A mucin-like protein of planthopper is required for feeding and induces immunity response in plants
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Glossary

BPH: brown planthopper;
ET: ethylene;
GO: gene ontology;
COG: clusters of orthologous groups;
dsRNA: double-stranded RNA;
FDA: fluorescein diacetate;
GFP: green fluorescent protein;
HR: hypersensitive response;
IIM: Intestinal mucins;
LUC: luciferase;
JA: jasmonic acid;
MAPK: mitogen-activated protein kinase;
NIMLP: N. lugens-secreted mucin-like protein;
ORF: open reading frame;
PAMPs: pathogen-associated molecular patterns;
PRR: Pattern recognition receptor
PTI: PAMP-triggered immunity
RLUC: Renilla luciferase gene
RNAi: RNA interference;
qRT-PCR: quantitative reverse-transcription PCR;
SA: salicylic acid;
SEM: scanning electron microscopy;
SHP: structural sheath protein;
SIPK: SA-induced protein kinase;
Ubi: ubiquitin;
VIGS: virus-induced gene silencing;
WIPK: wounding-induced protein kinase
Abstract

The brown planthopper, Nilaparvata lugens (Stål), is a pest that threatens rice production worldwide. While feeding on rice plants, planthoppers secrete saliva, which plays crucial roles in nutrient ingestion and modulating plant defense responses, although the specific functions of salivary proteins remain largely unknown. We identified a N. lugens-secreted mucin-like protein (NlMLP) by transcriptome and proteome analyses and characterized its function, both in brown planthopper and in plants. NlMLP is highly expressed in salivary glands and is secreted into rice during feeding. Inhibition of NlMLP expression in planthoppers disturbs the formation of salivary sheaths, thereby reducing their performance. In plants, NlMLP induces cell death, the expression of defense-related genes, and callose deposition. These defense responses are related to Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilization and the MEK2 MAP kinase and JA signaling pathways. The active region of NlMLP that elicits plant responses is located in its C-terminus. Our work provides a detailed characterization of a salivary protein from a piercing-sucking insect other than aphids. Our findings that the protein that functions in plant immune responses offer new insights into the mechanism underlying interactions between plants and herbivorous insects.
Introduction

Plants are subjected to attack by diverse herbivorous insects, which are generally classified based on their feeding strategies as chewing or piercing-sucking insects. Chewing insects, such as caterpillars and beetles, can cause serious mechanical damage to plant tissues, whereas piercing-sucking insects feed on plants through specially adapted mouthparts known as stylets and cause only limited physical damage to plant tissues (Walling, 2000). Insects can also injure plants indirectly by transmitting viral, bacterial, and fungal pathogens. Plants use sophisticated perception systems to detect insect feeding through cues derived not only from damage caused by feeding (Reymond et al., 2000), but also from insect saliva, oral secretions, eggs, volatiles, and microbes associated with the insects (Reymond, 2013; Felton et al., 2014).

When phloem-feeding insects feed on plants, their stylets transiently puncture the epidermis and penetrate plant cell walls. The insects then ingest the phloem sap. During this process, insects secrete both gelling and watery saliva from their salivary glands into plant cells. The secreted gelling saliva quickly solidifies and forms a continuous salivary sheath in the plant encasing the full length of the stylet. The salivary sheath provides mechanical stability, and protection for the insect against plant chemical defenses. For example, inhibiting the expression of structural sheath protein (SHP), a salivary protein secreted by *Acyrthosiphon pisum* aphids, reduces their reproduction by disrupting salivary sheath formation and hence their feeding from host sieve tubes (Will and Vilcinskas, 2015). Watery saliva contains digestive, and cell wall-degrading enzymes. Plant immune responses to insect attack may be elicited or suppressed by compounds in insect saliva (Miles, 1999; Felton et al., 2014). Broadly speaking, effectors are proteins or other molecules produced by pathogens or insects that can alter host structures and functions (Hogenhout et al., 2009). Several insect effectors with diverse effects have been identified in aphids in recent years (Bos et al., 2010; Atamian et al., 2013; Rodriguez et al., 2014; Naessens et al., 2015). For example, the expression of aphid protein effector C002 in host plants increases the fecundity of green...
peach aphid, while another effector, Mp10, reduces aphid fecundity (Bos et al., 2010). Moreover, transient *in planta* expression of Mp10 activates jasmonic acid (JA) and salicylic acid (SA) signaling pathways (Rodriguez et al., 2014) and triggers chlorosis in *Nicotiana benthamiana* (Bos et al., 2010). Similarly, the expression of two candidate effectors, Me10 and Me23, from the potato aphid in host *N. benthamiana* plants increases aphid fecundity (Atamian et al., 2013), and MpMIF (a MIF cytokine secreted in aphid watery saliva during feeding) plays an important role in aphid survival and can affect both the SA and JA signaling pathways (Naessens et al., 2015). However, little is known about effectors from piercing-sucking herbivores other than aphids and their functions in host plants.

Plants have evolved sophisticated defense mechanisms to protect themselves from insect herbivores, most of which are initiated by the recognition of their saliva or oral secretions. The signals are transmitted within plants via transduction networks including JA, ethylene (ET), SA, and hypersensitive response (HR) pathways. Accordingly, infestation by piercing-sucking insects increases the production of JA, SA, and ET in rice (Yuan et al., 2005; Du B et al., 2009; Hu et al., 2011). Key elements in these signaling pathways include mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascades, which occur in all eukaryotes, are highly conserved, and modulate numerous cellular responses to diverse cues (Wu et al., 2007). These responses include complex defense responses against insects (Wu and Baldwin, 2010). For example, oral secretions from the chewing insect tobacco hornworm (*Manduca sexta*) induce MAPK-activated defense responses to herbivore attack in *N. attenuata* leaves (Wu et al., 2007). Similarly, aphid resistance conferred by the *Mi-1* gene in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) can be attenuated by virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) of certain MAPKs and MAPK kinases (Li et al., 2006). MAPK cascades also play important roles in planthopper resistance gene-mediated immunity (Yuan et al., 2005). Mechanisms for resistance to phloem-feeding insects include the induction of forisome (sieve tube protein) dispersion, callose deposition, and thus, phloem plugging, which
prevent insects from continuously ingesting phloem sap from plants (Will et al., 2007; Hao et al., 2008).

The brown planthopper (BPH), *Nilaparvata lugens* (Stål), is a severe herbivorous insect pest of rice that causes extensive yield losses and economic damage to rice both directly (by feeding) and indirectly (by transmitting viral diseases). During outbreaks, planthoppers can completely destroy crops, an effect called “hopper burn” (Backus et al., 2005). Like other piercing-sucking insects, BPHs secrete gelling and watery saliva. Recently, genomic tools such as proteomics and transcriptomics have been used to investigate BPH salivary glands and saliva at the molecular level (Konishi et al., 2009; Ji et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2016). Two secretary proteins that actively participate in salivary sheath formation were recently identified in BPHs (Huang et al., 2015; Huang et al., 2016). Furthermore, several salivary proteins that play important roles in interactions between BPH and rice was identified (Petrova and Smith, 2014; Ji et al., 2017; Ye et al., 2017). However, the functions of the majority of BPH-secreted proteins have not yet been experimentally determined. The biological roles of specific BPH salivary protein effectors in rice-BPH interactions remain poorly understood.

In an analysis of the BPH salivary gland transcriptome, we found a mucin-like protein gene highly expressed in BPH salivary glands. Mucins are a family of high molecular weight, heavily glycosylated proteins that mostly comprise tandem repeats of identical or highly similar sequences rich in serine, threonine, and proline residues (Verma and Davidson, 1994). Mucin-like proteins are widely distributed in eukaryotes, bacteria, and viruses. Intestinal mucins (IIM) and salivary gland mucins have been identified in insects. IIM is a major protein constituent of the peritrophic membrane that facilitates the digestive process, as well as protecting invertebrate digestive tracts from microbial infection (Wang and Granados, 1997). A mucin-like protein that was identified in the salivary glands of *Anopheles gambiae* through transcriptomic analysis might modulate parasite infectivity or help lubricate insect
mouthparts (Francischetti et al., 2002). A mucin-like protein in the salivary proteome of BPH has been detected (Huang et al., 2016). However, the functions of mucin-like proteins in insects are largely unknown.

