotypes of mos7-1, and this would further strengthen the authors’ model.

Nup98a is also known as DRACULA2 (DRA2), based on a mutant screen for genes involved in shade avoidance syndrome (SAS). In nup98a mutant alleles, the SAS response is diminished...
(Gallemí et al., 2016). In addition to \textit{nup98a}, several mutant alleles of \textit{NUP160} and \textit{NUP96} (scaffold Nups) as well as \textit{NUP58}, \textit{NUP54}, and \textit{NUP62} (like Nup98a, predicted to be peripheral Nups) were also tested. Specific mutant alleles of \textit{NUP160}, \textit{NUP96}, \textit{NUP62} and \textit{NUP58} indeed showed defects in SAS, again suggesting an overall pleiotrophic effect of disturbing NPC composition and thus likely transport functions. Interestingly, Nup98a downregulation leads to a sharp up-regulation of Nup98b, suggesting a compensatory response, similar to those seen after downregulation of Nup62, Nup160 (Parry, 2014) and HOS1 (MacGregor et al., 2013). It would thus be interesting to also investigate SAS - as well as the disease phenotypes described above - in a \textit{nup98a nup98b} double mutant to test if a more pronounced loss-of-function phenotype could be revealed.

While new roles for known Nups shed light on the complexity of NPC disturbance, new plant Nups are still being discovered. Tamura et al. (2017) found an ortholog of Arabidopsis Nup136 that is similar to the N-terminal half of Nup136 and that appears to be limited to angiosperms. This new protein, Nup82 (named after its molecular weight), is redundantly involved in salicylic-acid-dependent plant defense with Nup136.

While protein and RNA transport through the nuclear pore are tightly regulated, small molecule trafficking from the cytoplasm to the nucleoplasm is typically considered diffusion-controlled (Ghavami et al., 2016 and references therein). Li et al. (2017) now describe evidence in plants that the active form of Jasmonic acid (JA-Ile) is imported into the nucleus by a newly discovered JA transporter and that this is biologically relevant for JA signaling. The transporter is a member of the ABC transporter family and is also involved in exporting JA-Ile from the cell via transport.
through the plasma membrane. The similarity of JA-Ile nuclear import to that of calcium (Charpentier et al., 2016) comes to mind, with the difference that JA-Ile is synthesized in the cytoplasm and not stored for release in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) lumen. Given the topology of the nuclear envelope as a double membrane system contiguous with the ER, the role of membrane-spanning transporters in this process is somewhat enigmatic. The model proposes that the hormone-transporter complex enters the nucleus through the aqueous phase of the nuclear pore instead (Figure 1). Clearly, more future work is needed to dissect this interesting process and to distinguish transmembrane transport of JA-Ile from karyopherin-mediated nuclear import.

The Nuclear Periphery

Linker of nucleoskeleton and cytoskeleton (LINC) complexes (Box 1) bridge the nuclear envelope and are involved in nuclear positioning and migration as well as chromatin organization (Reviewed in animals in Starr and Fridolfsson, 2010; Chang et al., 2015; Meier, 2016). Plant LINC complexes have only recently been identified and function in nuclear movement in pollen tubes and male fertility, as well as in nuclear positioning in the leaf epidermis, guard cells, and root hairs (Figure 1, Box 1) (Meier et al., 2017 and therein). A recent study by Poulet et al. (2017b) examined phylogenetic relationships of LINC complex components in plants. While some KASH proteins are broadly conserved among land plants, suggesting they are evolutionarily old, others are limited to angiosperms or even a single angiosperm species. C-terminal SUNs are conserved in land plants, while mid-SUNs are conserved throughout the plant kingdom (Poulet et al., 2017b). This distribution of broadly
conserved and highly specialized plant LINC complexes resembles the situation in animals and might help to shed light on the specific functions of the individual complexes (Zhou et al., 2014).