Here, we identified this *N. lugens*-secreted mucin-like protein (abbreviated NiMLP) as an insect cell death-inducing protein involved in plant-insect interactions. NiMLP is required for salivary sheath formation and feeding of BPHs on their host plants. NiMLP induces defense responses in plant cells, including cell death, the expression of pathogen-responsive genes, and callose deposition. Finally, we found that the active part of NiMLP is located at its C-terminal region.
RESULTS

NiMLP is highly expressed in N. lugens salivary glands and secreted into rice tissues

Sequencing of a cDNA library produced from BPH salivary glands yielded 40,000,000 reads. After a series of assembly and alignment steps (Methods S1), 13,969 unigenes were functionally annotated with gene descriptions. Assignment of clusters of orthologous groups (COG) and gene ontology (GO) terms showed that the salivary gland proteins are involved in basic processes such as transcription and translation, as well functions including binding, catalytic activity, and secretion (Supplemental Fig. S1 and S2).

Salivary proteins that are secreted outside of salivary gland cells to perform their functions should contain a secretory signal peptide; 399 unigenes in the BPH salivary gland transcriptome were predicted to encode proteins with signal peptides. Proteins with more than one predicted transmembrane domain, which are likely anchored in cell membranes of the salivary gland, were excluded. After these filtering steps, 256 potential secretory proteins were retained (Table S1). A gene (CL865) showing high identity to the Laodelphax striatellus mucin-like protein was the most abundant in the transcriptome.

We obtained a full-length cDNA for this gene, which contains a 2187 bp open reading frame (ORF) and encodes a polypeptide of 728 amino acid residues (Fig. 1A). We named this gene NiMLP (Nilaparvata lugens mucin-like protein) (accession number AK348750). The first 19 amino acids comprise the signal peptide, with cleavage predicted between residues 19 and 20. NiMLP is rich in serine (22.4%) residues, 36% of which are predicted to be potential mucin type O-glycosylation sites. Some repeated amino acid sequences, a typical feature of mucin-like proteins (Verma & Davidson, 1994), were found. NiMLP protein has been detected in both gelling and watery saliva (Huang et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2016). To investigate the functions of NiMLP, we analyzed mRNA levels in BPHs at various developmental stages including...
eggs, 1st to 5th instar BPHs, and female and male adults via quantitative reverse-transcription PCR (qRT-PCR). *NlMLP* expression was higher in insects at feeding stages (nymph or adult) than at the non-feeding stage (egg) (Fig. 1B). *NlMLP* transcripts were detected at higher levels in the salivary gland than in the gut, fat body, and remaining carcass (Fig. 1C). We also analyzed the expression of *NlMLP* in salivary glands by mRNA *in situ* hybridization. Hybridization signals were detected in the A-follicles of principal glands but not in the salivary ducts or accessory glands (Fig. 1D).

To confirm that *NlMLP* was secreted into rice tissue during feeding, we extracted proteins from the leaf sheaths of plants following BPH feeding and analyzed them by mass spectrometry. Four *NlMLP* peptides were detected in BPH-infested rice leaf sheaths but not in non-infested rice (Fig. 1A), indicating that *NlMLP* was secreted into the rice plants.

To determine the cellular localization of *NlMLP* in plant cells when transiently expressed, we conducted localization experiments using rice protoplasts and *N. benthamiana* leaf cells. When the *NlMLP-GFP* fusion protein was transiently expressed in rice protoplasts, GFP fluorescence was detected only in the cytoplasm, while control GFP fluorescence was detected in both the cytoplasm and nucleus (Fig. 1E). When the *NlMLP-YFP* fusion protein was transiently expressed in *N. benthamiana* leaves via agroinfiltration, *NlMLP* localized to the cytoplasm (Supplemental Fig. S3).

**NlMLP** is required for the feeding of BPHs on rice plants and for insect performance

To elucidate the role of *NlMLP* in BPH, we synthesized double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) from *NlMLP* and injected it into 4th instar BPH nymphs to mediate RNA interference (RNAi). This treatment had a very strong silencing effect, reducing *NlMLP* transcript levels significantly (~95%) on the first day after treatment compared to the levels in
two control groups receiving either no injection or injection with dsGFP ($P < 0.001$ for C and dsMLP from 1 to 6 days; $P = 0.017$ for dsGFP and dsMLP at 1 and 5 days; $P = 0.016$ for dsGFP and dsMLP at 2, 3 and 6 days; $P = 0.015$ for dsGFP and dsMLP at 4 days; Supplemental Fig. S4). The silencing was confirmed by RNA gel blot analysis two days after injection (Supplemental Fig. S4). The treated BPH insects were allowed to feed on TN1 rice plants. The survival rate of BPHs harboring a silenced \textit{NlMLP} gene was significantly lower (from 2 to 10 days following injection) than those of the two control groups ($P < 0.001$ for C and dsMLP and $P = 0.046$ for dsGFP and dsMLP at 2 days; $P < 0.001$ for C and dsMLP and $P = 0.001$ for dsGFP and dsMLP at 10 days; Fig. 2A). The cumulative mortality rate of BPHs injected with dsMLP, dsGFP, and non-injected BPHs was 96%, 60%, and 52%, respectively, at 10 days after injection (Fig. 2A). BPHs subjected to the \textit{NlMLP} RNAi treatment also excreted significantly less honeydew, a simple measurable indicator of BPH feeding activity, than the two control groups ($P < 0.001$ for C and dsMLP; $P = 0.003$ for dsGFP and dsMLP; Fig. 2B), as well as having smaller weight gain values ($P = 0.011$ for C and dsMLP; $P = 0.031$ for dsGFP and dsMLP; Fig. 2C) and weight gain ratios ($P = 0.023$ for C and dsMLP; $P = 0.043$ for dsGFP and dsMLP; Fig. 2D). Furthermore, silencing of \textit{NlMLP} reduced BPH virulence. Rice plants died in 7 days after infested by common BPH insects or BPH insects injected with dsGFP, while those plants infested by BPH insects injected with dsMLP still survived and grew normally (Supplemental Fig. S5). These results indicate that silencing the \textit{NlMLP} gene significantly reduced the feeding and performance of BPHs on rice plants.

Feeding on rice plants expressing dsRNAs was previously shown to trigger RNA interference of a target gene in BPH (Zha et al., 2011). We therefore transformed BPH-susceptible rice plants with \textit{NlMLP}-dsRNA and selected a T2 homozygous dsMLP-transgenic line expressing \textit{NlMLP}-dsRNA via qRT-PCR analysis (Supplemental Fig. S6A). When 2nd instar BPHs were fed on dsMLP-transgenic plants, their expression level of \textit{NlMLP} was significantly (40%) lower than in BPHs fed on
wild-type plants at 7 and 9 days after the start of exposure ($P = 0.042$ at 7 days; $P = 0.045$ at 9 days; Supplemental Fig. S6B). BPH survival and weight gain were also significantly lower in insects fed on dsMLP-transgenic plants than in those fed on wild-type plants from 7 to 10 days ($P = 0.049$ at 7 days; $P = 0.046$ at 8 days; $P = 0.005$ at 9 days; $P = 0.008$ at 10 days; Fig. 2E) and after 10 days ($P = 0.023$; Fig. 2F), respectively. These results clearly show that NiMLP protein is essential for BPH feeding and performance.

**NiMLP is necessary for salivary sheath formation**

NiMLP was found in both gelling saliva and watery saliva (Huang et al., 2016). To further investigate the effects of NiMLP on feeding, we focused on salivary sheath formation. First, we fed BPHs on an artificial diet in Parafilm sachets for 2 days and analyzed their salivary sheaths by fluorescence microscopy and scanning electron microscopy observation. The fluorescence microscopy analysis revealed that BPHs subjected to the *NiMLP* RNAi treatment produced salivary sheaths that were significantly shorter and less branched than those produced by the control BPHs receiving either no injection or injection with dsGFP (Fig. 3, A and B). Moreover, the structure of the sheaths was incomplete or predominantly amorphous, or gelling saliva deposits at their stylet penetration sites were minimal, whereas those secreted by control BPHs had complete, typical structures (Fig. 3, C-E). Second, we collected stems from rice plants after BPH feeding and sectioned them to observe salivary sheath morphology in planta. Most salivary sheaths in rice stems produced by the control BPHs reached the phloem (Fig. 3, F and G), whereas most salivary sheaths produced by *NiMLP*-silenced BPHs were shorter and failed to reach the phloem, instead stopping in the rice epidermis or xylem (Fig. 3H). Together, these observations indicate that *NiMLP* is necessary for salivary sheath formation. Silencing of *NiMLP* in BPHs resulted in imperfect, short salivary sheaths, thus affecting phloem feeding.
NiMLP induces plant cell death

The above findings clearly show that NiMLP is secreted into rice tissues (Fig. 1A) and that it is localized to the cytoplasm of rice cells (Fig. 1E). To uncover the potential role of NiMLP in the host plant, we transiently expressed NiMLP without the signal peptide in rice protoplasts. We measured cell death, fluorescein diacetate (FDA) staining of the protoplasts showed that the cell viability of protoplasts expressing NiMLP was significantly lower than that of control protoplasts expressing GFP ($P < 0.001$; Fig. 4, A and B). We also co-expressed *NiMLP* together with the luciferase (*LUC*) gene in rice protoplasts. LUC activity was significantly lower in protoplasts co-expressing NiMLP compared to the control co-expressing GFP ($P < 0.001$; Fig. 4C). Immunoblotting confirmed that NiMLP and GFP were expressed properly in the rice protoplasts (Supplemental Fig. S8A). These observations indicate that NiMLP expression triggers cell death in rice protoplasts. To determine whether NiMLP-triggered cell death is affected by the presence of BPH-resistance genes in rice, we performed similar LUC assays after co-transfection of protoplasts with *NiMLP* and the genes *Bph6*, *Bph9*, and *Bph14*. The LUC activity was still significantly lower in the presence of NiMLP than in the GFP controls, regardless of the presence of resistance genes ($P = 0.001$ for *Bph6*; $P = 0.005$ for *Bph9*; $P = 0.004$ for *Bph14*; Supplemental Fig. S7). Therefore, NiMLP expression triggers cell death in rice protoplasts independently of these BPH-resistance genes.

We further verified the ability of NiMLP to induce plant cell death by performing *A. tumefaciens*-mediated expression of *NiMLP* in *N. benthamiana* leaves. INF1, an elicitin secreted by *Phytophthora infestans* that induces HR cell death in *Nicotiana* plants (Derevnina et al., 2016), was used as a positive control, while GFP was used as a negative control. NiMLP, with or without YFP-HA tag, triggered marked cell death (Fig. 4, D and E). Moreover, ion leakage was significantly higher from leaves expressing NiMLP or INF1 than from the GFP-expressing controls ($P = 0.002$ for GFP and INF1; $P < 0.001$ for GFP and NiMLP; Fig. 4F). Immunoblot analysis showed that
GFP, INF1, and NiMLP proteins accumulated to comparable degrees in *N. benthamiana* leaves (Supplemental Fig. S8B). However, the cell death symptoms caused by INF1 and NiMLP is different. Leaves infiltrated with INF1 strain appeared chlorosis in 4 days, and became severe necrosis accompanied by brown or black color after 5 days. On leaves infiltrated with NiMLP, white or grey-white necrotic spots appeared in 4 days and became bigger around the infiltrated site as time goes on (Supplemental Fig. S9). We further investigated the quantity of NiMLP required for the cell death symptoms. We set up different concentrations (OD$_{600}$=0.005, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.05, 0.08, 0.1, 0.2 and 0.3) of NiMLP strains to infect *N. benthamiana* leaves, and found that cell death was caused by NiMLP strains in OD=0.01 or over but was not when the OD is 0.005 (Supplemental Fig. S10A). Immunoblot detected NiMLP protein in the leaves infected by in leaves infected with strains in OD 0.01 or over, but not in 0.005 (Supplemental Fig. S10B).

To further characterize the physiological properties of the cell death induced by NiMLP, we examined the effects of treatments that inhibit various potential cell death-associated processes in rice protoplasts and *N. benthamiana* leaves. The application of LaCl$_3$ blocked the induction of cell death by NiMLP, suggesting that the cell-death process mediated by NiMLP is dependent on a calcium signaling pathway (Boudsocq et al., 2010) (Table 1). There was no difference in cell viability between protoplasts incubated in the light or dark, indicating that the cell death process induced by NiMLP is light-independent (Asai et al., 2000). Bcl-xl is an anti-apoptotic protein (Chen et al., 2012). The expression of *Bcl-xl* in *N. benthamiana* leaves suppressed cell death induced by subsequent NiMLP expression (Table 1). Our results indicate that cell death induced by NiMLP shares some common properties with cell death induced by BAX and INF1. MAPK cascades play important roles in defense-related signal transduction (Yang et al., 2001). MEK2 is a MAPK kinase that acts upstream of SA-induced protein kinase (SIPK) and wounding-induced protein kinase (WIPK) and controls multiple defense responses to pathogen invasion (Yang et al., 2001). When we
silenced MEK2 in *N. benthamiana* plants via VIGS (*P* = 0.006; Fig. 4I). NiMLP-triggered cell death was significantly reduced in MEK2-silenced plants (Fig. 4H), but not in control plants (Fig. 4G). However, the presence of INF1, which triggers cell death independently of MEK2 (Takahashi et al., 2007), clearly caused necrosis in MEK2-silenced plants (Fig. 4H). Therefore, NiMLP-triggered cell death is associated with MEK2-dependent MAPK cascades.

**NiMLP triggers plant defense responses**

Callose deposition is used as a marker for plant basal defense responses and participates in plant defenses against phloem sap ingestion by insects (Hann and Rathjen, 2007; Hao et al., 2008). Thus, to determine whether NiMLP activates defense responses in * planta*, we expressed NiMLP in *N. benthamiana* leaves and investigated callose deposition by aniline blue staining. Many more callose spots were present in NiMLP-expressing leaves (47.0 per infiltration) than in GFP-expressing leaves (3.5 per infiltration) (*P* = 0.001; Fig. 5A). Moreover, NiMLP induced transcriptional activation of the pathogen resistance (PR) genes *NbPR3* (*P* = 0.143 at 24h; *P* = 0.002 at 48h) and *NbPR4* (*P* = 0.002 at 24h; *P* = 0.002 at 48h), but not *NbPR1* (*P* = 0.625 at 24h; *P* = 0.180 at 48h), within 48 h of infection (Fig. 5B). The upregulation of genes encoding acidic *NbPR1* protein is a characteristic feature of the activated SA-signaling pathway, while the induction of genes encoding basic *NbPR3* and *NbPR4* proteins is associated with JA-dependent defense responses (Zhang et al., 2012; Naessens et al., 2015). Thus, NiMLP appears to induce defense responses mediated by the JA signaling pathway, thereby promoting the production of PR proteins and the biosynthesis of cell wall-reinforcing callose.

**The functional motif is located in the C-terminus of NiMLP**

NiMLP showed no sequence similarity to any known cell death-inducing effector. To delineate the functional domains of NiMLP, we assayed the ability of N-terminal and
C-terminal deletion mutant proteins to trigger cell death in *N. benthamiana* leaves (Fig. 6). The N-terminal deletion mutant M\(^{428-728}\) strongly triggered cell death, but the C-terminal deletion mutants M\(^{32-319}\) and M\(^{319-428}\) did not. Moreover, M\(^{428-674}\) triggered cell death less strongly than did M\(^{428-728}\), and the M\(^{674-728}\) mutant did not induce cell death at all. These findings suggest that the 428-674 amino-acid fragment is required for triggering cell death and that the 674-728 amino-acid fragment might promote this effect. We also found that the 428-674 fragment was required for the expression of NbPR4 (*P* = 0.001 for GFP and M\(^{1-728}\); *P* = 0.002 for GFP and M\(^{32-728}\); *P* = 0.008 for GFP and M\(^{319-728}\); *P* < 0.001 for GFP and M\(^{428-728}\); *P* = 0.129 for GFP and M\(^{674-728}\); *P* = 0.007 for GFP and M\(^{428-674}\); *P* = 0.209 for GFP and M\(^{319-428}\); *P* = 0.656 for GFP and M\(^{32-319}\); Fig. 6). Immunoblot analysis showed that the mutant proteins accumulated to comparable levels in *N. benthamiana* leaves (Supplemental Fig. S8C).
DISCUSSION

This is the first report of a planthopper salivary protein that plays important roles in feeding and interactions with the host plant. We identified a *N. lugens* mucin-like protein, NlMLP, which is highly expressed in the salivary glands of BPHs and secreted into rice tissue during BPH feeding. NlMLP is necessary for the probing of rice plants by BPHs to obtain phloem sap for insect survival. BPH feeding was inhibited and insect performance was significantly reduced when *NlMLP* expression was knocked-down (Fig. 2). Furthermore, the salivary sheaths produced by NlMLP-silenced BPHs were shorter and less branched than those of control BPHs fed on both an artificial diet and rice tissue. The sheaths had incomplete structures and were predominantly amorphous.