One currently understudied aspect of plant LINC complex biology is assessing whether they have an influence on chromatin association with the nuclear periphery and subsequent regulation of gene expression. Towards that goal, Poulet et al. (2017a) have developed a 3D imaging protocol to query a number of aspects of nuclear and chromatin organization in Arabidopsis mutants of LINC complex components and related proteins. They found that chromocenters were preferentially associated with the nuclear periphery, but that this association and chromocenter compaction were changed in some mutants. Interestingly, transcriptional repression of heterochromatic repeats sequences was alleviated in some LINC mutants, suggesting that the Arabidopsis nuclear periphery is indeed involved in regulatory chromatin compaction (Poulet et al., 2017a).

Gene redundancy occurs in Arabidopsis LINC complexes like in many other gene families. Zhou et al. (2015c) probed into the potential redundancy within WIP, WIT, and SUN gene families in relationship to nuclear movement in root hairs and the nuclear morphology of leaf epidermal cells. They found that WIT2, but not WIT1, is essential for nuclear shape determination, and that SUN1 is more important for this process than SUN2. The nuclear morphology changes are independent of the plant lamin-like protein CRWN1 (Figure 1, Box 1), suggesting that nuclear morphology in plants can be affected both by cytoplasmic forces transferred to the NE and nucleoplasmic filaments formed under the NE.
In comparison to the ONM, significantly less is known about the plant INM proteome. In a search for novel plant INM proteins, a bioinformatic screen for a combination of a coiled-coil domain, a nuclear localization signal, and C-terminal hydrophobic domain returned the four proteins NEAP1-4, with NEAP4 being a truncated form of NEAP3 (Pawar et al., 2016). NEAP1-3 are located at the NE and interact preferentially with SUN2 (Figure 1). NEAP1 and NEAP2 display protein mobility comparable to NE-embedded proteins such as the SUNs, while NEAP3 is more mobile (Pawar et al., 2016). NEAPs have not yet been thoroughly investigated in terms of biological roles, but first hints come from the study of a neap1 neap3 double mutant, which displays reduced primary root growth, altered nuclear organization and nuclear morphology, and reduced heterochromatin formation. A novel putative interactor of NEAP1 was identified as the transcription factor bZIP18.

Crowded Nuclei (CRWN) proteins are long coiled-coil proteins that were first identified as Daucus carota (carrot) nuclear matrix constituent proteins (NMCPs) (Masuda et al., 1997). They may act as the plant equivalent of animal nuclear lamins (Ciska and Moreno Díaz de la Espina, 2013), and are present throughout land plants. Arabidopsis CRWN1 and CRWN 4 are located at the nuclear periphery, while CRWN2 and CRWN 3 are nucleoplasmic (Figure 1, Wang et al., 2013). CRWNs have been implicated to be determinants of nuclear shape, as crwn mutants have small, spherical nuclei in several cell types, including leaf epidermal cells and trichomes (Dittmer et al., 2007; Sakamoto and Takagi, 2013; Wang et al., 2013). CRWNs also play a role in nuclear organization, as crwn4 mutants display aberrant chromocenter distribution (Wang et al., 2013). Because animal nuclear lamins interact with both SUN proteins and nucleoplasmic factors (Gruenbaum and Foisner, 2015), a yeast-two-hybrid screen was performed with carrot
NMCP1. Putative interactors include actin related protein 7 (ARP7), SINAT1, MYB3, and BES-interacting MYC-like1 (BIM1) (Mochizuki et al., 2017). All four NMCP1 interactors are localized to the nucleus, with SINAT1 localized to the cytoplasm as well. It will now be interesting to identify the biological role of these proteins in connection with known CRWN functions.

Further evidence for the connection of nuclear periphery and chromatin organization come from the study of the NE associated GCP3-interacting proteins GIP1 and GIP2. They are required for \( \gamma \)-tubulin complex protein localization, spindle integrity, and chromosomal stability (Batzenschlager et al., 2013). \textit{gip1 gip2} null mutants display centromere cohesion defects, including increased distance between homologous chromosomes (Batzenschlager et al., 2015). Additionally, \textit{gip1 gip2} mutants have an increase in the number of chromocenters, also observed in mutants of the epigenetic regulator \textit{mgo3}, as well as the double mutant \textit{gip1 mgo3} (Batzenschlager et al., 2017).

While nuclear-associated calcium oscillations are involved in the establishment of both nodulation and arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis (AMS) (Charpentier and Oldroyd, 2013), and the potassium antiporter in this process has been known for some time (Charpentier et al., 2008; Capoen et al., 2011), the identity of the calcium channels was unknown until recently. Working in the model legume\textit{ Medicago truncatula}, Charpentier et al. (2016) determined that cyclic-nucleotide gated channels (CNGCs), specifically \textit{MtCNGC15a}, \textit{b}, and \textit{c}, are required for these calcium oscillations. CNGC15s are associated with the NE and interact with the INM-located potassium-permeable channel that modulates nuclear calcium release (DMI1) (Capoen et al.,
Further, *cngc15* mutants display deficiencies in both nodulation and AMS (Charpentier et al., 2016). It will now be very interesting to see if MtCNGC15a, b and c, or their homologs in other plant species, are also involved in other processes regulated by nuclear calcium release.

### Dynamic Organization of the Nucleoplasm

**General nuclear organization**

The organization of the nucleus, as well as the folding and packaging of the genome into higher-order structures, likely contributes to the regulation of eukaryotic gene expression, but the process by which this occurs is unclear (Even-Faitelson et al., 2016). Through the study of tandem repeat sequences and chromocenters in mammalian and plant cells, important insights into the relationship between chromatin movement and gene regulation have been gained (Dittmer et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2013; Bonev and Cavalli, 2016; Nikumbh and Pfeifer, 2017).

In *D. melanogaster*, chromatin mobility is important for interacting with distinct nuclear compartments during differentiation (Thakar and Csink, 2005). Furthermore, altering nuclear morphology changes chromatin organization and disrupts genome function (Lanctôt et al., 2007; Ramdas and Shivashankar, 2015; Smith et al., 2015).

Association of chromatin with lamin proteins and NE transmembrane proteins may serve to tether genes to the nuclear periphery and act as a determinant of genome organization (Lanctôt et al., 2007; Ramdas and Shivashankar, 2015). In mammals, the association of genes with NE proteins causes repression, while those genes found in the interior of the nucleus are actively
transcribed. However, there are examples in *S. cerevisiae* where this trend is reversed, with gene activation being associated with localization to the nuclear periphery and gene silencing with a location in the interior of the nucleus (Lanctôt et al., 2007; Dultz et al., 2016). The relationship between gene positioning and transcriptional activity in plants is largely unclear.

Alterations in plant nuclear architecture and how this may pertain to gene expression control, adaptability, and response to extracellular cues is also gaining attention. An example is how light-mediated development (photomorphogenesis) can influence changes in chromatin organization and nuclear organization (Recently reviewed in Perrella and Kaiserli, 2016; Rodriguez-Granados et al., 2016).

Recently, Bourbousse et al. (2015) investigated the relationship between developmental stages and light-responsiveness. During germination, nuclear size and chromatin organization are independent of light conditions. However, later developmental stages undergo a light-dependent increase in both nuclear size and chromatin condensation. Additionally, a transgene containing a transposable element fused to a GUS reporter was transcriptionally silenced in a light-dependent manner. Thus, light-triggered changes in nuclear organization are correlated with gene expression changes that occur at the interface of different stages of plant development.

Gene expression changes are also associated with nuclear positioning. Feng et al. (2014) visualized light-mediated CAB loci repositioning from the nuclear interior to the periphery, which was associated with increased CAB gene expression. Smith et al. (2015) showed that artificially tethering a locus to a nucleoporin enhances its expression. Another group performed an *in vivo* study that identified and characterized Arabidopsis chromatin regions that
preferentially associated with the nuclear periphery. Pericentromeric chromatin was enriched at
the periphery, particularly highly methylated transposable element genes and pseudogenes, while
distal chromosome arms were depleted at the nuclear periphery (Bi et al., 2017).

It would be interesting to further investigate if photomorphogenesis-triggered nuclear
reorganization is required for the transcriptional regulation of all light-inducible genes, how this
movement occurs, and why this repositioning is needed. These studies begin to elucidate nuclear
architectural dynamics and their role in gene expression but the mechanism by which the nucleus
is sensing and responding to changes in light conditions remains to be elucidated.