Mucin-like proteins have been identified in various organisms; some mucins are involved in controlling mineralization (Boskey, 2003) and are associated with processes including nacre formation in mollusks (Marin et al., 2000), calcification in echinoderms (Boskey, 2003), and bone formation in vertebrates (Midura and Hascall, 1996). Based on our current results, mucin appears to be an essential component of the BPH salivary sheath. Notably, the mucin-like protein NlMLP is rich in serine, which provides attachment sites for carbohydrate chains that participate in the formation of large extracellular aggregates (Korayem et al., 2004), thus functioning in the formation of salivary sheaths, which support stylet movements and exploration of the host plant tissue. Therefore, reductions in the levels of NlMLP may prevent the construction of complete sheaths. The immediate consequence of this reduction in *NlMLP*-RNAi insects is that salivary sheaths formed in rice plants do not reach into the sieve tube, which likely accounts for the reduction in phloem feeding and performance of these insects on rice plants.

In aphids, the salivary protein SHP contributes to the solidification of gelling saliva and sheath formation, partly through the formation of disulfide cystine bonds (Carolan et al., 2009; Will and Vilcinskas, 2015). Mucins can form disulfide-dependent soluble
dimers and multimeric insoluble gels through cross-linking of cysteines (Axelsson et al., 1998). Cysteine residues in NiMLP might behave in a similar fashion and strongly contribute to the formation of the polymeric matrix during sheath hardening via the formation of intermolecular disulfide bonds. NiMLP might also function in the growth and development of BPHs. Silencing of NiMLP affected insect development, which in turn reduced salivary sheath formation, feeding, and performance.

Plants usually detect molecules emitted by parasites to trigger defense responses. When BPHs feed on phloem sap, their saliva is secreted into rice tissue, which contains bioactive components involved in inducing the expression of defense genes. We demonstrated that NiMLP is one such bioactive component. Mucin-like proteins have also been detected in fungal pathogens. The surfaces of many parasites, including the protozoan parasite *Trypanosoma cruzi* (Buscaglia et al., 2006), the fish pathogen *T. carassii* (Lischke et al., 2000), and the potato pathogen *Phytophthora infestans* (Gornhardt et al., 2000) are covered in mucins, which participate in interactions with host cells during the invasion process (Buscaglia et al., 2006; Larousse et al., 2014). Similarly, NiMLP is highly expressed in salivary glands and is secreted into the plant.

We found that NiMLP expression triggered cell death in rice protoplasts and *N. benthamiana* leaves (Fig. 4), as well as plant immunity responses, including the induction of PR gene expression and callose synthesis in leaves (Fig. 5). NiMLP molecules on the surface of the salivary sheath, representing an essential component of this structure, can be detected as signal of BPH feeding by host cells and evoke defense responses. NiMLP in watery saliva may play the same role as well. Our results indicate that the functional portion of NiMLP is located at its C-terminus. The presence of a 428-674 amino-acid fragment was sufficient for triggering cell death and inducing the expression of *NbPR4*. Mucin-like proteins are also detected in other piercing-sucking insects such as leafhopper (Hattori et al., 2015) and several mosquito species (Das et al., 2010). The wide taxonomic range of hosts in which NiMLP can trigger cell death suggests that NiMLP may be recognized by a conserved protein found
in many plants. The plant receptor that recognizes NlMLP remains to be identified.

The defense reaction elicited by NlMLP shares common features with immune responses which shown by well-known effectors and pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs). NlMLP might be an elicitor that involved in the PTI process. It may be recognized by plant PRRs which triggers plant defensive responses. NlMLP triggers cell death, a common phenomenon in effector-triggered immune responses.

$\text{Ca}^{2+}$ is a well-known secondary signal in eukaryotes. The early defense response to BPH in rice involves $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ influx, which is a common early plant response triggered by insect feeding (Hao et al., 2008; Hogenhout and Bos, 2011; Bonaventure, 2012).

Our results indicate that the cell death process induced by NlMLP is dependent on $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ influx. Moreover, cell death induced by NlMLP is not dependent on light and is suppressed by the anti-apoptotic protein Bcl-xl. NlMLP-triggered cell death requires the MEK2 MAP kinase signal transduction pathway. MEK2 is a common component in MAP kinase pathways required for HR followed by certain Avr-R interactions in tomato and tobacco (Morris, 2001; Del Pozo et al., 2004; King et al., 2014). NbMEK2 is also required for cell death triggered by the *Phytophthora sojae* RxLR effector Avh241 in *N. benthamiana* (Yu et al., 2012). Given the broad range of plants responding to NlMLP and the dependence of these responses on the MEK2 pathway, we speculate that plants respond to NlMLP may via a conserved upstream component of plant signaling pathways. The expression of NlMLP induced the JA pathway marker genes *NbPR3* and *NbPR4*. *NbPR3* is a chitinase gene associated with JA-dependent defenses that is induced by elicitors (Naessens et al., 2015), while *NbPR4* encodes a hevein-like chitinase that is also primarily associated with JA responses (Kiba et al., 2014). The findings suggest that defense responses triggered by NlMLP are associated with the JA signaling pathway. NlMLP expression in *N. benthamiana* leaves also induced callose deposition. Callose deposition is a useful mechanism conferring plant immunity to insects and pathogens (Luna et al., 2011). In the interaction of rice and BPH, callose deposition on the sieve plates occludes sieve tubes, thereby directly
inhibiting continuous feeding by BPHs (Hao et al., 2008).

Nevertheless, BPH can successfully attack most rice cultivars and even results in hopper burn. This success is thought because of the evolved ability of BPH to suppress or counteract rice defense responses triggered by NiMLP and other elicitors (Walling, 2008; Kaloshian and Walling, 2016). Hemipterans saliva is a complex mixture of biomolecules with potential roles in overcoming plant immune responses and enables hemipterans to manipulate host responses to their advantage (Miles 1999; Kaloshian and Walling, 2016). One example is that BPH can decompose the deposited callose and unplug sieve tube occlusions by activating β-1,3-glucanase genes and thereby facilitate the continuous phloem-feeding in rice plants (Hao et al., 2008). To date, some effectors, including C002, Me10, Me23, Mp1, Mp2, and Mp55, have been identified by assays in planta overexpression and RNAi in aphids. These effectors contribute to aphid survival and suppressing host defense (Mutti et al., 2008; Bos et al., 2010; Atamian et al., 2013; Pitino and Hogenhout, 2013; Elzinga et al., 2014). The effectors may target any component in pattern-triggered immunity or host cell trafficking pathway (Derevnin et al., 2016; Kaloshian and Walling, 2016; Rodriguez et al., 2017). It is likely that potent effectors in BPH also target such cell processes in rice and enable BPH to successfully feed on rice plants, which induces cascade reactions termed the BPH-feeding cascade, and results in the death of susceptible rice varieties (Cheng et al., 2013). In contrast, in resistant rice the resistance gene induces a strong defense response that inhibits insect feeding, growth, and development, and enables the plant to grow normally.

In summary, our results indicate that NiMLP secreted by BPHs into rice plants plays dual roles as a component in feeding sheath formation and activating plant defense responses. The defense responses induced by NiMLP in plant cells are related to Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilization and the MEK2 MAP kinase and JA signaling pathways. Further studies are needed to identify the target of NiMLP in rice cells and BPH effectors that suppress rice defense responses to further explore the interaction mechanisms between plants and planthoppers.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials and insects
Wild-type and transgenic rice (*Oryza sativa*) plants were grown in the experimental fields at Wuhan University Institute of Genetics, China under routine management practices. Brown planthopper biotype 1 insect populations were reared on one-month-old plants of the susceptible rice variety TN1 under controlled environmental conditions (26 ± 0.5°C, 16 h light/8 h dark cycle).

Transcriptome analysis of BPH salivary glands
BPH adult females were dipped in 70% ethanol for several seconds and washed in 0.85% NaCl solution. Salivary glands were dissected in phosphate buffer saline solution (pH 7.4). This was accomplished by pulling the head off of the thorax with forceps and carefully removing the salivary glands that emerged from the distal region of the severed head. A total of 2,000 salivary glands were dissected and directly dipped into 300 µL RNAiso Plus (Takara) for RNA preparation. The poly (A) RNA was isolated, broken into smaller fragments (200-700 bp), and reverse-transcribed to synthesize cDNA for Illumina HiSeq™ 2000 sequencing. After stringent quality assessment and data filtering, 40,000,000 reads were selected as high-quality reads (after removing adapters and low-quality regions) for further analysis. A set of 12,668,039 high-quality reads with an average length of 400 bp was assembled, resulting in 59,510 contigs. The contigs were assembled into 31,645 unigenes, consisting of 3960 clusters and 27,685 singletons. All unigene sequences were aligned by Blastx to protein sequences in databases including nr, Swiss-Prot, KEGG, and COG, followed by sequences in the non-redundant NCBI protein database (with e<10^{-5} in both cases).