Nucleolar Organizing Regions

The nucleolus is well-known for its role in ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene expression and
ribosome biogenesis (McStay and Grummt, 2008). Nucleoli are formed around specific regions
within distinct chromosomes that contain multiple copies of rRNA genes known as nucleolus
organizing regions (NORs). In mammals, active rRNA genes are located within fibrillar centers
and inactive genes are outside these areas. The mammalian nucleolar shell consists of a dense
chromatin network of nucleolus-associated chromatin domains (NADs), which contain inactive
chromosomal regions composed of specific satellite repeats, in addition to the NORs (Németh et
al., 2010).

Pontvianne et al. (2016) recently identified and characterized plant NADs in Arabidopsis. By
visualizing the overlap between rDNA-FISH signals and DAPI staining, the authors saw a
substantial number of uncorrelated signals. Sequencing of nuclear vs. nucleolar DNA revealed an
enrichment of nucleolar genomic regions, which they identified as NADs. Similar to mammals, plant NADs consist of both transcriptionally active (rRNA genes and the adjacent short arm of chromosome 4 or NOR4) and silent genes (transposable elements, pseudogenes, and tRNA genes). Plants contain two NORs: NOR4 associates with the nucleolus and is actively transcribed, whereas its counterpart on the short arm of chromosome 2, NOR2, is inactive and is excluded from the nucleolus (Figure 1).

In null mutants for the nucleolar protein Nucleolin 1 (nuc1), NOR2 becomes associated with the nucleolus. Subsequently, there is a severe decrease in expression of NOR4 NAD genes and an increase in NOR2 NAD-gene expression. nuc1 mutants also display increased heterochromatin foci within the nucleoli. These data suggest a link between nucleolar association and gene activity as well as a role for NUC1 in heterochromatin distribution. Interestingly, this NUC1 role appears to oppose the action of the Drosophila Nucleolin homolog, Modullo, which is required for centromere sequestration at the nucleolus periphery (Padeken et al., 2013). NUC1 also plays a role in the localization of FIB2, a nucleolar protein located in fibrillary centers within the nucleolus and involved in methylation of rRNA precursors (Picart and Pontvianne, 2017). This suggests a correlation between ribosome biogenesis and genome organization within the nucleolus.

Further work detailing the function of NORs in Arabidopsis utilized the atxr5 atxr6 (trithorax-related proteins 5 and 6) double mutant, renamed here altered subtype content 1 (ASC1) because it caused the replacement of NOR4 with NOR2, resulting in two NOR2 regions (Mohannath et al., 2016). Interestingly, this resulted in activation of the usually silenced NOR2-derived rRNA
genes. These results indicate that rRNA gene silencing is a result of gene position, not sequence variations. Although it has been shown that NOR4 associates with the nucleolus and NOR2 does not, the subnuclear location of the altered NORs in ASC1 were not determined. It would be worthwhile to examine the position of the ASC1 NORs within the nucleus to examine any correlation between the change in gene expression with a possible relocation to the nucleolus. This would add clarity to our understanding of how gene regulation occurs, whether expression is effected more by the chromatin location within the nucleus (i.e. spatial location) or the role of individual gene positioning within a given chromatin (i.e. nearby elements within the chromatin itself).

Together, these studies imply that the position of NORs relative to the nucleolus might directly regulate gene expression, with nucleolus-association enhancing gene activity. Adding to the findings on chromatin association with the nuclear periphery, these data point at another important subnuclear location which may act to regulating gene expression.

*Advancement of cytogenetic techniques.*

Visualization of 3D chromatin interactions has recently become accessible through Chromosome conformation capture (3C) (Box 2). Wang et al. (2017) utilized previous data from Hi-C technology to categorize Arabidopsis chromosomal interactions into seven groups based on gene densities, gene types, histone modifications, and interacting frequencies. Consistent with similar studies in humans (Lan et al., 2012), intrachromosomal interactions appear to predominate in Arabidopsis, compared to interchromosomal interactions, which occur at sites of transposable elements and typically result as a response to stimuli. Grob and Grossniklaus (2017) developed a
new Hi-C protocol that is optimized for Arabidopsis seedling tissue and shows promise to provide further specificity and to verify these findings.

A modified chromatin immunoprecipitation protocol utilizes restriction enzyme (RE) digestion instead of high-energy sonication. The use of REs allows for milder sonication and minimizes disruptions to chromatin interacting loci, increasing the identification of chromatin interacting partners (Bi et al., 2017). The authors used this to identify the enrichment of transcriptionally inactive chromatin at the nuclear periphery in Arabidopsis. Considering chromatin patterns during DNA replication, in vivo 5-ethynyl-20-deoxyuridine (EdU) pulse-labeling combined with flow cytometry of fixed nuclei allowed for isolation of distinct stages of S phase in maize root tip cells. Edu pulse-labeling allowed for the discovery of a novel middle S-phase chromatin pattern unique to plants (Bass et al., 2015).

Chromatin architecture studies are also reaching less well-characterized plant model species. In Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata (L.) Walp), 11 distinct chromosomal structures were identified using chromosome-specific BAC clones for FISH, allowing for the analysis of heterochromatin distribution, centromere position, chromosome lengths, and positions of various rDNA regions (Iwata-Otsubo et al., 2016). In Brachypodium distachyon root tip cells, chromosomal aberrations were analyzed using multicolor FISH during chemical mutagen-induced micronuclei formation. The authors found two unique rRNA genes on distinct chromosomes, allowing for identification of these specific chromosomes and adding to general chromosomal markers (i.e. telomeric, centromeric, and interstitial sites). The authors were able to use these markers to analyze chromosome and chromosome fragment involvement in micronuclei formation for the first time.
In Brachypodium, and similarly to other species, chromosomal aberrations are not random (Kus et al., 2017).

In summary, advances in both techniques and molecular characterization further enhance our knowledge of chromatin architecture, function, and gene expression in plants and will help to lay the foundation to study how these dynamics are altered during development and plant stress response. The cytogenetic characterization of plant crop species allows for direct comparison to traditional model organisms and can be used to determine whether these principles are conserved across plant species.

**Nuclei on the Move: Nuclear Positioning and Migration**

While nucleocytoplasmic transport, the composition and interactions of nuclear envelope protein complexes, and the organization of chromatin all add to the complex dynamics of nuclear functional composition, the nucleus itself is also a highly dynamic organelle. Nuclear movement occurs in multiple plant cell types and developmental processes, as well as in response to both biological and mechanical stimuli (Griffis et al., 2014 and references therein). Several recent papers have focused on two nuclear movement events: nuclear photorelocation (Kawashima and Berger, 2015; Suetsugu et al., 2015; Iwabuchi et al., 2016; Suetsugu et al., 2016a, b) and male germ unit migration in pollen tubes (Zhou and Meier, 2014; Zhou et al., 2015c). In addition, nuclei have been traced during root hair cell death, in collet root hairs, and during gamete fusion (Kawashima and Berger, 2015; Sliwinska et al., 2015; Tan et al., 2016).
Blue light-dependent nuclear movement

In Arabidopsis leaf epidermal and mesophyll cells, nuclei move from central “dark” positions to peripheral “light” positions in response to blue light, termed photorelocation (Iwabuchi et al., 2007). When irradiated on their sides with blue light, epidermal cell nuclei have two modes of movement: parallel to actin bundles (“parallel movement”), and away from the point of irradiation (“avoidance movement”); similarly applied red light induces only parallel movement (Higa et al., 2014). Avoidance movement is dependent upon both nuclear-adjacent chloroplasts and chloroplast-adjacent actin filaments (cp-actin) (Higa et al., 2014). C2-domain proteins PMI1 and PMIR1 have also been implicated in cp-actin-dependent nuclear photorelocation in pavement cells (Suetsugu et al., 2015).