SignalP 3.0 was used to predict the presence of signal peptides. To predict transmembrane domains, each amino acid sequence with a signal peptide was submitted to the TMHMM Server v. 2.0.

Cloning and sequencing of *NlMLP* cDNA
The cDNA sequences of *NlMLP* were obtained from salivary-gland transcriptomes of BPHs. To obtain the full-length sequence of each truncated sequence from BPHs, 5’ and 3’ RACE amplification were performed using a 5’-Full RACE Kit and 3’-Full
RACE Core Set Ver. 2.0 (Takara) following the manufacturer’s instructions. For 5’-RACE, gene-specific primers were designed based on sequencing data, and an external reverse primer (5’-RACE-EP) and a nested primer (5’-RACE-NP) were used. For 3’-RACE, the cDNA was then amplified by nested PCR with the external forward primer (3’-RACE-EP) and the nested forward primer (3’-RACE-NP) (Table S2) with La Taq DNA polymerase (Takara). Purified PCR products were ligated to the pMD18-T simple vector (Takara) and positive colonies were sequenced.

Proteomics analysis of rice leaf sheathes

The TN1 rice plants were grown in pots (8 cm in diameter and 15 cm in height). At the fifth leaf stage, 2nd and 3rd-instar BPH nymphs were released onto the plants at 20 insects per plant. The leaf sheaths of BPH-infested plants and non-infested control plants were collected after 72 h, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at -80°C. Protein extraction and digestion, iTRAQ labeling, strong cation exchange chromatography (SCX) fractionation, and LC–MS/MS proteomic analysis were performed according to the manufacturer’s protocols using an iTRAQ Reagent 8 Plex Kit (SCIEX). A TripleTOF 5600 (AB SCIEX, Concord, ON), fitted with a NanoSpray III source (AB SCIEX, Concord, ON) and a pulled quartz tip as the emitter (New Objectives, Woburn, MA), was used for MS/MS. Protein identification was performed using Mascot 2.3.02 (Matrix Science, London, UK) against the transcriptomic database of N. lugens salivary glands (containing 18,099 protein-coding sequences).

Expression analysis of NiMLP

The temporal and spatial expression patterns of NiMLP were investigated by qRT-PCR as follows. For spatial expression pattern analysis, salivary glands, guts, fat bodies, and carcasses (i.e., samples consisting of all parts remaining after the removal of the salivary gland, gut, and fat body) were dissected from BPH adults under a stereomicroscope. Total RNA was isolated from each type of tissue using RNAiso Plus (Takara). For temporal expression pattern analysis, total RNA was isolated from BPH at various developmental stages including eggs, 1st to 5th instar nymphs, female adults, and male adults. First-strand NiMLP cDNA was obtained from all samples by
reverse transcription using a PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (Takara) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, followed by amplification by qRT-PCR using a Bio-Rad CFX-96 Real-Time PCR system with an iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix Kit (Bio-Rad, USA) and gene-specific primers qNlMLP-F and qNlMLP-F (Table S2). As an endogenous control to normalize expression levels with average threshold cycle (Ct) numbers, a partial fragment of the BPH actin gene was amplified with primers qNlActin-F and qNlActin-R (Table S2). A relative quantitative method (ΔΔCt) was applied to evaluate the variation in expression among samples.

**Fluorescence in situ hybridization of BPH salivary glands.**

The expression patterns of NlMLP within the salivary glands were examined by in situ mRNA localization and confocal microscopy, as follows. BPHs were anesthetized on ice and their salivary glands were dissected and fixed in 4% formaldehyde in PTw (phosphate buffered saline + 0.1% Tween) overnight at 4°C. The fixed glands were treated with 5 mg/mL Proteinase K for 10 minutes and subjected to various hybridization steps (Villarroel et al., 2016). Briefly, the glands were prehybridized in hybridization buffer for 1 h at 52°C, incubated in fresh hybridization buffer and probe overnight at 52°C, and subjected to six 25 min washes at 52°C with wash buffer. After washing at room temperature with PBTw, the glands were incubated for 3-5 h at 4°C in the dark in a 1:200 dilution of anti-digoxigenin-fluorescein (Fab fragments, Roche) in PBTw. The samples were then washed with PTw for 20 min and mounted in 70% glycerol in PTw. Fluorescence images were obtained under an Olympus Fluoview FV1000 confocal laser-scanning microscope (Olympus Corporation, Japan).

**DsRNA synthesis and injection to trigger RNA interference (RNAi) in insects**

The DNA template for NlMLP dsRNA (dsMLP) synthesis was obtained using primer pair dsMLP-F and dsMLP-R. DsMLP was synthesized using a MEGAscript T7 High Yield Transcription Kit (Ambion) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The amplified NlMLP fragment (500 bp) was confirmed by sequencing. The primers dsGFP-F and dsGFP-R, which were designed based on the GFP (green fluorescent protein) gene template, were used to synthesize dsGFP as a negative control in the RNAi experiments. The dsRNA was purified by phenol chloroform extraction and...
resuspended in nuclease-free water at a concentration of 5 µg/µL.

Fourth-instar nymphs were anesthetized with carbon dioxide for approximately 20 sec until they were unconscious and placed on 2% agarose plates with their abdomens facing up. A 46 nL volume of dsMLP or dsGFP was injected into each insect at the junction between the prothorax and mesothorax using a microprocessor-controlled Nanoliter 2010 injector (World Precision Instruments, USA). The injected BPHs were reared on rice cv. TN1 plants.

Bioassay of BPHs after dsRNA injection

The survival rates of BPHs injected with dsGFP or dsMLP and the non-injected controls were determined as follows. Pots (diameter 7 cm, height 9 cm), each containing a single one-month-old TN1 rice plant grown and maintained as described above, were individually covered with plastic cages into which 10 injected BPH nymphs were released. The number of surviving BPH nymphs on each plant was recorded daily for 10 days. The experiment was repeated five times.

BPH growth rates were analyzed using newly emerged brachypterous females (within one day of emergence), Parafilm sachets (2×2.5 cm), and one-month-old TN1 rice plants grown and maintained as described. After weighing the insects and sachets, the insects were placed into the sachets, which were then attached to the plants (with one BPH per sachet and one sachet per plant). After 72 h, each insect and Parafilm sachet was re-weighed, and the changes in weight of the BPH and sachet were defined as BPH weight gain and honeydew weight, respectively. The BPH weight gain ratio was calculated as the change in weight relative to the initial weight. The experiment was repeated 10 times per group, and the experiments were conducted five times.

Honeydew excretion on filter paper.

Honeydew excretion on filter paper was measured as previously described (Du B et al., 2009). One-month-old rice cv. TN1 plants grown in pots (diameter 7 cm, height 9 cm, one plant per pot) as described were covered with an inverted transparent plastic cup placed over filter paper resting on a plastic Petri dish. Two days after injection, the BPHs were placed into the chamber. After 2 days of feeding, the filter paper was collected and treated with 0.1% ninhydrin in acetone solution. After 30 min of oven drying at 60°C, the honeydew stains appeared violet or purple due to their amino acid


content. The area of the ninhydrin-positive deposits was measured with Image J software. The experiment was repeated three times.

Development of dsMLP-transgenic rice and the BPH bioassay

To constitutively express *NlMLP*-dsRNA in rice, a 500 bp template fragment (the same as the target sequence used for micro-injection) and stuffer sequence fragment (a *PDK* intron) were used to generate a hairpin RNAi construct as previously described (Zha et al., 2011). The construct was inserted into binary vector pCXUN (accession no. FJ905215) under the control of the plant *ubiquitin (ubi)* promoter. The construct was transformed into rice cv. Kasalath (an *indica* rice variety susceptible to BPH and amenable to gene transformation) using an *Agrobacterium*-mediated method. Integration of the foreign DNA in T0 plants was confirmed by PCR and DNA gel blot analysis. The plants were cultivated, and T2 seeds were collected for the bioassay.

The survival rates of BPHs on transgenic and WT plants (Kasalath) were determined by releasing 20 2nd instar nymphs onto each plant. The number of surviving BPHs was recorded daily for 10 days. The experiment was repeated five times. *NlMLP* expression in BPHs was evaluated by qRT-PCR. BPH weight was measured on a microbalance after 10 days of feeding.

Observation of salivary sheaths on Parafilm and *in planta*

To observe the morphology of the salivary sheaths, BPHs were fed on an artificial diet (D-97) consisting of amino acids, vitamins, inorganic salts, and sucrose (Fu et al., 2001) using plastic cylinders (4.0 cm long and 2.5 cm in diameter) as feeding chambers. Sachets formed from two layers of stretched Parafilm M (~4-times the original area), each containing 200 µL portions of D-97, were placed at one end of the chamber. The opposite end of the chamber was covered with a piece of nylon mesh after the test insects had been introduced. Insects could feed by piercing through the inner Parafilm layer of the diet sachets, leaving salivary sheaths in the sachets. To assess the effects of dsRNA injection on salivary sheaths, two days after injection, nymphs were individually placed in the chambers and allowed to feed for 48 h. The inner Parafilm layers were then placed on a microscope slide and the salivary sheaths were counted under a BX51 light microscope (Olympus). Sets of 10 dsNlMLP-injected, dsGFP-injected, and non-injected control BPHs were used per
experiment, and the experiment was repeated three times.

To obtain samples for scanning electron microscopy (SEM), the inner Parafilm layer was placed on a microscope slide and salivary sheaths were identified under a light microscope (Olympus BX51). Regions of interest were labeled and the Parafilm was cut with a scalpel. The salivary sheaths from the Parafilm were attached to a sample holder, coated with gold, and observed under an S-3400N SEM (Hitachi). Five replicates were prepared per treatment, and 20 randomly chosen salivary sheaths were observed.

The dsRNA-treated BPHs were reared on one-month-old TN1 rice seedlings for 48 h, and the leaf sheaths were collected and used for paraffin sectioning. One-month-old rice cv. TNI plants (grown and maintained as described) were infested with a set of 10 dsMLP-injected, dsGFP-injected, or non-injected BPHs. The leaf sheaths produced by the insects were collected, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, dehydrated, embedded in paraffin, and cut into 10 mm thick sections with a microtome. The sections were mounted on microscope slides and stained with 0.25% Coomassie Blue staining solution for 10 min. The slides were dewaxed, rehydrated, examined, and photographed under a light microscope.

Viability assay using rice protoplasts

Rice (cv. 9311 an indica rice variety susceptible to BPH) protoplasts were isolated from 10-day-old plants as previously described (Zhang et al., 2011). The cell viability and luciferase assays were conducted using rice protoplasts as described (Zhao et al., 2016). Briefly, for the cell viability assay, protoplasts were transfected with the indicated plasmids for 20 h and stained with 220 μg/mL FDA. For the luciferase assays, protoplasts were co-transfected with the reporter Renilla luciferase gene (RLUC) and another construct carrying GFP or NiMLP. Luciferase activity was measured 40 h after transfection using a luciferase assay system (Promega).

A. tumefaciens infiltration assays of N. benthamiana leaves

A sequence of interest was amplified by PCR. The PCR products and the PUC19 vector were both digested by Not I and Asc I and bound by DNA ligase to create entry vectors.
Using LR Clonase reaction enzyme mix (Invitrogen), the target sequence was recombined into the destination vector pEarleyGate 101 (with the YFP-HA epitope tag) or pEarleyGate 100 (with no epitope tag). Constructs were introduced into A. tumefaciens strain GV3101 by electroporation. Recombinant strains were cultured in Luria-Bertani medium supplemented with 50 µg/mL kanamycin and 50 µg/mL rifampicin, harvested, washed three times in infiltration medium (10 mM MES pH 5.6, 10 mM MgCl$_2$, 150 µM acetosyringone), and resuspended in infiltration medium to an OD$_{600}$ of 0.3. The resuspended recombinant strains were incubated for 2 h at room temperature and infiltrated into the leaves of 4- to 6-week-old N. benthamiana plants (grown in a greenhouse at 25: 20°C under a 16 h light: 8 h dark cycle) through a nick created using a needleless syringe. Symptom development in N. benthamiana was monitored visually 3-8 d after infiltration. To test the difference of cell death symptoms caused by INF1 and NlMLP, 12 pieces of N. benthamiana leaves were injected. Symptom development in N. benthamiana was continued monitored visually every day after infiltration. Four independent biological replicates were conducted and got similar results.

To test the induction of plant defense-related gene expression by NlMLP, RNA was extracted from N. benthamiana leaves 48 h after infiltration. SYBR green qRT-PCR assays were performed to determine gene expression levels as previously described. Three independent biological replicates were conducted for each experiment.

Agroinfiltrated N. benthamiana leaves were harvested at 48 h post inoculation. Total protein extracts were prepared by grinding 400 mg of leaf tissue in 1 mL extraction buffer (20 mM Tris-Cl, 1% SDS, 5 mM EDTA) in the presence of 10 mM DTT. The samples were shaken on a vortex for 30 s and centrifuged at 800 g for 10 min at 4°C. The resulting supernatant was used for immunoblot analysis.

Cell death was assayed by measuring ion leakage from leaf discs. Four leaf discs of equal dimension (10 mm) were placed into 5 mL of distilled water. The first set was incubated at room temperature overnight and its conductivity (C1) recorded using a conductivity meter (FiveGO-FG3). The second set was boiling and its conductivity (C2) recorded after cooling. Relative electrolyte leakage [(C1/C2) x 100] was calculated. The experiments were carried out four times.

Callose deposition in infiltrated leaf disks 48 h after infiltration was visualized after aniline blue staining following published methods (Naessens et al., 2015). Briefly,
dissected leaf disks were destained by successive washes in 70%, 95%, and 100% EtOH for two hours per wash, washed twice with ddH₂O, and incubated in aniline blue solution (70 mM KH₂PO₄, 0.05% [w/v] aniline blue, pH 9) for 1 h. Leaf discs were mounted in 80% glycerol and observed under a fluorescence microscope (FV1000, Olympus). After collecting callose images, fluorescence in the images was quantified with ImageJ software. Each experiment was repeated three times independently.

Virus-induced gene silencing of MEK2 in N. benthamiana plants.

Silencing of NbMEK2 in N. benthamiana was performed by Potato virus X (PVX) VIGS as described by Sharma et al. (2003). The NbMEK2 sequence (267 bp from the 3’ terminus) was inserted into the PVX vector in the antisense direction to generate PVX:MEK2. The construct containing the insert and the empty vector PVX were transformed into A. tumefaciens strain GV3101. Bacterial suspensions were applied to the undersides of N. benthamiana seedlings (~20 days) using a 1 mL needleless syringe. The plants exhibited mild mosaic symptoms 3-4 weeks after inoculation. MEK2 gene expression was measured by qRT-PCR, and plants in which silencing was established were subjected to further analysis.

Cell death inhibition assays.

The effects of the calcium channel inhibitor LaCl₃ and the human anti-apoptotic gene, Bcl-xl, on cell death (under dark conditions) were determined as previously described. For the rice protoplast transient expression assay and agroinfiltration into N. benthamiana leaves, rice protoplast or A. tumefaciens cultures were resuspended in LaCl₃ at a final concentration of 1 mM. Transient expression assays were performed under dark conditions to determine whether cell death induced by NiMLP is light dependent. Isolated protoplasts and N. benthamiana plants were incubated in the dark for 30 minutes before transfection and maintained in the dark after transfection. Bcl-xl was cloned into binary vector pGR107 and introduced into A. tumefaciens strain GV3101. NiMLP or the cell death-inducing gene BAX or INF1 was expressed in N. benthamiana leaves 24 h after introduction of the Bcl-xl expression vector or the empty control vector via agroinfiltration.

Statistical analysis
Data were compared using a Student’s t-test or a Tukey honest significant difference test using PASW Statistics v18.0.
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Table 1. Results of inhibition assays of cell death in rice protoplasts and *N. benthamiana* leaves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>LaCl₃</th>
<th>Dark</th>
<th>Bcl-xl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GFP</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00± 0.19</td>
<td>0/8</td>
<td>1.00± 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NlMLP</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.74± 0.17</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>0.14± 0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAX</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.66± 0.21</td>
<td>3/8</td>
<td>0.09± 0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INF1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.92± 0.34</td>
<td>3/8</td>
<td>0.38± 0.11*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* a LaCl₃ was applied to protoplasts immediately after transfection at a final concentration of 1 mM. For agroinfiltration of *N. benthamiana* leaves, LaCl₃ was added to resuspended *A. tumefaciens* cultures at a final concentration of 1 mM.

b Rice protoplasts and *N. benthamiana* leaves were incubated in the dark for 30 minutes before transfection and maintained in the dark after transfection.

c *N. benthamiana* leaves were pre-infiltrated with *A. tumefaciens* cells harboring the Bcl-xl expression vector.

d LUC activity in rice protoplasts, data represent means ± SE of three repeats. Asterisks after the number indicate significant differences compared with the corresponding GFP (*, P < 0.05, Student’s t-test).

e Number of cell death sites/ the total infiltrated leaves of *N. benthamiana*.