The role of cp-actin filaments in nuclear photorelocation was further investigated by Suetsugu et al. (2016b, 2016a). CHLOROPLAST UNUSUAL POSITIONING 1 (chup1) and KINESIN-LIKE PROTEIN FOR ACTIN-BASED CHLOROPLAST MOVEMENT 1 and 2 (kac1 kac2) mutants are impaired in cp-actin filament formation and avoidance movement. Dark-adapted kac1kac2 and chup1 kac1kac2 mutant plants were defective in “dark” nuclear positioning. When the leaf was irradiated with a high dose of blue light, nuclei of chup1 mutants retained only a minimal avoidance response, kac1kac2 mutants had a moderate avoidance response, while chup1 kac1kac2 mutants had no avoidance response. These data suggest that CHUP1, KAC1, and KAC2 act redundantly to position nuclei in response to high-intensity blue light, while KAC1 and KAC2 are important for dark-induced nuclear positioning (Suetsugu et al., 2016a, b).
If chloroplast movement is the primary cause of nuclear movement during blue light irradiation (Higa et al., 2014), is nuclear movement a side effect or biologically relevant? Iwabuchi et al. (2016) address one theoretical function of nuclear photorelocation: UV damage protection. They show that cells containing peripherally positioned nuclei are less likely to undergo UVB-induced DNA damage and cell death. Positioning of nuclei to the cell periphery was both actin- and high-light-dependent. These data suggest that plants may use blue light as a proxy for UV irradiation in order to avoid DNA damage (Iwabuchi et al., 2016).

Nuclear movement and plant fertilization

Recent research has shown the importance of LINC complexes (Box 1) in nuclear movement in pollen tubes (Zhou and Meier, 2014; Zhou et al., 2015c). Disruption of either WIP or WIT genes perturbs pollen vegetative nuclear movement and decouples it from pollen tube tip growth (Zhou and Meier, 2014). Zhou et al. (2015c) addressed whether WIP and WIT act in this context as part of a LINC complex. To work around the lethality of the sun1 sun2 double null mutant (Varas et al., 2015), they re-targeted remaining SUN proteins in a viable, leaky sun1 sun2 mutant background to the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and saw a significant effect on both pollen vegetative nuclear movement and fertilization. Taken together, these papers suggest that the entire LINC complex is involved in moving the vegetative nucleus during pollen tube growth.

In the absence of centrosomes in plants, migration of the gamete pronuclei is controlled not by microtubules but actin (Kawashima et al., 2014). Interestingly, while F-actin is required for the migration of the male nucleus towards the female nucleus in both egg cell and central cell,
central cell nuclear position is also dependent on F-actin, but this position is dispensable for
double fertilization (Kawashima and Berger, 2015).

Nuclear movement in root hairs
Two recent papers investigated new aspects of nuclear movement in root hairs. Nuclei maintain a
set distance from the tip of growing root hairs, moving to a random location once tip growth has
ceased (Ketelaar et al., 2002). By contrast, in naturally dying root hairs, Tan et al. (2016) observed basally located nuclei. In root hairs undergoing programmed cell death (PCD), nuclei
move basipetally, dependent on a nuclear-associated actin network that remains around the
nucleus after PCD. Cell death also impacted nuclear shape: while nuclei in growing root hairs are
spindle-shaped, those in dead root hairs are spherical (Tan et al., 2016).

In the rhd3 mutant, which develops wavy collet hairs, the nucleus is decoupled from tip growth.
Additional evidence indicating that RHD3 may be involved in vesicle trafficking (Schiefelbein
and Somerville, 1990; Galway et al., 1997; Wang et al., 1997) suggests that this latter process
may be involved in maintaining nuclear tip distance in collet hairs. Furthermore, nuclear shape
and endoreduplication level also varied widely in rhd3, with a mixture of round nuclei with
fewer genome copies and elongated nuclei with more genome copies (Sliwinska et al., 2015).

Conclusions and Perspectives
The connection between the dynamic 3-dimensional organization of the nuclear interior and the
regulation of gene expression is a rapidly expanding field in many eukaryotic model systems.
Silencing, activation, and epigenetic changes are associated with locus position and proximity to nuclear landmarks such as nuclear pores, the nuclear envelope, or the nucleolus. An increasing number of mutants that change 3D chromatin organization, nuclear morphology, and gene expression as well as refined methods to reveal such changes are emerging. Plant research has already revealed interesting novelties, such as plant-specific regulatory nucleoporins, a unique set of nuclear envelope protein complexes, and – importantly – connections between spatial nuclear functionalities and plants’ reactions to the environment. Many dots still need to be connected but the field promises not only to lead to novel explanations for known phenomena from the cell biologist’s point of view but also to create unique new tools for the targeted manipulation of the underlying pathways.

**Figure legend**

**Figure 1. Key Proteins involved in Nuclear Dynamics**

Top Box. Nuclear envelope proteins involved in nuclear dynamics. SUN-WIP-WIT complexes have been implicated in nuclear movement in pollen tubes. The SUN-WIP-WIT-Myosin XI-I LINC complex has been shown to be required for nuclear movement and nuclear positioning in root hairs. The SUN-WIP-WIT2-MyosinXI-I complex has also been shown to be required for nuclear shape determination in root hairs, as has the Mid-SUN domain proteins (SUN3/4/5). Mid-SUNs have been shown to interact with the KASH proteins WIPs and TIK. The plant lamin-like proteins CRWN1 and CRWN4 are required for nuclear shape determination, and play a role in nuclear organization as well. KAKU4 interacts with CRWN1/4 and is additionally required for
nuclear shape determination. The NE-associated proteins NEAP1-3 physically interact with SUN1/2 and are in some cell types required for nuclear shape determination. The NE-associated proteins GIP1/2 play a role in nuclear morphology, as well as nuclear organization, in concert with their interactors GCP3, in the cytoplasm, and CENH3, in the nucleoplasm. Middle box: The nucleolus is surrounded by a heterochromatic shell (purple dashes), which includes dense networks of chromatin known as nucleolus-associated chromatin domains (NADs, blue lines). Nucleolus organizing regions (NORs) contain ribosomal RNA genes, where NOR4 associates with the nucleolus and is actively expressed (pink shaded box, arrow depicting high transcriptional activity) while NOR2 is not associated with the nucleolus and has little transcriptional activity (gray shaded box, red X depicts low transcriptional activity). Telomeres (orange circles) are generally located within the nucleolus. Bottom Box: Several nucleoporins are implicated in plant biotic and abiotic responses. Colors indicate association with a particular pathway, as marked on the right. Nups are drawn at predicted positions within the nuclear pore. Close association between Nups indicates confirmed protein-protein interaction. For details see text.
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ADVANCES

- Plant nuclear pores are similar to those in opisthokonts, but also contain plant-specific Nups. Pathway-specific sub-functionalities for plant Nups are emerging.

- Plant LINC complexes play a role in heterochromatin localization and organization at the nuclear periphery and heterochromatin-based gene silencing.

- The long sought-after NE calcium channel functioning in symbiosis establishment has been identified.

- Changes in plant gene expression are correlated with light-induced alterations in nuclear organization.

- Plant nucleolus-associated chromatin domains have been identified and characterized.

- Blue-light-dependent nuclear movement depends on chloroplast movement but serves its own function of UV damage protection.

- Nuclear positioning in root hairs is altered during programmed cell death and in collet hairs.
OUTSTANDING QUESTIONS

- Is the nuclear import of small molecules such as hormones regulated in plants and, if so, how?
- Are plant lamin-like proteins required for the function of plant LINC complexes?
- Do the recently discovered nuclear peripheral proteins have a function in nuclear organization and/or gene tethering?
- What is the relationship between gene positioning and transcriptional activity in plants? Does nuclear reorganization relocate specific genes to the nuclear periphery, and if so, what is its effect on transcriptional regulation?
- Is there a link between nucleolar association and gene activity, and if so, what is the role of NUC1 and NOR positioning in this process?
- What is the biological function of specific nuclear positioning in plants?
**Box 1. LINC Complexes in Plants**

Linker of nucleoskeleton and cytoskeleton (LINC) complexes were only recently described in plants. They consist of outer nuclear membrane (ONM) KASH proteins and inner nuclear membrane (INM) SUN proteins, which interact in the NE lumen to bridge the NE. Plants have two classes of SUN proteins: C-terminal and mid-SUN proteins. The two C-terminal Arabidopsis SUN proteins, SUN1 and SUN2, contain a nucleoplasmic domain, a single transmembrane domain, a lumenal coiled-coil domain, and the C-terminal SUN domain that is responsible for the interaction with KASH proteins. In the three Arabidopsis Mid-SUNs, SUN3, -4, and -5, the SUN domain is sandwiched between two transmembrane domains (Graumann et al., 2010, 2014).