ND = not determined

**Figure legends**

**Figure 1.** Molecular characterization of NlMLP.

A, Amino acid sequence of NlMLP. The solid underline indicates the signal peptide predicted by SignalP. The asterisk (*) indicates the stop codon. The shaded amino acid...
residues indicate the peptides detected in BPH-infested rice tissue by LC-MS/MS analyses. Ser is shown in red. The repeat region is boxed.

B and C, Expression patterns of *NlMLP* at different developmental stages (B) and in different tissues (C). Relative levels of *NlMLP* expression at the indicated developmental stages (1<sup>st</sup> to 5<sup>th</sup>, 1<sup>st</sup> to 5<sup>th</sup> instar; F, female adult; M, male adult) and in different tissues (salivary gland, gut, fat body, and remaining carcass) normalized against β-actin gene expression, as determined by qRT-PCR. Data represent means ± SE of three repeats. N = 30. Different letters above the bars indicate significant differences, as determined by a Tukey honest significant difference test (P < 0.05).

D, mRNA *in situ* hybridization of *NlMLP* in salivary glands. Signals from anti-DIG-FITC (shown in green) bound to a DIG-labeled antisense riboprobe used for hybridization to *NIMLP* transcripts were detected by confocal laser-scanning microscopy. PG, principal gland. AG, accessory gland. Scale bar = 100 μm.

E, NIMLP localizes to the cytoplasm of rice cells when transiently expressed. GFP or NIMLP-GFP fusion protein was expressed in rice protoplasts by PEG-mediated transformation. Confocal laser-scanning microscopy was used to investigate fusion protein distribution 16 h after transformation. Scale bar = 5 μm.

**Figure 2.** Effects of *NlMLP* silencing on BPH feeding and performance.

A, The survival rates of BPH insects after injection were monitored daily. The survival rates of BPHs injected with dsMLP was significantly reduced compared to BPHs with no injection and those injected with dsGFP after 2 days of treatment (Student’s t-test).

C, BPHs with no injection; dsGFP, BPHs injected with *GFP*-dsRNA; dsMLP, BPHs injected with *NlMLP*-dsRNA. The experiment was repeated five times, with 10 BPHs per replicate. Data represent means ± SD of five repeats.

B, Honeydew excretion by BPH insects on filter paper. The intensity of the honeydew color and area of the honeydew correspond to BPH feeding activity. The experiment was repeated three times, with 10 filter papers per replicate. Data represent means ± SE
of three repeats. Different letters above the bars indicate significant differences, as determined by a Tukey honest significant difference test ($P < 0.05$).

C and D, BPH weight gain (C) and BPH weight gain ratio (D) of BPHs after injection with dsGFP or dsMLP. Data represent means ± SE of five independent experiments, with 10 BPHs per replicate. Different letters above the bars indicate significant differences, as determined by a Tukey honest significant difference test ($P < 0.05$).

E, Survival rates of BPH insects feeding on $NlMLP$-dsRNA-transgenic plants (SG33-2) and WT plants. The experiment was repeated five times, with 20 BPHs per replicate. Data represent means ± SD of five repeats. Asterisks above the columns indicate significant differences compared with WT plants (*, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$, Student’s t-test).

F, BPH weight after feeding for 10 days on $NlMLP$-dsRNA-transgenic plants (SG33-2) and WT plants. The experiment was repeated five times, with 10 BPHs per replicate. Data represent means ± SE of five repeats. Asterisks above the columns indicate significant differences compared with WT plants (*, $P < 0.05$, Student’s t-test).

Figure 3. Effects of $NlMLP$ silencing on salivary sheath formation.

A and B, Distribution of branch number (A) and length (B) of salivary sheaths formed by BPHs on an artificial diet. BPH insects were fed with dietary sucrose for 48 h and the salivary sheaths were collected and counted under a fluorescence microscope. C, non-injected BPHs; dsGFP, BPHs injected with $GFP$-dsRNA; dsMLP, BPHs injected with $NlMLP$-dsRNA. Data represent means ± SE of three repeats. Different letters above the bars indicate significant differences, as determined by a Tukey honest significant difference test ($P < 0.05$).

C, D and E, Scanning electron micrographs showing the morphology of salivary sheaths formed by BPHs on an artificial diet. Salivary sheaths from non-injected BPHs (C) show a complete structure. BPHs injected with $GFP$-dsRNA (D) formed similar types of sheaths. BPHs injected with $NlMLP$-dsRNA produced abnormal salivary
sheaths (E). Scale bar = 20 μm.

F, G and H, Fluorescence microscopy images showing the morphology of salivary sheaths in rice plants. Rice plants were infested with BPH insects for 48 h and investigated by paraffin sectioning. Sheaths produced by: F, non-injected BPHs; G, BPHs injected with GFP-dsRNA; H, BPHs injected with NiMLP-dsRNA. Scale bar = 50 μm.

**Figure 4.** NiMLP causes cell death in rice protoplasts and *N. benthamiana* leaves.

A, Images of fluorescein diacetate (FDA)-stained viable rice protoplasts transformed with *GFP* or *NiMLP*. Living cells were visualized using confocal laser-scanning microscopy and images were taken 20 h after transformation. GFP is a control protein that does not induce cell death. Scale bar = 25 μm.

B, Number of viable rice protoplasts transformed with *GFP* or *NiMLP* determined after FDA staining. Average values and SEs were calculated from three independent experiments, and 10 randomly selected microscopy fields were counted per experiment. Asterisks above the columns indicate significant differences compared with GFP (**, P < 0.01, Student’s t-test).

C, Luciferase (LUC) activity in rice protoplasts co-expressing LUC and NiMLP. Data represent means ± SE of three independent experiments. Asterisks above the columns indicate significant differences compared with GFP (**, P < 0.01, Student’s t-test).

D and E, Leaves of *N. benthamiana* were infiltrated with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* carrying *GFP, INF1*, and *NiMLP*. The leaves were photographed 5 days after agroinfiltration (D), and the treated leaves were stained with trypan blue (E). INF1, a control protein that induces cell death. GFP, INF1, and NiMLP with the YFP-HA epitope tag; NiMLP-100, NiMLP with no epitope tag.

F, Quantification of cell death by measuring electrolyte leakage in *N. benthamiana* leaves. Electrolyte leakage from the infiltrated leaf discs was measured as a percentage of leakage from boiled discs 4 days after agroinfiltration. Data represent means ± SE of
four repeats. Asterisks above the columns indicate significant differences compared with GFP (**, P < 0.01, Student’s t-test).

G and H, Silencing of NbMEK2 in N. benthamiana leaves compromises NlMLP-induced cell death but not INF1-induced cell death. GFP, INF1, and NlMLP were transiently expressed in N. benthamiana leaves expressing PVX vector (G) and leaves in which NbMEK2 had been silenced by VIGS (H). The leaves were photographed 5 days after agroinfiltration.

I, NbMEK2 transcript abundance in silenced N. benthamiana leaves, as measured by qRT-PCR. Data represent means ± SE of three repeats. Asterisks above the columns indicate significant differences compared with GFP (**, P < 0.01, Student’s t-test).

**Figure 5.** NlMLP affects plant defense responses during transfection.

A, Aniline blue staining of N. benthamiana leaves showing callose deposition spots in areas transfected with GFP or NlMLP. Photographs were taken 48 h after inoculation. Numbers indicate means ± SE of the number of callose spots in four individual leaf discs. Scale bar = 100 mm.

B, Expression of the defense-related genes NbPR3, NbPR4, and NbPRI following transient expression of GFP and NlMLP in N. benthamiana leaves. Data represent means ± SE of three repeats. Asterisks above the columns indicate significant differences compared with GFP (**, P < 0.01, Student’s t-test).

**Figure 6.** Deletion analysis of NlMLP.

Left column, schematic diagram of NlMLP and the deletion mutants.

Middle column, Relative expression of NbPR4 in N. benthamiana leaves agroinfiltrated with GFP or NlMLP deletion mutants, as determined by qRT-PCR. Data represent means ± SE of three repeats. M, NlMLP or the deletion mutants. Asterisks above the columns indicate significant differences compared with GFP (**, P < 0.01, Student’s t-test).
Right column, Cell-death lesions in *N. benthamiana* leaves expressing the NiMLP deletion mutants. +, +−, and − indicate obvious cell death, weak cell death, and no cell death, respectively. Each experiment was repeated at least three times with similar results.
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Figure 1. Molecular characterization of NIMLP.

A. Amino acid sequence of NIMLP. The solid underline indicates the signal peptide predicted by SignalP. The asterisk (*) indicates the stop codon. The shaded amino acid residues indicate the peptides detected in BPH-infested rice tissue by LC-MS/MS analyses. Ser is shown in red. The repeat region is boxed.

B and C. Expression patterns of NiMLP at different developmental stages (B) and in different tissues (C). Relative levels of NiMLP expression at the indicated developmental stages (1st to 5th, 1st to 5th instar; F, female adult; M, male adult) and in different tissues (salivary gland, gut, fat body, and remaining carcass) normalized against β-actin gene expression, as determined by qRT-PCR. Data represent means ± SE of three repeats. N = 30. Different letters above the bars indicate significant differences, as determined by a Tukey honest significant difference test (P < 0.05).

D. mRNA in situ hybridization of NiMLP in salivary glands. Signals from anti-DIG-FITC (shown in green) bound to a DIG-labeled antisense riboprobe used for hybridization to NiMLP transcripts were detected by confocal laser-scanning microscopy. PG, principal gland. AG, accessory gland. Scale bar = 100 μm.
E, NiMLP localizes to the cytoplasm of rice cells. GFP or NiMLP-GFP fusion protein was expressed in rice protoplasts by PEG-mediated transformation. Confocal laser-scanning microscopy was used to investigate fusion protein distribution 16 h after transformation. Scale bar = 5 μm.
Figure 2. Effects of *NlMLP* silencing on BPH feeding and performance.

A, The survival rates of BPH insects after injection were monitored daily. The survival rates of BPHs injected with dsMLP was significantly reduced compared to BPHs with no injection and those injected with dsGFP after 2 days of treatment (Student’s t-test).

B, Honeydew excretion by BPH insects on filter paper. The intensity of the honeydew color and area of the honeydew correspond to BPH feeding activity. The experiment was repeated three times, with 10 filter papers per replicate. Data represent means ± SE of three repeats. Different letters above the bars indicate significant differences, as determined by a Tukey honest significant difference test (P < 0.05).

C and D, BPH weight gain (C) and BPH weight gain ratio (D) of BPHs after injection with dsGFP or dsMLP. Data represent means ± SE of five independent experiments, with 10 BPHs per replicate. Different letters above the bars indicate significant differences, as determined by a Tukey honest significant difference test (P < 0.05).

E, Survival rates of BPH insects feeding on *NlMLP*-dsRNA-transgenic plants (SG33-2) and WT plants. The experiment was repeated five times, with 20 BPHs per replicate. Data represent means ± SD of five repeats. Asterisks above the columns indicate significant differences compared with WT plants (*, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01, Student’s t-test).

F, BPH weight after feeding for 10 days on *NlMLP*-dsRNA-transgenic plants (SG33-2) and WT plants. The experiment was repeated five times, with 10 BPHs per replicate. Data represent means ± SE of five repeats. Asterisks above the columns indicate significant differences compared with WT plants (*, P < 0.05, Student’s t-test).
**Figure 3.** Effects of *NlMLP* silencing on salivary sheath formation.

A and B, Distribution of branch number (A) and length (B) of salivary sheaths formed by BPHs on an artificial diet. BPH insects were fed with dietary sucrose for 48 h and the salivary sheaths were collected and counted under a fluorescence microscope. C, non-injected BPHs; dsGFP, BPHs injected with *GFP*-dsRNA; dsMLP, BPHs injected with *NlMLP*-dsRNA. Data represent means ± SE of three repeats. Different letters above the bars indicate significant differences, as determined by a Tukey honest significant difference test (P < 0.05).

C, D and E, Scanning electron micrographs showing the morphology of salivary sheaths formed by BPHs on an artificial diet. Salivary sheaths from non-injected BPHs (C) show a complete structure. BPHs injected with GFP-dsRNA (D) formed similar types of sheaths. BPHs injected with *NlMLP*-dsRNA produced abnormal salivary sheaths (E). Scale bar = 20 μm.

F, G and H, Fluorescence microscopy images showing the morphology of salivary sheaths in rice plants. Rice plants were infested with BPH insects for 48 h and investigated by paraffin sectioning. Sheaths produced by: F, non-injected BPHs; G, BPHs injected with *GFP*-dsRNA; H, BPHs injected with *NlMLP*-dsRNA. Scale bar = 50 μm.
Figure 4. NiMLP causes cell death in rice protoplasts and *N. benthamiana* leaves.

A, Images of fluorescein diacetate (FDA)-stained viable rice protoplasts transformed with *GFP* or *NiMLP*. Living cells were visualized using confocal laser-scanning microscopy and images were taken 20 h after transformation. GFP is a control protein that does not induce cell death. Scale bar = 25 μm.

B, Number of viable rice protoplasts transformed with *GFP* or *NiMLP* determined after FDA staining. Average values and SEs were calculated from three independent experiments, and 10 randomly selected microscopy fields were counted per experiment. Asterisks above the columns indicate significant differences compared with GFP (**, P < 0.01, Student’s t-test).

C, Luciferase (LUC) activity in rice protoplasts co-expressing LUC and NiMLP. Data represent means ± SE of three independent experiments. Asterisks above the columns indicate significant differences compared with GFP (**, P < 0.01, Student’s t-test).

D and E, Leaves of *N. benthamiana* were infiltrated with *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* carrying *GFP*, *INF1*, and *NiMLP*. The leaves were photographed 5 days after agroinfiltration (D), and the treated leaves were stained with Trypan blue (E). INF1, a control protein that induces cell death. GFP, INF1, and NiMLP with the YFP-HA epitope tag; NiMLP-100, NiMLP with no epitope tag.

F, Quantification of cell death by measuring electrolyte leakage in *N. benthamiana* leaves. Electrolyte leakage from the infiltrated leaf discs was measured as a percentage...
of leakage from boiled discs 4 days after agroinfiltration. Data represent means ± SE of four repeats. Asterisks above the columns indicate significant differences compared with GFP (**, P < 0.01, Student’s t-test).

G and H, Silencing of NbMEK2 in N. benthamiana leaves compromises NiMLP-induced cell death but not INF1-induced cell death. GFP, INF1, and NiMLP were transiently expressed in N. benthamiana leaves expressing PVX vector (G) and leaves in which NbMEK2 had been silenced by VIGS (H). The leaves were photographed 5 days after agroinfiltration.

I, NbMEK2 transcript abundance in silenced N. benthamiana leaves, as measured by qRT-PCR. Data represent means ± SE of three repeats. Asterisks above the columns indicate significant differences compared with GFP (**, P < 0.01, Student’s t-test).
Figure 5. NlMLP affects plant defense responses during transfection.
A, Aniline blue staining of *N. benthamiana* leaves showing callose deposition spots in areas transfected with *GFP* or *NlMLP*. Photographs were taken 48 h after inoculation. Numbers indicate means ± SE of the number of callose spots in four individual leaf discs. Scale bar = 100 mm.
B, Expression of the defense-related genes *NbPR3*, *NbPR4*, and *NbPR1* following transient expression of GFP and NlMLP in *N. benthamiana* leaves. Data represent means ± SE of three repeats. Asterisks above the columns indicate significant differences compared with GFP (**, P < 0.01, Student’s t-test).
**Figure 6.** Deletion analysis of NlMLP.

Left column, schematic diagram of NlMLP and the deletion mutants.

Middle column, Relative expression of *NbPR4* in *N. benthamiana* leaves agroinfiltrated with GFP or NlMLP deletion mutants, as determined by qRT-PCR. Data represent means ± SE of three repeats. M, NlMLP or the deletion mutants. Asterisks above the columns indicate significant differences compared with GFP (**, *P* < 0.01, Student’s t-test).

Right column, Cell-death lesions in *N. benthamiana* leaves expressing the NlMLP deletion mutants. +, ++, and − indicate obvious cell death, weak cell death, and no cell death, respectively. Each experiment was repeated at least three times with similar results.
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