Plant KASH proteins are structurally dissimilar from their animal counterparts. The first identified plant KASH proteins, the WPP-domain interacting proteins (WIPs), are coiled-coil proteins that bind WPP-domain interacting tail-anchored proteins (WITs), WPP proteins, and Arabidopsis RanGAP (Xu et al., 2007a; Zhao et al., 2008, 2012; Brkljacic et al., 2009). WIP1, -2, and -3 interact with SUN1 and SUN2 proteins through a short, NE luminal tail. Additional Arabidopsis KASH proteins, SINE (SUN-Interacting Nuclear Envelope Proteins) 1-4, were identified through sequence similarity with the WIP luminal tail. The unrelated KASH protein TIK (Toll-Interleukin Domain KASH protein) also bind SUN3, -4, and -5 (Graumann et al., 2014).

Plant LINC complexes are involved in nuclear movement, nuclear morphology, and nuclear positioning in several cell types. In root hairs, SUN and WIP complex with WIT and Myosin XI-I to form a LINC complex necessary for nuclear shape determination, as well as nuclear movement (Tamura et al., 2013). In pollen tubes, the SUN-WIP-WIT complex is required for migration of the male germ unit and maintaining proper distance from the growing pollen tube tip (Zhou and Meier, 2014; Zhou et al., 2015a). SINE1 is involved in guard cell nuclear positioning, SINE2 plays a role in oomycete resistance, while SINE3 and SINE4 have no known function (Zhou et al., 2014). While the lamin-like protein CRWN1 was shown to interact with SUN1 (Graumann, 2014), nuclear morphology in root hairs and trichomes appears to be independently regulated by CRWN1 and a WIP-WIT-SUN complex (Zhou et al., 2015b). Furthermore, in pollen and guard cells, nuclear movement and positioning has not been observed in lamin mutant lines. As a result, the role of lamin-like proteins in plant LINC complex function is unresolved.
Figure Box 1
Investigating chromatin architecture and dynamics within the 3D nucleus has traditionally been accomplished using microscopy. Although microscopy has many advantages, it also comes with limitations: light microscopy has limited resolution, electron microscopy has high resolution but is arduous and difficult for studying specific loci, and multicolor FISH requires harsh treatment of the genome. With the recent advent of chromosome conformation capture (3C), first used in yeast (Dekker et al., 2002), these investigations become possible without the use of microscopic techniques.

3C and subsequent 3C-derivative technologies can map genome-wide interactions as well as high-resolution interactions of specific sites within chromatin. Original 3C forms the basis for all subsequent techniques, and this process includes formaldehyde cross-linking of regions within close proximity of each other, chromatin isolation, and digestion with specific restriction enzymes (see Figure). Subsequently, these fragments are ligated into either linear or circular DNA molecules that contain two natively interacting chromosomal regions, with the frequency of recombination correlating to the interacting frequency of the two ligated regions. This way, potentially interacting DNA regions can be identified.

There are many 3C-derivative methods, including (1) the original 3C for detection of two specific interacting fragments, also known as the one-to-one approach; (2) circular chromosome conformation capture (4C) to identify all interacting partners of a given chromosomal regions, also known as the one-to-all approach; (3) chromosome conformation capture carbon copy (5C) to investigate overall chromosomal architecture in a many-to-many approach; and (4) Hi-C for analysis of genome-wide 3D relationships or an all-to-all approach (de Wit and de Laat, 2012; Dekker et al., 2013). Although this technology was first developed and used in yeast and mammals, recent work in plants have begun to make use of these cytogenic advancements (Rodriguez-Granados et al., 2016; Grob, 2017; Grob and Grossniklaus, 2017; Jiao et al., 2017). These techniques have been and will be helpful to further microscopy work and help elucidate our understanding of chromosome architecture and gene regulation.
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